



VISUOMOTOR AND AUDIOMOTOR REACTION TIME IN ELITE AND NON-ELITE BADMINTON PLAYERS

**Davut Sinan Kaplan^{1*}, Firat Akcan²,
Ziya Çakır¹, Tugba Kilic¹, Caner Yildirim¹**

¹Gaziantep University, Department of Physiology, Faculty of Medicine, Gaziantep, Turkey

²Gaziantep University, Physical Education and Sport Department, Gaziantep, Turkey

Abstract:

The ability to quickly perceive appropriate motor response is essential in the badminton sport under the critical time pressure. This study aimed to evaluate the visual and auditory reaction time, speed, anaerobic power and vertical jump between elite and non-elite badminton athletes. With this purpose, various anthropometric measurements, hexagonal obstacle test, vertical jump test, anaerobic power measurement and auditory and visual reaction time tests were performed to the elite and non-elite athletes. When auditory reaction time, vertical jump and anaerobic power measurements were evaluated, there was no significant difference between the elite and non-elite groups, but it was noticed that there was a significant differences in quickness and visual reaction time in favor of elite athletes. It is also seen that speed and visual reaction time have a positive effect on badminton athletes are able to get to the high performance level in other literature information. For this reason, it has been thought that training programs designed for badminton athletes by considering these physiological parameters and training systems designed to increase the reaction time may be beneficial.

Keywords: visuomotor reaction, audiomotor reaction, badminton athletes

1. Introduction

The ability to rapidly perceive visual cues and to initiate a targeted motor response is essential in many sports when athletes have to perform visuomotor tasks under critical

* Correspondence: email ds Kaplan@gantep.edu.tr

time pressure as with the badminton sport. Badminton is one of the most popular sports in the world with 200 million fans (Kwan and Rasmussen, 2010). Badminton that was born in China has the final shape in England and is now the national sport of various Asian countries (Phomsoupha and Laffaye, 2015). This game, which can be played by everyone regardless of age or experience, is characterized by short repetitive motor activity at high speed and intensity within an 80 m² court (Lees, 2003). During competitions, athletes have to move quickly by changing direction suddenly (Tiwari et al., 2011), and also elite athletes have to fulfill the maximum limits of speed, agility, flexibility, durability and power (Raman and Nageswaran, 2013). Badminton is a combination of high intensity short runs (anaerobic system) (Jeyaraman et al., 2012) and longer, medium or high intensity runs (aerobic system) ([Majumdar et al., 1997](#)). The atypical and surprising flight trajectory of the shuttlecock (badminton ball), considered that the reaction time may be more important in badminton. Therefore, badminton athletes need a good balance, short reaction time and speed throughout the competition. Many studies have shown that the reaction time for athletes is much shorter than non-athletes (Bhabhor et al., 2013; Nakamoto and Mori, 2008). In a study which brain functions were measured instantaneously by means of a functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) device on badminton athletes, while various images were presented to the athletes of the badminton they reported that the athletes had acted on many brain networks and the elite athletes had much more fMRI activation in their parts of the brain that were analyzed by the visual attention and body kinematics than the beginner athletes ([Wright et al., 2011](#)). It has been shown that badminton athletes have higher visuomotor skills than non-racquetball athletes ([Di et al., 2012](#)). This study was planned to examine the time of visual and auditory reaction in terms of being an elite among badminton athletes. In addition, in this study, the athlete's response time to auditory and visual stimuli as well as other physiological parameters such as vertical jump, anaerobic power, quickness and anthropometric measurements of the athletes has been investigated in relation to the elite qualities of the athletes.

2. Method

Twenty athletes that have intercollegiate badminton league (non-elite) (male = 11, female = 9) and twenty badminton national team athletes (elite) (male =11, female = 9) participated in this study voluntarily. None of the volunteers had a lower extremity or vertebral pathology. Also, they did not have any surgery operation until 6 months before the measurements were made. This study was carried out with the approval of

the Gaziantep University Clinical Research Ethics Committee with decision code 2015/235.

2.1 Anthropometric Measurements

The height of the athletes was measured by rule with 0.01m accuracy measuring the distance between vertex and foot. The body weight was measured with an electronic scale with accuracy of 0.1 kg without shoes (Polat et al., 2011). The age of the athletes was recorded by asking them (Doğan et al., 2016).

