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#### Abstract

This investigation deals with a mathematical model of a four species $\left(S_{1}, S_{2}, S_{3}\right.$ and $\left.S_{4}\right)$ Syn-Ecological system (The Coexistent $S_{\text {tate) }}$. $S_{2}$ is a predator surviving on the prey $S_{1}$ : the prey is a commensal to the host $S_{3}$ which itself is in mutualism with the fourth species $S_{4} ; S_{2}$ and $S_{4}$ are neutral. The model equations of the system constitute a set of four first order nonlinear ordinary differential coupled equations. In all, there are sixteen equilibrium points. Criteria for the asymptotic stability of one of the sixteen equilibrium points: The Co-existent State only is established in this paper. The Co-existent State is found to be stable. The linearized equations for the perturbations over the equilibrium points are analyzed to establish the criteria for stability and the trajectories illustrated. Further the global stability is discussed using Liapunov's method.
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## INTRODUCTION

Mathematical modelling in eco-system was initiated in 1925 by Lotka [6] and in 1931 by Volterra [12]. The general concepts of modelling have been presented in the treatises of Meyer [7], Paul Colinvaux [8], Freedman [2], Kapur [3, 4]. The ecological interactions can be broadly classified as prey-predation, competition, mutualism and so on. N.C. Srinivas [11] studied the competitive eco-systems of two species and three species with regard to limited and unlimited resources. Later, Lakshmi Narayan [5] has investigated the two species prey-predator models. Recently stability analysis of competitive species was investigated by Archana Reddy [1]. Local stability analysis for a two-species ecological mutualism model has been investigated by B. Ravindra Reddy et. al [9, 10].

## BASIC EQUATIONS <br> Notation Adopted

| $\mathrm{N}_{1}(\mathrm{t})$ | : The Population of the Prey ( $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ ) |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{N}_{2}(\mathrm{t})$ | : The Population of the Predator $\left(\mathrm{S}_{2}\right)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}_{3}(\mathrm{t})$ | : The Population of the Host $\left(\mathrm{S}_{3}\right)$ of the Prey $\left(\mathrm{S}_{1}\right)$ and mutual to $\mathrm{S}_{4}$ |
| $\mathrm{N}_{4}(\mathrm{t})$ | :The Population of $\mathrm{S}_{4}$ mutual to $\mathrm{S}_{3}$ |
| t | : Time instant |
| $\mathrm{a}_{1}, \mathrm{a}_{2}, \mathrm{a}_{3}, \mathrm{a}_{4}$ | : Natural growth rates of $\mathrm{S}_{1}, \mathrm{~S}_{2}, \mathrm{~S}_{3}, \mathrm{~S}_{4}$ |
| $\mathrm{a}_{11}, \mathrm{a}_{22}, \mathrm{a}_{33}, \mathrm{a}_{44}$ | : Self inhibition coefficients of $\mathrm{S}_{1}, \mathrm{~S}_{2}, \mathrm{~S}_{3}, \mathrm{~S}_{4}$ |
| $\mathrm{a}_{12}, \mathrm{a}_{21}$ | : Interaction (Prey-Predator) coefficients of $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ due to $S_{2}$ and $S_{2}$ due to $S_{1}$ |

[^0]*Corresponding Author
R. Srilatha

Research Scholar, JNTUH, Kukatpally, Hyderabad-500085, India
Tel: +91-9949567359
Email: bsrilatha82@gmail.com
$a_{13}$ : Coefficient for commensal for $S_{1}$ due to the Host $S_{3}$ $\mathrm{a}_{34}, \mathrm{a}_{43}$ : Mutually interaction between $\mathrm{S}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{S}_{4}$ $\frac{a_{1}}{a_{11}}, \frac{a_{2}}{a_{22}}, \frac{a_{3}}{a_{33}}, \frac{a_{4}}{a_{44}}$ : Carrying capacities of $\mathrm{S}_{1}, \mathrm{~S}_{2}, \mathrm{~S}_{3}, \mathrm{~S}_{4}$

Further the variables $N_{1}, N_{2}, N_{3}, N_{4}$ are non-negative and the model parameters $a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}, a_{4} ; a_{11}, a_{22}, a_{33}, a_{44} ; a_{12}, a_{21}, a_{13}, a_{24}$ are assumed to be non-negative constants.

