## Louisiana Tech University Louisiana Tech Digital Commons

**Doctoral Dissertations** 

Graduate School

Summer 2011

## A characterization of Ramsey graphs for R(3,4)

Nicholas M. Richardson Louisiana Tech University

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.latech.edu/dissertations Part of the <u>Mathematics Commons</u>

**Recommended** Citation

Richardson, Nicholas M., "" (2011). *Dissertation*. 395. https://digitalcommons.latech.edu/dissertations/395

This Dissertation is brought to you for free and open access by the Graduate School at Louisiana Tech Digital Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in Doctoral Dissertations by an authorized administrator of Louisiana Tech Digital Commons. For more information, please contact digitalcommons@latech.edu.

## A CHARACTERIZATION OF RAMSEY GRAPHS FOR R(3,4)

by

Nicholas M. Richardson, B.S.

A Dissertation Presented in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Degree Doctor of Philosophy

### COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING AND SCIENCE LOUISIANA TECH UNIVERSITY

August 2011

UMI Number: 3484619

All rights reserved

INFORMATION TO ALL USERS The quality of this reproduction is dependent upon the quality of the copy submitted.

In the unlikely event that the author did not send a complete manuscript and there are missing pages, these will be noted. Also, if material had to be removed, a note will indicate the deletion.



UMI 3484619 Copyright 2011 by ProQuest LLC. All rights reserved. This edition of the work is protected against unauthorized copying under Title 17, United States Code.



ProQuest LLC 789 East Eisenhower Parkway P.O. Box 1346 Ann Arbor, MI 48106-1346

#### LOUISIANA TECH UNIVERSITY

#### THE GRADUATE SCHOOL

04/29/2011

Date We hereby recommend that the dissertation prepared under supervision our Nicholas M. Richardson entitled A Characterization Of Ramsey Graphs For R(3,4) fulfillment accepted partial of be in the requirements for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy in Computational Analysis and Modeling Supervisor of Dissertation Research Head of Department Department Recommendation concurred in: mo Advisory Committee **Approved:** Approved: ndkva

Dean of the Graduate School

**Director of Graduate Studies** 

Dean of the College

GS Form 13a (6/07)

#### ABSTRACT

The Ramsey number  $R(\omega, \alpha)$  is the minimum number *n* such that every graph *G* with  $|V(G)| \ge n$  has an induced subgraph that is isomorphic to a complete graph on  $\omega$  vertices,  $K_{\omega}$ , or has an independent set of size  $\alpha$ ,  $N_{\alpha}$ . Graphs having fewer than *n* vertices that have no induced subgraph isomorphic to  $K_{\omega}$  or  $N_{\alpha}$  form a class of Ramsey graphs, denoted  $\mathscr{R}(\omega, \alpha)$ . This dissertation establishes common structure among several classes of Ramsey graphs and establishes the complete list of  $\mathscr{R}(3, 4)$ .

The process used to find the complete list for  $\mathscr{R}(3,4)$  can be extended to find other Ramsey numbers and Ramsey graphs. The technique for finding a complete list for  $\mathscr{R}(\omega, \alpha)$ is inductive on *n* vertices in that a complete list of all graphs in  $\mathscr{R}(\omega, \alpha)$  having exactly *n* vertices can be used to find the complete list n + 1 vertices. This process can be repeated until any extension is not in  $\mathscr{R}(\omega, \alpha)$ , and thus  $R(\omega, \alpha)$  has been determined. We conclude by showing how to extend methods presented in proving R(3,4) in finding R(5,5).

#### APPROVAL FOR SCHOLARLY DISSEMINATION

The author grants to the Prescott Memorial Library of Louisiana Tech University the right to reproduce, by appropriate methods, upon request, any or all portions of this Thesis. It is understood that "proper request" consists of the agreement, on the part of the requesting party, that said reproduction is for his personal use and that subsequent reproduction will not occur without written approval of the author of this Thesis. Further, any portions of the Thesis used in books, papers, and other works must be appropriately referenced to this Thesis.

Finally, the author of this Thesis reserves the right to publish freely, in the literature, at any time, any or all portions of this Thesis.

Author Michaelos M. Auchoridaen Date August 10, 2011

GS Form 14 (5/03)

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

ABSTRACT												
LIST OF TABLES												
LIST OF FIGURES												
LIST OF ALGORITHMS												
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS												
CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION												
1.1 Introduction to Graphs												
1.2 Trees and Subgraphs												
1.3 Cliques and Independent Sets												
1.4 Ramsey Graphs												
1.5 Dissertation Overview												
CHAPTER 2 OBSERVATIONS OF RAMSEY GRAPHS												
2.1 Introduction												
2.2 Parallel Algorithms												
2.3 Tables for Ramsey Graphs												
2.4 Analyzing Ramsey Graphs												
CHAPTER 3 HAMILTON PATHS IN GRAPHS IN $\mathscr{R}(3,4)$												
3.1 Overview												
3.2 Disconnected Graphs for $\mathscr{R}(3,4)$												
3.3 Trees with $\Delta(T) \leq 3$												
3.4 Trees in $\mathscr{R}(3,4)$												
CHAPTER 4 COMPLETING $\mathscr{R}(3,4)$												

4.1 Introduction
4.2 Completeness Of $\mathscr{R}(3,4)$
CHAPTER 5 OTHER RAMSEY NUMBERS
5.1 Some Known Results
5.2 Forced Subgraphs for $R(5,5)$
APPENDIX A: ALGORITHMS FOR RAMSEY GRAPHS
APPENDIX B: ALL TREES WITH $\Delta(T) \leq 3$ AND $ V(G)  \leq 9$
APPENDIX C: TABLES OF RAMSEY GRAPHS
APPENDIX D: RAMSEY GRAPHS FOR $\mathscr{R}(3,4)$
REFERENCES

## LIST OF TABLES

Table 1.1	Table of known Ramsey numbers[13]	5
Table 2.1	Distribution of $\mathscr{R}(3,3)$ graphs	15
Table 2.2	Distribution of $\mathscr{R}(3,4)$ graphs	15
Table 2.3	Distribution of $\mathscr{R}(3,5)$ graphs	16
Table 2.4	Distribution of $\mathscr{R}(3,6)$ graphs	17
Table 2.5	Distribution of $\mathscr{R}(4,4)$ graphs	18
Table 3.1	Example tree $T_7^2$	39
Table 3.2	Example of temporary graph $H_7^2$	40
Table 3.3	$G$ in $\mathscr{R}(3,4)$ contains a Hamilton path on six vertices $\ldots \ldots \ldots$	42
Table 3.4	$G$ in $\mathscr{R}(3,4)$ contains a Hamilton path on seven vertices $\ldots \ldots \ldots$	43
Table 3.5	$G$ in $\mathscr{R}(3,4)$ contains a Hamilton path on eight vertices $\ldots \ldots \ldots$	46
Table 3.6	$G$ in $\mathscr{R}(3,4)$ contains a Hamilton path on nine vertices	52
Table 4.1	Initial graph $G_{6,5}^3$	63
Table 4.2	$\mathscr{R}(3,4)$ generation on five vertices $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$	68
Table 4.3	$\mathscr{R}(3,4)$ generation on six vertices	70
Table 4.4	$\mathscr{R}(3,4)$ generation on seven vertices $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$	74
Table 4.5	$\mathscr{R}(3,4)$ generation on eight vertices	79
Table 4.6	$\mathscr{R}(3,4)$ generation on nine vertices $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$	85
Table C.1	Distribution of $\mathscr{R}(3,4)$ graphs	02
Table C.2	Distribution of $\mathscr{R}(3,5)$ graphs	03
Table C.3	Distribution of $\mathscr{R}(3,6)$ graphs	04
Table C.4	Distribution of $\mathscr{R}(4,4)$ graphs	05

## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.1	The cycle on five vertices has no $K_3$ or $N_3$	4
Figure 2.1	The hill over vertices for $\mathscr{R}(3,5)$	19
Figure 2.2	The hill over edges for vertex class 10 of $\mathscr{R}(3,5)$	20
Figure 2.3	The mountain for $\mathscr{R}(3,5)$	21
Figure 3.1	Complete list of graphs in $\mathscr{R}(3,4)$	23
Figure 3.2	List of connected $\mathscr{R}(3,4)$ graphs with $\alpha(G) = 1$	25
Figure 3.3	List of connected R(3,4) graphs with $\alpha(G) = 2$	26
Figure 3.4	List of disconnected $\mathscr{R}(3,4)$ graphs	27
Figure 3.5	All trees up to nine vertices with $\Delta(T) \leq 3$	29
Figure 3.6	Trees up to six vertices with $\Delta(T) \leq 3$	30
Figure 3.7	Trees on seven vertices with $\Delta(T) \leq 3 \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots$	31
Figure 3.8	Trees on eight vertices with $\Delta(T) \leq 3$	32
Figure 3.9	Trees on nine vertices with $\Delta(T) \leq 3$	34
Figure 3.10	List of $\mathscr{R}(3,4)$ trees with $\alpha(G) = 3$	37
Figure 3.11	$T-\nu=K_2\bigcup^{\circ}K_2\bigcup^{\circ}K_2\ldots$	37
Figure 4.1	List of connected R(3,4) graphs that are not trees and $\alpha(G) = 3$	65
Figure B.1	All trees up to six vertices with $\Delta(T) \leq 3$	98
Figure B.2	Trees on seven vertices with $\Delta(T) \leq 3$	98
Figure B.3	Trees on eight vertices with $\Delta(T) \leq 3$	99
Figure B.4	Trees on nine vertices with $\Delta(T) \leq 3$	99
Figure B.5	All trees up to 9 vertices with $\Delta(T) \leq 3$	.00
Figure D.1	List of connected $\mathscr{R}(3,4)$ graphs with $\alpha(G) = 1$	07

Figure D.2	List of connected $\mathscr{R}(3,4)$ graphs with $\alpha(G) = 2$	107
Figure D.3	List of disconnected $\mathscr{R}(3,4)$ graphs	107
Figure D.4	List of $\mathscr{R}(3,4)$ trees with $\alpha(G) = 3$	108
Figure D.5	List of connected $\mathscr{R}(3,4)$ graphs that are not trees and $\alpha(G) = 3$ 1	108
Figure D.6	Complete list of graphs in $\mathscr{R}(3,4)$	109

## LIST OF ALGORITHMS

Algorithm 2.1 Test all gr	aphs on $ V(G) $ vertices for $\mathscr{R}(\omega, \alpha)$	11
Algorithm 2.2 Master Th	hread	12
Algorithm 2.3 Compute 1	Node	13
Algorithm A.1 Algorithm	n to test $K_{\omega}$	94
Algorithm A.2 Algorithm	n to test $K_3$ -free	95
Algorithm A.3 Algorithm	n to test $N_{\alpha}$	95
Algorithm A.4 Algorithm	m to test if graph is in $\mathscr{R}(\omega, \alpha)$	96

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

First, I must thank my dissertation advisor, Galen Turner for his guidance in writing this dissertation. I must also thank my committee member, Jinko Kanno, and David Van-Heeswijk whose questions led me to completing the proof. Thanks to my fellow students and committee members for reading my initial drafts of this dissertations. Finally I wish to thank my parents, Morris and Mary, for their love and support.

#### **CHAPTER 1**

#### **INTRODUCTION**

This chapter will provide the basics of graph theory used in this dissertation. The reader familiar with graphs can skip to Section 1.5. The terminology used will follow West[17] and Diestel [3].

#### 1.1 Introduction to Graphs

A graph G = (V, E) is an ordered pair of sets consisting of a vertex set V, or V(G), and an edge set denoted E, or E(G). The elements of V(G) are called vertices, and the elements of E(G), called edges, are unordered pairs of vertices in V(G). Every edge e in E(G) has two vertices called its endvertices or endpoints. A loop is an edge whose endvertices are identical. Two non-loop edges e and f are said to be parallel if they have the same pair of endvertices. A graph with no loops or parallel edges is said to be simple. Unless stated otherwise, all graphs in this dissertation will be finite and simple.

In a simple graph *G*, an edge  $e \in E(G)$  that has the endpoints  $u, v \in V(G)$  is denoted *uv* or *vu*. A vertex  $v \in V(G)$  is *incident* with an edge  $e \in E(G)$  if *v* is an endpoint of *e*. Two vertices  $u, v \in V(G)$  are *adjacent*, or *neighbors*, if and only if *uv* is an edge in E(G). The *degree* of a vertex *v*, denoted d(v), is the number of edges incident to *v*. A vertex with degree equal to zero is an *isolated* vertex. The number  $\delta(G) := min\{d(v)|v \in V(G)\}$  is the minimum degree of *G*, and the number  $\Delta(G) := max\{d(v)|v \in V(G)\}$  is the maximum degree of *G*. Two graphs G = (V, E) and G' = (V', E') are *isomorphic*, written  $G \cong G'$ , if there exists a bijection  $\varphi : V \to V'$  with  $xy \in E \Leftrightarrow \varphi(x)\varphi(y) \in E'$  for all  $x, y \in V$ . The map  $\varphi$  is called an *isomorphism*.

#### **1.2 Trees and Subgraphs**

A graph *G* has a *path*  $P_n = v_1 e_1 v_2 e_2 \cdots v_{n-1} e_{n-1} v_n$  where the endpoints of each edge  $e_i$ are the vertices  $v_{i-1}$  and  $v_i$ , and the vertices of *P* are distinct. A graph that is a path with *n* vertices and n-1 edges is called  $P_nA$  cycle  $C_n = v_0 e_1 v_1 e_2 v_2 \cdots e_n v_0$  in a graph is similar to a path except that the first and last vertices coincide. A graph that is a cycle with *n* vertices and *n* edges is called  $C_n$ . A graph *G* that contains no cycle is *acyclic*.

A graph G is connected if a path exists between any two vertices in V(G); otherwise, G is disconnected. The components  $G_1, G_2, \dots, G_n$  of a graph G are the maximally connected subgraphs of G and  $G \cong G_1 \bigcup G_2 \bigcup \dots G_n$ . A graph G is minimally connected if G is connected and for any edge  $e \in E(G)$ , the graph G - e is a disconnected graph. G is called k-connected if |V(G)| > k and the deletion of any set of k vertices in V(G) results in a graph that is still connected.

A *tree* is a connected acyclic graph. Clearly, for any  $u, v \in V(T)$  such that  $uv \notin E(T)$ , the graph T + uv will contain a cycle. A *leaf* in tree T is a vertex v such that d(v) = 1. If each component of a graph is a tree, then the graph is a *forest*. The following theorems are straightforward and standard in any textbook.

#### **Theorem 1.2.1.** *The following assertions are equivalent for a graph T.*

- 1. T is a tree;
- 2. any two vertices of T have a unique path in T;
- 3. T is minimally connected;
- 4. T is maximally acyclic.

**Theorem 1.2.2.** If T is a tree with  $\Delta(T) = k$ , then T has at least k leaves.

**Corollary 1.2.3.** If T is a tree with  $\Delta(T) \leq 2$ , then T is a path.

Let G = (V, E) and G' = (V', E') be graphs. If  $V' \subseteq V$  and  $E' \subseteq E$ , then G' is a *subgraph* of *G*, denoted  $G' \subseteq G$ . A subgraph *H* of *G* is *induced* when *uv* in E(H) if and only if *uv* in E(G).

A spanning subgraph H of a graph G is a subgraph that contains every vertex in V(G). A spanning tree is a spanning subgraph that is a tree. A spanning cycle is a spanning subgraph that is isomorphic to a cycle. Spanning cycles are also called *Hamilton cycles*. Similarly, a *Hamilton path* is a path containing all the vertices in a graph.

#### **1.3 Cliques and Independent Sets**

The *complete graph* G on n vertices, denoted  $K_n$ , is such that every pair of distinct vertices are adjacent. A *clique* of G is a subgraph H that is isomorphic to a complete graph. The size of the largest clique of G is denoted  $\omega(G)$ . The clique on three vertices,  $K_3$ , is also called a *triangle*. Thus, graphs having no clique of size three are called *triangle free*.

The *complement* of a graph *G* is denoted  $\overline{G}$  where  $V(G) = V(\overline{G})$  and  $e \in E(\overline{G})$  if and only if  $e \notin E(G)$ . The *totally disconnected graph* is the graph that has no edges. The totally disconnected graph on  $\alpha$  vertices is denoted  $N_{\alpha} \cong \overline{K_n}$ . If a graph *G* has an induced subgraph isomorphic to  $N_{\alpha}$ , then the set of vertices is said to be independent; moreover, the size of a maximum independent set of *G* is denoted  $\alpha(G)$ .

#### 1.4 Ramsey Graphs

The class of Ramsey graphs,  $\mathscr{R}(\omega, \alpha)$ , consists of all graphs that contain no subgraph isomorphic to  $K_{\omega}$ , and no independent set of size  $\alpha$ ,  $N_{\alpha}$ . The minimum number of vertices, n, for which every graph with at least n vertices contains an induced subgraph isomorphic to  $K_{\omega}$  or  $N_{\alpha}$  is called the Ramsey number, denoted  $R(\omega, \alpha) = n$ .

