Journal of Spices and Aromatic Crops Vol. 22 (2) : 120–126 (2013) www.indianspicesociety.in/josac/index.php/josac

Efficiency of sulphate of potash (SOP) as an alternate source of potassium for black pepper (*Piper nigrum* L.)

V Srinivasan*, K Kandiannan & S Hamza

Indian Institute of Spices Research, Kozhikode-673 012, Kerala, India. *E-mail: vsrinivasan@spices.res.in

Received 8 August 2012; Revised 31 January 2013; Accepted 12 February 2013

Abstract

Field experiments were conducted at two locations, *viz.*, Kannur (Kerala) and Chettalli (Kodagu, Karnataka) for evaluating the efficacy of sulphate of potash (SOP) as a source of potassium (K) on black pepper. There were nine treatment combinations *viz.*, T_1 -Control, T_2 -Recommended (Rec.) K as muriate of potash (MOP), T_3 -Rec. K as SOP, T_4 -125% of Rec. K as SOP, T_5 - T_3 + SOP 2% foliar spray, T_6 -50% of Rec. K as SOP + SOP 2% foliar spray, T_7 -50% of Rec. K as SOP, T_8 - T_2 + Mg @ 25 kg ha⁻¹ as MgSO₄ and T_9 - T_3 + Mg @ 25 kg ha⁻¹ as MgSO₄ laid out in a randomized block design with three replications. The results showed that soil nutrient status in treatment with 25.0% higher recommended K as SOP recorded significantly higher concentrations of soil organic carbon, K, Ca, S, Zn and Cu. The study further revealed that for economic yield of high quality black pepper, 50% of recommended dose of K as SOP for soils of high K status and 100% recommended K as SOP + 2% foliar spray of SOP for soil of low K status can be recommended.

Keywords: economics, nutrition, oleoresin, Piper nigrum, piperine, sulphate of potash

Introduction

Area in India under black pepper is 1,83,778 ha with a production of 51,990 tonnes in 2010–11. Although black pepper is native to India, its productivity is low compared to other growing countries and the reasons attributed are poor available soil nutrient status and inadequate nutrient supply and management (Sadanandan *et al.* 2002; Srinivasan *et al.* 2007). Soil survey in major pepper growing regions indicated that soils are low to medium in exchangeable K (Hamza *et al.* 2007). Potassium plays a pivotal role in growth, yield and quality of black pepper and it is essential to supplement it with appropriate K sources (Sadanandan & Hamza 2001). An earlier study indicated that sulphate of potash (SOP) has positively influenced yield and quality of bush pepper (IISR 1996). As SOP is manufactured through physical separation from natural deposits, it can very well fit into organic production package. The aim of the present study is to evaluate SOP under different production systems of black pepper (mono-crop and mixed crop) on yield, quality and economic feasibility.

Materials and methods

The field experiments were conducted in typical black pepper producing areas from 2004 to 2007. Two locations *viz.*, one representing plains and



Sulphate of potash on black pepper

mono-crop situation of black pepper at Kannur District of Kerala and another representing higher elevation as mixed cropping with coffee at Chettalli, Kodagu district of Karnataka were chosen for the study. The soil of Kannur is a *Typic Kandiustult* with clayey, skeletal, kaolinitic, well drained, very deep, surface loamy, gravelly clayey on gentle slopes, moderate-well eroded (Krishnan et al. 1996). The Kodagu soil is deep, well drained and clayey with medium available water holding capacity on foot hill slopes with moderately-well drained fine sandy clay, kaolinitic, Kandic Paleustalf (Shiva et al. 1998). There were nine treatment combinations viz., T₁-Control, T₂-Recommended (Rec.) K as muriate of potash (MOP), T₃-Rec. K as SOP, T₄ -125% of Rec. K as SOP, $T_5 - T_3 + SOP 2\%$ foliar spray, $T_6 -50\%$ of Rec. K as SOP + SOP 2% foliar spray, $T_7 -50\%$ of Rec. K as SOP, $T_8 - T_2 + Mg @$ 25 kg ha⁻¹ as MgSO₄ and $T_9 - T_3 + Mg @ 25$ kg ha⁻¹ as MgSO₄ laid out in a randomized block design with three replications. The Recommended NPK was 140, 55, 270 g per vine in Kannur (soil with low organic matter) and 100, 40, 140 g per vine in Kodagu (soil with high organic matter). Nitrogen and phosphorus were applied as urea and rock phosphate. Estimation of major, secondary and micro nutrients and forms of K was done on soil and leaf samples collected from both the experimental fields, following standard