2.2 Vertical Jump and Anaerobic Power Measurement

Vertical jump values were measured using a vertical jump pan. During the test, the feet were contiguous and the body was in a vertical position, the last point of contact of the fingertips was marked. Athletes were asked to touch the board by jumping up as far as possible. The athletes did not take a step when jumping and twisted their knees 90 degrees. The athletes repeated this process three times and recorded the difference between the two distances using the best results (Bilgiç et al., 2016a, 2016b; Yıkılmaz et al., 2016; Akcan and Biçer, 2015; Yıldız et al., 2016; Biçer and Akkuş, 2005; Akbal, 1998). By the following formula, the anaerobic power, body weight and vertical jump values of the experiment group were determined by using Lewis method.

$$P = \sqrt{4.9(\text{Weight})\sqrt{D^n}}$$

P = Power, Dⁿ= Vertical jump distance

2.3 Hexagonal Obstacle Test Measurements

Hexagonal obstacle test measures quickness, coordination and anaerobic stability. A hexagon with a border of 66 cm was drawn on a flat surface in test. Each side of the hexagon was identified by letters A, B, C, D, E and F respectively. The athletes were asked to jump out of each line then back into the hexagon with a double foot by stay in the middle of the hexagon. When the athletes arrived the first letter, it was applied in three rounds to complete a turn. The athletes were allowed to do a round test before the test started and wanted to do it as fast as possible. The duration of the test was measured with a chronometer. Those who step or missed the lines were tested again after rest (Köktaş, 2013; McKenzie, 2005).

2.4 Visual and Auditory Reaction Time Measurements

Visual and auditory reaction time was measured using computerized online software programs (www.humanbenchmark.com, www.cognitivefun.net) developed for reaction time measurement, after the appropriate environment and environmental conditions

were ensured, at which the athlete was able to experience the least possible stimulation (Cuthbertson et al., 2015; Pancar et al., 2016).

2.5 Data Analysis

SPSS 20.0 package program was used for the analysis of the obtained data (IBM SPSS Software 20.0, United States). Independent sample t test, in which two independent variables were compared, was used, considering the suitability of parametric distribution, and $p < 0.05$ was considered statistically significant.

3. Results

There was no significant difference between anthropometric measurements since attention to the selection of individuals as close as possible (Table 1).

Table 1: Anthropometric measurements of athletes

Variable	Group	N	Mean	Std. Deviation(±)	p
Age (year)	Elite Athletes	20	20.20	1.77	0.432
	Non- Elite Athletes	20	20.65	1.81	
Height (cm)	Elite Athletes	20	170.75	8.26	0.879
	Non- Elite Athletes	20	171.20	10.26	
Weight (kg)	Elite Athletes	20	64.45	12.08	0.565
	Non- Elite Athletes	20	66.65	11.90	

P <0.05 was considered significant by evaluating with independent sample t test.

When auditory reaction time, vertical jump and anaerobic power measurements were evaluated, there was no significant difference between elite and non-elite groups, but in hexagonal quickness and visual reaction time there was a significant difference in favor of elite athletes (Table 2).

Table 2: Measurements obtained from the research parameters

Variable	Group	N	Mean	Std. Dev.(±)	p
Vertical Jump (cm)	Elite Athletes	20	41.45	12.39	0.560
	Non- Elite Athletes	20	39.45	8.85	
Anaerobik Power (kg.m/sn)	Elite Athletes	20	92.41	28.01	0.938
	Non- Elite Athletes	20	93.06	23.78	
Speed (sc)	Elite Athletes	20	11.86	2.69	<0.001*
	Non- Elite Athletes	20	13.67	1.31	
Auditory Reaction (ms)	Elite Athletes	20	204.03	33.47	0.613
	Non- Elite Athletes	20	209.40	33.61	
Visual Reaction (ms)	Elite Athletes	20	257.70	34.35	0.035*
	Non- Elite Athletes	20	279.25	28.00	

P <0.05 was considered significant by evaluating with independent sample t test.

