

## ZIP PROPERTY ON MALCEV-NEUMANN SERIES MODULES

R. SALEM - A. E. RADWAN - H. ABD-ELMALK

Let  $R$  be a ring,  $M_R$  a right  $R$ -module,  $G$  a totally ordered group,  $\sigma$  a map from  $G$  into the group of automorphisms of  $R$  which assigns to each  $x \in G$  an automorphism  $\sigma_x \in \text{Aut}(R)$ ,  $\tau$  a map from  $G \times G$  to  $U(R)$  (the group of unit elements of  $R$ ) and  $M((G; \sigma; \tau))$  the Malcev-Neumann series module. Then, under some certain conditions, we show that  $M_R$  is a right zip  $R$ -module if and only if  $M((G; \sigma; \tau))_{R((G; \sigma; \tau))}$  is a right zip  $R((G; \sigma; \tau))$ -module, where  $R((G; \sigma; \tau))$  is the Malcev-Neumann series ring.

### 1. Introduction

Throughout this paper  $R$  denotes an associative ring with identity. Recall from [3] that  $R$  is a *right zip ring* if the right annihilator of a subset  $X \subseteq R$  is zero, then  $r_R(X_0) = 0$  for a finite subset  $X_0$  of  $X$ , equivalently for a left ideal  $L$  of  $R$  if  $r_R(L) = 0$ , then there exists a finitely generated ideal  $L_0 \subseteq L$  such that  $r_R(L_0) = 0$ .

The concept of zip rings was initiated by Zelmanowitz [8] where it was not so called zip at that time, however he showed that any ring satisfying the descending chain condition on right annihilator ideals is a right zip ring but the converse is not true.

Extensions of zip rings were studied by several authors. In [1] Beachy and Blair showed that if  $R$  is a commutative zip ring, then  $R[x]$  is a zip ring.

---

Entrato in redazione: 4 maggio 2014

AMS 2010 Subject Classification: 06F05, 16W60, 13E10.

Keywords: Zip ring, zip module, Malcev-Neumann series ring, Malcev-Neumann series module.

In ([4], Theorem 1) Hong et al showed that if  $R$  is an Armendariz ring, then  $R$  is a right zip ring if and only if  $R[x]$  is a right zip ring.

In ([2], Theorem 2.8) Cortes studied skew polynomial extension over zip rings and he showed that, if  $\sigma$  is an automorphism of  $R$  and  $R$  is  $\sigma$ -Armendariz, then  $R$  is a right zip ring if and only if  $R[x; \sigma]$  is a right zip ring.

Recall from [9] that a right  $R$ -module  $M_R$  is called a *right zip* module provided that if the right annihilator of a subset  $X$  of  $M_R$  is zero, then there exists a finite subset  $X_0 \subseteq X$  such that  $r_R(X_0) = 0$ .

In the following section we introduce results concerned with the transfer of a right zip property of  $M_R$  and a twisted Malcev-Neumann series module extension  $M((G; \sigma; \tau))$ .

## 2. Zip Modules over Twisted Malcev-Neumann Series Rings

Let  $R$  be a ring,  $G$  a totally ordered group,  $\sigma$  a map from  $G$  into the group of automorphisms of  $R$  which assigns to each  $x \in G$  an automorphism  $\sigma_x \in \text{Aut}(R)$  where  $\sigma_1 = \text{id}_R$  with 1 the identity of group  $G$ , and  $\tau$  a map from  $G \times G$  to  $U(R)$  (the group of invertible elements of  $R$ ). Let  $A = R((G; \sigma; \tau))$  denote the set of all formal sums  $f = \sum_{x \in G} a_x x$  such that  $\text{supp}(f) = \{x \in G \mid a_x \neq 0\}$  is a well ordered subset of  $G$ , with componentwise addition and the multiplication rule is given by

$$\left(\sum_{x \in G} a_x x\right) \left(\sum_{y \in G} b_y y\right) = \sum_{z \in G} \left(\sum_{\{(x,y) \mid xy=z\}} a_x \sigma_x(b_y) \tau(x,y)\right) z,$$

