Kent Academic Repository Full text document (pdf)

Citation for published version

Horváthová, Lenka and Žárský, Vojtch and Pánek, Tomáš and Derelle, Romain and Pyrih, Jan and Motyková, Alžbta and Klápšová, Veronika and Klimeš, Vladimír and Petr, Markéta and Vaitová, Zuzana and epika, Ivan and Harant, Karel and Gray, Michael W. and Guilvout, Ingrid and Francetic, Olivera and Lang, B. Franz and Vlek, estmír and Tsaousis, Anastasios D and

DOI

https://doi.org/10.1101/790865

Link to record in KAR

https://kar.kent.ac.uk/77662/

Document Version

Pre-print

Copyright & reuse

Content in the Kent Academic Repository is made available for research purposes. Unless otherwise stated all content is protected by copyright and in the absence of an open licence (eg Creative Commons), permissions for further reuse of content should be sought from the publisher, author or other copyright holder.

Versions of research

The version in the Kent Academic Repository may differ from the final published version. Users are advised to check http://kar.kent.ac.uk for the status of the paper. Users should always cite the published version of record.

Enquiries

For any further enquiries regarding the licence status of this document, please contact: **researchsupport@kent.ac.uk**

If you believe this document infringes copyright then please contact the KAR admin team with the take-down information provided at http://kar.kent.ac.uk/contact.html





1 ANCESTRAL MITOCHONDRIAL PROTEIN SECRETION MACHINERY

- 2
- 3 Lenka Horváthová^{1*}, Vojtěch Žárský^{1*}, Tomáš Pánek^{2*}, Romain Derelle³, Jan Pyrih⁴,
- 4 Alžběta Motyčková¹, Veronika Klápšťová¹, Vladimír Klimeš², Markéta Petrů¹, Zuzana
- 5 Vaitová¹, Ivan Čepička⁵, Karel Harant⁶, Michael W. Gray⁷, Ingrid Guilvout⁸, Olivera
- 6 Francetic⁸, B. Franz Lang⁹, Čestmír Vlček¹⁰, Anastasios D. Tsaousis⁴, Marek Eliáš^{2#},
- 7 Pavel Doležal^{1#}
- 8
- ⁹ ¹Department of Parasitology, Faculty of Science, Charles University, BIOCEV,
- 10 Průmyslová 595, Vestec, 252 42, Czech Republic
- ²Department of Biology and Ecology, Faculty of Science, University of Ostrava,
- 12 Chittussiho 10, 710 00 Ostrava, Czech Republic
- 13 ³School of Biosciences, University of Birmingham, Edgbaston, B15 2TT, UK
- 14 ⁴Laboratory of Molecular & Evolutionary Parasitology, RAPID group, School of
- 15 Biosciences, University of Kent, Canterbury, CT2 7NZ, UK
- ⁵Department of Zoology, Faculty of Science, Charles University, Viničná 7, Prague 2,
- 17 128 44, Czech Republic
- 18 ⁶Proteomic core facility, Faculty of Science, Charles University, BIOCEV, Průmyslová
- 19 595, Vestec, 252 42, Czech Republic
- ⁷Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology and Centre for Comparative
- Genomics and Evolutionary Bioinformatics, Dalhousie University, Halifax, NS B3H
 4R2. Canada
- 23 ⁸Institut Pasteur, Biochemistry of Macromolecular Interactions Unit, Department of
- 24 Structural Biology and Chemistry, CNRS UMR3528, 75015 Paris, France
- ⁹Robert Cedergren Centre for Bioinformatics and Genomics, Département de
- 26 Biochimie, Université de Montréal, Montreal, QC, Canada H3T 1J4
- ¹⁰Institute of Molecular Genetics, Czech Academy of Sciences, 142 20 Prague 4,
- 28 Czech Republic
- 29
- 30
- 31 *these authors equally contributed to the study
- 32 #corresponding authors: Marek Eliáš (marek.elias@osu.cz), Pavel Doležal
- 33 (pavel.dolezal@natur.cuni.cz)
- 34
- 35
- 36
- 37
- 38
- 39
- 40
- 41 42
- 42 43
- 43
- 44 4 E
- 45 46

47 Abstract

- 48 Modern mitochondria have preserved few traits of the original bacterial
- 49 endosymbiont. Unexpectedly, we find that certain representatives of
- 50 heteroloboseans, jakobids and malawimonads possess homologues of four core
- 51 components of the type 2 secretion system (T2SS) so far restricted to eubacteria.
- 52 We show that these components are localized to the mitochondrion, and their
- 53 behaviour in functional assays is consistent with the formation of a mitochondrial
- 54 T2SS-derived protein secretion system. We additionally identified 23 protein
- 55 families exactly co-occurring in eukaryotes with the T2SS. Seven of these proteins
- 56 could be directly linked to the core T2SS by functional data and/or sequence
- 57 features, whereas others may represent different parts of a broader functional
- 58 pathway, possibly linking the mitochondrion with the peroxisome. Its distribution in
- 59 eukaryotes and phylogenetic evidence indicate that the whole mitochondrial T2SS-
- 60 centred pathway is an ancestral eukaryotic trait. Our findings thus have direct
- 61 implications for the functional properties of the early mitochondrion.
- 62
- 63

64 Introduction

- 65 Mitochondria of all eukaryotes arose from the same Alphaproteobacteria-related endosymbiotic bacterium^{1,2}. New functions have been incorporated into the 66 bacterial blueprint during mitochondrial evolution, while many ancestral traits have 67 68 been lost. Importantly, in some cases, these losses occurred independently in 69 different lineages of eukaryotes, resulting in a patchy distribution of the respective 70 ancestral mitochondrial traits in extant eukaryotes. A good example of this is the 71 ancestral mitochondrial division apparatus (including homologues of bacterial Min 72 proteins) retained in several distantly related protist lineages^{3,4}. It is likely that
- additional pieces of the ancestral bacterial cell physiology will be discovered inmitochondria of poorly studied eukaryotes.
- 75 An apparent significant difference between the mitochondrion and bacteria 76 (including those living as endosymbionts of eukaryotes) lies in the directionality of 77 protein transport across their envelope. All bacteria export specific proteins from 78 the cell via the plasma membrane using the Sec or Tat machineries⁵, and many 79 diderm (Gram-negative) bacteria exhibit specialized systems mediating further 80 protein translocation across the outer membrane (OM)⁶. In contrast, the 81 mitochondrion depends on a newly evolved protein import system spanning both 82 envelope membranes and enabling import of proteins encoded by the nuclear 83 genome⁷. The capacity of mitochondria to secrete proteins seems to be limited. 84 Mitochondrial homologues of Tat translocase subunits occur in some eukarvotic 85 taxa, but their role in protein secretion has not been established⁸. A mitochondrial 86 homologue of the SecY protein (a Sec translocase subunit) has been described only 87 in jakobids^{9,10}, but its function remains elusive¹¹. No dedicated machinery for 88 protein export from the mitochondrion across the outer mitochondrial membrane 89 has been described.
- One of the best characterized bacterial protein translocation machineries is
 the so-called type 2 secretion system (T2SS)^{12,13}. The T2SS belongs to a large
 bacterial superfamily of type 4 pili (T4P)-related molecular machines, most of which

93 secrete long extracellular filaments (pili) for motility, adhesion, or DNA uptake¹⁴⁻¹⁶. 94 Using building blocks homologous to components of the other members of the T4P 95 superfamily, the T2SS constitutes a specialized secretion apparatus, whose filament 96 (pseudopilus) remains in the periplasm^{12,13}. It is composed of 12-15 conserved 97 components, commonly referred to as general secretion pathway (Gsp) proteins, 98 which assemble into four main subcomplexes (Fig. 1A). The OM pore is formed by 99 an oligomer of 15-16 molecules of the GspD protein¹⁷. The subcomplex in the inner 100 membrane (IM) is called the assembly platform and consists of the central 101 multispanning membrane protein GspF surrounded by single-pass membrane 102 proteins GspC, GspL, and GspM. GspC links the assembly platform to the OM pore by interacting with the periplasmic N-terminal domain of GspD¹⁸. The third 103 subcomplex, called the pseudopilus, is a helical filament formed mainly of GspG 104 105 subunits, with minor pseudopilins (GspH, GspI, GspJ and GspK) assembled at its tip¹⁵. 106 The pseudopilus is assembled at the assembly platform and its growth is believed to 107 push the periplasmic T2SS substrate through the OM pore. The energy for 108 pseudopilus assembly is provided by the fourth subcomplex, the hexameric ATPase 109 GspE, interacting with the assembly platform from the cytoplasmic side¹⁶.

110 Substrates for T2SS-mediated secretion are first transported by the Tat (as 111 folded proteins) or the Sec (in an unfolded form) system across the IM into the 112 periplasm, where they undergo maturation and/or folding. The folded substrates are finally loaded onto the pseudopilus for the release outside the cell via the OM 113 114 pore. The known T2SS substrates differ between taxa and no common sequence 115 features have been identified for them. Proteins transported by the T2SS in different 116 species include catabolic enzymes (such as lipases, proteases or phosphatases) and, in the case of bacterial pathogens, toxins¹². A recent survey of bacterial genomes 117 118 showed that the T2SS is mainly present in Proteobacteria¹⁹. Crucially, neither the 119 T2SS nor other systems of the T4P superfamily have been reported from 120 eukarvotes^{6,12,20}.

121 Here we show that certain distantly related eukaryotes unexpectedly contain 122 homologues of key T2SS subunits representing all four functional T2SS 123 subcomplexes. We provide evidence for mitochondrial localization of these 124 eukaryotic Gsp homologues and describe experimental results supporting the idea 125 that they constitute a system similar to the bacterial T2SS. Furthermore, we point to 126 the existence of 23 proteins with a perfect taxonomic co-occurrence with the 127 eukaryotic Gsp homologues. Some of these co-occurring proteins seem to be 128 additional components of the mitochondrial T2SS-related machinery, whereas 129 others are candidates for components of a broader functional pathway linking the 130 mitochondrion with other parts of the cell. Given its phylogenetic distribution we 131 propose that the newly discovered pathway was ancestrally present in eukaryotes. 132 Its further characterization may provide fundamental new insights into the 133 evolutionary conversion of the protomitochondrion into the mitochondrial 134 organelle. 135

136 Results

137 Certain protist lineages code for a conserved set of homologues of T2SS core

138 components

139 While searching the genome of the heterolobosean *Naegleria gruberi* for proteins of 140 bacterial origin with a possible mitochondrial role, we surprisingly discovered homologues of four core subunits of the bacterial T2SS, specifically GspD, GspE, 141 142 GspF, and GspG (Fig. 1A; Supplementary Table 1). Using genomic and transcriptomic 143 data from public repositories and our on-going sequencing projects for several 144 protist species of key evolutionary interest, we mapped the distribution of these 145 four components in eukaryotes. All four genes were found in the following 146 characteristic set of taxa (Fig. 1B, Supplementary Table 1): three additional 147 heteroloboseans (Naegleria fowleri, Neovahlkampfia damariscottae, Pharyngomonas 148 kirbyi), two jakobids (*R. americana* and *Andalucia godoyi*), and two malawimonads 149 (Malawimonas jakobiformis and Gefionella okellvi). In addition, three separate 150 representatives of the heterolobosean genus *Percolomonas* (Supplementary Fig. 1) 151 each exhibited a homologue of GspD, but not of the remaining Gsp proteins, in the 152 available transcriptomic data. In contrast, all four genes were missing in sequence 153 data from all other eukarvotes investigated, including the genome and 154 transcriptome of another malawimonad ("Malawimonas californiana") and deeply-155 sequenced transcriptomes of a third jakobid (Stygiella incarcerata) and four 156 additional heteroloboseans (Creneis carolina, "Dactylomonas venusta", Harpagon 157 schusteri, and the undescribed strain Heterolobosea sp. BB2). 158 Probing *N. gruberi* nuclei with fluorescent *in situ* hybridization ruled out an

