# STARS

University of Central Florida

Faculty Bibliography 2010s

Faculty Bibliography

1-1-2012

# Plasma relaxation and topological aspects in Hall magnetohydrodynamics

Bhimsen K. Shivamoggi University of Central Florida

Find similar works at: https://stars.library.ucf.edu/facultybib2010 University of Central Florida Libraries http://library.ucf.edu

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Faculty Bibliography at STARS. It has been accepted for inclusion in Faculty Bibliography 2010s by an authorized administrator of STARS. For more information, please contact STARS@ucf.edu.

### **Recommended Citation**

Shivamoggi, Bhimsen K., "Plasma relaxation and topological aspects in Hall magnetohydrodynamics" (2012). *Faculty Bibliography 2010s*. 3297. https://stars.library.ucf.edu/facultybib2010/3297



# Plasma relaxation and topological aspects in Hall magnetohydrodynamics

B. K. Shivamoggi

Citation: Physics of Plasmas **19**, 072124 (2012); doi: 10.1063/1.4737093 View online: https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4737093 View Table of Contents: http://aip.scitation.org/toc/php/19/7 Published by the American Institute of Physics

### Articles you may be interested in

The infinitesimal operator for the semigroup of the Frobenius-Perron operator from image sequence data: Vector fields and transport barriers from movies Chaos: An Interdisciplinary Journal of Nonlinear Science **17**, 023126 (2007); 10.1063/1.2742932

Relativity and mathematical tools: Waves in moving media American Journal of Physics **75**, 1134 (2007); 10.1119/1.2772281

The role of the density operator in the statistical description of quantum systems American Journal of Physics **75**, 1162 (2007); 10.1119/1.2785194

Using the thermal Gaussian approximation for the Boltzmann operator in semiclassical initial value time correlation functions The Journal of Chemical Physics **125**, 224104 (2006); 10.1063/1.2395941

Correlation and response functions with non-Markovian dissipation: A reduced Liouville-space theory The Journal of Chemical Physics **122**, 084115 (2005); 10.1063/1.1853353

Ground states of linear rotor chains via the density matrix renormalization group The Journal of Chemical Physics **148**, 134115 (2018); 10.1063/1.5024403

## Plasma relaxation and topological aspects in Hall magnetohydrodynamics

B. K. Shivamoggi

University of Central Florida, Orlando, Florida 32816-1364, USA

(Received 22 May 2012; accepted 12 June 2012; published online 30 July 2012)

Parker's formulation of isotopological plasma relaxation process in magnetohydrodynamics (MHD) is extended to Hall MHD. The torsion coefficient  $\alpha$  in the Hall MHD Beltrami condition turns out now to be proportional to the *potential vorticity*. The Hall MHD Beltrami condition becomes equivalent to the *potential vorticity* conservation equation in two-dimensional (2D) hydrodynamics if the Hall MHD Lagrange multiplier  $\beta$  is taken to be proportional to the *potential vorticity* as well. The winding pattern of the magnetic field lines in Hall MHD then appears to evolve in the same way as *potential vorticity* lines in 2D hydrodynamics. © 2012 American Institute of Physics. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.4737093]

#### I. INTRODUCTION

A significant class of exact solutions of the equations governing magnetohydrodynamics (MHD) emerges under the Beltrami condition—the local current density is proportional to the magnetic field—the *force-free* state (Lundquist<sup>1</sup> and Lust and Schluter<sup>2</sup>). These Beltrami solutions turned out to correlate well with real plasma behavior (Priest and Forbes<sup>3</sup> and Schindler<sup>4</sup>). Parker<sup>5–7</sup> showed that, in certain plasma relaxation processes, the Beltrami condition is indeed equivalent to the vorticity conservation equation in twodimensional (2D) hydrodynamics (and the Lagrange multiplier  $\alpha$  turned out to be proportional to vorticity).