The model equations for the growth rates of $S_{1}, S_{2}, S_{3}, S_{4}$ are

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{d N_{1}}{d t} & =a_{1} N_{1}-a_{11} N_{1}^{2}-a_{12} N_{1} N_{2}+a_{13} N_{1} N_{3}  \tag{2.1}\\
\frac{d N_{2}}{d t} & =a_{2} N_{2}-a_{22} N_{2}^{2}+a_{21} N_{2} N_{1}  \tag{2.2}\\
\frac{d N_{3}}{d t} & =a_{3} N_{3}-a_{33} N_{3}^{2}+a_{34} N_{3} N_{4}  \tag{2.3}\\
\frac{d N_{4}}{d t} & =a_{4} N_{4}-a_{44} N_{4}^{2}+a_{43} N_{4} N_{3} \tag{2.4}
\end{align*}
$$

## EQUILIBRIUM STATES

The system under investigation has sixteen equilibrium states are given by
$\frac{d N_{i}}{d t}=0, i=1,2,3,4$
I. Fully washed out state:
(1) $\overline{N_{1}}=0, \overline{N_{2}}=0, \overline{N_{3}}=0, \overline{N_{4}}=0$
II. States in which three of the four species are washed out and fourth is surviving

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overline{N_{1}}=0, \overline{N_{2}}=0, \overline{N_{3}}=0, \overline{N_{4}}=\frac{a_{4}}{a_{44}} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

(3) $\overline{N_{1}}=0, \overline{N_{2}}=0, \overline{N_{3}}=\frac{a_{3}}{a_{33}}, \overline{N_{4}}=0$
(4) $\overline{N_{1}}=0, \overline{N_{2}}=\frac{a_{2}}{a_{22}}, \overline{N_{3}}=0, \overline{N_{4}}=0$
(5) $\overline{N_{1}}=\frac{a_{1}}{a_{11}}, \overline{N_{2}}=0, \overline{N_{3}}=0, \overline{N_{4}}=0$
III. States in which two of the four species are washed out while the other two are surviving
(6) $\overline{N_{1}}=0, \overline{N_{2}}=0, \overline{N_{3}}=\frac{a_{4} a_{34}+a_{3} a_{44}}{a_{33} a_{44}-a_{34} a_{43}}, \overline{N_{4}}=\frac{a_{3} a_{43}+a_{4} a_{33}}{a_{33} a_{44}-a_{34} a_{43}}$
(7) $\overline{N_{1}}=0, \overline{N_{2}}=\frac{a_{2}}{a_{22}}, \overline{N_{3}}=0, \overline{N_{4}}=\frac{a_{4}}{a_{44}}$
(8) $\overline{N_{1}}=0, \overline{N_{2}}=\frac{a_{2}}{a_{22}}, \overline{N_{3}}=\frac{a_{3}}{a_{33}}, \overline{N_{4}}=0$
(9) $\overline{N_{1}}=\frac{a_{1}}{a_{11}}, \overline{N_{2}}=0, \overline{N_{3}}=0, \overline{N_{4}}=\frac{a_{4}}{a_{44}}$
(10) $\overline{N_{1}}=\frac{a_{1} a_{33}+a_{3} a_{13}}{a_{11} a_{33}}, \overline{N_{2}}=0, \overline{N_{3}}=\frac{a_{3}}{a_{33}}, \overline{N_{4}}=0$
(11)

$$
\overline{N_{1}}=\frac{a_{1} a_{22}-a_{2} a_{12}}{a_{11} a_{22}+a_{12} a_{21}}, \overline{N_{2}}=\frac{a_{1} a_{21}+a_{2} a_{11}}{a_{11} a_{22}+a_{12} a_{21}}, \overline{N_{3}}=0, \bar{N}_{4}=0
$$

IV. States in which one of the four species is washed out while the other three are surviving

$$
\begin{align*}
& \overline{N_{1}}=0, \overline{N_{2}}=\frac{a_{2}}{a_{22}}, \overline{N_{3}}=\frac{a_{4} a_{34}+a_{3} a_{44}}{a_{33} a_{44}-a_{34} a_{43}}, \overline{N_{4}}=\frac{a_{4} a_{33}+a_{3} a_{43}}{a_{33} a_{44}-a_{34} a_{43}}  \tag{12}\\
& \overline{N_{1}}=\frac{\alpha_{1}}{\alpha_{2}}, \overline{N_{2}}=0, \overline{N_{3}}=\frac{a_{4} a_{34}+a_{3} a_{44}}{a_{33} a_{44}-a_{34} a_{43}}, \overline{N_{4}}=\frac{a_{4} a_{33}+a_{3} a_{43}}{a_{33} a_{44}-a_{34} a_{43}} \tag{13}
\end{align*}
$$

