LE MATEMATICHE Vol. LXXI (2016) – Fasc. I, pp. 51–61 doi: 10.4418/2016.71.1.4

SOME PROPERTIES OF A NEW SUBCLASS OF ANALYTIC UNIVALENT FUNCTIONS

SAURABH PORWAL

The purpose of the present paper is to study the integral operator of the form

$$
\int_0^z \left\{ \frac{D^n f(t)}{t} \right\}^\delta dt
$$

where *f* belongs to the subclass $C(n, \alpha, \beta)$ and δ is a real number. We obtain integral characterization for the subclass $C(n, \alpha, \beta)$ and also prove distortion, rotation and radii theorem for this class. Relevant connections of the results presented here with various known results are briefly indicated.

1. Introduction

Let *A* denote the class of functions *f* of the form

$$
f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^k,
$$
 (1)

which are analytic in the open unit disk $U = \{z : z \in C \text{ and } |z| < 1\}$ and satisfy the normalization condition $f(0) = f'(0) - 1 = 0$. Let *S* be the subclass of *A* consisting of functions of the form (1) which are also univalent in *U*.

AMS 2010 Subject Classification: 30C45, 30C50, 30C55.

Keywords: Analytic, Univalent functions, Salagean derivative.

Entrato in redazione: 4 dicembre 2014

A function *f* of *S* is said to be starlike of order α ($0 \le \alpha < 1$), denoted by $f \in S^*(\alpha)$, if and only if

$$
\Re\left\{\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)}\right\} > \alpha, \quad z \in U,
$$

and is said to be convex of order $\alpha(0 \leq \alpha \leq 1)$, denoted by $f \in K(\alpha)$, if and only if

$$
\Re\left\{1+\frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)}\right\}>\alpha, \quad z\in U.
$$

The classes S^* and K of starlike and convex functions, respectively, are identified by $S^*(0) \equiv S^*$ and $K(0) \equiv K$.

In 1983, Salagean [17], introduced a derivative operator known as Salagean operator which is defined as follows:

Let $f(z) \in A$ and be of the form (1). Then we define :

$$
D0 f(z) = f(z)
$$

\n
$$
D1 f(z) = zf'(z)
$$

\n
$$
Dn f(z) = D(Dn-1 f(z)), \quad n \in N.
$$

Thus

$$
D^{n} f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k^{n} a_{k} z^{k}.
$$
 (2)

A function *f* of *A* belongs to the class $S(n, \alpha)$ of functions of the form (1) satisfying the condition

$$
\Re\left\{\frac{D^{n+1}f(z)}{D^nf(z)}\right\} > \alpha, \ z \in U,\tag{3}
$$

where D^n stands for the Salagean operator.

The class $S(n, \alpha)$ was first introduced by Salagean [17] and further studied by Kadioğlu [2].

It should be worthy to note that $S(0, \alpha) = S^*(\alpha)$ and $S(1, \alpha) = K(\alpha)$.

A function *f* of *A* belongs to the class $C(n, \alpha, \beta)$ if there exists a function $F \in S^*(\alpha)$ such that

$$
\left|\arg \frac{D^n f(z)}{F(z)}\right| < \frac{\beta \pi}{2}, \quad z \in U,
$$

where $n \in N_0$, $0 \leq \alpha < 1$, $0 < \beta \leq 1$.

By specializing the parameters in $C(n, \alpha, \beta)$ we obtain the following known subclasses of *A* studied earlier by various researchers.

- (1) $C(0, \alpha, \beta) \equiv CS^*(\alpha, \beta)$ studied by Mishra [7].
- (2) $C(1, \alpha, \beta) \equiv C(\alpha, \beta)$ studied by Mishra [7].
- (3) $C(0,0,\beta) \equiv CS^*(\beta)$ studied by Reade [14].
- (4) $C(1,0,\beta) \equiv C(\beta)$ studied by Kaplan [3].
- (5) $C(0,0,1) \equiv S^*$ studied by Roberston [15], (see also [1], [19]).
- (6) $C(1,0,1) \equiv K$ studied by Roberston [15], (see also [1], [19]).

