

University of Central Florida STARS

Faculty Bibliography 2000s

Faculty Bibliography

1-1-2008

Simultaneous multiwavelength observations of magnetic activity in ultracool dwarfs. II. Mixed trends in VB 10 and LSR 1835+32 and the possible role of rotation

E. Berger

G. Basri

J. E. Gizis

M. S. Giampapa

R. E. Rutledge

Finch sinch age vorkes dition ab autilizers.library.ucf.edu/facultybib2000 University of Central Florida Libraries http://library.ucf.edu

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Faculty Bibliography at STARS. It has been accepted for inclusion in Faculty Bibliography 2000s by an authorized administrator of STARS. For more information, please contact STARS@ucf.edu.

Recommended Citation

Berger, E.; Basri, G.; Gizis, J. E.; Giampapa, M. S.; Rutledge, R. E.; Liebert, J.; Martín, E.; Fleming, T. A.; Johns-Krull, C. M.; Phan-Bao, N.; and Sherry, W. H., "Simultaneous multiwavelength observations of magnetic activity in ultracool dwarfs. II. Mixed trends in VB 10 and LSR 1835+32 and the possible role of rotation" (2008). *Faculty Bibliography 2000s*. 122. https://stars.library.ucf.edu/facultybib2000/122



Authors

E. Berger, G. Basri, J. E. Gizis, M. S. Giampapa, R. E. Rutledge, J. Liebert, E. Martín, T. A. Fleming, C. M. Johns-Krull, N. Phan-Bao, and W. H. Sherry

SIMULTANEOUS MULTIWAVELENGTH OBSERVATIONS OF MAGNETIC ACTIVITY IN ULTRACOOL DWARFS. II. MIXED TRENDS IN VB 10 AND LSR 1835+32 AND THE POSSIBLE ROLE OF ROTATION

E. BERGER,^{1,2} G. BASRI,³ J. E. GIZIS,⁴ M. S. GIAMPAPA,⁵ R. E. RUTLEDGE,⁶ J. LIEBERT,⁷ E. MARTÍN,^{8,9} T. A. FLEMING,⁷ C. M. JOHNS-KRULL,¹⁰ N. PHAN-BAO,¹¹ AND W. H. SHERRY⁵

Received 2007 October 16; accepted 2008 January 2

ABSTRACT

As part of our ongoing investigation of magnetic activity in ultracool dwarfs we present simultaneous radio, X-ray, UV, and optical observations of LSR1835+32 (M8.5), and simultaneous X-ray and UV observations of VB10 (M8), both with a duration of about 9 hr. LSR1835+32 exhibits persistent radio emission, and H α variability on timescales of 0.5-2 hr. The detected UV flux is consistent with photospheric emission, and no X-ray emission is detected to a deep limit of $L_X/L_{bol} \leq 10^{-5.7}$. The H α and radio emission are temporally uncorrelated, and the ratio of radio to X-ray luminosity exceeds the correlation seen in F–M6 stars by $>2 \times 10^4$. The lack of radio variability during four rotations of LSR1835+32 requires a uniform stellar-scale field of ~10 G, and indicates that the H α variability is dominated by much smaller scales, <10% of the chromospheric volume. VB10, on the other hand, shows correlated flaring and quiescent X-ray and UV emission, similar to the behavior of early M dwarfs. Delayed and densely sampled optical spectra exhibit a similar range of variability amplitudes and timescales. Along with our previous observations of the M8.5 dwarf TVLM513-46546 we conclude that late M dwarfs exhibit a mix of activity patterns, which points to a transition in the structure and heating of the outer atmosphere by large-scale magnetic fields. We find that rotation may play a role in generating the fields as evidenced by a tentative correlation between radio activity and rotation velocity. The X-ray emission, however, shows evidence for supersaturation at $v \sin i > 25$ km s⁻¹, which could be the result of secondary effects such as inefficient heating or centrifugal stripping of extended coronal loops. These effects may underlie the severe violation of the radio/X-ray correlation in ultracool dwarfs.

Subject headings: radio continuum: stars — stars: activity — stars: low-mass, brown dwarfs — stars: magnetic fields

1. INTRODUCTION

Over the past several decades, observations of magnetic activity in stars of spectral type F–M have uncovered a variety of correlations between the different activity indicators, as well as between the level of activity and properties such as stellar rotation and age. Coronal X-ray emission, transition region UV emission, chromospheric H α emission, and nonthermal radio emission increase with both rotation and youth (e.g., Kraft 1967; Pallavicini et al. 1981; Stewart et al. 1988), and are temporally and energetically correlated in quiescence and during flares (e.g., Cram 1982; Guedel & Benz 1993a; Benz & Guedel 1994; Hawley et al. 1996). These observations have led to a general paradigm of magnetic

¹ Princeton University Observatory, Peyton Hall, Ivy Lane, Princeton, NJ 08544.

² Observatories of the Carnegie Institution of Washington, 813 Santa Barbara Street, Pasadena, CA 91101.

³ Astronomy Department, University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720.

⁴ Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Delaware, Newark, DE 19716.

⁵ National Solar Observatory, National Optical Astronomy Observatories, Tucson, AZ 85726.
⁶ Denartment of Physics McGill University 3600 University Street Montreal

⁶ Department of Physics, McGill University, 3600 University Street, Montreal, QC H3A 2T8, Canada.

⁷ Department of Astronomy and Steward Observatory, University of Arizona,
 933 North Cherry Avenue, Tucson, AZ 85721.

⁸ Instituto de Astrofísica de Canarias, C/ Vía Láctea s/n, E-38200 La Laguna, Tenerife, Spain.

⁹ University of Central Florida, Department of Physics, PO Box 162385, Orlando, FL 32816.

¹⁰ Department of Physics and Astronomy, Rice University, 6100 Main Street,
 MS-61 Houston, TX 77005.

¹¹ Institute of Astronomy and Astrophysics, Academia Sinica, PO Box 23-141, Taipei 106, Taiwan. field amplification at the shearing interface between the radiative and convective zones—the so-called $\alpha\Omega$ dynamo (Parker 1955). This dynamo operates through a combination of stretching by differential rotation (Ω) and twisting by convective motions (α).

In quiescence, the dynamo-generated fields provide a heating source for the chromospheres and coronae primarily through magnetic waves and field dissipation on small scales (e.g., Narain & Ulmschneider 1996; Aschwanden et al. 2001). The interplay between the chromosphere, transition region, and corona is not fully understood, but is known to involve a complex combination of radiation, conduction, and mass flows. In dMe stars it has been proposed that the transition region and chromosphere are instead heated by coronal X-rays, leading to the observed typical luminosity ratios of $L_{C_{IV}}/L_X \sim 10^{-1.5}$ and $L_{H\alpha}/L_X \sim 10^{-0.5}$, respectively (e.g., Cram 1982; Hawley & Johns-Krull 2003). In addition to quiescent emission, sudden and large-scale dissipation of the field through large-scale magnetic reconnection may lead to particle acceleration and evaporation of the lower atmosphere into the chromosphere, transition region, and corona, giving rise to correlated radio, X-ray, UV, and H α flares (e.g., Neupert 1968).

The level of activity increases with faster rotation, but eventually saturates at $L_X/L_{bol} \sim 10^{-3}$ and $L_{H\alpha}/L_{bol} \approx 10^{-3.5}$ for rotation periods of ≤ 3 days in F–M stars. It remains unclear whether this trend reflects a saturation of the dynamo itself or secondary centrifugal effects such as coronal stripping or sweeping of the field toward the poles (e.g., Vilhu 1984; Jardine & Unruh 1999; Stěpień et al. 2001).

These activity trends continue to hold even beyond spectral type \sim M3, where the stellar interiors become fully convective and the $\alpha\Omega$ dynamo can no longer operate. Indeed, the level of X-ray and H α activity peaks in mid-M dwarfs at saturated levels

(Vilhu & Walter 1987; Gizis et al. 2000; Mohanty & Basri 2003; West et al. 2004). This suggests that whatever dynamo mechanism operates in these low mass stars, it is already present in higher mass objects, and becomes increasingly dominant beyond spectral type M3. However, the level of X-ray and H α activity drops precipitously beyond spectral type M7, reaching mostly nondetectable levels by spectral type L5. This decrease is accompanied by a clear transition from quiescent emission to flares in the few percent of active objects (Reid et al. 1999; Gizis et al. 2000; Rutledge et al. 2000; Liebert et al. 2003; West et al. 2004). These trends point to a change in the dynamo mechanism, the field configuration, and/or the field dissipation process.