Where
$\alpha_{1}=a_{13}\left(a_{4} a_{34}+a_{3} a_{44}\right)+a_{1}\left(a_{33} a_{44}-a_{34} a_{43}\right), \alpha_{2}=a_{11}\left(a_{33} a_{44}-a_{34} a_{43}\right)$
(14) $\overline{N_{1}}=\frac{a_{1} a_{22}-a_{2} a_{12}}{a_{11} a_{22}+a_{12} a_{21}}, \overline{N_{2}}=\frac{a_{1} a_{21}+a_{2} a_{11}}{a_{11} a_{22}+a_{12} a_{21}}, \overline{N_{3}}=0, \overline{N_{4}}=\frac{a_{4}}{a_{44}}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overline{N_{1}}=\frac{\beta_{2}}{\beta_{1}}, \overline{N_{2}}=\frac{\beta_{3}}{\beta_{1}}, \overline{N_{3}}=\frac{a_{3}}{a_{33}}, \overline{N_{4}}=0 \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \beta_{1}=a_{33}\left(a_{11} a_{22}+a_{12} a_{21}\right), \beta_{2}=a_{22}\left(a_{1} a_{33}+a_{3} a_{13}\right)-a_{2} a_{12} a_{33} \\
& \beta_{3}=a_{21}\left(a_{1} a_{33}+a_{3} a_{13}\right)+a_{2} a_{11} a_{33}
\end{aligned}
$$

V. The co-existent state (or) Normal steady state
(16)

$$
\begin{gathered}
\quad \overline{N_{1}}=\frac{\gamma_{1}+a_{13} a_{22} \gamma_{2}}{\gamma_{3}}, \overline{N_{2}}=\frac{\gamma_{4}+a_{13} a_{21} \gamma_{2}}{\gamma_{3}}, \\
\overline{N_{3}}=\frac{a_{4} a_{34}+a_{3} a_{44}}{a_{33} a_{44}-a_{34} a_{43}}, \overline{N_{4}}=\frac{a_{4} a_{33}+a_{3} a_{43}}{a_{33} a_{44}-a_{34} a_{43}}
\end{gathered}
$$

## Where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \gamma_{1}=\left(a_{1} a_{22}+a_{2} a_{12}\right)\left(a_{33} a_{44}-a_{34} a_{43}\right), \gamma_{2}=a_{3} a_{44}+a_{4} a_{34} \\
& \gamma_{3}=\left(a_{11} a_{22}+a_{12} a_{21}\right)\left(a_{33} a_{44}-a_{34} a_{43}\right), \gamma_{4}=\left(a_{1} a_{21}-a_{2} a_{11}\right)\left(a_{33} a_{44}-a_{34} a_{43}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

This can exist only when

$$
\left(a_{1} a_{21}-a_{2} a_{11}\right)>0 \text { and }\left(a_{33} a_{44}-a_{34} a_{43}\right)>0
$$

The present paper deals with the Co-existent State only. The stability of the other equilibrium states will be presented in the forth coming communications.

## Stability of the Equilibrium State 16:

## (The co-existent state (or) Normal steady State)

To discuss the stability of equilibrium point

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{N}_{1}=\frac{\gamma_{1}+a_{13} a_{22} \gamma_{2}}{\gamma_{3}}, \bar{N}_{2}=\frac{\gamma_{4}+a_{13} a_{21} \gamma_{2}}{\gamma_{3}}, \overline{N_{3}}=\frac{a_{4} a_{34}+a_{3} a_{44}}{a_{33} a_{44}-a_{34} a_{43}}, \overline{N_{4}}=\frac{a_{4} a_{33}+a_{3} a_{43}}{a_{33} a_{44}-a_{34} a_{43}} \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $u s$ consider small deviations $\mathrm{u}_{1}(\mathrm{t}), \mathrm{u}_{2}(\mathrm{t}), \mathrm{u}_{3}(\mathrm{t}), \mathrm{u}_{4}(\mathrm{t})$
from the steady state
i.e. $N_{i}(t)=\bar{N}_{i}+u_{i}(t), i=1,2,3,4$

Substituting (4.1) in (2.1), (2.2), (2.3), (2.4) and neglecting products and higher powers of $\mathrm{u}_{1}, \mathrm{u}_{2}, \mathrm{u}_{3}, \mathrm{u}_{4}$, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{d u_{1}}{d t}=-a_{11} \bar{N}_{1} u_{1}-a_{12} \bar{N}_{1} u_{2}+a_{13} \bar{N}_{1} u_{3}  \tag{4.3}\\
& \frac{d u_{2}}{d t}=-a_{22} \bar{N}_{2} u_{2}+a_{21} \bar{N}_{2} u_{1}  \tag{4.4}\\
& \frac{d u_{3}}{d t}=-a_{33} \bar{N}_{3} u_{3}+a_{34} \bar{N}_{3} u_{4}  \tag{4.5}\\
& \frac{d u_{4}}{d t}=-a_{44} \bar{N}_{4} u_{4}+a_{43} \bar{N}_{4} u_{3} \tag{4.6}
\end{align*}
$$