In the present paper, we study the integral operator

$$
h(z) = \int_0^z \left\{ \frac{D^n f(t)}{t} \right\}^{\delta} dt
$$
 (4)

where $n \in N_0$ and δ is a real number. For $n = 0$ and $n = 1$ this integral operator was studied by Kim [4], Merkes and Wright [6], Mishra [7], Nunokawa ([8], [9]), Pfaltzgraff [11], Royster [16], Patil and Thakare [10] and Shukla and Kumar [18], (see also [13]).

To prove our main results, we shall require the following definition and lemmas.

Definition 1.1. Let $P(\alpha)$ denote the class of functions of the form $P(z) = 1 + \alpha$ $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} p_k z^k$ which are regular in *U* and satisfy $\Re\{P(z)\} > \alpha$, $z \in U$.

Lemma 1.2. *Let* $P(z) = 1 +$ ∞ ∑ *k*=1 $p_k z^k$ *be analytic in U. If* $\Re\{P(z)\} > \alpha$ *in U,*

then

$$
\alpha(\theta_2 - \theta_1) < \int_{\theta_1}^{\theta_2} \Re \left\{ P(re^{i\theta}) \right\} d\theta < 2\pi(1 - \alpha) + \alpha(\theta_2 - \theta_1), \tag{5}
$$

where $0 \le \theta_1 \le \theta_2 \le 2\pi$, $z = re^{i\theta}$ *and* $0 \le r \le 1$.

Proof. Since

$$
\Re\left\{P(z)\right\} > \alpha
$$

it is easy to see that

$$
\left(\Re\left\{P(z)\right\}-\alpha\right)\big|_{z=0}=1-\alpha.
$$

Then by mean value theorem, we have

$$
0 \leq \int_{\theta_1}^{\theta_2} \left(\Re \left\{ P(re^{i\theta}) \right\} - \alpha \right) d\theta \leq \int_0^{2\pi} \left(\Re \left\{ P(re^{i\theta}) \right\} - \alpha \right) d\theta = 2\pi (1 - \alpha).
$$

or, equivalently

$$
0 \leq \int_{\theta_1}^{\theta_2} \left(\Re \left\{ P(re^{i\theta}) \right\} \right) d\theta - \alpha(\theta_2 - \theta_1) \leq 2\pi (1 - \alpha),
$$

or

$$
\alpha(\theta_2-\theta_1)<\int_{\theta_1}^{\theta_2}\Re\left\{P(re^{i\theta})\right\}d\theta<2\pi(1-\alpha)+\alpha(\theta_2-\theta_1).
$$

The following lemma is a direct consequence of Lemma 1.2, and improves a result of Patil and Thakare ([10, Lemma 2.2]).

Lemma 1.3. *If* $f \in S^*(\alpha)$ *, then*

$$
\alpha(\theta_2-\theta_1)<\int_{\theta_1}^{\theta_2}\Re\left\{\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)}\right\}d\theta<2\pi(1-\alpha)+\alpha(\theta_2-\theta_1),\qquad(6)
$$

where $0 \le \theta_1 \le \theta_2 \le 2\pi$, $z = re^{i\theta}$ *and* $0 \le r \le 1$.

In the following lemma, we obtain integral characterization for the class *C*(n, α, β).

Lemma 1.4. *If* $f \in C(n, \alpha, \beta)$ *, then*

$$
-\beta\pi+\alpha(\theta_2-\theta_1)<\int_{\theta_1}^{\theta_2}\Re\left\{\frac{D^{n+1}f(z)}{D^n f(z)}\right\}d\theta<\beta\pi+2\pi(1-\alpha)+\alpha(\theta_2-\theta_1),\tag{7}
$$

where $0 \le \theta_1 \le \theta_2 \le 2\pi$, $z = re^{i\theta}$ *and* $0 \le r < 1$ *. Conversely, let f be analytic and satisfying* $D^n f(z) \neq 0$ *in U, if*

$$
\int_{\theta_1}^{\theta_2} \Re \left\{ \frac{D^{n+1} f(z)}{D^n f(z)} \right\} d\theta > -\beta \pi + \alpha (\theta_2 - \theta_1)
$$

then $f \in C(n, \alpha, \beta)$ *.*

Proof. $f \in C(n, \alpha, \beta)$ implies that there exists a function $F \in S^*(\alpha)$ such that

$$
\left|\arg \frac{D^n f(z)}{F(z)}\right| < \frac{\beta \pi}{2}, \quad z \in U.
$$

