

LE MATEMATICHE

Vol. LXVIII (2013) – Fasc. I, pp. 99–116

doi: 10.4418/2013.68.1.9

FIXED POINTS OF WEAKLY COMPATIBLE MAPPINGS USING COMMON (E. A) LIKE PROPERTY

SUNNY CHAUHAN - BADRI D. PANT

The aim of this paper is to prove common fixed point theorems in Menger spaces using implicit relation and common (E.A) like property. An example is derived to support our main result. We extend our result to four finite families of self mappings. As an application of our main result, we prove an integral type common fixed point theorem satisfying ψ -contraction condition in Menger space. Our results improve some recent results in Menger spaces.

1. Introduction

In 1991, Mishra [23] introduced the notion of compatible mappings in probabilistic metric spaces (shortly PM-spaces). It is seen that most of the common fixed point theorems for contraction mappings invariably require a compatibility condition besides assuming continuity of at least one of the mappings. Later on, Singh and Jain [34] introduced the notion of weakly compatible mappings and proved fixed point theorems in Menger spaces. In 2008, Kubiacyk and Sharma [15] proved some common fixed point theorems under strict contractive conditions for weakly compatible mappings satisfying the property (E.A) due to Aamri and El Moutawakil [1]. Further, Ali et al. [2] introduced the notion of common property (E.A) in Menger space and improved

Entrato in redazione: 24 maggio 2012

AMS 2010 Subject Classification: 54H25, 47H10.

Keywords: t-norm, Menger space, Weakly compatible mappings, (E.A) property, Common (E.A) property, (E.A) like property, Common (E.A) like property, Implicit relation.

the results of Kubiacyk and Sharma [15]. Subsequently, there are a number of results which contained the notions of property (E.A) and common property (E.A) in Menger spaces (see [3, 10, 11]). Inspired by Sintunavarat and Kumam [35, 38], Wadhwa et al. [41] defined the notion of (E.A) like property and common (E.A) like property in fuzzy metric spaces and improved the results of Kumar [16] as the conditions on containment of ranges amongst the involved mappings and closedness of the underlying subspaces are completely relaxed. Many mathematicians proved various fixed point theorems in Menger spaces (see [8, 12, 21, 22, 24, 25, 27, 28, 30, 33]).

In fixed point theory, implicit relations are used to find the common fixed point of the involved mappings. Subsequently several authors (see [6, 17–19, 26, 32]) have studied existence of fixed points in Menger spaces satisfying implicit relations. Recently, Imdad et al. [13] defined a class of implicit relations for the existence of fixed points in Menger spaces.

In 2002, Branciari [7] obtained a fixed point result for a mapping satisfying an integral analogue of Banach contraction principle. Many authors proved a host of fixed point theorems involving relatively more general integral type contractive conditions (see [4, 9, 29, 36, 37, 39, 40]).

In this paper, we prove a common fixed point theorem for two pairs of weakly compatible mappings using implicit relation and common (E.A) like property. An illustrative example is furnished to support our main result. We also prove a fixed point theorem for six self mappings in Menger spaces by using the notion of pairwise commuting. As an application to our result, we present an integral type fixed point theorem in Menger space.

2. Preliminaries

Definition 2.1. [31] A mapping $\Delta : [0, 1] \times [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is t-norm if Δ is satisfying the following conditions:

1. Δ is commutative and associative;
2. $\Delta(a, 1) = a$ for all $a \in [0, 1]$;
3. $\Delta(a, b) \leq \Delta(c, d)$ whenever $a \leq c$ and $b \leq d$, for all $a, b, c, d \in [0, 1]$.

Example 2.2. [31] The following are the four basic t-norms.

1. The minimum t-norm: $\Delta_M(a, b) = \min\{a, b\}$;
2. The product t-norm: $\Delta_P(a, b) = ab$;
3. The Lukasiewicz t-norm: $\Delta_L(a, b) = \max\{a + b - 1, 0\}$;

4. The weakest t-norm, the drastic product:

$$\Delta_D(a, b) = \begin{cases} \min\{a, b\}, & \text{if } \max\{a, b\} = 1; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

In respect of above mentioned t-norms, we have the following ordering:

$$\Delta_D(a, b) < \Delta_L(a, b) < \Delta_P(a, b) < \Delta_M(a, b).$$

Throughout this paper, Δ stands for an arbitrary continuous t-norm.

