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#### Abstract

In this paper we find the possible phase portraits and bifurcations for a general class of host-vector epidemic models with non-linear incidence function generalizing the Ross model.
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## INTRODUCTION

In this paper we consider a modified Ross model of vector-borne diseases with non-linear incidence function. The model is given as a a two dimensional system of ODE:

$$
\begin{align*}
& x^{\prime}=g_{1}(y) h_{1}(x)-c_{1} \mu_{1}(x)  \tag{1}\\
& y^{\prime}=g_{2}(x) h_{2}(y)-c_{2} \mu_{2}(y)
\end{align*}
$$

Here $x$ and $y$ represent the infective host and vector populations. The terms $g_{1}(y) h_{1}(x)$ and $g_{2}(x) h_{2}(y)$ correspond to the incidence functions. In the original Ross model obtained from ideas given in [8], the functions $g_{i}$ and $h_{i}$ are linear. The effect of different non-linear incidence functions for usual (without vector) epidemic models have been studied by many authors. Models with incidence function of type $k I^{p} S^{q}$ have been studied in [5, 6], and models with incidence function, where $g_{i}(I)$ are of type $\frac{k l^{p}}{1+\alpha 1^{q}}$, have been studied in $[3,7,9]$. Results for some general type of non-linear incidence functions are obtained in $[1,2,4]$.

## 1. MAIN THEOREM

Equations in (1) are considered for $0 \leq x, y \leq 1$ because $x$ and $y$ are supposed to correspond to the relative infectious population of host and vector. We assume that the functions $g_{i}, h_{i}$ and $\mu_{i}$ satisfy the following conditions.

Condition 1. $g_{i}, h_{i}, \mu_{i}$ are continuously differentiable in ( 0,1 ] and continuous in [0, 1]. Moreover $h_{i}^{\prime}(z)<0$ and $g_{i}^{\prime}(z), \mu_{i}^{\prime}(z)>0$ for $i=1,2$. The functions satisfy the boundary conditions $g_{i}(0)=0, \mu_{i}(0)=0$ and $h_{i}(1)=0$ and parameters $c_{i}$ are positive for $i=1,2$.

Let $\phi_{i}(z)=\frac{-z f_{i}^{\prime}(z)}{f_{i}(z)}$ and $\theta_{i}(z)=\frac{z g_{i}^{\prime}(z)}{g_{i}(z)}$ where $f_{i}(z)=\frac{h_{i}(z)}{\mu_{i}(z)}$ and $0<z<1$.
Condition 2. $\phi_{i}$ is increasing and $\theta_{i}$ is non-increasing and $\lim _{z \rightarrow 0_{+}} \phi_{i}(z)=b_{i}$ and $\lim _{z \rightarrow 0_{+}} \theta_{i}(z)=a_{i}$, where $a_{i}$ and $b_{i}$ are positive.

Conditions 1 and 2 are satisfied for many known epidemical models and incidence functions, for example, if $g_{i}(z)=\frac{k z^{p}}{1+\alpha z^{q}}$ and $h_{i}(z)=(1-z)^{b}$ and $\mu_{i}$ are linear.

We now formulate our main theorem.
Theorem. Suppose system (1) satisfies Conditions 1 and 2, then we have the following structurally stable phase portraits.
Case 1. If $a_{1} a_{2}>b_{1} b_{2}$ then there is a number $c_{m}\left(c_{1}\right)$ depending on $c_{1}$ such that for $c_{2}>c_{m}\left(c_{1}\right)$ the origin is a global attractor and for $c_{2}<c_{m}\left(c_{1}\right)$ there are two equilibria, a saddle $P_{s}$ and a stable one $P_{e}$ except the origin. The stable set of $P_{s}$ divides the phase space into two parts, one in the basin of attraction of the origin and the other in the basin of attraction of $P_{e}$.
Case 2. If $a_{1} a_{2}=b_{1} b_{2}$ then there are two possibilities. The first one is that there is a $c$ depending on $c_{1}$ such that when $c_{2}<c$ there is an equilibrium $P_{e}$ (except the origin) attracting all trajectories except the origin and when $c_{2} \geq c$ the origin is a global attractor. The second possibility is that for any $c_{2}$ there is exactly one equilibrium $P_{e}$ (except the origin) attracting all trajectories except the origin.
Case 3. If $a_{1} a_{2}<b_{1} b_{2}$ then there is always exactly one equilibrium $P_{e}$ (except the origin) attracting all trajectories except the origin.