4. Discussion and Conclusion

This study is a research which evaluating of visual and auditory reaction time, speed, anaerobic power and vertical jumping between elite and non-elite badminton athletes. It has been aimed to give information about which physiological parameters of elite badminton athletes are more advanced and information about which visuomotor and audiomotor skills which are neuropsychological processes are examined and which training programs are more beneficial in this area. When badminton athletes are examined in terms of anthropometric measurements, the difference between the performances of the athletes and these measurements is not very important within the general situations. However, Poliszczuk et al. , the most successful 13 male athletes in the world rankings in 2008 are generally about 5 cm longer (mean height 179 cm, mean weight 70 kg) and they has remarked that they are an advantage of being tall even if contradictory to some literature information (Poliszczuk and Mosakowska, 2010). In another study of badminton athletes according to 13 best athletes in their countries, It has been showed that the average length of Turkish, Indonesian, Nigerian and Spanish athletes were shorter than average (mean 171 cm), while German, Czech, South African and Danish athletes had longer (mean 182 cm). Values such as body weight and body mass index also have contradictory sources. The anthropometric measurements of elite and other athletes in this research are lower than the top 13 athletes mentioned before (mean height 170 cm, mean weight 65 kg). It is necessary to work with a wide range of athletes with different measures for anthropometric measurements and for examining the success in badminton.

The mean values of vertical jump values were found as 65.72 ± 9.85 cm, 54.37 ± 6.72 cm and 53.80 ± 9.07 cm respectively in male volleyball, soccer and handball athletes (Albay et al., 2008), this value was found about 37cm in tennis athletes who are closer to badminton (Girard et al., 2014). In another study that performed on elite badminton athletes, vertical jump values were shown to be statistically significant in favor of male athletes (mean 40 cm) and female athletes (mean 29 cm) (Abian-Vicen et al., 2012). In our study, although female athletes were found, this value was found as 41.45 cm in elite athletes and 39.45 cm in non-elite athletes. No other study has come out that comparing the vertical jump values of elite and non-elite badminton athletes in the literature. This study shows that there was no difference between the vertical jump values of elite and other badminton athletes.

In the study of the evaluating the anaerobic powers (Wingate test) of elite and non-elite male and female athletes ([Bencke](#) et al., 2002) in handball, tennis, gymnastics, and swimming sports, although in many sport anaerobic powers have significantly difference, the researchers argued that the muscle mass of the athlete more concerned

with the anaerobic power rather than sport or the type of training. Despite the fact that elite and non-elite badminton athletes have not been come across comparing in terms of anaerobic power, it has been shown that only elite and non-elite swimmers are different in terms of anaerobic power compared to sports such as tennis, handball, gymnastics and swimming (Bencke et al., 2002). In our work, there were no differences in the anaerobic power between the athletes as well as in many sport fields. In a study that evaluating of a new quickness test badminton-specific between elite and elite badminton athletes, researchers reported that the duration of the quickness in elite athletes was much shorter Loureiro and Freitas, 2016). In the Malaysian elite and non-elite badminton athletes, the values of quickness were found to be in conflict with other literature. There was no difference in the quickness tests performed on the elite and non-elite athletes in the study. However, researchers have argued that the elite athletes are longer and heavier than others (Ooi et al., 2009). According to the results obtained from this research, a significant difference was found in favor of elite athletes about quickness between elite and non-elite badminton athletes.

In earlier studies, the visual and auditory reaction time was found to be shorter in badminton athletes than in non-athletic but healthy persons (Bankosz et al., 2013; Hulsdunker et al., 2016). Likewise, elite badminton athletes who performed a goal-setting test that closely related to visual reaction time were found to be more successful than non-elite athletes (Loureiro and Freitas, 2012). In a study which comparison with elite and non-elite badminton athletes performed by Kim et al., it has found that elite athletes had shorter reaction times (Kim et al., 2007). In our study, similarly, elite athletes were found to have shorter visuomotor response, and other literature findings were supported, but audiomotor response was found to be similar in both athlete groups. When both this study data and the literature information are taken into account, it is obvious that the speed and speed of visual reaction have a positive effect in achieving high performance level of badminton athletes. For this reason it was thought that it would be beneficial for the badminton athletes to practice their training programs by considering these physiological parameters. For this purpose, it is suggested that a computer based educational system (Huynh and Bedford, 2011) designed to increase the reaction time to be included in badminton training planning.

References

1. Abian-Vicen J, Del Coso J, Gonzalez-Millan C, Salinero JJ, Abian P. Analysis of dehydration and strength in elite badminton players. PloS one. 2012;7(5):e37821.