A common way to introduce Ramsey numbers, using R(3,3) as an example, is to ask the question "What is the minimum number of people that must be invited to a party such that there are three people who are all mutual acquaintances (each one knows the other two) or mutual strangers (each one does not know either of the other two)?" This question can be represented as a graph *G*. Let the vertices of the graph represent the people at the party. If two people know each other, then their corresponding vertices are joined by an edge; otherwise, there is no edge present. If there are three people who mutually know each other, then this will form a triangle in the graph, or  $K_3$ . If there are three people who are mutual strangers, then this will form a set of three independent vertices in the graph, or  $N_3$ . Now the question becomes what is the minimum number of vertices, *n*, such that all graphs on *n* vertices either have a  $K_3$  or  $N_3$ . Harary [7] presented Theorem 1.4.1 to establish R(3,3) = 6.

# **Theorem 1.4.1.** (Harary [7]) For any graph G with six vertices, G or $\overline{G}$ contains a triangle as a subgraph.

*Proof.* Consider the cycle on five vertices, depicted in Figure 1.1, which is easily seen to be a Ramsey graph in  $\mathscr{R}(3,3)$ . No triangles exist in the cycle  $C_5$ , so there is no subgraph isomorphic to  $K_3$ . Also, there is no set of three vertices that do not have an edge in  $C_5$ . Thus we say the cycle on five vertices is a Ramsey graph, or  $C_5 \in \mathscr{R}(3,3)$ . This means that R(3,3) > 5, so we must consider graphs with six vertices. The only connected graph on six vertices that has  $\Delta(G) = 2$  is the path or cycle on six vertices, but it is clear that three independent vertices exist, so  $\Delta(G) \ge 3$ . Considering the neighbors of a vertex *v*, of degree three, if no edge exists between them then there are three independent vertices. Also if an edge exists between any two, a triangle exists. Thus there are no Ramsey graphs on six vertices and R(3,3) = 6.



Figure 1.1: The cycle on five vertices has no  $K_3$  or  $N_3$ .

**Theorem 1.4.2.** (*Ramsey 1930 [14]*) For every  $r \in \mathbb{N}$  there exists an  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that every graph of order at least n contains either  $K_r$  or  $N_r$  as an induced subgraph.

Ramsey [14] proved that for any natural number r, the Ramsey number R(r,r) is finite. Few Ramsey numbers are known. From a survey of Ramsey numbers[13], the known numbers and best bounds are updated periodically. The known Ramsey numbers are listed in Table 1.1.

Table 1.1: Table of known Ramsey numbers[13].

	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
2	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
3		6	9	14	18	23	28	36	[40,43]
4			18	25	[35,41]				
5				[43,49]					
6									

**Theorem 1.4.3.** (*Greenwood and Gleason* [6])  $R(\omega, \alpha) \leq R(\omega - 1, \alpha) + R(\omega, \alpha - 1)$ .

The Ramsey numbers for R(5,5), R(4,6) and R(3,10) are not known, but we do know upper and lower bounds for these. Greenwood and Gleason [6] proved Theorem 1.4.3 which gives an upper bound on a Ramsey number. The range for the remaining Ramsey numbers grows very large as  $\alpha$  and  $\omega$  increase.

To determine a particular Ramsey number, the Ramsey graphs with  $R(\omega, \alpha) - 1$  vertices become a focus. Such graphs are called Ramsey *critical*. The complete set of Ramsey critical graphs are known for the Ramsey numbers R(3,3), R(3,4), R(3,5), R(3,6), R(3,7), and R(4,4). Some critical graphs for other Ramsey numbers are known, but we do not know if these collections are complete [13]. Many lower bounds for finding Ramsey numbers are created by finding a Ramsey graph where there is no  $K_{\omega}$  or  $N_{\alpha}$  on *n* vertices forcing  $R(\omega, \alpha) > n$ .

Theorem 1.4.4 proves that if a graph H satisfies the clique or independent set for a  $R(\omega, \alpha)$ , then any graph G that contains H as an subgraph will also satisfy the clique or

independent set. This is useful since searching a subgraph can be considerably faster than searching the entire graph.

**Theorem 1.4.4.** Let *H* be an induced subgraph of *G*. If  $H \notin \mathscr{R}(\omega, \alpha)$ , the  $G \notin \mathscr{R}(\omega, \alpha)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $H \notin \mathscr{R}(\omega, \alpha)$ . Let *G* be a graph such that *H* is an induced subgraph of *G*. Since  $H \notin \mathscr{R}(\omega, \alpha)$ , there exists a  $K_{\omega}$  or  $N_{\alpha}$  subgraph of *H*. One of the following is true:  $K_{\omega} \subseteq H \subseteq G$  or the independent set  $N_{\alpha}$  in *H* is also an indpendent set of *G*. In both cases,  $G \notin \mathscr{R}(\omega, \alpha)$ .

**Corollary 1.4.5.** If there are no  $\mathscr{R}(\omega, \alpha)$  graphs on *n* vertices, then there are no  $\mathscr{R}(\omega, \alpha)$  graphs on n + 1 vertices

The proof of Corollary 1.4.5 is easily seen since there is a  $K_{\omega}$  or  $N_{\alpha}$  for every graph on n vertices, and any graph on n + 1 vertices must also have a  $K_{\omega}$  or  $N_{\alpha}$ .

**Lemma 1.4.6.** If  $G \in \mathscr{R}(3, \alpha)$  then G is triangle free and  $\Delta(G) \leq \alpha - 1$ .

*Proof.* Suppose  $G \in \mathscr{R}(3, \alpha)$ . Since G has no subgraph isomorphic to  $K_3$ , G is triangle free. Suppose  $\Delta(G) > \alpha - 1$ . There is a vertex  $v \in V(G)$  such that  $d(v) \ge \alpha$ . Since G is triangle free, no two neighbors of v can be adjacent to one another without forming a triangle. This means that N(v) forms an independent set of size at least  $\alpha$ , but  $\alpha(G) < \alpha$ , a contradiction. Thus G is triangle free and  $\Delta(G) \le \alpha - 1$ .

#### 1.5 Dissertation Overview

An overview of the dissertation is provided here discussing the results found. Ramsey theory can be applied to many areas in mathematics and computer science. A survey of the applications of Ramsey theory include topics from algebra to theoretical computer science [16].

There are very few Ramsey numbers for which the exact value has been calculated. The most likely unkown Ramsey number to be determined next is R(5,5). The best known bounds are  $43 \le R(5,5) \le 49$ . Since there are approximately  $10^{208}$  nonisomorphic graphs on 42 vertices [8], a brute force approach of searching all graphs on 42 vertices is not feasible with current computational resources. The research presented in this dissertation reduces the number of graphs that must be tested and provides a framework that shows a common structure among graphs in  $\Re(5,5)$ .

Ramsey [14] started the work in this area in 1930 by proving that for any  $\alpha, \omega \in \mathbb{N}$ there is a minimum number *n* such that every graph *G* on *n* vertices has either a  $K_{\omega}$  or  $N_{\alpha}$ . While we know these numbers exist, and can find a range where they will be, finding the exact value of *n* has proved to be intractable.

Chapter 2 discusses patterns that are found in various Ramsey graphs. These patterns were discovered by creating algorithms to find all the Ramsey graphs for certain values of  $\alpha$  and  $\omega$ . Due to the computation complexity of the algorithms developed, the resources of the Louisiana Optical Network Initiative (LONI) were utilized. Then the graphs found were analyzed for a common structure between the set of Ramsey numbers. The analyzed classes were R(3,3), R(3,4), R(3,5), R(3,6), and R(4,4). The analysis is summarized in the tables showing the relation of the number of Ramsey graphs based on the vertices and edges.

Greenwood and Gleason [6] proved R(3,4) = 9 in 1955. Their proof found a maximal Ramsey graph and used bounds on R(3,4) to show that no larger Ramsey graphs exist. An independent proof of R(3,4) is shown in this dissertations by using the property that every graph  $G \in \mathscr{R}(3,4)$  has a spanning tree, and extensions from all possible spanning trees are done to find the complete list for  $\mathscr{R}(3,4)$ . Instead of using a brute force approach on all spanning trees, Chapter 3 shows that Ramsey graphs with at least five vertices must have a Hamilton path or be a tree, so the paths  $P_5$ ,  $P_6$ ,  $P_7$ ,  $P_8$ , and  $P_9$  are the only initial trees needed. These paths are then extended until all graphs in  $\mathscr{R}(3,4)$  are found.

By showing that the list of all graphs in  $\mathscr{R}(3.4)$  has been found, in Chapter 4, we show that no Ramsey graphs on nine vertices are possible. This being the smallest such number leads to an independent proof of R(3,4) = 9. In Chapter 5, we show how to extend the method created here to aid in finding R(5,5). In particular, we show that all graphs in  $\Re(5,5)$  having 42 vertices are Hamiltonian that are also 14-connected.

#### **CHAPTER 2**

#### **OBSERVATIONS OF RAMSEY GRAPHS**

#### 2.1 Introduction

A graph *G* is in the family of Ramsey graphs, denoted  $\mathscr{R}(\omega, \alpha)$ , if *G* contains no induced subgraph isomorphic to  $K_{\omega}$  or  $N_{\alpha}$ . The Ramsey number  $R(\omega, \alpha)$  is the minimum number of vertices such that every graph with at least  $R(\omega, \alpha)$  vertices has an induced subgraph isomorphic to  $K_{\omega}$  or  $N_{\alpha}$ . Ramsey [14] showed that the number  $R(\omega, \alpha)$  exists for any  $\omega, \alpha \in \mathbb{N}$ . Thus, there are a finite number of Ramsey graphs since there are a finite number of graphs up to a set number of vertices. Hence, all Ramsey graphs in  $\mathscr{R}(\omega, \alpha)$  will have at most  $R(\omega, \alpha) - 1$  vertices.

Using the algorithms developed in this chapter, each Ramsey number R(3,3), R(3,4), R(3,5), R(3,6), and R(4,4) was tested by determining all necessary graphs up to  $R(\omega, \alpha)$  vertices. This evaluation determines if a graph contains a  $K_{\omega}$  or  $N_{\alpha}$  and is summarized in the tables at the end of Section 2.3.

#### 2.2 Parallel Algorithms

A computer algorithm was developed to find the Ramsey graphs to analyze. Due to the number of graphs that needed to be searched, a regular computer was insufficient to run the program. By parallelization, the algorithm was able to search through many more graphs than on a single computer. The main computer used in this dissertation was part of the Louisiana Optical Network Initiative (LONI) system. LONI consists of five Power5 575 AIX clusters, six five teraflop Dell Linux clusters, and one Dell 50 teraflop Linux cluster. By using the P5 supercomputers, the computation for testing graphs with a higher number of vertices became feasible. For example, to test  $\mathscr{R}(4,4)$  on 18 vertices, it took eight nodes, 64 processors, on a Power5 495684 seconds = 139.69 hours = 5.73 days. If this test was performed on a single processor, an estimated time of approximately one year of continuous operation would be needed.

Brendan McKay developed a graph generation program called *nauty*[10]. *Nauty* can generate all graphs up to isomorphism with a maximum of 32 vertices. This limit is based on how a graph is stored in the program. A graph is represented in the bit-level of an integer, which is four bytes or 32 bits. *Nauty* can be passed many parameters in the generation of graphs. The parameters are passed to *nauty* when the program is called for a given vertex class. These parameters include connectivity, the edge range, minimum degree, and maximum degree. For example, we know from Lemma 1.4.6 that for every  $G \in \mathscr{R}(3, \alpha)$ , *G* has a maximum degree of  $\alpha - 1$ , so we can instruct *nauty* to only generate graphs with  $\Delta(G) \leq \alpha - 1$ . Any other restrictions based on the class  $\mathscr{R}(\omega, \alpha)$  can be passed as a parameter to *nauty* to reduce the number of graphs that will be tested.

Since the algorithms used to test a graph are independent, graphs can be tested in parallel to speed up computation time. Many methods were developed to optimize the program, but Algorithm 2.1 is what lies at the core of the program. The computational complexity of the algorithm allows the generation of graphs on a small number of vertices, but is not feasible for larger numbers of vertices due to the large number of graphs that must be tested and given current computational resources on LONI. A parallelized version of the algorithm was used to create the tables in Section 2.3. Even with parallezation, the number of graphs that must be tested grows too fast to be feasible on current computer hardware. LONI is very useful extending the feasibility, but the exponential growth in the number of graphs as vertices increase is too much for the current hardware available.

*Nauty* is able to generate all graphs up to isomorphism on up to 32 vertices. A graph with a small number of vertices, about 10 to 15 depending on conditions of the graphs, works well on a regular laptop or desktop computer, but the growth of the number of graphs

as vertices increase make continuing computation this way infeasible. In order to test all graphs on a higher number of vertices in a reasonable amount of time, parallelization was developed to increase the computational speed.

Algorithm 2.1 Test all graphs on $ V(G) $ vertices for $\mathscr{R}(\omega, \alpha)$ .	
FUNCTION CreateListRwa( $\omega, \alpha$ )	
int $i = 1;$	
repeat	
$ V(G)  = \mathbf{i};$	
for every G on $ V(G) $ vertices do	
if NOT TestRwa( $G, \omega, \alpha$ ) then	
ListRwa[ V(G) ].Add(G);	// Add G to list
end if	
end for	
++i;	
<b>until</b> List $[i-1]$ .Size $() == 0$	
ListRwa.Print();	// All graphs in $\mathscr{R}(\omega, \alpha)$
ENDFUNCTION	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

The first step of parallelizing the program finds independent sections that run simultaneously with minimal communication. By partitioning the graphs of a vertex class, we can test several partitions in parallel. The partitioning is done through *nauty* in such a way that no two partitions have an isomorphic graph, but the partitions may vary in size. Once the graphs are partitioned into separate classes, each processor needs to be assigned a set of partitions to test. These assignments can be done statically or dynamically.

A static allocation assigns each processor approximately the same number of partitions and requires no communication time other than the collection of the results at the programs completion. However, this does not work well for speeding up the program since each graph can vary on the number of computation cycles required to determine if the graph is in  $\mathscr{R}(\omega, \alpha)$  or not. This leads to some processors working on its assigned partitions while the other processors sit idle.

By creating a *Master Thread*, shown in Algorithm 2.2, to dynamically allocate partitions to processors, the idle time can be reduced. The *Master Thread* coordinate with all the computation nodes to test for Ramsey graphs. One modification to *Master Thread* is to sort the size of the partitions to increase efficiency. While the size of the partition is not directly related to the time it takes to test it, it usually is a good indicator. Since the partitions are not necessarily similar in size, initially the graphs are counted in each partition without being tested. This produces a slight amount of extra work, but the time used counting graphs is negligible compared to testing each graph. The partitions are sorted by size and the *Master Thread* assigns each processor a partition starting with the largest partition size. This is done since the smaller partitions will be assigned to processors as they become idle and thus will reduce processor idle time. After all partitions have been tested, the results are collected and summarized by the *Master Thread*.

```
Algorithm 2.2 Master Thread controls the program.
FUNCTION Master Thread(\omega, \alpha, \mathcal{G})
  np := number of processors;
  nc := 100 * np;
  int class = 0;
  // Send initial class to each processor to test.
  for each processor do
     Send( pid, class );
     ++class:
  end for
  // Wait for a processor to finish and then send new class to test.
  repeat
     Receive(p);
     Send(p, G[class]);
     ++class:
  until class == nc;
  // Wait for all processors to finish.
  for each remaing processor do
     Recieve(p);
     Send(p, "STOP");
  end for
  // Collect all graphs found from processors.
  CollectGraphs();
ENDFUNCTION
```

The *Compute Node*, shown in Algorithm 2.3, does most of the computation. First, it gets the initial partition of graphs to test from the *Master Thread*. Each graph is tested to determine if the graph is the Ramsey class of graphs set by the initial parameters. When a *Compute Node* is finished with its partition, the *Master Thread* is contacted for a new class to test. This process is repeated until the *Master Thread* finishes assigning all classes and orders the *Compute Node* to stop. When all *Compute Nodes* are finished, the data collected is sent to the *Master Thread* for summarizing.

Algorithm 2.3 Compute Node takes instruction	from Master Thread.	
FUNCTION Compute Node( $\omega, \alpha$ )		
Receive( $\mathcal{H}$ );		
repeat		
for every graph G in $\mathcal{H}$ vertices do		
if NOT TestRwa( $G, \omega, \alpha$ ) then		
ListRwa.Add(G);	// Add G to list	
end if		
end for		
Send(Master Thread)		
until Receive( <i>H</i> ) == "STOP"		
ReturnInfo(ListRwa);		
ENDFUNCTION		

These methods work well for computationally intensive programs that do not need much communication. The only communications required are integers representing a class and its size between the *Master Thread* thread and the processors. With enough graphs in each partition, the communication time is minimal compared to the computation time. Where np is the number of processors, usually around 100 \* np partitions would result in a good parallel speedup.

The P5 computers for LONI contain 14 nodes with eight processors on each node. The wall clock time, maximum time to run a program, on LONI is limited to one week. If we tested all graphs on *n* vertices and the program took five days, it can easily break the wall clock time on n + 1 vertices and the program will be shut down automatically. So another layer of parallelization was developed to test vertex classes that would take longer than one

week. At this level, the partitions created as before remain the same, but more partitions are created initially since many more graphs need to be tested. When running the program, a subset of the total partitions will be tested to fit in the wall clock time. Suppose we create 5000 partitions to test. If we would run the program as before, the wall clock time would ellapse and kill the program. A *job* is created that would take the subset of partitions from 0 to 1000 to be tested in one program. Four other jobs would also be created to test all the partitions in the set. In this way, a program that would have taken five weeks can still be done without violating the wall clock time by spreading the jobs out over the network. The number of partitions and jobs that must be created are highly variable based on the vertex class and any restrictions that can be placed on the graphs needed.