Definition 2.3. [31] A mapping $F : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ is called a distribution function if it is non-decreasing and left continuous with $\inf\{F(t) : t \in \mathbb{R}\} = 0$ and $\sup\{F(t) : t \in \mathbb{R}\} = 1$.

We shall denote by \mathfrak{S} the set of all distribution functions defined on $(-\infty, \infty)$ while $H(t)$ will always denote the specific distribution function defined by

$$H(t) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } t \leq 0; \\ 1, & \text{if } t > 0. \end{cases}$$

If X is a non-empty set, $\mathcal{F} : X \times X \rightarrow \mathfrak{S}$ is called a probabilistic distance on X and the value of \mathcal{F} at $(x, y) \in X \times X$ is represented by $F_{x,y}$.

Definition 2.4. [20] A PM-space is an ordered pair (X, \mathcal{F}) , where X is a non-empty set of elements and \mathcal{F} is a probabilistic distance satisfying the following conditions: for all $x, y, z \in X$ and $t, s > 0$,

1. $F_{x,y}(t) = H(t)$ for all $t > 0$ if and only $x = y$;
2. $F_{x,y}(t) = F_{y,x}(t)$;
3. if $F_{x,y}(t) = 1$ and $F_{y,z}(s) = 1$ then $F_{x,z}(t+s) = 1$.

Every metric space (X, d) can always be realized as a probabilistic metric space by considering $\mathcal{F} : X \times X \rightarrow \mathfrak{S}$ defined by $F_{x,y}(t) = H(t - d(x, y))$ for all $x, y \in X$. So probabilistic metric spaces offer a wider framework than that of metric spaces and are better suited to cover even wider statistical situations.

Definition 2.5. [31] A Menger space (X, \mathcal{F}, Δ) is a triplet where (X, \mathcal{F}) is a PM-space and Δ is a t-norm satisfying the following condition:

$$F_{x,y}(t+s) \geq \Delta(F_{x,z}(t), F_{z,y}(s)),$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$ and $t, s > 0$.

Definition 2.6. [15] A pair (A, S) of self mappings of a Menger space (X, \mathcal{F}, Δ) is said to satisfy the property (E.A) if there exists a sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Ax_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Sx_n = z,$$

for some $z \in X$.

Definition 2.7. [3] Two pairs (A, S) and (B, T) of self mappings of a Menger space (X, \mathcal{F}, Δ) are said to satisfy the common property (E.A) if there exist two sequences $\{x_n\}, \{y_n\}$ in X such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Ax_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Sx_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} By_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Ty_n = z,$$

for some $z \in X$.

Inspired by Wadhwa et al. [41], we adopt the following:

Definition 2.8. A pair (A, S) of self mappings of a Menger space (X, \mathcal{F}, Δ) is said to satisfy the (E.A) like property if there exists a sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Ax_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Sx_n = z,$$

for some $z \in A(X)$ or $z \in S(X)$.

Example 2.9. Let $X = [0, 2]$ with the usual metric d , that is, $d(x, y) = |x - y|$ and for each $t \in [0, 1]$ define

$$F_{x,y}(t) = \begin{cases} \frac{t}{t + |x - y|}, & \text{if } t > 0; \\ 0, & \text{if } t = 0. \end{cases}$$

for all $x, y \in X$. Then (X, \mathcal{F}, Δ) be a Menger space, where Δ is a continuous t -norm. Let A, B, S and T be self mappings of X defined by

$$A(X) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } x \in [0, 1]; \\ \frac{3-x}{2}, & \text{if } x \in (1, 2]. \end{cases} \quad S(X) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } x \in [0, 1]; \\ 2-x, & \text{if } x \in (1, 2]. \end{cases}$$

Then we get $A(X) = [\frac{1}{2}, 1]$ and $S(X) = [0, 1]$. Consider a sequence $\{x_n\} = \{1 + \frac{1}{n}\}$ in X such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Ax_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ 1 - \frac{1}{2n} \right\} = 1 \in S(X),$$

and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Sx_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ 1 - \frac{1}{n} \right\} = 1 \in A(X).$$

Hence, the pair (A, S) satisfies the (E.A) like property.