2. Akbal M. Güreşçilerde hazırlık dönemi antrenman programları içerisinde fiziksel çalışmaların kassal kuvvet üzerine etkileri: Selçuk Üniversitesi Sağlık Bilimleri Enstitüsü; 1998.
3. Akcan, F., Biçer, M. (2015). The effect of two different strength training programs applied to male athletes in the various branches on some physical and physiological parameters. *Turkish Journal of Sport and Exercise*, 17(2), 1-7.
4. Albay, D. M., Tutkun, E., Ağaoğlu, Y. S., Canikli, A., & Albay, F. (2008). Hentbol, voleybol ve futbol üniversite takımlarının bazı motorik ve antropometrik özelliklerinin incelenmesi. *Spormetre Beden Eğitimi ve Spor Bilimleri Dergisi*, 4(1), 13-20.
5. Bańkosz Z, Nawara H, Ociepa M. Assessment of simple reaction time in badminton players. 2013.
6. Bencke J, Damsgaard R, Saekmose A, Jorgensen P, Jorgensen K, Klausen K. Anaerobic power and muscle strength characteristics of 11 years old elite and non-elite boys and girls from gymnastics, team handball, tennis and swimming. *Scandinavian journal of medicine & science in sports*. 2002;12(3):171-8. 21.
7. Bhabhor MK, Vidja K, Bhanderi P, Dodhia S, Kathrotia R, Joshi V. A comparative study of visual reaction time in table tennis players and healthy controls. 2013.
8. Biçer, M., Akkuş, H. (2005). Futbolcularda Hazırlık Dönemi Çalışmalarının Bazı Fiziksel ve Fizyolojik Parametreler Üzerine Etkisi. *Selçuk Üniversitesi Beden Eğitimi ve Spor Bilim Dergisi*, 7(1).
9. Bilgiç, M., Biçer, M., Özdal, M. (2016a). Farklı branşlarda spor yapan 11-13 yaş grubu çocukların 2D: 4D parmak oranlarının sportif performansla ilişkisinin incelenmesi. *Gaziantep Üniversitesi Spor Bilimleri Dergisi*, 1(1), 48-56.
10. Bilgiç, M., Pancar, Z., Şahin, F. B., Özdal, M. (2016b). Sedanter Çocuklarda İki Farklı Anaerobik Güç Testi Arasındaki Korelasyonun İncelenmesi. *Gaziantep Üniversitesi Spor Bilimleri Dergisi*, 1(2), 40-48.
11. Cuthbertson DW, Bershad EM, Sangi-Haghpeykar H, Cohen HS. Balance as a measurement of fatigue in postcall residents. *The Laryngoscope*. 2015;125(2):337-41.
12. Di X, Zhu S, Jin H, Wang P, Ye Z, Zhou K, et al. Altered resting brain function and structure in professional badminton players. *Brain connectivity*. 2012;2(4):225-33.
13. Doğan, G., Mendeş, B., Akcan, F., Tepe, A. (2016). Futbolculara uygulanan sekiz haftalık core antrenmanın bazı fiziksel ve fizyolojik parametreler üzerine etkisi. *Beden Eğitimi ve Spor Bilimleri Dergisi*, 10(1), 1-12.

14. Girard O, Christian RJ, Racinais S, Periard JD. Heat stress does not exacerbate tennis-induced alterations in physical performance. *Br J Sports Med.* 2014;48 Suppl 1:i39-i44.
15. Hulsdunker T, Struder HK, Mierau A. Neural Correlates of Expert Visuomotor Performance in Badminton Players. *Medicine and science in sports and exercise.* 2016;48(11):2125-34.
16. Huynh MV, Bedford A. Evaluating a computer based skills acquisition trainer to classify badminton players. *Journal of sports science & medicine.* 2011;10(3):528-33.
17. Jeyaraman R, District E, Nadu T. Prediction of playing ability in badminton from selected anthropometrical physical and physiological characteristics among inter collegiate players. *Int J Adv Innov Res.* 2012;2(3):11.
18. Kim S, Lee S, Ryu D, Kim C, Lee S. How do national badminton players utilize advanced visual cues to anticipate an attacker's intent? *Journal of Sport & Exercise Psychology.* 2007;29.
19. Köktaş E. Beden kütle indeksleri spor yapmaya uygun çocukların tenis branşına göre yetenek düzeylerinin araştırılması: Selçuk Üniversitesi Sağlık Bilimleri Enstitüsü; 2013.
20. Kwan M, Rasmussen J. The importance of being elastic: deflection of a badminton racket during a stroke. *Journal of sports sciences.* 2010;28(5):505-11. doi: 10.1080/02640410903567785. PubMed PMID: 20373199.
21. Lees A. Science and the major racket sports: a review. *Journal of sports sciences.* 2003;21(9):707-32.
22. Loureiro Jr LdFB, Freitas PBd. Influence of the performance level in badminton players in neuromotor aspects during a target-pointing task. *Revista Brasileira de Medicina do Esporte.* 2012;18(3):203-7.
23. Loureiro Lde F, Jr., de Freitas PB. Development of an Agility Test for Badminton Players and Assessment of Its Validity and Test-Retest Reliability. *International journal of sports physiology and performance.* 2016;11(3):305-10.
24. Mackenzie B. Performance evaluation tests. London: Electric World plc. 2005.
25. Majumdar P, Khanna G, Malik V, Sachdeva S, Arif M, Mandal M. Physiological analysis to quantify training load in badminton. *British journal of sports medicine.* 1997;31(4):342-5.
26. Nakamoto H, Mori S. Sport-specific decision-making in a Go/NoGo reaction task: difference among non-athletes and baseball and basketball players. *Perceptual and motor skills.* 2008;106(1):163-70.