159 unidentified bacterial endosymbiont as the source of the Gsp genes (Supplementary 160 Fig. 2). Moreover, the eukaryotic Gsp genes usually have introns and constitute robustly supported monophyletic groups well separated from bacterial homologues 161 162 (Fig. 1C; Supplementary Fig. 3), ruling out bacterial contamination in all cases. In an attempt to illuminate the origin of the eukaryotic Gsp proteins we carried out 163 164 systematic phylogenetic analyses based on progressively expanded datasets of 165 prokaryotic homologues and for each tree inferred the taxonomic identity of the 166 bacterial ancestor of the eukaryotic branch (see Methods for details on the 167 procedure). The results, summarized in Supplementary Fig. 3, showed that the 168 inference is highly unstable depending on the dataset analysed, and no specific 169 bacterial group can be identified as an obvious donor of the eukarvotic Gsp genes. 170 This result probably stems from a combination of factors, including the long 171 branches separating the eukarvotic and bacterial Gsp sequences, the length of Gsp 172 proteins restricting the amount of the phylogenetic signal retained, and perhaps 173 also rampant horizontal gene transfer of the T2SS system genes between bacterial 174 taxa. The eukaryotic Gsp genes are in fact so divergent that some of them could not 175 be unambiguously classified as specific homologs of T2SS components (as opposed 176 to the related machineries of the T4P superfamily) when analysed using models 177 developed for the bacterial genomes¹⁹ (Supplementary Fig. 3).

Heteroloboseans, jakobids and malawimonads have been classified in the
hypothetical supergroup Excavata²¹. However, recent phylogenomic analyses
indicate that excavates are non-monophyletic and even suggest that malawimonads
are separated from heteroloboseans and jakobids by the root of the eukaryote
phylogeny²²⁻²⁵. Hence, the current phylogenetic distribution of the Gsp homologues
in eukaryotes may reflect their presence in the last eukaryotic common ancestor
(LECA) followed by multiple independent losses (Fig. 1C). Heteroloboseans and

malawimonads have two GspG paralogues, but the phylogenetic analyses did not
 resolve whether this is due to multiple independent GspG gene duplications or one

187 ancestral eukaryotic duplication followed by loss of one of the paralogues in

188 jakobids (Supplementary Fig. 3D; Supplementary Table 1).

189

190 The eukaryotic Gsp proteins localize to the mitochondrion

191 We hypothesized that the eukaryotic homologues of the four Gsp proteins are parts 192 of a functional T2SS-related system localized to the mitochondrion. This notion was 193 supported by the presence of predicted N-terminal mitochondrial targeting 194 sequences (MTSs) in some of the eukaryotic Gsp proteins (Supplementary Table 1). 195 The prediction algorithms identified putative N-terminal MTSs for proteins from 196 jakobids and malawimonads but failed to recognize them in the orthologues from 197 heteroloboseans, which, however, carry the longest N-terminal extensions 198 (Supplementary Fig. 4). We assumed that these extensions might still function as 199 MTSs in heteroloboseans. Indeed, labelling of *N. gruberi* cells using specific 200 polyclonal antibodies showed that GspD, GspF and GspG1 are present in 201 mitochondria (Fig. 2A). Moreover, the atypical MTSs of *N. gruberi* Gsp proteins were 202 efficiently recognized by the yeast mitochondrial import machinery (Supplementary 203 Fig. 5). Analogously, three Gsp proteins from *G. okellvi* were all localized to 204 mitochondria when expressed in yeast (Fig. 2B).

205 In order to further confirm the mitochondrial localization of the Gsp proteins 206 in *N. aruberi*, we analysed the mitochondrial proteome of this species by partial 207 purification of the organelle and identification of resident proteins by mass 208 spectrometry. A mitochondria-enriched fraction was obtained from a cellular lysate 209 by several steps of differential centrifugation and further separated by OptiPrep 210 gradient centrifugation. Three sub-fractions of different densities were collected 211 (Supplementary Fig. 6A) and subjected to proteomic analysis. The relative amount 212 of each protein in the gradient was determined by label-free quantification and the 213 proteins were grouped by a multicomponent analysis (for details see Methods) 214 according to their distributions across the gradient (Fig. 3). A set of marker proteins 215 (homologs of well characterized typical mitochondrial proteins from other species) 216 was used to identify a cluster of mitochondrial proteins. Due to the partial co-217 purification of peroxisomes with mitochondria, a peroxisome-specific cluster was 218 defined analogously. As a result, 946 putative mitochondrial and 78 putative 219 peroxisomal proteins were identified among the total of 4,198 proteins detected. 220 Encouragingly, the putative mitochondrial proteome of *N. gruberi* is dominated by 221 proteins expected to be mitochondrial or whose mitochondrial localization is not 222 unlikely (Supplementary Fig. 6B. Supplementary Table 2A). On the other hand, the 223 putative peroxisomal proteome seems to be contaminated by mitochondrial 224 proteins (owing to the presence of several mitochondrial ribosomal proteins; 225 Supplementary Table 2B). Importantly, all five Gsp proteins (including both GspG 226 paralogs) were identified in the putative mitochondrial but not peroxisomal 227 proteome of N. gruberi.

228

The properties of the eukaryotic Gsp proteins support the existence of a mitochondrial T2SS-related machinery

The foregoing experiments support the idea that all four eukaryotic Gsp homologues
localize to and function in the mitochondrion. However, direct *in vivo* demonstration
of the existence of a functional mitochondrial T2SS-related machinery is currently
not feasible, because none of the Gsp homologue-carrying eukaryotes represents a
tractable genetic system. We thus used *in vitro* approaches and heterologous
expression systems to test the key properties of the eukaryotic Gsp proteins.

Crucial for the T2SS function is the formation of the OM pore, which is a **B**-237 238 barrel formed by the oligomerization of the C-domain of the GspD protein²⁶. 239 The actual assembly of the bacterial pore requires the interaction of the very C-240 terminal domain of GspD (S-domain) with the outer membrane lipoprotein GspS²⁷. 241 In addition, the bacterial GspD carries four short N-terminal domains exposed to the 242 periplasm, called N0 to N3, of which N1 to N3 share a similar fold²⁸ (Fig. 4A). While 243 the N3 domain has been shown to participate in the pore assembly, N0 interacts 244 with GspC of the assembly platform¹⁸. However, sequence analysis of the 245 mitochondrial GspD homologue revealed that it, in fact, corresponds to only a C-246 terminal part of the bacterial GspD β -barrel C-domain, whereas the N-terminal 247 domains N0 to N3, the N-terminal part of the C-domain, and the S-domain are 248 missing (Fig. 4A). This finding raised a question whether the mitochondrial GspD 249 homologue has retained the ability to form a membrane pore. Nevertheless, 250 homology modelling of GspD from G. okellvi (GoGspD) using Vibrio cholerae GspD²⁹ 251 as a template indicated that the protein could be fitted into solved structure of the 252 pentadecameric pore complex with the conserved amphipathic helical loop 253 (AHL)(Fig. 4B).

254 Testing the function of *Go*GspD in bacteria was impossible due to its high 255 toxicity leading to rapid cell death upon induction of protein expression (Fig. 4C), 256 which is a typical behaviour of pore-forming proteins. The protein toxicity was less 257 pronounced in the yeast two-hybrid (Y2H) system, which indicated strong self-258 interaction of GoGspD (Fig. 4D), and hence its ability to oligomerize. Indeed, 259 radioactively labelled *Go*GspD assembled into a high-molecular-weight complex in 260 an experimental membrane in an *in vitro* translation assay (Fig. 4E). The formation 261 of the complex was dependent on the presence of the membrane and the complex 262 was resistant to 2M urea treatment, which would remove nonspecific protein 263 aggregates. These results showed that the mitochondrial GspD, despite being 264 significantly truncated when compared to its bacterial homologues, has retained the 265 capability to form membrane pores, characteristic for the secretins of the T2SS ³⁰. 266 Compared to the bacterial GspD, the predicted *Go*GspD structure suggests a unique 267 biogenesis pathway, where the secretin pore-forming domain may be directly 268 inserted in the mitochondrial outer membrane, bypassing the membrane transport 269 essential for its bacterial counterparts.

The secretion mechanism of the T2SS relies on assembly of pseudopilus made up of GspG subunits¹⁵. A possible assembly of mitochondrial GspG from *G. okellyi* (*Go*GspG1) into the pseudopilus structure was indicated by modelling the protein sequence into the recently obtained cryoEM reconstruction of the PulG complex from *Klebsiella oxytoca*²⁰ (Supplementary Fig. 7). The actual interaction properties of *Go*GspG1 were followed by the bacterial two-hybrid assay (B2H). When expressed in bacteria (in a truncated form with the MTS region removed, see

277 Fig. 5A), the mitochondrial *Go*GspG1 interacted with itself (Fig. 5B), which is a 278 prerequisite for pseudopilus formation. An analogous B2H assays of N. gruberi Gsp 279 proteins also showed GspG1 self-interaction (data not shown). In addition, GoGspG1 280 showed positive interaction with GoGspF, the IM component believed to participate 281 in transfer of energy for the pseudopilus assembly from GspE (Fig. 1A). Moreover, 282 the mitochondrial *Go*GspF and *Go*GspE each self-interacted in the B2H assay (Fig. 283 5B). These interactions are in agreement with the role of both proteins as T2SS 284 components, as GspF forms dimers within the IM complex and GspE assembles into 285 an active hexameric ATPase. Furthermore, B2H assay has identified the same 286 interactions between the GspG and GspF homologues in the bacterial T2SS ³¹. Tests 287 of all other possible interactions of *G. okellyi* Gsp proteins were negative.

288 The in silico analyses and experiments described above are consistent with 289 the hypothesized existence of a functional mitochondrial secretion machinery 290 derived from the bacterial T2SS. However, the mitochondrial subunits identified 291 would assemble only a minimalist version of the secretion system, reduced to the 292 functional core of the four subcomplexes of the bacterial T2SS, i.e. the luminal 293 ATPase (GspE), the IM pseudopilus assembly platform (GspF), the intermembrane 294 space pseudopilus (GspG), and the OM pore (truncated GspD). Despite using 295 sensitive HMM-based searches, we did not detect homologues of other conserved 296 T2SS subunits in any of the eukaryotes possessing GspD to GspG proteins. One of the 297 missing subunits is GspC, which connects the assembly platform with the N0 domain 298 of GspD pore^{18,32}. Thus, the absence of GspC in eukarvotes correlates with the lack of 299 the N0 domain in the eukaryotic GspD. Analogously, the absence of the C-terminal S-300 domain in the mitochondrial GspD (Fig. 4A), known to be missing also from some 301 bacterial GspD proteins, rationalizes the lack of a eukaryotic homologue of the 302 bacterial OM component GspS that binds to GspD via the S-domain during the pore 303 assembly²⁷.

