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#### Abstract

In this article we focus on the behaviors of the generalised counting function of primes $\Pi_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathrm{x})$ and the counting function of integers $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathrm{x})$ as well as the link between them as $\mathrm{x} \rightarrow \infty$. Here the Riemann zeta function $\zeta_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathrm{s})\left(=\sum_{n} n^{-s}, \mathfrak{R}(\mathrm{~s})>1\right)$ play an important role as a link between $\Pi_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathrm{x})$ and $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathrm{x})$. This work will go through the method ( not in details) adapted by Balanzario [Balanzario , 1998] and later generalised by AL- Maamori [AL- Maamori, 2013]. Finally we shall draw a diagram in order to determine the relation between $\alpha$ and $\beta$, (where $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are the power of the error terms $\mathrm{H}_{1}(\mathrm{x}), \mathrm{H}_{2}(\mathrm{x})$ of $\Pi_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathrm{x})$ and $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathrm{x})$ respectively). The aim of this work is to analysis the behaviour of $\Pi_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathrm{x})$ and $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathrm{x})$ as $\mathrm{x} \rightarrow \infty$.

Note that:" It's a beneficial to point out that our effort in this paper is not to exchange the values of some functions of Balanzario' $s$ method. Since, changing any small value of one of the functions of Balanzario' s method may be leads to loss the aim of the work " . Therefore , in this article we show the ability of changing the values of some functions and in which places in the proof we should sort out.
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## الخلاصة



طريقة العالم بلنزاريو [بلنزاريو، 1998 ] ل
 التوالي . الغرض من هذا البحث هو تحليل تصرفات (
ملاحظة : من المهم والنافع الاشارة بان جهنا في هنا البحث ليست تغيير بعض قيم الاوال التي استخميت في طريقة بلنزاريو
حيث ان تغيير اي قيمة مهما كانت صغيرة لإحدى دوال طريقة بلنزاريو ربما تتودنا الى خسارة هدف الهوضوع بأكهله . ولهغا نبين ايضا قابلية التنيير السسوح بها في قيم بعض الدوال . كذلك سوف نخت البحث بتتح باب لعمل مستقبلي .


## Introduction

Let $\mathcal{P}=\left\{p_{1}, p_{2}, \ldots\right\}$ be a set of real numbers satisfying the following conditions : $1<\mathrm{p}_{1}, \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{n}} \leq \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{n}+1}$ and $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{n}} \rightarrow \infty$ as $\mathrm{n} \rightarrow \infty$. Beurling [Beurling, 1937] called $\mathcal{P}$ the generalized primes (Beurling primes ). The generalised counting functions of primes and of integers are defined as follows:

$$
\pi_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathrm{x})=\sum_{p \leq x, p \in \mathcal{P}} 1 \quad \text { and } \quad \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathrm{x})=\sum_{n \leq x, n \in \mathcal{N}} 1 .
$$

We note that $\pi_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathrm{x})$ is defined as a discrete function .
The following definition is needed .
Definition: Let $\Pi_{\mathcal{P}}, \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{P}}$ be functions such that $\left(\Pi_{\mathcal{P}} \in S_{0}^{+}\right)^{(*)}$ and $\left(\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{P}} \in S_{1}^{+}\right)^{(* *)}$ with $\left(\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{P}}=\exp * \Pi_{\mathcal{P}}\right)^{(* *)}$. Then $\left(\Pi_{\mathcal{P}}, \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{P}}\right)$ is called an outer $\mathrm{g}-$ prime system .

The generalised prime systems have been investigated by Beurling and later by many authors studied it such as Diamond [Diamond, 1969 ] , Hilberdink [Hilberdink, 2012 ] and so on. Beurling introduced the generalised prime theorem by showing :
If $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathrm{x})=\mathrm{A}(\mathrm{x})+\mathrm{O}\left(\frac{x}{\log ^{\gamma} x}\right)$ for $\mathrm{A}>0$ and $\gamma>\frac{3}{2}$, then $\pi_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathrm{x}) \sim \frac{x}{\log x}$.
This is an analogue of the prime number theorem (PNT), also Beurling showed that the condition $\gamma>\frac{3}{2}$ is necessary in the sense that there is a continuous analogue of a g - prime system with $\gamma>\frac{3}{2}$ for which the PNT does not hold.