27. Ooi CH, Tan A, Ahmad A, Kwong KW, Sompong R, Ghazali KA, et al. Physiological characteristics of elite and sub-elite badminton players. *Journal of sports sciences*. 2009;27(14):1591-9.
28. Pancar, Z., Özdal, M., Pancar, S., Biçer, M. (2016). Investigation of visual and auditory simple reaction time of 11-18 aged youth. *European Journal of Physical Education and Sport Science*, 2(4), 145-152.
29. Phomsoupha M, Laffaye G. The science of badminton: game characteristics, anthropometry, physiology, visual fitness and biomechanics. *Sports medicine*. 2015;45(4):473-95.
30. Polat, Y., Biçer, M., Patlar, S., Akıl, M., Günay, M., Çelenk, Ç. (2011). Examination on the anthropometric features and somatotypes of the male children at the age of 16. *Science & Sports*, 26(3), 150-156.
31. Poliszczuk T, Mosakowska M. Antropometryczny profil elitarnych badmintonistów z Polski. *Med Sport*. 2010;1(6):45-55.
32. Raman D, Nageswaran A. Effect of game-specific strength training on selected physiological variables among badminton players. *SSB*. 2013;1(57.563):57.563.
33. Tiwari L, Rai V, Srinet S. Relationship of selected motor fitness components with the performance of badminton player. *Asian J Phys Educ Comput Sci Sports*. 2011;5(1):88-91.
34. Wright MJ, Bishop DT, Jackson RC, Abernethy B. Cortical fMRI activation to opponents' body kinematics in sport-related anticipation: expert-novice differences with normal and point-light video. *Neuroscience letters*. 2011;500(3):216-21.
35. Yıkılmaz, A., Biçer, M., Gürkan, A. C., Özdal, M. (2016). The evaluation of physical fitness of the primary and secondary schools students in 8-12 age group related to the performance. *Beden Eğitimi ve Spor Bilimleri Dergisi*, 9(3), 300-307.
36. Yıldız, H., Biçer, M., Akcan, F., Mendes, B. (2016). Ampute futbolcularda hazırlık dönemi çalışmalarının fiziksel ve fizyolojik parametreler üzerine etkileri. *Spor ve Performans Araştırmaları Dergisi*, 7(1), 45-52.

Creative Commons licensing terms

Authors will retain the copyright of their published articles agreeing that a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY 4.0) terms will be applied to their work. Under the terms of this license, no permission is required from the author(s) or publisher for members of the community to copy, distribute, transmit or adapt the article content, providing a proper, prominent and unambiguous attribution to the authors in a manner that makes clear that the materials are being reused under permission of a Creative Commons License. Views, opinions and conclusions expressed in this research article are views, opinions and conclusions of the author(s). Open Access Publishing Group and European Journal of Physical Education and Sport Science shall not be responsible or answerable for any loss, damage or liability caused in relation to/arising out of conflict of interests, copyright violations and inappropriate or inaccurate use of any kind content related or integrated on the research work. All the published works are meeting the Open Access Publishing requirements and can be freely accessed, shared, modified, distributed and used in educational, commercial and non-commercial purposes under a [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License \(CC BY 4.0\)](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).