#### 2.3 Tables for Ramsey Graphs

Tables 2.1 through 2.5 show the number of Ramsey graphs for each vertex and edge class for the Ramsey numbers R(3,3), R(3,4), R(3,5), R(3,6), and R(4,4). The tables are organized in a matrix where the columns are vertex classes, rows are the edge classes, and each cell has the number of Ramsey graphs for that particular vertex and edge class. The bottom row is the number of Ramsey graph for each vertex class. The right column is the number of Ramsey graphs for each edge class. Summing the total, the total number of Ramsey graphs for each Ramsey number is found in the bottom right corner.

R(3,3)												
	Vertices											
		1 2 3 4 5 6 Tota										
	0	1	1					2				
E	1		1	1				2				
d	2			1	1			2				
g	3				1			1				
e	4				1			1				
S	5			ſ		1		1				
	6							0				
Total		1	2	2	3	1	0	9				

Table 2.1: Distribution of  $\mathscr{R}(3,3)$  graphs. R(3,3) = 6.

Table 2.2: Distribution of  $\mathscr{R}(3,4)$  graphs. R(3,4) = 9.

R(3,4)														
	Vertices													
	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 Tota													
	0	1	1	1							3			
E	1		1	1	1						3			
d	2			1	2	1					4			
g	3				2	2	1				5			
e	4				1	3	1				5			
S	5					2	4				6			
	6					1	4	1			6			
	7						3	2			5			
	8						1	3			4			
	9						1	2			3			
	10							1	1		2			
	11								1		1			
	12								1		1			
Total		1	2	3	6	9	15	9	3	0	48			

	R(3,5)															
	Vertices															
		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	Total
	0	1	1	1	1											4
Е	1		1	1	1	1										4
d	2			1	2	2	1									6
g	3				2	3	3	1		ľ						9
e	4				1	4	6	2	1							14
S	5					2	8	7	1			n				18
	6					1	7	13	5							26
	7						4	17	13	1						35
	8						2	15	27	3						47
	9						1	10	39	11						61
	10							4	41	28	1					74
	11							1	27	59	2					89
	12							1	15	73	10					99
	13								6	62	32					100
	14								2	33	69					104
	15								1	14	86	1				102
	16								1	4	65	6				76
	17									2	32	19				53
	18										12	31				43
	19										3	30				33
	20										1	13	1	L		15
	21											4	2			6
	22											1	5			6
	23												2			2
	24												2			2
	25															0
	26													1		1
Total		$1^{-1}$	2	3	7	13	32	71	179	290	313	105	12	1	0	1029

Table 2.3: Distribution of  $\mathscr{R}(3,5)$  graphs. R(3,5) = 14.

	R(3,6)													
								Vertices						
		•••	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	Total
	0	••												5
E	1	•••												5
d	2	•••	1											8
g	3	••••	3	1								*		13
е	4		7	3	1									23
S	5		13	10	2	1								37
	6	•	20	23	8									60
	1		20	44	26	5								99
	8		18	63	/0	16	1							170
	9		11	13	142	60	3							290 -
	10		3	63	234	1/5	10	1						493
	11			40	284	451	04	1						841 R
	12		1	21	207	804	265	4						1422
	13		ļ	9	185	1255	900	20						2005
	14	·		3	100	1344	2333	644	1 1					5925
	13			2	47	707	6124	2602	1		*			0252
	10		ļ	1	22	277	6120	2093	4					9301
	10		[		0	311	1973	16445	4.5					21912
	10				3	71	4025	22006	2402					21013
	20				1	28	1405	25960	10176	1				29343
	20		<u> </u>			13	565	10704	27075	16				18273
	21					15	206	11672	51188	177				63247
	22					2	64	5404	64221	1588				71279
	24					1	20	2016	56809	8494				67340
	25	·				<u> </u>	6	630	36312	27013	1			63963
	26	<u> </u>					2	169	17208	53157	7			70543
	27							41	6189	67224	101			73555
	28		<u> </u>			<u> </u>		8	1729	56478	822			59037
	29							1	377	32235	3998		<u> </u>	36611
	30								66	12784	10910			23760
	31		<u> </u>						8	3550	17552			21110
	32				1				1	699	16896	5		17601
	33									94	9957	39		10090
	34									9	3587	200		3796
	35									1	794	547		1342
	36	· ·									100	803		903
	37			1				1			7	634		641
	38											275		275
	39											62		62
	40			l				[				11	2	13
	41												3	3
	42					1			1				2	2
Total			100	356	1407	6657	30395	116792	275086	263520	64732	2576	7	761692

Table 2.4: Distribution of  $\mathscr{R}(3,6)$  graphs. R(3,6) = 18.

R(4,4)													
						1	Vertices			1			Y
			8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	L
·	0									ļ			3
E			<u> </u>	ļ		<u> </u>					ļ		3
d	2		<u> </u>										4
g	3												8
e	4		L										9
S	5	•••											15
	6						1						23
	7	•••	1										34
	8	•••	3										51
	9		11	1									83
	10		38	1			1						124
	11		111	5									196
	12		244	18						1			320
	13		398	73									500
	14		467	257	1		1						737
	15		398	768	5						1		1175
	16		244	1719	34				1	1			1998
	17		111	2831	177								3119
	18		38	3355	814				1		1		4207
	19	·	11	2831	2963	1							5806
	20		3	1719	8193	7			1				9922
	21	·	1	768	16396	72							17237
	22	<u> </u>		257	23270	546		1					24073
	23		<u>+</u>	73	23270	3201			1				26544
	24	<b>.</b>		18	16396	13695				+			30109
	25		ł	5	8193	41553			1				49751
	26		<u> </u>	1	2963	87361	8						90333
	27	<u> </u>		1	814	126742	177				-		127734
	28				177	126742	1906					-	128825
	20		<u> </u>		34	87361	13332				1		100727
<u> </u>	30		╞───		5	41553	58131						00680
L	30		<u> </u>		1	13605	163757						177453
	32		┣		1	3201	302088		<u> </u>				305289
	32					546	370368	20				ļ	370034
	24	<u> </u>				72	3/0308	535	<u> </u>			<u> </u>	302605
	25					7	302088	6220				ļ	170102
	33		<u> </u>			/	59121	27925	<u> </u>	<u> </u>			05057
	27		<u> </u>			1	12222	37623	·····				93937
	21	····		<b> </b>			15552	257711		ļ	<u> </u>		140470
	20	····	<b> </b>				1900	237711	<u> </u>		ļ		239017
	39		l	ļ			1//	323093	<u> </u>				323212
	40						8	237/11	40				237/19
	41		ļ	ļ		<b> </b>		12/138	40	ļ	<u> </u>		12/1/8
ļ	42	ļ	<u> </u>	ļ		ļ		57825	8/2				38097
	43		<b> </b>	<b> </b>				0339	0247	<u> </u>	<b> </b>		12380
ļ	44	ļ	<u> </u>					>35	20901				21436
ļ	45	····	l			ļ		20	37348	ļ	<u> </u>	ļ	37368
	46	ļ						L	37348	<u> </u>			37348
	47								20901			ļ	20901
	48	····	L						6247				6247
	49	···	L						872		L		872
	50								40	13			53
	51									96			96
	52	••••								211			211
	53	•••								211			211
	54									96			96
	55		1							13			13
	56		· · · ·		•••	· · ·		· · ·		•••			
Total			2079	14701	103706	546356	1449166	1184231	130816	640	2	1	3432184

Table 2.5: Distribution of  $\mathscr{R}(4,4)$  graphs. R(4,4) = 18.

#### 2.4 Analyzing Ramsey Graphs

An analysis of each table reveals several patterns which are present in each class of Ramsey numbers. This section will look at R(3,5) in detail, but the patterns hold for the other Ramsey numbers.

Figure 2.1 shows a perspective with regard to the number of Ramsey graphs. The distribution is formed by plotting the number of graphs on each vertex class of  $\mathscr{R}(3,5)$ . Notice how on 11 and 12 vertices the number of Ramsey graphs falls dramatically. We can use Theorem 1.4.4 and a complete list of Ramsey graphs on a vertex class past the maximum to find the ramsey number with less computation than testing all graphs up to  $R(\omega, \alpha)$  vertices. By having the list, each graph can have a vertex added in all possible ways to find the list of Ramsey graphs on the next vertex class. When a vertex class is reached where adding any vertex will form a  $K_{\omega}$  or  $N_{\alpha}$ , we will have the Ramsey number.



Figure 2.1: The hill over vertices for  $\mathscr{R}(3,5)$ .

Observing that the maximum occurs at |V| = 10, we isolate the Ramsey graphs in  $\Re(3,5)$  having exactly 10 vertices, considering how the graphs are distributed by the number of edges in each Ramsey graph. The plot is depicted in Figure 2.2. When plotting with vertices for  $\omega = \alpha$ , the maximum is on the edge class  $\lfloor \frac{|E|}{2} \rfloor = \lfloor \frac{n(n-1)}{4} \rfloor$  where n is the vertex class. The edges classes surrounding  $\lfloor \frac{n(n-1)}{4} \rfloor \pm x$  are symmetric since if G is in  $\Re(3,4)$ ,

then  $\overline{G}$  is also in  $\mathscr{R}(3,4)$  when  $\omega = \alpha$ . For the Ramsey numbers  $\mathscr{R}(3,3), \mathscr{R}(3,4), \mathscr{R}(3,5)$ , and  $\mathscr{R}(3,6)$  in the tables shown, the maximum is less than or equal to  $\frac{|E|}{3}$ .



Figure 2.2: The hill over edges for vertex class 10 of  $\mathscr{R}(3,5)$ .

In a plot where the vertex classes and edge classes form a plane with the height being the number of Ramsey graphs for that vertex and edge class, this 3-dimensional plot looks similar to a mountain (Figure 2.3). This pattern appears in all the tables listed. When  $\omega = \alpha$ , such as  $\mathscr{R}(4,4)$  the mountain is symmetric since if  $G \in \mathscr{R}(4,4)$  then  $\overline{G} \in \mathscr{R}(4,4)$ also. As with the vertex class, after the peak of the mountain the number of Ramsey graphs in each edge class drops fast.



Figure 2.3: The mountain for  $\mathscr{R}(3,5)$ .

#### **CHAPTER 3**

## HAMILTON PATHS IN GRAPHS IN $\mathscr{R}(3,4)$

#### 3.1 Overview

The Ramsey number, R(3,4), is defined to be the minimum number *n* such that any graph with at least *n* vertices has an induced subgraph isomorphic to  $K_3$  or  $N_4$ . The class  $\mathscr{R}(3,4)$  contains all graphs having no induced subgraphs isomorphic to  $K_3$  or  $N_4$ . From the class  $\mathscr{R}(3,4)$ , the Ramsey number R(3,4) can be easily obtained by finding a graph G in  $\mathscr{R}(3,4)$  with the maximum number of vertices among all graphs of  $\mathscr{R}(3,4)$ . In this case, R(3,4) = |V(G)| + 1 = 8 + 1 = 9. The main result of this dissertation summarized in Theorem 3.1.1 by showing the complete family of  $\mathscr{R}(3,4)$ .

To prove Theorem 3.1.1, we will first determine the disconnected graphs. A consequence of this process will also allow us to show the list of graphs G in  $\mathscr{R}(3,4)$  where the independence number of G is one or two. In Section 3.4, we will prove that any connected graph in  $\mathscr{R}(3,4)$  that is not a tree will have a Hamilton path. This is used to prove Theorem 4.2.1 which establishes the complete list of graphs G where  $\alpha(G) = 3$ . Combining these results will show the list of graphs represented in Figure 3.1 to be  $\mathscr{R}(3,4)$ . Also, since the list is complete and the maximum number of vertices of any graph in  $\mathscr{R}(3,4)$  is eight, we get an independent proof for R(3,4) = 9.

**Theorem 3.1.1.** If  $G \in \mathcal{R}(3,4)$ , then G is isomorphic to a graph depicted in Figure 3.1.



Figure 3.1: Complete list of graphs in  $\mathscr{R}(3,4)$ .
The following two straightfoward lemmas reveal some of the structure for graphs in  $\Re(3,4)$  and will be used in the proof of Theorem 3.1.1. Lemma 3.1.2 says that all graphs in  $\Re(3,4)$  are triangle free and have maximum degree of three and is easily derived from Lemma 1.4.6.

**Lemma 3.1.2.** *If*  $G \in \mathscr{R}(3,4)$  *then*  $\Delta(G) \leq 3$  *and* G *triangle free.* 

**Lemma 3.1.3.** Let *H* be a spanning subgraph of *G* such that  $H \notin \mathscr{R}(3,4)$  and  $G \in \mathscr{R}(3,4)$ . If *S* is an independent set of size four in *H*, then there is a pair  $\{x,y\} \subseteq S$  such that  $xy \in E(G)$ .

*Proof.* Let *H* be a spanning subgraph of *G* such that  $H \notin \mathscr{R}(3,4)$  and  $G \in \mathscr{R}(3,4)$ . *H* is triangle free since  $H \subseteq G$  and *G* is triangle free. Thus  $\alpha(H) \ge 4$ . Since  $\alpha(H) \ge 4$ , there is an independent set *S* of size four in *H*. Suppose that for every  $\{x,y\} \subseteq S$  there is no edge  $xy \in E(G)$ . This means that the independent set *S* in *H* is also an independent set in *G*, but  $\alpha(G) < 4$  and  $|S| \ge 4$ , a contradiction. Thus there is a pair  $\{x,y\} \subseteq S$  such that  $xy \in E(G)$ .

Lemma 3.1.3 focuses on subgraphs H not in  $\mathscr{R}(3,4)$  of a graph G in  $\mathscr{R}(3,4)$ . For any independent set S of size four in H, an edge must exist in that set in E(G). This is used in the proofs of Theorem 3.4.2 and Theorem 4.2.1. It does not matter if larger independent sets exist since a larger independent set will consist of multiple independent sets of size four.

The graphs depicted in Figure 3.1 are organized notationally by the number of vertices and edges. Specifically, we write  $G^{i}_{|V|,|E|}$  where |V| is the number of vertices, |E| is the number of edges, and *i* is an index number for classes with more than one  $\mathscr{R}(3,4)$ -graph with |V| vertices and |E| edges.

## **3.2** Disconnected Graphs for $\mathscr{R}(3,4)$

Let  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  be components of G, where  $u \in V(G_1)$  and  $v \in V(G_2)$ . Since u and v are in different components of G, there is no uv-path in G. This also means that u and v are independent vertices in G. Since a vertex in one component will always be independent from all vertices not in that component, the graphs in  $\mathscr{R}(3,4)$  have a maximum of three components; otherwise, such a graph will have four independent vertices. By finding all connected graphs G in  $\mathscr{R}(3,4)$  with  $\alpha(G) \leq 2$ , we can find all the disconnected graphs in  $\mathscr{R}(3,4)$ . Lemma 3.2.1 characterizes all connected graphs with  $\alpha(G) = 1$ , and Lemma 3.2.2 characterizes all connected graphs with  $\alpha(G) = 2$ . Using these two lemmas, we prove Theorem 3.2.3 which provides the list of all  $G \in \mathscr{R}(3,4)$  where G is disconnected.

**Lemma 3.2.1.** Let G be a connected graph with  $\alpha(G) = 1$ . Then  $G \in \mathscr{R}(3,4)$  if and only if G is isomorphic to  $K_1$  or  $K_2$  depicted in Figure 3.2.



Figure 3.2: List of connected  $\mathscr{R}(3,4)$  graphs with  $\alpha(G) = 1$ .

*Proof.* It is easy to see that  $K_1$  and  $K_2$  are in  $\mathscr{R}(3,4)$  and  $\alpha(K_1) = \alpha(K_2) = 1$ . Let  $G \in \mathscr{R}(3,4)$  be connected and  $\alpha(G) = 1$ . Since  $\alpha(G) = 1$ , *G* must be isomorphic to a complete graph; otherwise, if  $xy \notin E(G)$ , then *x* and *y* are independent and  $\alpha(G) > 1$ . If  $|V(G)| \ge 3$  and *G* is a complete graphs, then  $G \notin \mathscr{R}(3,4)$  since *G* would contain a subgraph isomorphic to  $K_3$ . Thus, |V(G)| = 1 or |V(G)| = 2, which are the graphs  $K_1 \cong G_{1,0}^1$  and  $K_2 \cong G_{2,1}^1$ .  $\Box$ 

**Lemma 3.2.2.** Let G be a connected graph with  $\alpha(G) = 2$ . Then  $G \in \mathscr{R}(3,4)$  if and only if G is isomorphic to  $P_3$ ,  $P_4$ ,  $C_4$ , or  $C_5$  depicted in Figure 3.3.



Figure 3.3: List of connected R(3,4) graphs with  $\alpha(G) = 2$ .