From a several papers in this field such as " Diamond [Diamond, 1970], Diamond [Diamond, 1969 ], Bateman [Bateman, 1969], Ellison and Mends [Ellison and Mends, 1975 ], Hilberdink [Hilberdink, 2009 ] and so on, We see that the main core (of the behaviors of $\pi_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathrm{x})$ and $\left.\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathrm{x})\right)$ is the size of their error terms where $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathrm{x})=\mathrm{ax}+\mathrm{H}_{1}(\mathrm{x})($ will use later in (6) $) \Rightarrow \pi_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathrm{x})=\operatorname{li}(\mathrm{x})+\mathrm{H}_{2}(\mathrm{x})$. Here $\operatorname{li}(\mathrm{x})=$ $\int_{2}^{x} \frac{d t}{\log t}$.
(*) Here $S_{0}^{+}=\{\mathrm{f} \in \mathrm{S}: \mathrm{S}$ is the space of all functions $\mathrm{f}: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ s.t. f is rightcontinuous and of local bounded variation with $f(1)=0\}$.
(**) Here $S_{1}^{+}=\{\mathrm{f} \in \mathrm{S}: \mathrm{S}$ is the space of all functions $\mathrm{f}: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ s.t. f is rightcontinuous and of local bounded variation with $\mathrm{f}(1)=1\}$.
$(* * *) f=\exp * g$ iff $f * g_{L}=f_{L}$ where $f_{L} \in S$ defined for $x \geq 1$ by $f_{L}(x)=$ $\int_{1}^{x} \log t \mathrm{df}(\mathrm{x})$.

In this article, we study the behaviors of $\mathrm{H}_{1}(\mathrm{x})$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2}(\mathrm{x})($ as $\mathrm{x} \rightarrow \infty)$ in deep as $\pi_{\mathcal{P}}$ and $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{P}}$ are counts $g$ - prime functions. In order to see that taking the method of Balanzario in 1998 and later generalised by AL- Maamori in 2015 . We note that the error terms of the counting functions are mostly of the form :
(i)
$\mathrm{O}\left(\frac{x}{(\log x)^{r}}\right)$
(ii) $\mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{x} e^{c(\log x)^{\alpha}}\right)$
(iii) $\mathrm{O}\left(x^{\alpha}\right)$
we deal with the form (i) in our work
Balanzario defined $\Pi_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathrm{x})=\int_{1}^{x} \frac{1-t^{-k}}{\log t} \gamma(\mathrm{t}) \mathrm{dt} \quad$ where $\mathrm{k}>1$,
$\gamma(\mathrm{t})=1-\sum_{n>n_{0}} \alpha_{n} \frac{\cos \left(b_{n} \log t\right)}{t^{a_{n}}}$
and $\zeta_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathrm{s})=\int_{1}^{\infty} x^{-s} d \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathrm{x})=\int_{1}^{\infty} x^{-s} e^{d \Pi(x)}=\exp \left\{\int_{1}^{\infty} x^{-s} \mathrm{~d} \Pi_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathrm{x})\right\}$
for more details of (2) see [Hilberdink, 2012].
Balanzario proved that: $\Pi_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathrm{x})=\operatorname{li}(\mathrm{x})+\mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{x} e^{-c \sqrt{\log x}}\right), \mathrm{c}>0$
implies $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathrm{x})=\rho \mathrm{x}+\Omega^{(*)} \pm\left(\mathrm{x} e^{-k \sqrt{\log x}}\right), \rho>\mathrm{o}, \mathrm{k}>0$
If we assume that we have :
$\Pi_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathrm{x})=\mathrm{li}(\mathrm{x})+\mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{x} e^{-k(\log x)^{\alpha}}\right), \mathrm{k}>0$.
Balanzario showed that for $\alpha=\frac{1}{2}$ implies $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathrm{x})=\rho \mathrm{x}+\mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{x} e^{-c(\log x)^{\beta}}\right)$
for some $\rho, \mathrm{c}>0$ and $\beta=\frac{1}{2}$ here.
Given (5), Malliavin proved that: $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathrm{x})=\rho \mathrm{x}+\mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{x} e^{-c(\log x)^{0.2}}\right)$
for some $\rho, \mathrm{c}>0$ ( see [Malliavin, 1961]). Diamond showed that with (5) holds, we could get : $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathrm{x})=\rho \mathrm{x}+\mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{x} e^{-c(\log x)^{0.333 \ldots}}\right)$ for some $\rho>0, \mathrm{c}>0$.