Radio observations, however, have uncovered a substantial fraction of active late-M and L dwarfs (~10% or higher; Berger 2006), which exhibit both quiescent and flaring emission (Berger et al. 2001, 2005, 2007; Berger 2002, 2006; Burgasser & Putman 2005; Osten et al. 2006b; Antonova et al. 2007; Phan-Bao et al. 2007; Hallinan et al. 2007; Audard et al. 2007). Unlike the trend in H α and X-rays, the level of radio activity appears to *increase* with later spectral type (Berger 2002, 2006). The radio emission is orders of magnitude brighter than expected based on the radio/X-ray correlations that are observed in stars down to spectral type M6, and requires magnetic fields of ~0.1–3 kG with order unity filling factor sustained over timescales of at least several years (Berger et al. 2005, 2007; Berger 2006). Thus, contrary to evidence from X-rays and H α , a substantial fraction of ultracool dwarfs continue to generate and dissipate magnetic fields.

In order to investigate the field generation and dissipation in detail, we have recently initiated a program of simultaneous, multiwavelength observations of ultracool dwarfs. Such observations are required to trace the temporal evolution of flares across the corona, transition region, and chromosphere, and to study the relation between particle acceleration and heating, particularly in the context of the known correlations in F-M stars. In a previous paper (Berger et al. 2008, hereafter Paper I) we presented observations of the M8.5 rapid rotator TVLM 513-46546, which exhibited a wide range of temporally uncorrelated emission in the radio, H α , and X-rays. These included quiescent radio emission from a large-scale field, radio flares from a tangled field component with $B \approx 3$ kG, and periodic H α emission matching the stellar rotation period with an inferred hot spot covering about 50% of the stellar photosphere. In addition, the quiescent H α emission exceeded the X-ray luminosity by about a factor of 2, likely ruling out coronal X-ray emission as the source of chromospheric heating.

Here we present observations of the M8 and M8.5 dwarfs VB 10 and LSR 1835+32, both of which are known to exhibit magnetic activity. We find substantially different behavior in each of these two objects, with correlated X-ray/UV flares and quiescent emission in VB 10, and uncorrelated radio/H α emission in LSR 1835+32. Along with TVLM 513-46546, the mixed behavior in late-M dwarfs thus indicates a transition in the properties of the magnetic field and its dissipation in this spectral type range. We show that rotation may play at least a partial role in explaining these trends.

2. TARGETS AND OBSERVATIONS

We chose to target the nearby dwarf stars VB 10 and LSR 1835+32 due to previous detections of activity from these objects in the optical/UV/X-ray and radio bands, respectively. LSR 1835+32 (8.5) is located at a distance of 5.7 pc and has a bolometric luminosity of $L_{\text{bol}} \approx 10^{-3.4} L_{\odot}$ (Reid et al. 2003). A previous 1.7 hr radio observation revealed persistent emission with $F_{\nu}(8.46) = 525 \pm 15 \ \mu$ Jy and a limit of $\lesssim 9\%$ on the fraction of

circular polarization (Berger 2006). The rotation velocity of LSR 1835+32 was not previously known, but we measure it here to be $v \sin i = 50 \pm 5 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ (§ 2.3), similar to fast rotators such as TVLM 513-46546 and Kelu-1.

VB 10 (M8) is located at a distance of 6.1 pc (Tinney 1996), has $L_{\rm bol} \approx 10^{-3.34} L_{\odot}$, and has a slow rotation velocity, $v \sin i \approx$ 6.5 km s⁻¹ (Mohanty & Basri 2003). An X-ray flare from VB 10 was previously detected by ROSAT, with a duration of ~20 minutes and an average luminosity, $L_X = (8.4 \pm 2.7) \times 10^{26} \text{ ergs s}^{-1}$, or $L_X/L_{bol} \approx 10^{-3.3}$ (Fleming et al. 2000). Subsequent *Chandra* observations revealed quiescent emission with $L_X/L_{bol} \approx 10^{-4.9}$ (Fleming et al. 2003). In addition, Hubble Space Telescope observations revealed flaring and quiescent transition region emission (Linsky et al. 1995; Hawley & Johns-Krull 2003). VB 10 is also known to produce H α emission with equivalent widths of \sim 2–6 Å reported in the literature (Martín et al. 1999; Mohanty & Basri 2003; Reiners & Basri 2007), or $L_{\text{H}\alpha}/L_{\text{bol}} \approx 10^{-4.4}$. No radio emission has been detected to date, with a limit of $\leq 80 \ \mu$ Jy at 8.5 GHz (Krishnamurthi et al. 1999). Finally, Reiners & Basri (2007) recently estimated the surface magnetic field to be Bf \sim 1.3 kG, where f is the field filling factor.

The simultaneous observations of LSR 1835+32 presented here were conducted on 2007 May 3 for a total of 8.7 hr in the radio (06:40-15:20 UT), 8.4 hr in the X-rays (07:16-15:43 UT), and 5.4 hr in the optical (09:42-15:04 UT). Observations with the *Swift* UVOT took place intermittently between 08:09 and 16:31 UT with a total on-source exposure time of 9.4 ks.

Observations of VB 10 were conducted on 2007 July 1 for a total of 8.9 hr in the X-rays (10:29-19:21 UT), with intermittent UV coverage between 11:08 and 19:15 UT for a total of 8.4 ks. Optical spectroscopy was obtained only 2 weeks later, on 2007 July 15 (08:10-13:33 UT).

2.1. Radio

Very Large Array¹² observations of LSR 1835+32 were obtained at a frequency of 8.46 GHz in the standard continuum mode with 2×50 MHz contiguous bands. Scans of 295 s on source were interleaved with 50 s scans on the phase calibrator J1850+284. The flux density scale was determined using the extragalactic source 3C 286 (J1331+305). Data reduction and analysis follow the procedure outlined in Paper I. The total intensity and circular polarization light curves are shown in Figure 1.

2.2. X-Rays

Observations were performed with the *Chandra* ACIS-S3 backside-illuminated chip, with both LSR 1835+32 and VB 10 offset from the on-axis focal point by 3.17'. A total of 28.33 and 29.29 ks were obtained, respectively. The data were analyzed using CIAO version 3.4, and counts were extracted in a 2" radius circle centered on the position of each source.

For LSR 1835+32 we established a bore-sight correction based on one source in common between the VLA and *Chandra* images. The derived correction is δ R.A. = $-1.7'' \pm 0.7''$ and δ decl. = $0.2'' \pm 0.5''$. Within the 2'' aperture centered on the position of LSR 1835+32 we find only 2 counts, with 1.5 counts expected from the background as determined from annuli centered on the source position. Thus, the resulting upper limit is about 7 counts (95% confidence level). Using an energy conversion factor of 1 cps = 3.4×10^{-12} ergs cm⁻² s⁻¹ (appropriate for a 1 keV Raymond-Smith plasma model in the 0.2–2 keV range) we find

¹² The VLA is operated by the National Radio Astronomy Observatory, a facility of the National Science Foundation operated under cooperative agreement by Associated Universities, Inc.



FIG. 1.—Radio, H α , UV, and X-ray observations of LSR 1835+32. No X-ray emission is detected, and we find a UV source only in the combined 9.4 ks observation with $m_{AB} = 23.7 \pm 0.3$ mag. The radio total intensity (1) and circular polarization (V) light curves (*black lines*) are shown at 30 s (*black lines*) and 30 minutes (*gray lines*) resolution (the intrinsic time resolution is 5 s). One possible weak radio flare is detected (08:05 UT), but the overall level of variability is less than a factor of 2. The H α line exhibits significant variability on timescales of 0.5–2 hr. We find no correlation between the H α and radio light curves.

 $F_{\rm X} < 8.4 \times 10^{-16} {\rm ~ergs~cm^{-2}~s^{-1}}$, or $L_{\rm X}/L_{\rm bol} < 10^{-5.7}$, one of the faintest limits to date for any ultracool dwarf.

