Canadian Medical Education Journal 2019, 10(3)

Canadian Medical Education Journal

You Should Try This!

Scaffolding for assessment success: using gradual release of responsibility to support resident transition to competency-based medical education

Rebecca Pero,¹ Laura Marcotte¹

¹Queen's University, Ontario, Canada

Published: July 24, 2019

CMEJ 2019, 10(3):e110-e112 Available at http://www.cmej.ca

© 2019 Pero, Marcotte; licensee Synergies Partners

This is an Open Journal Systems article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0) which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Implication Statement

In competency-based medical education (CBME), assessment is learner-driven; learners may fail to progress if assessments are not completed. The General Internal Medicine (GIM) program at Queen's University uses an educational technique known as scaffolding in its assessment strategy. The program applies this technique to coordinate early assessments with specific scheduled learning experiences and gradually releases the responsibility for assessment initiation to residents. Although outcomes of this innovation are still under investigation, we feel it has been valuable in supporting resident assessment capture and timely progression through stages of training. Other residency training programs could easily implement this technique to support the transition to Competency by Design.

Introduction

In competency-based medical education (CBME), residents must demonstrate competence in specialtyspecific clinical tasks. 1,2 Assessment in CBME is learner-driven; residents must understand their learning objectives, seek out assessment opportunities and identify gaps in their assessment portfolio.³ However, as residents adjust to a new learning and working environment, operational aspects of CBME (i.e., what assessments to trigger and when to do so) may present an additional cognitive challenge.4 This adjustment period may result in a lack of assessment data, which may limit resident learning and possible advancement within the program.

What is Scaffolding?

Scaffolding is a temporary support that helps a learner accomplish a task they may not be able to complete independently.⁵ With an aim to support resident learning and progress, as well as programmatic decision-making, we applied scaffolding through the instructional practice known as Gradual Release of Responsibility (GRR). This follows an "I do, we do, you do" series of three steps.⁶

Table 1. Assessment capture by EPA - sample of GIM EPAs to illustrate scaffolding strategy *

Stage of Training / EPA	When / Where	Who**
	Transition to Discipline	
D1 – history & physical exam	First GIM fellows' clinic	program
D2 – management of an acutely unstable patient	PGY-4 academic half day (simulation lab) x 4	program
	Foundations of Discipline	
F1 – GIM assessment of common patient presentation	GIM Fellows' clinic / other clinical contexts	program / resident
F2 – ongoing management of common GIM presentations	Relevant GIM inpatient rotations, weekly	program
F3 – GIM approach to complexity / ambiguity	GIM Fellows' clinic / other clinical contexts	program / resident
	Core of Discipline	
C1 – Assessing and managing complex inpatients	Any clinical inpatient context	resident
C2 – Assessing and managing complex outpatients	GIM Fellows' clinic	resident
C3 – Peri-operative management	Each GIM Pre-op Clinic, can also be assessed on call, on consult rotations	program / resident
C7 – End of life / supportive care	any clinical context	resident
C8 – Critical care	 weekly assessment on ICU rotation Resuscitation assessment at any time, any clinical context 	program / resident
	Transition to Practice	
P1 – Manage the system	any clinical context	resident
P2 – Complex communication	any clinical context	resident

^{*} Note that these EPAs are Queen's specific EPAs predating GIM's official Royal College CBD process. They are similar to but not exactly the same as the ultimate GIM CBD EPAs being implemented in July 2019.

In the two-year subspecialty program in General Internal Medicine (GIM) at Queen's University, we introduced a scaffolding technique as part of our assessment strategy at the outset of the move to CBME to ensure adequate assessment of all the program's entrustable professional activities (EPAs) (see Table 1). An entrustable professional activity is a "task in the clinical setting that may be delegated to a resident by their supervisor once sufficient competence has been demonstrated."7 Initially, our program provided distinct moments where assessment information was captured (i.e., the program's version of "I do"). In subsequent stages of training, there was a mix of program- and residentinitiated assessments (i.e., "we do"), and later, residents recognized assessment opportunities and captured their assessment data independently (i.e., "you do") (see Table 1). Reporting the implementation of a CBME assessment program did not require a submission to the Queen's University Ethics Review Board as per the Tri-Council Policy Statement 2, article 2.5.