This shows that $\mathrm{H}_{1}(\mathrm{x})=\left(\mathrm{x} e^{-k \sqrt{\log x}}\right), \mathrm{k}>0$ has fixed power at $\alpha=\frac{1}{2}$, but $\beta$ varies into different values. Suppose that we get (5) with $\alpha=\frac{1}{3}$,
The question is :" What is the best possible value could get using Balanzario' s method ?".
(*) For F and G be functions defined on some interval ( $\mathrm{a}, \infty$ ). We write $\mathrm{F}(\mathrm{x})$
$=\Omega(G(t))$, to mean that there exist a constant $\mathrm{c}>0$ such that $|\mathrm{F}(\mathrm{t})| \geq \mathrm{c} G$
(t) for some arbitrary large values of $t$. Further, we write $F(t)=\Omega_{+}(G(t))$ and $F$
$(t)=\Omega_{-}(G(t))$ if there exist a constant $c>0$ such that $F(t) \geq c G(t)$ and $F(t) \leq$ - $\mathrm{c} \mathrm{G}(\mathrm{t})$ hold respectively for some arbitrarily large values of t . We write $\mathrm{F}(\mathrm{t})=\Omega$
$\pm\left(G(t)\right.$ if both $F(t)=\Omega_{+}(G(t))$ and $F(t)=\Omega_{-}(G(t))$ hold [Bateman, 1969 ].
Suppose that we have $\Pi_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathrm{x})=\int_{1}^{x} \frac{1-t^{-k}}{\log t} \gamma(\mathrm{t}) \mathrm{dt}, \mathrm{k}>1$ where
$\Pi_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathrm{x})=\mathrm{li}(\mathrm{x})+\mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{x} e^{-c(\log x)^{\alpha}}\right)$, for some $\mathrm{c}>0$ and take $\alpha=\frac{1}{3}, \quad \mathrm{~K}=4$, $\mathrm{n}_{0}=3, \mathrm{x}=e^{10}$. Here changing $\alpha$ from $\frac{1}{2}$ into $\frac{1}{3}$ will leads to considerable work . This means that :
$\left.\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{n}}=\exp \left\{\left(\log \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)^{1 / 3}\right)\right\} \quad, \quad \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{n}}=\frac{1}{\log b_{n}}=\frac{1}{\left(\log x_{n}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}}}=\left(\log \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)^{-1 / 3}$,
$\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}+1}=\exp \left\{\left(\log \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)^{3}\right\} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \log \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}+1}=\left(\log \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)^{3} \quad$ and
$\left.\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{n}}=\exp \left\{\left(\log \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)^{3 / 4}\right)\right\} \quad, \quad \alpha_{\mathrm{n}}=\frac{2}{n^{2}} \quad, \quad \alpha=\sum_{n>n_{0}} \alpha_{n}$.

## Estimation of $\Pi_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathbf{x})$ :

With the above new values ( or condition ) of the method, our aim is to avoid two important points which are :
(1) The loss of generality . (2) cut of some simple details.

For this, we keep tackling the curtail sectors of Balanzario' s method. These curtail parts improved to be :
Proposition(1):(This is the modification of proposition (2) in [ Balanzario , 1998 ])
If $\Pi_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathrm{x})$ is given by $(1)$, then $\Pi_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathrm{x})=\operatorname{li}(\mathrm{x})+\mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{x} e^{-c(\log x)^{\alpha}}\right)$
here $\alpha=\frac{1}{3}, \mathrm{c}=4$.
Proof: we have:
$\Pi_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathrm{x})=\int_{1}^{x} \frac{1-t^{-k}}{\log t} \gamma(\mathrm{t}) \mathrm{dt}=\int_{1}^{x} \frac{1-t^{-k}}{\log t}\left(1-\sum_{n>n_{0}} \alpha_{n} \frac{\cos \left(b_{n} \log t\right)}{t^{a_{n}}} \mathrm{dt}\right.$.
It's obvious that we could get $\Pi_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathrm{x})=\operatorname{li}(\mathrm{x})-\sum_{n>n_{0}} \alpha_{n} \int_{e}^{x} \frac{\cos \left(b_{n} \log t\right)}{t^{a_{n}} \log t} \mathrm{dt}$.
It remains to estimate the summation part and show that :

$$
\sum_{n>n_{0}} \alpha_{n} \int_{e}^{x} \frac{\cos \left(b_{n} \log t\right)}{t^{a_{n}} \log t} \mathrm{dt}=\mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{x} e^{-4(\log x)^{\frac{1}{3}}}\right) .
$$