In the observation of VB 10 we detect a total of 60 counts within the 2" extraction aperture; 2 counts are expected from the background. Of the 60 detected counts, 59 are in the energy range 0.2–2 keV, similar to what has been found for other M dwarfs (including TVLM 513–46546; Paper I). Using this energy range we construct light curves and spectra. The light curve is shown at various time binnings in Figure 2, and is composed of a bright flare with a duration of ~3 hr, followed by about 4 hr of low-level quiescent emission, and finally a fainter flare during the final hour of the observation. Using the time range 14:30–18:00 UT to represent the quiescent component we find a total of 10 counts, or a count rate of $\approx 6.2 \times 10^{-4}$ s⁻¹.

To determine the flux and plasma temperature we fit the 0.2– 2 keV spectrum using a Raymond-Smith model. We find that a single-component model provides a poor fit to the data, with $\chi_r^2 =$ 1.4 for 9 degrees of freedom (dof; null hypothesis probability of 0.2); Figure 3. We reach the same conclusion using Cash statistics and 10⁵ Monte Carlo realizations drawn from a Gaussian distribution around the best-fit model, namely, 99% of all realizations have better C-values than the best-fit C = 23.4. The best-fit parameters are $kT \approx 0.3$ keV, and a normalization of 1.4×10^{-5} .

An improved fit is achieved using two components, with $\chi_r^2 = 0.3$ for 7 degrees of freedom (null hypothesis probability of 0.94); Figure 3. In this case only 0.7% of all Monte Carlo realizations have a better C-value than the best-fit C = 2.7. The best-fit temperatures are $kT_1 = 0.26^{+0.06}_{-0.03}$ keV and $kT_2 \approx 1.3$ keV (formally, $kT_2 \gtrsim 1$ keV). The normalizations of the two components are $(1.35 \pm 0.35) \times 10^{-5}$ and $(6.5 \pm 2.1) \times 10^{-6}$, respectively. The



FIG. 2.—X-ray and UV observations of VB 10. We show the X-ray photon arrival times (*black dots*), as well as light curves with time binning of 600 and 2400 s. The light curve is clearly composed of a flare with a duration of about 2 hr, followed by quiescent emission and a second weaker and shorter flare. The UV light curve clearly tracks the X-ray emission.

resulting average flux over the full observation is $F_{\rm X} = (1.2 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-14}$ ergs cm⁻² s⁻¹, or $L_{\rm X}/L_{\rm bol} \approx 10^{-4.5}$.

Using the same fit for the quiescent component, we find $F_X \approx 3.7 \times 10^{-15}$ ergs cm⁻² s⁻¹, or $L_X/L_{bol} \approx 10^{-5.0}$, in excellent agreement with the value found previously by Fleming et al. (2003). The peak count rate of the first flare is about 5×10^{-3} s⁻¹, corresponding to $F_X \approx 3.2 \times 10^{-14}$ ergs cm⁻² s⁻¹ and $L_X/L_{bol} \approx 10^{-4.1}$. For the second flare, $F_X \approx 2.0 \times 10^{-14}$ ergs cm⁻² s⁻¹ and $L_X/L_{bol} \approx 10^{-4.3}$.

2.3. Optical Spectroscopy

We used¹³ the Gemini Multi-Object Spectrograph (GMOS; Hook et al. 2004) mounted on the Gemini-North 8 m telescope with the B600 grating set at a central wavelength of 5250 Å, and with a 1" slit. The individual 300 s exposures were reduced using the gemini package in IRAF (bias subtraction, flat-fielding, and sky subtraction). Wavelength calibration was performed using CuAr arc lamps and air-to-vacuum corrections were applied. The spectra cover 3840–6680 Å at a resolution of about 5 Å. For LSR 1835+32 we obtained a series of 60 exposures, while for VB 10 the data were obtained nonsimultaneously with a total of 61 exposures. The fast readout time of 18 s provides 94% on-source efficiency.

We detect highly variable H α emission in LSR 1835+32 (Fig. 1). Spectra with strong H α emission also exhibit higher order Balmer lines (H β -H δ) and Ca II H and K emission. Sample spectra in the high and low emission-line states are shown in Figure 4.

The spectrum of VB 10 exhibits strong variability in the Balmer $(H\alpha-H\zeta)$ and Ca II H and K lines; Figure 5. As in the case of LSR 1835+32 we identify high and low emission-line states (Fig. 6),

¹³ Observations were obtained as part of program GN-2007A-Q-60.



FIG. 3.—X-ray spectrum of VB 10 (data with error bard) fit with (*left*) a single-temperature Raymond-Smith model with $kT \approx 0.3$ keV ($\chi_r^2 = 1.4$ for 9 dof). and (*right*) a two-component Raymond-Smith model with $kT_1 \approx 0.26$ keV and $kT_2 \approx 1.3$ keV ($\chi_r^2 = 0.3$ for 7 dof). The single-temperature model leaves significant residuals, which are largely eliminated by the addition of hotter component.

but we also identify an impulsive flare state marked by a short duration (≤ 300 s; Fig. 5) and emission lines of He I (Fig. 6).

In addition to the low-resolution Gemini spectra, we obtained high-resolution spectra of LSR 1835+32 to measure its rotation velocity, using the High Resolution Echelle Spectrometer (HIRES) on the Keck I 10 m telescope. The spectroscopic setup and data reduction are detailed in Mohanty & Basri (2003) and Reiners & Basri (2007). Using the slow rotator CN Leo (M6; $v \sin i \approx$ 3 km s⁻¹) as a template, we measure a rotation velocity for LSR 1835+32 of $v \sin i = 50 \pm 5$ km s⁻¹, or a period of about 2.4 hr; Figure 7.

2.4. Ultraviolet

Data were obtained with the *Swift* UV/optical telescope in the UVW1 filter ($\lambda_{\text{eff}} \approx 2600 \text{ Å}$), as a series of six images with exposure times ranging from 560 to 1630 s for LSR 1835+32 (Fig. 1) and 980 to 1630 s for VB 10 (Table 1 and Fig. 2).

No source is detected at the position of LSR 1835+32 in any of the individual exposures, but we detect a source at 3.5 σ confidence level in the combined 9.4 ks image. Photometry of the combined image (including a 0.2 mag correction to the standard 5" aperture) reveals a flux of $F_{\lambda} = (4.7 \pm 1.3) \times 10^{-18}$ ergs cm⁻²



FIG. 4.—Spectra of LSR 1835+32 in the high and low emission lines states, corresponding, respectively, to the peak (13:40 UT) and lowest point (13:00 UT) of the H α light curve (Fig. 1). The high state is marked by strong Balmer and Ca II H and K lines.

s⁻¹ Å⁻¹, or $m_{AB} = 23.7 \pm 0.3$ mag. To estimate the expected UV photospheric emission we convolve the UVOT/UVW1 transmission curve with an AMES-cond model¹⁴ with log g = 5.5 and

¹⁴ The AMES-cond model assumes that all dust has gravitationally settled out of the atmosphere (Allard et al. 2001). We find that the opposite case of no gravitational settling (AMES-dusty model) provides essentially the same result in the UV.



Fig. 5.—Light curves of the Balmer line equivalent widths in the spectra of VB 10. Each spectrum has a duration of 300 s. The same behavior is clear in all four lines, with significant variability ranging in duration from a single exposure to a broad brightening with a duration of about 2.5 hr. We designate three spectral states—flare, high, and low—whose spectra are shown in Fig. 6. The flare state is more distinct in the higher order Balmer lines, suggesting that the plasma densities and temperatures are higher compared to the high and low states.



FIG. 6.—Spectra of VB 10 in the three states designated in Fig. 5: flare, high, and low. The flare spectrum exhibits significantly stronger emission in the higher order Balmer lines than the high-state spectrum, stronger Ca II lines, and He I lines which are completely absent in the high-state spectrum. These properties point to higher chromospheric densities and temperatures in the impulsive flare state (≤ 300 s) than during the broad brightenings (high state). The low-state spectrum is simply a weaker version of the high-state spectrum.

 $T_{\rm eff} = 2400$ K (Allard et al. 2001), which provides an excellent fit to the optical and IR data for LSR 1835+32. We find an expected photospheric brightness of $m_{\rm AB} \approx 23.3$ mag, in excellent agreement with the observed flux. Thus, no contribution from a quiescent or flaring transition region is detected.