for each  $\sum_{x \in G} a_x x$  and  $\sum_{y \in G} b_y y \in A$ . In order to ensure the associativity it is necessary that

$$(i) \quad \sigma_x(\tau(y,z)) \tau(x,yz) = \tau(x,y) \tau(xy,z) \text{ and}$$

$$(ii) \quad \sigma_x \sigma_y = \eta(x,y) \sigma_{xy},$$

where  $\eta(x,y)$  denotes the automorphism of  $R$  induced by the unit  $\tau(x,y)$ , for all  $x, y, z \in G$ , see ([5], Lemma 1.1). It is now routine to check that  $A$  is a ring which is called the *ring of Malcev-Neumann series*.

The Malcev-Neumann construction appeared for the first time in the latter part of the 1940 (the Laurent series ring, a particular case of Malcev-Neumann ring, was used before by Hilbert). Using them, Malcev and Neumann independently showed (in 1948 and 1949, respectively.) that the group ring of an ordered group over a division ring can be embedded in a division ring. Since then, the construction has appeared in many papers, mainly in the study of various properties of division rings and related topics.

In [7] Sonin generalized the construction to obtain Malcev-Neumann modules over Malcev-Neumann rings as follows:

If  $M_R$  is a right  $R$ -module, then the *Malcev-Neumann series module*  $B = M((G; \sigma; \tau))$  is the set of all formal sums  $\sum_{x \in G} m_x x$  with coefficients in  $M$  and well-ordered supports, with pointwise addition and scalar multiplication rule defined by

$$\left(\sum_{x \in G} m_x x\right)\left(\sum_{y \in G} a_y y\right) = \sum_{z \in G} \left(\sum_{\{(x,y)|xy=z\}} m_x \sigma_x(a_y) \tau(x,y)\right) z,$$

where  $\sum_{x \in G} m_x x \in B$  and  $\sum_{y \in G} a_y y \in A$ . One can easily check that (i) and (ii) ensure that  $M((G; \sigma; \tau))$  is a right  $A$ -module.

Let  $V$  be a subset of  $M_R$ , then  $V((G; \sigma; \tau))$  is defined as follows:

$$V((G; \sigma; \tau)) = \left\{ \varphi = \sum_{x \in G} m_x x \in B \mid 0 \neq m_x \in V \text{ and } x \in \text{supp}(\varphi) \right\}.$$

For  $\varphi = \sum_{x \in G} m_x x \in B$ , let  $C_\varphi = \{m_x \mid x \in \text{supp}(\varphi)\}$  and for a subset  $V \subseteq B$ , we have  $C_V = \cup_{\varphi \in V} C_\varphi$ .

As usual we shall identify  $R$  with the subring  $R1_G \subseteq A$ , identify  $G$  with the subgroup  $1_R G$  of invertible elements in  $A$ , and identify  $M_R$  with the submodule  $M1_G \subseteq B$ .

In this section, we generalize the results of [6] to the Malcev-Neumann series modules. We start with the following definitions, see [10] and the literature therein for more details.

**Definition 2.1.** A ring  $R$  is called  $\sigma$ -compatible if, for all  $a, b \in R$  and  $x \in G$ ,  $ab = 0$  if and only if  $a\sigma_x(b) = 0$ .

**Definition 2.2** ([10]). A right  $R$ -module  $M_R$  is called  $\sigma$ -compatible if, for each  $m \in M$ ,  $a \in R$  and  $x \in G$ ,  $ma = 0$  if and only if  $m\sigma_x(a) = 0$ .

**Definition 2.3.** A ring  $R$  is called  $(G, \sigma)$ -Armendariz if whenever  $fg = 0$  implies  $a_x \sigma_x(b_y) = 0$  for each  $x \in \text{supp}(f)$  and  $y \in \text{supp}(g)$ , where  $f = \sum_{x \in G} a_x x$  and  $g = \sum_{y \in G} b_y y$  be elements of  $A$ .