Example 2.10. In the setting of Example 2.9, replace the self mappings A and S by the following, besides retaining the rest:

$$A(X) = \begin{cases} 2, & \text{if } x \in [0, 1]; \\ \frac{3-x}{2}, & \text{if } x \in (1, 2]. \end{cases} \quad S(X) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } x \in [0, 1]; \\ 2-x, & \text{if } x \in (1, 2]. \end{cases}$$

Then we get $A(X) = [\frac{1}{2}, 1) \cup \{2\}$ and $S(X) = [0, 1)$. Define a sequence $\{x_n\} = \{1 + \frac{1}{n}\}$ in X , we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Ax_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} A \left(1 + \frac{1}{n} \right) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ 1 - \frac{1}{2n} \right\} = 1 \in X,$$

and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Sx_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S \left(1 + \frac{1}{n} \right) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ 1 - \frac{1}{n} \right\} = 1 \in X.$$

Here it is noted that 1 does not belong to $A(X)$ and $S(X)$. But the pair (A, S) satisfies the property (E.A).

From the Examples 2.9-2.10, it is evident that a pair (A, S) satisfying the (E.A) like property always enjoys the property (E.A) but the implication is not reversible.

Definition 2.11. Two pairs (A, S) and (B, T) of self mappings of a Menger space (X, \mathcal{F}, Δ) are said to satisfy the common (E.A) like property if there exist two sequences $\{x_n\}, \{y_n\}$ in X such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Ax_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Sx_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} By_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Ty_n = z,$$

where $z \in S(X) \cap T(X)$ or $z \in A(X) \cap B(X)$.

Definition 2.12. [14] A pair (A, S) of self mappings of a non-empty set X is said to be weakly compatible (or coincidentally commuting) if they commute at their coincidence points, that is, if $Ax = Sx$ for some $x \in X$, then $ASx = SAx$.

Definition 2.13. [12] Two families of self mappings $\{A_i\}_{i=1}^m$ and $\{S_k\}_{k=1}^n$ are said to be pairwise commuting if

1. $A_i A_j = A_j A_i, i, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$,
2. $S_k S_l = S_l S_k, k, l \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$,
3. $A_i S_k = S_k A_i, i \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}, k \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$.

Lemma 2.14. [23] Let (X, \mathcal{F}, Δ) be a Menger space. If there exists a constant $k \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$F_{x,y}(kt) \geq F_{x,y}(t),$$

for all $t > 0$ with fixed $x, y \in X$ then $x = y$.

3. Implicit Relation

Following Imdad et al. [13], let Θ be the set of all continuous functions $\varphi(t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4, t_5, t_6) : [0, 1]^6 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfying the following conditions:

$$(\varphi_1) \quad \varphi(u, 1, u, 1, 1, u) < 0, \text{ for all } u \in (0, 1),$$

$$(\varphi_2) \quad \varphi(u, 1, 1, u, u, 1) < 0, \text{ for all } u \in (0, 1),$$

$$(\varphi_3) \quad \varphi(u, u, 1, 1, u, u) < 0, \text{ for all } u \in (0, 1).$$

Example 3.1. [13] Define $\varphi(t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4, t_5, t_6) : [0, 1]^6 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as

$$\varphi(t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4, t_5, t_6) = t_1 - \psi(\min\{t_2, t_3, t_4, t_5, t_6\}), \quad (1)$$

where $\psi : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is increasing and continuous function such that $\psi(t) > t$ for all $t \in (0, 1)$. Notice that

$$(\varphi_1) \quad \varphi(u, 1, u, 1, 1, u) = u - \psi(u) < 0, \text{ for all } u \in (0, 1),$$

$$(\varphi_2) \quad \varphi(u, 1, 1, u, u, 1) = u - \psi(u) < 0, \text{ for all } u \in (0, 1),$$

$$(\varphi_3) \quad \varphi(u, u, 1, 1, u, u) = u - \psi(u) < 0, \text{ for all } u \in (0, 1).$$

Example 3.2. [13] Define $\varphi(t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4, t_5, t_6) : [0, 1]^6 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as

$$\varphi(t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4, t_5, t_6) = \int_0^{t_1} \phi(t) dt - \psi\left(\int_0^{\min\{t_2, t_3, t_4, t_5, t_6\}} \phi(t) dt\right), \quad (2)$$

where $\psi : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is increasing and continuous function such that $\psi(t) > t$ for all $t \in (0, 1)$ and $\phi : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ is a Lebesgue integrable function which is summable and satisfies

$$0 < \int_0^\varepsilon \phi(s) ds < 1, \text{ for all } 0 < \varepsilon < 1, \int_0^1 \phi(s) ds = 1.$$

