Journal of Spices & Aromatic Crops 3 (1): 6-13, 1994

Chemotaxonomy of South Indian Piper¹

P N RAVINDRAN & K NIRMAL BABU

National Research Centre for Spices Marikunnu P.O., Calicut - 673 012, Kerala, India.

ABSTRACT

A chemotaxonomical study was carried out on South Indian taxa of *Piper* to understand their interrelationships. Fourteen taxa were analysed for their flavonoids and based on presence or absence of these compounds, percentage similarity indices were calculated. The results in general supported the species delimitation and taxonomical relationships arrived at by conventional taxonomy using morphological characters. A chemical dichotomy was evident between the two sub genera - *Pipali* (having erect spikes) and *Maricha* (having pendant spikes) thereby supporting the validity of the sectional classification.

Key words: chemotaxonomy, flavonoids, Piper spp.

The genus *Piper* (Piperaceae) is distributed mainly in Central America, Northern and Southern America and Southern Asia. In Southern Asia, the genus is mainly distributed in the Indo-Malayan region, South India and Sri Lanka. The humid evergreen forests of Western Ghats of South India are considered to be the centre of origin and diversity for P. nigrum L., the dried mature fruits of which constitute black pepper, which is the most important spice of the world. About 15 species of Piper are reported from South India. No study has been conducted on the taxonomy and inter relationships of South Indian Piper except for species enumeration and floristics (Gamble 1925; Hooker 1886) and a preliminary study

on the species occurring in Karnataka (Rahiman & Nair 1987).

A large collection of *Piper* germplasm is available at the National Research Centre for Spices (NRCS), Calicut. These collections were used in a biosystematic study for understanding the taxonomy and interrelationships of the species occurring in the region. The present paper deals with a chemotaxonomic study on South Indian taxa of *Piper* based on flavonoid analysis.

Materials and methods

The following species of *Piper* were studied: *P. argyrophllum* Miq., *P. attenuatum* Ham ex. Miq., *P. galeatum* Miq. C. Dc., *P. hymenophyllum* Miq., *P.*

¹Contribution No. 193 of National Research Centre for Spices, Calicut.

Chemotaxonomy of Piper

longum Linn., P. mullesua Ham ex. D. Don., P. schmidtii Hook. F.. P. silentvalleyensis Ravindran and Asokan, P. trichostachyon Miq. C. Dc., P. wightii Miq., P. sugandhi Ravindran, Babu & Naik, P. sugandhi var. brevipilis Ravindran, Babu & Naik, P. nigrum Linn. (7 distinct collections) and P. nigrum var. hirtellosum Asokan & Ravindran. Among the species reported from the region, the two endangered ones, P. barberi Gamble and P. hapnium Gamble could not be included in the study due to paucity of materials. Voucher specimens of the species are deposited at the NRCS herbarium and live specimens are available at the germplasm conservatory at NRCS.

For chemical analysis, composite leaf samples from various collections of each species were dried in shade, powdered and 10 g of the powder extracted with methanol for 48 h at room temperature and then with 80:20 methanol-water for another 24 h. After 24 h the flasks were refluxed for 1 h, cooled and filtered. The two extracts were combined and then extracted with hot benzene to remove chlorophyll and fatty impurities. The final extract was used for analysis of flavonoids.

The methanol extract was analysed for flavonoids by standard techniques (Mabry, Markham & Thomas 1976; Markham 1982) with Whatman 3mm paper (56 cm x 48 cm) and using the solvent system t-butanol-acetic acidwater (6:1:3). The running time was 18 h at an ambient temperature of $30\pm3^{\circ}$ C. Only unidirectional separation was used because the spot numbers were less and good separation was obtained in the solvent system. The chromatograms were examined under long UV (356 nm) after development, before and after exposure to ammonia vapours. One set of chromatograms were also sprayed with 1% Aluminium chloride in methanol and examined under UV. All chromatograms were prepared in duplicates and the Rf values given are means of two observations. The spot patterns were used for computing paired affinity indices (PAI), which is defined as:

Total number of spots in A & B

PAI is a measure of chemical affinity between any two taxa.

Results and discussion

The number of flavonoid spots varied from 10-16 in the 14 taxa studied, the lowest being in *P. silentvalleyensis* and the highest in *P. sugandhi*.