VB 10, on the other hand, is clearly variable in the UV, and is detected in exposures 1, 2, and 6, with an additional detection



FIG. 7.—Portion of our Keck/HIRES echelle spectrum of LSR 1835+32 (*thick line*). A comparison to the rotationally broadened spectrum of the slowly rotating M6 dwarf CN Leo (*thin line*; shifted downward for clarity) indicates a rotation velocity for LSR 1835+32 of $v \sin i = 50 \pm 5$ km s⁻¹.

TABLE 1 UVOT/UVW1 Magnitudes of VB 10

Exposure	UT Time	AB Magnitude ^a		
1	11:08:22-11:31:00	19.91 ± 0.12		
2	12:32:23-12:49:00	20.45 ± 0.21		
3	14:08:27-14:36:00	<21.47		
4	15:44:28-16:12:00	<21.40		
5	17:21:27-17:49:00	<21.40		
3–5	14:08:27-17:49:00	21.57 ± 0.24		
6	18:57:27-19:15:00	21.07 ± 0.35		

^a Limits are 3 σ .

when combining exposures 3, 4, and 5. A summary of the derived magnitudes, corrected to the standard 5" aperture, is provided in Table 1, and the light curve is shown in Figure 2. Repeating the same analysis as for LSR 1835+32, we find that the minimum observed UV emission exceeds the expected photospheric emission by about an order of magnitude. Thus, in VB 10 the flaring and quiescent UV emission are due to an active transition region.

3. MULTIWAVELENGTH EMISSION PROPERTIES

We observed LSR 1835+32 and VB 10 across a wide wavelength range that traces activity in various layers of the outer atmosphere. The radio emission is due to particle acceleration by magnetic processes, the optical emission lines trace the chromospheric plasma, the UV emission arises in the transition region, and the X-ray thermal emission is produced in the corona.

3.1. LSR 1835+32

The broadband emission properties of LSR 1835+32 are summarized in Figure 1. The radio emission appears to be nearly constant both in total intensity and circular polarization. The average flux density is $F_{\nu}(8.46) = 464 \pm 10 \ \mu$ Jy, while the 3 σ upper limit on the fraction of circular polarization is $r_c < 6.5\%$. For comparison, previous observations of LSR 1835+32 revealed a flux of $F_{\nu}(8.46) = 525 \pm 15 \ \mu$ Jy, with an upper limit on the circular polarization of $r_c < 9\%$ (Berger 2006). We note the possible detection of one weak flare (at 08:05 UT) with a peak flux density of about 1.3 mJy and a duration of about 5 minutes (Fig. 1). The average flux density during the putative flare is only 370 \pm 105 μ Jy above the quiescent emission level, and the average fraction of circular polarization is $r_c = -50\% \pm 15\%$.

We therefore conclude that the radio emission from LSR 1835+32 is generally persistent in origin, with at most a mild variability at the level of $\sim 20\%$ on hour to year timescales. The one possible short duration flare points to a duty cycle of less than a few percent if the flare distribution is uniform. Alternatively, it is possible that we observed LSR 1835+32 in a period of relative quiescence, and future observations may reveal the existence of flares with a higher duty cycle.

Since our radio observation covers nearly 4 rotation periods of LSR 1835+32, the lack of significant variability points to emission from a uniform and stable magnetic field configuration. Assuming a structure with $R \sim R_* \approx 7 \times 10^9$ cm we infer a brightness temperature, $T_b = 2 \times 10^9 F_{\nu, \text{mJy}} \nu_{\text{GHz}}^{-2} d_{\text{pc}}^2 (R/R_*)^{-2} \approx 4 \times 10^8$ K, indicative of nonthermal gyrosynchrotron emission. This conclusion is supported by the overall persistence of the radio emission and the low fraction of circular polarization.

In the context of gyrosynchrotron radiation, the emission spectrum is determined by the size of the emitting region (R), the



FIG. 8.—Radio vs. X-ray luminosity for stars exhibiting coronal activity. Data for late-M and L dwarfs are from Rutledge et al. (2000), Berger et al. (2001, 2005), Berger (2002, 2006), Burgasser & Putman (2005), Audard et al. (2007), and Paper I, while data for other stars and the Sun are taken from Güdel (2002) and references therein. Solar data include impulsive and gradual flares, as well as microflares. The strong correlation between L_R and L_X is evident, but it breaks down around spectral type M7 (see inset). Of our three targets, TVLM 513–46546 and LSR 1835+32 clearly violate the correlation by about 4 orders of magnitude, while for VB 10 the upper limit on excess radio emission is less than 3 orders of magnitude.

density of radiating electrons (n_e) , and the magnetic field strength (B) according to (Dulk & Marsh 1982):

$$r_c = 0.3 \times 10^{1.93 \cos \theta - 1.16 \cos^2 \theta} (3 \times 10^3 / B)^{-0.21 - 0.37 \sin \theta}, \quad (1)$$

$$\nu_m = 1.8 \times 10^4 (\sin \theta)^{0.5} (n_e R)^{0.23} B^{0.77} \text{ Hz}, \qquad (2)$$

$$F_{\nu,m} = 2.5 \times 10^{-41} B^{2.48} R^3 n_e (\sin \theta)^{-1.52} \ \mu \text{Jy}, \qquad (3)$$

where θ is the angle between the magnetic field and the line of sight. We make the typical assumption that the relativistic electrons follow a power-law distribution, $N(\gamma) \propto \gamma^{-p}$ for $\gamma > \gamma_m$, with $p \sim 3$ typical for M and L dwarfs (Güdel et al. 1993b; Berger et al. 2005; Osten et al. 2006b); a thermal distribution is unlikely given the high brightness temperature. In addition, given the lack of information on the peak of the spectrum we assume that $\nu_m = 8.5$ GHz, and note that the overall range in the derived parameters provided below encompasses a wide range of uncertainty in the actual value of ν_m .

Using a range of $\theta = 20^{\circ} - 70^{\circ}$ we find $B \leq 0.1 - 20$ G, respectively. With this range we find $R \leq (0.1 - 7) \times 10^9$ cm, and $n_e \gtrsim 2.4 \times 10^{10}$ to 2.3×10^{20} cm⁻³; the latter is for the range $\theta = 70^{\circ} - 20^{\circ}$. Typical coronal densities in M dwarfs are $\sim 10^{10} - 10^{13}$ cm⁻³ (van den Besselaar et al. 2003; Osten et al. 2006a; Berger et al. 2008), suggesting that $\theta \sim \pi/3$, and hence $B \sim 10$ G and $R \sim 7 \times 10^9$ cm $\sim R_*$. Since the corona is likely to have an overall shell geometry, the derived physical properties can be interpreted

as a structure extending $\sim 0.3R_*$ above the stellar photosphere with order unity filling factor. Smaller filling factors will lead to more extended magnetic structures.

With strong persistent radio emission and no corresponding X-ray emission, LSR 1835+32 joins the sample of ultracool dwarfs which strongly violate the radio/X-ray correlation, $L_R/L_X \approx$ $10^{-15.5}$ Hz⁻¹ (Guedel & Benz 1993a), observed in F–M6 active stars and in solar flares. We find $L_R/L_X \gtrsim 10^{-11.3}$ Hz⁻¹, more than 4 orders of magnitude in excess of the expected value; Figure 8. We stress that the long-term persistence and low circular polarization of the radio emission clearly point to gyrosynchrotron radiation from a stellar-scale magnetic field, so the severe violation cannot be explained away with small-scale, short-duration heating by coherent processes such as electron cyclotron maser or plasma radiation.

We next turn to the H α emission for which we measure a range of equivalent widths of 2–7 Å (Fig. 1). This range corresponds¹⁵ to $L_{\text{H}\alpha}/L_{\text{bol}} \approx (1-3.5) \times 10^{-5}$, about an order of magnitude lower than the saturated H α emission found in mid-M dwarfs. The weaker chromospheric emission from LSR 1835+32 is in good agreement with the general trend of decreasing H α emission in ultracool dwarfs.