In order to prove our main theorem we need the following lemmas. To formulate the lemmas we introduce notations convenient for using in our proof.
Notation. We consider positive-valued continuously differentiable functions $g$ defined in an interval $(0, B]$, where $B>0$.

We denote by $Q(a, \infty)$, the set of functions $g$ such that when $z \rightarrow 0_{+}$then $\frac{g(z)}{z^{a}} \rightarrow A$, where either $A \in R_{+}$ or equal $\infty$ and $\frac{g(z)}{z^{\alpha}} \rightarrow 0$ for $\alpha<a$, where $a$ is a real number.

Similarly we denote by $Q(a, 0)$, the set of functions $g$ such that when $z \rightarrow 0_{+}$then $\frac{g(z)}{z^{a}} \rightarrow A$, where either $A \in R_{+}$or equals 0 and $\frac{g(z)}{z^{\alpha}} \rightarrow \infty$ for $\alpha>a$, where $a$ is a real number.

For these $Q$-classes the following is known to hold:
Lemma 1. If $g$ is differentiable invertible with inverse $g^{-1}$ and $a>0$ then

$$
g \in Q(a, \infty) \Leftrightarrow g^{-1} \in Q\left(a^{-1}, 0\right)
$$

Lemma 2. If $g \in Q(a, \infty), h \in Q(b, 0)$ and $a<0<b$ then $g \circ h \in Q(a b, \infty)$.
If $g \in Q(a, 0), h \in Q(b, 0)$ and $a, b>0$ then $g \circ h \in Q(a b, 0)$.
Lemma 3. If $g \in Q(a, 0)$ and $h \in Q(b, \infty)$ then $\frac{g}{h} \in Q(a-b, 0)$.
Lemma 4. If $g \in Q(a, \infty)$ and $h \in Q(b, \infty)$ then $g h \in Q(a+b, \infty)$.
The proofs of these lemmas are obtained by straightforward calculations. More details and also details of other parts of this preprint are available from authors.

We now state another lemma connecting the $Q$-classes and the $\theta$-function defined by $\theta(z)=\frac{z g^{\prime}(z)}{g(z)}$. We introduce a known lemma and give a short proof of it.
Lemma 5. If $\theta$ is non-increasing and $\theta(z) \rightarrow a$ as $z \rightarrow 0_{+}$then $g \in Q(a, \infty)$.
Proof of Lemma 5. We denote by $u$ the function defined by $u(z)=\frac{g(z)}{z^{\alpha}}$ and by $\eta$ the function defined by $\eta(z)=\frac{z u^{\prime}(z)}{u(z)}$. Then $\eta(z)=\theta(z)-\alpha$.

If $\alpha<a$ then $\eta(z)>b$ for some positive $b$ in a neighbourhood of zero. Integrating the inequality $\frac{u^{\prime}}{u}>\frac{b}{z}$ from $u$ to $u_{0}=u\left(z_{0}\right)$ to left and from $z$ to $z_{0}$ to right and using monotonicity of logarithm we obtain

$$
\frac{u_{0}}{u}>\left(\frac{z_{0}}{z}\right)^{b}
$$

implying $u(z) \rightarrow 0$ for $z \rightarrow 0_{+}$.
We now assume $\alpha=a$. Since $\eta$ is non-increasing and $\eta \rightarrow 0$ for $z \rightarrow 0_{+}$, the derivative $u^{\prime}$ is non-positive and the limit set of $u$ when $z \rightarrow 0_{+}$cannot contain more than one point and this cannot be zero.

We are now ready to prove our main theorem. The proof of the main theorem consists of three parts. First part examines the number of equilibria from intersections of zero-isoclines. This part needs the lemmas. The second part examines the type of the equilibria found. The final part makes the global analysis using sign analysis of the right hand sides of system 1.
Proof of main theorem. We start by finding the number of equilibria and their position in relation to each other.