304 The mitochondrial system also apparently lacks a homologue of GspO, a 305 bifunctional enzyme that is essential for GspG maturation. Despite this absence. eukaryotic GspG homologues have conserved all the characteristic sequence 306 307 features required for GspG maturation (the polar anchor and the trans-membrane 308 domain with a conserved glutamate residue at the +5 position relative to the 309 processing site) (Fig. 5A, Supplementary Fig. 4D). Notably, all the NgGspG1 and 310 *Ng*GspG2-derived peptides detected in our proteomic analysis come from the region 311 of the protein downstream of the conserved processing site (Fig.5C), and an anti-312 *Nq*GspG1 antibody detected a specific band of a much smaller size than expected for 313 the full-length protein (around 44 kDa) on a western blot of electrophoretically 314 separated *N. aruberi* proteins (Fig.5D). However, the theoretical Mw of the *Na*GspG1 315 processed at the conserved site is 25.5 kDa, whereas the protein detected by the 316 immunoblot is even smaller, with a size similar to that of bacterial pseudopilins. 317 Hence, the actual nature of the mitochondrial GspG maturation needs to be studied 318 further.

319

New putative components of the mitochondrial T2SS-based functional pathway
 identified by phylogenetic profiling

322 Since none of the eukaryotes with the Gsp homologues is currently amenable to 323 functional studies, we tried to further illuminate the role of the mitochondrial T2SS 324 system using a comparative genomic approach. Specifically, we reasoned that 325 possible additional components of the machinery, as well as its actual substrate(s). 326 might show the same phylogenetic distribution as the originally identified four 327 subunits. Using a combination of an automated identification of candidate protein 328 families and subsequent manual scrutiny by exhaustive searches of available 329 eukaryote sequence data (for details of the procedure see Methods), we identified 330 23 proteins (more precisely, groups of orthologues) that proved to exhibit precisely 331 the same phylogenetic distribution in eukaryotes as the four core T2SS components. 332 Specifically, all 23 proteins were represented in each of the heterolobosean, jakobid, 333 and malawimonad species possessing all four core Gsp proteins, whereas only seven 334 of them were found in the transcriptomic data from the *Percolomonas* lineage that 335 possesses only GspD (Fig. 1B; Supplementary Table 3). Except for two presumably 336 Gsp-positive jakobids represented by incomplete EST surveys and a case of a likely 337 contamination (Supplementary Table 4), no orthologues of any of these proteins 338 were found in any other eukaryote (including the Gsp-lacking members of 339 heteroloboseans, jakobids and malawimonads). The sequences of these 23 proteins 340 were analysed by various *in silico* approaches, including sensitive homology-341 detection methods (HMM-HMM comparisons with HHpred³³ and protein modelling 342 using the Phyre2 server³⁴) to assess their possible function (Fig. 6A; Supplementary 343 Table 3).

344 These analyses revealed that seven of the families have a direct link to the 345 T2SS suggested by discerned homology to known T2SS components. One of them 346 represents an additional, more divergent homologue of the C-terminal part of the 347 bacterial GspD. Hence, the protein has been marked as GspDL (GspD-like). Three 348 other families, referred to as GspDN1 to GspDN3, proved to be homologous to the 349 Secretin_N domain (Pfam family PF03958), present in the bacterial GspD protein in 350 three copies as the domains N1, N2, and N3 (Fig. 4A). The N1-N3 array protrudes 351 into the periplasmic space, where it oligomerizes to form three stacked rings³⁵. As 352 mentioned above, the initially identified eukaryotic GspD homologues lack the N-353 terminal region, suggesting that the gene was split into multiple parts in eukaryotes. 354 Unfortunately, high sequence divergence makes it impossible to identify potential 355 specific correspondence between the N1 to N3 domains of the bacterial GspD and 356 the eukaryotic GspDN1 to GspDN3 proteins. Importantly, an initial Y2H assay 357 indicated that the two separate polypeptides GspD and GspDN1 of *N. gruberi* may 358 interact in vivo (Fig. 4F), perhaps forming a larger mitochondrial complex. In 359 addition, we identified most of the newly discovered GspD-related proteins (GspDL 360 and GspDN) in the *N. gruberi* mitochondrial proteome (the exception being GspDN1, 361 which was not detected in a sufficient number of replicates to be included in the 362 downstream analysis; Supplementary Table 2A).

The final three proteins linked to the T2SS based on their sequence features represent three divergent paralogues of the GspE subunit (GspE-like) here denoted GspEL1 to GspEL3. However, abrogation of ATPase-specific motifs in these paralogues suggests the loss of the ATPase activity (Supplementary Fig. 4B). GspEL2 and GspEL3 were identified among *N. gruberi* mitochondrial proteins in the

proteomic analysis, whereas GspEL1 was found in the cluster of putativeperoxisomal proteins.

370 The remaining sixteen proteins co-occurring with the core eukaryotic T2SS 371 subunits, hereafter referred to as Gcp (Gsp-co-occurring proteins), were divided 372 into three categories. The first comprises four proteins that constitute novel 373 paralogues within broader common eukaryotic (super)families (Fig. 6B). Three of 374 them (Gcp1 to Gcp3) belong to the WD40 superfamily, in which they form a single 375 clade together with the peroxisomal protein import co-receptor Pex7 (Fig. 6B; 376 Supplementary Fig. 8). None of these proteins has any putative N-terminal targeting 377 sequence, but interestingly, the peroxisomal targeting signal 1 (PTS1) could be 378 predicted on most Gcp1 and some Gcp2 proteins (Supplementary Table 3). However, 379 these predictions are not fully consistent with the results of our proteomic analysis: 380 NaGcp1 was found among the mitochondrial proteins and NaGcp2 in the cluster of 381 putative peroxisomal proteins (Supplementary Table 2), but PTS1 is predicted to be 382 present in the *Na*Gcp1 protein (Supplementary Table 3). The fourth Gcp protein 383 (Gcp4) is a novel paralogue of the ubiquitin-like superfamily, distinctly different 384 from the previously characterized members including ubiquitin, SUMO, NEDD8 and 385 others (Supplementary Fig. 9).

386 The second Gcp category comprises eleven proteins (Gcp5 to Gcp15) well 387 conserved at the sequence level among the Gsp-containing eukarvotes, yet lacking 388 any discernible homologues in other eukaryotes or in prokaryotes. Two of these 389 proteins (Gcp8, Gcp15) were not identified in the proteomic analysis of *N. aruberi* 390 (Supplementary Table 3). Of those identified, several (Gcp5, Gcp6, Gcp13) were 391 found among the mitochondrial proteins, whereas some others (Gcp9, Gcp10, 392 Gcp11) clustered with peroxisomal markers. Specific localization of the three 393 remaining proteins (Gcp7, Gcp12, and Gcp14) could not be determined due to their 394 presence at the boundaries of the mitochondrial or peroxisomal clusters. 395 No homology to other proteins or domains could be discerned for the Gsp5 to Gsp15 396 proteins even when sensitive homology-detection algorithms were employed. 397 However, four of them are predicted as single-pass membrane proteins, with the 398 transmembrane segment in the N- (Gcp7, Gcp11, Gcp15) or C-terminus (Gcp5) (Fig. 399 6A; Supplementary Fig. 10). Interestingly, Gcp6 and Gcp12 proteins contain multiple 400 absolutely conserved cysteine or histidine residues (Fig. 6A; Supplementary Fig. 11). 401

Finally, Gcp16 constitutes a category of its own. It typifies a family of 402 predicted membrane proteins with non-eukaryotic representatives restricted to 403 bacteria of the PVC superphylum (Supplementary Fig. 12), some of which are known 404 to have the T2SS³⁶. Interestingly, Gcp16 proteins from *Neochlamydia* spp. are fused 405 to the N-terminus of a protein from the Lactamase B 2 (PF12706) family that 406 generally occurs as an independent protein widely conserved in various bacteria. 407 Phylogenetic analyses confirmed that the eukaryotic members of the family are of 408 the same origin rather than acquisitions by independent HGT events into different 409 lineages of eukarvotes (Supplementary Fig. 13). Most eukarvotic Gcp16 proteins 410 exhibit an N-terminal extension compared to the bacterial homologues 411 (Supplementary Fig. 12), but only some of these extensions are recognized as 412 putative MTSs and the *N. gruberi* Gcp16 was not identified either in putative 413 mitochondrial or peroxisomal proteome.

414

415 **Discussion**

416 Our analyses revealed that a subset of species belonging to three eukaryotic lineages 417 share a set of at least 27 proteins (or families of orthologues) absent from other 418 eukaryotes for which genomic or transcriptomic data are currently available (Fig. 419 1C). At least eleven of these proteins (the Gsp proteins) are evolutionarily related to 420 components of the bacterial T2SS, although seven of them are so divergent that their 421 evolutionary connection to the T2SS could be recognized only retrospectively after 422 their identification based on their characteristic phylogenetic profile. For the sixteen 423 remaining proteins (Gcp1 to Gcp16) no other evolutionary or functional link to the 424 T2SS is evident apart from the same phyletic pattern as exhibited by the T2SS 425 subunit homologues. Nevertheless, similar phylogenetic profiles are generally a 426 strong indication for proteins being parts of the same functional system or pathway, 427 and have enabled identification of new components of different cellular structures 428 or pathways (e.g. refs^{37,38}). Is it, therefore, possible that the 27 Gsp/Gcp proteins 429 similarly belong to a single functional pathway?

430 The phylogenetic profile shared by the eukaryotic Gsp and Gcp proteins is 431 not trivial, as it implies independent gene losses in a specific set of multiple 432 eukaryotic branches (Fig. 1B). The likelihood of a chance emergence of the same 433 taxonomic distribution of these proteins is thus low. Nevertheless, false positives 434 cannot be completely excluded among the Gcp proteins and their list may be revised 435 when a more comprehensive sampling of eukaryote genomes or transcriptomes 436 becomes available. It is also possible that the currently inferred phylogenetic profile 437 of some of the Gsp/Gcp proteins is inaccurate due to incomplete sampling of the 438 actual gene repertoire of species represented by transcriptome assemblies only. An 439 interesting case in point is the heterolobosean *Percolomonas* lineage. 440 Transcriptomic data from three different members revealed only the presence of 441 GspD, GspDL, the three GspDN variants, and four Gcp proteins (Fig. 1B, 442 Supplementary Tables 1 and 3), which may reflect incomplete data. However, the 443 relatively coherent pattern of Gsp/Gcp protein occurrence in the three 444 independently sequenced transcriptomes and the fact that in other Gsp/Gcp -445 containing eukaryotes all 27 families are always represented in the respective 446 transcriptome assembly (Supplementary Tables 1 and 3) suggest that the 447 *Percolomonas* lineage has preserved only a subset of Gsp/Gcp families. Genome

448 sequencing is required to test this possibility.

All uncertainties notwithstanding, our data favour the idea that a hitherto unknown complex functional pathway exists in some eukaryotic cells, underpinned by most, if not all, of the 27 Gsp/Gcp proteins and possibly others yet to be discovered. Direct biochemical and cell biological investigations are required for testing its very existence and the actual cellular role. Nevertheless, we integrated the experimental data gathered so far with the insights from bioinformatic analyses to propose a hypothetical working model (Fig. 7).

456 Our main proposition is that the eukaryotic homologues of the bacterial Gsp
457 proteins assemble a functional transport system, here denoted miT2SS, that spans
458 the mitochondrial OM and mediates the export of specific substrate proteins from
459 the mitochondrion. Although the actual architecture of the miT2SS needs to be

460 determined, the available data suggest that it departs in detail from the canonical 461 bacterial T2SS organization, as homologues of some of the important bacterial T2SS 462 components are apparently missing. Most notable is the absence of GspC, 463 presumably related to the modified structure of its interacting partner GspD, which in eukaryotes is split into multiple polypeptides and seems to completely lack the 464 N0 domain involved in GspC binding. It thus remains unclear whether and how the 465 466 IM assembly platform and the OM pore interact in mitochondria. One possible explanation is that GspC has been replaced by an unrelated protein. It is notable that 467 468 three Gcp proteins (Gcp7, Gcp11, and Gcp15) have the same general architecture as 469 GspC: they possess a transmembrane segment at the N-terminus and a (predicted) 470 globular domain at the C-terminus (Fig. 6A). Testing possible interactions between 471 these proteins and T2SS core subunits (particularly GspF and GspDN) using B2H or 472 Y2H assavs will be of future interest.