We extend the  $(G, \sigma)$ -Armendariz concept to modules as follows:

**Definition 2.4.** A right  $R$ -module  $M_R$  is called  $(G, \sigma)$ -Armendariz if whenever  $\varphi f = 0$  implies  $m_x \sigma_x(a_y) = 0$  for each  $x \in \text{supp}(\varphi)$  and  $y \in \text{supp}(f)$ , where  $\varphi = \sum_{x \in G} m_x x \in B$  and  $f = \sum_{y \in G} a_y y \in A$ .

It is clear that,  $R$  is a  $(G, \sigma)$ -Armendariz and  $\sigma$ -compatible ring if and only if  $R_R$  is a  $(G, \sigma)$ -Armendariz and  $\sigma$ -compatible module.

For a subset  $X$  of  $M_R$ , we define  $r_A(X)$  as the set:

$$r_A(X) = \{f \in A \mid (x1)f = 0 \text{ for each } x \in X\}.$$

**Lemma 2.5.** *Let  $M_R$  be a right  $R$ -module. Then  $r_A(X) = r_R(X)((G; \sigma; \tau))$ , for any subset  $X$  of  $M_R$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $f = \sum_{g \in G} a_g g \in r_A(X)$ . Then for each  $x \in X$  we have  $(x1)f = 0$ . Thus

$$0 = (x1) \left( \sum_{g \in G} a_g g \right) = \sum_{g \in G} x \sigma_1(a_g) \tau(1, g) g = \sum_{g \in G} x a_g \tau(1, g) g,$$

which implies that  $x a_g \tau(1, g) = 0$  for each  $g \in \text{supp}(f)$ . Since  $\tau(1, g)$  is invertible,  $x a_g = 0$ . Hence  $a_g \in r_R(X)$  for each  $g \in \text{supp}(f)$ . So  $f \in r_R(X)((G; \sigma; \tau))$  and  $r_A(X) \subseteq r_R(X)((G; \sigma; \tau))$ .

On the other hand, suppose that  $f = \sum_{g \in G} a_g g \in r_R(X)((G; \sigma; \tau))$ , then  $a_g \in r_R(X)$  for each  $g \in \text{supp}(f)$ . Thus  $x a_g = 0$  for each  $x \in X$  and  $g \in \text{supp}(f)$ . We have  $x \sigma_1(a_g) = 0$  and we have that  $x \sigma_1(a_g) \tau(1, g) = 0$  for each  $x \in X$  and  $g \in \text{supp}(f)$ . Hence  $(x1)f = 0$  for each  $x \in X$ , and it follows that  $f \in r_A(X)$ . So  $r_R(X)((G; \sigma; \tau)) \subseteq r_A(X)$ . Therefore  $r_A(X) = r_R(X)((G; \sigma; \tau))$ .  $\square$

For a right  $R$ -module  $M_R$ , we define

$$\begin{aligned} r_R(2^M) &= \{r_R(U) \mid U \subseteq M\}, \\ r_A(2^B) &= \{r_A(V) \mid V \subseteq B\}. \end{aligned}$$

The above Lemma gives us the map  $\psi : r_R(2^M) \longrightarrow r_A(2^B)$  defined by  $\psi(I) = I((G; \sigma; \tau))$  for every  $I \in r_R(2^M)$ . Obviously  $\psi$  is an injective map.

In the following Lemma we show that  $\psi$  is a bijective map if and only if  $M_R$  is  $(G, \sigma)$ -Armendariz.

**Lemma 2.6.** *Let  $M_R$  be a  $\sigma$ -compatible module. The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1)  $M_R$  is a  $(G, \sigma)$ -Armendariz module.
- (2)  $\psi : r_R(2^M) \longrightarrow r_A(2^B)$  defined by  $\psi(I) = I((G; \sigma; \tau))$  is a bijective map.

