

## Analysis of spatial variability of turmeric (*Curcuma longa* L. syn. *C. domestica* Val.) yield in India

K Kandiannan\*, K K Chandaragiri, M Govindaswamy, P Subbian & N Sankaran

Department of Agronomy  
Centre for Soil and Crop Management Studies  
Tamil Nadu Agricultural University  
Coimbatore – 641 003, Tamil Nadu, India.

Received 27 March 2002; Revised 05 October 2002; Accepted 27 November 2002

### Abstract

This paper examines the yield variability of turmeric in India. The data on maximum, minimum and mean fresh rhizome yield of 200 experiments from secondary sources of published research papers/reports were collected. These data were utilized with an objective to calculate summary statistics and to identify maximum and minimum yields and yield differences obtained in each state. The summary statistics indicated that in India maximum yield ranged between 4.59 and 95.0 t ha<sup>-1</sup> with a mean of 28.48 ± 14.29 t ha<sup>-1</sup>. The minimum yield ranged between 1.34 and 56.25 t ha<sup>-1</sup> with a mean of 13.73 ± 18.60 t ha<sup>-1</sup> and the mean yield ranged from 3.09 to 72.68 t ha<sup>-1</sup> with a mean of 22.99 ± 11.60 t ha<sup>-1</sup>. The maximum yield obtained in all the cases was due to adoption of improved production techniques such as variety, manure and better crop management practices compared to minimum yield of control treatment. The yield differences between maximum and minimum ranged from 6.69 to 93.50 t ha<sup>-1</sup>.

**Key words:** crop production, crop yield, *Curcuma longa*, spatial variation, turmeric.

Agriculture is a spatial and temporal activity. However, there is a growing interest in placing site-specific information in a spatial and temporal perspective. The analysis of yield variability is an important issue in agricultural research. Geographical Information System (GIS) and Global Positioning System (GPS) are used for Site Specific Management (SSM), Precision Agriculture (PA) and yield monitoring and mapping in many countries. Such a system uses data from multiple sources. India has to go a long way to use such advanced system for crop production. Instead, compilation and analysis of results generated in different centers located in different agro-ecoregions of India will give useful informa-

tion regarding production potential of crops or attainable yield or information for site-specific management. In India, we have the well developed agricultural research network, but information generated from different research centers is not properly compiled and analyzed to draw meaningful conclusions.

Turmeric is one of the important spices grown and used in India since time immemorial. It is cultivated in 1.61 lakh ha in more than 200 districts spread over 20 states with a production of 6.54 lakh tones and a productivity of 4052 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>. The export during 1999-2000 was to the tune of Rs. 9106 lakh (34500 tones). Kumar & Sankaran (1998) noted the instability of turmeric yield and production in India.

\*Present address: Indian Institute of Spices Research, Calicut - 673 012.

They suggested that developing appropriate production techniques suitable to different agro-climatic zones would stabilize the yield and production. The information generated on this crop, particularly on production aspects from different research centers, are available in large numbers. In this paper we have attempted to compile and analyse the turmeric yield reported from different research centers with an objective to calculate summary statistics and to study the yield variability among different states of India.

The secondary data on fresh rhizome yield of turmeric ( $t\ ha^{-1}$ ) were collected from 200 research papers, published in different journals and annual reports (all are not listed in the reference list). The data were collected with maximum care and caution and we have covered important journals where turmeric research results are usually being published. The annual reports of the institutes involved in turmeric research were also surveyed. Wherever the yields were not given on hectare basis (eg. yield per plant or per plot), they were converted to hectare. While converting, 25% of the land area was not accounted as it might have been used for forming channel, bunds etc. When the yield was given in dried product (14% moisture content), it was converted to fresh yield by assuming 80% moisture at raw. In some cases yield data were based on one year trial. The yield data were collected from research centers located in Andhra Pradesh (Anantharajupet, Chintapalli, Jagtial, Maruteru, Pedapalam, Rastakuntabai, Rudrur and Tirupati); Assam (Dipbu); Bihar (Dholi and Pusa); Gujarat (Navasari); Himachal Pradesh (Dhaulakuan and Solan); Karnataka (Bangalore, Dharwad, Mudigere and Sirsi); Kerala (Ambalavayal, Kannara, Kasargod, Peruvannamuzhi, Pilicode, Trichur and Vellanikara); Madhya Pradesh (Jagdalpur, Raigarh and Sehore); Maharashtra (Dapoli, Parbhani, Pune, Rahuri and Sangli); Meghalaya (Shillong); Mizoram (Kolasib); Nagaland (Medziphema); Orissa (Pottangi and Udayagiri); Punjab (Ludhiana); Tamil Nadu (Coimbatore and Bhavanisagar); Tripura (Lembucherra);

Uttar Pradesh (Almora, Nainital and Pithoragarh) and West Bengal (Kalyani). These data were used to derive summary statistics (mean, median, mode, range and SD) by using Microsoft Excel computer package. The maximum and minimum yields and yield differences in each state were identified.