473 Future investigations also must address the question of whether the 474 mitochondrial GspG is processed analogously to the bacterial homologues and how 475 such processing occurs in the absence of discernible homologues of GspO (see 476 above). The mitochondrial GspG is presumably inserted into the IM by the Tim22 or 477 Tim23 complex, resulting in a GspG precursor with the N-terminus, including the 478 MTS, protruding into the matrix. It is possible that N-terminal cleavage by matrix 479 processing peptidase serves not only to remove the transit peptide, but at the same 480 time to generate the mature N-terminus of the processed GspG form, ready for 481 recruitment into the pseudopilus.

482 In parallel with its apparent simplification, the miT2SS may have been 483 specifically elaborated compared to the ancestral bacterial machinery. This 484 possibility is suggested by the existence of the three divergent, possibly ATPase 485 activity-deficient GspE paralogues (GspEL1 to GspEL3) that we discovered in all 486 miT2SS-containing eukaryotes but not elsewhere. We can only speculate as to the 487 function of these proteins, but they may interact with and regulate the catalytically 488 active GspE protein. The fact that the bacterial GspE assembles into a homohexamer 489 raises the possibility that in eukaryotes GspEL proteins are included in a 490 heterooligomer with GspE, a situation analogous to the presence of catalytically 491 active and inactive paralogous subunits in some well known protein complexes (e.g. 492 refs^{39,40}). The co-occurrence of two different paralogues of the GspD C-domain, one 493 (GspDL) being particularly divergent, suggests a eukaryote-specific elaboration of 494 the putative pore in the mitochondrial OM.

495 An unanswered key question is what is the actual substrate (or substrates) 496 exported from the mitochondrion by the miT2SS. No bioinformatic tool for T2SS 497 substrate prediction is available due to the enigmatic nature of the mechanism of 498 substrate recognition by the pathway¹², so at the moment we can only speculate. It 499 is notable that no protein encoded by the mitochondrial genomes of jakobids. 500 heteroloboseans and malawimonads stands out as an obvious candidate for the 501 miT2SS substrate, since they either have well-established roles in the 502 mitochondrion or are hypothetical proteins with a restricted (genus-specific) 503 distribution. Therefore, we hypothesize that the substrate is encoded by the nuclear 504 genome and imported into the mitochondrion to undergo a specific processing step. 505 This may include addition of a prosthetic group – a scenario modelled on the

506 process of cytochrome c or Rieske protein maturation^{41,42}. Interestingly, the 507 proteins Gcp6 and Gcp12, each exhibiting an array of absolutely conserved cysteine and histidine residues (Supplementary Fig. 11), are good candidates for proteins 508 509 that are loaded with a specific prosthetic group, so any of them may well be the 510 sought-after miT2SS substrate. Some of the other Gcp proteins may then represent 511 components of the hypothetical machinery responsible for the substrate 512 modification. The putative functionalization step may occur either in the 513 mitochondrial matrix or in the intermembrane space (IMS), but we note that the 514 former localization would necessitate a mechanism of protein translocation across 515 the mitochondrial IM in the direction from the matrix to the IMS, which has not been 516 demonstrated yet. Regardless, the modified protein would eventually be 517 translocated across the mitochondrial OM by the T2SS system to the cytoplasm.

518 However, this may not be the end of the journey, since there are hints of a 519 link between the miT2SS-associated pathway and peroxisomes. First, three Gcp 520 proteins, namely Gcp1 to Gcp3, are specifically related to Pex7, a protein mediating 521 import of peroxisomal proteins characterized by the peroxisomal targeting signal 2 522 (PTS2)⁴³. Second, some of the Gcp proteins (Gcp1, Gcp2, Gcp13) have at the C-523 terminus a predicted PTS1 signal (at least in some species; Supplementary Table 3). 524 Third, several Gcp proteins (Gcp2, Gcp9, Gcp10, and Gcp11) and GspEL1 were 525 assigned to the putative peroxisomal proteome in our proteomic analysis 526 (Supplementary Table 2B). We note the discrepancy between the PTS1 signal 527 predictions and the actual set of experimentally defined peroxisomal proteins. 528 which might be due to an incomplete separation of peroxisome and mitochondria by 529 our purification procedure, but may also reflect protein shuttling between the two 530 organelles.

531 We thus hypothesize that upon its export from the mitochondrion, the 532 miT2SS substrate is eventually delivered to the peroxisome. This is possibly 533 mediated by the Gcp1/2/3 trio, but other Gcp proteins might participate as well. 534 One such protein might be the ubiquitin-related protein Gcp4. Ubiquitination and 535 deubiguitination of several components of the peroxisome protein import 536 machinery is a critical part of the import mechanism⁴³ and Gcp4 could serve as an 537 analogous peptide modifier in the hypothetical novel peroxisome import pathway 538 functionally linked to the miT2SS.

539 Altogether, our data suggest the existence of a novel elaborate functional 540 pathway combining components of bacterial origin with newly evolved eukarvote-541 specific proteins. The modern phylogenetic distribution of the pathway is sparse. 542 but our current understanding of eukaryote phylogeny suggests that it was 543 ancestrally present in eukaryotes and for some reason dispensed with, multiple 544 times during evolution. Although we could not define a specific bacterial group as 545 the actual source of the eukaryotic Gsp genes, it is tempting to speculate that the 546 T2SS was introduced into eukaryotes by the bacterial progenitor of mitochondria 547 and that it was involved in delivering specific proteins from the endosymbiont into 548 the host cell, as is known in the case of current intracellular bacteria³⁶. Elucidating 549 the actual role of this communication route in establishing the endosymbiont as a 550 fully integrated organelle requires understanding the cellular function of the 551 modern miT2SS-associated pathways, which is a challenge for future research.

552553 Methods

554555 Sequence data and homology searches

556 Homologues of relevant genes/proteins were searched in sequence databases 557 accessible via the National Center for Biotechnology Information BLAST server 558 (https://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Blast.cgi), including the nucleotide and protein non-559 redundant (nr) databases, whole-genome shotgun assemblies (WGAs), expressed 560 sequence tags (ESTs), and transcriptome shotgun assemblies (TSAs). Additional 561 public databases searched included the data provided by the Marine Microbial Eukarvote Transcriptome Sequencing Project (MMETSP⁴⁴) comprising TSAs from 562 hundreds of diverse protists (https://www.imicrobe.us/#/projects/104), the 563 564 OneKP project⁴⁵ (https://sites.google.com/a/ualberta.ca/onekp/) comprising TSAs 565 from hundreds of plants and algae, and individual WGAs and TSAs deposited at various on-line repositories (Supplementary Table 5). Non-public sequence data 566 567 analysed included genome and/or transcriptome assemblies from several 568 heteroloboseans, jakobids and malawimonads generated in our laboratories using 569 standard sequencing technologies (454 and or Illumina) and sequence assembly 570 programs (Supplementary Table 5). Details on the sequencing and assembly and full 571 analyses of these genomes and transcriptomes will be published elsewhere.

572 Homology searches were done using BLAST⁴⁶ (blastp or tblastn, depending 573 on the database queried) and HMMER⁴⁷ using profile HMMs built from sequence 574 alignments of proteins of interest. Hits were evaluated by BLAST (blastp or blastx) 575 searches against the nr protein dataset at NCBI to distinguish orthologues of Gsp 576 and Gcp proteins from paralogous proteins or non-specific matches. This was 577 facilitated by a high degree of conservation of individual eukarvotic Gsp/Gcp 578 proteins among different species (see also Supplementary Figs 4 and 10-12) and in 579 most cases by the lack of other close homologues in eukaryotic genomes (the 580 exceptions being members of broader protein families, including the ATPase GspE, 581 the WD40 superfamily proteins Gcp1 to Gcp3, and the ubiquitin related protein 582 Gcp4). All identified eukaryotic Gsp and Gcp sequences were carefully manually 583 curated to ensure maximal accuracy and completeness of the data, which included 584 correction of existing gene models, extension of truncated sequences by manual 585 analysis of raw sequencing reads, and correction of assembly errors (for details see 586 Supplementary Methods). All newly predicted or curated Gsp and Gcp sequences are 587 provided in Supplementary Tables 1 and 3, respectively; additional Gsp and Gcp 588 sequences from non-target species are listed in Supplementary Table 4.

589

590 Phylogenetic profiling

591 In order to identify genes with the same phylogenetic distribution as the eukaryotic

box homologues of the four core T2SS components, we carried out two partially

593 overlapping analyses based on defining groups of putative orthologous genes in

select Gsp-positive species and phylogenetically diverse Gsp-negative eukaryotic

595 species. The list of taxa included is provided in Supplementary Table 6. The first

- analysis was based on 18 species, including three Gsp-positive ones (*N. gruberi*, *A.*
- 597 *godoyi* and *M. jakobiformis*), for the second analysis the set was expanded by adding

598 one additional Gsp-positive species (G. okellyi) and one Gsp-negative species 599 (*Monocercomonoides* sp. PA203). Briefly, the protein sequences of a given species 600 were compared to those of all other species using blastp followed by fast 601 phylogenetic analyses and orthologous relationships between proteins were then 602 inferred from this set of phylogenetic trees using a reference-species-treeindependent approach. This procedure was repeated for each species and all 603 604 resulting sets of orthologous relationships, also known as phylomes⁴⁸, were 605 combined in a dense network of orthologous relationships. This network was finally 606 trimmed in several successive steps to remove week or spurious connections and to account for (genuine or artificial) gene fusions, with the first analysis being less 607 608 restrictive than the second. Details of this pipeline are provided in Supplementary 609 Methods. 610 For each of the two analyses, the final set of defined groups of orthologs 611 (orthogroups) was parsed to identify those comprising genes from at least two Gsppositive species vet lacking genes from any Gsp-negative species. The orthogroups 612 613 passing this criterion were further analysed manually by blastp and tblastn searches

- against various public and private sequence repositories (see the section "Sequence
 data and homology searches") to exclude those orthogroups with obvious orthologs
- in Gsp-negative species. *Percolomonas* spp. exhibiting only GspD and jakobids
- represented by incomplete EST surveys (these species are likely to possess the
 miT2SS system) were not considered as Gsp-negative. The orthogroups that
- 619 remained were then evaluated for their conservation in Gsp-positive species and
- 620 those that proved to have a representative in all these species (*N. gruberi*, *N. fowleri*,
- 621 N. damariscottae, P. kirbyi, A. godoyi, R. americana, M. jakobiformis, G. okellyi) were
- 622 considered as bona fide Gcp (Gsp-co-occurring protein) candidates. It is of note that
- some of these proteins are short and were missed by the automated annotation of
- some of the genomes, so using relaxed criteria for the initial consideration of
- 625 candidate orthogroups (i.e. allowing for their absence from some of the Gsp-positive 626 species) proved critical for decreasing the number of false-negative identifications.
- 627