analytical procedures (Sparks 1996). Yield was recorded and quality attributes (oleoresin and piperine) were analyzed as per standard methods (ASTA 1968). The data were analyzed statistically and interpreted. The cost of cultivation of black pepper was worked out for different treatments. The standard package of practices was followed at both the places and the basic cost of cultivation for the standard package was considered as Rs. 32,230. The dry yield was calculated per hectare basis (considering 1000 nos. of vines per ha) and the price of Rs. 235 (average price of five years) was considered as the price of black pepper produce to workout the gross and net return for different treatments. From the returns, the benefit: cost ratio was worked out.

Results and discussion

Effect on soil availability of nutrients

The mean soil nutrient status at Kannur and Kodagu is given in Tables 1 & 2. Results showed that at Kannur, the treatment with 25% higher recommended K as SOP recorded significantly higher concentration of OC, K, Ca, S, Zn and Cu. The control treatment showed the lowest concentration of soil K, Mg, S and Cu. The highest N content was observed in control and P in recommended K as SOP with foliar

 Table 1. Effect of sulphate of potash on soil nutrient concentration under black pepper at Kannur (mean of three years)

Treatment	pН	OC (%) ◀	N	Р	Κ	Ca	Mg	Fe	S	Mn	Zn	Cu
		(70)					(mg kg ⁻¹)					
T ₁	5.4	1.56	183	41	247	789	157	31	43	18	2.3	13
T ₂	5.6	1.40	115	44	351	766	162	33	49	25	2.5	17
T ₃	5.5	1.50	90	38	412	767	201	29	119	24	2.4	16
T_4	5.5	2.15	105	38	506	923	169	31	207	23	3.8	24
T ₅	5.3	1.65	115	49	359	748	163	39	152	26	2.0	19
T ₆	4.9	1.63	105	27	371	786	163	43	72	26	2.5	20
T ₇	5.5	1.93	95	34	339	910	167	36	53	22	2.4	16
T ₈	4.9	1.75	103	37	269	649	239	38	80	19	1.7	15
T ₉	5.6	1.62	90	34	389	723	310	30	154	17	2.9	15
CD (P<0.05)	0.42	0.16	77	13	65	137	35	4.7	21	3.9	0.62	4.9

T₁=Control; T₂=Recommended K as MOP; T₃=Recommended K as SOP; T₄=125% of recommended K as SOP; T₅=T₃ + SOP 2% foliar spray; T₆=50% of recommended K as SOP + SOP 2% foliar spray; T₇=50% of recommended K as SOP; T₈=T₂ + Mg @ 25 kg ha⁻¹ as Mg SO₄; T₉=T₃ + Mg @ 25 kg ha⁻¹ as Mg SO₄

Treatment	рН	OC	N	Р	Κ	Ca	Mg	S	Fe	Mn	Zn	Cu
		(%)	•			((mg kg-1)					
T ₁	4.47	3.4	133	90	236	839	179	60	59	30	4.0	39
T ₂	4.11	3.3	161	101	533	823	142	70	65	37	4.3	36
T ₃	4.36	3.2	134	89	569	848	178	279	61	30	3.1	38
T_4	4.13	3.1	151	100	905	732	169	304	55	35	3.9	37
T ₅	4.00	3.2	155	92	651	813	150	310	59	37	4.1	28
Τ ₆	3.72	3.3	153	87	543	809	148	198	69	36	3.6	34
T ₇	3.91	3.4	161	94	479	948	225	176	60	37	4.1	33
T ₈	4.11	3.1	141	94	595	729	237	70	69	35	3.8	32
T ₉	3.89	3.1	143	92	557	817	308	159	62	35	4.2	33
CD(P<0.05)	0.47	NS	27	NS	78	145	37	41	9.5	NS	NS	6.2

