Research in Biotechnology, 2(4): 20-25, 2011

ISSN: 2229-791X www.researchinbiotechnology.com

# Regular Article Effect of certain phytochemicals on *Aeromonas hydrophila*

#### Vijay Kothari, Madhu Naraniwal and Ankit Gupta

Institute of Science, Nirma University, S-G Highway, Ahmedabad-382481, India Corresponding author email: <u>vijay23112004@yahoo.co.in</u>; <u>vijay.kothari@nirmauni.ac.in</u>

This study was aimed at investigating effect of different phytochemicals on growth of *Aermonas hydrophila*. Susceptibility of *A. hydrophila* to seven different phytocompounds was evaluated by broth dilution assay. Among all the test compounds curcumin with a minimum inhibitory concentration of ~175  $\mu$ g/ml was found to be most effective against *A. hydrophila*, followed by tannic acid. Gallic acid failed to show any effect on growth of the test organism. A growth curve study in absence and presence of curcumin was also made. Since *A. hydrophila* is viewed as a challenging and notorious food-borne pathogen, and difficult to control owing to its resistance to many antibiotics, it is required to find novel approaches to control it. Our study found curcumin to be effective against this organism as a bacteriostatic agent at microgram concentrations.

**Keywords**: Curcumin, Tannic acid, Bacteriostatic, Minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC), Food-borne pathogen.

Aeromonas hydrophila is one of the most challenging, ubiquitous and opportunistic food borne pathogens. Due to its capacity to grow even at low temperatures (temperature range: -0.1 to 37°C) it has major role in spoilage of packaged foods (Adams and Moss, 1995). It causes various diseases like endophthalmitis, gastroenteritis, cellulitis, meningitis, diarrhoea, etc. This gram-negative rod is also resistant to many antibiotics; hence it has become one of the most notorious foodborne pathogens to handle. It is required to develop novel approaches for controlling this organism (Patel et al., 2010). Most significant feature with regard to any threat A. hydrophila may pose in foods is its ability to grow down to chill temperatures.

*A. hydrophila* is found to be associated with variety of extraintestinal infections like peritonitis, cholangitis, skin and soft tissue infections, pneumonia, meningitis, heamolytic uremic syndrome, myonecrosis, bacteremia, septicemia, eczema, and ocular infections. When A. hydrophila crosses the blood-occular barrier to reach the eye via blood stream, it causes a sight-threatening condition known as endogeneous endophthalmitis (Sohn et al., 2007; Mukhopadhyay et al., 2008). Ljungh et al. (1977) found A. hydrophila as causative agent of acute diarrhoeal disease.

A. hydrophila shows resistance to antibiotics such as cabenicillin, vancomycin, cephalothin, riphampicin, ampicillin, penicillin, sulbactam, cefoxitin, erythomycincefoxitin, bacitracin, and trimethoprim (http://en.wikipedia.org/ wiki/Aeromonas-hydrophila; Palu et al., 2006). Aforementioned properties of A. hydrophila justify consideration of this

pathogen as worthy of attention as it is getting infamous for spoilage of various packaged food products and also in causing gastrointestinal infections. Controlling this organism conventional with chemotherapeutic agents is not easy due to its resistance to many of them. Hence it is necessary to find novel leads or to evaluate known but hitherto untested agents against this organism. More investigation is warranted to control the growth of this challenging pathogen in order to avoid spoilage of frozen packaged food products various gastrointestinal and preclude problems associated with A. hydrophila infection (Patel et al., 2010).

We challenged A. hydrophila with various phytochemicalsgallic acid, caffeine, quercetin, rutin, curcumin, tocopherol, and tannic acid- to see their effect on Phytochemicals its growth. are structurally distinct from microbially derived antibiotic natural products, it is likely that this chemical uniqueness will give rise to classes of antibacterials which have modes of action distinct from existing compounds (Gibbons, 2008). Gallic acid and tannin in extracts of Syzygium cumini bark has been suggested to be responsible for its antibacterial activity (Sharma et al., 2009). Mingyu and Zhuting (2008)reported quercetin in lotus leaves as a component that may be a potential antibacterial agent. Gallic acid and quercetin have also been indicated as antibacterial constituents in S. cumini seed extracts (Kothari, 2011).

### Materials and Methods Materials

Test organism: *Aeromonas hydrophila* (MTCC 1739) was procured from Microbial Type Culture Collection, Chandigarh, India.

Phytochemicals: Quercetin, tannic acid (S-d fine chemicals, Mumbai), caffeine, rutin, curcumin (Central drug house, Mumbai), gallic acid (SRL, Mumbai), and DL- alphatocopherol acetate (Merck, Mumbai).

