Naval War College Review

Volume 38 Number 2 *March-April*

Article 14

1985

Literature in the Education of the Military Professional

Stephen B. Sloane

Donald Ahern

Robert Shenk

Follow this and additional works at: https://digital-commons.usnwc.edu/nwc-review

Recommended Citation

Sloane, Stephen B.; Ahern, Donald; and Shenk, Robert (1985) "Literature in the Education of the Military Professional," *Naval War College Review*: Vol. 38: No. 2, Article 14.

Available at: https://digital-commons.usnwc.edu/nwc-review/vol38/iss2/14

This Book Review is brought to you for free and open access by the Journals at U.S. Naval War College Digital Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in Naval War College Review by an authorized editor of U.S. Naval War College Digital Commons. For more information, please contact repository.inquiries@usnwc.edu.

from Plato's Cave. Until then Stockdale holds us cheerfully with the lines from Louis MacNeice he quotes:

Good-bye now, Plato and Hegel,

The shop is closing down; They don't want any philosopher-kings in England

There ain't no universals in this man's town.

J.G. BRENNAN Naval War College

Ahern, Donald and Shenk, Robert. Literature in the Education of the Military Professional. Colorado Springs, Colo.: US Air Force Academy, 1982.

This collection of essays is written by officers and civilians who have taught English at the Air Force Academy. It is a catalog of arguments for including the study of literature as an essential, rather than a "nice to have" ingredient of military professional education. The polemic is derived from the authors' perception that the present system of professional education rests on an overly narrow foundation and is therefore in danger of producing automaton-technicians who will eventually rise to become unimaginative bureaucrats. The prescription proposed to set this right is a redesign of curriculum to include more of the humanities.

It is forcefully suggested that as modern warriors are exposed to belles-lettres, ranging from Homer and Herodotus to Melville and Mark Twain, they will be better equipped deal with decisions as the determination of ends rather than mere means, achieve self-confidence, exercise their emotional, intellectual and moral facilities, discern the true nature of combat, develop imagination and insight, apply moral values in stressful situations, experience several lifetimes (rather than just one), unite science with the human condition, understand the value of that which they defend and that which they may be called upon to destroy, and last but not least, be creative.

The strength of this treatise is its practical usefulness as a litany of logic to those who must defend the teaching of literature to prospective or practicing professionals (military and otherwise) or who wish to expand the domain of English Departments in those particular halls of academe that are not friendly to the conception of humane letters as arrows in the quivers of "real world actors." Enlightened Provosts, Chancellors and Presidents of professionally oriented institutions will also find this to be a handy reference as they attempt to generate a philosophy that can stem the omnipresent tide of a post-Sputnik current of misguided pragmatism and anti-intellectualism. Such defenders of the "faith" will find solace in the echo of frustration that pervades these essays, an echo that is captured by the concluding sentence of one contributor. "If literature can really do all of this, why do you teach only one semester of it at the Academy?"

Twain, they will be better equipped The weakness of this collection is Published by U.S. Naval War College Digital Commons, 1985

of the analytical and practical problems confronting those who design and implement military professional education programs. First, it is not at all clear that courses in literature taught to prospective officers would necessarily change the character of the officer corps in the way predicted by these teachers. Social scientists have demonstrated that the predominant personal traits and expectations of young men and women are formed before college age. Those who are drawn to a "life of the mind," who appreciate the complexities of life and of abstract notions about life or who have a distaste for authoritative structures, are generally not attracted to the military profession. The few philosophically minded officers who have demonstrated both a love of literature and a love of a life of action (the MacArthurs and the Stockdales) were prepared to blossom long before they entered college. The vast majority of prospective officers expect to adapt to the military because they value a physically demanding life style, have an aptitude for dealing with technology and value the sort of rationality that can come from the exercise of unquestioned authority. Indeed, prior to acceptance, they are carefully screened for these traits by the use of psychological testing instruments and interviews. Perhaps they might therefore benefit from a massive infusion of the humanities; anything short of this is bound to have only a marginal impact. Even most literature majors at the most high-minded liberal diants conhools and continuing the yours that determines the parameters of

main, turn out to be the MacArthurs and the Stockdales of our society.

Most philosopher-kings were kings long before they were philosophers. In a world where the professional military person must manage the techniques of violence, technology may not be the bottom line for success, yet it is a sine qua non. Those who are capable of absorbing an ever expanding body of technical knowledge and of learning all there is to know about the human condition are few and far between. Are there sufficient hours in a curriculum day to teach all that? Are educators wise enough to synthesize the practical requirement for technical understanding and the equally practical requirement to understand humanity? Can they avoid throwing out the "baby with the bath water"? Without the technical capacity to fight, the values that we want to protect may be destroyed regardless of our appreciation of their worth.

No doubt it would be nice to have our cake and eat it. But is this possible? If there is a tragic element in the situation of the military professional it is that he cannot be a person for all seasons, no matter how hard he tries or how hard we try to help him.

Finally, the ultimate source of wisdom with regard to the use of force lies in the hands of the politician-statesman. My Lai's real lesson is that of human frailty, particularly in stressful situations. Human behavior is a function of individual trait and situational factors. It is the civilthe officer's situation as well as the "veil of tears" that covers both domestic and international politics.

The suggestion that programming the minds of military professionals with the wisdom of humane letters can result in the triumph of good, simply overstates (even the potential) impact of literature on national security outcomes. The particular literature that describes Don Quixote tilting at windmills should be required reading for those who would use good ideas to dilute the evil in reality. We already know that needs to be done. We do not know how to do it and this little book fails to give us even the slightest hint.

> STEPHEN B. SLOANE Naval War College

Schurman, D.M. The Education of a Navy: The Development of British Naval Strategic Thought, 1867-1914. Malabar, Fla.: Krieger, 1984. 213pp. \$15.50

Don Schurman's Education of a Navy is so well known and so highly regarded by practicing naval historians that the occasion of its reprinting does not require an extensive review, except to draw attention to the fact that it is happily available once more.

Originally published in 1965, it is the pioneer work of scholarship which outlines the intellectual milieu in which naval theory took shape. In a series of essays—on the two Colombs, Mahan, Richmond, Laughton, and Corbettthe men who not only changed the study of naval history from an antiquarian and patriotic pastime to a serious academic study, but who also founded the basis for professional thought through the use of history. The book remains an important part of every naval historian's library and should be read by everyone who has an interest in the development of naval theory.

Krieger has reprinted the book without any revision to the text, but important progress has been made in the field with Schurman's own 1981 book, Julian S. Corbett: Historian of British Maritime Policy from Drake to Jellicoe and his article, "Mahan Revisited" (Swedish Journal of Military History, 1982). In addition, Barry Hunt's study, Sailor-Scholar: Admiral Sir Herbert Richmond (Waterloo, Ontario, 1982) has greatly improved and refined knowledge of Richmond's contribution. The recent appearance of these works makes Schurman's earlier book all the more valuable as the basic study in the area.

Professor Theodore Ropp has written a preface to the Krieger reprint in which he appropriately hails Schurman's book as "the classic study of the intellectual change from sail to steam." Regrettably, the printer omitted five words in Ropp's preface, at the bottom of the first page. It should read: "What the current head of the [Canadian] Royal Military College's History Department was dealing with two decades ago was the policy maker's over-all Publish Schurman defines the contributions of 1985 mentality as he dealt with a glorious