 Table 2. Effect of sulphate of potash on soil nutrient concentration under black pepper at Kodagu (mean of three years)

 $T_1=Control; T_2=Recommended K as MOP; T_3=Recommended K as SOP; T_4=125\% of recommended K as SOP; T_5=T_3 + SOP 2\% foliar spray; T_6=50\% of recommended K as SOP + SOP 2\% foliar spray; T_7=50\% of recommended K as SOP; T_8=T_2 + Mg @ 25 kg ha⁻¹ as Mg SO₄; T_9=T_3 + Mg @ 25 kg ha⁻¹ as Mg SO₄$

supplementation. $MgSO_4$ applied treatments (T₉ followed by T₈) showed the highest concentration of Mg. When compared over years (data not shown), there was a significant reduction in soil pH, OC, Ca, Mg, Mn, S and Zn contents which might be due to the crop uptake.

At Kodagu, the K concentration was highest in 25% higher recommended K as SOP (T_4), similar to that of Kannur. The pH reduced significantly in SOP treatments as compared to MOP treatments. Roemheld (1983) also reported that application of MOP increased the pH and SOP decreased the pH around the roots of young maize plants. Soil Ca availability was highest in T_{τ} and the MgSO₄ applied treatments recorded higher soil Mg availability. Highest S content was noted in T₅ which was on par with T_4 and T_3 and treatment T_6 showed the highest soil Fe on par with $T_{7'}$, T_8 and T_9 . Over the years, continuous application of fertilizers showed a significant increase in all the major, secondary and micronutrients in the third year as compared to the initial years. The soil K, Ca and Zn contents showed gradual increase over years with the highest buildup in the third year and that of S was maintained over the years (data not shown).

Effect on leaf nutrient concentrations

The mean leaf nutrient status at Kannur and

Kodagu is depicted in Tables 3 & 4 respectively. At Kannur, the leaf nutrient concentration varied significantly with respect to all nutrients except Mn, Zn & Cu. Leaf N content was highest in T_s which was on par with T_1 , T_2 , T_3 T_7 and T_9 . Highest leaf P was noted in the treatments T_2 and T_4 . Highest K content was noted in T_5 (2.42%) which was on par with T_4 and T_{o} (2.20%). De Waard (1969) reported a critical level of K up to 2% whereas, Sadanandan et al. (1996) noted 1.2% to 2.8% as the optimum leaf K level in pepper. The control showed the lowest concentration of leaf P and K. The maximum concentration of leaf Ca, Mg and Fe was noted in the control. When compared over years, there was a significant reduction in leaf P status over years as compared to first year in spite of soil P buildup. The leaf K content increased significantly over years with the highest in the third year. Even though the leaf Ca content was reduced significantly during the second year, it showed an increase in the third year. Fe, Mn, Zn and Cu contents in the leaf showed a reduction in the third year (data not shown).

At Kodagu, the treatment T_3 (recommended K as SOP) registered the maximum content of leaf N, P & K (2.83%, 0.20% and 2.42%, respectively). The N content of T_3 was comparable with that of other treatments except

	c yeard)								
Treatment	Ν	Р	Κ	Ca	Mg	Fe	Mn	Zn	Cu
meatment			—(%) —			•	(mg	kg-1) —	
T ₁	2.59	0.29	1.94	1.59	0.35	269	245	16	16
T ₂	2.63	0.39	2.03	1.35	0.34	257	190	17	16
T ₃	2.55	0.34	2.11	1.55	0.29	251	266	16	16
T_4	2.37	0.39	2.20	1.36	0.28	252	243	16	15
T ₅	2.36	0.30	2.42	1.39	0.31	263	239	16	16
T ₆	2.44	0.30	2.03	1.43	0.33	243	254	17	16
T ₇	2.62	0.31	1.96	1.35	0.30	219	242	16	16
T ₈	2.64	0.33	2.08	1.28	0.32	248	226	16	16
T ₉	2.56	0.33	2.20	1.25	0.95	240	240	16	17
CD(P<0.05)	0.19	0.07	0.22	0.18	0.05	41	NS	NS	NS