In a high- $\beta$  plasma, on length scales in the range  $d_e < \ell < d_i$ , where  $d_s$  is the skin depth,  $d_s \equiv c/\omega_{p_s}$ , s = i, e (*i* and *e* referring to the ions and electrons, respectively), the electrons decouple from the ions. This results in an additional transport mechanism for the magnetic field via the Hall current (Sonnerup<sup>8</sup>), which is the ion-inertia contribution in Ohm's law. The Hall effect leads to the generation of whistler waves whose

- frequency lies between ion-cyclotron and electroncyclotron frequencies  $\omega_{c_i}$  and  $\omega_{c_e}$ , respectively,
- phase velocity exceeds that of Alfvén waves for wavelengths parallel to the applied magnetic fields less than *d<sub>i</sub>*.

Further, the decoupling of ions and electrons in a narrow region around the magnetic neutral point (where the ions become unmagnetized while the electrons remain magnetized) allows for rapid electron flows in the ion-dissipation region and hence a faster magnetic reconnection process in the Hall MHD regime (Mandt *et al.*<sup>9</sup>).

The purpose of this paper is to extend the considerations of  $Parker^{5-7}$  to Hall MHD and investigate the evolution of the winding pattern of the magnetic field lines in Hall MHD.

#### II. BELTRAMI STATES IN HALL MHD

The Hall MHD equations (which were formulated by Lighthill<sup>10</sup> following his far-sighted recognition of the importance of the Hall term in the generalized Ohm's law) are (in usual notations)

$$\frac{\partial \Omega_i}{\partial t} = \nabla \times (\mathbf{v}_i \times \Omega_i), \tag{1}$$

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{A}}{\partial t} = \frac{1}{c} \mathbf{v}_i \times \mathbf{B} - \frac{1}{nec} \mathbf{J} \times \mathbf{B}, \qquad (2)$$

where *n* is the number density of ions (or electrons) and  $\Omega_i$  is the generalized vorticity,

$$\Omega_i \equiv \omega_i + \omega_{c_i}, \quad \omega_i \equiv \nabla \times \mathbf{v}_i, \quad \omega_{c_i} \equiv \frac{e\mathbf{B}}{m_i c}.$$
 (3)

Here, we have considered an incompressible, two-fluid, quasi-neutral plasma and have neglected the electron inertia.

Equations (1) and (2) have the Hamiltonian formulation (Shivamoggi $^{11}$ ),

$$H = \frac{1}{2} \int_{V} \left[ \psi_{i} \cdot \Omega_{i} + \frac{1}{c} \mathbf{A} \cdot (\mathbf{J} - ne\mathbf{v}_{i}) \right] dV, \qquad (4)$$

where

$$m_i n v_i \equiv \nabla \times \psi_i \tag{5}$$

and V is the volume occupied by the plasma.<sup>1</sup> Further, we have put  $|\psi_i| = 0$  on the boundary  $\partial V$  and have rendered  $\psi_i$  unique by imposing the gauge condition,

$$\nabla \cdot \psi_i = 0. \tag{6}$$

We choose  $(\Omega_i, \mathbf{A})$  to be the canonical variables and take

$$J \equiv \begin{pmatrix} -\nabla \times \left(\frac{\Omega_i}{m_i n} \times \left(\nabla \times (\cdot)\right)\right) & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \frac{c\mathbf{B}}{ne} \times (\cdot) \end{pmatrix}, \quad (7)$$

as a ( $\Omega_i$ , **A**)-dependent differential operator which produces a skew-symmetric transformation of vector functions vanishing on  $\partial V$  and satisfies a closure condition on an associated symplectic two-form (Olver<sup>12</sup>). The Hamilton equations are then

$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial \Omega_i}{\partial t} \\ \frac{\partial \mathbf{A}}{\partial t} \end{pmatrix} = J \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\delta H}{\delta \Omega_i} \\ \frac{\delta H}{\delta \mathbf{A}} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{8}$$

which are just Eqs. (1) and (2). Here,  $\delta H/\delta \mathbf{q}$  is the variational derivative.