628 Sequence analyses and phylogenetic inference

- 629 The presence of N-terminal mitochondrial transit peptides and peroxisomal
- 630 targeting signal 1 (PTS1) in the Gsp and Gcp proteins was evaluated using
- 631 MitoFates⁴⁹ (http://mitf.cbrc.jp/MitoFates/cgi-bin/top.cgi) and PTS1 predictor⁵⁰
- 632 (http://mendel.imp.ac.at/pts1/), respectively. Transmembrane domains were
- 633 predicted using TMHMM⁵¹ (http://www.cbs.dtu.dk/services/TMHMM/). Homology
- 634 of Gsp and Gcp protein families to other proteins was evaluated by searches against
- 635 Pfam v. 31 (ref.⁵²; http://pfam.xfam.org/) and Superfamily 1.75 database⁵³
- 636 (http://supfam.org/SUPERFAMILY/index.html) and by using HHpred³³
- 637 (https://toolkit.tuebingen.mpg.de/#/tools/hhpred) and the Phyre2 server³⁴
- 638 (http://www.sbg.bio.ic.ac.uk/phyre2/html/page.cgi?id=index). The relative
- 639 position of the Gcp4 family among Ubiquitin-like proteins was analysed by a cluster
- 640 analysis using CLANS⁵⁴ (https://www.eb.tuebingen.mpg.de/protein-
- 641 evolution/software/clans/); for the analysis the Gcp4 family was combined with all
- 642 59 defined families included in the clan Ubiquitin (CL0072) as defined in the Pfam
- 643 database (each family was represented by sequences from the respective seed

alignments stored in the Pfam database). For further details on the procedure see
the legend of Supplementary Fig. 9A. Multiple sequence alignments used for
presentation of the conservation and specific sequence features of Gsp and Gcp

647 families were built using MUSCLE⁵⁵ and shaded using BioEdit

648 (http://www.mbio.ncsu.edu/BioEdit/bioedit.html)

649 In order to obtain datasets for the phylogenetic analyses of eukaryotic GspD 650 to GspG proteins, the protein sequences were aligned using MAFFT⁵⁶ and trimmed 651 manually. Profile hidden Markov models (HMMs) built on the basis of the respective 652 alignments were used as queries to search the UniProt database using HMMER. All 653 recovered sequences were assigned to components of the T4P superfamily machineries using HMMER searches against a collection of profile HMMs reported 654 by Abby et al. (ref.¹⁹). For each GspD to GspG proteins, a series of alignments was 655 656 built by progressively expanding the sequence set by including more distant 657 homologues (as retrieved by the HMMER searches). Specifically, the different sets of sequences were defined by the HMMER score based on the formula $score_{cutoff} =$ 658 c*score_{best prokaryotic hit}, with the coefficient c decreasing from 0.99 to 0.70 659 660 incrementally by 0.01. The sequences were then aligned using MAFFT, trimmed 661 with BMGE⁵⁷ and the phylogenies were computed with IQ-TREE⁵⁸ using the best-fit model (selected by the program from standard protein evolution models and the 662 mixture models⁵⁹ offered). The topologies were tested using 10,000 ultra-fast 663 bootstraps. The resulting trees were systematically analyzed for support of the 664 665 monophyly of eukaryotic sequences and for the taxonomic assignment of the parental prokaryotic node of the eukaryotic subtree. The assignment was done 666 667 using the following procedure. The tree was artificially rooted between the 668 eukaryotic and prokaryotic sequences. From sub-leaf nodes to the deepest node of 669 the prokaryotic subtree, the taxonomic affiliation of each node was assigned by 670 proportionally considering the known or inferred taxonomic affiliations (at the 671 phylum or class level) of the descending nodes. See the legend to Supplementary Fig. 672 3 for further details.

673 The phylogenetic analysis of the WD40 superfamily including Gcp1 to Gcp3 674 proteins was performed as follows. The starting dataset was prepared by a 675 combination of two different approaches: 1) each identified sequence of Gcp1 to 676 Gcp3 proteins was used as a query in a blastp search against the non-redundant (nr) 677 NCBI protein database and the 500 best hits for each sequence were kept; 2) protein 678 sequences of each the Gcp1 to Gcp3 family were aligned using MAFFT and the 679 multiple alignment was used as a query in a HMMER3 search 680 (https://toolkit.tuebingen.mpg.de/#/tools/hmmer) against the UniProt database. 681 Best hits (E-value cutoff 1e-50) from all three searches were pooled and de-682 duplicated, and the resulting sequence set (including Gcp1 to Gcp3 sequences) was 683 aligned using MAFFT and trimmed manually to remove poorly conserved regions. 684 Because WD40 proteins are extremely diversified, sequences that were too 685 divergent were removed from the starting dataset during three subsequent rounds 686 of sequence removal, based on a manual inspection of the alignment and 687 phylogenetic trees computed by IO-TREE (using the best-fit model as described 688 above). The final dataset was enriched by adding PEX7 and WDR24 orthologues 689 from eukaryotes known to possess miT2SS components. The final phylogenetic tree

was computed using IQ-TEE as described in the legend to Supplementary Fig. 8. IQTREE was used also for inferring trees of the heterolobosean 18S rRNA gene
sequences (Supplementary Fig. 1), ubiquitin-related proteins (Supplementary Fig.
9B) and the Gcp16 family (Supplementary Fig. 13); details on the analyses are

- 694 provided in legends to the respective figures.
- 695

696 Homology modelling

697 The PDB database was searched by the SWISS-MODEL server⁶⁰ for structural 698 homologues of GoGspD and GoGspG1. V. cholerae GspD³⁵ (PDB entry 5Wq9) and 699 *K. oxytoca* PulG²⁰ pseudopilus (PDB entry 5wda) were selected as the top matches, 700 respectively. Models were built based on the target-template alignment using 701 ProMod3⁶⁰. Coordinates that were conserved between the target and the template 702 were copied from the template to the model. Insertions and deletions were 703 remodelled using a fragment library, followed by rebuilding side chains. Finally, the 704 geometry of the resulting model was regularized by using a force field. In the case of 705 loop modelling with ProMod3 fails, an alternative model was built with PROMOD-706 II⁶¹. The quaternary structure annotation of the template was used to model the 707 target sequence in its oligomeric form⁶².

708

709 Cultivation and fractionation of *N. gruberi* and proteomic analysis

Naegleria gruberi str. NEG-M was axenically cultured in M7 medium with PenStrep
 (100 U/mL of penicillin and 100 μg/mL of streptomycin) at 27°C in vented tissue

- 712 culture flasks. Mitochondria of *N. gruberi* were isolated in seven independent
- rize culture masks. Mitochondria of *N. gruberi* were isolated in seven independent experiments, which were analyzed individually (see below). Each time $\sim 1 \times 10^9 N$.
- *gruberi* cells were resuspended in 2 mL of SM buffer (250 mM sucrose, 20 mM MOPS,
- pH 7.4) supplemented with DNase I (40 ug/mL) and Roche cOmplete™ EDTA-free
- 716 Protease Inhibitor Cocktail and homogenized by eight passages through a 33-gauge
- 717 hypodermic needle (Sigma Aldrich). The resulting cell homogenate was then
- cleaned of cellular debris using differential centrifugation and separated by a 2-hr
 centrifugation in a discontinuous density OptiPrep gradient (10%, 15%, 20%, 30%)
- 719 centifugation in a discontinuous density optifiep gradient (10%, 15%, 20%
 720 and 50%) as described previously⁶³. Three visually identifiable fractions
- corresponding to 10-15% (OPT-1015), 15-20% (OPT-1520) and 20-30% (OPT 2023) OptiPrep densities were collected (each in five biological replicates) and
- 723 washed with SM buffer.

724 Proteins extracted from these samples were then digested with trypsin and 725 peptides were separated by nanoflow liquid chromatography and analyzed by 726 tandem mass spectrometry (nLC-MS2) on a Thermo Orbitrap Fusion (q-OT-IT) 727 instrument as described elsewhere⁶⁴. The quantification of mass spectrometry data in the MaxQuant software⁶⁵ provided normalized intensity values for 4,198 728 729 proteins in all samples and all three fractions. These values were further processed 730 using the Perseus software⁶⁶. Data were filtered and only proteins with at least two 731 valid values in one fraction were kept. Imputation of missing values, which 732 represent low-abundance measurements, was performed with random distribution

around the value of instrument sensitivity using default settings of Perseus

734 software⁶⁶.

The data were analyzed by principle component analysis (PCA). The first twoloadings of the PCA were used to plot a two-dimensional graph. Based on a set of

marker proteins (376 mitochondrial and 26 peroxisomal, Supplementary Table 2),

738 clusters of proteins co-fractionating with mitochondria and peroxisomes were

- defined and the proteins within the clusters were further analyzed. This workflow
- $740 \qquad \text{was set up on the basis of the LOPIT protocol^{67}}. \ \text{As a result, out of the 4,198 proteins}$
- 741 detected, 946 putative mitochondrial and 78 putative peroxisomal proteins were
- 742 defined. All proteins were subjected to *in silico* predictions concerning their function
- 743 (BLAST, HHpred³³) and subcellular localization (Psort II,
- 744 <u>https://psort.hgc.jp/form2.html</u>; TargetP,
- 745 http://www.cbs.dtu.dk/services/TargetP/; MultiLoc2, https://abi.inf.uni-
- 746 tuebingen.de/Services/MultiLoc2). The mass spectrometry proteomics data have
- been deposited in the ProteomeXchange Consortium via the PRIDE⁶⁸ partner
- repository with the dataset identifier PXD007764.
- 749

750 Fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH)

The PCR products of the *Ng*GspE and *Ng*GspF genes were labelled by alkali-stable
digoxigenin-11-dUTP (Roche) using DecaLabel DNA Labeling Kit (Thermo

- 753 Scientific). Labelled probes were purified on columns of QIAquick Gel Extraction Kit
- (Qiagen, 28704) in a final volume of 50 μL. Labelling efficiencies were tested by dot
 blotting with anti-digoxigenin alkaline phosphatase conjugate and CSPD
- 756 chemiluminescence substrate for alkaline phosphatase from DIG High Prime DNA
- 757 Labeling and Detection Starter Kit II (Roche) according to the manufacturer's
- 758 protocol. FISH with digoxigenin-labelled probes was performed essentially
- according to the procedure described in Zubacova at al. (ref.⁶⁹) with some
- 760 modifications. *N. gruberi* cells were pelleted by centrifugation for 10 min at 2,000 x
- 761 *g* at 4°C. Cells were placed in hypotonic solution, fixed twice with a freshly prepared
- mixture of methanol and acetic acid (3:1) and dropped on superfrost microscope
- 763slides (ThermoScientific). Preparations for hybridizations were treated with RNase
- 764 A, 20 µg in 100 µL 2 x SSC, for 1 hr at 37°C, washed twice in 2 x SSC for 5 min,
- dehydrated in a methanol series and air-dried. Slides were treated with 50% acetic
- acid followed by pepsin treatment and postfixation with 2% paraformaldehyde.
 Endogenous peroxidase activity of the cell remnants (undesirable for tyramide)
- Endogenous peroxidase activity of the cell remnants (undesirable for tyramidesignal amplification) was inactivated by incubation in 1% hydrogen peroxide,
- followed by dehydration in a graded methanol series. All slides were denatured
- 770 together with 2 µL (25 ng) of the probe in 50 µL of hybridization mixture containing
- 771 50% deionised formamide (Sigma) in 2 x SSC for 5 min at 82°C. Hybridizations
- were carried out overnight. Slides were incubated with tyramide reagent for 7 min.
- 773 Preparations were counterstained with DAPI in VectaShield and observed under an
- Olympus IX81 microscope equipped with a Hamamatsu Orca-AG digital camera
 using the Cell^R imaging software.
- 775 776

Heterologous gene expression, preparation of antibodies, and immunodetection of Gsp proteins

- The selected Gsp genes from *G. okellyi* and *N. gruberi* were amplified from
- 780 commercially synthesized templates (Genscript) (for primers used for PCR

amplification of the coding sequences see Supplementary Table 7) and cloned into 781 the pUG35 vector. The constructs were introduced into S. cerevisiae strain YPH499 782 783 by lithium acetate/PEG method. The positive colonies grown on SD-URA plates were 784 incubated with MitoTracker Red CMXRos (Thermo Fisher Scientific) and observed 785 for GFP and MitoTracker fluorescence (using the same equipment as used for FISH, 786 see above). For bacterial protein expression, *N. gruberi* GspD, GspE, GspF and GspG 787 genes were amplified from commercially synthesized templates and cloned into 788 pET42b vector (for primers used for PCR amplification of the coding sequences, see Supplementary Table 6). The constructs were introduced into chemically-competent 789 790 E. coli strain BL21(DE3) and their expression induced by 1 mM IPTG. The 791 recombinant proteins were purified under denaturing conditions on Ni-NTA 792 agarose (Qiagen). The purified proteins were used for rat immunization in an in-793 house animal facility at Charles University.