Table 3. Effect of sulphate of potash on leaf nutrient concentration of black pepper at Kannur (Mean of three years)

Table 4. Effect of sulphate of potash on leaf nutrient concentration of black pepper at Kodagu (mean of three years)

	5 /								
Treatment	Ν	Р	K	Ca	Mg	Fe	Mn	Zn	Cu
meatiment	•		— (%) —			•	(mg	kg-1) —	
T ₁	2.84	0.20	2.16	1.64	0.28	125	371	19	223
T ₂	2.81	0.19	2.35	1.58	0.26	115	433	27	281
T ₃	2.83	0.20	2.42	1.53	0.28	114	449	22	209
T_4	2.71	0.18	2.31	1.46	0.29	121	444	21	264
T ₅	2.82	0.19	2.01	1.52	0.27	118	446	26	270
T ₆	2.83	0.19	2.09	1.43	0.23	114	474	20	291
T ₇	2.80	0.19	1.88	1.49	0.25	110	365	20	270
T ₈	2.78	0.17	1.70	1.49	0.27	108	365	22	290
T ₉	2.62	0.19	1.83	1.64	0.27	115	410	21	269
CD(P<0.05)	0.15	NS	0.30	0.19	0.03	NS	78	6.1	52

T₁=Control; T₂=Recommended K as MOP; T₃=Recommended K as SOP; T₄=125% of recommended K as SOP; T₅=T₃ + SOP 2% foliar spray; T₆=50% of recommended K as SOP + SOP 2% foliar spray; T₇=50% of recommended K as SOP; T₈=T₂ + Mg @ 25 kg ha⁻¹ as Mg SO₄; T₉=T₃ + Mg @ 25 kg ha⁻¹ as Mg SO₄

 T_9 . Similar to the Kannur, the highest content of leaf Ca, Mg and Fe was observed in the control. Sadanandan *et al.* (2002) also observed a negative correlation of leaf K with Mg. Treatment T6 showed higher Mn content while T_2 recorded the maximum leaf content of Zn and Cu.

Effect on yield of black pepper

At Kannur, the mean yield of three years was found to be on par among the treatments with the maximum of 2.22 kg standard⁻¹ in recommended K as SOP with 2% foliar spray (T_5) followed by recommended K as SOP + MgSO₄ and recommended K as MOP (Table 5). At Kodagu, highest mean yield of 2.74 kg standard⁻¹ was obtained for the treatment recommended K as SOP + 2% foliar spray (T_5) and was on par with 50% of the recommended K as SOP (T_7) and recommended K as SOP + MgSO₄ (T_9). The control recorded the lowest yield.

The mean dry yield in Kodagu revealed significantly highest yield in the treatment with recommended dose of K as SOP + 2% foliar spray (920 kg ha⁻¹) which was at par with 50% of the recommended K as SOP (860 kg ha⁻¹) and

Treatment	Mean yield (kg standard ⁻¹)	Oleores	sin (%)	Piperine (%)		
	Kannur	Kodagu	Kannur	Kodagu	Kannur	Kodagu	
T ₁	1.95	1.57	7.75	8.67	5.10	4.73	
T ₂	2.19	2.30	7.49	8.73	4.99	4.83	
T ₃	2.04	2.11	7.36	8.77	4.67	4.82	
T_4	2.11	2.34	7.55	8.99	4.71	4.75	
T ₅	2.22	2.74	7.93	10.20	5.11	6.02	
Τ ₆	2.17	2.15	7.72	8.89	5.02	5.32	
T ₇	2.01	2.59	7.47	9.16	5.19	5.87	
T ₈	1.94	2.11	7.99	8.99	5.09	5.72	
Τ,	2.20	2.53	7.83	8.05	4.21	4.71	
CD(P<0.05)	NS	0.29	0.43	1.20	0.38	0.37	