Broth dilution assay: Muller-Hinton borth (HiMedia, Mumbai), dimethylsulfoxide (DMSO; Merck), gentamicin (HiMedia).

## Antibacterial susceptibility test

MIC (minimum inhibitory concentration) determination was carried out using microbroth dilution method as per NCCLS guidelines (Jorgensen and Turnidge, 2003). Assay was performed in 96-well microtitre plates. Total volume of the assay system in each well was kept 200 µL. Muller-Hinton broth was used as growth medium. Inoculum density of the test organism was adjusted to that of 0.5 McFarland standard. Broth was dispensed into wells of microtitre plate followed by addition of test compound and inoculum. Test compounds (all reconstituted in DMSO, except gallic acid which was prepared in water) were serially diluted into each of the wells. A DMSO control was included in all assays (Wadhwani et al., 2009). Gentamicin served as a positive control. Plates were incubated at 35°C for 16-20 h, before being read at 655 nm in a plate reader (BIORAD 680). MIC was recorded as the lowest concentration at which no growth was observed. Concentration at which growth was inhibited by 50% was recorded as IC<sub>50</sub> value. In case of those phytochemicals which were able to inhibit test organism's growth, subculturing was done on sterile nutrient agar plate from the wells showing inhibition so as to check whether the phytochemical in question is bacteriostatic or bactericidal. All experiments were performed in triplicate.

### Growth curve

Two sets of test tubes containing Mueller-Hinton broth (5 ml) were prepared, one was having curcumin (70 µg/ml), whereas another was with equal volume of DMSO (in which curcumin was prepared) but no curcumin. Both sets were inoculated with *A. hydrophila* suspension of same density (OD equal to that of 0.5 McFarland standard) followed by incubation at 35°C. Growth was monitored at regular time intervals up to 8 h by measuring OD at 625 nm.

### **Results and Discussion**

Among all the compounds tested, curcumin proved to be most effective at inhibiting growth of A. hydrophila. It was able to inhibit growth of the test organism by 55% and  $\sim 80\%$  at 80 and 175 µg/ml concentration respectively (Table 1). Thus curcumin can be said to have a IC<sub>50</sub> slightly below 80  $\mu$ g/ml and MIC at ~175  $\mu$ g/ml. The concentration at which 80 % or greater diminution of growth compared with that of the control occurs is usually recorded as the MIC (Jorgensen and Turnidge, 2003). Curcumin was able to inhibit growth of A. hydrophila completely at 230 µg/ml. When content from the well corresponding to this concentration of curcumin inoculated with A. hydrophila was spread onto a sterile nutrient agar plate, the organism was able to resume its growth following overnight incubation at 35°C indicating the effect of curcumin being bacteriostatic. When A. hydrophila was challenged with a sub-MIC concentration of curcumin (70  $\mu$ g/ml), it achieved lesser growth as compared to control (Figure 1), suggesting a decreased growth rate in presence of curcumin.

Curcumin, a dietary polyphenolic compound, has been shown to have a potent antibacterial activity against a number of pathogenic bacteria including Staphylococcus aureus, Staphylococcus epidermidis and Enterococcus. Rai et al. (2008) found that curcumin induced filamentation in the Bacillus subtilis, suggesting that it inhibits bacterial cytokinesis. Further they showed that curcumin strongly inhibited the formation of the cytokinetic Z-ring in B. without detectably affecting the subtilis

segregation and organization of the nucleoids. Curcumin inhibited the assembly of FtsZ protofilaments and also increased the GTPase activity of FtsZ. Curcumin reduced the bundling of FtsZ protofilaments *in vitro*. Their results indicated that the perturbation of the GTPase activity of FtsZ assembly is lethal to bacteria and that curcumin inhibits bacterial cell proliferation by inhibiting the assembly dynamics of FtsZ in the Z-ring.

Test compound	Concentration (µg/ml)	Inhibition (%) of <i>A. hydrophila</i> (Mean±SD)
Curcumin	80	55±0.0
	175	79±0.41
	230	100±0.0
Tannic acid	200	52±0.73
	500	75±0.70
Rutin	560	36±0.37
Quercetin	500	34±0.88
Caffeine	450	26±0.23
Tocopherol	250	16±0.95

**\***Table 1. Result of broth dilution assay of different phytochemicals

\*All compounds were tested at different concentrations in the range 50-500  $\mu$ g/ml. In case of rutin highest concentration applied was 560  $\mu$ g/ml. Results are shown for only those at which IC<sub>50</sub>/MIC was found or whatever inhibition caused at highest test concentration.