We observe that

$$(\varphi_1) \quad \varphi(u, 1, u, 1, 1, u) = \int_0^u \phi(t) dt - \psi\left(\int_0^u \phi(t) dt\right) < 0, \text{ for all } u \in (0, 1),$$

$$(\varphi_2) \quad \varphi(u, 1, 1, u, u, 1) = \int_0^u \phi(t) dt - \psi\left(\int_0^u \phi(t) dt\right) < 0, \text{ for all } u \in (0, 1),$$

$$(\varphi_3) \quad \varphi(u, u, 1, 1, u, u) = \int_0^u \phi(t) dt - \psi\left(\int_0^u \phi(t) dt\right) < 0, \text{ for all } u \in (0, 1).$$

Example 3.3. [13] Define $\varphi(t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4, t_5, t_6) : [0, 1]^6 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4, t_5, t_6) = & \int_0^{t_1} \phi(t) dt - \psi(\min\{\int_0^{t_2} \phi(t) dt, \int_0^{t_3} \phi(t) dt, \\ & \int_0^{t_4} \phi(t) dt, \int_0^{t_5} \phi(t) dt, \int_0^{t_6} \phi(t) dt\}), \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

where $\psi : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is increasing and continuous function such that $\psi(t) > t$ for all $t \in (0, 1)$ and $\phi : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ is a Lebesgue integrable function which is summable and satisfies

$$0 < \int_0^\varepsilon \phi(s) ds < 1, \text{ for all } 0 < \varepsilon < 1, \int_0^1 \phi(s) ds = 1.$$

We observe that

$$(\varphi_1) \quad \varphi(u, 1, u, 1, 1, u) = \int_0^u \phi(t) dt - \psi\left(\int_0^u \phi(t) dt\right) < 0, \text{ for all } u \in (0, 1),$$

$$(\varphi_2) \quad \varphi(u, 1, 1, u, u, 1) = \int_0^u \phi(t) dt - \psi\left(\int_0^u \phi(t) dt\right) < 0, \text{ for all } u \in (0, 1),$$

$$(\varphi_3) \quad \varphi(u, u, 1, 1, u, u) = \int_0^u \phi(t) dt - \psi\left(\int_0^u \phi(t) dt\right) < 0, \text{ for all } u \in (0, 1).$$

4. Results

Theorem 4.1. Let A, B, S and T be self mappings of a Menger space (X, \mathcal{F}, Δ) satisfying the following conditions:

1. the pairs (A, S) and (B, T) share the common (E.A) like property;
2. there exists $\varphi \in \Theta$ such that

$$\varphi(F_{Ax, By}(t), F_{Sx, Ty}(t), F_{Ax, Sx}(t), F_{By, Ty}(t), F_{By, Sx}(t), F_{Ax, Ty}(t)) \geq 0, \quad (4)$$

for all $x, y \in X$ and $t > 0$. Then the pairs (A, S) and (B, T) have a coincidence point each. Moreover, A, B, S and T have a unique common fixed point if both the pairs (A, S) and (B, T) are weakly compatible.

Proof. Since (A, S) and (B, T) share the common (E.A) like property, there exist two sequences $\{x_n\}$ and $\{y_n\}$ in X such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Ax_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Sx_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} By_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Ty_n = z,$$

where $z \in S(X) \cap T(X)$ or $z \in A(X) \cap B(X)$.

Case I Suppose that $z \in S(X) \cap T(X)$. Since $z \in S(X)$, we have $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Ax_n = z \in S(X)$, that is, $z = Su$ for some $u \in X$. Now we assert that $Au = Su$. Suppose that $Au \neq Su$, then using inequality (4) with $x = u$, $y = y_n$, we get

$$\varphi \left(\begin{array}{c} F_{Au,By_n}(t), F_{Su,Ty_n}(t), F_{Au,Su}(t), \\ F_{By_n,Ty_n}(t), F_{By_n,Su}(t), F_{Au,Ty_n}(t) \end{array} \right) \geq 0.$$

Taking limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain

$$\varphi (F_{Au,z}(t), F_{z,z}(t), F_{Au,z}(t), F_{z,z}(t), F_{z,z}(t), F_{Au,z}(t)) \geq 0,$$

and so

$$\varphi (F_{Au,z}(kt), 1, F_{Au,z}(t), 1, 1, F_{Au,z}(t)) \geq 0,$$

which contradicts (φ_1) . Hence $Au = z = Su$ which shows that u is a coincidence point of the pair (A, S) .