Therefore

$$
-\frac{1}{2}\beta\pi < \arg D^n f(z) - \arg F(z) < \frac{1}{2}\beta\pi.
$$

Let $0 \le \theta_1 < \theta_2 \le 2\pi$. Then with $z = re^{i\theta_2}$, we have

$$
-\frac{1}{2}\beta\pi < \arg D^{n} f(re^{i\theta_2}) - \arg F(re^{i\theta_2}) < \frac{1}{2}\beta\pi.
$$
 (8)

and with $z = re^{i\theta_1}$, we have

$$
-\frac{1}{2}\beta\pi < -\arg D^{n} f(re^{i\theta_{1}}) + \arg F(re^{i\theta_{1}}) < \frac{1}{2}\beta\pi.
$$
 (9)

Combining (8) and (9), we obtain

$$
-\beta \pi + \arg F(re^{i\theta_2}) - \arg F(re^{i\theta_1})
$$

$$
< \arg D^n f(re^{i\theta_2}) - \arg D^n f(re^{i\theta_1})
$$

$$
< \beta \pi + \arg F(re^{i\theta_2}) - \arg F(re^{i\theta_1}),
$$

or

$$
-\beta \pi + \int_{\theta_1}^{\theta_2} d\arg F(re^{i\theta}) < \int_{\theta_1}^{\theta_2} d\arg D^n f(re^{i\theta}) < \beta \pi + \int_{\theta_1}^{\theta_2} d\arg F(re^{i\theta}),
$$

or

$$
-\beta \pi + \int_{\theta_1}^{\theta_2} \Re \left\{ \frac{z F'(z)}{F(z)} \right\} d\theta
$$

<
$$
< \int_{\theta_1}^{\theta_2} \Re \left\{ \frac{D^{n+1} f(z)}{D^n f(z)} \right\} d\theta
$$

<
$$
< \beta \pi + \int_{\theta_1}^{\theta_2} \Re \left\{ \frac{z F'(z)}{F(z)} \right\} d\theta. \quad (10)
$$

But $F \in S^*(\alpha)$, then using Lemma 1.3 in (10), we have

$$
-\beta\pi+\alpha(\theta_2-\theta_1)<\int_{\theta_1}^{\theta_2}\Re\left\{\frac{D^{n+1}f(z)}{D^nf(z)}\right\}d\theta<\beta\pi+2\pi(1-\alpha)+\alpha(\theta_2-\theta_1)
$$

and this completes the proof of direct part of the lemma.

To prove the converse part, we follow the techniques of Kaplan [3] and Patil and Thakare [10] and can obtain the desired result. \Box

Remark 1.5. If we put $n = 1$ in Lemma 1.4, we obtain the following result If $f \in C(\alpha, \beta)$, then

$$
-\beta\pi+\alpha(\theta_2-\theta_1)<\int_{\theta_1}^{\theta_2}\Re\left\{1+\frac{zf^{\prime\prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right\}d\theta<\beta\pi+2\pi(1-\alpha)+\alpha(\theta_2-\theta_1),\tag{11}
$$

where $0 \le \theta_1 < \theta_2 \le 2\pi$, $z = re^{i\theta}$ and $0 \le r < 1$. Conversely, let f be analytic and satisfying $f'(z) \neq 0$ in *U*, if

$$
\int_{\theta_1}^{\theta_2} \Re \left\{ 1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right\} d\theta > -\beta \pi + \alpha (\theta_2 - \theta_1)
$$
 (12)

then $f \in C(\alpha, \beta)$.

2. Main Results

Theorem 2.1. *If f* $\in C(n, \alpha, \beta)$ *, then h* $\in C(\eta, \gamma)$ *, provided*

$$
\frac{-\gamma}{\beta + 2(1 - \alpha)} \le \delta \le \frac{\gamma + 2(1 - \eta)}{\beta + 2(1 - \alpha)}.
$$
\n(13)

The result is sharp when (i) $\gamma = 0$ *(ii)* $\eta = 0, \gamma = 1$ *.*

Proof. From relation (3) we have

$$
h'(z) = \left\{ \frac{D^n f(z)}{z} \right\}^\delta.
$$

Applying logarithmic differentiation and then taking real parts of both sides, we obtain

$$
\Re\left\{1+\frac{zh''(z)}{h'(z)}\right\}=\delta\Re\left\{\frac{D^{n+1}f(z)}{D^nf(z)}\right\}+(1-\delta).
$$