The characteristic equation of which is

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[\lambda^{2}+\left(\mathrm{a}_{11} \overline{\mathrm{~N}}_{1}+\mathrm{a}_{22} \overline{\mathrm{~N}}_{2}\right) \lambda+\left(\mathrm{a}_{11} \mathrm{a}_{22}+\mathrm{a}_{12} \mathrm{a}_{21}\right) \overline{\mathrm{N}}_{1} \overline{\mathrm{~N}}_{2}\right] \times} \\
& {\left[\lambda^{2}+\left(\mathrm{a}_{33} \overline{\mathrm{~N}}_{3}+\mathrm{a}_{44} \overline{\mathrm{~N}}_{4}\right) \lambda+\left(\mathrm{a}_{33} \mathrm{a}_{44}-\mathrm{a}_{34} \mathrm{a}_{43}\right) \overline{\mathrm{N}}_{3} \overline{\mathrm{~N}}_{4}\right]=0} \tag{4.7}
\end{align*}
$$

The characteristic roots of (4.7) are

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lambda=\frac{-\left(a_{11} \bar{N}_{1}+a_{22} \bar{N}_{2}\right) \pm \sqrt{\left(a_{11} \bar{N}_{1}-a_{22} \bar{N}_{2}\right)^{2}-4 a_{12} a_{21} \bar{N}_{1} \bar{N}_{2}}}{2}, \\
& \lambda=\frac{-\left(a_{33} \bar{N}_{3}+a_{44} \bar{N}_{4}\right) \pm \sqrt{\left(a_{33} \bar{N}_{3}-a_{44} \bar{N}_{4}\right)^{2}+4 a_{34} a_{43} \bar{N}_{3} \bar{N}_{4}}}{2}  \tag{4.8}\\
& \Rightarrow \lambda=\frac{-\left(a_{11} \bar{N}_{1}+a_{22} \bar{N}_{2}\right) \pm \sqrt{\Delta_{1}}}{2}, \lambda=\frac{-\left(a_{33} \bar{N}_{3}+a_{44} \bar{N}_{4}\right) \pm \sqrt{\Delta_{2}}}{2} \tag{4.9}
\end{align*}
$$

Where
$\Delta_{1=}\left(a_{11} \bar{N}_{1}-a_{22} \bar{N}_{2}\right)^{2}-4 a_{12} a_{21} \bar{N}_{1} \bar{N}_{2}$
$\Delta_{2=}\left(a_{33} \bar{N}_{3}-a_{44} \bar{N}_{4}\right)^{2}+4 a_{34} a_{43} \bar{N}_{3} \bar{N}_{4}$
Case (i): When $\Delta_{1}>0$ and $\Delta_{2}>0$
In this case the roots are real and negative. Hence the equilibrium state is stable.

Case (ii): When $\Delta_{1}<0$ and $\Delta_{2}<0$
In this case the roots are complex with negative real parts. Hence the equilibrium state is stable.