*Proof.* It is easy to see that  $P_3$ ,  $P_4$ ,  $C_4$ , and  $C_5$  are in  $\mathscr{R}(3,4)$  and each has exactly two independent vertices. So, let *G* be a connected graph with  $\alpha(G) = 2$ . Let us consider the maximum degree of *G*. If  $\Delta(G) = 0$  or  $\Delta(G) = 1$  then either  $G \cong K_1$  or  $G \cong K_2$ , both of which have  $\alpha(G) = 1$ , a contradiction. Thus suppose  $\Delta(G) = 2$ . From Corollary 1.2.3, if *G* is a tree then *G* must be a path. The only paths that have an independence number two are  $P_3 \cong G_{3,2}^1$  and  $P_4 \cong G_{4,3}^2$ . Thus suppose *G* must not be a tree. Since  $\Delta(G) = 2$  and *G* is not a tree then *G* is a cycle. Similarly, there are two cycles with an independence number two, namely  $C_4 \cong G_{4,4}^1$  and  $C_5 \cong G_{5,5}^2$ . Finally, for  $\Delta(G) \ge 3$ , there is a vertex  $v \in V(G)$ such that  $d(v) \ge 3$  and the neighborhood of v has at least three independent vertices since *G* is triangle free. Hence *G* must be isomorphic to  $P_3$ ,  $P_4$ ,  $C_4$ , or  $C_5$ .

## **Theorem 3.2.3.** Let G be a disconnected graph in $\mathscr{R}(3,4)$ . Then G is isomorphic to one of the graphs depicted in Figure 3.4.

*Proof.* Let *G* be a disconnected graph in  $\mathscr{R}(3,4)$  such that  $G \cong G_1 \bigcup G_2 \bigcup \cdots \bigcup G_n$  where  $G_i$  are components of *G*. Since  $\alpha(G) < 4$  and the components of *G* are disjoint,  $n \leq 3$ ; otherwise, *G* would not be in the class  $\mathscr{R}(3,4)$  since vertices in different components of *G* are independent. This means  $\alpha(G_1) + \alpha(G_2) = \alpha(G)$  or  $\alpha(G_1) + \alpha(G_2) + \alpha(G_3) = \alpha(G)$ . Suppose  $\alpha(G_1) + \alpha(G_2) + \alpha(G_3) = \alpha(G) < 4$ , it is clear that  $\alpha(G_1) = \alpha(G_2) = \alpha(G_3) = 1$ . Thus the components of *G* must be disjoint unions of graphs from Lemma 3.2.1. The disjoint unions are  $K_1 \bigcup K_1 \bigcup K_1 \cong G_{3,0}^1$ ,  $K_1 \bigcup K_1 \bigcup K_2 \cong G_{4,1}^1$ ,  $K_1 \bigcup K_2 \bigcup K_2 \cong G_{5,2}^1$ , and  $K_2 \overset{\circ}{\cup} K_2 \overset{\circ}{\cup} K_2 \cong G_{6,3}^1$ . This covers the cases where *G* has exactly three components, so now suppose *G* has exactly two components which are the following two cases. (1) Let  $G \cong G_1 \overset{\circ}{\cup} G_2$  have  $\alpha(G_1) = \alpha(G_2) = 1$ . Again we use the graphs found in Lemma 3.2.1 to find *G* to be  $K_1 \overset{\circ}{\cup} K_1 \cong G_{2,0}^1$ ,  $K_1 \overset{\circ}{\cup} K_2 \cong G_{3,1}^1$ , or  $K_2 \overset{\circ}{\cup} K_2 \cong G_{4,2}^1$ . (2) Let  $\alpha(G_1) = 1$  and  $\alpha(G_2) = 2$ . Similarly, we can use disjoint unions of the graphs such that  $G_1$  is from Lemma 3.2.1 and  $G_2$  is from Lemma 3.2.2. If  $G_1 \cong K_1$  we have *G* isomorphic to  $K_1 \overset{\circ}{\cup} P_3 \cong G_{4,2}^2$ ,  $K_1 \overset{\circ}{\cup} P_4 \cong G_{5,3}^2$ ,  $K_1 \overset{\circ}{\cup} C_4 \cong G_{5,4}^1$ , or  $K_1 \overset{\circ}{\cup} C_5 \cong G_{6,5}^2$ . If  $G_1 \cong K_2$  we have *G* isomorphic to  $K_2 \overset{\circ}{\cup} P_3 \cong G_{5,3}^1$ ,  $K_2 \overset{\circ}{\cup} P_4 \cong G_{6,4}^1$ ,  $K_2 \overset{\circ}{\cup} C_4 \cong G_{6,5}^1$ , or  $K_2 \overset{\circ}{\cup} C_5 \cong G_{7,6}^1$ . Thus the case for *G* having two components is complete. No analysis for one component is needed since a component is connected. Hence the graphs depicted in Figure 3.4 are all the disconnected graphs in  $\mathscr{R}(3, 4)$ .



Figure 3.4: List of disconnected  $\mathscr{R}(3,4)$  graphs.

Thus we have characterized all disconnected graphs in  $\mathscr{R}(3,4)$ . Also we have shown all connected graphs *G* in  $\mathscr{R}(3,4)$  where  $\alpha(G) = 1$  and  $\alpha(G) = 2$ . In the remainder of the chapter we assume that  $G \in \mathscr{R}(3,4)$  is connected and  $\alpha(G) = 3$ .

## **3.3** Trees with $\Delta(T) \leq 3$

Every connected graph G has a spanning subgraph T that is a tree that contains all the vertices of G. These trees are called spanning trees. The proof of  $\mathscr{R}(3,4)$  uses this property to find the complete list of graphs G in  $\mathscr{R}(3,4)$  where  $\alpha(G) = 3$ . By extending all possible trees in all possible ways on a given number of vertices, the complete list of  $\mathscr{R}(3,4)$  can be found on that number of vertices since any graph G in  $\mathscr{R}(3,4)$  would have one of these spanning trees. The main result of this section is Theorem 3.3.1 which shows the list of trees up to nine vertices with a maximum degree of three.

**Theorem 3.3.1.** If T is a tree such that  $|V(T)| \le 9$  and  $\Delta(T) \le 3$ , then T is isomorphic to a graph depicted in Figure 3.5.

*Proof.* First, Lemma 3.3.2 shows all trees with a maximum degree of three up to six vertices. After this, Lemma 3.3.3, Lemma 3.3.4, and Lemma 3.3.5 show the list of trees with a maximum degree of three for seven, eight and nine vertices respectively. By combining these four lemmas, we get the trees depicted in Figure 3.5.

To help prove the lemmas, we define  $M_P$  to be a maximum path in the tree T. The proofs for the following lemmas are similar. The main case is when  $\Delta(T) = 3$ , which will be broken down into cases based on a longest path in the tree,  $M_P$ . The remaing vertices must be attached to the maximum path  $M_P$ . The leaf vertices of  $M_P$  cannot be part of the attachment since this will have a longer maximum path in T. Based on how many vertices must be attached and how many vertices in  $M_P$  have degree three, the different possible attachments will find all the possible trees. By looking at each case up to isomorphism, the graphs in Figure 3.5 will be shown to be complete.



Figure 3.5: All trees up to nine vertices with  $\Delta(T) \leq 3$ .

**Lemma 3.3.2.** If T is a tree such that  $1 \le |V(T)| \le 6$  and  $\Delta(T) \le 3$ , then T is in Figure 3.6.



Figure 3.6: Trees up to six vertices with  $\Delta(T) \leq 3$ .

*Proof.* Let *T* be a tree with at most six vertices. It may be worth noting that this tree is a connected graph. If  $\Delta(T) \leq 2$  we can use Corollary 1.2.3 to show that *T* must be a path. The trees up to six vertices with  $\Delta(T) \leq 2$  are  $P_1$ ,  $P_2$ ,  $P_3$ ,  $P_4$ ,  $P_5$ , and  $P_6$ . Now we may assume that  $\Delta(T) = 3$ . We may also assume that  $|V(T)| \geq 4$  since the only graph that is not a path with fewer than four vertices is  $K_3$ .

Suppose  $\Delta(T) = 3$  and |V(T)| = 4. The length of a maximum path  $M_P$  of T must be exactly three. Let  $M_P = v_1 v_2 v_3$ . The vertex  $v_4$  can only be attached to  $v_2$  without creating a longer maximum path. Thus, the resulting graph is isomorphic to  $T_4^1$ . Now we may assume that  $|V(T)| \ge 5$ .

Suppose |V(T)| = 5. The length of the maximum path  $M_P$  of T must be exactly four. Let  $M_P = v_1 v_2 v_3 v_4$ . The vertex  $v_5$  can be attached to  $v_2$  or  $v_3$  without creating a longer maximum path. Both of the resulting graphs are isomorphic to  $T_5^1$ . Now we may assume that |V(T)| = 6.

Suppose |V(T)| = 6. The length of the maximum path  $M_P$  of T can be either four or five. Suppose  $M_P = v_1 v_2 v_3 v_4$ . The vertices  $v_5$  and  $v_6$  must be attached to  $M_P$  without creating a longer maximum path. If  $v_5 v_6 \in E(T)$ , then any attachment to  $M_P$  would result in a longer maximum path. Thus  $v_5 v_6 \notin E(T)$ . Since  $\Delta(T) = 3$ , the only graph up to isomorphism is formed by adding the edges  $v_2v_5$  and  $v_3v_6$ . The resulting graph is isomorphic to  $T_6^1$ . Now we Suppose  $M_P = v_1v_2v_3v_4v_5$ . The vertex  $v_6$  must be attached. The attachment by adding the edge  $v_2v_6$  or  $v_4v_6$  forms the tree  $T_6^2$ . The other attachment by adding the edge  $v_3v_6$ forms the tree  $T_6^3$ . Thus if *T* is a tree such that  $1 \le |V(T)| \le 6$  and  $\Delta(T) \le 3$ , then *T* is in Figure 3.6.

**Lemma 3.3.3.** If T is a tree such that |V(T)| = 7 and  $\Delta(T) \leq 3$ , then T is in Figure 3.7.



Figure 3.7: Trees on seven vertices with  $\Delta(T) \leq 3$ .

*Proof.* Suppose T is a tree such that |V(T)| = 7 and  $\Delta(T) \le 3$ . From Corollary 1.2.3 we know if  $\Delta(T) \le 2$  then T would be isomorphic to  $P_7$ . Thus we may assume  $\Delta(T) = 3$ . Let  $M_P$  be a maximum path in T.

The length of the maximum path  $M_P$  of T can be at most six. Now, suppose  $M_P = v_1v_2v_3v_4v_5v_6$ . Exactly one vertex,  $v_7$ , in V(T) that does not lie on  $M_P$ . Adding an edge to  $v_1$  or  $v_6$  will result in a longer maximum path. Thus exactly one vertex in  $\{v_2, v_3, v_4, v_5\}$  can have degree three to attach  $v_7$ . The graph formed by adding  $v_2v_7$  or  $v_5v_7$  is isomorphic to  $T_7^3$ . The graph formed by adding  $v_3v_7$  or  $v_4v_7$  is isomorphic to  $T_7^4$ . Now  $M_P$  can be at most five vertices.

Suppose  $M_P = v_1 v_2 v_3 v_4 v_5$ . Exactly two vertices  $v_6, v_7 \in V(T)$  do not lie on  $M_P$ . Adding an edge to  $v_1$  or  $v_5$  will result in a longer maximum path. Thus at most two vertices in  $\{v_2, v_3, v_4\}$  can have degree three to attach  $v_6$  and  $v_7$ . Suppose  $v_6 v_7 \in E(T)$ . The graph formed by adding  $v_2v_6$ ,  $v_2v_7$ ,  $v_4v_6$  or  $v_4v_7$  will result in a longer maximum path. The graph formed by adding  $v_3v_6$  or  $v_3v_7$  is isomorphic to  $T_7^5$ . Now we may suppose  $v_6v_7 \notin E(T)$ . Since  $\Delta(T) = 3$ , exactly two vertices in  $\{v_2, v_3, v_4\}$  have degree three. The graphs formed by adding the attachment  $v_6$  and  $v_7$  up to isomorphism are  $T_7^1$  and  $T_7^2$ .

Now the maximum path can be at most four. However, since  $\Delta(T) \leq 3$ , a longer maximum path will be created by attaching the three vertices that do not lie on  $M_P$ . Hence the graphs depicted in Figure 3.7 are the trees on seven vertices with a maximum degree of three.

**Lemma 3.3.4.** If T is a tree such that |V(T)| = 8 and  $\Delta(T) \le 3$ , then T is in Figure 3.8.



Figure 3.8: Trees on eight vertices with  $\Delta(T) \leq 3$ .

*Proof.* Suppose T is a tree such that |V(T)| = 8 and  $\Delta(T) \le 3$ . From Corollary 1.2.3 we know if  $\Delta(T) \le 2$  then T would be isomorphic to  $P_8$ . Thus we may assume  $\Delta(T) = 3$ . Let  $M_P$  be a maximum path in T.

The length of the maximum path  $M_P$  of T can be at most seven. Suppose  $M_P = v_1v_2v_3v_4v_5v_6v_7$ . Exactly one vertex,  $v_8$ , in V(T) that does not lie on  $M_P$ . Adding an edge to  $v_1$  or  $v_7$  will result in a longer maximum path. Thus exactly one vertex in  $\{v_2, v_3, v_4, v_5, v_6\}$  can have degree three to attach  $v_8$ . The graph formed by adding  $v_2v_8$  or  $v_6v_8$  is isomorphic

to  $T_8^8$ . The graph formed by adding  $v_3v_8$  or  $v_5v_8$  is isomorphic to  $T_8^9$ . The graph formed by adding  $v_4v_8$  is isomorphic to  $T_8^{10}$ . Now  $M_P$  can be at most six vertices.

Suppose  $M_P = v_1 v_2 v_3 v_4 v_5 v_6$ . Exactly two vertices,  $v_7, v_8 \in V(T)$  do not lie on  $M_P$ . Adding an edge to  $v_1$  or  $v_6$  will result in a longer maximum path. Thus at most two vertices in  $\{v_2, v_3, v_4, v_5\}$  can have degree three to attach  $v_7$  and  $v_8$ . Suppose  $v_7 v_8 \in E(G)$ . The graph formed by adding  $v_2 v_7$ ,  $v_2 v_8$ ,  $v_5 v_7$  or  $v_5 v_8$  will result in a longer maximum path. The graph formed by adding  $v_3 v_7$ ,  $v_3 v_8$ ,  $v_4 v_7$  or  $v_4 v_8$  is isomorphic to  $T_8^7$ . Now we may suppose  $v_7 v_8 \notin E(T)$ . Since  $\Delta(T) = 3$ , exactly two vertices in  $\{v_2, v_3, v_4, v_5\}$  have degree three. Suppose  $v_2 v_7 \in E(T)$ . The graph formed by adding  $v_3 v_8$  is isomorphic to  $T_8^2$ . The graph formed by adding  $v_4 v_8$  is isomorphic to  $T_8^4$ . The graph formed by adding  $v_5 v_8$  is isomorphic to  $T_8^6$ . The graph formed by adding  $v_5 v_8$  is isomorphic to a graph in the previous case,  $T_8^4$ . Now suppose  $v_4 v_7 \in E(T)$ . The graph formed by adding  $v_5 v_8$  is isomorphic to a previous case,  $T_8^2$ . Now  $M_P$  can be at most five vertices.

Suppose  $M_P = v_1v_2v_3v_4v_5$ . Exactly three vertices,  $v_6, v_7, v_8 \in V(T)$  do not lie on  $M_P$ . Adding an edge to  $v_1$  or  $v_6$  will result in a longer maximum path. Thus at most three vertices in  $\{v_2, v_3, v_4\}$  can have degree three to attach  $v_6, v_7$ , and  $v_8$ . Without loss of generality, let  $v_3v_6 \in E(T)$ . The vertices  $v_7$  and  $v_8$  can be added to  $\{v_2, v_4, v_6\}$  since  $d(v_3) = 3$ . Suppose  $v_7v_8 \in E(G)$ . The graph formed by adding  $v_2v_7, v_2v_8, v_4v_7, v_4v_8, v_6v_7$ , or  $v_6v_8$  will result in a longer maximum path. Now we may assume that  $v_7v_8 \notin E(T)$ . Suppose  $v_2v_7 \in E(T)$ . The graph formed by adding  $v_6v_8$  is isomorphic to  $T_8^5$ . The graph formed by adding  $v_4v_8$ is isomorphic to  $T_8^1$ . Now if we suppose  $v_4v_7 \in E(T)$ , we would have isomorphic graphs. This leaves us to assume  $v_6v_7 \in E(T)$ . The graph formed by adding  $v_4v_8$  is isomorphic to a previous case,  $T_8^5$ . The graph formed by adding  $v_6v_8$  is isomorphic to a previous case,  $T_8^5$ .

Now the maximum path can be at most four. However, since  $\Delta(T) \leq 3$ , a longer maximum path will be created by attaching the four vertices that do not lie on  $M_P$ . Hence the

graphs depicted in Figure 3.8 are the trees on eight vertices with a maximum degree of three.  $\hfill \Box$ 



**Lemma 3.3.5.** If T is a tree such that |V(T)| = 9 and  $\Delta(T) \leq 3$ , then T is in Figure 3.9.

Figure 3.9: Trees on nine vertices with  $\Delta(T) \leq 3$ .

*Proof.* Suppose T is a tree such that |V(T)| = 9 and  $\Delta(T) \le 3$ . From Corollary 1.2.3 we know if  $\Delta(T) \le 2$  then T would be isomorphic to P<sub>9</sub>. Thus we may assume  $\Delta(T) = 3$ . Let  $M_P$  be the maximum path in T.