The summary statistics is presented in Table 1. The maximum yield ranged from 4.59 to 95.0  $t\ ha^{-1}$  with a mean of  $28.48 \pm 14.29$ . The minimum yield ranged between 1.34 and 56.25  $t\ ha^{-1}$  with a mean of  $13.73 \pm 18.60$  and the mean yield ranged from 3.09 to 72.68  $t\ ha^{-1}$  with a mean of  $22.99 \pm 11.60$ . The crop is distributed and adapted across the fields according to its ecological niche. Turmeric prefers a warm and humid climate. It needs a well distributed annual rainfall of 2500-4000 mm for successful production as a rainfed crop. It can also be grown under irrigation in areas where the rainfall ranges from 1200 to 1500 mm during the growing period. The crop grows up to an altitude of 1200 m MSL. It needs an optimum temperature of 20 – 30°C for normal growth and satisfactory production. Turmeric can be grown on soils ranging from light loam to heavy loam. A well drained, friable, sandy loam soil, rich in organic matter is preferred. Light red, brown or ash coloured sandy loam is also suitable for turmeric. Alkaline soils are not suited for its cultivation. The yield of the crop in a particular field/location is a result of an integrated effect of genotype, soil, climate and management. It is very difficult to quantify the ultimate yield potential of crop under field conditions.

The maximum and minimum yields recorded in different states are presented in Table 2. The maximum yield of 95  $t\ ha^{-1}$  (38 kg per 3  $m^2$  bed) was recorded at Muvattupuzha, Ernakulam District, Kerala during 1992-93 with Acc. No. 364 (Sasikumar *et al.* 1996). This region is one of the well known source for the world famous Alleppey turmeric. The maximum yield of 37.1  $t\ ha^{-1}$  was observed with a short duration accession JTS 610 at Jagtial, Andhra Pradesh (AICRPS 2000). In Tamil Nadu, the higher yield was noted in Acc. No. 364 (68.75  $t\ ha^{-1}$ )

**Table 1.** Summary statistics of turmeric fresh rhizome yield in India

Statistics	Maximum yield (t ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Minimum yield (t ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Mean yield (t ha <sup>-1</sup> )
Maximum	95.00	56.25	72.68
Minimum	04.59	01.34	3.09
Mean	28.48	13.73	22.99
Median	28.72	15.25	22.16
Mode	25.20	14.60	05.65
Standard deviation	14.29	18.60	11.60

(27.5 kg per 3 m<sup>2</sup> bed) (Sasikumar *et al.* 1996). In Himachal Pradesh, line ST-55 yielded maximum (22.19 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) (Rana & Rattan 1994). The higher yield of 15.8 t ha<sup>-1</sup> was harvested from cultivar Kuchipudi at Tripura (Sasikumar & Saradana 1989). The accession RH 5 out yielded in the comparative yield trial in Bihar (43.29 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) and Madhya Pradesh (30.35 t ha<sup>-1</sup>). In Gujarat cultivar 'Kesar' recorded higher yield (10.86 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) (Mehta & Patel 1982). Using whole mother rhizome as a planting material,

higher yield of 49.16 t ha<sup>-1</sup> was observed in Maharashtra (Patil & Borse 1980). Planting turmeric at 30 cm x 30 cm spacing (closer spacing) gave maximum yield (39.33 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) at Kalyani, West Bengal (Kundu & Chatterjee 1982). Fertilizer application of 180:90:220 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> NPK for Co-1 turmeric at Mudigere, Karnataka resulted in higher yield (Sheshagiri & Uthaiiah 1994). Similarly in North Eastern states too higher yields were obtained with increased levels of N ranging from 60-160 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> (Govind *et al.* 1990; Pandey 1992; Singh *et al.* 1992; Borah & Longthesa 1994). In Orissa, application of 60 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> + *Azotobactor* + *Azospirillum* gave maximum yield (Jena *et al.* 1999). At Ludhiana, Punjab, application of 60 t FYM ha<sup>-1</sup> produced higher yield (Gill *et al.* 1999). The maximum yield was obtained at Nainital, Uttar Pradesh with 100 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> (Singh & Singh 1988). It could be seen from the results, that the maximum yields were recorded with improved technologies such as improved cultivars, appropriate manure appli-

**Table 2.** Maximum and minimum fresh rhizome yield (t ha<sup>-1</sup>) of turmeric and yield difference among different states of India