First we see that $c_{2}$ can be considered as a function of the $x$-coordinate at equilibrium and analyze the behaviour at endpoints 0 and $x_{1}$ of the interval of definition. Secondly we differentiate that function to find out the behaviour inside the interval $\left(0, x_{1}\right)$.

Let $c_{1}$ be fixed and suppose $(x, y)$ is a point on the isocline $x^{\prime}=0$. Then from Condition 1 it follows that $y=p_{1}(x)=g_{1}^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{f_{1}(x)}\right)$ is an increasing function of $x$ and $p_{1}(0)=0$ and $p_{1}(x) \rightarrow \infty$ for $x \rightarrow 1_{-}$. Thus, there is an $x_{1}$ between 0 and 1 such that $p_{1}\left(x_{1}\right)=1$ and the isocline $x^{\prime}=0$ is given by the function $p_{1}$ defined in $\left[0, x_{1}\right]$.

In this part of the proof calculating the limit behaviour when $z \rightarrow 0_{+}$we consider functions $g_{i}$ as defined only for $z>0$.

From Condition 2 and Lemma 5 it follows that $g_{i} \in Q\left(a_{i}, \infty\right)$ and $f_{i} \in Q\left(-b_{i}, \infty\right)$. From Lemma 3 it follows that $\frac{1}{f_{1}} \in Q\left(b_{1}, 0\right)$ and from Lemma 1 it follows that $g_{1}^{-1} \in Q\left(\frac{1}{a_{1}}, 0\right)$. However from Lemma 2 (second part) it follows that $p_{1} \in Q\left(\frac{b_{1}}{a_{1}}, 0\right)$.

We now suppose $(x, y)$ is also on the isocline $y^{\prime}=0$, that is $(x, y)$ is an equilibrium point. We then calculate $c_{2}$ as a function of $x$ i.e. $c_{2}=g_{2}(x) f_{2}\left(p_{1}(x)\right)$. From Lemma 2 it follows that the composition of $f_{2}$ and $p_{1}$ belongs to $Q\left(-\frac{b_{2} b_{1}}{a_{1}}, \infty\right)$ and finally Lemma 4 implies, that $c_{2}$ as a function of $x$, belongs to $Q\left(a_{2}-\frac{b_{2} b_{1}}{a_{1}}, \infty\right)$.

We conclude that for $x \rightarrow 0_{+}$we get $c_{2}(x) \rightarrow 0$ in case 1 where $a_{1} a_{2}>b_{1} b_{2}$ and $c_{2}(x) \rightarrow \infty$ in case 3 where $a_{1} a_{2}<b_{1} b_{2}$ and either $c_{2}(x) \rightarrow \infty$ or $c_{2}(x) \rightarrow c \in R_{+}$in case 2 where $a_{1} a_{2}=b_{1} b_{2}$.

Because $h_{2}(1)=0$, we conclude that in all cases $c_{2}(x) \rightarrow 0$ for $x \rightarrow x_{1}$.
We have now finished examining the behaviour of $c_{2}$ at the endpoints.
To find out when $c_{2}(x)$ is growing or decreasing we calculate the derivative.
Differentiating $c_{1}=g_{1}(y) f_{1}(x)$ with respect to $x$ and solving for $y^{\prime}$ we get

$$
y^{\prime}=\frac{-g_{1}(y) f_{1}^{\prime}(x)}{g_{1}^{\prime}(y) f_{1}(x)}
$$

Differentiating $c_{2}=g_{2}(x) f_{2}(y)$ with respect to $x$ and substituting our expression for $y^{\prime}$ we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d c_{2}}{d x}=g_{2}^{\prime}(x) f_{2}(y)\left(1-\frac{\phi_{1}(x) \phi_{2}(y)}{\theta_{2}(x) \theta_{1}(y)}\right) . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the boundary behaviour of $c_{2}$ and the derivative, we make conclusions about the behaviour of $c_{2}$ between 0 and $x_{1}$ and from there we find the number of equilibria depending on $c_{2}$. From condition 1 and 2 it follows that $\frac{d c_{2}}{d x}$ is always decreasing.