As in the case of the radio emission, the level of detected H α emission is puzzling in the context of the nondetected X-ray

¹⁵ To convert from equivalent width to $L_{\text{H}\alpha}/L_{\text{bol}}$ we use a conversion factor (χ) of 10^{-5.3} appropriate for LSR 1835+32 (Walkowicz et al. 2004).

emission. Observations of M0–M6 dwarfs reveal a typical ratio, $\langle F_{\rm H\alpha}/F_X \rangle \sim 1/3$, with a full range of ~0.1–1 (Hawley et al. 1996). For LSR 1835+32 this ratio is $F_{\rm H\alpha}/F_X \gtrsim 5$ (using the weakest H α flux), at least an order of magnitude larger than in M0–M6 dwarfs. The significantly brighter H α emission indicates that chromospheric heating is significantly more efficient in LSR 1835+32 than coronal heating, possibly as a result of the field configuration. We return to this point in § 4. Moreover, the elevated H α emission rules out coronal X-ray emission as the source of chromospheric heating, as proposed for dMe stars.

While both radio and H α emission are detected, we find a clear lack of temporal correlation between these two activity indicators. The H α light curve exhibits significant variability on timescales of $\sim 0.5-2$ hr, with a wide range of amplitudes, $\delta EW \sim$ 0.5-5 Å. However, no clear radio variability is observed in coincidence with any of the H α brightening episodes. In particular, during the large increase in H α equivalent width centered on 13:40 UT (Fig. 1), we find a limit of $\leq 8\%$ on the variability of the radio emission. This can be explained in two ways. First, the $H\alpha$ variability originates from much smaller physical scales than the radio emission (for which $R \sim R_*$), and any associated radio variability is therefore dwarfed by the overall persistent flux. In this case, we infer that the typical scale of chromospheric regions giving rise to H α variability is $\leq 10\%$ of the overall chromospheric volume. Alternatively, the radio emission associated with the variable $H\alpha$ emission is produced at a much different frequency than our observed 8.5 GHz band. This may be the result of coherent radio emission from fields weaker than \sim 3 kG, which would lead to emission at $\nu = 2.8 \times 10^6 B \leq 8.5 \times 10^9 Hz$.

To summarize, LSR 1835+32 exhibits quiescent radio emission with no appreciable variability over the timescale of our observation (four rotation periods), or on year timescales. The chromospheric H α emission, on the other hand, is highly variable with changes of a factor of 3 in equivalent width on timescales of ≤ 1 hr. The H α flux exceeds the undetected X-ray emission by at least an order of magnitude, indicating that the chromosphere is not heated by coronal emission. The radio emission violates the radio/X-ray correlation of F–M6 stars by about 4 order of magnitude.

3.2. VB 10

For VB 10 we were able to obtain simultaneous observations only in the X-rays and UV (Fig. 2). The X-ray light curve exhibits both flaring and quiescent emission with ratios of $L_X/L_{bol} \approx$ $10^{-4.1}$ and $10^{-5.0}$, respectively. As noted in § 2, quiescent X-ray emission was previously detected from VB 10 in a shorter observation (Fleming et al. 2003) and we confirm its existence here at the same flux level. The X-ray spectrum is dominated by a $T \approx 3.5 \times 10^6$ K plasma, with a possible second component with $T \sim 1.5 \times 10^7$ K (Fig. 3). These temperatures are typical of coronal X-ray emission from M dwarfs, and similar to what we previously found for TVLM 513-46546, $T \approx 10^7$ K (Paper I).

The UV emission clearly tracks the X-ray behavior, with detections of the two flares and the quiescent component. The peak UV brightness exceeds the quiescent emission by a factor of about 5 (Table 1), somewhat less that the order of magnitude change in X-ray brightness (\S 2.2). Moreover, the quiescent component exceeds the expected photospheric emission by about an order of magnitude, and thus points to the existence of a persistent transition region, as noted previously by Hawley & Johns-Krull 2003. So far this is the only case of correlated emission found in any of our targets, suggesting that at least some late-M dwarfs may follow the behavior seen in early M dwarfs.

As in the case of the X-ray and UV data, our nonsimultaneous optical spectroscopy also reveals a significant level of variability.

As far as we know, our spectroscopic observations provide the most extensive coverage of VB 10 in the published literature, and are thus valuable even if no multiwavelength coverage is available. Here we provide a brief summary of the observations, and defer a detailed analysis to a future publication.

We clearly detect $H\alpha$ in emission in all of the individual exposures. An equivalent width light curve is shown in Figure 5. The range of measured values is 3.7–8.6 Å, extending to somewhat higher values than previously published for VB 10. Similarly, the $H\beta$ line varies from 5 to 26 Å, while $H\gamma$ and $H\delta$ range from undetectable levels (\leq few Å) to about 80 Å. We also detect Ca II H and K emission ranging from nondetectable levels to a K line¹⁶ equivalent width of about 30 Å. This is summarized in Table 2.

The emission line light curves exhibit a wide range of variability timescales. We note that the total duration of our observation samples only about $\frac{1}{4}$ of a rotation of VB 10 ($v \sin i = 6.5 \text{ km s}^{-1}$), and we therefore have no information on rotational modulation, which was detected in our previous target, TVLM 513-46546 (Paper I). The variability is primarily gradual with the rise and fall times of individual episodes being roughly the same. The broadest brightening has a rise time of about 0.7 hr and a decay time of about 2 hr, with a factor of 2 increase in equivalent width. The variability is reflected in all of the detected Balmer lines, but the relative change in equivalent width is largest for the weakest lines. This mild change in the Balmer decrement points to increased densities and temperatures during brighter emission episodes. Sample spectra in these high and low states are shown in Figure 6.

In addition to the time-resolved and gradual changes in emission line equivalent width we detect two episodes of rapid brightening with a duration of ≤ 300 s (flare state). These flares are marked by a large increase in the equivalent width of the higher order Balmer lines and the Ca II lines relative to the high-state spectra. They are further distinguished from the high-state spectra by the appearance of He I lines (Fig. 6). Temporally, both of the detected flares are superposed on gradual brightenings, suggesting that the two modes of variability are causally and temporally unrelated and arise in different regions of the chromosphere.

The significant difference in Balmer decrement between the high and flare states, and the appearance of He I lines in the latter indicate higher plasma densities, and most likely temperatures in the flare state. A comparison to flares on mid-M dwarfs (Allred et al. 2006 and references therein) reveals shallower Balmer decrements in the impulsive flares on VB 10: H $\delta/H\gamma \approx 0.9$, H $\epsilon/H\gamma \approx 0.9$, and H $\zeta/H\gamma \approx 0.6$, compared to average values of 0.8, 0.5, and 0.4, respectively. Similarly, the formation of He I lines generally requires dense plasma ($n_e \sim 10^{13} - 10^{16}$ cm⁻³) at $T \sim \text{few} \times 10^4$ K, approaching the transition region temperatures.

Although our X-ray and optical observations are not simultaneous, the variability timescales in both bands are similar (Figs. 2 and 5). This suggests that in VB 10 chromospheric heating by coronal X-rays may in fact work. Indeed, the observed range of $L_{\rm H\alpha}/L_{\rm bol} \approx 10^{-4.5}$ to $10^{-4.9}$ (Table 2) is similar to the range of $L_{\rm X}/L_{\rm bol} \approx 10^{-4.1}$ to $10^{-5.0}$. Thus, the chromospheric and coronal structure of VB 10 is markedly different than that of LSR 1835+32, for which the H α luminosity exceeds the X-ray luminosity by at least an order of magnitude, and no evidence for plasma at coronal temperatures exists.

To conclude, the magnetic activity in VB 10 differs significantly from that of LSR 1835+32 and TVLM 513-46546, and is more typical of M0-M6 dwarfs. The X-ray and UV emission are clearly correlated and point to the presence of quiescent and

¹⁶ The Ca II H line is blended with H ϵ .

TABLE 2									
Emission-Line Properties of VB 10)								

Line	Low		Нідн			FLARE			
	EW ^a	Flux ^b	$\log (L/L_{bol})$	EW	Flux	$\log (L/L_{bol})$	EW	Flux	$\log (L/L_{bol})$
Ηα	4.3	5.2	-4.9	8.6	13.3	-4.5	9.0	15.1	-4.4
Ηβ	4.8	0.5	-5.9	17	2.8	-5.1	33	8.7	-4.6
Ηγ	9.3	0.2	-6.3	31	1.6	-5.4	75	8.7	-4.6
Ηδ				31	1.0	-5.6	95	8.0	-4.7
Нε + Са п Н	8.5	0.2	-6.3	111	1.8	-5.3	83	7.8	-4.7
Ηζ				9.1	0.3	-6.1	44	4.8	-4.9
Са п К	15	0.2	-6.3	39	1.2	-5.5	26	2.5	-5.2
He 1 λ5877							2.8	1.1	-5.6
He 1 λ4473							5.3	0.9	-5.6
He 1 λ4027							5.4	0.5	-5.9

Note.—Emission line fluxes, equivalent widths, and ratios relative to the bolometric luminosity for the three spectral states identified in VB 10 (Figs. 5 and 6).