No. State	Max. yield (t ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Reference	Min. yield (t ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Reference	Yield difference (t ha <sup>-1</sup> )
1. Andhra Pradesh	37.10	AICRPS (2000)	3.59	Rao & Swamy (1984)	33.51
2. Assam	20.53	Borah & Langthesa (1994)	11.06	Borah & Langthesa (1994)	9.47
3. Bihar	43.29	AICRPS (2000)	11.82	AICRPS (2000)	31.46
4. Gujarat	10.86	Mehta & Patel (1982)	4.17	Mehta & Patel (1982)	6.69
5. Himachal Pradesh	29.22	Rana & Rattan (1994)	1.78	Jaswal <i>et al.</i> (1993)	27.44
6. Karnataka	39.70	Sheshagiri & Uthaiiah (1994)	6.78	Shashidhar <i>et al.</i> (1997)	32.91
7. Kerala	95.00	Sasikumar <i>et al.</i> (1996)	1.50	Pushkaran <i>et al.</i> (1985)	93.50
8. Madhya Pradesh	30.35	AICRPS (2000)	6.50	Gupta & Sengar (1998)	23.85
9. Maharashtra	49.16	Patil & Borse (1980)	9.39	Chaugle & Mohite (1962)	39.76
10. Meghalaya	22.80	Govind <i>et al.</i> (1990)	9.60	Govind <i>et al.</i> (1990)	13.20
11. Mizoram	33.00	Pandey (1992)	4.67	Saha (1988)	28.33
12. Nagaland	45.13	Singh <i>et al.</i> (1992)	11.15	Singh & Kar (1991)	33.98
13. Orissa	35.09	Jena <i>et al.</i> (1999)	2.48	Mishra <i>et al.</i> (1997)	32.61
14. Punjab	36.54	Gill <i>et al.</i> (1999)	3.45	Randhawa <i>et al.</i> (1984)	33.09
15. Tamil Nadu	68.75	Sasikumar <i>et al.</i> (1996)	4.57	Subramanian <i>et al.</i> (1978)	64.18
16. Tripura	24.80	Sasikumar & Sardana (1989)	6.88	Sasikumar & Sardana (1989)	17.93
17. Uttar Pradesh	11.63	Singh & Singh (1988)	4.37	Singh <i>et al.</i> (1988)	7.25
18. West Bengal	39.33	Kundu & Chatterjee (1982)	8.52	Pal <i>et al.</i> (1993)	30.80

cation and better crop management practices. The minimum yields in all the states were recorded under control treatment. The control treatment is probably the farmer's practices or existing technologies of respective locations. The yield under control was considered as the actual yield obtained by farmers. The yield realized with improved production techniques is attainable yield for that location. There is a gap between actual and attainable yield at different locations. In many states maximum and minimum yields were recorded at different periods and difference between them may not reflect the exact yield gap. However, the yield difference ranged from 6.69 to 93.50 t ha<sup>-1</sup>. The analysis indicated great variations in turmeric yield among different states. More efforts are required to spread and popularize the improved cultivars and production technologies among farmers of different states to stabilize turmeric yield and production in India.

### Acknowledgement

The senior author gratefully acknowledges the Director, Indian Institute of Spices Research (ICAR), Calicut for granting study leave for pursuing Ph. D. at Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore.