Case (iii): When $\Delta_{1}=0$ and $\Delta_{2}=0$
In this case the roots are repeated, which are negative. Hence the equilibrium state is stable. The trajectories are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{u}_{1}=\left[\frac{\mathrm{a}_{12} \overline{\mathrm{~N}}_{1}\left(\mathrm{u}_{10}-\mathrm{u}_{20}\right)+\mu_{1} \lambda_{2}+\mu_{2} \mathrm{a}_{13} \overline{\mathrm{~N}}_{1}-\mu_{3}}{\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{2}}\right] \mathrm{e}^{\lambda_{1} \mathrm{t}} \\
& +\left[\frac{\left(\mu_{1}-\mathrm{u}_{10}\right) \lambda_{1}+\mathrm{u}_{10} \lambda_{2}+\left(\mathrm{a}_{12} \mathrm{u}_{10}-\mathrm{a}_{12} \mathrm{u}_{20}+\mu_{2} \mathrm{a}_{13}\right) \overline{\mathrm{N}}_{1}-\mu_{3}}{\lambda_{2}-\lambda_{1}}\right] \mathrm{e}^{\lambda_{2} \mathrm{t}}+\sigma_{1} \mathrm{e}^{\lambda_{3} \mathrm{t}}+\sigma_{2} \mathrm{e}^{\lambda_{4} t}  \tag{4.10}\\
& \mathrm{u}_{2}=\left\lfloor\frac{\mathrm{a}_{12} \mathrm{~N}_{1}\left(\mathrm{u}_{10}-\mathrm{u}_{20}\right)+\mu_{1} \lambda_{2}+\mu_{2} \mathrm{a}_{13} \mathrm{~N}_{1}-\mu_{3}}{\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{2}}\right\rfloor \delta_{1} \mathrm{e}^{\lambda_{1} \mathrm{t}} \\
& +\left[\frac{\left(\mu_{1}-\mathrm{u}_{10}\right) \lambda_{1}+\mathrm{u}_{10} \lambda_{2}+\left(\mathrm{a}_{12} \mathrm{u}_{10}-\mathrm{a}_{12} \mathrm{u}_{20}+\mu_{2} \mathrm{a}_{13}\right) \overline{\mathrm{N}}_{1}-\mu_{3}}{\lambda_{2}-\lambda_{1}}\right] \delta_{2} \mathrm{e}^{\lambda_{2} \mathrm{t}}+\sigma_{3} \mathrm{e}^{\lambda_{3} \mathrm{t}}+\sigma_{4} \mathrm{e}^{\lambda_{4} \mathrm{t}}  \tag{4.11}\\
& \mathrm{u}_{3}=\left[\frac{\mathrm{u}_{30}\left(\lambda_{3}+\mathrm{a}_{44} \overline{\mathrm{~N}}_{4}\right)+\mathrm{u}_{40} \mathrm{a}_{34} \overline{\mathrm{~N}}_{3}}{\lambda_{3}-\lambda_{4}}\right] \mathrm{e}^{\lambda_{3} \mathrm{t}}+\left[\frac{\mathrm{u}_{30}\left(\lambda_{4}+\mathrm{a}_{44} \overline{\mathrm{~N}}_{4}\right)+\mathrm{u}_{40} \mathrm{a}_{34} \overline{\mathrm{~N}}_{3}}{\lambda_{4}-\lambda_{3}}\right] \mathrm{e}^{\lambda_{4} \mathrm{t}}  \tag{4.12}\\
& u_{4}=\left[\frac{u_{40}\left(\lambda_{3}+a_{33} \bar{N}_{3}\right)+u_{30} a_{43} \bar{N}_{4}}{\lambda_{3}-\lambda_{4}}\right] e^{\lambda_{3} t}+\left[\frac{u_{40}\left(\lambda_{4}+a_{33} \bar{N}_{3}\right)+u_{30} a_{43} \bar{N}_{4}}{\lambda_{4}-\lambda_{3}}\right] e^{\lambda_{4} t}  \tag{4.13}\\
& \text { Here } \\
& \mu_{1}=\sigma_{1}+\sigma_{2} ; \mu_{2}=p_{1}+p_{2} ; \mu_{3}=\sigma_{1} \lambda_{3}+\sigma_{2} \lambda_{4} ; \\
& \sigma_{1}=\frac{\alpha_{2}}{\lambda_{3}{ }^{2}+\left(\mathrm{a}_{11} \overline{\mathrm{~N}}_{1}+\mathrm{a}_{22} \overline{\mathrm{~N}}_{2}\right) \lambda_{3}+\alpha_{1}} ; \sigma_{2}=\frac{\alpha_{3}}{\lambda_{4}{ }^{2}+\left(\mathrm{a}_{11} \overline{\mathrm{~N}}_{1}+\mathrm{a}_{22} \overline{\mathrm{~N}}_{2}\right) \lambda_{4}+\alpha_{1}} ; \\
& \alpha_{1}=\left(a_{11} a_{22}+a_{12} a_{21}\right) \bar{N}_{1} \overline{\mathrm{~N}}_{2} ; \alpha_{2}=p_{1} a_{13} \overline{\mathrm{~N}}_{1}\left(\lambda_{3}+\mathrm{a}_{22} \overline{\mathrm{~N}}_{2}\right) ; \alpha_{3}=p_{2} \mathrm{a}_{13} \overline{\mathrm{~N}}_{3}\left(\lambda_{4}+\mathrm{a}_{22} \overline{\mathrm{~N}}_{2}\right) ; \\
& p_{1}=\frac{\mathrm{u}_{30}\left(\lambda_{3}+\mathrm{a}_{44} \overline{\mathrm{~N}}_{4}\right)+\mathrm{u}_{40} \mathrm{a}_{34} \overline{\mathrm{~N}}_{3}}{\lambda_{3}-\lambda_{4}} ; \mathrm{p}_{2}=\frac{\mathrm{u}_{30}\left(\lambda_{4}+\mathrm{a}_{44} \overline{\mathrm{~N}}_{4}\right)+\mathrm{u}_{40} \mathrm{a}_{34} \overline{\mathrm{~N}}_{3}}{\lambda_{4}-\lambda_{3}} ; \delta_{1}=\frac{\mathrm{a}_{11}}{\mathrm{a}_{12}}-\frac{\lambda_{1}}{\mathrm{a}_{12} \overline{\mathrm{~N}}_{1}} ; \\
& \delta_{2}=\frac{\mathrm{a}_{11}}{\mathrm{a}_{12}}-\frac{\lambda_{2}}{\mathrm{a}_{12} \overline{\mathrm{~N}}_{1}} ; \sigma_{3}=\frac{\mathrm{a}_{13} \mathrm{p}_{1}}{\mathrm{a}_{12}}+\left(\mathrm{a}_{11}-\frac{\lambda_{3}}{\overline{\mathrm{~N}}_{1}}\right) \frac{\sigma_{1}}{\mathrm{a}_{12}} ; \sigma_{4}=\frac{\mathrm{a}_{13} \mathrm{p}_{2}}{\mathrm{a}_{12}}+\left(\mathrm{a}_{11}-\frac{\lambda_{4}}{\overline{\mathrm{~N}}_{1}}\right) \frac{\sigma_{2}}{\mathrm{a}_{12}}
\end{align*}
$$