The length of the maximum path  $M_P$  of T can be at most eight. Suppose  $M_P$  is isomorphic to  $P_8$  and  $M_P = v_1 v_2 v_3 v_4 v_5 v_6 v_7 v_8$ . Exactly one vertex  $v_9 \in T$  does not lie on  $M_P$ . Since  $d(v_1) = d(v_8) = 1$ , there is exactly one vertex on  $M_P$  has degree three in T. If  $v_2$ ( or  $v_7$ ) has degree three in T, then  $T \cong T_9^{13}$ . If  $v_3$ ( or  $v_6$ ) has degree three in T, then  $T \cong T_9^{14}$ . If  $v_4$ ( or  $v_5$ ) has degree three in T, then  $T \cong T_9^{15}$ . Thus the case for a maximum path of eight is concluded.

Suppose  $M_P$  is isomorphic to  $P_7$  and  $M_P = v_1 v_2 v_3 v_4 v_5 v_6 v_7$ . Exactly two vertices  $v_8, v_9 \in T$  do not lie on  $M_P$ . Since  $d(v_1) = d(v_7) = 1$ , either one or two vertices on  $M_P$  have degree

three in *T*. Suppose one vertex of  $M_P$  has degree three in *T*. Both vertices  $v_8$  and  $v_9$  must be attached through the same vertex of  $M_P$ . Since  $\Delta(T) = 3$ , we know that  $v_8v_9 \in E(T)$ . Without loss of generality, we can assume that  $d(v_8) = 2$  in *T*. If  $d(v_2) = 3$  (or  $v_6$ ), then a longer maximum path would exist in *T*. If  $d(v_3) = 3$  (or  $v_5$ ), then  $T \cong T_9^{16}$ . If  $d(v_4) = 3$ , then  $T \cong T_9^{17}$ . This concludes one vertex of degree three in *T*. Suppose two vertices in *T* have degree three. If  $d(v_2) = d(v_3) = 3$  (or  $v_5$  and  $v_6$ ), then  $T \cong T_9^5$ . If  $d(v_2) = d(v_4) = 3$  (or  $v_4$ and  $v_6$ ), then  $T \cong T_9^8$ . If  $d(v_2) = d(v_5) = 3$  (or  $v_3$  and  $v_6$ ), then  $T \cong T_9^{72}$ . If  $d(v_2) = d(v_6) = 3$ , then  $T \cong T_9^6$ . If  $d(v_3) = d(v_4) = 3$  (or  $v_4$  and  $v_5$ ), then  $T \cong T_9^{12}$ . If  $d(v_3) = d(v_5) = 3$ , then  $T \cong T_9^{11}$ . This concludes the case for a maximum path of seven.

Suppose  $M_P$  is isomorphic to  $P_6$  and  $M_P = v_1 v_2 v_3 v_4 v_5 v_6$ . There are exactly three vertices  $v_7, v_8, v_9 \in T$  do not lie on  $M_P$ . Since  $d(v_1) = d(v_6) = 1$ , either one, two, or three vertices on  $M_P$  have degree three in T. Suppose one vertex of  $M_P$  has degree three in T. Since  $v_7$ ,  $v_8$ , and  $v_9$  must attach to  $M_P$  through one vertex, we can assume  $v_7v_8 \in E(T)$ and  $v_8v_9 \in E(T)$  without loss of generality. If  $d(v_2) = 3$  (or  $v_5$ ), then a longer maximum path would exist in T regardless of how it is attached. Suppose  $d(v_3) = 3$  (or  $v_4$ ). If  $v_3v_7 \in E(T)$  (or  $v_3v_9$ ), then the path  $v_9v_8v_7v_3v_4v_5v_6$  is longer than  $M_P$ . If  $v_3v_8 \in E(T)$ , then  $T \cong T_9^4$ . Suppose exactly two vertices of  $M_P$  has degree three in T. Clearly two attachments to  $M_P$  must be made, one of size two, and the other of size one. Without loss of generatlity, we assume that  $v_7v_8 \in E(T)$  and  $d(v_7) = 2$  as one partition and  $v_9$  as the second partition. If  $v_2v_7 \in E(T)$  (or  $v_5$ ), then a longer maximum path would exist. Suppose  $v_3v_7 \in E(T)$  (or  $v_4v_7$ ). Now  $v_9$  must be attached to a vertex in  $M_P$  other than  $v_1, v_3$ , or  $v_6$ . If  $v_2v_9 \in E(T)$ , then  $T \cong T_9^4$ , a graph which was found in the previous case. If  $v_4v_9 \in E(T)$ , then  $T \cong T_9^1 0$ . If  $v_5v_9 \in E(T)$ , then  $T \cong T_9^9$ . This concludes two vertices of  $M_P$  have degree three. Suppose exactly three vertices of  $M_P$  has degree three in T. Clearly three attachments to  $M_P$  each of size one must be made. If  $d(v_2) = d(v_3) = d(v_4) = 3$  (or  $d(v_3) = d(v_4) = d(v_5) = 3$ ), then  $T \cong T_9^2$ . If  $d(v_2) = d(v_3) = d(v_5) = 3$  (or  $d(v_2) = d(v_4) = d(v_5) = 3$ ), then  $T \cong T_9^1$ . Thus the final case for  $M_P$  of size six is concluded.

Suppose  $M_P$  is isomorphic to  $P_5$  and  $M_P = v_1v_2v_3v_4v_5$ . There are exactly four vertices  $v_6, v_7, v_8, v_9 \in T$  do not lie on  $M_P$ . Since  $d(v_1) = d(v_5) = 1$ , either one, two, three, or four vertices on  $M_P$  have degree three in T. Suppose exactly one vertex of  $M_P$  has degree three in T. The vertices  $v_6, v_7, v_8$ , and  $v_9$  must all be attached through one vertex of  $M_P$ . Since  $\Delta(T) = 3$ , this is impossible to do without creating a longer maximum path. A similar argument exists for the case with two vertices of  $M_P$  having degree three in T. Suppose exactly three vertices of  $M_P$  have degree three. The three vertices of  $M_P$  with degree three must be  $v_2, v_3$ , and  $v_4$ . The size of the attachments must be one, one, and two since there are four vertices and three partitions. Without loss of generality, let  $v_6v_7 \in E(T)$  and  $d(v_6) = 2$ . The edge  $v_3v_6 \in E(T)$  otherwise T would have a longer maximum path. The remaining vertices can be attached either way to show  $T \cong T_9^3$ . If four vertices of  $M_P$  had degree three, a longer maximum path would exist in T. This concludes the trees with maximum path of five.

Now the maximum path can be at most four. However, since  $\Delta(T) \leq 3$ , a longer maximum path will be created by attaching the four vertices that do not lie on  $M_P$ . Hence the graphs depicted in Figure 3.9 are the trees on nine vertices with a maximum degree of three.

Using the list proved by Theorem 3.3.1, we will extend these trees in the next section to prove Theorem 3.4.2 to reduce the work needed by the main theorem of this dissertation.

## **3.4** Trees in $\mathcal{R}(3,4)$

In this section we consider the case when  $G \in \mathscr{R}(3,4)$  is a tree. Let *T* be a tree in  $\mathscr{R}(3,4)$ . From Lemma 3.2.1 and Lemma 3.2.2, the trees for  $\alpha = 1$  and  $\alpha = 2$  are easily found. These trees are  $K_1, K_2, P_3$ , and  $P_4$ . The remaining trees in  $\mathscr{R}(3,4)$  must have  $\alpha = 3$ .

**Lemma 3.4.1.** If  $T \in \mathscr{R}(3,4)$  is a tree and  $\alpha(T) = 3$ , then T is isomorphic to  $G_{4,3}^1$ ,  $G_{5,4}^2$ ,  $G_{6,5}^3$ ,  $P_5$ , or  $P_6$  depicted in Figure 3.10.



Figure 3.10: List of  $\mathscr{R}(3,4)$  trees with  $\alpha(G) = 3$ .

*Proof.* By Corollary 1.2.3, if  $\Delta(T) \leq 2$ , then *T* is a path. The paths  $P_5$  and  $P_6$  are the only paths with an independence number of exactly three, which are both in the list. Thus we may assume  $\Delta(T) = 3$ . Let  $v \in V(T)$  such that d(v) = 3 and let  $r, s, t \in N(v)$ . Removing the vertex *v* from *T*, three components will be formed, so let  $T - v \cong T_r \bigcup^{\circ} T_s \bigcup^{\circ} T_t$  where  $T_r, T_s, T_t$  are the components that contain the vertices *r*, *s*, and *t* respectively. Since there are no edges between components and  $T \in \mathscr{R}(3, 4)$ , we know  $\alpha(T_r) + \alpha(T_s) + \alpha(T_t) \leq 3$ . This means each component can have a maximum independent set of 1. Using Lemma 3.2.1, the components can be  $K_1$  or  $K_2$ . We now add *v* back to get four possible graphs. The case where  $T - v = K_2 \bigcup^{\circ} K_2 \bigcup^{\circ} K_2$  will have  $\alpha(T) = 4$  as shown in Figure 3.11, so it is not in  $\mathscr{R}(3,4)$ . Thus T - v must be isomorphic to one of  $G_{4,3}^1, G_{5,4}^2$ , and  $G_{6,5}^3$ . Lemma 3.1.2 states no graph *T* exists such that  $\Delta(T) \geq 4$ . This completes the list of connected trees.



Figure 3.11: The tree  $T - v = K_2 \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} K_2 \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} K_2$ .

Lemma 3.4.1 gives all the trees in  $\mathscr{R}(3,4)$  with  $\alpha(T) = 3$ . The next theorem will help for construction of the remaining graphs in  $\mathscr{R}(3,4)$ . Any connected graph has a spanning tree. If  $G \in \mathscr{R}(3,4)$ , then  $\alpha(G) < 4$ , but a spanning tree  $T \subseteq G$  does not need to have  $\alpha(T) < 4$  since  $E(T) \subseteq E(G)$ . This means  $\alpha(T) \ge \alpha(G)$ .

Theorem 3.4.2 will use the tables at the end of the section in its proof to show the graph has a Hamilton path. First, we will describe how to interpret the tables and navigate the proof.

The table starts with a list of all trees that have  $\Delta(T) = 3$  from Theorem 3.3.1 and have  $\alpha(T) \ge 4$ . Because  $\alpha(T) \ge 4$ , an edge must be added to every independent set of size four to decrease the independence number of the graph to three. In order to understand how to read the tables, we will refer to Table 3.1 as an example. The vertices of the tree are labeled in the figure on the right side. An independent set S of size four is on the left(and are represented by hollow vertices in the graph). From Lemma 3.1.3, an edge must be added between two vertices of S. From the four vertices in S, there are six possible edge additions that cover all cases, and the table on the left explains the result of each edge addition. For example, in Table 3.1, the goal is to show that an extension of  $T, T^*$ , will have a Hamilton path, a triangle, or a vertex of degree four. If  $T^*$  has a triangle or vertex of degree four,  $T^*$ will not be a subgraph of any graph in  $\mathcal{R}(3,4)$ , and generation may stop on the condition listed in Lemma 3.1.2. Generation may also stop when a Hamilton path is found since  $T^*$  will have the same Hamilton path with any further edge additions. Temporary graphs  $H_{|V|,|E|+1}^{i}$  may be formed where  $\alpha(H_{|V|,|E|+1}^{i}) \geq 4$ ,  $\Delta(G) \leq 3$ , and  $H^{i}$  is triangle free. For example, by extending all trees to a  $T^*$  or one of the stopping conditions, we will prove Theorem 3.4.2.

Lets take a look at how the tree  $T_7^2$  in Table 3.1 eventually forms a Hamilton path. In Table 3.1, the set  $S = \{A, D, E, G\}$  is independent, and from Lemma 3.1.3, if  $T_7^1$  is a subgraph of some  $G \in \mathscr{R}(3,4)$ , then there is a pair  $\{x,y\} \subseteq S$  such that  $xy \in E(G)$ . We now look at the six possible edges formed by *S*, namely *AC*, *AE*, *AG*, *CE*, *CG*, and *EG*. These edges are listed in the left panel of the table, with the result of  $T_7^2 + e$  also listed where *e* is a possible edge addition. The graphs  $T_7^2 + EG$  creates a triangle *EFG*, and thus will not be in the class of  $\mathscr{R}(3,4)$ . The generation along this path stops here. When one of the four edges, *AE*, *AG*, *DE*, and *DG* is added to  $T_7^2$ , a Hamilton path is formed and is labeled in the result. Since this is the result we are looking for, generation stops since any graph that contains this graph will also have a Hamilton path. The remaining edge, *AD* form a graph isomorphic to  $H_{7,7}^2$ . The generation continues on a graph further in the table.

Table	3.1:	Exampl	le	tree	$T_{7}^{2}$
	· ·	- in the second			- /



Continuing to the graph  $H_{7,7}^2$  in Table 3.2, we have a set  $S = \{B, D, F, G\}$  of four mutaully independent vertices. Since  $\alpha(H_{7,7}^2) \ge 4$ , Lemma 3.1.3 states there must exist an edge in the set of a graph in  $\Re(3,4)$ . The possible edge additions are *BD*, *BF*, *BG*, *DF*, *DG*, and *FG*. The vertex *D* has a degree of three, so by adding any edge incident with *D* will make  $d(D) \ge 4$  contradicting Lemma 3.1.2, so *BD*, *DF*, and *DG* stop generation. Adding either edge *BF* or *BG* a Hamilton path is formed and is labeled. The final edge, *FG*, results in a triangle formed, so the resulting graph will not be a subgraph of a graph in  $\Re(3,4)$ . This concludes the part of the proof for  $\alpha(T_7^2) \ge 4$ . This will be used in the next section to say that if for  $G \in \Re(3,4)$ , *T* is a spanning tree of *G* such that  $\alpha(T) \ge 4$  then *G* has a spanning path. The following tables can be used for the proof of Theorem 3.4.2 and can be read in the same way as the above example.



Table 3.2: Example of temporary graph  $H_7^2$ .

This leads to the main theorem of this chapter, Theorem 3.4.2, which shows that a graph in  $\Re(3,4)$  is a tree or has a Hamilton path.

**Theorem 3.4.2.** Let  $G \in \mathscr{R}(3,4)$  be connected with at most nine vertices. If  $\alpha(G) = 3$  then *G* is a tree or has a Hamilton path.

*Proof.* Let *G* in  $\mathscr{R}(3,4)$  be connected with at most nine vertices. If *G* is acyclic, then *G* is a tree. Thus we may assume *G* is not acyclic. There exists some spanning tree  $T \subseteq G$ . Clearly, there is a set of edges such that  $G \cong T + e_1 + e_2 + \cdots + e_n$ . Since we want to find all graphs in  $\mathscr{R}(3,4)$ , we can extend all trees on *n* vertices to find all graphs in  $\mathscr{R}(3,4)$  with *n* vertices. The remainder of this proof will focus on the construction of *G* from the tree *T*.

Let *T* be a tree. If  $\alpha(T) \leq 3$  then *T* must be isomporphic to one of the graphs shown in Lemma 3.2.1, Lemma 3.2.2, or Lemma 3.4.1, which all of these graphs have a Hamilton path or are a tree. Thus, we may assume  $\alpha(T) \geq 4$ .

From Lemma 3.1.3, each independent set of size four in T must have an edge added to decrease the independence number. Since every set must have an edge added, the order in which the edges are added does not matter, so we may choose any independent set S of size four initially. Up to six graphs can be formed from the six possible edge additions. By exending all trees found in in this way, we get all graphs G in  $\Re(3,4)$  with |V(T)| vertices. We start this process on six vertices since trees with fewer vertices will not have an independence number of four.

We are only concerned about graphs in  $\mathscr{R}(3,4)$ , so we can limit new graphs based on necessary conditions to be a subgraph of a graph in  $\mathscr{R}(3,4)$ . There is no need to continue generation if addin an edge forms a triangle, a vertex of degree four, or a Hamilton path. Also, we only need to search the trees found in Theorem 3.3.1 since any tree not in the list cannot be a subgraph of a graph in  $\mathscr{R}(3,4)$ .

The arrangment of the Tables 3.3 through Table 3.6 prevents a circular argument. The tables are arranged in such a way that |V(G)| is constant throughout the table. The graphs are ordered in such a way that  $|E(G_i)| \leq |E(G_{i+1})|$  where i is the position of the graph on the table. For each graph G, two possible results can happen. From an edge addition, the generation may terminate if no edge exists that can be added to G to satisfy the necessary conditions, for  $G \in \mathscr{R}(3,4)$ . The other case is to add a new graph to the table, namely G+e. The new graph will always have exactly one more edge than G. By only advancing foward to a graph with exactly one more edge, we will not encounter a circular logic since all generations terminate in the end.

E  = 5		
	$T_{6}^{1}$	
$S = \{A, C, E\}$	, <i>F</i> }	$\alpha \ge 4$
Add Edge AC AE AF CE CF EF	Result Triangle <i>ABC</i> Hamilton Path <i>CBAEDF</i> Hamilton Path <i>CBAFDE</i> Hamilton Path <i>ABCEDF</i> Hamilton Path <i>ABCFDE</i> Triangle <i>DEF</i>	
$T_{6}^{2}$		
$S = \{A, C, D\}$	, <i>F</i> }	$\alpha \ge 4$
Add Edge AC AD AF CD CF DF	Result Triangle <i>ABC</i> Triangle <i>ABD</i> Hamilton Path <i>CBAFED</i> Triangle <i>BCD</i> Hamilton Path <i>ABCFED</i> Triangle <i>DEF</i>	

Table 3.3: G in  $\mathscr{R}(3,4)$  contains a Hamilton path on six vertices.