^b In units of 10^{-15} ergs cm⁻² s⁻¹ Å⁻¹.

flaring corona and transition region. The optical chromospheric emission exhibits similar timescales and amplitudes of variability, and can be separated into a gradually variable component (low and high states), and a rapidly flaring component (flare state) that is marked by higher plasma densities and temperatures.

4. THE POSSIBLE ROLE OF ROTATION

With the increasing sample of ultracool dwarfs observed in the radio and X-rays we can begin to address the physical conditions that underlie the generation of magnetic fields and their influence on the coronae and chromospheres. It is clear from our work that late-M dwarfs exhibit a mix of activity patterns in comparison to stars with spectral types F to early M stars, whose magnetic activity is powered by the $\alpha\Omega$ dynamo. Thus, it is instructive to investigate whether rotation also plays a role in the magnetic activity of ultracool dwarfs.

4.1. X-Ray Activity

The generation of persistent X-ray emission in F–M stars is still not fully understood, but its correlation with radio activity and rotation velocity suggests that it is directly tied to heating by magnetic field dissipation and particle acceleration. In Figure 9 we show the well-known rotation-activity relation and saturation of L_X/L_{bol} for stars with spectral type F–M6. The rotation-activity relation provides evidence for the existence of an $\alpha\Omega$ dynamo, while three primary explanations have been proposed for the saturation of L_X/L_{bol} (see also Güdel 2004). The first is saturation of the dynamo mechanism itself at low Rossby numbers, Ro $\equiv P/\tau_c \leq 0.1$ (e.g., Vilhu 1984); here τ_c is the convective turnover time. Second, centrifugal effects in rapid rotators may lead to a circulation pattern that sweeps the magnetic fields from the lower convection zone toward the poles, thereby reducing the field filling factor (Stěepień et al. 2001).

The third explanation is centrifugal stripping of the corona at the corotation radius¹⁷ R_c (Jardine & Unruh 1999; James et al. 2000). In this scenario, the particle density, $n_e \propto \Omega$, and emission volume, $V \propto R_c^3 \propto \Omega^{-2}$, conspire to produce an emission measure $(\propto n_e^2 V)$ that is independent of the rotation period, i.e., saturation. However, this balance breaks down when the corotation radius is well inside the corona, $R_c \leq R_*$, leading to supersaturation–a reduction in L_X/L_{bol} at very rapid rotation rates (e.g., James et al. 2000).

It is instructive to study whether the X-ray emission of ultracool dwarfs exhibits saturation and/or supersaturation, and if so, which of the mechanisms described above is responsible for these effects. The values of $L_{\rm X}/L_{\rm hol}$ as a function of rotation period presented here and in Paper I, as well as in the literature, are summarized in Figure 9. Two trends are clear. In the range of rotation periods of ~0.4–1 days, in which F–M6 stars exhibit saturated emission, $L_X/L_{bol} \sim 10^{-4}$ for the ultracool dwarfs is a few times smaller than the saturated value of the F-M6 stars. This points to generally weaker coronae in ultracool dwarfs compared to higher mass stars, although in one case an unusually bright flare with $L_X/L_{bol} \sim 0.1$ was detected (Stelzer et al. 2006). On the other hand, ultracool dwarfs with periods of ≤ 0.2 d $(v \sin i \gtrsim 25 \text{ km s}^{-1})$ exhibit much lower values of $L_X/L_{bol} \lesssim$ 10^{-5} . These include our targets LSR 1835+32 and TVLM 513-46546. The reduction in X-ray activity at high rotation velocities is reminiscent of the supersaturation phenomenon.

In the context of centrifugal stripping, an ultracool dwarf with $M \sim 0.1 M_{\odot}$ and $R \sim 0.1 R_{\odot}$ has a corotation radius that is smaller than the coronal radius ($\sim R_*$ above the photosphere) when $P \leq 1$ hr. This is a factor of 2 times smaller than the fastest rotators in the sample, with $v \sin i \approx 60$ km s⁻¹. This indicates that centrifugal stripping may play a role in reducing L_X/L_{bol} for fast rotators only if the magnetic field is generally dominated by extended loops with $h \sim (2-3)R_*$, which may indeed be the relevant scale for M dwarfs (e.g., Leto et al. 2000). In the case of LSR 1835+32, TVLM 513-46546 (Paper I), and 2MASS J00361617+1821104 (Berger et al. 2005) we inferred from our radio observations a magnetic field scale of $\sim (1-few) \times 10^{10}$ cm, in the rough range required for efficient centrifugal stripping.

Thus, to the extent that existing observations of ultracool dwarfs reveal possible supersaturation in the X-rays, it is presently unclear whether this is due to intrinsic dynamo effects or to the secondary effect of centrifugal stripping. The proposed mechanism of centrifugal clearing of the equatorial plane in rapid rotators (Stěpień et al. 2001) is not likely to play a role since the persistent radio emission on \gtrsim year timescales in several ultracool dwarfs points to fields with order unity covering fractions.

An alternative possibility for the drop in L_X/L_{bol} is that the source of coronal heating is different, and much less efficient,

^a In units of Å.

¹⁷ The corotation radius, $R_c = (GM_*/\Omega^2)^{1/3}$, is the radius at which the centrifugal and gravitational forces are exactly balanced.



FIG. 9.—Ratio of X-ray to bolometric luminosity as a function of rotation period for stars of spectral type F–M6 (*gray circles*), and >M7 (*black symbols*). Data are from James et al. (2000), Pizzolato et al. (2003), Reiners & Basri (2007), Audard et al. (2007 and references therein), and this paper. The rotation-activity relation and saturation in the F–M6 stars are clearly seen (*gray lines*). The X-ray activity of ultracool dwarfs is generally weaker than in early type stars, and in addition appears to *decrease* at higher rotation velocity. This serves as possible evidence for supersaturation. The shaded region marks the rotation period below which the corotation radius is smaller than the coronal radius (assumed to extend $\sim R_s$ above the stellar surface), leading to possible stripping of coronal material by centrifugal ejection.

than in higher mass stars. This scenario may explain the lower values of L_X/L_{bol} in the regime where F–M6 stars are saturated. However, it is unclear why such a scenario should depend on rotation, particularly since the radio emission (i.e., particle acceleration) does not diminish in fast rotators (see § 4.2).

We caution that the possibility of supersaturation in the X-rays is based on a small number of objects, and moreover that the effect of centrifugal stripping depends on the magnetic field configuration. Future X-ray observations of additional late-M and L dwarfs, as well as the determination of rotation velocities for a larger sample of ultracool dwarfs will reveal whether, and at what rotation velocity, supersaturation may set in. If confirmed, the value of $v \sin i$ at which supersaturation occurs may hold a clue to the nature of the dynamo or the dominant physical scale of coronal magnetic loops.

4.2. Radio Activity

Since radio emission is arguably a more robust tracer of magnetic activity than X-ray emission, we repeat the same exercise for L_R/L_{bol} as a function of rotation period and velocity. Berger (2002) noted a possible correlation between radio activity and rotation based on a small sample of ultracool dwarfs, and we revisit this investigation here. In Figure 10 we plot radio detections and upper limits for objects with a known rotation velocity and period. We find that for objects with spectral type >M7 those with $v \sin i \leq 30$ km s⁻¹, or $P \geq 4$ hr, exhibit a typical quiescent radio activity, $\nu L_{\nu,R}/L_{bol} \sim 10^{-7.5}$. On the other hand, those with

 $v \sin i \gtrsim 30 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ have $\nu L_{\nu,R}/L_{bol} \sim 10^{-6.8}$, nearly an order of magnitude larger. If we include objects earlier than M7, the increase in radio activity with rotation velocity becomes even more pronounced, with a typical level of $\nu L_{\nu,R}/L_{bol} \lesssim 10^{-8}$ for $v \sin i \lesssim 30 \text{ km s}^{-1}$.