*Proof.* (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2)

It is only necessary to show that  $\psi$  is surjective. Let  $V \subseteq B$  and  $T = C_V = \cup_{\varphi \in V} C_\varphi = \cup_{\varphi \in V} \{m_x \mid x \in \text{supp}(\varphi)\}$ . We show that

$$r_A(V) = \psi(r_R(T)) = r_R(T)((G; \sigma; \tau))$$

and it is enough to show that  $r_A(\varphi) = r_R(C_\varphi)((G; \sigma; \tau))$  for each  $\varphi = \sum_{x \in G} m_x x \in V$ . In fact, let  $f = \sum_{y \in G} a_y y \in r_A(\varphi)$ . Then  $\varphi f = 0$ . Since  $M_R$  is a  $(G, \sigma)$ -Armendariz and  $\sigma$ -compatible module,  $m_x a_y = 0$  for each  $x \in \text{supp}(\varphi)$  and  $y \in \text{supp}(f)$ . Then  $a_y \in r_R(C_\varphi)$  for each  $y \in \text{supp}(f)$ . Thus  $f \in r_R(C_\varphi)((G; \sigma; \tau))$  and  $r_A(\varphi) \subseteq r_R(C_\varphi)((G; \sigma; \tau))$ . Now, let  $f = \sum_{y \in G} a_y y \in r_R(C_\varphi)((G; \sigma; \tau))$ . Then  $a_y \in r_R(C_\varphi)$  for each  $y \in \text{supp}(f)$ . Hence  $m_x a_y = 0$  for each  $x \in \text{supp}(\varphi)$  and  $y \in \text{supp}(f)$ . Since  $M_R$  is  $\sigma$ -compatible, it follows that  $m_x \sigma_x(a_y) = 0$ , which implies that  $m_x \sigma_x(a_y) \tau(x, y) = 0$  for each  $x \in \text{supp}(\varphi)$  and  $y \in \text{supp}(f)$ . Hence

$$0 = \sum_{z \in G} \left( \sum_{\{(x,y)|xy=z\}} m_x \sigma_x(a_y) \tau(x, y) \right) z = \varphi f.$$

So  $f \in r_A(\varphi)$  and it follows that  $r_R(C_\varphi)((G; \sigma; \tau)) \subseteq r_A(\varphi)$ . Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} r_A(V) &= \bigcap_{\varphi \in V} r_A(\varphi) = \bigcap_{\varphi \in V} r_R(C_\varphi)((G; \sigma; \tau)) \\ &= (\bigcap_{\varphi \in V} r_R(C_\varphi))((G; \sigma; \tau)) \\ &= r_R(T)((G; \sigma; \tau)) = \psi(r_R(T)). \end{aligned}$$

(2) $\Rightarrow$ (1)

Let  $f = \sum_{y \in G} a_y y \in A$  and  $\varphi = \sum_{x \in G} m_x x \in B$  such that  $\varphi f = 0$ . Then  $f \in r_A(\varphi)$ .

By assumption  $r_A(\varphi) = T((G; \sigma; \tau))$  for some right ideal  $T$  of  $R$ . Hence  $f \in T((G; \sigma; \tau))$  which implies that  $a_y \in T \subseteq r_A(\varphi)$  for each  $y \in \text{supp}(f)$ . So,  $\varphi(a_y 1) = 0$  and we have that

$$0 = \left( \sum_{x \in G} m_x x \right) (a_y 1) = \sum_{x \in G} m_x \sigma_x(a_y) \tau(x, 1) x$$

for each  $x \in \text{supp}(\varphi)$  and  $y \in \text{supp}(f)$ . Since  $\tau(x, 1)$  is an invertible element, it follows that  $m_x \sigma_x(a_y) = 0$  for each  $x \in \text{supp}(\varphi)$  and  $y \in \text{supp}(f)$ . Therefore  $M_R$  is a  $(G, \sigma)$ -Armendariz module.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.7.** *Let  $M_R$  be  $\sigma$ -compatible and a  $(G, \sigma)$ -Armendariz module. Then  $M_R$  is a right zip  $R$ -module if and only if  $B_A$  is a right zip  $A$ -module.*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $B_A$  is a right zip  $A$ -module and  $X \subseteq M_R$  such that  $r_R(X) = 0$ . Let  $Y = \{m1 \mid m \in X\}$  be the embedding of  $X$  in  $B_A$ . Then, by Lemma 2.5, we have