The Casimir invariants for Hall MHD are solutions of the equations,

$$J\begin{pmatrix}\frac{\delta C}{\delta \Omega_i}\\\frac{\delta C}{\delta \mathbf{A}}\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix}\mathbf{0}\\\mathbf{0}\end{pmatrix}.$$
(9)

It may be verified that two such solutions are

$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{\delta \mathcal{C}_{(1)}}{\delta \Omega_i} \\ \frac{\delta \mathcal{C}_{(1)}}{\delta \mathbf{A}} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{B} \end{pmatrix}$$
(10)

or

$$\mathcal{C}_{(1)} = \int_{V} \mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{B} \ dV \tag{11}$$

as with classical MHD, and

$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{\delta \mathcal{C}_{(2)}}{\delta \Omega_i} \\ \frac{\delta \mathcal{C}_{(2)}}{\delta \mathbf{A}} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{e\mathbf{A}}{m_i c} + \mathbf{v}_i \\ \left(\frac{e}{m_i c}\right)^2 \mathbf{B} \end{pmatrix}$$
(12)

or

$$C_{(2)} = \iint_{V} \left( \frac{e\mathbf{A}}{m_{i}c} + \mathbf{v}_{i} \right) \cdot \mathbf{\Omega}_{i} \ dV.$$
(13)

 $\mathcal{C}_{(1)}$  is the total magnetic helicity and  $\mathcal{C}_{(2)}$  is the total generalized ion cross helicity.

A significant class of exact solutions of the Hall MHD Eqs. (1) and (2) emerges as the end result of the isotopological energy-lowering Beltramization process. Thus, minimization of H, keeping  $C_{(1)}$  fixed, gives

$$\frac{\delta H}{\delta \mathbf{A}} = \lambda_{(1)} \frac{\delta \mathcal{C}_{(1)}}{\delta \mathbf{A}},\tag{14}$$

or

$$\frac{1}{c}(\mathbf{J} - ne\mathbf{v}_i) = \lambda_{(1)}\mathbf{B},\tag{15}$$

which is the pseudo-force-free state.

On the other hand, minimization of H, keeping  $C_{(2)}$  fixed, gives

$$\frac{\delta H}{\delta \Omega_i} = \lambda_{(2)} \frac{\delta \mathcal{C}_{(2)}}{\delta \Omega_i},\tag{16}$$

or

$$m_i n v_i = \lambda_{(2)} \Omega_i, \tag{17}$$

which is the generalized Alfvénic state.

Combining Eqs. (15) and (17), we obtain for the Hall MHD Beltrami state (Turner<sup>13</sup>),

$$\frac{m_i}{e} \nabla \times \mathbf{B} - \left(\lambda_{(1)} \frac{m_i}{e} + \frac{e}{m_i c} \lambda_{(2)}\right) \mathbf{B} = \lambda_{(2)} \omega_i.$$
(18)

# III. PLASMA RELAXATION IN AN APPLIED UNIFORM MAGNETIC FIELD

Consider now, following Parker,<sup>5–7</sup> a plasma in an applied magnetic field and confined between two infinite parallel planes z = 0 and L. The field lines of an initially uniform magnetic field  $\mathbf{B}_0 = B_0 \mathbf{1}_z$  are wrapped around and intermixed by random turbulent motion of their footpoints on these planes. This interlaced magnetic field then relaxes isotopologically toward the lowest available energy state described by Eq. (18) written in the form,

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{B} = \alpha \mathbf{B} + \beta \omega_i. \tag{19}$$

The MHD Lagrange multiplier  $\alpha$  may be interpreted as the torsion coefficient while  $\beta$  is the Hall MHD Lagrange multiplier.