The majority of the ultracool dwarfs with a known rotation velocity remain undetected in VLA observations with a typical duration of ~2 hr, mostly as a result of the decrease in L_{bol} for L and T dwarfs (Fig. 10 and Berger 2006). Still, it appears that with the present sample, there is possible evidence for a radio rotation-activity relation, with no evidence for either saturation or super-saturation. This is contrary to the apparent decrease in L_X/L_{bol} at $v \sin i \sim 25 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ (§ 4.1). If confirmed with future observations, the most likely explanation is that the dynamo mechanism is at least partially driven by rotation (even in the presence of full convection), with no apparent saturation at very low Rossby numbers (Ro $\leq 10^{-3}$), contrary to the trend in F–M6 stars.

Regardless of the exact dynamo mechanism there is a clear discrepancy between the radio and X-ray observations in terms of a possible activity-rotation relation and its supersaturation. The lack of clear saturation or supersaturation in the radio indicates that the dynamo itself is not likely to saturate even at high rotation velocities. The possible decline in X-ray activity at $v \sin i \ge 25$ km s⁻¹ may thus be the result of secondary effects such as inefficient heating of the plasma to coronal temperatures, or centrifugal stripping of the most extended magnetic loops, with $R \sim \text{few} \times R_*$. The former scenario is supported by the observed



Fig. 10.—Ratio of radio to bolometric luminosity as a function of rotation period (*left*) and rotation velocity (*right*). Data are from White et al. (1989), Berger 2002, 2006 and references therein), and this paper. There is an apparent correlation between radio activity and rotation, with no clear sign of saturation or supersaturation.

ratio $L_{\text{H}\alpha}/L_X \gtrsim 1$ in the quiescent emission from LSR 1835+32 and TVLM 513-46546, which points to heating of chromospheric plasma by a process other than coronal X-ray emission.

The difference in trends between radio and X-ray activity as a function of rotation velocity may also underlie the violation of the radio/X-ray correlation in ultracool dwarfs (Fig. 8). In Figure 11 we plot $L_{\nu,R}/L_X$ relative to its standard value in F–M6 stars ($\approx 10^{-15.5}$; Guedel & Benz 1993a) as a function of rotation velocity. We find that objects with $v \sin i \gtrsim 30$ km s⁻¹ exhibit a significantly more severe violation of the radio/X-ray correlation than those with slower rotation. It is important to note, however, that rotation alone may not fully account for the excess ratios since even objects with $v \sin i \lesssim 10$ km s⁻¹ may exceed the value of $10^{-15.5}$ by an order of magnitude or more. Still, it appears that faster rotators generally violate the radio/X-ray correlation by a larger factor.



FIG. 11.—Ratio of radio to X-ray luminosity normalized to the typical value observed in F–M6 stars ($L_{\nu,R}/L_X \approx 10^{-15.5}$; Fig. 8) as a function of rotation velocity. Shown are objects with spectral type >M7. It appears that the most severe violators of the radio/X-ray correlation are those with the highest rotation velocity.

5. DISCUSSION AND SUMMARY

We presented simultaneous multiwavelength observations of two late-M dwarfs to trace the magnetic activity in their outer atmospheres. In the case of LSR 1835+32 (M8.5) we detect persistent radio emission and highly variable H α emission. No excess UV emission is detected. Similarly, we detect no X-ray emission to a deep limit of $L_X/L_{bol} \leq 10^{-5.7}$. The ratio of radio to X-ray flux exceeds the average value measured in a wide range of active stars by more than 4 orders of magnitude. Similarly, the ratio $L_{H\alpha}/L_X \gtrsim 10$ exceeds the values measured for M0–M6 dwarfs by at least an order of magnitude, and rules out heating of the quiescent chromosphere by coronal emission.

Temporally, we find no correspondence between the radio and H α light curves. This indicates that even if the source of chromospheric heating is magnetic reconnection, it occurs on sufficiently small scales that the overall radio emission does not change by more than ~10%. Alternatively, the radio emission produced in conjunction with the H α variability may peak at a lower frequency than our 8.5 GHz observations. In the former scenario, our limit on the radio variability during the brightest H α episode can be interpreted as a limit of $\leq 10\%$ on the chromospheric volume involved in the variable H α emission. In the latter scenario, it is possible that the coincident radio emission is dominated by coherent emission at $\nu \approx 2.8 \times 10^6 B$, with $B \leq 3$ kG.

With our measured rotation velocity of $v \sin i \approx 50 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ for LSR 1835+32, the radio observations sample nearly 4 full rotations. The stability of the radio emission thus requires a large-scale and uniform magnetic field, with an inferred strength of ~10 G. The similarity in flux to a past observation suggests that the field is stable on year timescales. This may be expected given the long convective turnover time for ultracool dwarfs, on the order of several years.

VB 10, on the other hand, exhibits bright and variable X-ray and UV emission, including a pair of flares and quiescent emission. The behavior in both bands, which trace the corona and transition region, respectively, is highly correlated. From the X-ray spectrum we infer a coronal temperature of about 3×10^6 K, with a possible second component at $\sim 10^7$ K. The optical emission lines exhibit a similar amplitude and timescale of variability to the X-rays and UV, with two distinct states of gradual and impulsive flaring. The lack of causal relation between the two states points to emission from distinct plasma environments. Moreover, the shallow Balmer decrement and He I emission in the impulsive flare state require significantly denser and hotter plasma.

Our detailed study of LSR 1835+32, VB 10, and TVLM 513– 46546 reveals mixed patterns of behavior compared to the magnetic activity in F-M6 stars, and thus points to a transition in the atmospheric structure and heating process in the late-M dwarf regime. In particular, stellar-scale magnetic fields are present, as evidence by quiescent and uniform radio emission, but the coronae are generally weaker than in early M dwarfs. The chromospheric activity declines less rapidly, and all three targets exhibit a similar range of highly variable H α emission, $L_{\text{H}\alpha}/L_{\text{bol}} \sim 10^{-5}$ to $10^{-4.5}$. This range is about an order of magnitude less that the saturated value of mid-M dwarfs.

In the standard picture of solar and stellar magnetic flares, the release of magnetic energy through processes such as reconnection leads to acceleration of electrons, and subsequently heating of the chromosphere, transition region, and corona through evaporation of the lower atmosphere. In quiescence, the chromospheres of M0–M6 dwarfs may instead be heated by coronal X-ray emission (Cram 1982), as evidenced by the typical observed ratios of $L_{\rm H\alpha}/L_{\rm X} \sim 1/3$ (Cram 1982; Hawley et al. 1996). Such a mechanism is clearly not at play in the case of LSR 1835+32, and most likely TVLM 513–46546, since the chromospheric emission is significantly more energetic than the nondetected corona.

It is possible instead that the source of chromospheric heating is continuous microflaring activity, leading to replenishment of chromospheric and transition region plasma by evaporation. In this scenario the weak or absent coronal emission may be due to a limited temperature enhancement of $\leq 10^5$ K, which is sufficient to produce chromospheric emission, but no significant soft X-ray emission. The continuously variable H α emission in LSR 1835+32 appears to support this idea, and along with the lack of corresponding radio variability points to heating on scales much smaller than the stellar photosphere.

As we noted in § 4, it is also possible that rapid rotation in ultracool dwarfs suppresses the X-ray emission through centrifugal stripping. The apparent increase in radio activity with rotation indicates that the dynamo itself does not saturate, at least up to $v \sin i \sim 60 \text{ km s}^{-1}$, which is roughly $\frac{1}{3}$ of the break-up velocity. The effects leading to the apparent supersaturation in the X-rays may also underlie the severe violation of the radio/X-ray correlation since the level of violation appears to be correlated with rotation velocity (Fig. 11). We stress that the role of rotation is still speculative due to the small number of objects with X-ray, radio, and rotation measurements. It is therefore crucial to increase the sample of ultracool dwarfs for which these quantities are measured.

To summarize, we conclude that late-M dwarfs mark a change in the properties of the magnetic field and its dissipation, as well as the generation of high temperature plasma in the outer atmosphere. The weak X-ray emission, both in relation to $L_{\rm bol}$ and $L_{\rm H\alpha}$, points to inefficient heating of plasma to coronal temperatures, or alternatively to stripping of the most extended magnetic loops. The lack of temporal correlation between the radio and $H\alpha$ activity, however, indicates that even if atmospheric evaporation is taking place, it occurs on smaller physical scale than the overall magnetic field structure.

