



ISSN: 2455-9377

Productivity improvement of onion (*Allium cepa* L.) under agro-climatic conditions of Mansehra, Pakistan

Qamar-uz-Zaman*, F. S. Hamid, Shamsul Islam, Fayaz Ahmad, Naveed Ahmad

PARC National Tea & High Value Crops Research Institute, Shinkiyari, Mansehra, Pakistan

ABSTRACT

The present study was conducted to investigate the effect of various levels of NPK fertilizers on the yield and yield contributing factors of onion. Treatments includes namely T₁(00-00-00), T₂(25-25-12.5), T₃(50-50-25), T₄(75-75-37.5) and T₅(100-100-50) NPK kg/ha. All P and K fertilizer was applied as basal dose while Nitrogen was applied in split doses. The variety used in the study was Swat-1. Data revealed that at harvest maximum plant height of 53.8cm, No. of leaves/plant (7), No. of roots/plant (95), No. of bulb/plot (221), fresh weight/bulb (127.7g), bulb size (6.4 cm), and yield of 24.92 tons/ha were recorded in treatment T₅ provided 100-100-50 NPK kg/ha followed by plant height of 52.2cm, No. of leaves/plant (6), No. of roots/plant (83), No. of bulb/plot (156), fresh weight/bulb 118g, bulb size 5.7cm, and 23.87 tons/ha yield in treatment receiving 75-57-37.5NPK kg/ha. The minimum plant height of 46.3 cm, No. of leaves/plant (5), No. of roots/plant (55), No. of bulb/plot (114), fresh weight/bulb (103.5 g) bulb size (5.05cm) and yield of 17.80 tons/ha was recorded in control plot (without NPK). Results indicates that NPK @100-100-50 kg/ha proved to be the optimal dose of fertilizer to get maximum yield of onion.

KEYWORDS: Swat-1, NPK fertilizers, onion (*Allium Cepa* L.), growth and yield

Received: March 29, 2018
 Accepted: June 11, 2018
 Published: June 22, 2018

*Corresponding Author

Qamar-uz-Zaman
 Email: qamaruzzaman60@gmail.com

INTRODUCTION

Onion (*Allium Cepa*. L), as integral part of food in kitchen is occupying an important role in our daily vegetable use. Onion besides being used as food is also used as medicine for the treatment of various diseases in different parts of the world [1]. In South Asia and particularly in Pakistan onion is used as important and essential ingredient of large number of food recipes. In Pakistan, Onion is being cultivated in many areas including Khyber Pakhtun khawa province [2]. There are many districts, in which the onion production is contribution a significant percentage. There some factors which hindering the production of onion in Pakistan like poor nutritional, management practices and post-harvest losses.

Optimum fertilizers application for onion is an inevitable factor for good yield and quality. Previous studies showed the fertilizer effects on onion crop [3-5]. Dosages of potassium application in comparison with nitrogen and phosphorus was explained earlier [6] and the average NPK levels in onion crop [7]. Results of Tahir *et al* [8] revealed that nitrogen application significantly affected most of the parameters. Plots treated with Nitrogen 120 kg ha⁻¹ produced maximum bulb diameter (6.82 cm), bulb neck diameter (1.09 cm), bulb fresh weight (141.37 g), bulb

dry weight (15.61g) and marketable yield (12.81 ton ha⁻¹) with reducing bolting (24.72%). Abdissa *et al.* [9] studied the effect of nitrogen on different parameters of onion and found that nitrogen application reduced bolting percentage in onion and also extended days to physiological maturity. Ghaffoor *et al.* [7] reported that bulb survival percentage in onion was maximum when nitrogen was applied at 150 kg ha⁻¹. Jilani *et al.* [10] obtained 95.22% bulb survival at 120 kg ha⁻¹ and suggested this level as best for growth and yield of onion.

The present research work was conducted to determine the best combination or the balanced fertilization ratio of NPK for onion Cv. Swat-1.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

This study was conducted at PARC National Tea and High Value Crops Research Institute, Shinkiyari (Mansehra) Pakistan during 2017 to determine the effect of different levels of nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium on yield and other parameters of onion. Variety Swat-1 was used in the trial. The transplantation of Swat-1 Cv was done on January, 13, 2017. The experiment was laid out in randomized complete blocks design (RCBD) with three replicates.

Copyright: © 2018 The authors. This article is open access and licensed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>) which permits unrestricted, use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, or format for any purpose, even commercially provided the work is properly cited. Attribution — You must give appropriate credit, provide a link to the license, and indicate if changes were made.