Suppose this process exhibits slow variations in the zdirection, characterized by the slow spatial scale

$$\xi \equiv \epsilon z, \quad \epsilon \ll 1. \tag{20}$$

Let the magnetic field involved in this process be given by

$$\mathbf{B} = \langle \epsilon B_0 b_x, \ \epsilon B_0 b_y, \ B_0 (1 + \epsilon b_z) \rangle \tag{21}$$

and the Lagrange multipliers  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  be given by

$$\alpha = \epsilon a, \qquad \beta = \epsilon b. \tag{22}$$

Using Eqs. (20)–(22), Eq. (17) may be written as

$$v_x = \sigma(c_1 \epsilon b_x + \omega_x), \tag{23a}$$

$$v_{\rm y} = \sigma(c_1 \epsilon b_{\rm y} + \omega_{\rm y}), \tag{23b}$$

$$v_z = \sigma[c_1(1 + \epsilon b_z) + \epsilon \omega_z].$$
(23c)

The out-of-plane (or *toroidal*) ion flow  $(v_z = 0)$  is peculiar to Hall MHD. Here,  $\sigma$  and  $c_1$  are appropriate constants. Equation (19) leads to

$$\frac{\partial b_z}{\partial y} - \epsilon \frac{\partial b_y}{\partial \xi} = \epsilon a b_x + \epsilon b \omega_x, \qquad (24a)$$

$$\epsilon \frac{\partial b_x}{\partial \xi} - \frac{\partial b_z}{\partial x} = \epsilon a b_y + \epsilon b \omega_y, \qquad (24b)$$

$$\frac{\partial b_y}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial b_x}{\partial y} = a(1 + \epsilon b_z) + \epsilon b\omega_z, \qquad (24c)$$

and the divergence-free condition on **B** leads to

$$\frac{\partial b_x}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial b_y}{\partial y} + \epsilon \frac{\partial b_z}{\partial \xi} = 0.$$
(25)

On the other hand, taking the divergence of Eq. (19), we obtain

$$\mathbf{B} \cdot \nabla \alpha + \omega_i \cdot \nabla \beta = 0, \qquad (26)$$

which, on using Eqs. (20)-(22), leads to

$$b_x \frac{\partial a}{\partial x} + b_y \frac{\partial a}{\partial y} + (1 + \epsilon b_z) \frac{\partial a}{\partial \xi} + \omega_x \frac{\partial b}{\partial x} + \omega_y \frac{\partial b}{\partial y} + \epsilon \omega_z \frac{\partial b}{\partial \xi} = 0.$$
(27)

Equations (24a) and (24b) imply

$$b_z \sim O(\epsilon).$$
 (28)

Using Eq. (28), Eq. (25) leads to, to O(1)

$$b_x = \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial y}, \quad b_y = -\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x},$$
 (29)

for some magnetic flux function  $\psi = \psi(x, y)$ .

Using Eq. (29), we obtain from Eq. (23), to  $O(\epsilon)$ 

$$\frac{\partial v_x}{\partial y} = \sigma \left( c_1 \epsilon \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial y^2} + \epsilon \frac{\partial^2 v_z}{\partial y^2} \right), \tag{30a}$$

$$\frac{\partial v_y}{\partial x} = \sigma \left( -c_1 \epsilon \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial x^2} - \epsilon \frac{\partial^2 v_z}{\partial x^2} \right), \tag{30b}$$

and hence

$$\omega_z \equiv \frac{\partial v_y}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial v_x}{\partial y} = -\sigma \epsilon (c_1 \nabla^2 \psi + \nabla^2 v_z), \qquad (31)$$

where

$$\nabla^2 \equiv \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2}.$$

Next, using Eq. (29), Eq. (24c) leads to, to O(1)

$$a = -\nabla^2 \psi. \tag{32}$$

Using Eq. , and putting

$$\omega_z \equiv \epsilon \sigma c_1 \omega, \tag{33}$$

Eq. (32) leads to

$$a = q \equiv \omega + \frac{1}{c_1} \nabla^2 v_z, \tag{34}$$

implying that the torsion coefficient  $\alpha$  is proportional to the *potential vorticity q* in Hall MHD.