794 The sera obtained were used for immunodetection of Gsp proteins in N. 795 gruberi cells. Briefly, cells were fixed for 5 min in methanol (-20°C) and 796 permeabilized for 5 min by acetone (-20°C). The slides were incubated in blocking 797 buffer (BB) (PBS supplemented by 0.25% BSA, 0.05% TWEEN® 20 and 0.25% 798 gelatin) for 1 hr at room temperature. The slides were incubated overnight at 4°C 799 with primary antibodies diluted in BB and washed three times in PBS for 10 min. 800 Slides were then incubated for 1 hr with an anti-rat antibody conjugated with Alexa488 (Thermo Fisher Scientific) diluted in. After washing three times for 10 min 801 802 in PBS, the slides were mounted in VectaShield DAPI solution and observed as above. 803 For mitochondrial labelling, the cells were incubated with MitoTracker Red CMXRos 804 for 30 min before fixation.

805

806 In vitro protein translation

807 The GoGspD gene was amplified from the commercially synthesized template (for 808 primers used for PCR amplification of the coding sequences, see Supplementary 809 Table 6) and cloned into pDHFR vector provided in the PURExpress *In Vitro* Protein Synthesis Kit (NEB). The 25 µl translation reaction contained 10 µL of solution A. 7.5 810 811 μL of solution B, 250 ng of pDHFR plasmid carrying *Go*GspD gene, 1 μL of an RNase 812 inhibitor (RNAsin, Promega), radioactively labelled ³⁵S-methionine, and 50 µg of 813 lecithin liposomes. The liposomes were prepared from a stock solution of sovbean 814 L- α -lecithin in chloroform by evaporating the chloroform under a nitrogen flow, 815 resuspending the lipid film in dH_20 , and subsequent sonication in a waterbath 816 sonicator. The translation reaction was incubated for 2 hr at 37°C and then 817 centrifuged for 45 min at 13,000 x g. The pellet was resuspended in 50 mM sodium 818 phosphate buffer (pH = 8) with 2 M urea, centrifuged, and then washed in clear 50 819 mM sodium phosphate buffer. The output was analyzed by Blue Native PAGE using 820 2% digitonin and NativePAGE Novex 4-16% Bis-Tris Protein Gel (Thermo Fisher Scientific).

821 S 822

823 **Testing protein interactions using two-hybrid systems**

- Bacterial two-hybrid system (B2H) analysis was performed as described in ref.⁷⁰.
- 825 Gsp genes were amplified for commercially synthesized DNA and cloned into pKT25
- and pUT18c plasmids. *E. coli* strain DHT1 competent cells were co-transformed with

827 two plasmids with different combinations of Gsp genes. Co-transformants were 828 selected on LB plates with ampicillin (100 μ g/mL) and kanamycin (25 μ g/mL). 829 Colonies were grown at 30°C for 48 to 96 hr. From each plate three colonies were 830 picked, transferred to 1 mL of LB medium with ampicillin and kanamycin, and 831 grown overnight at 30°C with shaking. Next day precultures (0.25 mL) were 832 inoculated to 5 mL of LB medium with ampicillin, kanamycin and 1 mM IPTG. 833 Cultures were grown with shaking at 30° C to OD_{600} of about 1-1.5. Bacteria (0.5 mL) 834 were mixed with 0.5 mL of Z buffer and subjected to the β -galactosidase assay⁷¹. 835 The yeast two-hybrid system (Y2H) was employed as described in ref.⁷². Cells 836 of *S. cerevisiae* strain AH109 were co-transformed with two plasmids (pGADT7, pGBKT7) with different combinations of Gsp genes. Co-transformants were selected 837 838 on double-dropout SD-Leu/-Trp and triple-dropout SD-Leu/-Trp/-His plates. The 839 colonies were grown for a few days. Positive colonies from the triple dropout were 840 grown overnight at 30°C with shaking and then the serial dilution test was 841 performed on double- and triple-dropout plates. 842 843 Data availability 844 All newly reported sequences of Gsp and Gcp proteins are provided in 845 Supplementary Table 1 and were deposited at GenBank with accession numbers ######. Other relevant data (e.g. multiple sequence alignments used for 846 847 phylogenetic analyses) are available from the authors upon request. 848 849 References 850 851 Roger, A. J., Muñoz-Gómez, S. A. & Kamikawa, R. The origin and diversification 1. 852 of mitochondria. Curr. Biol. 27, R1177-R1192 (2017). 853 Martijn, J., Vosseberg, J., Guy, L., Offre, P. & Ettema, T. J. G. Deep mitochondrial 2. 854 origin outside the sampled alphaproteobacteria. *Nature* **557**, 101–105 (2018). 855 Leger, M. M. et al. An ancestral bacterial division system is widespread in 3. 856 eukaryotic mitochondria. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 112, 10239-46 (2015). 857 4. Beech, P. L. Mitochondrial FtsZ in a chromophyte alga. *Science (80-.).* 287, 858 1276-1279 (2000). 859 Natale, P., Brüser, T. & Driessen, A. J. M. Sec- and Tat-mediated protein 5. 860 secretion across the bacterial cytoplasmic membrane—Distinct translocases 861 and mechanisms. Biochim. Biophys. Acta - Biomembr. 1778, 1735–1756 862 (2008). 6. 863 Costa, T. R. D. et al. Secretion systems in Gram-negative bacteria: structural 864 and mechanistic insights. Nat. Rev. Microbiol. 13, 343–359 (2015). 865 Dolezal, P., Likic, V., Tachezy, J. & Lithgow, T. Evolution of the molecular 7. 866 machines for protein import into mitochondria. *Science* **313**, 314–8 (2006). 867 8. Palmer, T. & Berks, B. C. The twin-arginine translocation (Tat) protein export 868 pathway. Nat. Rev. Microbiol. 10, 483–96 (2012). 869 9. Lang, B. F. et al. An ancestral mitochondrial DNA resembling a eubacterial 870 genome in miniature. *Nature* **387**, 493–7 (1997). 871 Burger, G., Gray, M. W., Forget, L. & Lang, B. F. Strikingly bacteria-like and 10. 872 gene-rich mitochondrial genomes throughout jakobid protists. Genome Biol.

873		<i>Evol.</i> 5 , 418–38 (2013).
874	11.	Tong, J. <i>et al.</i> Ancestral and derived protein import pathways in the
875		mitochondrion of <i>Reclinomonas america</i> . <i>Mol. Biol. Evol.</i> 28 , 1581–91 (2011).
876	12.	Korotkov, K. V., Sandkvist, M. & Hol, W. G. J. The type II secretion system:
877		biogenesis, molecular architecture and mechanism. <i>Nat. Rev. Microbiol.</i> 10 ,
878		336–51 (2012).
879	13.	Thomassin, JL., Santos Moreno, J., Guilvout, I., Tran Van Nhieu, G. & Francetic,
880		O. The trans-envelope architecture and function of the type 2 secretion
881		system: new insights raising new questions. <i>Mol. Microbiol.</i> 105, 211–226
882		(2017).
883	14.	Berry, JL. & Pelicic, V. Exceptionally widespread nanomachines composed of
884		type IV pilins: the prokaryotic Swiss Army knives. FEMS Microbiol. Rev. 39,
885		134–54 (2015).
886	15.	Nivaskumar, M. & Francetic, O. Type II secretion system: a magic beanstalk or
887		a protein escalator. <i>Biochim. Biophys. Acta</i> 1843, 1568–77 (2014).
888	16.	Peabody, C. R. <i>et al.</i> Type II protein secretion and its relationship to bacterial
889		type IV pili and archaeal flagella. <i>Microbiology</i> 149, 3051–72 (2003).
890	17.	d'Enfert, C., Reyss, I., Wandersman, C. & Pugsley, A. P. Protein secretion by
891		gram-negative bacteria. Characterization of two membrane proteins required
892		for pullulanase secretion by <i>Escherichia coli</i> K-12. J. Biol. Chem. 264 , 17462–8
893		(1989).
894	18.	Wang, X. <i>et al.</i> Cysteine scanning mutagenesis and disulfide mapping analysis
895		of arrangement of GspC and GspD protomers within the type 2 secretion
896		system. J. Biol. Chem. 287, 19082–93 (2012).
897	19.	Abby, S. S. et al. Identification of protein secretion systems in bacterial
898		genomes. <i>Sci. Rep.</i> 6, 23080 (2016).
899	20.	López-Castilla, A. et al. Structure of the calcium-dependent type 2 secretion
900		pseudopilus. <i>Nat. Microbiol.</i> 2, 1686–1695 (2017).
901	21.	Adl, S. M. et al. The revised classification of eukaryotes. J. Eukaryot. Microbiol.
902		59, 429–514 (2012).
903	22.	Derelle, R. et al. Bacterial proteins pinpoint a single eukaryotic root. Proc. Natl.
904		Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 112, E693-9 (2015).
905	23.	Karnkowska, A. et al. A eukaryote without a mitochondrial organelle. Curr.
906		<i>Biol.</i> 26, 1274–84 (2016).
907	24.	Heiss, A. A. et al. Combined morphological and phylogenomic re-examination
908		of malawimonads, a critical taxon for inferring the evolutionary history of
909		eukaryotes. <i>R. Soc. Open Sci.</i> 5, 171707 (2018).
910	25.	Brown, M. W. et al. Phylogenomics places orphan protistan lineages in a novel
911		eukaryotic super-group. <i>Genome Biol. Evol.</i> 10, 427–433 (2018).
912	26.	Nouwen, N. et al. Secretin PulD: Association with pilot PulS, structure, and
913		ion-conducting channel formation. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 96, 8173–8177
914		(1999).
915	27.	Hardie, K. R., Lory, S. & Pugsley, A. P. Insertion of an outer membrane protein
916		in <i>Escherichia coli</i> requires a chaperone-like protein. <i>EMBO J.</i> 15, 978–88
917		(1996).
918	28.	Korotkov, K. V, Pardon, E., Steyaert, J. & Hol, W. G. J. Crystal structure of the N-