The flavonoid spot pattern is represented in Table 1 and the spot characters and the probable flavonoid types are given in Table 2. Based on the data in Table 1, PAI were computed for the taxa (Table 3). The PAI provides a measure of chemical affinity among the taxa studied. The following conclusions can be drawn from the results.

P. argyrophyllum showed high chemical similarity with P. attenuatum (78.5%) and P. hymenophyllum (78%) followed by P. schmidtii and P. trichostachyon (71%). The affinity was lowest with P. longum and P. sugandhi var. brevipilis (47% and 38%, respectively). P. attenuatum had high similarity with P. schmidtii (78%) followed by P. wightii (71%). P. longum did not show much chemical affinity with any other taxa, the highest being with P. mullesua (69%) which in turn did not show high affinity to any other taxa. P. silentvalleyensis did not show much

Ravindran & Babu

Table 1. Flavonoid spot patterns of *Piper* spp.

Species									.]	Rf	value	es									
Dpecies	4 ^{- 1}	.25	.32	.40	.45	.50	.53	.56	.60	.63	.65	.70	.72	.75	.80	.84	.86	.88	.90	.93	.95
P. argyrophyllum	<u> </u>	-	+	-	+	+	-	+	+	-	+	+	-	+	+	-	+	-	+	-	+
P. attenuatum		+.	÷	-	+	+	-	+	+	-	-	+	-	+	+	+	-	-	+	-	+,
P. galeatum		+	-	+	+	-	+	-	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+
P. hymenophyllum		-	+	-	+	+	-	+	÷	+	+	+	-	+	-	-	+.	+	+	-	+
P. longum		+	+	-	+	+	-	-	-	÷	+	+	+	-	+	+	-	÷	+	-	+
P. mullesua		-	+	+	+	+	-	+	÷	-	+	+	+	-	+	+	-	+	+	-	+
P. nigrum		+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	-	+	-	+	+	+	+	-	. -	+	+
P. nigrum var. hirtellosum	•	+	÷	+	+	-	-	+	+	÷	-	+	-	+	+	+	+	· -	+	-	+
P. schmidtii		-	+	+	+	+	-	-	+	-	+	+	-	+	+	+	-	-	+	╼.	+
P. silentvalleyensis		-	• -	+	-	+	-	-	+	-	+	+	-	+	+	+	+ .	-	-	-	+
P. trichostachyon		+	-	+	+	-	+	-	+	÷	+	+		+	+	-	+	-	+	-	+
P. wightii		+	+	+	-	+	+	. <mark>-</mark> ·	+ .	-	+	+	-	+	-	+	-	-	+	+	+
P. sugandhi var. brevipilis		+	+	+	+	-	+	-	+	÷	-	+	+	-	+	+	-	-	+ .	+	+
P. sugandhi		+	÷	+	+	-	+	-	+.	+	+	+	+	-	+	+ ·	+	-	+	+	+

œ

Chemotaxonomy of Piper

chemical affinity with other species, the highest being with *P. schmidtii* (69%). *P. trichostachyon* displayed close chemical affinity with *P. galeatum* (89%). The cultivated P. nigrum showed high affinity with P. nigrum var. hirtellosum (87%) which in turn showed 70% and 71% affinity with P. galeatum and

Table 2.	Spot (characters	and	probable	flavonoid	types	in	Piper	spp.
----------	--------	------------	-----	----------	-----------	-------	----	-------	------

			• •
Rf	Colour under UV	Colour under UV+NH ₃	Probable flavonoid type
0.25	Light blue	No colour change	Isoflavones lacking a free -OH group
0.32	-do-	-do-	-do-
0.40	-do-	-do-	-do-
0.45	-do-	-do-	-do-
0.50	Purple	Yellowish green to yellow	5-OH flavones or flavonols
0.53	Dull yellow	No colour change	Flavones with a free 3-OH
0.56	Bluish green	-do-	Flavonols with a free 3-OH and with or with- out a free 5-OH
0.60	Purple	-do-	Flavones or 3-OH substi- tuted flavonols with 5- OH (but lacking a free 4-OH)
0.63	-do-	-do-	-do-
0.65	Yellowish	-do-	Flavones with a free
	brown		3-OH
0.70	Bluish green	-do-	5-hydroxyflavonol (+ve reaction with AlCl _s spray)
0.72	Greenish blue	-do-	Flavonols with a free 3- OH and with or without a free 5-OH
0.75	-do-	-do-	-do-
0.80	Pale blue	Fluorescent bluish green	Flavonols with a free 3- OH but lacking a free 5- OH or flavones lacking free 5-OH
0.84	Yellowish brown to dull yellow	No colour change	Flavonols with a free 3- OH and with or without a free 5-OH
0.86	-do-	-do-	-do-
0.88	-do-	-do-	-do-
0.90	-do-	-do-	-do-
0.93	-do-	-do-	-do-
0.95	Orangish red	Bluish green	Anthocyanin 3, 5-OH diglycoside