On the other hand, using Eqs. (23) and (34), Eq. (27) leads to, to  $O(\epsilon)$ 

$$\epsilon \sigma c_1 \frac{\partial q}{\partial \xi} + v_x \frac{\partial q}{\partial x} + v_y \frac{\partial q}{\partial y} + \sigma \epsilon [(q - c_1 b), v_z] = 0, \quad (35)$$

where

$$[f,g] \equiv \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} \frac{\partial g}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} \frac{\partial g}{\partial x}.$$

If we take the Hall MHD Lagrange multiplier *b* also to be proportional to the *potential vorticity q*, i.e.,

$$b = \frac{1}{c_1}q,\tag{36}$$

Eq. (35) becomes the *potential vorticity* conservation equation in 2D hydrodynamics (on identifying  $\xi$  with *t*),

$$\epsilon \sigma c_1 \frac{\partial q}{\partial \xi} + v_x \frac{\partial q}{\partial x} + v_y \frac{\partial q}{\partial y} = 0.$$
(37)

Thus, the Beltrami condition (19) in Hall MHD becomes equivalent to the *potential vorticity* conservation equation in 2D hydrodynamics if the Hall MHD Lagrange multiplier  $\beta$  is taken to be proportional to the *potential vorticity q* as well. Equation (36) is *sufficient* but not necessary to obtain Eq. (37). Equation (34) then implies that the winding pattern of the magnetic field lines in Hall MHD evolves in the same way as *potential vorticity* lines in 2D hydrodynamics.

#### **IV. DISCUSSION**

In this paper, we have extended Parker's<sup>5–7</sup> formulation of isotopological plasma relaxation process in MHD to Hall MHD. The torsion coefficient  $\alpha$  in the Hall MHD Beltrami condition turns out now to be proportional to the *potential vorticity*. The Hall MHD Beltrami condition becomes equivalent to the *potential vorticity* conservation equation in 2D hydrodynamics if the Hall MHD Lagrange multiplier  $\beta$  is taken to be proportional to the *potential vorticity* as well. The winding pattern of the magnetic field lines in Hall MHD then appears to evolve in the same way as *potential vorticity* lines in 2D hydrodynamics. The analogy between a smooth, continuous magnetic field in Hall MHD and 2D hydrodynamics as in ordinary MHD (Parker<sup>7</sup>) implies that the current sheets seem to have the same role in the development of Hall MHD equilibria as they do in the MHD case.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was a result of my participation at the *Inter*national Astrophysics Forum, Alpbach, 2011. I am thankful to Professor Eugene Parker for helpful suggestions and giving me access to Ref. 7 prior to publication and Professors 072124-4 B. K. Shivamoggi

Manfred Leubner and Zoltan Voros for their hospitality. I am thankful to the referee for his helpful remarks.

- <sup>2</sup>R. Lust and A. Schluter, Z. Astrophys. **34**, 263 (1954).
- <sup>3</sup>E. R. Priest and T. Forbes, *Magnetic Reconnection* (Cambridge University Press, 2000).
- <sup>4</sup>K. Schindler, *Physics of Space Plasma Activity* (Cambridge University Press, 2007).
- <sup>5</sup>E. N. Parker, Geophys. Astrophys. Fluid Dyn. **34**, 243 (1986).

- <sup>6</sup>E. N. Parker, *Conversations on Electric and Magnetic Fields in the Cosmos* (Princeton University Press, 2007), Chap. 10.
- <sup>7</sup>E. N. Parker, "Field line topology and rapid reconnection," in *Interna*-
- *tional Astrophysics Forum*, Alpbach, 2011. <sup>8</sup>B. U. O. Sonnerup, in *Solar System Plasma Physics*, edited by L. J. Lanzerotti, C. F. Kennel, and E. N. Parker (North Holland, 1979), p. 45.
- <sup>9</sup>M. E. Mandt, R. E. Denton, and J. F. Drake, Geophys. Res. Lett. 21, 73, doi: 10.1029/93GL03382 (1994).
- <sup>10</sup>M. J. Lighthill, Philos. Trans. R. Soc. London, Ser. A **252**, 397 (1960).
- <sup>11</sup>B. K. Shivamoggi, Eur. Phys. J. D **64**, 404 (2011).
- <sup>12</sup>P. J. Olver, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **89**, 233 (1982).
- <sup>13</sup>L. Turner, IEEE Trans. Plasma Sci. **PS-14**, B49 (1986).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>S. Lundquist, Ark. Fys. **2**, 361 (1950).