

Monitoring of fin-fish resources from Uran coast (Raigad), Navi Mumbai, Maharashtra, West coast of India

Prabhakar R. Pawar*

Veer Wajekar Arts, Science and Commerce College, Mahalan Vibhag, Phunde - 400 702, Uran (Dist. -Raigad), Navi Mumbai, Maharashtra, India

Abstract

India is rich in natural resources and the annual harvestable fishery potential of the country is estimated to be 3.48 million tones. It is established that the fish biodiversity of the country is diminishing at an alarming rate in all the aquatic zones. The data on species diversity of fishes from Uran coast revealed presence of 31 species of which 3 species of Chondrichthyes representing 2 genera and 2 families and 28 species of Osteichthyes representing 28 genera and 23 families were recorded. Of the recorded species, 55 % belonged to Order Perciformes, 10 % to Clupeiformes, 6 % each to Rajiformes, Mugiliformes and Anguilliformes, 3 % each to Aulopiformes, Carcharhiniformes, Pleuronectiformes, Siluriformes and Tetraodontiformes. Among the recorded species, ribbon fishes/spiny hair tail (*Lepturacanthus savala*), croakers (*Johnius soldado*), dhoma (*Sciaena dussumieri*) and gold spotted grenadier anchovy (*Coilia dussumieri*) are abundant where as Bleeker's whipsay (*Himantura bleeker*), Sharp nose stingray (*H. gerrard*) and Spotted Green Puffer fish (*Tetraodon nigroviridis*) were rare. Stripped mullet (*Mugil cephalus*), cat fish (*Mystus seenghala*), three stripped tiger fish (*Terapon jarbua*) and mudskippers (*Boleophthalmus boddarti*) were very common. At present, the yield of fin-fish resources from Uran coast is optimum; it is decreasing day by day due to coastal pollution affecting the status of the local fishermen because of which they are looking for other jobs for their livelihood.

Keywords: Fin-fish Resources, Species diversity, JNPT, Uran, Navi Mumbai

INTRODUCTION

Knowledge on species diversity of an ecosystem would help maximizing resource utilization in a sustainable manner besides preserving biodiversity [1]. The world's fisheries-on which about a billion people, mainly in developing countries, depend for their primary source of protein – are in crisis. Many are now in decline, many more may follow. The effects on the environment, and on economics and societies, are probably causing more concern than those of any other offshore activity [2]. Catching fish faster than they can reproduce reduces stocks, and thus causes the harvest of the seas to falter and then fall. The decline has reached serious proportions in many coastal waters due to inshore areas with dense populations, a high demand for fish and little employment which has affected many fisheries on the high seas [3].

India is rich in natural resources and the annual harvestable fishery potential of the country is estimated to be 3.48 million tons [4]. It is established that the fish biodiversity of the country is diminishing at an alarming rate in all the aquatic zones [5]. Due to various anthropogenic stresses, number of fish is showing declining trends in their catches from the conventional fishing grounds and some have become threatened too [6]. Various factors which causes imperilment of fishes have been identified as, physical habitat loss due to construction, soil erosion due to deforestation, chemical pollution due to industrial and municipal wastes, over-exploitation and indiscriminate killing of juveniles and brood fishes, competition from introduction of non-native species and spread of dreaded diseases [7, 8, 9]. Fishing alters the ecology, biological structure and

dynamics of marine ecosystem [10]. The progress and the problems of marine fishery of India have been reviewed by Devraj and Vivekanandan [11].