New to estimate the integration in the summation part we get :
$\left|\int_{e}^{x} \frac{\cos \left(b_{n} \log t\right)}{t^{a_{n}} \log t} \mathrm{dt}\right| \leq 3 \frac{x^{1-a_{n}}}{b_{n}}$. Its remains to calculate the magnitude $3 \frac{x^{1-a_{n}}}{b_{n}}$, by definitions of $b_{n}$ and $a_{n}$ above, we have :
$\frac{x^{1-a_{n}}}{b_{n}}=\frac{x \cdot x^{-a_{n}}}{b_{n}}=\frac{x \cdot x^{-\frac{1}{\left(\log x_{n}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}}}}}{e^{\left(\log x_{n}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}}}}=\mathrm{xexp}\left\{-\frac{\log x}{\left(\log x_{n}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}}}-\left(\log x_{n}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}}\right\}$
$=\mathrm{x} \exp \left\{-(\log x)^{\frac{2}{3}}-\left(\log x_{n}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}}\right\}$
$=\mathrm{x} \exp \left\{-(\log x)^{\frac{1}{3}}\left(1+(\log x)^{\frac{1}{3}}\right)\right\}$
$=\mathrm{x} e^{-4(\log x)^{\frac{1}{3}}}$. Therefore ,
$\sum_{n>n_{0}} \alpha_{n}\left|\int_{e}^{x} \frac{\cos \left(b_{n} \log t\right)}{t^{a_{n}} \log t} \mathrm{dt}\right| \leq \sum_{n>n_{0}} \alpha_{n}\left(3 \times e^{-4(\log x)^{\frac{1}{3}}}\right)$
$=3 \alpha \times e^{-4(\log x)^{\frac{1}{3}}}=\mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{x}^{-4(\log x)^{\frac{1}{3}}}\right)$.
Estimation of $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathrm{x})$ :
Here we calculate $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathrm{x})$ in order to see the effecting of the error term of $\Pi_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathrm{x})$ on the behaviour of $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathrm{x})$ in general. So we let $M_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathrm{x})=\int_{1}^{x} \mathcal{N}(t) d t$. The reason of doing this, is because dealing with $M_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathrm{x})$ is more easier than dealing with $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathrm{x})$ in calculations. Therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathrm{x})=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{b-i \infty}^{b+i \infty} \zeta_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathrm{s}) \frac{x^{s+1}}{s(s+1)} \text { ds }, \mathrm{b}>1 \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

So , in order to calculate $M_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathrm{x})$ we have to calculate $\zeta_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathrm{s})$. Following same arguments as in [ Balanzario , 1998] , we see that: $\left|\zeta_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathrm{s})\right| \leq 45$.
Now, the integration in (6) has a singularity pointes in 0 and 1 , therefore if we calculate the integration on $[b-i \infty, b+i \infty]$, then we have to make a partition of the path on this interval in order to avoid these pointes by restricting the domain as follows :
$\Gamma_{1}$ : from b-ico to $\mathrm{b}-\mathrm{iT}$,
$\Gamma_{2}:$ from $\mathrm{b}-\mathrm{iT}$ to $-\frac{3}{2}-\mathrm{iT}$,
$\Gamma_{3}:$ from $-\frac{3}{2}-i T$ to $-\frac{3}{2}+i T$,
$\Gamma_{4}:$ from $-\frac{3}{2}+i T$ to $b+i T$,
$\Gamma_{5}$ : from $\mathrm{b}+\mathrm{iT}$ to $\mathrm{b}+\mathrm{i} \infty$.
Thus, we can write ( 6 ) as follows:
$M_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathrm{x})=\mathrm{I}_{1}+\ldots+\mathrm{I}_{5}+\mathrm{J}_{-\mathrm{n}}+\ldots+\mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{n}}+$ residues $\{0,1\}$,
where $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{m}}=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{\Gamma_{m}} \zeta_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathrm{s}) \frac{x^{s+1}}{s(s+1)} \mathrm{ds}, \quad \mathrm{m}=1, \ldots, 5$,

$$
\mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{m}}=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{\mathrm{C}_{m}} \zeta_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathrm{s}) \frac{x^{s+1}}{s(s+1)} \mathrm{ds}, \quad \mathrm{n}_{0}<|\mathrm{m}| \leq \mathrm{n} .
$$