$$\begin{aligned} r_A(Y) &= \{f \in A \mid (m1)f = 0, \text{ for all } m \in X\} \\ &= r_A(X) = r_R(X)((G; \sigma; \tau)) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $B_A$  is a right zip  $A$ -module, for some  $m_1, m_2, \dots, m_n \in X$  there exists a finite set  $Y' = \{m_1 1, m_2 1, \dots, m_n 1\}$  such that  $Y' \subseteq Y$  and  $r_A(Y') = 0$ . Let  $X' = \{m_1, m_2, \dots, m_n\}$  which is a nonempty finite subset of  $X$ . Then, from Lemma 2.5, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = r_A(Y') &= \{f \in A \mid (m1)f = 0, \text{ for all } m \in X'\} \\ &= r_A(X') = r_R(X')((G; \sigma; \tau)) \end{aligned}$$

which implies that  $r_R(X') = 0$ . Hence  $M_R$  is a right zip  $R$ -module.

Conversely, suppose that  $M_R$  is a right zip  $R$ -module and  $Y \subseteq B_A$  such that  $r_A(Y) = 0$ . Let

$$T = C_Y = \cup_{\varphi \in Y} C_\varphi = \cup_{\varphi \in Y} \{m_x \mid x \in \text{supp}(\varphi)\}.$$

Then, by Lemma 2.6,

$$0 = r_A(Y) = r_R(T)((G; \sigma; \tau))$$

which implies that  $r_R(T) = 0$ . Since  $M_R$  is a right zip  $R$ -module, there exists a finite subset  $T_0 \subseteq T$  such that  $r_R(T_0) = 0$ . For each  $m \in T_0$  there exists  $\varphi_m \in Y$  such that for some  $x \in \text{supp}(\varphi_m)$ ,  $m_x = m$ . Let  $Y_0$  be a minimal subset of  $Y$  with respect to inclusion such that  $\varphi_m \in Y_0$  for each  $m \in T_0$ . Then  $Y_0$  is a nonempty finite subset of  $Y$ . We consider

$$T_1 = C_{Y_0} = \cup_{\varphi \in Y_0} C_\varphi = \cup_{\varphi \in Y_0} \{m_x \mid x \in \text{supp}(\varphi)\}.$$

Note that  $T_0 \subseteq T_1$  and we have that  $r_R(T_1) \subseteq r_R(T_0) = 0$ . Thus, by Lemma 2.6, we have

$$r_A(Y_0) = r_R(T_1)((G; \sigma; \tau)) = 0.$$

So  $B_A$  is a right zip  $A$ -module. □

When  $M_R = R_R$  we have the following consequence of the last theorem.

**Corollary 2.8** ([6], Theorem 2.1). *Suppose that  $R$  is  $\sigma$ -compatible and a  $(G, \sigma)$ -Armendariz ring. Then  $R$  is a right zip ring if and only if  $A$  is a right zip ring.*

Let  $\alpha$  be a ring automorphism of  $R$  and set  $G = \mathbb{Z}$  endowed with the usual order. Define  $\sigma : G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(R)$  via  $\sigma(x) = \alpha^x$  for every  $x \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $\tau(x, y) = 1$  for any  $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Then  $M((G; \sigma; \tau))_{R((G; \sigma; \tau))} = M[[x, x^{-1}; \alpha]]_{R[[x, x^{-1}; \alpha]]}$  the usual skew Laurent power series extension of  $M_R$ .