Till now extensive scientific research on ecological aspects of marine fishes has been carried out in India, however data on species diversity of marine fishes from Uran coast, Navi Mumbai is not available. Hence, the present study on species diversity of marine fishes from Coastal Environment of Uran (Raigad), Navi Mumbai, Maharashtra, is undertaken.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The study area

Geographically, Uran with the population of 23,251 is located along the eastern shore of Mumbai harbour opposite to Coloba. A creek called 'Uran creek / Sheva creek' (Lat. 18° 50' 20" N and Long. 72° 57' 5" E) encircles Uran city towards the north side and is continuous with the Panvel creek and Thane creek. Creek namely Dharamtar creek (Lat.18° 50' 5" N and Long. 72° 57' 10" E) encircles Uran city towards the south side and is continuous with the Karanja creek and Pen – Khopoli creek. On the west side, Uran is encircled by Arabian Sea (Fig. 1). Both creeks have rocky shore towards the seaward side where as remaining part of the creeks is marshy and of mud flats. Both Uran creek and Dharamtar creek are uniformly deep with 10 meters range and have moderate cover of mangroves with mud flats and low lying marshy areas on their sides. Although both creeks are under anthropogenic pressure, still they support major fisheries of true fin-fishes.

The coastal environment of Uran has been under considerable stress since the onset of industries like Oil and Natural Gas Commission LPG Distillation Plant, Grindwell Norton Ltd., MSEB Gas Turbine Power Station, Bharat Petroleum Corporation Ltd.,

*Corresponding Author,
 Email: prpawar1962@rediffmail.com, prabhakar_pawar1962@yahoo.co.in

Jawaharlal Nehru Port Trust (JNPT), Nhava-Seva International Container Terminal (NSICT), Container Freight Stations (CFS) etc.

An international port called 'Jawaharlal Nehru Port Trust (JNPT)' was established in 1989 near the Uran creek. JNPT is one of the busiest ports among 11 Major Indian Ports and handles about 60% of the total National Marine Transport of cargos. JNPT supports

a variety of maritime activities; as a result, the area of Uran creek became the ground for hectic activities of Container Freight Stations (CFS). These activities affect the ecology of fauna and flora of mangroves. Hence this area has been identified for the ecological assessment




Fig. 1 – General Map of Study Area

Species diversity of fin-fish resources

For present investigation, two stations/landing centers namely Mora along Sheva creek and Karanja along Dharamtar creek were selected for qualitative assessment of species diversity fin-fishes. The landing centers were regularly visited monthly from Apr 2009 to Mar 2011 and the specimens were collected directly from the net and carried to the laboratory in icebox for further investigation. Fishes were also collected from all types of gears used along Uran coast and were identified up to species level following the work of Day [12].

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The data on species diversity of marine fishes revealed the presence of 31 species of fishes from Uran coast. Of this 3 species of Chondrichthyes representing 2 genera and 2 families and 28 species of Osteichthyes representing 28 genera and 23 families were recorded from both the landing centers (Table - 1). Of the recorded species, 55 % belonged to Order Perciformes, 10 % to Clupeiformes, 6 % each to Rajiformes, Mugiliformes and Anguilliformes, 3 % each to Aulopiformes, Carcharhiniformes, Pleuronectiformes, Siluriformes and Tetraodontiformes. The total number of species, in alphabetical order of families is given in Table 1.

During present investigation, fishes of Order Perciformes were predominantly recorded from both the landing centers. *Mugil*

cephalus, *Gerres filamentosus*, *Terapon jarbua*, *Boleophthalmus boddarti*, *Scatophagus argus*, *Lates calcarifer*, *Johnius soldado* and *Coilia dussumieri* were recorded abundantly. Several more species with wider distribution may be added to this list in future by intensive explorations.

Biodiversity and community structures are now recognized to be important determinants of ecosystem functioning. In this regard, the marine ecosystem has been studied to a much lesser extent compared to the terrestrial [13]. The number of estimated living fish species might be close to 28,000 in the world today [7]. Day [12] has described 1418 species of fish under 342 genera from the British India. Talwar [14] has described 2546 species of fish belonging to 969 genera, 254 families and 40 orders. James [15] reported that for achieving the goals of conservation of fisheries resources in general and to secure the future of exploited fisheries, there is need for reducing fishing efforts.