Since $z \in T(X)$, we have $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} By_n = z \in T(X)$, that is, $z = Tv$ for some $v \in X$. We show that $Bv = Tv$. If it is not so, then using inequality (4) with $x = x_n$, $y = v$, we have

$$\varphi \left(\begin{array}{c} F_{Ax_n,Bv}(t), F_{Sx_n,Tv}(t), F_{Ax_n,Sx_n}(t), \\ F_{Bv,Tv}(t), F_{Bv,Sx_n}(t), F_{Ax_n,Tv}(t) \end{array} \right) \geq 0.$$

Taking limit $n \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain

$$\varphi (F_{z,Bv}(t), F_{z,z}(t), F_{z,z}(t), F_{Bv,z}(t), F_{Bv,z}(t), F_{z,z}(t)) \geq 0,$$

or

$$\varphi (F_{z,Bv}(t), 1, 1, F_{Bv,z}(t), F_{Bv,z}(t), 1) \geq 0,$$

which contradicts (φ_2) . Hence $Bv = z = Tv$ which shows that v is a coincidence point of the pair (B, T) .

Since the pairs (A, S) and (B, T) are weakly compatible and $Au = Su$, $Bv = Tv$, therefore $Az = ASu = SAu = Sz$ and $Bz = BTv = TBv = Tz$. We assert that z is a common fixed point of the mappings A and S . Let, on the contrary, $z \neq Az$. On using inequality (4) with $x = z$, $y = v$, we get

$$\varphi \left(\begin{array}{c} F_{Az,Bv}(t), F_{Sz,Tv}(t), F_{Az,Sz}(t), \\ F_{Bv,Tv}(t), F_{Bv,Sz}(t), F_{Az,Tv}(t) \end{array} \right) \geq 0,$$

and so

$$\varphi (F_{Az,z}(t), F_{Az,z}(t), F_{Az,Az}(t), F_{z,z}(t), F_{z,Az}(t), F_{Az,z}(t)) \geq 0,$$

or

$$\varphi (F_{Az,z}(t), F_{Az,z}(t), 1, 1, F_{z,Az}(t), F_{Az,z}(t)) \geq 0,$$

which contradicts (φ_3) . Hence $Az = Sz = z$. Now we show that z is also a common fixed point of the pair (B, T) . If $z \neq Bz$, then using inequality (4) with $x = u, y = z$, we get

$$\varphi \left(\begin{array}{l} F_{Au, Bz}(t), F_{Su, Tz}(t), F_{Au, Su}(t), \\ F_{Bz, Tz}(t), F_{Bz, Su}(t), F_{Au, Tz}(t) \end{array} \right) \geq 0,$$

and so

$$\varphi (F_{z, Bz}(t), F_{z, Bz}(t), F_{z, z}(t), F_{Bz, Bz}(t), F_{Bz, z}(t), F_{z, Bz}(t)) \geq 0,$$

or

$$\varphi (F_{z, Bz}(t), F_{z, Bz}(t), 1, 1, F_{Bz, z}(t), F_{z, Bz}(t)) \geq 0.$$

which contradicts (φ_3) . Therefore $Bz = z = Tz$. Thus we conclude that z is a common fixed point of A, B, S and T . Uniqueness of common fixed point is an easy consequence of inequality (4).

Case II If $z \in A(X) \cap B(X)$ then the proof is similar to Case I, hence it is omitted. \square

Remark 4.2. Theorem 4.1 improve the results of Imdad et al. [13, Theorem 3.3, Theorem 3.7, Corollary 3.8] without any requirement on containment of ranges of the involved mappings and closedness of the underlying subspaces.