919		terminal domain of the secretin GspD from ETEC determined with the
920		assistance of a nanobody. <i>Structure</i> 17 , 255–265 (2009).
921	29.	Yin, M., Yan, Z. & Li, X. Structural insight into the assembly of the Type II
922		secretion system pilotin-Secretin complex from enterotoxigenic <i>Escherichia</i>
923		coli. Nat Microbiol (2018). doi:10.2210/PDB5ZDH/PDB
924	30.	Guilvout, I. et al. In vitro multimerization and membrane insertion of bacterial
925		outer membrane secretin PulD. J. Mol. Biol. 382 , 13–23 (2008).
926	31.	Nivaskumar, M. <i>et al.</i> Pseudopilin residue E5 is essential for recruitment by
927		the type 2 secretion system assembly platform. <i>Mol. Microbiol.</i> 101 , 924–41
928		(2016).
929	32.	Korotkov, K. V. <i>et al.</i> Structural and functional studies on the interaction of
930		GspC and GspD in the type II secretion system. <i>PLoS Pathog.</i> 7, e1002228
931		(2011).
932	33.	Alva, V., Nam, SZ., Söding, J. & Lupas, A. N. The MPI bioinformatics Toolkit as
933		an integrative platform for advanced protein sequence and structure analysis.
934		Nucleic Acids Res. 44, W410–W415 (2016).
935	34.	Kelley, L. A., Mezulis, S., Yates, C. M., Wass, M. N. & Sternberg, M. J. E. The
936		Phyre2 web portal for protein modeling, prediction and analysis. <i>Nat. Protoc.</i>
937		10 , 845–858 (2015).
938	35.	Yan, Z., Yin, M., Xu, D., Zhu, Y. & Li, X. Structural insights into the secretin
939		translocation channel in the type II secretion system. <i>Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol.</i> 24,
940		177–183 (2017).
941	36.	Nguyen, B. D. & Valdivia, R. H. Virulence determinants in the obligate
942		intracellular pathogen Chlamydia trachomatis revealed by forward genetic
943		approaches. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 109, 1263–1268 (2012).
944	37.	Tabach, Y. et al. Identification of small RNA pathway genes using patterns of
945		phylogenetic conservation and divergence. <i>Nature</i> 493 , 694–698 (2012).
946	38.	Nevers, Y. et al. Insights into ciliary genes and evolution from multi-level
947		phylogenetic profiling. <i>Mol. Biol. Evol.</i> 34, 2016–2034 (2017).
948	39.	Okuno, D., Iino, R. & Noji, H. Rotation and structure of FoF1-ATP synthase. J.
949		<i>Biochem.</i> 149, 655–664 (2011).
950	40.	Tomko, R. J. & Hochstrasser, M. Molecular architecture and assembly of the
951		eukaryotic proteasome. <i>Annu. Rev. Biochem.</i> 82, 415–445 (2013).
952	41.	Babbitt, S. E., Sutherland, M. C., San Francisco, B., Mendez, D. L. & Kranz, R. G.
953		Mitochondrial cytochrome c biogenesis: no longer an enigma. Trends Biochem.
954		<i>Sci.</i> 40, 446–55 (2015).
955	42.	Hartl, F. U., Schmidt, B., Wachter, E., Weiss, H. & Neupert, W. Transport into
956		mitochondria and intramitochondrial sorting of the Fe/S protein of ubiquinol-
957		cytochrome c reductase. <i>Cell</i> 47, 939–51 (1986).
958	43.	Francisco, T. et al. Protein transport into peroxisomes: Knowns and
959		unknowns. <i>BioEssays</i> 39, 1700047 (2017).
960	44.	Keeling, P. J. <i>et al.</i> The marine microbial eukaryote transcriptome sequencing
961		project (MMETSP): illuminating the functional diversity of eukaryotic life in
962		the oceans through transcriptome sequencing. <i>PLoS Biol.</i> 12 , e1001889
963		(2014).
964	45.	Matasci, N. <i>et al.</i> Data access for the 1,000 Plants (1KP) project. <i>Gigascience</i> 3 ,

965		17 (2014).
966	46.	Altschul, S. F. et al. Gapped BLAST and PSI-BLAST: a new generation of protein
967		database search programs. <i>Nucleic Acids Res.</i> 25, 3389–402 (1997).
968	47.	Finn, R. D., Clements, J. & Eddy, S. R. HMMER web server: interactive sequence
969		similarity searching. Nucleic Acids Res. 39, W29-37 (2011).
970	48.	Huerta-Cepas, J., Dopazo, H., Dopazo, J. & Gabaldón, T. The human phylome.
971		Genome Biol. 8, R109 (2007).
972	49.	Fukasawa, Y. et al. MitoFates: improved prediction of mitochondrial targeting
973		sequences and their cleavage sites. <i>Mol. Cell. Proteomics</i> 14 , 1113–26 (2015).
974	50.	Neuberger, G., Maurer-Stroh, S., Eisenhaber, B., Hartig, A. & Eisenhaber, F.
975		Prediction of peroxisomal targeting signal 1 containing proteins from amino
976		acid sequence. <i>J. Mol. Biol.</i> 328, 581–92 (2003).
977	51.	Käll, L., Krogh, A. & Sonnhammer, E. L. L. Advantages of combined
978		transmembrane topology and signal peptide predictionthe Phobius web
979		server. Nucleic Acids Res. 35, W429-32 (2007).
980	52.	Finn, R. D. <i>et al.</i> The Pfam protein families database: towards a more
981		sustainable future. <i>Nucleic Acids Res.</i> 44, D279-85 (2016).
982	53.	de Lima Morais, D. A. <i>et al.</i> SUPERFAMILY 1.75 including a domain-centric
983		gene ontology method. <i>Nucleic Acids Res.</i> 39 , D427-34 (2011).
984	54.	Frickey, T. & Lupas, A. CLANS: a Java application for visualizing protein
985		families based on pairwise similarity. <i>Bioinformatics</i> 20 , 3702–3704 (2004).
986	55.	Edgar, R. C. MUSCLE: multiple sequence alignment with high accuracy and
987	Fr	high throughput. Nucleic Acids Res. 32, 1792–7 (2004).
988	56.	Katoh, K. & Standley, D. M. MAFFT multiple sequence alignment software
989		version 7: improvements in performance and usability. <i>Mol. Biol. Evol.</i> 30 ,
990	- -	//2 - /80 (2013).
991	57.	Criscuolo, A. & Gribaldo, S. BMGE (Block Mapping and Gathering with
992		Entropy): a new software for selection of phylogenetic informative regions
993	F 0	From multiple sequence alignments. BMC EVOL BIOL 10, 210 (2010).
994 005	58.	Nguyen, LT., Schmult, H. A., Von Haeseler, A. & Minn, B. Q. IQ-I REE: a last and
995		Mol. Diol. Evol. 22, 269, 74 (2015)
990 007	50	Mul. Divi. EVVI. 32, 200–74 (2015). Lartillat N. & Philippa H. A Bayasian mixtura model for across site
997	59.	heterogeneities in the amino-acid replacement process. Mol. Biol. Evol. 21
999		1095_109 (2004)
1000	60	Bienert S <i>et al</i> The SWISS-MODEL Repository-new features and functionality
1000	00.	Nucleic Acids Res 45 D313–D319 (2017)
1001	61	Guex N Peitsch M C & Schwede T Automated comparative protein
1002	01.	structure modeling with SWISS-MODEL and Swiss-PdbViewer: A historical
1004		perspective. <i>Electrophoresis</i> 30 , S162–S173 (2009).
1005	62.	Biasini, M. <i>et al.</i> SWISS-MODEL: modelling protein tertiary and quaternary
1006		structure using evolutionary information. <i>Nucleic Acids Res.</i> 42 , W252-8
1007		(2014).
1008	63.	Jedelský, P. L. <i>et al.</i> The minimal proteome in the reduced mitochondrion of
1009		the parasitic protist <i>Giardia intestinalis</i> . <i>PLoS One</i> 6 , e17285 (2011).
1010	64.	Černá, M., Kuntová, B., Talacko, P., Stopková, R. & Stopka, P. Differential

1011		regulation of vaginal lipocalins (OBP, MUP) during the estrous cycle of the
1012		house mouse. <i>Sci. Rep.</i> 7, 11674 (2017).
1013	65.	Cox, J. et al. Accurate proteome-wide label-free quantification by delayed
1014		normalization and maximal peptide ratio extraction, termed MaxLFQ. Mol. Cell.
1015		Proteomics 13 , 2513–2526 (2014).
1016	66.	Tyanova, S. et al. The Perseus computational platform for comprehensive
1017		analysis of (prote)omics data. <i>Nat. Methods</i> 13, 731–740 (2016).
1018	67.	Dunkley, T. P. J., Watson, R., Griffin, J. L., Dupree, P. & Lilley, K. S. Localization
1019		of organelle proteins by isotope tagging (LOPIT). <i>Mol. Cell. Proteomics</i> 3 ,
1020		1128–1134 (2004).
1021	68.	Vizcaíno, J. A. <i>et al.</i> 2016 update of the PRIDE database and its related tools.
1022		Nucleic Acids Res. 44, 11033–11033 (2016).
1023	69.	Zubáčová, Z., Krylov, V. & Tachezy, J. Fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH)
1024		mapping of single copy genes on Trichomonas vaginalis chromosomes. Mol.
1025		Biochem. Parasitol. 176, 135–137 (2011).
1026	70.	Battesti, A. & Bouveret, E. The bacterial two-hybrid system based on
1027		adenylate cyclase reconstitution in <i>Escherichia coli</i> . <i>Methods</i> 58 , 325–334
1028		(2012).
1029	71.	Miller, J. H. Experiments in molecular genetics. (Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory,
1030		1972).
1031	72.	Fields, S. & Song, O. A novel genetic system to detect protein-protein
1032		interactions. <i>Nature</i> 340, 245–246 (1989).
1033		
1034		
1035	Ackn	owledgements
1036	We w	ould like to thank Michelle Leger and Alastair Simpson for granting us an

1037 access, prior to publication, to their transcriptomic data from A. godoyi and G. okellyi, 1038 respectively, which were instrumental in annotating the Gsp and Gcp genes in our 1039 genome assemblies. This work was supported by Czech Science Foundation grants 1040 13-29423S to PD and 18-18699S to ME and the KONTAKT II grant LH15253 1041 provided by Ministry of Education, Youth and Sports of CR (MEYS) to PD. This work 1042 was also supported by MEYS within the National Sustainability Program II (Project BIOCEV-FAR, LQ1604) the project BIOCEV (CZ.1.05/1.1.00/02.0109), and the 1043 1044 project "Centre for research of pathogenicity and virulence of parasites" (No. 1045 CZ.02.1.01/0.0/0.0/16_019/0000759) funded by European Regional Development Fund (ERDF) and MEYS. The work in OF laboratory was funded by the ANR-14-1046 CE09-0004 grant. JP was supported by a grant from the Gordon and Betty Moore 1047 1048 Foundation to ADT. This work was supported by The Ministry of Education, Youth and Sports from the Large Infrastructures for Research, Experimental Development 1049 1050 and Innovations project "IT4Innovations National Supercomputing Center – 1051 LM2015070". 1052 1053