9

Ravindran & Babu

P. trichostachyon, respectively. P. sugandhi and P. sugandhi var. brevipilis had 88% affinity between them and they displayed moderate affinity with P. nigrum and P. galeatum (70 and 71%, respectively).

From the chemical tests, the flavonoid types occurring in Piper spp. were found to be mainly flavonols, flavones, isoflavones and 5-hydroxy flavonones. Rare flavanols were absent. Vanillin-HClspray did not give a positive test reaction, indicating the absence of catechins, proanthocyanidins, flavanones and dihydroxyflavanole (i.e. absence of flavonoids possessing A-ring oxidation pattern in combination with a saturated C-ring). Ferric chloride spray did not give a positive reaction thereby indicating the presence of O-methylation of the flavonoid nuclei. Extraction of different flavonoids and their chemical characterisation was not attempted since such a study was beyond the scope of the present investigation.

Chemical evidences are being increasingly used in taxonomy and results from various sources indicate that it is a powerful tool in elucidating taxonomic and phylogenetic relationships. Gottlieb (1972) showed that in Lauraceae, secondary metabolites are taxonomically important; he has also indicated the probable evolutionary trends in the family based on chemical constituents. Excellent studies in chemotaxonomy have been carried out in Rosaceae (Challice 1973), Ulmaceae (Giannasi 1978) and in many other families and genera (Harborne & Turner 1984). Unfortunately, in Piperaceae no such studies have been carried out. Though many species of Piper were studied chemically, there was no attempt to correlate the chemical information with taxonomy except for a preliminary study by Rahiman & Subbaiah (1984). They found close chemical similarities between certain species known to be morphologically related. According to them chemical evidence supported the conclusions of the conventional taxonomists.

The present study is the most elaborate on South Indian *Piper*. Reasonable chemical affinities based on flavonoids were noted between the following taxa :

P. galeatum - P. trichostachyon 87%

P. attenuatum - P. argyrophyllum 79%

P. argyrophyllum - P. hymenophyllum 78%

P. galeatum	- P. s	ugandhi	82%
P. sugandhi brevipilis	- P 88%	sugandhi	var.
P. nigrum hirtellosum	- P. 87%	nigrum	var.
P. galeatum	- P.	sugandhi	var.

These chemical relationships strongly support the morphological and taxonomical relationships arrived at by conventional tools. These results are also supported by similar results from a cluster analysis study (Ravindran,

70%

Babu & Balakrishnan 1992).

brevipilis

P. longum, P. mullesua and P. silentvalleyensis were the three species among which chemical relationships were comparatively low.

P. longum - `P. mullesua 69% P. longum - P. silentvalleyensis 35% P. mullesua - P. silentvalleyensis 57%

Table 3. Paired affinity	indices	(PAI)) betw	veen l	piper	taxa								
Species	. 1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
P. argyrophyllum	100	79	59	78	47	62	50	62	71	64	71	53	38	55
P. attenuatum		100	64	63	47	68	59	68	78	60	67	71	53	52
P. galeatum	~		100	55	50	53	70	70	68	66	87	53	70	82
P. hymenophyllum				100	59	64	50	59	56	44	53	50	35	48
P. longum					100	69	37	50	56	35	50	50	58	61
⁵ . mullesua						100	47	47	63	57	42	53	55	58
P. nigrum							100	87	53	50	65	61	71	72
P. nigrum var. hirtellosum	· · ·							100	63	56	.69	50	65	67
P. schmidtii	•				•				100	69	56	67	53	5
P. silentvalleyensis	· .									100	53	53	39	4'
P. trichostachyon		4									100	63	65	7(
P. wightii			-									100	59	67
P. sugandhi var. brevipilis									÷.,				100	88
P. sugandhi				· .		·	×							100

11

Chemotaxonomy of Piper

Ravindran & Babu

These three species belong to the subgenus Pipali (Ravindran 1990) having erect spikes. P. mullesua and P. silentvalleyensis resemble very closely in vegetative characters and they are difficult to distinguish unless they bear spikes. These three taxa also showed low affinity to other species. Morphologically also these three species are quite distinct from all other species which come under the sub-genus Maricha (Ravindran 1990). Thus. in general, this result also substantiates the earlier conclusions based on morphological characters.