Table 5. Effect of sulphate of potash on yield and quality of black pepper (mean of three years)

T₁=Control; T₂=Recommended K as MOP; T₃=Recommended K as SOP; T₄=125% of recommended K as SOP; T₅=T₃ + SOP 2% foliar spray; T₆=50% of recommended K as SOP + SOP 2% foliar spray; T₇=50% of recommended K as SOP; T₈=T₂ + Mg @ 25 kg ha⁻¹ as Mg SO₄; T₉=T₃ + Mg @ 25 kg ha⁻¹ as Mg SO₄;

recommended K as SOP + MgSO₄ (840 kg ha⁻¹). This was followed by recommended MOP and 125% of K as SOP. But at Kannur, even though higher mean dry yield was recorded in T_2 , T_5 , T_6 and T_9 treatments, all treatments were on par (Table 7). The overall pooled mean dry yield over locations also revealed significantly higher yield in T_5 followed by T_9 and T_7 treatments. Yadav (2001) also observed that foliar application of potassium sulfate (1.5%) independently or in combination with urea at the pea stage of 8-year-old ber (cv. Umran) trees, improved fruit size, fruit weight, total soluble solids and total sugar. Earlier studies by Amin

 Table 6. Correlation of soil nutrient levels with yield and quality

June 1							
	Yield	Oleoresin	Piperine				
Oleoresin	0.342*	-	-				
Piperine	0.426**	0.844**	-				
Р	0.332*	-	-				
Κ	0.416**	-	-				
Ca	0.322*	-	-				
Mg	0.295*	-	-				
Fe	-	0.738**	0.537**				
Mn	0.294*	0.854**	0.662**				
Zn	0.370**	0.301*	0.269*				
S	0.361**	0.405**	0.324*				

*Significant at p<0.05; **Significant at p<0.01.

(1997) also showed higher values for the different growth and yield parameters in spice crops like coriander, fennel and caraway with biofertilizer + half dose of the recommended K as potassium sulphate at par with the full dose (100 kg). Islam (1999) observed that among the sources of potassium, potassium sulphate was superior to other sources in respect of all growth attributes and yield with a 21% increase in yield over the most commonly used MOP in onion.

Effect on quality of black pepper

The oleoresin, piperine and oil content varied significantly at Kannur. The maximum oleoresin content was noted in T_8 (7.99%), which was on par with T_5 (7.93%), T_9 (7.83%), T_6 (7.72%) and control (7.75%). The highest piperine content was noted in T_7 (5.19%), which was on par with that of T_5 (5.11%), T_1 (5.10%) and T_8 (5.09%). The maximum oil content of 3.3% was noted in $T_{1'}$, T_2 and T_6 (data not shown).

At Kodagu, T_5 recorded significantly highest oleoresin (10.2%) which was on par with 50% T_7 (9.16%). The piperine content was also high in T_5 (6.02%) and it was on par with T_7 (5.87%) and T_8 (5.72%) (Table 5). The maximum oil content (2.57%) was recorded for T_1 which was on par with all other treatments except $T_{5'}$ T_6 & T_9 .