Example 4.3. Consider $X = [1, 15)$ and define $F_{x,y}(t) = \frac{t}{t+|x-y|}$ for all $x, y \in X$ and $t > 0$. Then (X, \mathcal{F}, Δ) be a Menger space with $\Delta(a, b) = \min\{a, b\}$. Define $\varphi(t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4, t_5, t_6) : [0, 1]^6 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as

$$\varphi(t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4, t_5, t_6) = t_1 - \psi(\min\{t_2, t_3, t_4, t_5, t_6\}),$$

where $\psi(s) = \sqrt{s}$ for all $s \in [0, 1]$. Let A, B, S and T be self mappings defined by

$$A(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } x \in \{1\} \cup (10, 15); \\ x+3, & \text{if } x \in (1, 10]. \end{cases}$$

$$B(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } x \in \{1\} \cup [5, 15); \\ x+2, & \text{if } x \in (1, 5). \end{cases}$$

$$S(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } x = 1; \\ 3, & \text{if } x \in (1, 10]; \\ \frac{x-5}{5}, & \text{if } x \in (10, 15). \end{cases}$$

$$T(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } x = 1; \\ 10, & \text{if } x \in (1, 5]; \\ x-4, & \text{if } x \in (5, 15); \end{cases}$$

Consider the sequences $\{x_n = 10 + \frac{1}{n}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $\{y_n = 5 + \frac{1}{n}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in X such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Ax_n = 1 \in S(X)$, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Sx_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ \frac{10 + \frac{1}{n} - 5}{5} \right\} = 1 \in A(X)$, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} By_n = 1 \in T(X)$, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Ty_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ 5 + \frac{1}{n} - 4 \right\} = 1 \in B(X)$.

Notice that the pairs (A, S) and (B, T) commute at 1 which is their common coincidence point. Thus all the conditions of Theorem 4.1 are satisfied and 1 is a unique common fixed point of self mappings A, B, S and T . All the mappings are even discontinuous at their unique common fixed point 1. It is pointed out that $A(X) = \{1\} \cup (4, 14]$, $B(X) = \{1\} \cup (3, 7)$, $S(X) = [1, 2) \cup \{3\}$ and $T(X) = [1, 11)$. Hence $A(X) \not\subseteq T(X)$ and $B(X) \not\subseteq S(X)$. Also $A(X)$, $B(X)$, $S(X)$ and $T(X)$ are not closed subsets of X .

By choosing A, B, S and T suitably, we can derive a multitude of common fixed point theorems for a pair or triod of mappings. Our next result is proved for a pair of mappings by using (E.A) like property under weak compatibility.

Theorem 4.4. *Let A and S be self mappings of a Menger space (X, \mathcal{F}, Δ) satisfying the following conditions:*

1. *the pair (A, S) satisfies the (E.A) like property;*
2. *there exists $\varphi \in \Theta$ such that*

$$\varphi (F_{Ax,Ay}(t), F_{Sx,Sy}(t), F_{Ax,Sx}(t), F_{Ay,Sy}(t), F_{Ay,Sx}(t), F_{Ax,Sy}(t)) \geq 0, \quad (5)$$

for all $x, y \in X$ and $t > 0$. Then the pair (A, S) has a coincidence point. Moreover, A and S have a unique common fixed point if the pair (A, S) is weakly compatible.

Proof. Since the pair (A, S) satisfies the (E.A) like property, there exists a sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Ax_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Sx_n = z,$$

for some $z \in A(X)$ or $z \in S(X)$.

Case I Suppose that $z \in S(X)$, then we have $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Ax_n = z \in S(X)$, that is, $z = Su$ for some $u \in X$. We show that $Au = Su$. Let, on the contrary, $Au \neq Su$. On using inequality (5) with $x = u$, $y = x_n$, we get

$$\varphi \left(\begin{matrix} F_{Au,Ax_n}(t), F_{Su,Sx_n}(t), F_{Au,Su}(t), \\ F_{Ax_n,Sx_n}(t), F_{Ax_n,Su}(t), F_{Au,Sx_n}(t) \end{matrix} \right) \geq 0.$$

Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$, we have

$$\varphi(F_{Au,z}(t), F_{z,z}(t), F_{Au,z}(t), F_{z,z}(t), F_{z,z}(t), F_{Au,z}(t)) \geq 0,$$

and so

$$\varphi(F_{Au,z}(t), 1, F_{Au,z}(t), 1, 1, F_{Au,z}(t)) \geq 0.$$

which is a contradiction to (φ_1) . Hence $Au = z = Su$ which shows that u is a coincidence point of the pair (A, S) .