$ \mathbf{E}  = 6$		
	$T_{7}^{1}$	
$S = \{A, C, E\}$	$,G\}$	$\alpha \ge 4$
Add Edge AC AE AG CE CG EG	ResultTriangle ABC $H_{7.7}^1$ $H_{7.7}^1$ $H_{7,7}^1$ $H_{7,7}^1$ $H_{7,7}^1$ Triangle EFG	
	$T_{7}^{2}$	
$S = \{A, D, E\}$	, <i>G</i> }	$\alpha \ge 4$
Add Edge AD AE AG DE DG EG	Result $H_{7.7}^2$ Hamilton Path <i>GFEABCD</i> Hamilton Path <i>EFGABCD</i> Hamilton Path <i>ABCDEFG</i> Hamilton Path <i>ABCDGFE</i> Triangle <i>EFG</i>	

Table 3.4: G in  $\mathscr{R}(3,4)$  contains a Hamilton path on seven vertices.

		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
$T_{7}^{3}$		
$S = \{A, C, D\}$	<i>,F</i> }	$\alpha \ge 4$
Add Edge AC AD AF CD CF DF	ResultTriangle ABCTriangle ABD $H_{7,7}^1$ Triangle BCD $H_{7,7}^1$ Triangle DEF	
	$T_{7}^{4}$	
$S = \{A, D, E\}$	,G}	$\alpha \ge 4$
Add Edge AD AE AG DE DG EG	Result Hamilton Path <b>AD</b> <i>CBEFG</i> Triangle <i>ABE</i> Hamilton Path <i>DCBEF</i> <b>GA</b> Hamilton Path <i>ABC</i> <b>DE</b> <i>FG</i> Hamilton Path <i>ABC</i> <b>DG</b> <i>FE</i> Triangle <i>EFG</i>	
$T_{7}^{5}$		
$S = \{A, C, E\}$	,0}	$\alpha \geq 4$
Add Edge AC AE AG CE CG EG	Result $d(C) \ge 4$ Hamilton Path <i>GFCDEAB</i> Hamilton Path <i>EDCFGAB</i> $d(C) \ge 4$ $d(C) \ge 4$ Hamilton Path <i>ABCDEGF</i>	

E  = 7			
	$H^{1}_{7,7}$		
$S = \{A, C, F,$	<i>G</i> }	$\alpha \ge 4$	
Add Edge AC AF AG CF CG FG	Result Triangle <i>ABC</i> Triangle <i>AEF</i> Triangle <i>ABG</i> Hamilton Path <i>GBAED</i> <b>CF</b> Triangle <i>BCG</i> Hamilton Path <i>CDE</i> <b>FG</b> <i>BA</i>		
	$H_{7,7}^2$		
$S = \{B, D, F,$	$,G\}$	$\alpha \ge 4$	
Add Edge BD BF BG DF DG FG	Result $d(D) \ge 4$ Hamilton Path <i>GE</i> <b>FB</b> <i>ADC</i> Hamilton Path <i>FE</i> <b>GB</b> <i>ADC</i> $d(D) \ge 4$ $d(D) \ge 4$ Triangle <i>EFG</i>		



Table 3.5: G in  $\mathcal{R}(3,4)$  contains a Hamilton path on eight vertices.

$T_{8}^{4}$		
$S = \{A, C, G\}$	<i>H</i> }	$\alpha \ge 4$
Add Edge AC AG AH CG CH GH	Result         Triangle ABC $H_{8,8}^8$ $H_{8,8}^7$ $H_{8,8}^7$ $H_{8,8}^8$ $H_{8,8}^7$ $H_{8,8}^7$ $H_{8,8}^7$ $H_{8,8}^7$ $H_{8,8}^7$ $H_{8,8}^7$ $H_{8,8}^7$	
	$T_{8}^{5}$	
$S = \{A, C, D\}$	9,H}	$\alpha \ge 4$
Add Edge AC AD AH CD CH DH	ResultTriangle ABC $d(D) \ge 4$ Hamilton Path FEDGHABC $d(D) \ge 4$ Hamilton Path FEDGHCBA $d(D) \ge 4$	
$T_8^6$		
$S = \{A, D, H\}$	I,G	$\alpha \ge 4$
Add Edge AD AH AG DH DG HG	Result $H_{8,8}^6$ Hamilton Path DCBAHEFGHamilton Path DCBAGFEHHamilton Path ABCDHEFGHamilton Path ABCDGFEH $H_{8,8}^6$	

$T_{8}^{7}$			
$S = \{B, D, F\}$	, <i>H</i> }	$\alpha \ge 4$	
Add Edge BD BF BH DF DH FH	Result Triangle <i>BCD</i> Triangle <i>BCF</i> Hamilton Path A <b>BH</b> GFCDE Triangle <i>CDF</i> Hamilton Path <i>E</i> <b>DH</b> GFCBA Triangle <i>FGH</i>		
	$T_{8}^{8}$		
$S = \{A, C, F\}$	,H}	$\alpha \ge 4$	
Add Edge AC AF AH CF CH FH	Result Triangle <i>ABC</i> $H_{8,8}^7$ Hamilton Path <i>CBAHGFED</i> $H_{8,8}^7$ Hamilton Path <i>ABCHGFED</i> Triangle <i>FGH</i>		
$T_{8}^{9}$			
$S = \{B, D, E\}$	$\{,G\}$	$\alpha \ge 4$	
Add Edge BD BE BG DE DG EG	ResultTriangle BCDTriangle BCE $H_{8,8}^4$ Triangle CDE $H_{8,8}^7$ Triangle EFG		





Edges = 9			
$H^{1}_{8,9}$			
$S = \{B, C, G\}$	<i>,H</i> }	$\alpha \ge 4$	
Add Edge BC BG BH CG CH GH	Result Triangle <i>ABC</i> Hamilton Path <i>HED</i> GBACF Hamilton Path <i>GDE</i> HBACF Hamilton Path <i>HED</i> GCABF Hamilton Path <i>GDE</i> HCABF Hamilton Path <i>CABFE</i> HGD		



Table 3.6: G in  $\mathscr{R}(3,4)$  contains a Hamilton path on nine vertices.











$H_{9,9}^4$		
$S = \{B, E, G\}$	, <i>H</i> }	$\alpha \ge 4$
Add Edge BE BG BH EG EH GH	Result $d(B), d(E) \ge 4$ $d(B) \ge 4$ $d(B) \ge 4$ $d(E) \ge 4$ $d(E) \ge 4$ Hamilton Path <i>IBCDEF</i> <b>GH</b> A	
	$H_{9,9}^5$	
$S = \{A, F, H\}$	, <i>I</i> }	$\alpha \ge 4$
Add Edge AF AH AI FH FI HI	Result Triangle <i>AFG</i> Triangle <i>AGH</i> Triangle <i>ABI</i> Triangle <i>FGH</i> Hamilton Path <i>HGAB</i> <b>IF</b> <i>EDC</i> Hamilton Path <i>ABCDEFG</i> <b>HI</b>	
$H_{9,9}^{6}$		
$S = \{B, D, E\}$	$,G\}$	$\alpha \ge 4$
Add Edge BD BE BG DE DG EG	ResultTriangle ABD $d(E) \ge 4$ Hamilton Path IHECDABGF $d(E) \ge 4$ Hamilton Path IHECBADGF $d(E) \ge 4$	

$H_{9,9}^{7}$			
$S = \{B, F, H\}$	, <i>I</i> }	$\alpha \ge 4$	
Add Edge BF BH BI FH FI HI	Result Triangle <i>ABF</i> Hamilton Path <i>IGFAEDC</i> <b>BH</b> Hamilton Path <i>HGFAEDC</i> <b>BI</b> Triangle <i>FGH</i> Triangle <i>FGI</i> Triangle <i>GHI</i>		
	$H_{9,9}^{8}$		
$S = \{A, F, H\}$	, <i>I</i> }	$\alpha \ge 4$	
Add Edge AF AH AI FH FI HI	Result $d(A) \ge 4$ $d(A) \ge 4$ $d(A) \ge 4$ Hamilton Path IDEAGFHBCHamilton Path HBCDIFGAEHamilton Path FGAEDIHBC		
$H_{9,9}^{9}$			
$S = \{B, E, F\}$	$,H\}$	$\alpha \ge 4$	
Add Edge BE BF BH EF EH FH	ResultTriangle ABE $d(F) \ge 4$ Hamilton Path IFGHBCDEA $d(F) \ge 4$ Hamilton Path IFGHEABCD $d(F) \ge 4$		
$H_{9,9}^{10}$			
--	--	----------------	
$S = \{A, D, F\}$	<i>H</i> }	$\alpha \ge 4$	
Add Edge AD AF AH DF DH FH	Result Triangle ADE Triangle AEF Hamilton Path IBAHGFEDC Triangle DEF Hamilton Path IBCDHGFEA Triangle FGH		
	$H_{9,9}^{11}$		
$S = \{A, D, F\}$	, <i>H</i> }	$\alpha \ge 4$	
Add Edge AD AF AH DF DH FH	Result $d(D) \ge 4$ Hamilton Path HGICBAFEDHamilton Path FEDGIBAH $d(D) \ge 4$ $d(D) \ge 4$ Hamilton Path ABCIGDEFH		
	<u> E  = 1</u>	0	
	$H_{9,10}^3$		
$S = \{B, D, F\}$	1}	$\alpha \ge 4$	
Add Edge BD BF BI DF DI FI	Result $d(B) \ge 4$ $d(B) \ge 4$ $d(B) \ge 4$ Triangle DEFTriangle DEITriangle EFI		

### **CHAPTER 4**

## **COMPLETING** $\mathcal{R}(3,4)$

#### 4.1 Introduction

The main result of this chapter is to show that the set of all graphs depicted in Figure 3.1 is the complete set of all graphs in  $\mathscr{R}(3,4)$ . In Chapter 3, all graphs G in  $\mathscr{R}(3,4)$  that were disconnected,  $\alpha(G) = 1$ ,  $\alpha(G) = 2$ , and trees were proved. We must prove the remaining case of G in  $\mathscr{R}(3,4)$  such that G has an independence number of three and is not a tree which is Theorem 4.2.1. Once this is done, Theorem 3.1.1 can then be used to find an independent proof for R(3,4) = 9.

### **4.2 Completeness Of** $\mathscr{R}(3,4)$

For any graph G in  $\mathscr{R}(3,4)$  that we have not found, we know G must be triangle free and have an independence number of three. Lemma 3.2.1 and Lemma 3.2.2 proved all graphs Gin  $\mathscr{R}(3,4)$  with an independence number less than or equal to two, so we may assume that  $\alpha(G) = 3$ . Also, Theorem 3.2.3 proved all the disconnected graphs in  $\mathscr{R}(3,4)$ , so we may also assume G is connected. Since Lemma 3.4.1 proved all the trees with independence number three, we may assume that G is not a tree. Thus in this section, we may assume Gis in  $\mathscr{R}(3,4)$  such that G is connected, G is not a tree, and  $\alpha(G) = 3$ .

Since *G* is a connected graph, *G* must contain a spanning tree *T*. The spanning tree *T* will be triangle free like *G*, but the independence number of *T* can be different than *G*. The independence number must be  $\alpha(T) \leq \alpha(G)$  since *T* is a subgraph of *G*. From Theorem 3.4.2, we know that any connected graph  $G \in \mathcal{R}(3,4)$  up to nine vertices with  $\alpha(G) = 3$  is a tree or has a Hamilton path. Since *G* is not a tree, we know *G* must contain a Hamilton

path. We will let the spanning tree T of G be a Hamilton path. By adding edges to T in all possible ways, we will find all graphs in  $\mathscr{R}(3,4)$  since they will all contain this Hamilton path as a spanning tree.

To start construction of all graphs G in  $\mathscr{R}(3,4)$ , we start by labeling the vertices of a Hamilton path T. We want to extend this graph by adding one edge in all possible ways to form a new set of graphs up to isomorphism. This process produces the graphs  $T + e_1$ ,  $T + e_2, \dots, T + e_n$ . We know that for any edge e that is added to T,  $\alpha(T + e) \leq \alpha(T)$  and  $\omega(T + e) \geq \omega(T)$ . Since we are looking for graphs in  $\mathscr{R}(3,4)$ , we have restrictions on the independence number and clique number of the graphs. Only graphs that are triangle free and have an independence number of at least three need to be extended in the next round. This process repeats until no more extensions are possible due to the restrictions placed on it by  $\mathscr{R}(3,4)$ .

We will use Table 4.1 to show how to read the tables used in the main proof of this chapter. Two types of graphs are listed on the table. If the name is of the form  $H_{|V|,|E|}^{i}$  then this is a graph with |V| vertices, |E| edges, and *i* as an index number. All graphs denoted  $H_{|V|,|E|}^{i}$  have  $\alpha(H_{|V|,|E|}^{i}) \ge 4$  which are not graphs in  $\mathscr{R}(3,4)$ . These graphs are only temporary placeholders since  $H_{|V|,|E|}^{i}$  can be a subgraph of a graph that is in  $\mathscr{R}(3,4)$ . This graph is extended the same way as the graphs in Theorem 3.1.1 were extended by adding an edge to an independent set of size four to reduce the independence number. Adding edges in this way will produce a triangle, a temporary graph, or a graph in  $\mathscr{R}(3,4)$ . A graph with a triangle will not be a subgraph of a graph in  $\mathscr{R}(3,4)$ , so generation will stop. Both temporary graphs and graphs in  $\mathscr{R}(3,4)$  can be subgraphs of a graph in  $\mathscr{R}(3,4)$ ; thus, generation is continued until all extended graphs cannot be a subgraph of a graph in  $\mathscr{R}(3,4)$ .

If the name is of the form  $G_{|V||E|}^{l}$ , then this is a graph in  $\mathscr{R}(3,4)$  with |V| vertices, |E| edges, and i as the index. The left side of the next row contains the set of vertices whose degree is equal to three, denoted by  $d_3(v)$ . The right side displays the independence

number of the graph. The left panel is a list of all possible edge additions to the graph and the results from adding that particular edge, while the right panel shows the labeled graph that is being used.



Table 4.1:	Initial	graph	$G_{6,5}^3$ .
------------	---------	-------	---------------

The list of degree three vertices is determined by finding all vertices in V(G) that have degree equal to three. From Lemma 3.1.2, we know that  $\Delta(G) \leq 3$  since  $G \in \mathscr{R}(3,4)$ ; thus, no edges can be added to a vertex of degree three since the maximum degree would be increased to four, contradicting Lemma 3.1.2.

The list of possible edges given is reduced from the list of all possible edges which have  $\frac{|V| |V-1|}{2}$  edges. Since parallel edges are not recognized, the edges already in E(G)are removed. Any edge that has an end vertex from one of the vertices of degree three is also removed since the addition of this edge creates a vertex of degree four. The edges remaining in the list are the possible edge additions to the graph. When a graph is a cycle, all the vertices are symmetric, so only one vertex is used to create the list of possible edge additions. The edges listed are the possible edge additions to the graph. Adding any of these edges from the list can result in creating a triangle or creating a graph in  $\Re(3, 4)$ . As mentioned earlier, if a graph has a triangle, then this graph will not be in  $\mathscr{R}(3,4)$  and is not a subgraph of any graph in  $\mathscr{R}(3,4)$ , so the generation stops along this route. Since a graph in  $\mathscr{R}(3,4)$  can be a subgraph of another graph in  $\mathscr{R}(3,4)$ , generation of the new graph is continued further in the table. This process is repeated until no graphs are left to generate, at which point all graphs found form the complete list of connected graphs in  $\mathscr{R}(3,4)$  on |V| vertices with an independence number of three.

Now we use Table 4.1 to walk through an example of generating all graphs in  $\Re(3,4)$  that have six vertices. The first graph is  $G_{6,5}^3$  which is a tree from Lemma 3.4.1 with an independence number of three. The set  $d_3(v)$  contains the vertex *C* which is the only vertex with degree three. Initially, there are  $\frac{6\cdot5}{2} = 15$  possible edges, but by removing the five edges already in the graph, the number of possible edge additions is reduced to 10. Removing any edge addition with an endvertex of *C* further reduces the number of edges to eight. These eight edges are *AD*, *AE*, *AF*, *BD*, *BE*, *BF*, *DF*, and *EF*. Now, for each edge, a new graph is formed  $G_{6.5}^3 + e$  where *e* is one of the edge additions. The result of adding any one of the edges *BD*, *BF*, or *DF* forms a triangle in the resultant graph; thus, generation along this route will stop. Adding the edge *AD* or *BE* forms a graph isomorphic to  $G_{6.6}^2$ . The remaining edge *AE* will result in a graph isomorphic to  $G_{6.6}^1$ . The new set of graphs will continue generation further in the table.

By repeating this process on  $P_6 \cong G_{6,5}^4$  we can find the complete list of graphs in  $\mathscr{R}(3,4)$  with six vertices. Just like before, the possible edge additions and results will form graphs isomorphic to  $G_{6.6}^1$ ,  $G_{6.6}^2$ ,  $G_{6.6}^3$ , or  $G_{6.6}^4$ . Since we can assume that G in  $\mathscr{R}(3,4)$  has a Hamilton path, we do not need to extend  $G_{6.5}^3$  since each graph produced is isomorphic to a graph produced from  $G_{6.5}^4$ . It is only included for clarity in the example.