and $\mathrm{u}_{10}, \mathrm{u}_{20}, \mathrm{u}_{30}, \mathrm{u}_{40}$ are the initial values of $\mathrm{u}_{1}, \mathrm{u}_{2}, \mathrm{u}_{3}, \mathrm{u}_{4}$ respectively.

There would arise in all 576 cases depending upon the ordering of the magnitudes of the growth rates $\mathrm{a}_{1}, \mathrm{a}_{2}, \mathrm{a}_{3}, \mathrm{a}_{4}$ and the initial values of the perturbations $\mathrm{u}_{10}(\mathrm{t}), \mathrm{u}_{20}(\mathrm{t}), \mathrm{u}_{30}(\mathrm{t}), \mathrm{u}_{40}(\mathrm{t})$ of the species $\mathrm{S}_{1}, \mathrm{~S}_{2}, \mathrm{~S}_{3}, \mathrm{~S}_{4}$. Of these 576 situations some typical variations are illustrated through respective solution curves that would facilitate to make some reasonable observations.

The solution curves are exhibited in figures $1 \& 2$.
Case (i): If $u_{10}<u_{40}<u_{30}<u_{20}$ and $a_{3}<a_{1}<a_{2}<a_{4}$
In this case initially the Host $\left(S_{3}\right)$ of $S_{1}$ dominates $S_{4}$ and the
Prey $\left(\mathrm{S}_{1}\right)$ till the time instant $\mathrm{t}^{*}{ }_{43}, \mathrm{t}^{*}{ }_{13}$ respectively and the dominance gets reversed there after. Also $S_{4}$ dominates over the Prey $\left(\mathrm{S}_{1}\right)$ till the time instant $\mathrm{t}^{*}$, and there after the dominance is reversed. Also $\mathrm{u}_{1}, \mathrm{u}_{2}, \mathrm{u}_{3}, \mathrm{u}_{4}$ are converging asymptotically to the equilibrium point. Hence the equilibrium point is stable.


Fig 1.
Case (ii): If $\mathrm{u}_{40}<\mathrm{u}_{10}<\mathrm{u}_{30}<\mathrm{u}_{20}$ and $\mathrm{a}_{3}<\mathrm{a}_{2}<\mathrm{a}_{4}<\mathrm{a}_{1}$
In this case initially the Host $\left(S_{3}\right)$ of $S_{1}$ dominates $S_{4}$ till the time instant $\mathrm{t}^{*}{ }_{43}$ and there after the dominance is reversed. Also the Prey $\left(S_{1}\right)$ dominates over $S_{4}$ till the time instant ${ }^{*}{ }_{41}$ and the dominance gets reversed there after. As $t \rightarrow \infty$, all the four species approach to the equilibrium point. Hence the equilibrium state is stable.


Fig 2.

## Liapunov's Function for Global Stability

We discussed the local stability of the state of co-existence. We now examine the global stability of the dynamical system (2.1), (2.2), (2.3) and (2.3). We have already noted that this system has a unique, stable non-trivial co-existent equilibrium state at

$$
\overline{N_{1}}=\frac{\gamma_{1}+a_{13} a_{22} \gamma_{2}}{\gamma_{3}}, \overline{N_{2}}=\frac{\gamma_{4}+a_{13} a_{21} \gamma_{2}}{\gamma_{3}}, \overline{N_{3}}=\frac{a_{4} a_{34}+a_{3} a_{44}}{a_{33} a_{44}-a_{34} a_{43}}, \overline{N_{4}}=\frac{a_{4} a_{33}+a_{3} a_{43}}{a_{33} a_{44}-a_{34} a_{43}}
$$