We consider case 1 when $a_{1} a_{2}>b_{1} b_{2}$. From conditions 1 and 2 it follows that $\frac{d c_{2}}{d x}$ is positive near 0 and becomes negative near $x_{1}$. Then there is an $x_{m}\left(c_{1}\right)$ such that $\frac{d c_{2}}{d x}=0$ for $x=x_{m}\left(c_{1}\right)$ and $\frac{d c_{2}}{d x}>0$ for $x<x_{m}\left(c_{1}\right)$ and $\frac{d c_{2}}{d x}<0$ for $x>x_{m}\left(c_{1}\right)$.

We denote by $c_{m}\left(c_{1}\right)$, the maximum value of $c_{2}$ for fixed $c_{1}$.
In the case when $c_{2}>c_{m}\left(c_{1}\right)$, we cannot solve for $x$ and thus have no equilibrium apart from the origin.
If $c_{2}=c_{m}\left(c_{1}\right)$, we have only one solution for $x$ i.e. $x=x_{m}\left(c_{1}\right)$ and thus one equilibrium (in addition to the origin).

As $c_{2}(x) \rightarrow 0$ for $x \rightarrow x_{1}$ and $x \rightarrow 0_{+}$for the case $c_{2}<c_{m}\left(c_{1}\right)$ we obtain two solutions for $x$, one with $x<x_{m}\left(c_{1}\right)$ and the other with $x>x_{m}\left(c_{1}\right)$ and thus have two equilibria (in addition to the origin).

Let us denote the equilibrium at the origin by $P_{0}$. If $c_{2}<c_{m}\left(c_{1}\right)$, we denote the equilibrium when $x>x_{m}\left(c_{1}\right)$ by $P_{e}$ and the equilibrium when $x<x_{m}\left(c_{1}\right)$ by $P_{s}$.

The equilibrium $P_{s}$ tends to $P_{0}$ (disease-free) and $P_{e}$ gets it's maximal size as $c_{2}$ tends to zero. At $c_{2}=c_{m}\left(c_{1}\right)$ there is a saddle-node bifurcation with both equilibria coinciding and disappearing after that.

Next we consider case 3 , where $a_{1} a_{2}<b_{1} b_{2}$. There $\frac{d c_{2}}{d x}<0$ for all $x$ and $c_{2}$ is decreasing from infinity to zero when $x$ is increasing from zero to $x_{1}$. Thus, there is always exactly one non-trivial equilibrium denoted by $P_{e}$. The equilibrium $P_{e}$ tends to zero when $c_{2}$ grows to infinity.

Finally we consider case 2 , where $a_{1} a_{2}=b_{1} b_{2}$. In this case $\frac{d c_{2}}{d x} \leq 0$ and if $c_{2}(x) \rightarrow \infty$ for $x \rightarrow 0_{+}$, we have a situation analogous to the one in case 3. If $c_{2}(x) \rightarrow c$ then $c_{2}$ is decreasing from $c$ to zero when $x$ is increasing from zero to $x_{1}$. Thus for $c_{2}<c$ there is always exactly one non-trivial equilibrium denoted by $P_{e}$. The equilibrium $P_{e}$ tends to zero when $c_{2} \rightarrow c_{-}$. In this case there is no non-trivial (endemic) equilibrium for $c_{2}>c$. At $c_{2}=c$ there is a transcritical bifurcation.

The first part of the proof is now complete and we begin with the second part to find the type of the equilibria.

The Jacobian matrix for system (1) is given by

$$
J=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
g_{1}(y) h_{1}^{\prime}(x)-c_{1} \mu_{1}^{\prime}(x) & g_{1}^{\prime}(y) h_{1}(x) \\
g_{2}^{\prime}(x) h_{2}(y) & g_{2}(x) h_{2}^{\prime}(y)-c_{2} \mu_{2}^{\prime}(y)
\end{array}\right]
$$

