International Multidisciplinary Research Journal 2012, 2(12):17-18 ISSN: 2231-6302

Available Online: http://irjs.info/



# Studies on antibacterial activity of some plant extracts

### S. L. Taley<sup>1</sup>, A. S. Pethe<sup>1</sup> and S. P. Rothe<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Microbiology, Shri Shivaji College, Akola (M.S.) India <sup>2</sup>Department of Botany, Shri Shivaji College, Akola (M.S.) India

#### Abstract

The antibacterial activity of the extracts of *Melia azedarch* (L), *Spilanthes paniculata* (L, f), *Oxalis Corniculata, Colocassic esculenta* (L), and *Hyptis suaveolens* (L) were evaluated against five bacteria isolated from semen samples (*E. coli., S. aureus, P. vulgaris, P. aeruginosa* and *B. subtilis*). These plants are used in medicine to treat infections of microbial origin.

**Keywords:** Antibacterial activity, Medicinal plants.

#### INTRODUCTION

Medicinal plants represents a rich source of antimicrobial agents. Plants are used medicinally in different countries and are a source of many potent and powerful drugs. Traditionally used medicinal plants produce a variety of compounds of known therapeutic properties (lyenger, 1985; Harborne and Baxter, 1999). The substance that can either inhibit the growth of pathogens or kill them and have no or least toxicity to host cells are considered candidates for developing new antimicrobial drugs.

The aim of this study was to evaluate the antimicrobial activity of some medicinal plant used in Ayurveda and traditional medicinal system for treatment of maninfestations caused by microorganisms.

Therefore, extracts of the following five plants form different families were tested for their potential activity against microbial pathogens isolated from semen samples. *Melia azedarch, Colocassia esculents, Spilanthes paniculata, Hyptis suaveolens* and *Oxalis corniculata.* 

# MATERIALS AND METHODS Plant Material

The different parts of plants used in Ayurveda and traditional systems of medicine were collected from various region of Akola district. Plants were identified by Dr. S. P. Rothe (Botanist) at Shri. Shivaji College, Akola. The plant material was dried in shade.

Table 1. Five different medicinal plants

SI. No.	Plants	Family	Parts used	
1	Collocassia esculenta	Areaceae	Leaves	
2	Melia azedarch	Meliaceae	Leaves	
3	Spilanthes paniculata	Asteraceae	Stems, flowers	
4	Oxalis corniculata	Oxiladiaceae	Leaves	
5	Hyptis suaveolens	Lamiaceae	Leaves	

#### **Preparation of Plant Extracts**

The powdered plant materials were extracted successively with water, acetone and 90% methanol. The extract was prepared at room temperature by simple extraction method (Daneil, 1975) was used with slight modification.

## Microorganisms

Bacterial cultures were isolated from semen samples used along with the standard strains. Quality control strains of *S. aureus* (ATCC 6538), *E. coli* (NCIM 2931), *P. vulgaris* (NCIM 2027),

Received: Oct 10, 2012; Revised: Nov 12, 2012; Accepted: Dec 26, 2012.

\*Corresponding Author

S. L. Taley

Department of Microbiology, Shri Shivaji College, Akola (M.S.) India

Email: sltaley@gmail.com

B. substilis (MTCC 441) and P. aeruginosa (NCIM 2036).

# **Antibacterial screening**

Antibacterial screening were preformed by agar well diffusion method (Perez et al., 1990) with some modification 1 ml of inoculums (prepared as described in 4.2) was transferred aseptically to each sterilized Petridis (90mm diameter) sterilized and cooled (45°C) sensitivity test agar (15 ml) was poured into this Petridis. The inoculums was mixed roughly with the agar medium so as to get uniform distribution and then the agar was allowed to solidify wells were cut out in the solid medium with flamed 5 mm cork borer equidistantly from each other and the center. The wells were sealed at the bottom with melted agar. Different concentrations of the plants extracts and solvent blank (50 µl each) were transferred to the wells. The plates were kept in refrigerator at 4°C for 40 min and then incubated at 37°C for 18-24 hrs. Zone of inhibition, if any was measured for each concentration as diameter in mm. The experiments were carried out in duplicates and the average diameter of the zone of inhibition was recorded.