We can introduce the restricted version of  $(G, \sigma)$ -Armendariz condition on skew Laurent power series modules and skew formal power series modules, respectively, as follows:

**Definition 2.9.** A right  $R$ -module  $M_R$  is called an  $\alpha$ -skew Laurent power serieswise Armendariz (shortly,  $\alpha$ -SLPA) module if  $\varphi(x)f(x) = 0$ , where  $\varphi(x) = \sum_{i=s}^{\infty} m_i x^i \in M[[x, x^{-1}; \alpha]]$  and  $f(x) = \sum_{j=t}^{\infty} a_j x^j \in R[[x, x^{-1}; \alpha]]$  for  $s, t \in \mathbb{Z}$ , implies that  $m_i \alpha^i(a_j) = 0$  for all  $i \geq s$  and  $j \geq t$ .

**Definition 2.10.** A right  $R$ -module  $M_R$  is called an  $\alpha$ -skew power serieswise Armendariz (shortly,  $\alpha$ -SPA) module if  $\varphi(x)f(x) = 0$ , where  $\varphi(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} m_i x^i \in M[[x; \alpha]]$  and  $f(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a_j x^j \in R[[x; \alpha]]$ , implies that  $m_i \alpha^i(a_j) = 0$  for all  $i \geq 0$  and  $j \geq 0$ .

It is clear that  $R$  is an  $\alpha$ -SLPA (resp.  $\alpha$ -SPA) ring if and only if  $R_R$  is an  $\alpha$ -SLPA (resp.  $\alpha$ -SPA) module.

**Proposition 2.11.** Let  $\alpha$  be a ring automorphism of  $R$ . Then a right  $R$ -module  $M_R$  is  $\alpha$ -SPA if and only if  $M_R$  is  $\alpha$ -SLPA.

*Proof.* Since  $M[[x; \alpha]] \subseteq M[[x, x^{-1}; \alpha]]$  and  $R[[x; \alpha]] \subseteq R[[x, x^{-1}; \alpha]]$ , we can easily conclude that: if  $M_R$  is  $\alpha$ -SLPA, then  $M_R$  is  $\alpha$ -SPA.

Conversely, assume that  $M_R$  is  $\alpha$ -SPA and let  $\varphi(x) = \sum_{i=-s}^{\infty} m_i x^i \in M[[x, x^{-1}; \alpha]]$ ,  $f(x) = \sum_{j=-t}^{\infty} a_j x^j \in R[[x, x^{-1}; \alpha]]$ , for  $s, t \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ , be such that  $\varphi(x)f(x) = 0$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= (\varphi(x)f(x))x^{s+t} = \left(\sum_{i=-s}^{\infty} m_i x^i\right) \left(\sum_{j=-t}^{\infty} a_j x^j\right) x^{s+t} \\ &= \left(\sum_{i=-s}^{\infty} m_i x^i\right) \left(\sum_{j=-t}^{\infty} x^s \alpha^{-s}(a_j) x^j x^t\right) \\ &= \left(\sum_{i=-s}^{\infty} m_i x^i\right) \left(x^s \sum_{j=-t}^{\infty} \alpha^{-s}(a_j) x^{j+t}\right) \\ &= \left(\sum_{i=-s}^{\infty} m_i x^i x^s\right) \left(\sum_{j=-t}^{\infty} \alpha^{-s}(a_j) x^{j+t}\right) \\ &= \left(\sum_{i=-s}^{\infty} m_i x^{i+s}\right) \left(\sum_{j=-t}^{\infty} \alpha^{-s}(a_j) x^{j+t}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Set  $i + s = k$  and  $j + t = l$ , we get that

$$0 = (\varphi(x)f(x))x^{s+t} = \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} m_{k-s} x^k\right) \left(\sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \alpha^{-s}(a_{l-t}) x^l\right) = (\varphi(x)x^s)g(x).$$

Hence,  $\varphi(x)x^s = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} m_{k-s}x^k \in M[[x; \alpha]]$  and  $g(x) = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \alpha^{-s}(a_{l-t})x^l \in R[[x; \alpha]]$ .