Practical application of gradual release of responsibility in General Internal Medicine

During the Transition to Discipline stage of training (i.e., first month), our program oriented residents and assessed them on their basic internal medicine skills. We scheduled assessments during resident clinics and academic half days. The program "does" the assessment work, and the residents simply show up and demonstrate their knowledge and skills to complete the required number of assessments.

In the next stage, Foundations of Discipline (4-6 months), a structured assessment plan ensured some level of assessment completion while encouraging the residents' developing understanding of the CBME paradigm, the assessment process, and their role within it. For one EPA, we sent weekly assessments to the appropriate clinical supervisor on all relevant GIM inpatient rotations. Assessments for two other EPAs were completed routinely in the GIM resident clinics, but were also completed in many other clinical

^{**} Faculty may also initiate assessments at any time.

contexts. We encouraged residents to independently initiate these same types of assessments in other settings (i.e., on non-GIM rotations). Thus, residents began to take charge of collecting the assessment information in a collaborative "we do" interaction.

In the Core of Discipline (12-16 months) and Transition to Practice (final 4-6 months) stages of training, residents need to be forward-thinking and conscious about when and where an EPA can be assessed (i.e., the "you do" step). The first two stages, having prepared residents for the added administrative load, lead to the scaffold being gently removed. Since the initial implementation of CBME in July 2017, nine residents in two cohorts have participated in our assessment strategy. The number of assessments required for each resident will depend on the resident's performance and other factors as determined by the Competence Committee.

Conclusion

To enhance resident learning and enable the capture of assessment data, the General Internal Medicine program at Queen's University scaffolds its program of CBME assessment. Although we are still investigating the outcomes of this innovation, residents are progressing through the stages of training as expected, and residents in later stages of training are independently initiating a sufficient number of their own assessments for EPA achievement. This suggests that scaffolding may be an effective strategy to support resident success in CBME. We are in the midst of conducting a qualitative research study to understand whether residents perceive our assessment innovation as supportive to their success in the program. Other markers of success may include assessment data retrieved from the education technology system (i.e., assessments triggered by residents over time and per stage). We believe that this approach may be successfully applied in other residency training programs embarking on Competence by Design (CBD).

Conflicts of interest: There are no conflicts of interest for any of the authors.

Funding: There are no sources of funding.

References

- Competency-based medical education aligns with the Royal College of Physicians and Surgeons of Canada's Competency by Design (CBD) framework.
- Competency-based education is "an approach to curriculum design and trainee assessment whose fundamental aim is to improve the training of health care professionals so that they deliver consistent, highquality patient care" (Harris P, Bhanji F, Topps M, et al. Evolving concepts of assessment in a competencybased world. Medical Teacher. 2017;39:603-8).
- A "robust and multifaceted" assessment system, that includes frequent, low stakes assessments, is a key component of CBME (Holmboe ES, Sherbino J, Long DM, et al. The role of assessment in competency-based medical education. *Medical Teacher*. 2010;32:676-82).
- 4. Sweller J. Cognitive load during problem solving: Effects on learning. *Cognitive Science*. 1988;12:257-85.
- Fry H, Ketteridge S and Marshall S. Understanding Student Learning. In: Fry H, Ketteridge S and Marshall S, eds. A Handbook for Teaching and Learning in Higher Education: Enhancing Academic Practice, Third Edition. New York, NY: Routledge. 2009:8-26.
- Fisher D and Frey N. Better Learning Through Structured Teaching: A Framework for the Gradual Release of Responsibility, Second Edition. Alexandria, VA: ASCD. 2013.
- Royal College of Physicians and Surgeons of Canada.
 2019 [Internet]. Available at: http://www.royalcollege.ca/rcsite/canmeds/about/fa q-canmeds-e. [Accessed: March 26, 2019].