For $\delta > 0$, using Lemma 1.4, we get

$$
\int_{\theta_1}^{\theta_2} \Re \left\{ 1 + \frac{zh''(z)}{h'(z)} \right\} d\theta = \delta \int_{\theta_1}^{\theta_2} \Re \left\{ \frac{D^{n+1}f(z)}{D^n f(z)} \right\} d\theta + (1 - \delta)(\theta_2 - \theta_1)
$$

> $\delta[-\beta \pi + \alpha(\theta_2 - \theta_1)] + (1 - \delta)(\theta_2 - \theta_1)$
= $-\beta \delta \pi + [1 - (1 - \alpha)\delta](\theta_2 - \theta_1).$

To prove that $h \in C(\eta, \gamma)$, we have to show that the right hand side of the above inequality is not less than $-\gamma \pi + \eta(\theta_2 - \theta_1)$, provided

$$
0 \le \delta \le \frac{\gamma + 2(1 - \eta)}{\beta + 2(1 - \alpha)}.
$$
\n(14)

Similarly, for δ < 0, using Lemma 1.4, we get

$$
\int_{\theta_1}^{\theta_2} \Re \left\{ 1 + \frac{zh''(z)}{h'(z)} \right\} d\theta > \delta \left[\beta \pi + 2(1-\alpha) + \alpha(\theta_2 - \theta_1) \right] + (1-\delta)(\theta_2 - \theta_1).
$$

To show that $h \in C(\eta, \gamma)$, we have to prove that the right-hand side of the above inequality is not less than $-\gamma \pi + \eta (\theta_2 - \theta_1)$, provided

$$
\frac{-\gamma}{\beta + 2(1 - \alpha)} \le \delta \le 0. \tag{15}
$$

Combining (14) and (15) , we obtain (13) .

Thus the proof of Theorem 2.1 is established.

To show the sharpness, let us take the function $f(z)$ defined by the relation

$$
D^{n} f(z) = \frac{z}{(1-z)^{2(1-\alpha)+\beta}},
$$
\n(16)

then it is easy to see that this function belongs to $C(n, \alpha, \beta)$ with respect to the function $\frac{z}{(1-z)^{2(1-\alpha)}}$ belonging to $S^*(\alpha)$. Then

$$
h(z) = \int_0^z \frac{dt}{(1-t)^{[2(1-\alpha)+\beta]\delta}}
$$
(17)

and from condition (12) this functions belongs to $C(0,1)$ if and only if

$$
\frac{-1}{2(1-\alpha)+\beta}\leq \delta\leq \frac{3}{2(1-\alpha)+\beta}.
$$

Again for $\gamma = 0$, from (17) we have

$$
1 + \frac{zh''(z)}{h'(z)} = \frac{1 + \left[1 - 2\left(1 - \frac{\{2(1-\alpha) + \beta\}\delta}{2}\right)\right]z}{1 - z}
$$

and $\Re\left\{1+\frac{zh''(z)}{h'(z)}\right\}$ $\left\{\frac{h''(z)}{h'(z)}\right\} > \eta$ if and only if

$$
1 - \frac{\{2(1-\alpha)+\beta\}\delta}{2} \geq \eta \;\; \Rightarrow \;\; 0 \leq \delta \leq \frac{2(1-\eta)}{\beta+2(1-\alpha)}.
$$

Remark 2.2. The undermentioned results are particular cases of Theorem 2.1.