Here $\Gamma_{\mathrm{m}}$ is the path of integration in (6) and $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{m}}$ is the $m$ th horizontal loop with $\mathfrak{J}(\mathrm{s})=\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{m}}$.
Now, we note that the estimation of $I_{1}$ is similar to $I_{5}$ and the estimation of $I_{2}$ is similar to $\mathrm{I}_{4}$.
Hence the estimation of $\mathrm{I}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{I}_{5}$ by [Balanzario, 1998] are: $\mathrm{O}\left(\frac{x^{2}}{T_{n}}\right)$,
the estimation of $\mathrm{I}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{I}_{4}$ are: $\mathrm{O}\left(\frac{x}{T_{n}}\right)^{2}$ and
the estimation of $\mathrm{I}_{3}$ is: $\mathrm{O}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{x}}\right)$. Therefore, from above we get :
$\left|\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{m}}\right| \leq \mathrm{O}\left(\frac{x^{2}}{T_{n}}\right), \mathrm{m}=1, \ldots, 5$ and hence (6) can be written as follows :
$M_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathrm{x})=\mathrm{O}\left(\frac{x^{2}}{T_{n}}\right)+\sum_{m=-n}^{n} J_{m}+$ residue $\{0,1\}$
Now, if we calculate the residue $\{0,1\}$ in ( 7 ) by [Balanzario, 1998] , then we get :
Residue $\{0,1\}=\mathrm{k} \emptyset(1) \frac{x^{2}}{2}+(1-\mathrm{k}) \emptyset(0) \mathrm{x}$, and hence (7) can be written as follows :
$M_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathrm{x})=\mathrm{k} \emptyset(1) \frac{x^{2}}{2}+(1-\mathrm{k}) \emptyset(0) \mathrm{x}+\sum_{m=-n}^{n} J_{m}+\mathrm{O}\left(\frac{x^{2}}{T_{n}}\right)$
Now, if we calculate the expression $\frac{x^{2}}{T_{n}}$ appearing in ( 8 ), then we get by [ Balanzario, 1998]:
$\frac{x^{2}}{T_{n}}=\mathrm{x}^{2} \exp \left\{-\left(\log \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)^{3 / 4}\right\}$, and hence the equation (8) become as follows :
$M_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathrm{x})=\mathrm{k} \emptyset(1) \frac{x^{2}}{2}+\sum_{m=-n}^{n} J_{m}+\mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{x}^{2} e^{-(\log x)^{\frac{3}{4}}}\right)$
Its remains to estimate the magnitude $\sum_{m=-n}^{n} J_{m}$ appearing in (9) as follows:
Proposition( 2 ):(This is the modification of proposition (8) in [Balanzario, 1998])

$$
\left|\sum_{n_{0}<|m| \leq n-1} J_{\mathrm{m}}\right| \leq 60 \mathrm{x}^{2} e^{-(\log x)^{\frac{8}{9}}}
$$

Proof : firstly, we estimate $\mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{m}}$ as follows : $\left|\mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{m}}\right|=\left|\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{\mathrm{C}_{m}} \zeta_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathrm{s}) \frac{x^{s+1}}{s(s+1)} \mathrm{ds}\right|$ and we see that by [Balanzario, 1998] we get: $\left|\mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{m}}\right| \leq \frac{15}{b_{m}^{2}} \mathrm{x}^{2} e^{-a_{m} \log x}$ Secondly, we estimate $\sum_{n_{0}<|m| \leq n-1} J_{\mathrm{m}}$ as follows:
If $|\mathrm{m}| \leq \mathrm{n}-1$, then $e^{-a_{m} \log x} \leq e^{-a_{n-1} \log x}$
$=\exp \left\{-\frac{\log x}{\sqrt[3]{\log x_{n-1}}}\right\}=\exp \left\{-\frac{\log x}{\sqrt[3]{\left(\log x_{n}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}}}}\right\}=\exp \left\{-\frac{\log x}{\left(\log x_{n}\right)^{\frac{1}{9}}}\right\}$
$\leq \exp \left\{\frac{-\log x_{n}+\frac{2}{10^{n}}}{\left(\log x_{n}\right)^{\frac{1}{9}}} \leq \exp \left\{-\left(\log x_{n}\right)^{\frac{8}{9}}+\frac{2}{10^{n}}\right\} \leq \exp \left\{-\left(\log x_{n}\right)^{\frac{8}{9}}+\frac{4}{10^{n}}\right\} \leq\right.$
$2 e^{-\left(\log x_{n}\right)^{\frac{8}{9}}}$, where $\left|\log \mathrm{x}-\log \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}\right| \leq \frac{2}{10^{n}}$, and hence

$$
\left|\sum_{n_{0}<|m| \leq n-1} J_{\mathrm{m}}\right| \leq 30 \mathrm{x}^{2} e^{-(\log x)^{\frac{8}{9}}} \quad \sum_{|m|>n_{0}} \frac{1}{b_{m}^{2}} \text {. }
$$