Rathod et al [16] has reported 67 species of fishes in the Thane creek, Mumbai and observed that various human activities like industrial effluents, domestic waste disposal, reclamation, sand dredging and eradication of mangrove flora have deteriorated the creek causing decline in fin-fish fauna during last two decades.

Table 1: Species diversity of fin-fishes from Uran (Raigad), Navi Mumbai, Maharashtra

No.	Order/Family	Binomial Name	Common Name
Anguilliformes			
1	Muraenidae	<i>Gymnothorax pseudohyporoides</i> (Bleeker)	Moray Eel
2	Congridae	<i>Conger cinereus</i> (Ruppell)	Conger Eel
Aulopiformes			
3	Synodontidae	<i>Harpodon nehereus</i> (Hamilton)	Bombay Duck
Carcharhiniformes			
4	Carcharhinidae	<i>Scoliodon sorrakowah</i> (Maller-Henle)	Dog-sharks
Clupeiformes			
5	Engraulidae	<i>Coilia dussumieri</i> (Valenciennes)	Grenadier anchovy
6	Engraulidae	<i>Thryssa mustax</i> (Bloch and Schneider)	Moustached Thryssa
7	Clupeidae	<i>Tenualosa ilisha</i> (Hamilton)	Hilsa Shad
Mugiliformes			
8	Mugilidae	<i>Mugil cephalus</i> (Linnaeus)	Striped Mullet
9	Mugilidae	<i>Chelon macrolepis</i> (Smith)	Big scale Mullet
Perciformes			
10	Sparidae	<i>Argyrops spinifer</i> (Forskall)	Red Sea Bream
11	Gobiidae	<i>Boleophthalmus boddarti</i> (Pallas)	Mudskipper
12	Scombridae	<i>Euthynnus affinis</i> (Cantor)	Little Tuna
13	Scombridae	<i>Rastrelliger kanagurta</i> (Cuvier)	Indian Mackerel
14	Gerreidae	<i>Gerres filamentosus</i> (Cuvier)	Whipfin Mojarra
15	Sciaenidae	<i>Johnius solidus</i> (Lacepede)	Croaker
16	Sciaenidae	<i>Sciaenidae dussumieri</i> (Cuvier)	Dhoma
17	Centropomidae	<i>Lates calcarifer</i> (Bloch)	Sea Bass
18	Trichiuridae	<i>Lepturacanthus savala</i> (Cuvier)	Ribbon-fish
19	Carangidae	<i>Megalaspis cordyla</i> (Linnaeus)	Mackerel Scad
20	Carangidae	<i>Parastromateus niger</i> (Bloch)	Black Pomfret
21	Stromateidae	<i>Stromateus argenteus</i> (Euphrasen)	Silver Pomfret
22	Polynemidae	<i>Polynemus tetradactylus</i> (Shaw)	Indian Salmon
23	Priacanthidae	<i>Priacanthus hamrui</i> (Forskall)	Bull eye Scad
24	Scatophagidae	<i>Scatophagus argus</i> (Linnaeus)	Spotted Scat
25	Terapontidae	<i>Terapon jarbua</i> (Forskall)	Three-striped Tiger fish
26	Cichlidae	<i>Tilapia mozambica</i> (Peters)	Blue tilapias
Pleuronectiformes			
27	Cynoglossidae	<i>Cynoglossus macrolepidus</i> (Bleeker)	Large scaled tonguesole
Rajiformes			
28	Dasyatidae	<i>Himantura bleekeri</i> (Blyth)	Bleeker's whipray
29	Dasyatidae	<i>Himantura griffithi</i> (Grey)	Sharpnose stingray
Siluriformes			
30	Bagridae	<i>Mystus senghala</i> (Sykes)	Cat fish
Tetraodontiformes			
31	Tetraodontidae	<i>Tetraodon nigroviridis</i> (Marion de Proce)	Puffer fish

Tayade and Rakesh Kumar [17] have reported fish mortality in Mumbai Coastal Region during October 2005 and several fish species were observed to be dead along the Mumbai coast such as Gateway of India, Juhu, Dadar and Khar Danda.