Different five combinations of NPK fertilizer treatments of experimental site were T₁ (0-0-0), T₂ (25-25-12.5), T₃ (50-50-25), T₄ (75-75-37.5), T₅ (100-100-50), NPK kg/ha. The doses of phosphorus fertilizer as Single Super Phosphate (21 % P₂O₅) and potassium fertilizer as Sulfate of Potash (50 % K₂O) were added during soil preparation. However, nitrogen fertilizer as Urea (46%N) was divided into three equal parts and applied at 30, 60 and 90 days after transplanting process. All other recommended cultural practices including irrigation, weeding was applied uniformly.

Morphological parameters like plant height (cm), number of leaves per plant, Number of roots/plant, bulb size, fresh weight/bulb(gm) were recorded together with Bulb yield.

Statistical Analysis was done with Least significant difference (LSD) test at 5% probability was used to compare the difference amongst treatment means [11].

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Plant Height (cm)

Data recorded on plant height at harvest presented in Table-1 indicated that maximum plant height of 53.8 cm was recorded in the plot provided (100-100-50 NPK kg/ha) followed by plant of 52.2cm in plot receiving (75-75-37.5) NPK kg/ha and 51.8cm in treatment T₃ receiving (50-50-25) NPK kg/ha. Minimum plant height of 46.3cm was recorded in control plot (without NPK). Statistically all treatment was found at par in plant height. Similar results were noted by Ghaffoor *et al* [7].

No. of Leaves/Plant

Data regarding on No. of leaves/plant is presented in Table-1. Data showed that maximum No. of leaves/plant of (7) was recorded in the plot receiving (100-100-50 NPK kg/ha) followed by No. of leaves/plant (6) in plot provided (75-75-37.5) NPK kg/ha. Minimum No. of leaves/plant of 5 were recorded in control plot (without fertilizer). No significant differences were observed among the treatment. Our results are in line with previous reports [7].

No. of Roots/Plant

Data pertaining to No. of roots/plant is presented in Table-1.

Data revealed that maximum No. of roots/plant of (95) was recorded in the plot receiving (100-100-50 NPK kg/ha) followed by No. of roots/plant (83) in plot provided (75-75-37.5) NPK kg/ha and 66 root/plant in plot fertilized with 50-50-25 NPK kg/ha. Minimum No. of roots/plant of 55 were recorded in treatment T₁ (without fertilizer).

No. of Bulb/Plot

Data on No. of bulb/plot presented in Table-1 revealed that maximum No. of bulb/plot(221) was recorded in the plot receiving (100-100-50 NPK kg/ha) followed by 183 No. of bulb/plot in plot provided ((25-25-12.5)) NPK kg/ha and 182 No. of bulb/plot in plot fertilized with 50-50-25 NPK kg/ha. Minimum No. of bulb/plot of 156 were recorded in treatment T₁ (without fertilizer).

Bulb Size (cm)

Data recorded on Bulb size (cm) at harvest presented in Table-1 showed that maximum Bulb size of 6.1 cm was recorded in the treatment receiving (100-100-50 NPK kg/ha) followed by Bulb size of (5.7cm) in plot provided (75-75-37.5) NPK kg/ha and 6 cm in treatment T₃ receiving (50-50-25) NPK kg/ha. Minimum Bulb size of 5.05 cm was recorded in control plot (without NPK).

Fresh Weight/Bulb (gm)

Fresh weight/bulb of ten selected bulbs were recorded at harvesting and are depicted in Table-1. Data revealed that maximum fresh weight of 127.7 gm/bulb was obtained in the plot provided (100-100-50 NPK kg/ha) followed by fresh weight 118 gm/bulb in plot receiving (75-75-37.5) NPK kg/ha. Control plot (without NPK fertilizer) resulted minimum fresh weight of 103.5gm/bulb. Similar results were reported by Tahir *et al* [1] that nitrogen application @ 120 kg ha⁻¹ produced maximum bulb fresh weight (141.37 g), bulb dry weight (15.61g), bulb diameter (6.82 cm), bulb neck diameter (1.09 cm), and marketable yield (12.81 ton ha⁻¹) with reducing bolting (24.72%).

Bulb Yield Tons/Ha.