Using that $h_{i}(z)=f_{i}(z) \mu_{i}(z)$ and at equilibrium $c_{1}=g_{1}(y) f_{1}(x)$ and $c_{2}=g_{2}(x) f_{2}(y)$ after some calculations the Jacobian matrix becomes

$$
J=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
g_{1}(y) f_{1}^{\prime}(x) \mu_{1}(x) & g_{1}^{\prime}(y) f_{1}(x) \mu_{1}(x) \\
g_{2}^{\prime}(x) f_{2}(y) \mu_{2}(y) & g_{2}(x) f_{2}^{\prime}(y) \mu_{2}(y)
\end{array}\right]
$$

for $x, y \neq 0$. We now calculate the trace and determinant of the Jacobian matrix.
From Condition 1, it follows that $f_{i}^{\prime}(z)<0$ and

$$
\operatorname{Trace}(J)=g_{1}(y) f_{1}^{\prime}(x) \mu_{1}(x)+g_{2}(x) f_{2}^{\prime}(y) \mu_{2}(y)<0
$$

Calculations show that the determinant $D$ of the Jacobian matrix is equal to

$$
D=-\mu_{1}(x) \mu_{2}(y) g_{1}^{\prime}(y) f_{1}(x) \frac{d c_{2}}{d x}
$$

We consider case 1 .
We have two equilibria in the case $c_{2}<c_{m}\left(c_{1}\right)$. When $x>x_{m}\left(c_{1}\right)$ then $\frac{d c_{2}}{d x}<0$. This implies $D>0$. We note that $g_{i}^{\prime}(z)>0$ from Condition 1. Thus the determinant is positive at $P_{e}$. When $x<x_{m}\left(c_{1}\right)$ then $\frac{d c_{2}}{d x}>0$. This implies $D<0$. Thus the determinant is negative at $P_{s}$.

Since the trace is negative and the determinant is positive at $P_{e}$, it is a sink. Also, since the determinant is negative at $P_{s}$, it is a saddle.

In cases 2 and 3 we conclude in the same way that $P_{e}$ is always stable when it exists.
The type of equilibrium $P_{0}$ cannot always be found from Jacobian matrix, as the derivatives of the functions might not exist at 0 . Anyhow a lot is known about origin from global analysis below.

The second part of proof is now complete and we begin with the last part and examine the global behaviour. We do this by using sign analysis of $x^{\prime}$ and $y^{\prime}$.

We start with case 1 , which has the most complicated behaviour.
We notice that in the $x y$-space above the isocline $x^{\prime}=0$, the sign of $x^{\prime}$ is positive and below negative.To the left of the isocline $y^{\prime}=0$, the sign of $y^{\prime}$ is negative and to the right it is positive.

We consider the situation when $c_{2}>c_{m}\left(c_{1}\right)$. Here the isoclines do not intersect in the $x y$-plane. The isocline $x^{\prime}=0$ is above and to the left from the isocline $y^{\prime}=0$. The isoclines divide the phase space into three parts as seen in Figure 1. These parts are defined as follows:


Figure 1
Sign Analysis for System $x^{\prime}=y^{2}(1-x)-0.25 x, y^{\prime}=x^{2}(1-y)-0.3 y$


Figure 2
Phase Portrait and Zero-Isoclines for System $x^{\prime}=y^{2}(1-x)-0.25 x, y^{\prime}=x^{2}(1-y)-0.3 y$

1. The region where $y^{\prime}<0<x^{\prime}$.
2. The region where $x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}<0$.
3. The region where $x^{\prime}<0<y^{\prime}$.

In region 1 the $x$-coordinate of the trajectory is increasing and the $y$-coordinate is decreasing. Thus the trajectory cannot remain in the region, but has to hit the isocline $x^{\prime}=0$ entering region 2 after some time. In region 3 the $x$-coordinate of the trajectory is decreasing and the $y$-coordinate is increasing. In that way the trajectory cannot remain in the region, but has to hit the isocline $y^{\prime}=0$ entering region 2 after some time. In region 2 , the $x$ - and $y$-coordinates are decreasing and the trajectory cannot escape from region 2 against the direction field on the boundaries $x^{\prime}=0$ and $y^{\prime}=0$ and also on $x=1$ and $y=1$. In region 2, trajectories can be attracted only to the origin. We conclude that in the first and the third region the trajectories hit either the isocline $x^{\prime}=0$ or $y^{\prime}=0$ and afterwards they remain in region 2 where they are all attracted to $P_{0}$. Thus the disease-free origin is a global attractor. One example of such a phase portrait is given in Figure 2.