- (i) If we put $n = 0$ and $n = 1$ in Theorem 2.1 we obtain the corresponding results of Mishra [7].
- (ii) If we put $n = 1, \beta = 0, \gamma = 0$ we obtain a result of Patil and Thakare [10].
- (iii) If we put $n = 1, \beta = 0, \eta = 0$ we obtain a result of Patil and Thakare [10].
- (iv) If we put $n = 1$, $\alpha = 0$, $\eta = 0$ we obtain a result of Patil and Thakare [10].
- (v) If we put $n = 0$, $\beta = 0$, $\eta = 0$ we obtain a result of Patil and Thakare [10].
- (vi) If we put $n = 1, \alpha = 0, \beta = 0, \eta = 0$ and $\gamma = 1$ we obtain a result of Nunokawa [9] as well as that of Merkes and Wright [6].
- (vii) If we put $n = 0, \alpha = 0, \beta = 0, \eta = 0$ and $\gamma = 1$ we obtain a result of Nunokawa [9] as well as that of Merkes and Wright [6].
- (viii) If we put $n = 1$, $\alpha = 0$, $\beta = 1$, $\eta = 0$ and $\gamma = 1$ we obtain a result of Nunokawa [9] as well as that of Merkes and Wright [6].
	- (ix) If we put $n = 0, \alpha = 0, \eta = 0$ we obtain a result of Shukla and Kumar [18].
	- (x) If we put $n = 0$, $\alpha = 0$, $\beta = 1$, $\eta = 0$ and $\gamma = 1$ we obtain a result of Kim [4].
	- (xi) If we put $n = 0, \alpha = 1/2, \beta = 0, \eta = 0$ and $\gamma = 1$ we obtain a result of Nunokawa [9] as well as that of Merkes and Wright [6].

Theorem 2.3. *Let* $f \in C(n, \alpha, \beta)$ *. Then for* $|z| = r$

$$
\frac{r(1-r)^{\beta}}{(1+r)^{\beta+2(1-\alpha)}} \le |D^{n} f(z)| \le \frac{r(1+r)^{\beta}}{(1-r)^{\beta+2(1-\alpha)}}
$$

The result is sharp.

Proof. By definition $f \in C(n, \alpha, \beta)$ if and only if there exists a function $P \in$ *P*(0) and *F*(*z*) \in *S*^{*}(α) such that

$$
\frac{D^n f(z)}{F(z)} = [P(z)]^{\beta}.
$$

Therefore

$$
|Dn f(z)| = |P(z)|\beta |F(z)|.
$$

Now using the well-known inequalities (see [1])

$$
\frac{1-r}{1+r} \le |P(z)| \le \frac{1+r}{1-r}
$$

and

$$
\frac{r}{(1+r)^{2(1-\alpha)}} \le |F(z)| \le \frac{r}{(1-r)^{2(1-\alpha)}},
$$

we obtain the required inequalities.

Sharpness follows if we take $f(z)$ connected by the relation

$$
D^{n} f(z) = \frac{z(1+z)^{\beta}}{(1-z)^{\beta+2(1-\alpha)}}
$$

and

$$
F(z) = \frac{z}{(1-z)^{2(1-\alpha)}}.
$$

Theorem 2.4. *If* $f \in C(n, \alpha, \beta)$ *, then*

$$
\left|\arg\frac{D^n f(z)}{z}\right| \leq \beta \sin^{-1}\frac{2r}{1+r^2} + 2(1-\alpha)\sin^{-1}r.
$$

The result is sharp.

Proof. If $f \in C(n, \alpha, \beta)$, then

$$
\frac{D^n f(z)}{F(z)} = [P(z)]^{\beta},
$$

for some $P(z) \in P(0)$ and $F(z) \in S^*(\alpha)$.

Thus

$$
\left| \arg \frac{D^n f(z)}{z} \right| \le \beta \left| \arg P(z) \right| + \left| \arg \frac{F(z)}{z} \right|.
$$
 (18)

Now using the well-known results

$$
|\arg P(z)| \le \sin^{-1} \frac{2r}{1+r^2} \tag{19}
$$

and a result of Pinchuk [12]

$$
\left| \arg \frac{F(z)}{z} \right| \le 2(1 - \alpha) \sin^{-1} r,\tag{20}
$$

using (19) and (20) in (18) we get the required result.

Sharpness follows if we take $f(z)$ to be the same as in Theorem 2.3. \Box

Theorem 2.5. *If* $f \in C(n, \alpha, \beta)$ *, then* $f \in S(n,0)$ *for* $|z| < r_0$ *, where*

$$
r_0 = \frac{(1+\beta-\alpha)-\sqrt{\alpha^2-2\beta\alpha+\beta(2+\beta)}}{1-2\alpha}, \text{ when } \alpha \neq \frac{1}{2}
$$

and

$$
r_0=\frac{1}{1+2\beta},\ \text{when}\ \alpha=\frac{1}{2}.
$$

The result is sharp.