Now we finish the proof by noting that the last sum is finite :
$\sum_{|m|>n_{0}} \frac{1}{b_{m}^{2}} \leq \sum_{|m|>n_{0}} \frac{1}{e^{2\left(\log x_{m}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}}}} \leq 2 \quad \sum_{m>n_{0}} e^{-2\left(\log x_{m}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}}}$
$\leq 2 \sum_{m>n_{0}} e^{-2(10)^{\frac{m}{3}}} \leq 2$.
Therefore, $\left|\sum_{n_{0}<|m| \leq n-1} J_{\mathrm{m}}\right| \leq 60 \mathrm{x}^{2} e^{-(\log x)^{\frac{8}{9}}}$.
Since $e^{-(\log x)^{\frac{8}{9}}} \leq e^{-(\log x)^{\frac{3}{4}}}$, then the equation (9) become as follows :
$M_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathrm{x})=\mathrm{k} \emptyset(1) \frac{x^{2}}{2}+\left(\mathrm{J}_{-\mathrm{n}}+\mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)+\mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{x}^{2} e^{-(\log x)^{\frac{3}{4}}}\right)$
It remains to study the expression: $\mathrm{J}_{-\mathrm{n}}+\mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{n}}$.
Here $J_{n}=J_{n}^{\text {Q }}+J_{n}^{\boxed{2}}$ where $J_{n}^{\text {Q }}, J_{n}^{\text {Q }}$ refers to the integrals along the line segment $C_{n}^{\text {Q }}$ and $C_{n}^{\text {® }}$ lying respectively above and below the branch cut $C_{n}$ and suppose that $C_{n}^{\text {® }}$ with its direction reversed [Balanzario, 1998] : $-C_{n}^{\square}:\left\{\begin{array}{c}\theta=-\pi \\ s=1-a_{n}+i b_{n}-t \\ d s=-d t \\ 0 \leq t \leq 1-a_{n}+\frac{3}{2}\end{array}\right.$
Since $J_{n}^{\square}=\frac{-1}{2 \pi i} \int_{0}^{1-a_{n}+\frac{3}{2}} \frac{\zeta_{\mathcal{P}}\left(1-a_{n}+i b_{n}-t\right) x^{2-} a_{n}+i b_{n}-t}{\left(1-a_{n}+i b_{n}-t\right)\left(2-a_{n}+i b_{n}-t\right)}(-\mathrm{dt})$, then
$J_{n}^{\square}=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{0}^{(\log x)^{-\frac{1}{4}}} \frac{\zeta_{\mathcal{P}}\left(1-a_{n}+i b_{n}-t\right) x^{2-a_{n}+i b_{n}-t}}{\left(1-a_{n}+i b_{n}-t\right)\left(2-a_{n}+i b_{n}-t\right)} \mathrm{dt}+\mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{x}^{2} e^{-(\log x)^{\frac{3}{4}}}\right)$
Now, if we rewrite the integrand in (11) as follows :
$\frac{\zeta_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathrm{s})}{s(s+1)}=\left(s-1-a_{n}+i b_{n}\right)^{\frac{\alpha_{n}}{2}} \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{s})$,
where $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{s})=\frac{(s+k-1) \prod_{\substack{|m|>n_{0} \\ m \neq n}}\left(1-\frac{k}{s-1+a_{m}-i b_{m}+k}\right)^{\frac{\alpha_{m}}{2}}}{s(s-1)(s+1)\left(s-1+a_{n}-i b_{n}+k\right)^{\frac{\alpha_{n}}{2}}}$, and we deduce that by [Balanzario , 1998]:
$J_{n}^{\text {冋 }}=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} x^{2-a_{n}+i b_{n}} e^{-\pi i \frac{\alpha_{n}}{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\log x}\right)^{\frac{\alpha_{n}}{2}+1} s_{n}+\mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{x}^{2} e^{-(\log x)^{\frac{3}{4}}}\right)$,
with $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{n}}=\int_{0}^{(\log x)^{\frac{3}{4}}} e^{-t} t^{\frac{\alpha_{n}}{2}} \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{n}}\left(1-a_{n}+i b_{n}-\frac{t}{\log x}\right) \mathrm{dt}$.
Similarly, we calculate $J_{n}^{\square}$ in a similar way we obtain :
$J_{n}^{\square}=\frac{-1}{2 \pi i} x^{2-a_{n}+i b_{n}} e^{\pi i \frac{\alpha_{n}}{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\log x}\right)^{\frac{\alpha_{n}}{2}+1} S_{n}+\mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{x}^{2} e^{-(\log x)^{\frac{3}{4}}}\right)$ and from
$J_{n}=J_{n}^{\text {Q }}+J_{n}^{\text {冋 }}$, we get $\Rightarrow$