Since the pair (A, S) is weakly compatible and $Au = Su$, therefore $Az = ASu = SAu = Sz$. Now we assert that z is a common fixed point of A and S . Suppose that $z \neq Az$. On using inequality (5) with $x = z, y = u$, we get

$$\varphi \left(\begin{array}{l} F_{Az,Au}(t), F_{Sz,Su}(t), F_{Az,Sz}(t), \\ F_{Au,Su}(t), F_{Az,Su}(t), F_{Au,Sz}(t) \end{array} \right) \geq 0,$$

and so

$$\varphi(F_{Az,z}(t), F_{Az,z}(t), F_{Az,Az}(t), F_{z,z}(t), F_{Az,z}(t), F_{z,Az}(t)) \geq 0,$$

or

$$\varphi(F_{Az,z}(t), F_{Az,z}(t), 1, 1, F_{Az,z}(t), F_{z,Az}(t)) \geq 0.$$

which is a contradiction to (φ_3) . Hence $Az = z = Sz$. Therefore z is a common fixed point of A and S . Uniqueness of common fixed point is an easy consequence of inequality (5).

Case II The proof is similar to Case I if it is assumed that $z \in A(X)$. \square

Now, we utilize Definition 2.13 (which is indeed a natural extension of commutativity condition to two finite families) to prove a common fixed point theorem for six mappings in Menger space.

Theorem 4.5. *Let A, B, S, R, T and H be self mappings of a Menger space (X, \mathcal{F}, Δ) satisfying the following conditions:*

1. *the pairs (A, SR) and (B, TH) share the common (E.A) like property;*
2. *there exists $\varphi \in \Theta$ such that*

$$\varphi \left(\begin{array}{l} F_{Ax,By}(t), F_{SRx,THy}(t), F_{Ax,SRx}(t), \\ F_{By,THy}(t), F_{By,SRx}(t), F_{Ax,THy}(t) \end{array} \right) \geq 0, \quad (6)$$

for all $x, y \in X$ and $t > 0$. Then the pairs (A, SR) and (B, TH) have a coincidence point each. Moreover, A, B, S, R, T and H have a unique common fixed point if both the pairs (A, SR) and (B, TH) commute pairwise (that is, $AS = SA, AR = RA, SR = RS, BT = TB, BH = HB$ and $TH = HT$).

Proof. Since (A, SR) and (B, TH) are commuting pairwise, obviously both the pairs are weakly compatible. By Theorem 4.1, A, B, SR and TH have a unique common fixed point z in X . We show that z is a unique common fixed point of the self mappings A, B, R, S, H and T . Let, on the contrary, $z \neq Rz$. On using inequality (6) with $x = Rz, y = z$ to get

$$\varphi \left(\begin{array}{c} F_{A(Rz),Bz}(t), F_{SR(Rz),THz}(t), F_{A(Rz),SR(Rz)}(t), \\ F_{Bz,THz}(t), F_{Bz,SR(Rz)}(t), F_{A(Rz),THz}(t) \end{array} \right) \geq 0,$$

and so

$$\varphi \left(\begin{array}{c} F_{Rz,z}(t), F_{Rz,z}(t), F_{Rz,Rz}(t), \\ F_{z,z}(t), F_{z,Rz}(t), F_{Rz,z}(t) \end{array} \right) \geq 0,$$

or, equivalently,

$$\varphi(F_{Rz,z}(t), F_{Rz,z}(t), 1, 1, F_{Rz,z}(t), F_{z,Rz}(t)) \geq 0.$$

which is a contradiction to (φ_3) . Then we obtain $z = Rz$ and so $S(Rz) = Sz = z$. Similarly, one can prove that $z = Hz$, that is, $T(Hz) = Tz = z$. Hence $z = Az = Bz = Sz = Rz = Tz = Hz$, and z is a unique common fixed point of A, B, S, R, T and H . \square

As an application of Theorem 4.1, we present the following result for four finite families of self mappings.