So,

$$0 = m_{k-s}\alpha^k(\alpha^{-s}(a_{l-t})) = m_{k-s}\alpha^{k-s}(a_{l-t})$$

for all  $k \geq 0$  and  $l \geq 0$ . Hence  $m_i\alpha^i(a_j) = 0$  for all  $i \geq -s$  and  $j \geq -t$ , as required.  $\square$

From Theorem 2.7, we obtain the following result:

**Corollary 2.12.** *Let  $\alpha$  be a ring automorphism of  $R$ ,  $M_R$  an  $\alpha$ -compatible and  $\alpha$ -SLPA module. Then  $M_R$  is a right zip  $R$ -module if and only if  $M[[x, x^{-1}; \alpha]]_{R[[x, x^{-1}; \alpha]]}$  is a right zip  $R[[x, x^{-1}; \alpha]]$ -module.*

*Proof.* Take  $G = \mathbb{Z}$  and  $\tau(x, y) = 1$  for any  $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$ . For any  $x \in \mathbb{Z}$ , let  $\sigma_x = \alpha^x$ . Then the result follows from Theorem 2.7.  $\square$

Set  $M_R = R_R$  in Corollary 2.12, we get:

**Corollary 2.13.** *Let  $\alpha$  be a ring automorphism of  $R$ ,  $R$  an  $\alpha$ -compatible and  $\alpha$ -SLPA ring. Then  $R$  is a right zip ring if and only if  $R[[x, x^{-1}; \alpha]]$  is a right zip ring.*

## Acknowledgements

The authors wish to express their sincere thanks to the referee for his/her helpful comments and valuable suggestions. Also, we would like to thank the referee for drawing our attention to the result appears in Proposition 2.11. The authors would like to thank Dr. Mohamed Farahat, Math. Dept. Fac. of Sci. Al-Azhar Univ., for his inspiring suggestions on this work.

## REFERENCES

- [1] J. Beachy - W. Blair, *Rings whose faithful left ideals are cofaithful*, Pacific J. Math. 58 (1) (1975), 1–13.
- [2] W. Cortes, *Skew polynomial extensions over zip rings*, Int. J. Math. Sci. 10 (2008), 1–8.
- [3] C. Faith, *Annihilator ideals, associated primes and Kasch–McCoy commutative rings*, Comm. Algebra 19 (1991), 1967–1982.
- [4] C. Hong - N. Kim - T. Kwak - Y. Lee, *Extensions of zip rings*, J. Pure and Appl. Algebra 195 (2005), 231–242.



- [5] D. Passman, *Infinite Crossed Products*, Academic Press, 1989.
- [6] R. Salem - A. Hassanein - M. Farahat, *Malcev-Neumann series over zip and weak zip rings*, Asian-European Journal of Mathematics 5 (4) (2012), DOI: 10.1142/S1793557112500581.
- [7] C. Sonin, *Krull dimension of Malcev-Neumann rings*, Comm. Algebra 26 (9) (1998), 2915–2931.
- [8] J. Zelmanowitz, *The finite intersection property on annihilator right ideals*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 57 (2) (1976), 213–216.
- [9] C. Zhang - J. Chen, *Zip modules*, Northeast J. Math. 24 (2008), 240–256.
- [10] R. Zhao - Y. Jiao, *Principal quasi-baerness of modules of generalized power series*, Taiwanese J. Math. 15 (2) (2011), 711–722.

*REFAAT SALEM*

*Mathematics Department, Faculty of Science,  
Al-Azhar University, Cairo, Egypt.  
e-mail: refaat\_salem@cic-cairo.com*

*ABDELAZIZ E. RADWAN*

*Mathematics Department, Faculty of Science,  
Ain Shams University, Cairo, Egypt.  
e-mail: zezoradwan@yahoo.com*

*HANAN ABD-ELMALK*

*Mathematics Department, Faculty of Science,  
Ain Shams University, Cairo, Egypt.  
e-mail: hanan\_abdelmalk@yahoo.com*