Chemically, curcumin is a bis- $\alpha$ , $\beta$ unsaturated  $\beta$ -diketone (commonly called a diferuloylmethane) (Anand *et al.*, 2007). It has an interesting structure with two phenolic groups and one active methylene function, which are potential sites for attaching biomolecules. Curcumin bioconjugates have been reported to possess antibacterial activity (Kumar *et al.*, 2001). Curcumin has also been reported as a promising antifungal (Martins *et al.*, 2009), as well antiprotozoal (Cui *et al.*, 2007) agent. Use of curcumin as an antimicrobial finish owing to its bactericidal properties on dyed textiles was reported by Han and Yang (2005). Curcumin was reported to attenuate the virulence of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* by inhibiting the virulence factors such as biofilm formation, pyocyanin biosynthesis, elastase/protease activity, and acyl homoserine lactone production (Rudrappa and Bais, 2008). Curcumin is known for its ability to bind a variety of proteins and inhibit the activity of various kinases. It is believed to be safe even when consumed at a daily dose of 12 g for 3 months (Goel *et al.*, 2008).




Figure 1. Effect of curcumin at sub-MIC concentration on *A. hydrophila* 

Tannic acid was second most effective compound against *A. hydrophila*. Its IC<sub>50</sub> is little less than 200 µg/ml, and MIC can be said to be slightly above 500 µg/ml (Table 1). Tannic acid was reported to inhibit the growth of intestinal bacteria, and its inhibitory effect was suggested to be due to its strong iron binding capacity (Chung *et al.*, 1998). Tannic acid is an important gallotannin belonging to a hydrolysable class. It was found to inhibit growth of various strains of *Staphylococcus aureus* at 250-1000 mg/l, and was suggested as a potential adjuvant agent against *S. aureus* skin infections treated with  $\beta$ -lactam antibiotics (Akiyama *et al.*, 2001). Tannic acid was reported to have an inhibitory effect on cellulolytic bacteria at concentrations  $\leq 45\mu g/ml$ . It is suggested that the site of action of tannins on sensitive microorganisms is primarily the cell envelope (Henis *et al.*, 1964).

Except curcumin and tannic acid no other test compound inhibited A. hydrophila to any notable extent at concentrations tested. Gallic acid failed to exert any effect on A. hydrophila even at highest concentration (500 µg/ml) tested. Inability of gallic acid to inhibit bacterial growth to a significant extent was also reported by Chung et al. (1998) and Henis et al. (1964), which may be attributed to its low iron-binding capacity. Rutin, caffeine, tocopherol, and quercetin were able to inhibit the growth of A. hydrophila up to certain extent, but none was found to have an IC<sub>50</sub> below 500  $\mu$ g/ml, making them not an attractive candidate for control of this pathogen.

Since A. hydrophila is viewed as a notorious challenging and food-borne pathogen, and difficult to control owing to its resistance to many antibiotics, it is required to find novel approaches to control it. Our study found curcumin to be effective against this organism as a bacteriostatic agent at microgram concentrations. Curcumin is already a widely used food ingredient. It can be introduced at appropriate concentration in those food preparations in which Α. hydrophila most commonly establishes itself. It can also be used as a supplementary therapeutic agent in people suffering from Aeromonas infections. We suggest more such phytochemicals should be tested against this and other pathogenic microorganisms in order to find better therapeutic alternatives.

#### Acknowledgement

Authors thank Nirma Education and Research Foundation (NERF), Ahmedabad for financial and infrastructural support.

### References

- Adams, M.R., and Moss, M.O. 1995. Bacterial agents of foodborne illness. In: *Food Microbiology*. New Delhi: New Age International Publishers, pp.156-219.
- Akiyama, H., Fujii, K., Yamasaki, O., Oono, T., and Iwatsuki, K. 2001. Antibacterial activity of several tannins against *Staphylococcus aureus*. J. Antimicrob. *Chemoth.*, **48**: 487-491.
- Anand, P., Kunnumakkara, A.B., Newman, R.A., and Aggarwal, B.B. 2007.
  Bioavailability of curcumin: Problems and promises. *Mol. Pharm.*, 4(6): 807–818.
- Chung, K.T., Lu, Z., and Chou, M.W. 1998. Mechanism of inhibition of tannic acid and related compounds on the growth of intestinal bacteria. *Food Chem. Toxicol.*, **36**: 1053-1060.
- Cui, L., Miao, J., and Cui, L. 2007. Cytotoxic effect of curcumin on malaria parasite *Plasmodium falciparum*: Inhibition of histone acetylation and generation of reactive oxygen species. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.*, **51(2)**: 488–494.
- Gibbons, S. 2008. Phytochemicals for bacterial resistance – Strengths, weaknesses and opportunities. *Planta Med.*, **74**: 594-602.
- Goel, A., Kunnumakkara, A.B., and Aggarwal, B.B. 2008. Curcumin as "Curecumin": From kitchen to clinic. *Biochem. Pharmacol.*, **75**: 787–809.
- Hana, S., and Yang, Y. 2005. Antimicrobial activity of wool fabric treated with curcumin. *Dyes Pigments.*, **64:** 157-161.
- Henis, Y., Tagari, H., and Volcani, R. 1964. Effect of water extracts of carob Pods, tannic acid, and their derivatives on the morphology and growth of microorganisms. *Appl. Microbiol.*, **12(3)**: 204-209.