We now consider the situation $c_{2}<c_{m}\left(c_{1}\right)$. Here the isoclines intersect and divide the phase space into five parts as shown in Figure 3. These regions are defined as follows:

1. The region where $y^{\prime}<0<x^{\prime}$.
2. The region where $x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}<0$ and $x$ is less than the $x$-coordinate of $P_{s}$.
3. The region where $x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}<0$ and $x$ is greater than the $x$-coordinate of $P_{e}$.


Figure 3
Sign Analysis for System $x^{\prime}=y^{2}(1-x)-0.25 x, y^{\prime}=x^{2}(1-y)-0.15 y$


Figure 4
Phase Portrait and Zero-Isoclines for System $x^{\prime}=y^{2}(1-x)-0.1 x, y^{\prime}=x^{2}(1-y)-0.4 y$
4. The region where $x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}>0$.
5. The region where $x^{\prime}<0<y^{\prime}$.

In region 1 , the $x$-coordinate of the trajectory is increasing and the $y$-coordinate is decreasing. This means the trajectory cannot remain in the region, but has to hit either the isocline $x^{\prime}=0$ or $y^{\prime}=0$ entering one of regions 2,3 or 4 after some time, or the trajectory is attracted to $P_{s}$ or $P_{e}$. In region 5, the $x$-coordinate of the trajectory is decreasing and the $y$-coordinate is increasing. This means the trajectory cannot remain in the region, but has to hit either the isocline $x^{\prime}=0$ or $y^{\prime}=0$ entering one of regions 2,3 or 4 after some time, or the trajectory is attracted to $P_{s}$ or $P_{e}$. In region 2, the $x$ - and $y$-coordinates are decreasing and the trajectory cannot escape from region 2 against the direction field on the boundaries $x^{\prime}=0$ and $y^{\prime}=0$. In region 2 , trajectories can be attracted only to the origin. In region 4 , the $x$ - and $y$-coordinates are increasing and the trajectory cannot escape from region 4 against the direction field on the boundaries $x^{\prime}=0$ and $y^{\prime}=0$. In region 4 , trajectories can be attracted only to $P_{e}$. In region 3 , the $x$ - and $y$-coordinates are decreasing and the trajectory cannot escape from region 3 against the direction field on the boundaries $x^{\prime}=0$ and $y^{\prime}=0$ and also on $x=1$ and $y=1$. In region 3, trajectories can be attracted only to $P_{e}$.

We conclude that in the first and the fifth region trajectories after some time either hit the isocline $x^{\prime}=0$ or $y^{\prime}=0$ or tend directly to some equilibrium without visiting other parts. If they go through one of the isoclines they come into one of regions 2,3 or 4 and remain in the region they enter. Trajectories in region

2 are attracted to $P_{0}$ and in regions 3 and 4 to $P_{e}$. Thus the stable set of the saddle $P_{s}$ divides the phase space into two parts, one where trajectories are attracted to origin and another where they are attracted to $P_{e}$. One example of such a phase portrait is given in Figure 4.


Figure 5
Sign Analysis for System $x^{\prime}=y^{2}(1-x)-0.25 x, y^{\prime}=x^{2}(1-y)-0.25 y$
In the case $c_{2}=c_{m}\left(c_{1}\right)$ there is a saddle-node bifuraction dividing the parameter space into two parts with different qualitative behaviour described in the two sitautions above. In this case, the isoclines intersect, except at origin at a tangency point which is an equilibrium. The phase space is divided into four parts as shown in Figure 5. The regions are defined as follows:

1. The region where $y^{\prime}<0<x^{\prime}$.
2. The region where $x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}<0$ and $x$ is less than the $x$-coordinate of the equilibrium.
3. The region where $x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}<0$ and $x$ is greater than the $x$-coordinate of the equilibrium.
4. The region where $x^{\prime}<0<y^{\prime}$.

In region 1 , the $x$-coordinate of the trajectory is increasing and the $y$-coordinate is decreasing. Thus the trajectory cannot remain in the region, but has to hit either the isocline $x^{\prime}=0$ entering one of region 2 or 3 after some time or the trajectory is attracted to the equilibrium point at tangency of isoclines. In region 4 the $x$-coordinate of the trajectory is decreasing and the $y$-coordinate is increasing. In that way the trajectory cannot remain in the region but has to hit after some time either the isocline $y^{\prime}=0$ entering one of region 2 or 3 or the trajectory is attracted to the equilibrium point. Trajectories in region 2 cannot escape against the direction field on the boundary and they are all attracted by the origin. For the same reason, the trajectories in region 3 cannot escape and they must be attracted by the equilibrium at tangency.