18 Taley et al.,

#### **RESULT AND DISCUSSION**

Table 2. Antibacterial Potential of Ad	queous extract of selected plants
Table 2. / Illibactorial Fotoritial of / to	added childer of delected plants

Sr. No.	Plants and their parts Used	E. coli	S. aureus	P. areuginosa	P. vulgaris	B. subtilis
1	Melia azedarch (L)	8	9.5	8	10	10
2	Spilanthes paniculata (L,F)	10	11	12	13	8
3.	Oxalis comiculata (L)	8	12	8	11	10
4	Colocassia esculenta (L)	R	10	9.5	7	8
5	Hyptis suaveolens (L)	11	12	12	10	10

Table 3. Antibacterial potential of plant extract.

Sr. No.	Plants and their parts Used	Extract	E. coli	S. aureus	P. areuginosa	P. vulgaris	B. subtilis
1	Melia azedarch (L)	Α	06	06	5.5	9	7
		M	08	6.7	6.6	10	8.4
2	Spilanthes paniculata (L,F)	Α	NR	8	10	NR	10
		M	8	10	12	NR	12
3.	Oxalis comiculata (L)	Α	6	11	10	6	12
		M	8	14	12	6.5	14
4	Colocassia esculenta (L)	Α	11	12	11	10	14
		M	12	14	12	12	16
5	Hyptis suaveolens (L)	Α	11	14	6.5	10.5	10
	.,	M	12	12	9.5	12	12

#### **DISCUSSION**

In present investigations, 5 plants weer screened for antibacterial activity against five standard bacterial cultures. Since leaves of *S. paniculata* and *H. suaveokns* possess strong antibacterial activity between zone size 8 – 12 mm followed by *O. corniculata, M. azedarch* and *C. esculenta*. Aqueous extract of *C. esculenta* does not showed activity against *E. coli.* 

In present study the acetone and methanal extract of *M. azedarch, O. corniculata, C. esculata* and *H. suaveolens* shows significant antibacterial activity. *S. paniculata* also shows antibacterial activity but does not showed activity against *P. vulgaris*.

Results suggests that all five plants possess significant antibacterial activity.

#### CONCLUSION

Population rise, inadequate supply of drugs, prohibitive cost of treatments, side effects of several allopathic drugs and development of resistance to diseases have led to increased emphasis on the use of plant materials as a source of medicines for a wide variety of human ailments. Global estimates indicate that 80% of about 4 million population cannot afford the products of the Western Pharmaceutical Industry and have to rely upon the use of traditional medicines which are mainly derived from plant material.

Both herbs and herbal products are known to have antibacterial potential (De Boer et al., 2005; Adwan et al., 2006).

Herbal treatments become very popular because it is easily available, cheaper and less toxic than synthetic drugs.

#### **REFERENCES**

- [1] Adwan G, Abu-Shanab B, Adwan K, Abu-Shanab F, 2006. Antibacterial Effects of Nutraceutical Plants Growing in Palestine on *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. *Turk J Biol.* 30: 239 242.
- [2] Harborne, S.B., Baxter, H., 1995. Phytochemical Dictionary. A Handbook of Bioactive Compounds from Plants. Taylor and Francis, London.
- [3] Daneil, M. 1975. Methods of extraction and characterization in Plant Chemistry and Economic Botany, Published by John Wiley and Sons, New York, 1-4.
- [4] de Boer HJ, Kool A, Broberg A, Mziray WR, Hedberg I, Levenfors J. 2005. Anti-fungal and anti-bacterial activity of some herbal remedies from Tanzania. *J. Ethnopharmacol.*, 96 (3): 461-469.
- [5] Perez, C., Pauli, M. and Bazerque, P. 1990. A antibiotic assay by the agar well diffusion methods. Acta Biologiae at Medicine Experimentalis, 15, 113-115.
- [6] Iyengar, M. A. 1985. Study of crude drugs. Manipal Power Press, Manipal. pp.103-106.