1054 **Author information**

1055

1056 Affiliations

1057	Department of Parasitology, Faculty of Science, Charles University, BIOCEV,
1058	Průmyslová 595, Vestec, 252 42, Czech Republic
1059	L. Horváthová, V. Zárský, A. Krupičková, V. Klápšťová, M. Petrů, Z. Valtová & P.
1060	Doležal
1061	
1062	Department of Biology and Ecology, Faculty of Science, University of Ostrava,
1063	Chittussiho 10, 710 00 Ostrava, Czech Republic
1064	T. Pánek, V. Klimeš & M. Eliáš
1065	
1066	School of Biosciences, University of Birmingham, Edgbaston, B15 2TT, UK
1067	R. Derelle
1068	
1069	Laboratory of Molecular & Evolutionary Parasitology, RAPID group, School of
1070	Biosciences, University of Kent, Canterbury, CT2 7NZ, UK
1071	J. Pyrih & A. D. Tsaousis
1072	
1073	Department of Zoology, Faculty of Science, Charles University, Viničná 7, Prague 2, 128
1074	44, Czech Republic
1075	I. Čepička
1076	1
1077	Proteomic core facility. Faculty of Science. Charles University . BIOCEV. Průmvslová
1078	595. Vestec. 252 42. Czech Republic
1079	K. Harant
1080	
1081	Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Bioloay and Centre for Comparative
1082	Genomics and Evolutionary Bioinformatics. Dalhousie University. Halifax. NS B3H 4R2.
1083	Canada
1084	M W Grav
1085	in the drug
1086	Institut Pasteur, Biochemistry of Macromolecular Interactions Unit, Department of
1087	Structural Riology and Chemistry CNRS IIMR3528 75015 Paris France
1088	I Guilvout & O Francetic
1089	
1007	Robert Cederaren Centre for Bioinformatics and Genomics Département de Biochimie
1090	Iniversité de Montréal Montreal OC Canada H3T 114
1091	B F Long
1002	D. T. Lang
1095	Institute of Molecular Constics, Czech Academy of Sciences, 142,20 Prague A. Czech
1094	Dopublic
1095	Č Měch
1090	C. VICER
1097	Author contributions
1090 1000	Author contributions I. II. planned and convised out the compariments U^{\star} conceived the evidence of the second secon
1099	L. n. planned and carried out the experiments, V.Z. conceived the original idea and
1100	carried out the pioinformatics analyses, I.P. carried out the genome and
1101	Dioinformatic analyses, K.D. designed and carried out comparative genomic analyses,
1102	J.P. planned and carried out the experiments on <i>N. gruberi</i> mitochondrial proteome

1103	and analysed the data, A.M. carried out the experiments, Ve.K. carried out the
1104	experiments, Vl.K. participated in genome sequencing and analysis, M.P. planned
1105	carried out the experiments, I.Č. participated in genome data acquisition, Z.V.
1106	carried out the experiments, K.H. analysed the proteome of <i>N. gruberi</i> mitochondria,
1107	M.W.G. contributed to the interpretation of the results and manuscript preparation,
1108	I.G. designed and planned the experiments. O.F. designed, planned and carried out
1109	the experiments and analyzed the data, B.F.L. provided the genome data and
1110	analyses and contributed to manuscript preparation. Č.V. participated in genome
1111	data acquisition. A.D.T. designed and planned the experiments. M.E. conceived the
1112	idea, performed genomic analyses and wrote the manuscript, P.D. conceived the
1113	idea, designed and performed experiments and wrote the manuscript.
1114	
1115	
1116	Competing interests
1117	None declared.
1118	
1119	Corresponding author
1120	Correspondence to M. Eliáš or P. Doležal.
1121	•
1122	
1123	
1124	
1125	Figure Legends
1126	Fig. 1 Some eukaryotes harbour homologues of core components of the bacterial
1127	T2SS machinery. (A) Schematic representation of the complete bacterial T2SS;
1128	subunits having identified eukaryotic homologues are highlighted in colour. (B)
1129	Phylogenetic distribution of eukaryotic homologues of bacterial T2SS subunits (Gsp
1130	proteins) and co-occurring proteins (Gcp). Core T2SS components (cyan),
1131	eukaryote-specific T2SS components (dark blue), Gcp proteins carrying protein
1132	domains found in eukaryotes (magenta), and Gcp proteins without discernible
1133	homologues or with homologues only in prokaryotes (orange). Coloured sections
1134	indicate proteins found to be present in genome or transcriptome data; white
1135	sections, proteins absent from complete genome data; grey sections, proteins absent
1136	from transcriptome data. The asterisk indicates the presence of the particular
1137	protein in at least two of three species of <i>Percolomonas</i> analyzed. The two species
1138	names in parentheses have not been yet been formally published. Sequence IDs and
1139	additional details on the eukaryotic Gsp and Gcp proteins are provided in
1140	Supplementary Table 1. (C) Maximum likelihood (ML) phylogenetic tree of
1141	eukaryotic and selected bacterial GspF proteins demonstrating the monophyletic
1142	origin of the eukaryotic GspF proteins and their separation from bacterial
1143	homologues by a long branch (the tree inferred using IQ-TREE). Branch support
1144	(bootstrap / posterior probability values) was assessed by ML ultrafast
1145	bootstrapping and is shown only for branches where > 50.
1146	
1147	Fig. 2 Eukaryotic T2SS components are localized in mitochondria. (A) N. gruberi
1148	cells labelled with specific polyclonal antibodies raised against GspD, GspF and

- 1149 GspG1, and co-stained with MitoTracker red CMX ROS show mitochondrial
- 1150 localization of the proteins; scale bar, 10 µm. (B) *S. cerevisiae* expressing *G. okellyi*
- 1151 T2SS components as C-terminal GFP fusions co-stained with MitoTracker red CMX1152 ROS: scale bar. 10 µm.
- 1152

Fig. 3 Analysis of the *N. gruberi* mitochondrial proteome. PCA analysis of 4198
proteins identified in the proteomic analysis of *N. gruberi* mitochondria. The cluster
of mitochondrial proteins was defined on the basis of 376 mitochondrial markers.
The boundaries of the cluster of co-purified peroxisomal proteins were defined by
26 peroxisomal markers.

1159

Fig. 4. Mitochondrial GspD oligomerizes towards the formation of membrane pores. 1160 (A) Domain architecture of the canonical bacterial GspD protein and eukaryotic 1161 1162 proteins homologous to its different parts. (B) Structural model of GoGspD built by ProMod3 on the Vibrio cholerae GspD template. Top and side view of a cartoon and a 1163 1164 transparent surface representation of the GoGspD pentadecamer model is shown in 1165 blue. The amphipathic helical loop (AHL), the signature of the secretin family, is highlighted and coloured according to the secondary structure with strands in 1166 1167 magenta, helices in cvan and loops in light brown. The C-terminal GpsD residues are highlighted as spheres. The detailed view of the AHL region shows the essential 1168 residues V162 and F166 pointing towards the membrane surface. (C) Expression of 1169 1170 the mitochondrial GoGspD quickly induces cell death in bacteria. (D) Y2H assay 1171 shows the self-interaction of the mitochondrial *Go*GspD. (E) *In vitro* translation and 1172 assembly of mitochondrial GoGspD into a high-molecular-weight complex; lipo liposomes added, urea – extraction by 2M urea. (F) Y2H assay suggests the 1173 1174 interaction of *Na*GspDN1 with itself and with *Na*GspD.

1175

1176 Fig. 5 Structure, maturation, and interactions of the mitochondrial GspG. (A) 1177 Domain architecture of the bacterial and the mitochondrial pseudopilin GspG. The 1178 arrow indicates the processing site of the bacterial GspG during protein maturation. 1179 MTS – mitochondria targeting sequence, + – polar anchor, TMD – transmembrane 1180 domain. (B) Positive interactions between the mitochondrial GspG protein and other T2SS subunits were determined by the B2H assays. (C) Peptides specific to NgGspG1 1181 retrieved from the proteomic analysis of *N. gruberi* mitochondria. The arrow 1182 1183 indicates the position of the processing site of bacterial GspG proteins. (D)

- 1184 Immunodetection of *Ng*GspG1 in *N. gruberi* cellular fractions. The arrow marks the 1185 *Ng*GspG1-specific band.
- 1186

Fig. 6 Proteins with the same phylogenetic profile as the originally identified 1187 mitochondrial Gsp homologues. (A) Schematic domain representation of 23 proteins 1188 1189 occurring in heteroloboseans, jakobids and malawimonads with the core T2SS 1190 subunits but not in other eukarvotes analyzed. Proteins with a functional link to the 1191 T2SS suggested by sequence homology are shown in royal blue, proteins 1192 representing novel paralogues within broader (super)families are shown in red, and 1193 proteins without discernible homologues or with homologues only in prokaryotes 1194 are shown in yellow. The presence of conserved protein domains or characteristic

structural motifs is shown if detected in the given protein. Grey block - predicted 1195 1196 transmembrane domain (see also Supplementary Fig. 10); "C H C H" – the presence of absolutely conserved cysteine and histidine residues (see also Supplementary Fig. 1197 1198 11) that may mediate binding of a prosthetic group. The length of the rectangles 1199 corresponds to the relative size of the proteins. (B) Evolutionary relationships among Gcp1 to Gcp3 proteins and other members of the WD40 superfamily. The 1200 1201 schematic phylogenetic tree was drawn on the basis of a ML phylogenetic tree 1202 available as Supplementary Fig. 8. 1203 1204 Fig. 7 A hypothetical novel eukaryotic functional pathway including a mitochondrial version of the T2SS (miT2SS) and connecting the mitochondrion with the 1205 peroxisome. A nucleus-encoded protein (magenta) is imported via the TOM complex 1206 1207 into the mitochondrial inner membrane space, where it is modified by addition of a 1208 specific prosthetic group catalysed by certain Gcp proteins. After folding it becomes a substrate of the miT2SS machinery and is exported from the mitochondrion. 1209 1210 Finally it is imported into the peroxisome by the action of a dedicated import system

- 1211 including other Gcp proteins. OMM outer mitochondrial membrane, IMS –
- 1212 intermembrane space, IMM inner mitochondrial membrane, MM mitochondrial
- 1213 matrix.
- 1214



A B bioRxiv preprint first posted online Oct. 2, 2019; doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1101/790865. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not peer-reviewed) is the author/funder, who has granted bioRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.







Figure 3

В



A bioRxiv preprint first posted online Oct. 2, 2019; doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1101/790865. The was not peer-reviewed) is the author/funder, who has granted bioRxiv a license to o It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International bacteria +TMD pseudopilin mitochondria MTS +TMD pseudopilin	B-galactosidase (Milleratorial le	700 hole 500 400 300 200 100
MMHNSKTQPFSMMGHTPLSSERRKYRRMEQIIKHLIPFATIKSSSSIEENNYCTTSVNNNRKCENNNQMM 70		(
$\verb"EILQFLIRHILVTKRNNKDSINQLNIILQKYIQQTNGDFKKYLPIGIIAGLICANKYNQNNSTISNRFNI140$		
VSNALLDLAK VKNIFSNSAGILKDNNIAVTIHH <mark>I</mark> SMIEILIAISIIVTFSGLTGAVLAQVYEESRVSNALLDLAKLQEGL 210	D	GO
YPLSLEDLLEGGELNK YPLSLEDLLEGGELNKVPK ILLTTTSNSGNNSTSQQQLTY HGKYPLSLEDLLEGGELNKVPK DPWGTDYLYVPHLDWNR ILLTTTSNSGNNSTSQQQLTY VLYFTRHGKYPLSLEDLLEGGELNKVPKDPWGTDYLYVPHLDWNRLNRILLTTTSNSGNNSTSQQQLTY 280	kDa 35	-
FNEVLK IDVDVSR FNEVLKR FPSKIDVDVSR FNEVLKRMETVLITLPGGVTPMSLLAIANEQPFCICVGTKIPRFPSKIDVDVSRERIRYVANLMKMSMER 350	25	1
IKNILNVTR SSVAGSVQSNNQQGVTSIMNQITELNAR SSVAGSVQSNNQQGVTSIMNQITELNARIKNILNVTRQASGGGE 394	15	