We conclude that trajectories in regions 1 and 4 either hit one of the isoclines $x^{\prime}=0$ or $y^{\prime}=0$ after some time or are attracted to the equilibrium at tangency. The equilibrium is a saddle-node and the boundary of it's stable set divides the phase space into two parts, to the left, the trajectories are in the basin of attraction of the origin and to the right, we have the stable set of the equilibrium including the boundary of itself. Figure 6 shows one example of such a phase portrait.

In case 2 , where $a_{1} a_{2}=b_{1} b_{2}$ and in the situation $c_{2} \geq c$ the sign analysis can be carried out in the same way as in case 1 when $c_{2}>c_{m}\left(c_{1}\right)$ and we get origin as global attractor.

In case 2 and in the situation when $c_{2}<c$ we have the typical situation in the endemic case in the Ross model. The zero-isoclines divide the phase space into four regions as shown in Figure 7. The regions are defined as:

1. The region where $y^{\prime}<0<x^{\prime}$.
2. The region where $x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}>0$ (here $x$ is less than the $x$-coordinate of the endemic equilibrium).
3. The region where $x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}<0$ (here $x$ is greater than the $x$-coordinate of the endemic equilibrium).
4. The region where $x^{\prime}<0<y^{\prime}$.


Figure 6
Phase Portrait and Zero-Isoclines for System $x^{\prime}=y^{2}(1-x)-0.25 x, y^{\prime}=x^{2}(1-y)-0.25 y$


Figure 7
Sign Analysis for System $x^{\prime}=y(1-x)-0.5 x, y^{\prime}=x(1-y)-0.5 y$
As before we conclude that the trajectories in regions 1 and 4 hit one of the isoclines after some time or are attracted directly by the endemic equilibrium. After hitting one isocline they either enter region 2 or 3 where they are attracted to the endemic equilibrium. Thus the endemic equilibrium is a global attractor, attracting everything except the origin. One example of such a phase portrait is given in Figure 8.

In case 3 , where $a_{1} a_{2}<b_{1} b_{2}$ similar sign analysis as in the previous case shows that $P_{e}$ is a global attractor attracting everything except the origin.

## 2. SOME EXAMPLES

We now study special cases of the functions $g_{i}, h_{i}$ and $\mu_{i}$ in system (1). These are often used in models with non-linear incidence. We assume the functions have the form $g_{i}(z)=z^{a}, h_{i}(z)=(1-z)^{b}$ and $\mu_{i}(z)=z$, $i=1,2$, which gives system

$$
\begin{align*}
& x^{\prime}=y^{a}(1-x)^{b}-c_{1} x  \tag{3}\\
& y^{\prime}=x^{a}(1-y)^{b}-c_{2} y .
\end{align*}
$$



Figure 8
Phase Portrait and Zero-Isoclines for System $x^{\prime}=y(1-x)-0.5 x, y^{\prime}=x(1-y)-0.5 y$
We suppose $a>1$ which here will imply case 1 , a saddle-node bifurcation and two possible main types of phase portraits.

It is possible to prove that this system satisfies Conditions 1-2 by direct calculations.
Calculations give $\theta_{i}(z)=a$ and $\phi_{i}(z)=\frac{1+d z}{1-z}$, where $d=b-1$ and $a_{1}=a_{2}=a$ and $b_{1}=b_{2}=1$. Thus, we can apply case 1 in our theorem.

The saddle-node bifurcation occurs when $\frac{d c_{2}}{d x}=0$ in (2) thereby giving us

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{1}(x) \phi_{2}(y)=\theta_{2}(x) \theta_{1}(y) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (4) must be satisfied for an equilibrium point $(x, y)$ in order to get a saddle-node bifurcation. Equation (4) for bifurcation in our example (3) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1+d x}{1-x} \frac{1+d y}{1-y}=a^{2} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and solving for $y$ we get:

$$
\begin{equation*}
y=\frac{-\left(d+a^{2}\right) x-1+a^{2}}{\left(d^{2}-a^{2}\right) x+d+a^{2}} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

For any equilibrium in system (3) we must have

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{1}=\frac{y^{a}(1-x)^{b}}{x}, c_{2}=\frac{x^{a}(1-y)^{b}}{y} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (6) into (7) we obtain a parameter representation for the saddle-node bifurcation curve in the $c_{1} c_{2}$-space if $a$ and $b$ are known. Some examples of such bifurcation curves are shown in Figures 9 and 10 .