We end by noting that the use of simultaneous, multiwavelength, and long-duration observations to probe the magnetic activity of individual ultracool dwarfs provides a crucial complement to large single-band surveys. In particular, the long-duration and high time resolution data elucidate the range of timescales and amplitudes of gradual and impulsive flares, and can moreover uncover rotationally-induced modulations, as in the case of TVLM 513-46546 (Paper I). In addition, the existence or absence of correlations between the various activity indicators (as in LSR 1835+32 and TVLM 513-46546), and their implications for the magnetic field and its dissipation, could not have been inferred from existing observations. In the upcoming Chandra cycle we will expand our analysis with observations of several L0-L3 dwarfs. These observations, along with existing data (Audard et al. 2005, 2007; Berger et al. 2005), will reveal whether the transition in magnetic activity patterns seen in the late-M dwarfs continues to lower mass objects, some of which are bonafide brown dwarfs.

We thank the Chandra, Gemini, VLA, and Swift schedulers for their invaluable help in coordinating these observations. This work has made use of the SIMBAD database, operated at CDS, Strasbourg, France. It is based in part on observations obtained at the Gemini Observatory, which is operated by the Association of Universities for Research in Astronomy, Inc., under a cooperative agreement with the NSF on behalf of the Gemini partnership: the National Science Foundation (United States), the Science and Technology Facilities Council (United Kingdom), the National Research Council (Canada), CONICYT (Chile), the Australian Research Council (Australia), CNPq (Brazil) and CONICET (Argentina). Data from the UVOT instrument on Swift were used in this work. Swift is an international observatory developed and operated in the US, UK, and Italy, and managed by NASA Goddard Space Flight Center with operations center at Penn State University. Support for this work was provided by the National Aeronautics and Space Administration through Chandra Award Number G07-8014A issued by the Chandra X-Ray Observatory Center, which is operated by the Smithsonian Astrophysical Observatory for and on behalf of the National Aeronautics Space Administration under contract NAS8-03060.

REFERENCES

- Allard, F., Hauschildt, P. H., Alexander, D. R., Tamanai, A., & Schweitzer, A. 2001, ApJ, 556, 357
- Allred, J. C., Hawley, S. L., Abbett, W. P., & Carlsson, M. 2006, ApJ, 644, 484 Antonova, A., Doyle, J. G., Hallinan, G., Golden, A., & Koen, C. 2007, A&A, 472, 257
- Aschwanden, M. J., Poland, A. I., & Rabin, D. M. 2001, ARA&A, 39, 175
- Audard, M., Brown, A., Briggs, K. R., Guedel, M., Telleschi, A., & Gizis, J. E. 2005, ApJ, 625, L63
- Audard, M., Osten, R. A., Brown, A., Briggs, K. R., Guedel, M., Hodges-Kluck, E., & Gizis, J. E. 2007, A&A, 471, L63
- Benz, A. O., & Guedel, M. 1994, 285, 621
- Berger, E. 2002, ApJ, 572, 503
- _____. 2006, ApJ, 648, 629

- Berger, E., et al. 2001, Nature, 410, 338 ——. 2005, ApJ, 627, 960
- ——. 2008, ApJ, 673, 1080 (Paper I)
- Burgasser, A. J., & Putman, M. E. 2005, ApJ, 626, 486
- Cram, L. E. 1982, ApJ, 253, 768
- Dulla C A & March K A 1082 Ar
- Dulk, G. A., & Marsh, K. A. 1982, ApJ, 259, 350
- Fleming, T. A., Giampapa, M. S., & Garza, D. 2003, ApJ, 594, 982
- Fleming, T. A., Giampapa, M. S., & Schmitt, J. H. M. M. 2000, ApJ, 533, 372 Gizis, J. E., Monet, D. G., Reid, I. N., Kirkpatrick, J. D., Liebert, J., & Williams,
- R. J. 2000, AJ, 120, 1085
- Güdel, M. 2002, ARA&A, 40, 217
- _____. 2004, Astron. Astrophys. Rev., 12, 71
- Güdel, M., & Benz, A. O. 1993a, ApJ, 405, L63

- Güdel, M., Schmitt, J. H. M. M., Bookbinder, J. A., & Fleming, T. A. 1993b, ApJ, 415, 236
- Hallinan, G., et al. 2007, ApJ, 663, L25
- Hawley, S. L., Gizis, J. E., & Reid, I. N. 1996, AJ, 112, 2799
- Hawley, S. L., & Johns-Krull, C. M. 2003, ApJ, 588, L109
- Hook, I. M., Jørgensen, I., Allington-Smith, J. R., Davies, R. L., Metcalfe, N., Murowinski, R. G., & Crampton, D. 2004, PASP, 116, 425
- James, D. J., Jardine, M. M., Jeffries, R. D., Randich, S., Collier Cameron, A., & Ferreira, M. 2000, MNRAS, 318, 1217
- Jardine, M., & Unruh, Y. C. 1999, A&A, 346, 883
- Kraft, R. P. 1967, ApJ, 150, 551
- Krishnamurthi, A., Leto, G., & Linsky, J. L. 1999, AJ, 118, 1369
- Leto, G., Pagano, I., Linsky, J. L., Rodonò, M., & Umana, G. 2000, A&A, 359, 1035
- Liebert, J., Kirkpatrick, J. D., Cruz, K. L., Reid, I. N., Burgasser, A., Tinney, C. G., & Gizis, J. E. 2003, AJ, 125, 343
- Linsky, J. L., Wood, B. E., Brown, A., Giampapa, M. S., & Ambruster, C. 1995, ApJ, 455, 670
- Martín, E. L., Delfosse, X., Basri, G., Goldman, B., Forveille, T., & Zapatero Osorio, M. R. 1999, AJ, 118, 2466
- Mohanty, S., & Basri, G. 2003, ApJ, 583, 451
- Narain, U., & Ulmschneider, P. 1996, Space Sci. Rev., 75, 453
- Neupert, W. M. 1968, ApJ, 153, L59
- Osten, R. A., Hawley, S. L., Allred, J., Johns-Krull, C. M., Brown, A., & Harper, G. M. 2006a, ApJ, 647, 1349

- Osten, R. A., Hawley, S. L., Bastian, T. S., & Reid, I. N. 2006b, ApJ, 637, 518
 Pallavicini, R., Golub, L., Rosner, R., Vaiana, G. S., Ayres, T., & Linsky, J. L. 1981, ApJ, 248, 279
- Parker, E. N. 1955, ApJ, 122, 293
- Phan-Bao, N., Osten, R. A., Lim, J., Martín, E. L., & Ho, P. T. P. 2007, ApJ, 658, 553
- Pizzolato, N., Maggio, A., Micela, G., Sciortino, S., & Ventura, P. 2003, A&A, 397, 147
- Reid, I. N., Kirkpatrick, J. D., Gizis, J. E., & Liebert, J. 1999, ApJ, 527, L105 Reid, I. N., et al. 2003, AJ, 125, 354
- Reiners, A., & Basri, G. 2007, ApJ, 656, 1121
- Rutledge, R. E., Basri, G., Martín, E. L., & Bildsten, L. 2000, ApJ, 538, L141
- Stěpień, K., Schmitt, J. H. M. M., & Voges, W. 2001, A&A, 370, 157
- Stelzer, B., Schmitt, J. H. M. M., Micela, G., & Liefke, C. 2006, A&A, 460, L35
- Stewart, R. T., Innis, J. L., Slee, O. B., Nelson, G. J., & Wright, A. E. 1988, AJ, 96, 371
- Tinney, C. G. 1996, MNRAS, 281, 644
- van den Besselaar, E. J. M., Raassen, A. J. J., Mewe, R., van der Meer, R. L. J., Güdel, M., & Audard, M. 2003, A&A, 411, 587
- Vilhu, O. 1984, A&A, 133, 117
- Vilhu, O., & Walter, F. M. 1987, ApJ, 321, 958
- Walkowicz, L. M., Hawley, S. L., & West, A. A. 2004, PASP, 116, 1105
- West, A. A., et al. 2004, AJ, 128, 426
- White, S. M., Jackson, P. D., & Kundu, M. R. 1989, ApJS, 71, 895