$J_{n}=\frac{\sin \frac{\pi}{2} \alpha_{n}}{\pi} x^{2-a_{n}+i b_{n}}\left(\frac{1}{\log x}\right)^{\frac{\alpha_{n}}{2}+1} S_{n}+\mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{x}^{2} e^{-(\log x)^{\frac{3}{4}}}\right)$
Now, if we calculate $J_{-n}$ we obtain the complex conjugate of $J_{n}$ because $\mathrm{b}_{-\mathrm{n}}=-\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{n}}$, therefore $J_{n}+\overline{J_{n}}=2 \mathfrak{R}\left(\mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)$.
Now, in order to estimate the integral $S_{n}$ appearing in (12), we first obtain the lower and upper bound for $f_{n}(s)$ which is appearing in $S_{n}$, we see that by [ Balanzario, 1998 ] we get : $\left|f_{n}(\mathrm{~s})\right| \leq \frac{64}{b_{n}^{2}}$ be the upper bound of $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{s})$ and
$\left|f_{n}(\mathrm{~s})\right| \geq \frac{1}{800 b_{n}^{2}}$ be the lower bound of $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{s})$.
Now, we can estimate the integral $S_{n}$ appearing in (12) as follows:
We get by [Balanzario, 1998 ] that : $\left|S_{n}\right| \geq \frac{e^{-2\left(\log x_{n}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}}}}{1600}$
We shall use this lower bound for $S_{n}$ appearing in (12). Now consider the other factor in (12), we get by [Balanzario , 1998]:
$\frac{\sin \frac{\pi}{2} \alpha_{n}}{\pi} x^{2-a_{n}} \quad\left(\frac{1}{\log x}\right)^{\frac{\alpha_{n}}{2}+1} \geq \frac{x^{2}}{\pi} e^{-\left(\log x_{n}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}}} \frac{1}{2(\log x)^{2}\left(\log \log x_{n}\right)^{2}}$
Now, we can estimate the equation (12) as follows :
$\left|J_{n}\right| \geq \frac{x^{2}}{\pi 1600} \cdot \frac{e^{-3\left(\log x_{n}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}}}}{4(\log x)^{4}} \geq \frac{10^{-5}}{(\log x)^{4}} \quad e^{-3(\log x)^{\frac{1}{3}}}$.
We already know that $\left|J_{n}\right|$ is large, but still it can be that $\Re\left(J_{n}\right)=0$.
Now, let us recall here equation (12), where $\mathrm{x}=\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}\left(1+\frac{\theta_{1}}{\log x_{n}}\right),\left|\theta_{1}\right|<1$. Then , we get by [ Balanzario , 1998 ]:
$\mathfrak{R}\left(J_{n}\right) \geq \frac{10^{-5}}{2(\log x)^{4}} \quad e^{-c(\log x)^{\frac{1}{3}}}, \mathrm{c}>0 \quad$ if $\mathrm{x} \geq \mathrm{X}_{1}$ and $\theta_{1}=\theta(+)$ and $\Re\left(J_{n}\right) \leq-\frac{10^{-5}}{2(\log x)^{4}} \quad e^{-c(\log x)^{\frac{1}{3}}}, \mathrm{c}>0 \quad$ if $\mathrm{x} \geq \mathrm{X}_{1}$ and $\theta_{1}=\theta(-)$.
These inequalities and the equation :
$M_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathrm{x})=2 \emptyset(1) \mathrm{x}^{2}+2 \Re\left(J_{n}\right)+\mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{x}^{2} e^{-(\log x)^{\frac{3}{4}}}\right)$,
imply relation : $M_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathrm{x})=2 \emptyset(1) \mathrm{x}^{2}+\Omega_{ \pm}\left(\mathrm{x}^{2} e^{-c_{0}(\log x)^{\frac{1}{3}}}\right), \mathrm{c}_{0}>0 \quad$.
Now, we need the following trick to move from $\mathrm{M}(\mathrm{x})$ into $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathrm{x})$.
Lemma (5): Suppose that $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathrm{x}) \in S_{1}^{+}$. Let $M_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathrm{x})=\int_{1}^{x} N(t) d t$, then for every $0<y<x$, we have :
$\frac{M_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathrm{x})-M_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathrm{x}-\mathrm{y})}{y} \leq \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathrm{x}) \quad \leq \frac{M_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathrm{x}+\mathrm{y})-M_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathrm{x})}{y}$