Bulb yield was obtained by harvesting whole plot and yield per plot was converted in to ton/ha. Data indicated that maximum bulb yield of 24.91tons/ha was recorded in the plot provided

Table1: Effect of NPK fertilizer on growth and yield of onion during 2016-17

Treatment NPK kg/ha	Plant height (cm)	No. of leaves/plant	No. of roots/plant	No. of bulb/plot	Bulb size (cm)	Fresh weight/bulb (gm).	Bulb yield tons/ha.
T ₁ (0-0-0)	46.3 a	5 a	55 c	114 c	5.05 c	103.5 c	17.8 c
T ₂ (25-25-12.5)	47.8 a	5 a	65 b	183 ab	5.35 c	106.7 ab	23.04 b
T ₃ (50-50-25)	51.8 a	5 a	66 b	182 ab	6.0 b	108.8 bc	23.52 ab
T ₄ (75-75-37.5)	52.2 a	6 a	83 a	156 bc	5.7b	118 ab	23.87 ab
T ₅ (100-100-50)	53.8 a	7 a	95 a	221 a	6.4 a	127.7 a	24.91 a
LSD 0.05%	9.13	3.10	10.89	62.79	0.33	10.54	1.82
CV%	6.53	20.70	5.41	13.21	2.09	3.36	2.9

Source: PARC National Tea & High Value Crops Research Institute Shinkiar, Mansehra.

(100-100-50 NPK kg/ha) followed by bulb yield of 23.87 tons/ha in plot receiving (75-75-37.5) NPK kg/h and 23.52 tons/ha in plot provided 50-50-25NPK kg/ha. However, T₃ and T₄ were statistically at par. Minimum Bulb yield of 17.8 tons/ha resulted in Control plot (without NPK fertilizer). Significant differences in yield were recorded due to fertilizer application over control. These results are in accordance with previous reports [3-5,12]. Source: PARC National Tea & High Value Crops Research Institute Shinkhari, Mansehra.

CONCLUSION

On the basis of present study it can be concluded that fertilizer treatment T₅ (100-100-50 NPK kg ha⁻¹) showed the best results for most of the parameters and it is best substitute for chemical fertilizer to get maximum yield of onion under Mansehra conditions.

REFERENCES

1. Shaheen AM, Abdel-Mouty MM, Ali AH, Rizk FA. Natural and chemical phosphorus fertilizers as affected onion plant growth, bulbs yield and its some physical and chemical properties. Australian Journal of Basic and Applied Sciences. 2007;1(4):519-24.
2. Government of Pakistan 2014-15. Ministry of National Food Security & Research Economic Wing Islamabad. Fruit, Vegetables and Condiments Statistics of Pakistan 2014-15 pp. 22
3. Haggag ME, Rizk MA, Hagraas AM, Abo-El-Hamad AS. Effect of P, K and N on yield and quality of onion. Annals of Agricultural Science, Moshtohor (Egypt). 1986.
4. Saimbhi MS, Randhawa KS. Influence of N, P and K on the yield and processing quality of onion bulbs. Vegetable Sci. 1983;10(2):73-6.
5. Yaso IA, Abdel-Razzak HS. Effect of NPK fertilization on bulb yield and quality of onion under reclaimed calcareous soil conditions. Journal of Agriculture and Environmental Science. 2007;6(1):225-244.
6. Halliday DJ, Trenkel ME, editors. IFA world fertilizer use manual. International Fertilizer Industry Association, Paris, France, 1992;315-317.
7. Ghaffoor A, Jilani MS, Khaliq G, Waseem K. Effect of different NPK levels on the growth and yield of three onion (*Allium cepa L.*) varieties. Asian Journal of Plant Sciences. 2003;2(3):342-6.
8. Muhammad T, Amjad M, Hayat S, Ahmad H, Ahmed S. Influence of nursery sowing dates, seedling age and nitrogen levels on bulb quality and marketable yield of onion (*Allium cepa L.*). Pure and Applied Biology. 2016;5(2):223-33.
9. Abdissa Y, Tekalign T, Pant LM. Growth, bulb yield and quality of onion (*Allium cepa L.*) as influenced by nitrogen and phosphorus fertilization on vertisol I. growth attributes, biomass production and bulb yield. African Journal of Agricultural Research. 2011;6(14):3252-8.
10. Jilani MS, Ghaffoor A, Waseem KA, Farooqi JI. Effect of different levels of nitrogen on growth and yield of three onion varieties. International Journal of Agriculture and Biology. 2004;6(3):507-10.
11. Steel R, TORRIE JC. Principles and procedures of statistics: a biometrical approach. McGraw-Hill.; 1980.
12. Varu DK, Vhora PH, Kikani KP. Effect of organic and inorganic fertilizers on onion. Gujarat Agricultural University Research Journal. 1997;22:116-8.