- http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Aeromonashydrophila
- Jorgensen, J.H., and Turnidge, J.D. 2003. Susceptibility test methods: Dilution and disk diffusion methods. *Manual of Clinical Microbiology*, Eds. P Murray, New York: ASM International, pp. 1108-1127.
- Kothari, V. 2011. Antimicrobial and Antioxidant Properties of Plant Products: Screening and Fractionation of Bioactive Plant Extracts. Germany: Lambert Academic Publishing, pp. 59-60.
- Kumar, S., Narain, U., Trapathi, S., and Misra, K. 2001. Syntheses of curcumin bioconjugates and study of their antibacterial activities against-lactamase producing microorganisms. *Biocongugate chem.*, **12**: 464-469.
- Ljungh, A., Popoff, M., and Wadstrom, T. 1977. *Aeromonas hydrophila* in acute diarrheal disease: Detection of enterotoxin and biotyping of strain. *J. Clin. Microbiol.*, **6**: 96-100.
- Martins, C.V.B., Silva, D.L., Neres, A.T.M., Magalhaes, T.F.F., Watanabe, G.A., Modolo, L.V., Sabino, A.A., Fatima, A., and Resende, M.A. 2009. Curcumin as a promising antifungal of clinical interest. *J. Antimicrob. Chemoth.*, **63**: 337–339.
- Mingyu, L., and Zhuting, X. 2008. Quercetin in a lotus leaves extract may be responsible for antibacterial activity. *Arch. Pharm. Res.*, **31(5):** 640-644. doi: 10.1007/s12272-001-1206-5.
- Mukhopadhyay, C., Chawla, K., Sharma, Y., and Bairy, I. 2008. Emerging esxtraintestinal infections with *A. hydrophila* in coastal region of Karnataka. *J. Postgrad. Med.*, **54(3)**: 199-202.
- Palu, A.P., Gomes, L.M., Miguel, M.A., Balassiano, I.T., Queiroz, M.L., Freitas-Almeida, A.C., and Oliveira, S.S. 2006. Antimicrobial resistance in food and

clinical Aeromonas isolates. *Food Microbiol.*, **3(5)**: 504-509.

- Patel, D., Desai, K., Lawani, D., and Kothari, V. 2010. Aeromonas hydrophila: A challenging foodborne pathogen. Int. J. Life Sci. Technol., 3(4): 39-43.
- Rais, D., Singh, J.K., Roy, N., and Panda, D. 2008. Curcumin inhibits FtsZ assembly: an attractive mechanism for its antibacterial activity. *Biochem. J.*, **410**: 147–155, doi: 10.1042/BJ20070891.
- Rudrappa, T., and Bais, H.P. 2008. Curcumin, a known phenolic from *Curcuma longa*, attenuates the virulence of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* PAO1 in whole plant and animal pathogenicity models. J. Agr. Food *Chem.*, **56**: 1955–1962.

- Sharma, A., Patel, V., and Ramteke, P. 2009. Identification of vibrioicidal compounds from medicinal plants using chromatographic fingerprinting. *World J. Microb. Biot.*, **25**: 19-25. doi: 10.1007/s11274-008-9855-7.
- Shon, H.J., Nam, D.H., Kim, Y.S., and Paik, H.J. 2007. Endogenous *Aeromonas hydrophila* endophthalmitis in an immunocompromised patient. *Korean J. Ophthalmol.*, **21:** 45-47.
- Wadhwani, T., Desai, K., Patel, D., Lawani, D., Bahaley, P., Joshi, P., and Kothari, V. 2009. Effect of various solvents on bacterial growth in context of determining MIC of various antimicrobials. *The Inte J Microbiol.*, **7(1)**.