## Proof :

Let $\mathrm{M}(\mathrm{x})=\mathrm{c} \mathrm{x}^{2}+\mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{x}^{2} e^{-\lambda(\log x)^{\alpha}}\right)$ for some $\lambda>0$, then
$\mathrm{M}(\mathrm{x})-\frac{c}{2} \mathrm{x}^{2}=\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{g}(\mathrm{x}))$ such that $\mathrm{g}(\mathrm{x})=\mathrm{x}^{2} e^{-\lambda(\log x)^{\alpha}}$.
Therefore $\left|M(x)-\frac{c}{2} x^{2}\right|=O(g(x))$.
Since the function $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathrm{x})$ is increasing function, so for every $0<\mathrm{y}<\mathrm{x}$, we have :
$\int_{0}^{x} \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{P}}(t) d t-\int_{0}^{x-y} \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{P}}(t) d t=\int_{x-y}^{x} \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{P}}(t) d t \leq$ y $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{P}}(x)$
On the other hand ,

$$
\int_{0}^{x+y} \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{P}}(t) d t-\int_{0}^{x} \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{P}}(t) d t=\int_{x}^{x+y} \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{P}}(t) d t \geq \text { y } \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{P}}(x)
$$

Therefore from (15) and (16), we get:
$\frac{M_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathrm{x})-M_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathrm{x}-\mathrm{y})}{y} \leq \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathrm{x}) \leq \frac{M_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathrm{x}+\mathrm{y})-M_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathrm{x})}{y}$
This is sufficient to show that: if $M_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathrm{x})=\rho \mathrm{x}^{2}+\mathrm{E}\left(\mathrm{x}^{2}\right)$ for some $\rho>0$, then $\quad M_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathrm{x})=\rho_{1} \mathrm{x}+\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{x}), \quad \rho_{1}>0$.

## Appendix :

Moreover, if we have $\alpha=\frac{2}{3}$, then we could get with the following setting :
$\mathrm{K}=4$
$\mathrm{n}_{0}=3$
$\mathrm{x}=e^{10}$
$\left.\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{n}}=\exp \left\{\left(\log \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)^{2 / 3}\right)\right\}, \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{n}}=\frac{1}{\log b_{n}}=\frac{1}{\left(\log x_{n}\right)^{\frac{2}{3}}}=\left(\log \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)^{-2 / 3}$,
$x_{n+1}=\exp \left\{\left(\log x_{n}\right)^{2}\right\} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \log x_{n+1}=\left(\log x_{n}\right)^{2} \quad$ and
$\left.\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{n}}=\exp \left\{\left(\log \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)^{3 / 4}\right)\right\} \quad, \quad \alpha_{\mathrm{n}}=\frac{2}{n^{2}}, \alpha=\sum_{n>n_{0}} \alpha_{n}$.
We see that by a previous steps that:

$$
\Pi_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathrm{x})=\mathrm{li}(\mathrm{x})+\mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{x} e^{-2(\log x)^{\frac{2}{3}}}\right)
$$

$\mathrm{M}(\mathrm{x})=2 \emptyset(1) \mathrm{x}^{2}+\Omega_{ \pm}\left(\mathrm{x}^{2} e^{-c_{0}(\log x)^{\frac{2}{3}}}\right), \mathrm{c}_{0}>0$
and hence $\quad \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathrm{x})=\rho \mathrm{x}+\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{x}) \quad, \quad \rho>0$.
Apart from that if we draw a diagram of $\alpha-\beta$ space we would get :


Figure (1)
Show the relation between $\alpha$ and $\beta$
$\mathrm{a}_{1}$ related to Balanzario $\alpha=\beta=\frac{1}{2}, \mathrm{~b}_{1}=\frac{1}{3}$ and $\mathrm{b}_{2}=\frac{2}{3}$, this means $\alpha=\beta=\frac{1}{3}$ and $\alpha=\beta=\frac{2}{3}$ respectively.
As a result we have seen the error term of $\Pi_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathrm{x})$ linked with the error term of $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{P}}$ (x) by zeta function $\zeta_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathrm{s})$.

## Future work

Assume that (5) holds with $\frac{1}{2} \leq \alpha \leq 1 \quad$ (*)
Question ( ${ }^{* *}$ ) : given (*) could we get $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathrm{x})=\rho \mathrm{x}+\mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{x} e^{-c(\log x)^{\beta}}\right)$ $\beta \leq \frac{1}{2}$ for any $\alpha$ ?
Note that if Question ( ${ }^{* *}$ ) holds this means that it is possible to get :
$\Pi_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathrm{x})=\operatorname{li}(\mathrm{x})+\mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{x} e^{-c(\log x)^{\frac{1}{2}}}\right), \mathrm{c}>0$
and $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathrm{x})=\rho \mathrm{x}+\mathrm{o}(\mathrm{x}), \quad \rho>0$. This will show that Riemann Hypothesis is closed to be true .
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