The members of the two sub-genera are chemically very distinct thereby lending support to the validity of the subgeneric This conclusion was classification. further supported by a cluster analysis study in which P. mullesua and P. silentuallevensis were in a closely related cluster while P. longum was distinct from all other species (Ravindran et al. 1992); Hooker (1886) included P. mullesua (syn. P. brachystachyum) under the section Chavica, along with the species P. longum. Gamble (1925) also treated them as closely related. P. mullesua is very distinct and is the only South Indian species with erect globose spikes. P. silentvallevensis is a unique species with erect, flexuous, filiform spikes and is the only wild bisexual species in South India. P. longum on the other hand has a trailing habit and has cylindrical female spikes with laterally-fused flowers. This species is also highly apomictic. Anatomically also P. longum is very distinct from all other species, an observation supported by workers like Murty (1959) and Dutta & Dasgupta (1977).

In *Piper*, alkaloids form an important group of compounds. One such alkaloid

is the isoquinoline group of alkaloids present in many families having a Magnolian - Ranalian ancestry (Gottlieb et al. 1989). An investigation into the alkaloid pattern may be useful in understanding the phylogenetic sequences and relationships in Piperaceae. Gottilieb et al. (1989) while discussing the chemical dichotomies of the Magnolian complex suggested that neolignans and benzylisoquinoline type of alkaloids are important in the taxonomic phylogentic consideration of Piperaceae. They suggested that pyrones and amides form a link between Piperaceae and Lauraceae while cinnomoylamides could be related to Chloranthaceae. Further chemosyste matic investigations could be useful in elucidating the phylogenetic lines leading to Piperaceae in general and Piper in particular.

References

- Challice J S 1973 Phenolic compounds of the subfamily Pomiodeae : a chemotaxonomic survey. Phytochemistry 12 : 1045-1101.
- Dutta P C & Dasgupta A 1977 Comparison of the vegetative anatomy of Piperales. 1. Juvenile xylem of twigs. Acta biol. Acad. Sci. Hung. 28 : 81-96.
- Gamble J S 1925 Flora of Presidency of Madras Vol.2. Reprint. Botanical Society of India, Calcutta.
- Giannasi D E 1978 Generic relationship in the Ulmaceae based on the flavonoid chemistry. Taxon 27 : 331-334.
- Gottlieb O R 1972 Chemosytematics of the Lauraceae. Phytochemistry 11: 1537-1570.

Chemotaxonomy of Piper

- Gottlieb O R, Kaplan MAC, Kubitzski K & Toledo Barros J R R 1989 Chemical dichotomies of the Magnolian complex. Nord. J. Bot. 8 : 437-444.
- Harborne J B & Turner B L 1984 Plant Chemosystematics. Academic Press, London.
- Hooker J D 1986 The Flora of the British India Vol.V. Reprint. L. Reeve and Co., London.
- Mabry T J, Markham K R & Thomas M B 1976 The Systematic Identification of Flavonoids. Springer -Verlag, Berlin.
- Markham K R 1982 Techniques of Flavonoid Identification. Academic Press, New York.

Murthy Y S 1959 Studies in the order

Piperales. Phytomorphology 10: 50-59.

- Rahiman B A & Nair M K 1987 The genus *Piper* Linn. in Karnataka, India. J. Bombay Nat. Hist. Soc. 84 : 66-83.
- Rahiman B A & Subbaiah C C 1984 Flavonoid analysis in eight species of *Piper* from the Western Ghats. Pl. Physiol. & Biochem. 11 : 26-32.
- Ravindran P N 1990 Studies on black pepper and some of its wild relatives. PhD Thesis. Submitted to University of Calicut.
- Ravindran P N, Nirmal Babu K & Balakrishnan R 1992 Numerical taxonomy of *Piper* spp.1. A cluster analysis study. Rheedea 2 : 55-61.