We define a Liapunov function
$V\left(N_{1}, N_{2}, N_{3}, N_{4}\right)=N_{1}-\bar{N}_{1}-\bar{N}_{1} \log \left(\frac{N_{1}}{\bar{N}_{1}}\right)+l_{1}\left\{N_{2}-\bar{N}_{2}-\bar{N}_{2} \log \frac{N_{2}}{\bar{N}_{2}}\right\}$
$+l_{2}\left\{N_{3}-\bar{N}_{3}-\bar{N}_{3} \log \left(\frac{N_{3}}{\bar{N}_{3}}\right)\right\}+l_{3}\left\{N_{4}-\bar{N}_{4}-\bar{N}_{4} \log \left(\frac{N_{4}}{\bar{N}_{4}}\right)\right\}$ -
where $I_{1}, l_{2}$ and $I_{3}$ are suitable constants to be determined in the subsequent steps.

Now, the time derivative of $V$ along the solution of (2.1), (2.2), (2.3) and (2.4) is

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{d V}{d t}=\left(\frac{N_{1}-\bar{N}_{1}}{N_{1}}\right) \frac{d N_{1}}{d t}+l_{1}\left(\frac{N_{2}-\bar{N}_{2}}{N_{2}}\right) \frac{d N_{2}}{d t}+l_{2}\left(\frac{N_{3}-\bar{N}_{3}}{N_{3}}\right) \frac{d N_{3}}{d t}+l_{3}\left(\frac{N_{4}-\bar{N}_{4}}{N_{4}}\right) \frac{d N_{4}}{d t}- \\
& \frac{d V}{d t}=\left(\frac{N_{1}-\bar{N}_{1}}{N_{1}}\right) N_{1}\left\{a_{1}-a_{11} N_{1}-a_{12} N_{2}+a_{13} N_{3}\right\} \\
& +l_{1}\left(\frac{N_{2}-\bar{N}_{2}}{N_{2}}\right) N_{2}\left\{a_{2}-a_{22} N_{2}+a_{21} N_{1}\right\}+ \\
& l_{2}\left(\frac{N_{3}-\bar{N}_{3}}{N_{3}}\right) N_{3}\left\{a_{3}-a_{33} N_{3}+a_{34} N_{4}\right\} \\
& +l_{3}\left(\frac{N_{4}-\bar{N}_{4}}{N_{4}}\right) N_{4}\left\{a_{4}-a_{44} N_{4}+a_{43} N_{3}\right\} \\
& =\left(N_{1}-\bar{N}_{1}\right)\left\{a_{1}-a_{11} N_{1}-a_{12} N_{2}+a_{13} N_{3}\right\} \\
& +l_{1}\left(N_{2}-\bar{N}_{2}\right)\left\{a_{2}-a_{22} N_{2}+a_{21} N_{1}\right\}_{+} \\
& l_{2}\left(N_{3}-\bar{N}_{3}\right)\left\{a_{3}-a_{33} N_{3}+a_{34} N_{4}\right\} \\
& +l_{3}\left(N_{4}-\bar{N}_{4}\right)\left\{a_{4}-a_{44} N_{4}+a_{43} N_{3}\right\}  \tag{5.4}\\
& \frac{d V}{d t}=\left(N_{1}-\bar{N}_{1}\right)\left\{a_{11} \bar{N}_{1}+a_{12} \bar{N}_{2}-a_{13} \bar{N}_{3}-a_{11} N_{1}-a_{12} N_{2}+a_{13} N_{3}\right\} \\
& +l_{1}\left(N_{2}-\bar{N}_{2}\right)\left\{a_{22} \bar{N}_{2}-a_{21} \bar{N}_{1}-a_{22} N_{2}+a_{21} N_{1}\right\} \\
& +l_{2}\left(N_{3}-\bar{N}_{3}\right)\left\{a_{33} \bar{N}_{3}-a_{34} \bar{N}_{4}-a_{33} N_{3}+a_{34} N_{4}\right\} \\
& +l_{3}\left(N_{4}-\bar{N}_{4}\right)\left\{a_{44} \bar{N}_{4}-a_{43} \bar{N}_{3}-a_{44} N_{4}+a_{43} N_{3}\right\}  \tag{5.5}\\
& =\left(N_{1}-\bar{N}_{1}\right)\left\{-a_{11}\left(N_{1}-\bar{N}_{1}\right)-a_{12}\left(N_{2}-\bar{N}_{2}\right)-a_{13}\left(N_{3}-\bar{N}_{3}\right)\right\} \\
& +l_{1}\left(N_{2}-\bar{N}_{2}\right)\left\{-a_{22}\left(N_{2}-\bar{N}_{2}\right)+a_{21}\left(N_{1}-\bar{N}_{1}\right)\right\} \\
& +l_{2}\left(N_{3}-\bar{N}_{3}\right)\left\{-a_{33}\left(N_{3}-\bar{N}_{3}\right)+a_{34}\left(N_{4}-\bar{N}_{4}\right)\right\} \\
& +l_{3}\left(N_{4}-\bar{N}_{4}\right)\left\{-a_{44}\left(N_{4}-\bar{N}_{4}\right)+a_{43}\left(N_{3}-\bar{N}_{3}\right)\right\}  \tag{5.6}\\
& \frac{d V}{d t}=-a_{11}\left(N_{1}-\bar{N}_{1}\right)^{2}-a_{12}\left(N_{1}-\bar{N}_{1}\right)\left(N_{2}-\bar{N}_{2}\right)-a_{13}\left(N_{1}-\bar{N}_{1}\right)\left(N_{3}-\bar{N}_{3}\right) \\
& +l_{1}\left\{\left(-a_{22}\right)\left(N_{2}-\bar{N}_{2}\right)^{2}+a_{21}\left(N_{1}-\bar{N}_{1}\right)\left(N_{2}-\bar{N}_{2}\right)\right\} \\
& +l_{2}\left\{\left(-a_{33}\right)\left(N_{3}-\bar{N}_{3}\right)^{2}+\left(a_{34}\right)\left(N_{3}-\bar{N}_{3}\right)\left(N_{4}-\bar{N}_{4}\right)\right\} \\
& +l_{3}\left\{\left(-a_{44}\right)\left(N_{4}-\bar{N}_{4}\right)^{2}+\left(a_{43}\right)\left(N_{3}-\bar{N}_{3}\right)\left(N_{4}-\bar{N}_{4}\right)\right\} \tag{5.7}
\end{align*}
$$