Proof. $f \in C(n, \alpha, \beta)$ if and only if there there exists a function $P \in P(0)$ and $F(z) \in S^*(\alpha)$ such that

$$
\frac{D^n f(z)}{F(z)} = [P(z)]^{\beta}.
$$

$$
D^n f(z) = [P(z)]^{\beta} F(z).
$$
 (21)

Logarithmic differentation of (21) yields

$$
\frac{z(D^n f(z))'}{D^n f(z)} = \beta \frac{zP'(z)}{P(z)} + \frac{zF'(z)}{F(z)}.
$$

Now by a result of MacGregor [5], we know that

$$
\left|\frac{zP'(z)}{P(z)}\right| \leq \frac{2r}{1-r^2}.
$$

Therefore

$$
\mathfrak{R}\left\{\frac{z(D^n f(z))'}{D^n f(z)}\right\} \ge \mathfrak{R}\left\{\frac{zF'(z)}{F(z)}\right\} - \beta \left|\frac{zP'(z)}{P(z)}\right|
$$

$$
\ge \frac{1 - (1 - 2\alpha)r}{1 + r} - \beta \left(\frac{2r}{1 - r^2}\right)
$$

=
$$
\frac{(1 - 2\alpha)r^2 - 2(1 + \beta - \alpha)r + 1}{1 - r^2}.
$$

The right hand side of the above inequality is not less than or equal to zero provided $|z| = r < r_0$, where r_0 is as given in the statement of theorem. Sharpness follows if we take $f(z)$ to be the same as in Theorem 2.3. \Box

Acknowledgement

The author is thankful to the referee for his/her valuable comments and suggestions.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. W. Goodman, *Univalent Functions*, Vol. I and II, Mariner Publishing Company, Florida, 1983.
- [2] E. Kadioğlu, *On subclass of univalent functions with negative coefficients*, Appl. Math. Comput. 146 (2003), 351–358.
- [3] W. Kaplan, *Close-to-Convex Schlicht functions*, Mich. Math. J. 1 (1952), 169–185.
- [4] Y. J. Kim, *Univalence of certain integrals*, Ph.D. Thesis, University of Cincinnati, (1972).
- [5] T.H. MacGregor, *Univalent power series whose coefficients have monotonic properties*, Math. Z. 112 (1969), 222–228.
- [6] E. P. Merkes D. J. Wright, *On the univalence of certain integrals*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 27 (1971), 97–100.
- [7] R. S. Mishra, *Geometrical and analytical properties of certain classes related to univalent functions*, Ph.D. Thesis, C.S.J.M. University, Kanpur, India, (2007).
- [8] M. Nunokawa, *On the univalence of certain integral*, Proc. Japan Acad. 45 (1969), 841–845.
- [9] M. Nunokawa, *On the univalence of certain integral*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 146 (1969), 439–440.
- [10] D. A. Patil N. K. Thakare, *On univalence of certain integral*, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math. 11 (1980), 1626–1642.
- [11] J.A. Pfaltzgraff, *Univalence of the integral of* $f'(z)^{\lambda}$, Bull. Lon. Math. Soc. 7 (1975), 254–256.
- [12] B. Pinchuk, *On starlike and convex functions of order* α, Duke Math. J. 35 (1968), 721–734.
- [13] S. Porwal, *Mapping properties of an integral operator*, Acta Univ. Apul. 27 (2011), 151–155.
- [14] M. O. Reade, *On close-to-convex univalent functions*, Mich. Math. J. 3 (1955-56), 59–62.
- [15] M. S. Robertson, *On the theory of univalent functions*, Ann. Math. 37 (1936), 374–408.
- [16] W. C. Royster, *On the univalence of certain integral*, Mich. Math. J. 12 (1965), 385–387.
- [17] G. S. Salagean, *Subclasses of univalent functions*, Complex Analysis-Fifth Romanian Finish Seminar, Bucharest, 1 (1983), 362–372.
- [18] S. L. Shukla V. Kumar, *Remarks on a paper of Patil and Thakare*, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math. 13 (1982), 1513–1525.
- [19] H. Silverman, *Univalent functions with negative coefficients*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 51 (1975), 109–116.

SAURABH PORWAL Department of Mathematics U.I.E.T., C.S.J.M. University, Kanpur-208024, (U.P.), India e-mail: saurabhjcb@rediffmail.com