By using this process for five, six, seven, and eight vertices, we get the list of connected graphs *G* in  $\mathscr{R}(3,4)$  for  $\alpha(G) = 3$ . On nine vertices, no graphs are created from *P*<sub>9</sub>, so this

**Theorem 4.2.1.** If  $G \in \mathcal{R}(3,4)$ , G is connected,  $\alpha(G) = 3$ , and G is not a tree; then G is one of the graphs in Figure 4.1



Figure 4.1: List of connected R(3,4) graphs that are not trees and  $\alpha(G) = 3$ .

*Proof.* Let *G* be in  $\Re(3,4)$ , connected,  $\alpha(G) = 3$ , and *G* is not a tree. We start with a Hamilton path on |V| vertices. Adding edges in all possible ways as described previously will give us the complete list of graphs meeting our conditions on |V| vertices. Since no graphs with fewer than five vertices have  $\alpha(G) = 3$  and are not trees, we start with Table 4.2 for five vertices. The process is repeated for six, seven, eight, and nine vertices in the

other tables. Since no graphs were found on nine vertices, we also do not need any tables that are larger than nine vertices to complete the proof. By analyzing the results from Table 4.2 through Table 4.6 we get the complete list in of graphs depicted in Figure 4.1.  $\Box$ 

As in Chapter 3, the arrangment of the tables prevents a circular argument from being made. The tables are arranged in such a way that |V(G)| is constant throughout the table. In each table, the graphs are ordered in such a way that  $|E(G_i)| \le |E(G_{i+1})|$  where i is the position of the graph on the table, so no infinite loop can exist as we progress through the tables. For each graph G, two possibilities can happen by adding an edge. First, the generation may terminate if no edge exists that can be added to G to satisfy the necessary conditions. The other way will be to consider a new graph that is further in the table, G+e. The new graph will always have exactly one more edge than G. By only going foward to a graph with exactly one more edge, we will not encounter a circular logic proof since the generation will terminate in the end.

Now that Theorem 4.2.1 has been established, it can be used with what we also proved in Chapter 3 to prove Theorem 3.1.1.

*Theorem 3.1.1* If  $G \in \mathscr{R}(3,4)$ , then *G* is isomorphic to one of the graphs depicted in Figure 3.1.

*Proof.* Let  $G \in \mathscr{R}(3,4)$ . If G is disconnected then G is a graph from Theorem 3.2.3. Thus we may assume G is connected. If  $\alpha(G) = 1$ , then G is proved in Lemma 3.2.1. If  $\alpha(G) = 2$ , then G is proved in Lemma 3.2.2. Now  $\alpha(G) = 3$ . If G is a tree, then G is proved in Lemma 3.4.1. The remaining case is G is connected and not a tree, which is proved in Theorem 4.2.1. Since no G is in  $\mathscr{R}(3,4)$  when  $\alpha(G) \ge 4$ , the union of these lists shown in Figure 3.1 is the complete list of  $\mathscr{R}(3,4)$ .

**Theorem 4.2.2.** R(3,4) = 9.

*Proof.* Theorem 3.1.1 establishes the complete list of Ramsey graphs. By analyzing the list of graphs, we know for every graph  $G \in \mathscr{R}(3,4)$  the number of vertices must be less

than or equal to eight. Thus,  $R(3,4) \ge 9$ . Since is was also established that no Ramsey graph can exists on nine vertices, from Corollary 1.4.5, we know no Ramsey graph can exist on more than nine vertices; thus,  $R(3,4) \le 9$ . Hence, we get an independent proof that R(3,4) = 9.



Table 4.2:  $\mathscr{R}(3,4)$  generation on five vertices.

		$G_{5,5}^2$	
$d_3(v) = \emptyset$			$\alpha = 2$
Add Edge AC AD BD BE CE	Result Triangle ABC Triangle ADE Triangle BCD Triangle ABE Triangle CDE		
		E  =	6
$G_{5,6}^{1}$			
$d_3(v) = \{A, I\}$	B}		$\alpha = 3$
Add Edge CD CE DE	Result Triangle ACD Triangle ACE Triangle ADE		



Table 4.3:  $\mathscr{R}(3,4)$  generation on six vertices.



IEI	= 7
$G_{6,}^{1}$	7
$d_3(v) = \{A, B, E\}$	$\alpha = 3$
Add EdgeResult $CD$ Triangle $ACD$ $CF$ $G_{6,8}^1$ $DF$ $G_{6,8}^1$	
$G_{6,}^{2}$	7
$d_3(v) = \{B, E\}$	$\alpha = 3$
Add EdgeResult $AC$ Triangle $ABC$ $AD$ $G_{6.8}^1$ $CF$ $G_{6,8}^1$ $DF$ Triangle $DEF$	
$G_{6,}^{3}$	7
$d_3(v) = \{A, B\}$	$\alpha = 3$
Add EdgeResultCDTriangle ACDCETriangle BCECFTriangle ACFDETriangle BDEDFTriangle ADF	



$ \mathbf{E}  = 6$		
$H^{1}_{7,6}$		
$S = \{A, C, E\}$	$,G\}$	$\alpha \ge 4$
Add Edge AC AE AG CE CG EG	ResultTriangle ABC $G_{7,7}^1$ $G_{7,7}^2$ Triangle CDE $G_{7,7}^1$ Triangle EFG	
E  = 7		
	$G_{7,7}^{1}$	,
$d_3(v) = \{C\}$	······	$\alpha = 3$
Add Edge AD AE AF AG BD BE BF BG DF DG EG	Result $G_{7,8}^3$ $G_{7,8}^2$ $G_{7,8}^2$ $G_{7,8}^3$ Triangle BCD $G_{7,8}^1$ $G_{7,8}^1$ Triangle BCGTriangle DEFTriangle CDGTriangle EFG	

Table 4.4:  $\mathscr{R}(3,4)$  generation on seven vertices.

$G_{7,7}^2$	
$d_3(v) = \emptyset$	$\alpha = 3$
All vertices in graph are symmetric. (A)	
Add EdgeResult $AC$ Triangle $ABC$ $AD$ $G_{7,8}^3$ $AE$ $G_{7.8}^3$ $AF$ Triangle $AFG$	
E  =	: 8
$G_{7,8}^1$	
$d_3(v) = \{B, C, E\}$	$\alpha = 3$
Add EdgeResult $AD$ $G_{7,9}^1$ $AF$ $G_{7,9}^2$ $AG$ $G_{7,9}^2$ $DF$ Triangle $CDF$ $DG$ Triangle $DEG$	



IE	= 9
G	1 7,9
$d_3(v) = \{A, B, C, E\}$	$\alpha = 3$
Add EdgeResult $DF$ $G^1_{7,10}$ $DG$ $G^1_{7,10}$	
G <sup>2</sup>	27,9
$d_3(v) = \{A, C, E, F\}$	$\alpha = 3$
BDTriangle BCDBGTriangle ABGDG $G^1_{7,10}$	

E  = 10
$G_{7,10}^1$
$\alpha = 3$

E  = 7		
	$H^{1}_{8,7}$	
$S = \{A, C, F\}$	<i>,H</i> }	$\alpha \ge 4$
Add Edge AC AF AH CF CH FH	Result Triangle ABC $H_{8,8}^1$ $H_{8,8}^3$ $H_{8,8}^2$ $H_{8,8}^2$ $H_{8,8}^1$ Triangle FGH	
	E  =	= 8
	$H^{1}_{8,8}$	
$S = \{A, D, F\}$	H	$\alpha \geq 4$
Add Edge AD AF AH DF DH FH	Result $H_{8,9}^4$ $H_{8,9}^6$ $H_{8,9}^6$ Triangle <i>DEF</i> Triangle <i>CDH</i> Triangle <i>FGH</i>	

Table 4.5:  $\mathscr{R}(3,4)$  generation on eight vertices.











E  = 8		
$H_{9,8}^{1}$		
$S = \{B, D, F\}$	, <i>H</i> }	$\alpha \ge 4$
Add Edge BD BF BH DF DH FH	ResultTriangle $BCD$ $H_{9,9}^1$ $H_{9,9}^2$ Triangle $DEF$ $H_{9,9}^1$ Triangle $FGH$	
	E  =	9
$H_{9,9}^{1}$		
$S = \{B, E, G\}$	, <i>I</i> }	$\alpha \ge 4$
Add Edge BE BG BI EG EI GI	Result $d(B) \ge 4$ $d(B) \ge 4$ $d(B) \ge 4$ Triangle EFG $H_{9,10}^1$ Triangle GHI	

Table 4.6:  $\mathscr{R}(3,4)$  generation on nine vertices.



E  = 11			
$H_{9,11}^{1}$			
$S = \{A, D, F\}$	,H}	$\alpha \ge 4$	
Add Edge AD AF AH DF DH FH	Result $d(D) \ge 4$ $d(F) \ge 4$ $H_{9,12}^1$ $d(D), d(F) \ge 4$ $d(D) \ge 4$ $d(F) \ge 4$		
	E  = 12		
$H_{9,12}^{1}$			
$S = \{A, C, E\}$	$,G\}$	$\alpha \ge 4$	
Add Edge AC AE AG CE CG EG	ResultTriangle ABC $d(E) \ge 4$ $d(G) \ge 4$ $d(E) \ge 4$ $d(G) \ge 4$ $d(G) \ge 4$ $d(E), d(G) \ge 4$		

## **CHAPTER 5**

## **OTHER RAMSEY NUMBERS**

#### 5.1 Some Known Results

The Ramsey number,  $\mathscr{R}(\omega, \alpha)$ , is defined to be the minimum number *n* such that any graph with at least *n* vertices has a  $K_{\omega}$  or  $N_{\alpha}$  as a subgraph. This dissertation has focused on the class of Ramsey graphs  $\mathscr{R}(3,4)$  by finding the underlying structure of Hamilton paths in these graphs. A brute force approach, testing all graphs up to R(3,4) = 9 vertices, could have been done with a computer, but this method does not scale well for testing graphs on a larger number of vertices.

The Ramsey number R(3,4) = 9, R(3,5) = 14, and R(4,4) = 18 were found by Greenwood and Gleason [6] from a critical Ramsey graph to create a lower bound Then, by using Theorem 1 4 3, the lower and upper bounds were shown to be tight Mckay and Radziszowski proved R(4,5) = 25 [11]

The next Ramsey number for which the exact value is unkown is R(5,5) The best known bounds for R(5,5) are 43 and 49 The lower bound of 43 was found by Exoo [4] by showing a graph on 42 vertices with no  $K_5$  or  $N_5$  subgraph This means that R(5,5) > 42Mckay and Radziszowski [12] used the graph Exoo described and were able to manipulate it to find 656  $\Re(5,5)$ -graphs on 42 vertices, that is, they found 328 graphs and their complements None of these graphs were able to be extended to 43 vertices. It is unknown whether more Ramsey graphs exist on 42 vertices or if any exist on 43 vertices

#### **5.2 Forced Subgraphs for** R(5,5)

A brute force approach to find R(5,5) is not computationally feasible since the number of possible graphs grows exponentially compared to the number of vertices. Some overall structure must be found to significantly narrow the search for the graphs. This section finds that the critical graphs in R(5,5) are highly connected and must contain Hamilton cycles. We start this proof with Theorem 5.2.1, which uses the symmetry present in Ramsey numbers  $R(\omega, \alpha) = R(\alpha, \omega)$ .

**Theorem 5.2.1.** If  $G \in \mathscr{R}(5,5)$  and |V(G)| > 28, then G is connected.

*Proof.* Let  $G \in \mathscr{R}(5,5)$  and |V(G)| > 28. We know that *G* has no  $K_5$  subgraph and no independent set of five vertices. Since each independent set of each component of *G* can be added together for the independent set of *G*, *G* has at most four components; otherwise,  $\alpha(G) \ge 5$ .

Suppose *G* has four components and  $G \cong G_1 \bigcup G_2 \bigcup G_3 \bigcup G_4$ . Each component must have a maximal independent set of size one; otherwise,  $\alpha(G) \ge 5$ . Knowing this, each component  $G_i$  must be in the class of  $\mathscr{R}(5,2)$  graphs. Since R(5,2) = 5, we get a bound on the number of vertices in  $G_i$  to be  $|V(G_i)| \le R(5,2) - 1 = 5 - 1 = 4$  vertices. Thus, *G* can have at most four components with each component having at most four vetrices. Hence,  $|V(G)| \le 4 \cdot 4 = 16$ . This contradicts our assumption that |V(G)| > 28, so *G* does not have four components.

Suppose G has three components and  $G \cong G_1 \bigcup^{\circ} G_2 \bigcup^{\circ} G_3$ . Without loss of generality, we let  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  be in  $\mathscr{R}(5,2)$  and let  $G_3$  be in  $\mathscr{R}(5,3)$ ; otherwise,  $\alpha(G_1) + \alpha(G_2) + \alpha(G_3) = \alpha(G) \ge 5$ . The components  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  can have at most four vertices. Since R(5,3) = 14,  $G_3$  must have at most 13 vertices. Thus, our bound on the number of vertices is |V(G)| = 4+4+13 = 21. However, |V(G)| > 28 > 21 so G does not have three components.

Suppose G has two components and  $G \cong G_1 \cup G_2$ , then the components can be either 1)  $G_1 \in \mathscr{R}(5,2)$  and  $G_2 \in \mathscr{R}(5,4)$  or 2)  $G_1 \in R(5,3)$  and  $G_2 \in R(5,3)$ . We know the Ramsey number R(4.5) = R(5,4) = 25. In the first case, the bound on the number of vertices of *G* is (R(5,2)-1) + (R(5,4)-1) = 4+24 = 28. In the second case, our bound is  $|V(G)| \le (R(5,3)-1) + (R(5,3)-1) = 13+13 = 26$ . In both cases, we get a contradiction since we assume |V(G)| > 28, thus *G* cannot have two components.

Hence, if |V(G)| > 28, then G is connected.

Now we know that any graph G in  $\mathscr{R}(5,5)$  with at least 29 vertices is connected. Every connected graph has a minimum cut set of vertices, S, whose removal from G will disconnect the resultant graph. Theorem 5.2.2 proves the size of S in G based on the number of vertices that G contains.

**Theorem 5.2.2.** *If*  $G \in \mathscr{R}(5,5)$  *and* |V(G)| > 28*, then* G *is* (|V(G)| - 28)*-connected.* 

*Proof.* Let  $G \in \mathscr{R}(5,5)$  with |V(G)| > 28. From Theorem 5.2.1, we know that G is connected. Some set  $S \subseteq V(G)$  exists that is a minimum cutset of G, thus we let  $G - S \cong G_1 \bigcup^{\circ} G_2$ .

Suppose *G* is 1-connected and not 2-connected. This means *S* is a cut-vertex and |S| = 1. Since  $G \in \mathscr{R}(5,5)$  and vertex deletion does not increase the maximum clique, we know that  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  do not contain a  $K_5$ . Thus, we concentrate on the independence numbers of  $G_1$  and  $G_2$ . We can add the independent sets of  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  for a lower bound on the independent set of *G*. Two cases are presented for the bound of the components  $G_1$  and  $G_2$ . First, let  $G_1, G_2 \in \mathscr{R}(5,3)$ . Since R(5,3) = 14 and |S| = 1, we have  $|V(G)| = |V(G_1)| +$  $|V(G_2)| + |V(S)| \le 13 + 13 + 1 = 27$ , which contradicts |V(G)| > 28, thus is impossible. Second, without loss of generallity, we let  $G_1 \in \mathscr{R}(5,2)$  and  $G_2 \in \mathscr{R}(5,4)$ . We get |V(G)| = $|V(G_1)| + |V(G_2)| + |V(S)| \le 4 + 24 + 1 = 29$ . This shows for *G* to be 1-connected and not 2-connected  $|V(G)| \le 29$ . If |V(G)| > 29, then *G* must be at least 2-connected.

Note that the size of  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  cannot increase as the connectivity of the graph increases. Thus the increase in connectivity only increases the size of *S*. Similar arguments can be used for higher connectivity. Hence we get *G* is at least (|V(G)| - 28)-connected.

Since  $43 \le R(5,5) \le 49$  are the best known bounds, Corollary 5.2.3 considers the connectivity of Ramsey graphs on 42 vertices.

**Corollary 5.2.3.** If  $G \in \mathscr{R}(5,5)$  and |V(G)| = 42, then G is 14-connected,  $\delta(G) \ge 14$ , and  $\Delta(G) \le 27$ 

*Proof.* Let G be in  $\mathscr{R}(5,5)$  and |V(G)| = 42. From Theorem 5.2.2, we get G is 14-connected.

Since G is 14-connected it must have a minimum degree of at least 14; thus,  $\delta(G) \ge 14$ . Also, if  $G \in \mathscr{R}(5,5)$ , then  $\overline{G} \in \mathscr{R}(5,5)$ . The complement of G must also be 14-connected. This yields the maximum degree  $\Delta(G) \le (42-1) - 14 = 27$ .

**Theorem 5.2.4.** (*Chvatal, Erdös* [2]) Let G be an s-connected graph containing no independent set of s vertices. Then G is Hamiltonian-connected (i.e. every pair of vertices is joined by a Hamiltonian path).

We can use Corollary 5.2.3 and the properties of  $\mathscr{R}(5,5)$  to show a Ramsey graph on at least 33 vertices satisfies the conditions of Theorem 5.2.4. The start of the underlying structure of  $\mathscr{R}(5,5)$  graphs is that they are Hamiltonian-connected. We use this fact to reduce the test set of approximately  $10^{208}$  graphs on 42 vertices. The test set of graphs have been reduced to only Hamiltonian graphs that are also 14-connected with  $14 \le \delta(G) \le$  $\Delta(G) \le 27$ .