### References

- AICRPS 2000 Annual Report 1999-2000. All India Coordinated Research Project on Spices, Indian Institute of Spices Research, Calicut
- Borah P & Langthesa S 1994 Response of nitrogen on growth and yield of turmeric in the hill zone of Assam. *South Indian Hort.* 42 (5) : 318-320.
- Chaugule B A & Mohite B V 1962 Yield of turmeric in the broad ridge and ridge and furrow lay-outs with and without maize as an inter-crop. *Poona Agricultural College Magazine* 52 (3&4) : 14-17.
- Gill B S, Randhawa R S, Randhawa G S & Singh J 1999 Response of turmeric (*Curcuma longa* L.) to nitrogen in relation to application of farm yard manure and straw mulch. *J. Spices & Aromatic Crops* 8(2) : 211-214.
- Govind S, Gupta P N & Chandra R 1990 Response of N and P levels on growth and yield components of turmeric. *Indian J. Hort.* 47 (1) : 79-84.
- Gupta C R & Sengar S S 1998 Effect of varying levels of nitrogen and potassium fertilization on growth and yield of turmeric under rainfed condition In: A K Sadanandan, K S Krishnamurthy, K Kandiannan & V S Korikanthimath (Eds.). *Water and Nutrient Management for Sustainable Production and Quality of Spices*. pp. 47-51, Indian Society for Spices, Calicut.
- Jaswal S C, Mishra V K and Verma K S 1993 Intercropping ginger and turmeric with poplar (*Populus deltoides* 'G-3' Marsh). *Agro forestry Systems* 22 : 113-117.
- Jena M K, Das P K and Pattanaik A K 1999 Integrated effect of microbial inoculants and fertilizer nitrogen on N-use efficiency and rhizome yield of turmeric. *The Orissa J. Hort.* 27 (2) : 10-16.
- Kumar N A & Sankaran P G 1998 Instability in turmeric (*Curcuma longa* L.) production in India. *J. Spices & Aromatic Crops* 7 (1) : 19-22.
- Kundu A L & Chatterjee B N 1982 Growth analysis of turmeric as a sole crop and in mixture with other crops. *Indian J. Agric. Sci.* 52 (9) : 584-589.
- Mehta K G & Patel R H 1982 Phenotypic stability for yield in turmeric. In: M K Nair, T Premkumar, P N Ravindran & Y R Sarma (Eds.). *Proc. of the National Seminar on Ginger and Turmeric*. (pp. 34-38), Calicut, April 8-9, 1980. CPCRI, Kasaragod, India.
- Mishra M, Mishra B B & Mishra S N 1997 Effect of planting dates and varieties on yield of turmeric (*Curcuma longa*). *Indian J. Agron.* 42 (4) : 713-716.
- Pal S, Maiti S & Chatterjee B N 1993 Response of turmeric (*Curcuma domestica* Val.) to varied levels of N and K application. *J. Potassium Res.* 9 (3) : 275-280.
- Pandey A K 1992 Response of turmeric to various levels of nitrogen under terrace conditions of mid-altitude of Mizoram. *Indian Cocoa, Arecanut & Spices J.* 16 (1) : 14-17.
- Patil R B & Borse C D 1980 Effect of planting material and transplanting of seedlings of different age on the yield of turmeric (*Curcuma longa* L.). *Indian Cocoa, Arecanut & Spices J.* 4 (1) : 3-5.
- Pushkaran K, Babylatha A K & George K M 1985

- Comparative performance of turmeric varieties in coconut gardens. *South Indian Hort.* 33 (4) : 269 - 270.
- Rana S C & Rattan R S 1994 Performance of turmeric lines and their response to fertilizers. *Haryana J. Hort. Sci.* 23 (1) : 84 - 86.
- Randhawa G S, Mahey R K, Gill S R S & Sidhu B S 1984 Performance of turmeric (*Curcuma longa* L.) under different dates and methods of sowing. *J. Research Punjab Agric. University* 21(4) : 489-495.
- Rao D V R & Swamy G S 1984 Studies on the effect of N, P and K on growth and yield and quality of turmeric. *South Indian Hort.* 32 (5) : 288-291.
- Saha K K 1988 Note on response of turmeric to manure and source of N and P under terrace conditions of mid-altitude Mizoram. *Indian J. Hort.* 45 (1-2) : 139-140.
- Sasikumar B & Sardana S 1989 Genetic variability in turmeric (*Curcuma longa* L.). *J. Hill Research* 2 : 187-191.
- Sasikumar B, George J K, Zachariah T J, Ratnambal M J, Babu K N & Ravindran P N 1996 IISR Prabha and IISR Prathibha - two new high yielding and high quality turmeric (*Curcuma longa* L.) varieties. *J. Spices & Aromatic Crops* 5 (1) : 41-48.
- Shashidhar T R, Sulikeri G S & Gasti V D 1997 Effect of different spacing and nitrogen levels on growth attributes and dry matter production of turmeric cv. Amalapuram. *Mysore J. Agric. Sci.* 31 : 225 - 229.
- Sheshagiri K S & Uthaiiah B C 1994 Effect of nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium levels on growth and yield of turmeric (*Curcuma longa* L.) in the hill zone of Karnataka. *J. Spices & Aromatic Crops* 3 (1) : 28-32.
- Singh N P & Singh R S 1988 A note on the effect of various levels of nitrogen on growth and yield of turmeric. *Haryana J. Hort. Sci.* 17 (1-2) : 125-126.
- Singh V B, Swer B & Singh P P 1992 Influence of nitrogen and potassium on yield and quality of turmeric cv. Lakadong, Indian Cocoa, Arecanut & Spices J. 15 (4) : 106-108.
- Singh T, Yadav J P, Singh S B, Singh N K & Singh B N 1988 Effect of nitrogen levels and planting materials on growth and yield of turmeric. *Progressive Horticulture* 20(1-2) : 93-96.
- Singh V B & Kar P L 1991 Effect of planting materials on the productivity of turmeric variety Lakadong, Indian Cocoa, Arecanut & Spices J. 15 : 153-154.
- Subramanian S, Selvaraj K V, Ramaswamy K P & Ramaswamy P P 1978 A note on the manurial requirement and time of harvest of the turmeric (*Curcuma longa* L.). *Madras Agric. J.* 65 (12) : 818-819.