In some special cases, it is possible to get algebraic formulas for calculating $c_{1}$ and $c_{2}$ or the equilibrium $(x, y)$ at bifurcation.

In the case where $b=1$, it is possible to calculate $c_{1}, c_{2}$ and the equilibrium at bifurcation if the product $c_{\mid} c_{2}$ is given.

In this case bifurcation equation (5) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
(1-x)(1-y)=\frac{1}{a^{2}} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Multiplying equalities (7) we get


Figure 9
Bifurcation Curves for $a=1.5,3,4,6$ and $b=1$ of System 3.


Figure 10
Bifurcation Curves for $b=0.25,0.5,1,1.5,2,3$ and $a=2$ of System 3.

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{1} c_{2}=(x y)^{a-1}(1-x)(1-y) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

and using (8), we obtain an expression for $x y$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
x y=\left(a^{2} c_{1} c_{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{a-1}} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using expression (10) in expansion of (8) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
x+y=1-\frac{1}{a^{2}}+\left(a^{2} c_{1} c_{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{a-1}} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Solving for $y$ from (8) and substituting into (11), we obtain a second order equation for $x$ if $a$ and $c_{1} c_{2}$ are known. Knowing $x$ we can solve $y$ from (8) and finally we can calculate $c_{1}$ and $c_{2}$ from equalities (7).

Finally we consider a special case where $a=2$ and $b=1$. Here it is possible to get an expression for $c_{1}$ or $c_{2}$ at bifurcation, if we know one of them. Also the bifurcation point $(x, y)$ can be easily calculated from explicit algebraic expressions afterwards.

In this case equality (10) takes on a simple form

$$
\begin{equation*}
x y=4 c_{1} c_{2} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

and (11) takes on the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
4 x+4 y=3+16 c_{1} c_{2} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Subsituting $a=2$ and $b=1$ into the expression for $y$ in (6) we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
y=\frac{3-4 x}{4(1-x)} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (7) using (14) we see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{c_{1} x}{y^{2}}=1-x=\frac{3-4 x}{4 y} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

which simplifies to

$$
\begin{equation*}
3 y-4 x y=4 c_{1} x \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Pluging (12) in (16) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
-4 c_{1} x+3 y=16 c_{1} c_{2} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Solving for $x$ and $y$ from (13) and (17) we obtain expressions

$$
\begin{equation*}
x=\frac{9-16 c_{1} c_{2}}{16 c_{1}+12}, \quad y=\frac{\left(16 c_{1}^{2}+16 c_{1}\right) c_{2}+3 c_{1}}{4 c_{1}+3} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting now expressions (18) for $x$ and $y$ into (12) we see after simplifications that the bifurcation curve in the $c_{1} c_{2}$-space satisfies the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
256\left(c_{1}^{2} c_{2}^{2}+c_{1} c_{2}^{2}+c_{1}^{2} c_{2}\right)+288 c_{1} c_{2}=27 \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

From this we can easily solve for $c_{1}$ or $c_{2}$ from a second order equation, if one of them is known. And knowing $c_{1}$ and $c_{2}$, we can calculate the coordinates for the equilibrium at bifurcation from formulas (18).

## CONCLUSION

We have examined a generalized Ross model for a large class of non-linear incidence functions and found possible phase portraits and bifurcations. Many known incidence functions are inside this class. There are three types of structurally stable types of phase portraits. One type has the disease-free origin as a global attractor. A second one has the endemic equilibrium as a global attractor. In the third type both disease-free origin and endemic equilibrium are attractors and there is a saddle equilibrium with stable set forming the boundary between the basins of attractions of the both attractors. The possible bifurcations are the usual saddle-node and transcritical bifurcations.
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