The coastal environment of Uran has been under considerable stress since the onset of other industries and JNPT since 1989. Hectic activities of Container Freight Stations (CFS), urbanization, industrialization and reclamation in the stretch of creek around Uran, result in the loss of mangrove biodiversity. Several incidences of coastal pollution occur because of leakage/discharge of transporting materials along with industrial effluents.

Disposal of domestic wastes and untreated or partially treated industrial effluents in coastal region of Uran, Navi Mumbai have depleted coastal resources, public health risk and loss of coastal and marine biodiversity [18]. Sighting of dead fish surfacing in creeks of Mumbai and Navi Mumbai (Panvel creek, Vashi creek, Belapur creek etc.) is common from last few years affecting the livelihood of fishermen. Dumping of industrial effluents, untreated sewage and unchecked encroachment along the coastal line have resulted in deterioration of water quality and incidences of industrial pollution are common in creeks of Mumbai and Navi Mumbai. Slaughtering of mangroves from Navi Mumbai region due to over exploration, unsustainable demand and reclamation have resulted in destruction of marine life [19, 20, 21, 22].

In conclusion, it is stated that, at present coastal environment of Uran shows moderate species diversity of marine fishes. Since no

earlier reports are available on fin-fish diversity of Uran, data presented here can be taken as a baseline data in knowing the status of marine fishes and effect of industrial development on it.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Financial support provided by University Grants Commission, Western Regional Office, Pune and Board for College and University Development, University of Mumbai is gratefully acknowledged. The author wishes to express deep sense of gratitude to Prof. Dr. B. G. Kulkarni, Director, The Institute of Science, Mumbai for encouragement and support. The author is thankful to The Principal and Faculty Members, Department of Zoology, Veer Wajekar Arts, Science and Commerce College, Phunde (Uran) for healthy cooperation. Special thanks are due to Miss L. S. Patil for the critical reading of the manuscript.

REFERENCES

- [1] Biju Kumar, A and G. R. Deepthi, 2009. Mean trophic index of fish fauna associated with trawl bycatch of Kerala, southwest coast of India. J. Mar. Biol. Ass. India, 51 (2): 145 – 157.
- [2] Dwivedi, S. N. and A. K. Choubey, 1998. Indian Ocean Large Marine Ecosystems: Need for National and Regional Framework for Conservation and Sustainable Development. In K. Sherman, E. Okemwa and M. Niiba (Eds.), Large Marine Ecosystems of the Indian Ocean: Assessment, Sustainability, and Management: Cambridge, MA: Blackwell Science, pp. 327–333. ISBN:

- 0632043180.
- [3] GESAMP, 2001. GESAMP (IMO/FAO/UNESCO-WMO/WHO/IAEA/UN/UNEP Joint Group of Experts on the Scientific Aspects of Marine Environmental Protection) and Advisory Committee on Protection of the Sea. A sea of troubles. Rep. Stud. *GESAMP* No. 70. pp.35.
- [4] Verlekar, X. N., Snigdha, S. R. Desai and V. K. Dhargalkar, 2007. Shark hunting – an indiscriminate trade endangering elasmobranchs to extinction. *Curr. Sci.*, 92(8):1078–1082.
- [5] Mahanta, P. C., 2004. Species Survival Programme – A Need Towards Protection of Threatened Fishes. *Procd. Nat. Semi. on “Biodiversity, Biotechnology and Environmental Toxicology in the New Millennium”*. Organized by, The Institute of Science, Mumbai on 22nd to 24th Nov 2004, pp. 57.
- [6] Pandey, A. K. and P. Das, 2004. Fish Biodiversity Conservation: Current Concepts and Future Directions. *Procd. Nat. Semi. on “Biodiversity, Biotechnology and Environmental Toxicology in the New Millennium”*. Organized by, The Institute of Science, Mumbai on 22nd to 24th Nov 2004, pp. 1 – 2.
- [7] Venkataraman, K and M. Wafar, 2005. Coastal and marine biodiversity of India. *Ind. J. Mar. Sci.*, 34(1): 57-75.
- [8] Benjamin, S. P., 2011. Collaborative studies on the fauna of the Western Ghats- Sri Lanka biodiversity hotspot. *Curr. Sci.*, 100(3):280.
- [9] Sarkar Jaimini, 2011. Critically endangered Indian animals. *Curr. Sci.*, 100(11):1608–1609.
- [10] Dayton, P. K., 2009. Reversals of the burden of proof in fisheries management. *Science*, 279:821- 822.
- [11] Devraj, M and E. Vivekanandan, 1999. Marine capture fisheries of India: Challenges and opportunities. *Curr. Sci.*, 76:314-332.
- [12] Day, F. 1889. *The Fishes of India*, William Dawson and Sons, London.
- [13] Raghukumar, S and A. C. Anil, 2003. Marine biodiversity and ecosystem functioning: A perspective. *Curr. Sci.*, 84(7):884–892.
- [14] Talwar, P. K. 1991. *Pisces, Animal Resources of India, Protozoa to Mammalia*. *Zool. Surv. India, Calcutta*. 1:577-630.
- [15] James, P. S. B. R., 2010. Taxonomic status of marine pelagic fishes of India, research priorities and conservation strategies for the sustainability of their fisheries. *Ind. J. of Ani. Sci.*, 80(4) (Suppl. 1):39–45.
- [16] Rathod, S. D., N. N. Patil, Quadros Goldin and R. P. Athalye, 2002. Qualitative study of fin fish and shell fish fauna of Thane creek and Ulhas river estuary. *Procd. Nat. Semi. on Creeks, Estuaries and Mangroves – Pollution and Conservation*, Organized by, B. N. B. College of Science, Thane, Mumbai on 28th – 30th November 2002. pp 135–141.
- [17] Tayade, Sandeep and Rakesh Kumar, 2006. Assessment of Fish Mortality in Mumbai Coastal Region and its Causes. *Procd. Nat. Conf. on Environmental Pollution and Toxicology*, Organized by, Thakur College of Science & Commerce, Kandivali, Mumbai on 22nd – 23rd Dec 2006. pp 55–56.
- [18] Zingde, M. D., 1999. Marine environmental status and coastal zone management issues in India. In: *South Asia Regional Workshop on Estuarine modelling and Coastal Zone Management*. A Joint START / LOICZ / IGBP-SL Workshop, 28th –30th April 1999, Colombo, Sri Lanka. pp. 153 – 164.
- [19] Inamdar, A. B., R. K. Surendrakumar, M. C. Behera, B. K. H. B. Chauhan and S. Nayak, 2000. Land use mapping of Maharashtra Coastal Regulatory Zone, SAC/RESA/MWRD/CRZ/SN/02/00 (Indian Space Research Organization, Ahmadabad, India), pp 42.
- [20] Mukherji, M., 2002. Degradation of Creeks and Mangroves and its impact on Urban environment – A case study of Mumbai. *Procd. Nat. Semi. on Creeks, Estuaries and Mangroves – Pollution and Conservation*, Organized by, B. N. B. College of Science, Thane, Mumbai on 28th – 30th November 2002. pp 331 – 333.
- [21] Zingde, M. D., 2002. Degradation of Marine habitats and Coastal management framework. *Procd. Nat. Semi. on Creeks, Estuaries and Mangroves – Pollution and Conservation*, Organized by, B. N. B. College of Science, Thane, Mumbai on 28th – 30th November 2002. pp 3 – 7.
- [22] Pawar, Prabhakar R and Kulkarni Balasaheb G., 2007. Diversity of Macrobenthos in Karanja creek (Dist. - Raigad), Maharashtra, West coast of India. *J. Aqua. Biol.*, 22(1): 47 – 54.