Since looking for a Hamiltonian cycle in a graph is equivalent to the Traveling Salesman Problem, an NP problem, a better way is to generate the test set starting with a Hamilton cycle on 42 vertices. From this initial cycle, just like the Hamilton path in the proof of  $\mathscr{R}(3,4)$ , we can add edges between vertices. The edge additions will follow lemmas equivelant to the lemmas used in  $\mathscr{R}(3,4)$  but for  $\mathscr{R}(5,5)$  and the additional information we know. An edge added should not form a  $K_5$ , and this is easily checked by comparing the neighborhoods of the endpoints of the edge to be added. Similar to Lemma 3.1.3,  $\alpha(G) < 4$ , if an independent set of size five is found, an edge must be added in that set for a graph to be in  $\mathscr{R}(5,5)$ . This produces only 10 edges that need to be traced, similar to the six edges in  $\mathscr{R}(3,4)$ . One additional property would be the minimum degree of 14 and the maximum degree of 27 on graphs with 42 vertices.

The goal in the end is to reduce the set of graphs that need testing to become feasible for computation. By using LONI and reducing the number of graphs needing to be tested, eventually the two should meet when R(5,5) will be found.

# APPENDIX A

## ALGORITHMS FOR RAMSEY GRAPHS

Our first algorithm tests if G is in  $\mathscr{R}(\omega, \alpha)$ , determining if G contains a subgraph that is isomorphic to a clique of size  $\omega$ . Algorithm A.1 determines if graph G has a  $K_{\omega}$  for some  $\omega$  vertices in V(G). The general form of this algorithm searches every subset  $S \subseteq V(G)$ such that  $|S| = \omega$  to determine if the induced subgraph S of G is isomorphic to  $K_{\omega}$  or  $N_{\alpha}$  in G.

<b>Algorithm A.1</b> Algorithm to test $K_{\omega}$ .	
FUNCTION TestKw( graph G, int $\omega$ )	
int S[ $\omega$ ];	
bool flag;	
// for every set of $\omega$ vertices in $V(G)$ assign to v	
for every $S \subseteq V(G)$ of size $\omega$ do	
flag = true;	
for $i = 0$ to $\omega - 2$ do	
for $j = i+1$ to $\omega-1$ do	
if $S[i]S[j] \notin E(G)$ then	
flag = FALSE;	// v is not $K_{\omega}$
end if	
end for	
end for	
if flag then	
return TRUE;	// v is $K_{\omega}$
end if	
end for	
return FALSE;	// No $K_{\omega}$ in $G$
ENDFUNCTION	

We can increase optimization of this program by reducing the search time for a small clique. For example, consider a graph G such that |V(G)| = 15 to determine if G contains a triangle. If we used the general algorithm to test for  $K_3$ , then we would need to look through  $\binom{15}{3} = 455$  sets of vertices to confirm that no triangles exist. Since every triangle in G must contain an edge e in E(G), we can limit our search to edges in E(G) because any two nonadjacent vertices cannot be part of the same triangle. For each edge  $e \in E(G)$ ,  $e = v_1v_2$ , we need to compare the intersection of the neighborhoods,  $N(v_1) \cap N(v_2)$ . If this intersection is not empty, then there is a triangle in G. Conversely, if the intersection is

empty, then the edge *e* is not part of a triangle in *G*. By limiting the test to only edges in E(G), a maximum of  $\frac{15 \cdot 14}{2} = 105$  comparisons can save unnecessary computation time while also creating a list of  $K_3$ -subgraphs. The algorithm described here is depicted in Algorithm A.2. A similar algorithm can be developed to test if a graph is  $K_4$ -free by looking for a triangle in the induced subgraphs of the neighborhoods of each vertex since  $K_4 - v \cong K_3$ .

Algorithm A.2 Algorithm to test $K_3$ -free.	
FUNCTION TestK3-free( graph G )	
// for every edge in $E(G)$ , test if part of triangle	
for every $e = v_1 v_2 \in E(G)$ do	
$N(v_1 \cap v_2) = N(v_1) \cap N(v_2);$	
if $N(v_1 \cap v_2) \neq \phi$ then	
return TRUE;	// e is part of $K_3$
end if	
end for	
return FALSE;	// No <i>K</i> <sub>3</sub> in <i>G</i>
ENDFUNCTION	

The test for the independence number in Algorithm A.3 is equivalent to test for a clique in the complement of a graph. An easy improvement is to modify the code for testing  $K_{\omega}$ to test for  $N_{\alpha}$  and not wasting computation time on creating a temporary complement of the graph.

<b>Algorithm A.3</b> Algorithm to test $N_{\alpha}$ .	
FUNCTION TestNa( graph G, int $\alpha$ )	
<b>return</b> TestKw( $\overline{G}, \alpha$ );	// $\alpha(G) = \omega(\overline{G})$
ENDFUNCTION	

To determine if  $G \in \mathscr{R}(\omega, \alpha)$ , neither a  $K_{\omega}$  nor  $N_{\alpha}$  can be present in *G*. Algorithm A.4 tests both of these conditions to determine if  $G \in \mathscr{R}(\omega, \alpha)$ . If either  $K_{\omega}$  or  $N_{\alpha}$  is found in graph *G*, then *FALSE* is returned since  $G \notin \mathscr{R}(\omega, \alpha)$ ; otherwise, when neither is found *TRUE* is returned. This function is used to build the complete list of graphs in  $\mathscr{R}(\omega, \alpha)$ .
Algorithm A.4 Algorithm to test if graph is in $\mathscr{R}(\omega, \alpha)$ .	······································
FUNCTION TestRwa( graph G, int $\omega$ , int $\alpha$ )	<u> </u>
if TestKw(G, $\omega$ ) OR TestNa(G, $\alpha$ ) then	
return TRUE;	// $K_{\omega}$ or $N_{\alpha}$ in $G$
else	
return FALSE;	// $G \in \mathscr{R}(\boldsymbol{\omega}, \boldsymbol{\alpha})$
end if	
ENDFUNCTION	

The complete list for  $\mathscr{R}(\omega, \alpha)$  can be found by testing all graphs up to  $R(\omega, \alpha)$  vertices. When  $R(\omega, \alpha)$  is unknown, finding the complete list can be done from starting at one vertex. After finding all Ramsey graphs in a vertex class, the list for the next vertex class can be generated. When a vertex class *n* is reached that does not have a graph in  $\mathscr{R}(\omega, \alpha)$  then the list is complete. Corollary 1.4.5 states that the first vertex class *n* where  $\mathscr{R}(\omega, \alpha)$  is empty implies that the Ramsey number  $R(\omega, \alpha) = n$ .

The algorithms so far test an individual graph. Since many graphs must be tested to build  $\mathscr{R}(\omega, \alpha)$ , several graphs can be tested simultaneously. This is the basis of the parallel algorithm used to find the complete list of  $\mathscr{R}(\omega, \alpha)$  graphs.

#### **APPENDIX B**

# ALL TREES WITH $\Delta(T) \leq 3$ AND $|V(G)| \leq 9$



Figure B.1: All trees up to six vertices with  $\Delta(T) \leq 3$ .



Figure B.2: Trees on seven vertices with  $\Delta(T) \leq 3$ .



Figure B.3: Trees on eight vertices with  $\Delta(T) \leq 3$ .



Figure B.4: Trees on nine vertices with  $\Delta(T) \leq 3$ .



Figure B.5: All trees up to 9 vertices with  $\Delta(T) \leq 3$ .

### **APPENDIX C**

## TABLES OF RAMSEY GRAPHS

R(3,4)												
	Vertices											
		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	Total	
	0	1	1	1							3	
E	1		1	1	1						3	
d	2			1	2	1					4	
g	3				2	2	1				5	
e	4				1	3	1				5	
S a	5		ľ			2	4				6	
	6					1	4	1			6	
	7						3	2			5	
	8						1	3			4	
	9						1	2			3	
	10							1	1		2	
	11								1		1	
	12								1		1	
Total		1	2	3	6	9	15	9	3	0	48	

Table C.1: Distribution of  $\mathscr{R}(3,4)$  graphs. R(3,4) = 9.

R(3,5)																
	Vertices															
		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	Total
	0	1	1	1	1											4
Е	1		1	1	1	1										4
d	2			1	2	2	1									6
g	3				2	3	3	1								9
e	4				1	4	6	2	1							14
S	5					2	8	7	<sup>4</sup> 1							18
	6				[	1	7	13	5							26
	7						4	17	13	1						35
	8						2	15	27	3						47
	9						1	10	39	11						61
	10							4	41	28	1					74
	11							1	27	59	2					89
	12							1	15	73	10					99
	13								6	62	32					100
	14								2	33	69					104
	15								1	14	86	1				102
	16								1	4	65	6				76
	17	_								2	32	19				53
	18										12	31				43
	19										3	30				33
	20	_									1	13	1			15
	21											4	2			6
	22											1	5			6
	23												2			2
	24												2			2
	25															0
	26													1		1
Total		1	2	3	7	13	32	71	179	290	313	105	12	1	0	1029

Table C.2: Distribution of  $\mathscr{R}(3,5)$  graphs. R(3,5) = 14.

	R(3,6)													
						-	-	Vertices						
			7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	Total
	0	•••												5
E	1	***												5
d	2	• •	1		L									8
g	3	•••	3	1										13
e	4		7	3	1									23
S	5	***	13	10	2	1								37
	6	ļ	20	23	8	1								60
	7		20	44	26	3	1							99
	8	· ·	18	63	/0	10	1	ļ						1/0
	9		11	73	142	00	3				ļ		*	~ 290
	10		3	63	234	1/5	10	1						493
·	11	•••	1	40	284	431	265	1			ļ			1422
	12	···	1	21	20/	1255	203	20						1422
	13		ļ	9	105	1233	2252	110						2009
	14				100	1114	<u></u>	644	1					6252
	16		<b> </b>	1	22	707	6134	2693	4		1			9561
	17			1	8	377	6739	7968	45					14637
	18				3	167	4823	16445	375					21813
	19				1	71	2885	23986	2402					29345
	20				1	28	1405	25267	10176	1				36878
	21		<u> </u>	<u> </u>		13	565	19704	27975	16				48273
	22		t		<u> </u>	4	206	11672	51188	177				63247
	23		-		1	2	64	5404	64221	1588				71279
	24					1	20	2016	56809	8494			Í	67340
	25					1	6	630	36312	27013	1			63963
	26						2	169	17208	53157	7			70543
	27							41	6189	67224	101			73555
	28							8	1729	56478	822			59037
	29	•••						1	377	32235	3998			36611
	30				L				66	12784	10910			23760
	31		L		Ļ				8	3550	17552			21110
	32				ļ	ļ			}}	699	16896	5		17601
ļ	33		[		I	ļ		l	ļ	94	9957	39	ļ	10090
	34	ļ	ļ							9	3587	200		3796
<u> </u>	35								L	1	194	34/		1342
	30	ļ									100	803		903
	3/	<u> </u>	ļ		ļ						<u>                                     </u>	0.04	ļ	041
	30	<u>  · · ·</u>			<u> </u>							62		63
	40	<u> </u>	ļ		<b> </b>			ļ				11		13
	40	<u> </u>										11	2	13
	42	<u> </u>	<u> </u>										2	
Total		<u> </u>	100	356	1407	6657	30395	116792	275086	263520	64732	2576	7	761692
iouai			100	1 330	1.107	0057	50575	110/72	275000	L 200020	1.07/32	2010	,	101072

Table C.3: Distribution of  $\mathscr{R}(3,6)$  graphs. R(3,6) = 18.

	3					-	R(4,4)						
		•				******	Vertices		-				
	ŀ	•••	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	^
	0												3
E	1												3
d	2												4
g	3								<b></b>		1		8
e	4									1			9
s	5												15
{	6			1		<u> </u>	1			1	1	£	23
	7	l	1						<u> </u>				34
	8		3										51
	0		11	1									97
	10	Į	20	1								ļ	124
	10		30					<u> </u>				-	124
	11	L		10									196
	12		244	18						ļ		ļ	320
	13	· · · ·	398	73					ļ	ļ	ļ	ļ	500
L	14		467	257	1			L				ļ	737
	15		398	768	5								1175
	16		244	1719	34								1998
	17	•••	111	2831	177								3119
	18		38	3355	814							T	4207
	19		11	2831	2963	1						1	5806
	20		3	1719	8193	7				1	1		9922
	21	· · · ·	1	768	16396	72				1	1		17237
	22			257	23270	546		1		1		1	24073
	23		<u> </u>	73	23270	3201						<u>+</u>	26544
	24	l	<u> </u>	18	16396	13695		+					30109
	25			5	8103	41553		<u>+</u>					40751
	25				2062	97261	0	<u> </u>					00222
	20			1	2903	126742	0				<u> </u>	<u> </u>	90333
L	21			1	814	120742	177			<u> </u>	<u> </u>		12/734
	28				1//	120/42	1900				ļ		128823
	29				54	8/301	13332			ļ	<u> </u>	ļ	100727
ļ	30				5	41553	58131		ļ	ļ	ļ	ļ	99689
	31				1	13695	163757				1	ļ	177453
L	32					3201	302088				ļ	L	305289
	33			-		546	370368	20			L	[	370934
L	34	L				72	302088	535					302695
	35	•••	İ	1		7	163757	6339					170103
	36					1	58131	37825					95957
	37	····					13332	127138	1				140470
	38						1906	257711					259617
	39		[				177	325095		1	1		325272
	40						8	257711			1	T	257719
	41	· · · ·	1					127138	40	1	1	1	127178
	42					i		37825	872	1	1	1	38697
	43					1		6339	6247	1	<u> </u>	1	12586
	44		<u>†</u>	1			<u></u>	535	20901	1		1	21436
	45							20	37348	1	+		37368
	46	<u> </u>		1		<u> </u>		<u>+</u>	37348	1		1	37348
	47	<b></b>							20901				20901
<u> </u>	49					<u> </u>	ł	<u> </u>	6247	1		<u> </u>	6247
	70 10				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				0247	1			0247 977
	49		ļ	<u> </u>		ļ		ļ	012	1-12		<u> </u>	52
L	50							<u> </u>	40	13	<u> </u>		35
L	51					[		ļ		96		ļ	96
L	52							ļ		211	ļ		211
	53		L	1		L		ļ		211		L	211
L	54	L		L						96			96
	55		L					ļ		13			13
	56							· · · ·			L		
Total	1	••••	2079	14701	103706	546356	1449166	1184231	130816	640	2	1	3432184

Table C.4: Distribution of  $\mathscr{R}(4,4)$  graphs. R(4,4) = 18.

### **APPENDIX D**

# RAMSEY GRAPHS FOR $\mathscr{R}(3,4)$



•



Figure D.2: List of connected  $\mathscr{R}(3,4)$  graphs with  $\alpha(G) = 2$ .



Figure D.3: List of disconnected  $\mathscr{R}(3,4)$  graphs.



Figure D.5: List of connected  $\mathscr{R}(3,4)$  graphs that are not trees and  $\alpha(G) = 3$ .





#### REFERENCES

- [1] Bondy, J. A.; Murty, U. S. R., *Graph Theory with Applications*, North Holland, New York, 1976.
- [2] Chvatal, V.; Erdös, P., A note on Hamiltonian Circuits, *Discrete Mathematics* 2 (1972), 111-113.
- [3] Diestel, R., Graph Theory 2<sup>nd</sup> Edition, Springer, 2000.
- [4] Exoo, G., A Lower Bound For R(5,5), *Journal of Graph Theory* **13** (1989), 97-98.
- [5] Grahm, R.; Rothschild, B.; Spencer, J., Ramsey Theory Second Edition, Wiley, 1990.
- [6] Greenwood, R. E.; Gleason, A. M., Combinatorial Relations And Chromatic Graphs, *Canadian Journal Of Mathematics* **7** (1955), 1-7.
- [7] Harary, F., Graph Theory, Addison-Wesely, 1969.
- [8] Markstrom, K., Data File for Number of Graphs, Available at http://abel.math.umu.se/~klasm/Data/.
- [9] McKay, B. D., *nauty User Guide (Version 2.4)*, Available at http://cs.anu.edu.au/~bdm/nauty/.
- [10] McKay, B. D., Practical Graph Isomorphism, *Congressus Numerantium* **30** (1981), 45-87.
- [11] Mckay, B. D.; Radziszowski, S. P., R(4,5) = 25, *Journal of Graph Theory* 19 (1995), 309-322.
- [12] McKay, B. D.; Radziszowski S. P., Subgraph Counting Identities and Ramsey Numbers, *Journal of Combinatorial Theory Series B* 69 (1997), 193-209.
- [13] Radziszowski, S. P., Small Ramsey Numbers, The Electronic Journal Of Combinatorics (AUG 2009), #DS1.
- [14] Ramsey, F. P., On a Problem of Formal Logic, *Proceedings of London Mathematical Soceity* 30 (1930), 264-286.
- [15] Read, R. C.; Wilson, R. J., An Atlas of Graphs, Clanderon Press, Oxford, 2004.
- [16] Rosta, V., Ramsey Theory Applications, *The Electronic Journal Of Combinatorics* (DEC 2004), #DS13.

[17] West, D., Introduction To Graph Theory 2<sup>nd</sup> Edition, Prentice-Hall, New Jersey, 2001.