Choosing $l_{1}=\frac{a_{12}}{a_{21}}, l_{2}$ and $l_{3}$ are any positive constants, (5.7) becomes,

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{d V}{d t}= & -a_{11}\left(N_{1}-\bar{N}_{1}\right)^{2}-a_{13}\left(N_{1}-\bar{N}_{1}\right)\left(N_{3}-\bar{N}_{3}\right)-\frac{a_{2} a_{21}}{a_{21}}\left(N_{2}-\bar{N}_{2}\right)^{2}-l_{2} a_{33}\left(N_{3}-\bar{N}_{3}\right)^{2} \\
& +l_{2} a_{44}\left(N_{3}-\overline{N_{3}}\right)\left(N_{4}-\bar{N}_{4}\right)-l_{3} a_{41}\left(N_{4}-\bar{N}_{4}\right)^{2}+l_{3} a_{33}\left(N_{3}-\bar{N}_{3}\right)\left(N_{4}-\bar{N}_{4}\right) \tag{5.8}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& <-a_{11}\left(N_{1}-\bar{N}_{1}\right)^{2}-\frac{a_{13}}{2}\left\{\left(N_{1}-\bar{N}_{1}\right)^{2}+\left(N_{3}-\bar{N}_{3}\right)^{2}\right\}-\frac{a_{12} a_{22}}{a_{21}}\left(N_{2}-\bar{N}_{2}\right)^{2} \\
& -a_{3 b_{2}}\left(N_{3}-\bar{N}_{3}\right)^{2}-a_{44} l_{3}\left(N_{4}-\bar{N}_{4}\right)^{2}+\frac{\left(a_{34} l_{2}+a_{43} l_{3}\right.}{2}\left\{\left(N_{3}-\bar{N}_{3}\right)^{2}+\left(N_{4}-\bar{N}_{4}\right)^{2}\right\} \\
& --(5.9)  \tag{5.9}\\
& <\left(-a_{11}-\frac{a_{13}}{2}\right)\left(N_{1}-\bar{N}_{1}\right)^{2}-\frac{a_{2} a_{22}}{a_{21}}\left(N_{2}-\bar{N}_{2}\right)^{2}  \tag{5.10}\\
& {\left[\frac{\left(a_{34} l_{2}+a_{43} l_{3}\right)}{2}-\frac{a_{13}}{2}-a_{33} l_{2}\right]\left(N_{3}-\bar{N}_{3}\right)^{2}+\left[\frac{\left(a_{34} l_{2}+a_{43} l_{3}\right.}{2}-a_{44} l_{3}\right]\left(N_{4}-\bar{N}_{4}\right)^{2}}
\end{align*}
$$

## $<0$, Provided

$$
\frac{\left(a_{34} l_{2}+a_{43} l_{3}\right)}{2}<\frac{a_{13}}{2}+a_{33} l_{2} \text { and } \frac{\left(a_{34} l_{2}+a_{43} l_{3}\right)}{2}<a_{44} l_{3}
$$

Hence the co-existent is globally asymptotically stable.
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