Sulphate of potash on black pepper

Treatment	Dry yield	l (kg ha ⁻¹)	Mean dry yield*	Net profit	B:C	
	Kannur	Kodagu	(kg ha ⁻¹)	(Rs. ha^{-1})	ratio	
T ₁	650	520	590	106420	3.30	
T ₂	730	760	750	141770	4.11	
T ₃	690	700	700	122270	2.90	
T ₄	710	780	760	133870	2.99	
T_5	740	920	830	150420	3.37	
T ₆	730	710	720	129570	3.27	
T ₇	670	860	770	143720	3.86	
T ₈	650	700	680	124070	3.47	
T ₉	740	840	790	142170	3.27	
CD(P<0.05)	NS	95	60			

 Table 7. Effect of potassium doses on mean yield, net profit and B: C ratio of black pepper (pooled over locations)

T₁=Control; T₂=Recommended K as MOP; T₃=Recommended K as SOP; T₄=125% of recommended K as SOP; T₅=T₃ + SOP 2% foliar spray; T₆=50% of recommended K as SOP + SOP 2% foliar spray; T₇=50% of recommended K as SOP; T₈=T₂ + Mg @ 25 kg ha⁻¹ as Mg SO₄; T₉=T₃ + Mg @ 25 kg ha⁻¹ as Mg SO₄;

The quality parameters when pooled over locations also showed similar pattern (data not shown) with recommended K as SOP + foliar spray (T_{z}) and 50% of recommended K as SOP (T_{7}) recording significantly highest piperine followed by T_8 . The lowest content of 4.4% was recorded in T₉ and the remaining treatments were also on par with control. In case of oleoresin, T₅ recorded significantly highest content (9.07%) followed by T_{g} and $T_{\tau'}$ which were on par with other treatments. Horst *et al*. (2002) obtained best results in terms of internal quality in coffee by applying potassium sulphate at a dose of 200 kg K ha⁻¹. Studies by Sadanandan et al. (2002) also reported that among different sources of K, potassium sulphate was a better source than potassium chloride or nitrate in optimizing the yield, increasing the berry size and oleoresin content of black pepper.

The correlation studies on soil nutrient availability with yield and quality showed that many of the major, secondary and micro nutrients had significant positive correlation with yield and quality (Table 6). Yield showed highly significant positive correlation (0.416**) with available K followed by soil Zn and S. In addition, soil available P, Ca, Mg and Mn also showed positive correlation with yield. The quality parameters like piperine and oleoresin were mainly influenced by the availability of micronutrients like Fe, Mn, S and Zn. Among these, Mn and Fe showed significantly highest positive influence on oleoresin (0.854** and 0.738**) and piperine (0.662** and 0.537**).

Economics

At Kannur, application of recommended dose of K as MOP (T_2) was found to be the most profitable treatment with a net return of Rs. 1,37,070 followed by T_6 and T_9 with net returns of Rs. 1,31,920 and Rs. 1,30,420, respectively. At Kodagu, application of T_5 was found to be the most profitable treatment with a net return of Rs. 1,71,570 followed by 50% of recommended dose of K as SOP (T_7) and T_9 with net returns of Rs. 1,64,870 and Rs. 1,53,920, respectively (data not shown).

The economics of overall pooled mean dry yield over locations revealed that recommended dose of K as SOP + 2% foliar spray (T_5) recorded highest net return of Rs. 1,50,420 followed by T_7 , T_9 and T_2 treatments (Table 7). But the benefit cost ratio was found to be high in MOP treatment (T_2 , 4.11) followed by 50% of recommended K as SOP (T_7 , 3.86). Even though the SOP treatments have yielded higher with high net profit, the benefit cost ratio was less compared to MOP treatment because of its higher cost (Rs. 20 kg⁻¹) as compared to that of MOP (Rs. 4.5 kg⁻¹). However, SOP can be used as a supplement for K under certified organic farming systems and a premium price for the black pepper may be expected for the certified organic produce to compensate for the higher price of the input.

The study revealed that for higher yield and quality of black pepper, 50% of recommended dose of K as SOP for soils with high K status and 100% recommended K as SOP + 2% foliar spray for soil with low K status will be optimum as an alternate source of K fertilizer.

