EDITORS' INTRODUCTION

In Defense of Microscopes

Discussions of SoTL often highlight the field's tendency toward small-scale, localized studies. Some note that SoTL practitioners are more likely to see the subjectivity of knowing the specific participants, situations, practices, and contexts as "an advantage" (Larsson, Mårtensson, Price, and Roxå, 2017, p. 3). Others defend this work and its "particularities" as foundational to building robust knowledge about learning across multiple contexts (Shulman, 2014). Still others argue that this scope of the research "seldom has much impact beyond the individual or individuals concerned" (Tight, 2017). And of course some call for the field to *also* expand its lens to include program-level studies of learning-in-context (Matthews, Divan, John-Thomas, Lopes, Ludwig, Martini, Motley, & Tomljenovic-Berube, 2013).

As we prepared this issue of *Teaching & Learning Inquiry*, these conversations came to mind—not so much because of any patterns in participant numbers but because of the way with which learning is treated. We were struck by what we see as one of SoTL's strengths, directly resulting from its highly contextualized work: our "imperative ... of representing complexity well" (Poole, 2013, p. 141). In SoTL, we understand learning and learners in complex ways.

Learning is situated (Green, Eady, & Anderson, 2018), contextualized (Rifenburg & Forester, 2018), socially mediated (Johnstone, Marquis, & Puri, 2018), developmental (Mewis, Dee, Lam, Obradovich, & Cassidy, 2018), and ubiquitous (Johnstone, Marquis, & Puri, 2018). It is often invisible (Shulman, 1999), easily oversimplified (Fallon, 2006), often misunderstood (Skorczewski, 2000).

These local situations and complexities are the bricks that make up the edifices we build through SoTL research. To suggest that the focus of SoTL research is too microscopic is like saying that there is no value in understanding a building's bricks, or a body's cells.

Editing a journal like *TLI* affords the opportunity to see connections among pieces of local research that yield larger portraits of learning and teaching, and how we can do these things better. Writing for a journal like *TLI* challenges authors to help readers make these connections between their localized work and the range of perspectives and experiences of these readers.

Nancy Chick is University Chair in Teaching and Learning, and Academic Director of the Taylor Institute for Teaching and Learning at the University of Calgary, Alberta, Canada

Gary Poole is a Professor Emeritus in the School of Population and Public Health and a Senior Scholar in the Centre for Health Education Scholarship at the University of British Columbia.

REFERENCES

Fallon, Dianne. (2006). "Lucky to Live in Maine": Examining Student Responses to Diversity Issues." *Teaching English in the Two-Year College, 33*(4), 410-420.

Green, C., Eady, M., & Andersen, P. (2018). Preparing quality teachers. Teaching & Learning Inquiry, 6(1), 104-125

CC-BY Licence 4.0 This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons – Attribution License 4.0 International (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly attributed.

- http://dx.doi.org/10.20343/teachlearninqu.6.1.10
- Johnstone, K., Marquis, E., & Puri V. (2018). Public pedagogy and representations of higher education in popular film: New ground for the scholarship of teaching and learning. *Teaching & Learning Inquiry, 6*(1), 25-37. http://dx.doi.org/10.20343/teachlearninqu.6.1.4
- Larsson, M., Mårtensson, K., Price, L., & Roxå, T. (2017) Constructive friction? Exploring patterns between educational research and the scholarship of teaching and learning. *EuroSoTL 2017 Conference Proceedings: Transforming Patterns through the Scholarship of Teaching and Learning.* 161 165
- Matthews, K., Divan, A., John-Thomas, N., Lopes, V., Ludwig, L., Martini, T., Motley, P., & Tomljenovic-Berube, A. (2013). SoTL and Students' Experiences of their Degree-Level Programs: An Empirical Investigation. *Teaching & Learning Inquiry, 1*(2), 75-89. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.20343/teachlearninqu.1.2.75
- Mewis, K., Dee, J., Lam, V., Obradovich, S., & Cassidy. A. (2018). A new self-assessment teaching assistant survey for growth and development. *Teaching & Learning Inquiry, 6*(1). 79-90. http://dx.doi.org/10.20343/teachlearningu.6.1.8
- Poole, Gary. (2013). Square one: What Is Research? In K. McKinney (Ed.), *The Scholarship of Teaching and Learning In and Across the Disciplines.* Bloomington, IN: Indiana University Press. 135-151.
- Rifenburg, J.M., & Forester, B.G. (2018). First-year cadets' conceptions of general education writing at a senior military college. *Teaching & Learning Inquiry, 6*(1), 52-66. http://dx.doi.org/10.20343/teachlearninqu.6.1.6
- Shulman, Lee S. (1999). Taking Learning Seriously. *Change: The Magazine of Higher Learning, 31*(4), 11-17. Shulman, Lee. (2014). *Value of Contextualized Work and Aggregated SoTL Data*. Elon University Center for Engaged Learning. https://youtu.be/cJYJuelKfv8
- Skorczewski, Dawn. (December 2000). "Everybody Has Their Own Ideas": Responding to Cliché in Student Writing. *College Composition and Communication 52*(2): 220-239.
- Tight, M. (2018) Tracking the scholarship of teaching and learning, *Policy Reviews in Higher Education, 2*(1), 61-78. DOI: 10.1080/23322969.2017.1390690

Copyright for the content of articles published in *Teaching & Learning Inquiry* resides with the authors, and copyright for the publication layout resides with the journal. These copyright holders have agreed that this article should be available on open access under a Creative Commons Attribution License 4.0 International (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0). The only constraint on reproduction and distribution, and the only role for copyright in this domain, should be to give authors control over the integrity of their work and the right to be properly acknowledged and cited, and to cite *Teaching & Learning Inquiry* as the original place of publication. Readers are free to share these materials—as long as appropriate credit is given, a link to the license is provided, and any changes are indicated.