Acknowledgments

We gratefully acknowledge M/s Indian Potash Limited (IPL), New Delhi for funding this project. The keen interest shown by Dr Wolfgang Maibaum, Dr. Andrease Gransee and Dr George Elbert of M/s. Kali Und Salz of Germany is greatly acknowledged. Our thanks to M/S West India Plywood Ltd, Kannur and Central Coffee Research Institute, Sub-station, Chettali, Kodagu, Karnataka for their help in conducting field trials.

References

- Amin I S 1997 Effect of bio- and chemical fertilization on growth and production of *Coriandrum sativum*, *Foeniculum vulgare* and *Carum carvi* L. plants. Annals Agri. Sci. 35: 2327–2334.
- ASTA 1968 Official Analytical Methods. Edn. 2, American Spice Trade Associations, New York, p.38.
- De Waard P W F 1969 Foliar diagnosis, nutrition and yield stability of black pepper (*Piper nigrum* L.) in Sarawak. Comm. No. 58, Royal Tropical Institute Amsterdam, The Netherlands, p.150.
- Hamza S, Srinivasan V & Dinesh R 2007 Nutrient diagnosis of black pepper (*Piper nigrum* L.) gardens in Kerala and Karnataka. J. Spices Arom. Crops 16: 77–81.
- Horst W J, Schenk M K, Bürkert A, Claassen N, Flessa H, Frommer W B, Goldbach H, Olfs H W, Römheld V, Sattelmacher B, Schmidhalter U, Schubert S, Wirén N V & Wittenmayer L 2002 Nutritional aspects of high quality production of *Arabica* coffee, Dev. Plant Soil Sci. 92: 322– 323.
- IISR 1996 Annual Report 1995–96. Indian Institute of Spices Research, Kozhikode, Kerala, p.52.
- Islam M A 1999 Growth and yield response of onion to different sources of potassium

and application methods I Growth behaviour. Thai J. Agric. Sci. 32: 391–400.

- Krishnan P, Venugopal K R, Sehgal J 1996 Soil resources of Kerala for land use planning. NBSS Publ. 48b (Soils of India series 10) National Bureau of Soil Survey and Land Use Planning, Nagpur, India, p.54.
- Roemheld V 1983 pH changes in the rhizosphere depending on nutrient supply. Landwirtschaftliche Forschung 36, Sonderheft, 40: 226–230.
- Sadanandan A K, Bhargava B S & Hamza S 1996 Leaf nutrient norms for black pepper (*Piper nigrum* L.) using DRIS. J. Plantn. Crops 24: 53–59.
- Sadanandan A K & Hamza S 2001 Potassium nutrition affects soil and leaf K concentrations, yield and quality of Indian spices. In: Pasricha N S, Bansal S & Bijay Singh (Eds.), Proc. Κ International Symposium on importance of Potassium in Nutrient Management for Sustainable Crop Production in India, International Potash Institute & Potash Research Institute of India, Haryana, India, 3-5, December, pp.405-407.
- Sadanandan A K, Peter K V & Hamza S 2002 Role of potassium in improving yield and quality of spice crops in India. In: Pasricha N S & Bansal S K (Eds.), Potassium for sustainable crop production, Potash Research Institute of India, Gurgaon and International Potash Institute, Switzerland, pp.445– 454.
- Srinivasan V, Dinesh R, Hamza S & Parthasarathy V A 2007 Nutrient management for black pepper (*Piper nigrum* L.). CAB Reviews: Perspective in Agriculture, Veterinary Science, Nutrition and Natural Resources.
- Shiva P C R, Reddy R S, Seghal J & Velayutham M 1998 Soils of Karnataka for optimizing land use. Soils of India series 10, No 47, National Bureau of Soil Science and Land Use Planning, Nagpur, India, p.111.
- Sparks D L 1996 Methods of Soil Analysis, Chemical methods. Part 3, Soil Science Society of America, Madison, Wisconsin, USA, p.1390.
- Yadav P K 2001 Note on foliar application of urea and potassium sulphate on yield and quality of ber. Curr. Agri. 25: 129– 130.

126