Theorem 4.6. *Let $\{A_1, A_2, \dots, A_m\}$, $\{B_1, B_2, \dots, B_p\}$, $\{S_1, S_2, \dots, S_n\}$ and $\{T_1, T_2, \dots, T_q\}$ be four finite families of self mappings of a Menger space (X, \mathcal{F}, Δ) such that $A = A_1 A_2 \dots A_m$, $B = B_1 B_2 \dots B_p$, $S = S_1 S_2 \dots S_n$ and $T = T_1 T_2 \dots T_q$ which also satisfy conditions (1) and (2) of Theorem 4.1. Then (A, S) and (B, T) have a point of coincidence each.*

Moreover, if the family $\{A_i\}_{i=1}^m$ commutes pairwise with the family $\{S_j\}_{j=1}^n$ whereas the family $\{B_r\}_{r=1}^p$ commutes pairwise with the family $\{T_s\}_{s=1}^q$, then (for all $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$, $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, $r \in \{1, 2, \dots, p\}$ and $s \in \{1, 2, \dots, q\}$) A_i, B_j, S_r and T_s have a common fixed point.

Proof. The proof of this theorem is similar to that of Theorem 3.1 contained in [12], hence it is omitted. \square

Remark 4.7. Theorem 4.6 improve the result of Imdad et al. [13, Theorem 3.9] without any requirement of closedness of the underlying subspaces.

By setting $A_1 = A_2 = \dots = A_m = A$, $B_1 = B_2 = \dots = B_p = B$, $S_1 = S_2 = \dots = S_n = S$ and $T_1 = T_2 = \dots = T_q = T$ in Theorem 4.6, we deduce the following:

Corollary 4.8. Let A, B, S and T be self mappings of a Menger space (X, \mathcal{F}, Δ) satisfying the following conditions:

1. the pairs (A^m, S^n) and (B^p, T^q) share the common (E.A) like property;
2. there exists $\phi \in \Theta$ such that

$$\phi \left(\begin{array}{c} F_{A^m x, B^p y}(t), F_{S^n x, T^q y}(t), F_{A^m x, S^n x}(t), \\ F_{B^p y, T^q y}(t), F_{B^p y, S^n x}(t), F_{A^m x, T^q y}(t) \end{array} \right) \geq 0, \quad (7)$$

for all $x, y \in X$ and $t > 0$. Then (A^m, S^n) and (B^p, T^q) have a coincidence point each. Moreover, A, B, S and T have a unique common fixed point if $AS = SA$ and $BT = TB$.

Now, we prove an integral type fixed point theorem for two pairs of weakly compatible mappings in Menger space satisfying ψ -contraction condition.

Theorem 4.9. Let A, B, S and T be self mappings of a Menger space (X, \mathcal{F}, Δ) satisfying condition (1) of Theorem 4.1 and

$$\int_0^{F_{Ax, By}(t)} \phi(t) dt \geq \psi \left(\int_0^{M(x, y)} \phi(t) dt \right), \quad (8)$$

where

$$M(x, y) = \min\{F_{Sx, Ty}(t), F_{Ax, Sx}(t), F_{By, Ty}(t), F_{By, Sx}(t), F_{Ax, Ty}(t)\},$$

for all $x, y \in X$, $t > 0$ and $\psi: [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is a lower semicontinuous function such that $\psi(t) > t$, for all $t \in (0, 1)$ along with $\psi(0) = 0$, $\psi(1) = 1$ and $\phi: \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ is a summable Lebesgue integrable function such that

$$0 < \int_0^\varepsilon \phi(s) ds < 1, \text{ for all } 0 < \varepsilon < 1, \int_0^1 \phi(s) ds = 1.$$

Then the pairs (A, S) and (B, T) have a coincidence point each. Moreover, A, B, S and T have a unique common fixed point if both the pairs (A, S) and (B, T) are weakly compatible.

Proof. Since the pairs (A, S) and (B, T) enjoy the common (E.A) like property, there exist two sequences $\{x_n\}$ and $\{y_n\}$ in X such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Ax_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Sx_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} By_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Ty_n = z,$$

where $z \in S(X) \cap T(X)$ or $z \in A(X) \cap B(X)$.

Case I Suppose that $z \in S(X) \cap T(X)$. Since $z \in S(X)$, we have $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Ax_n = z \in$

$S(X)$, that is, $z = Su$ for some $u \in X$. We show that $Au = Su$. Let, on the contrary, $Au \neq Su$. On using inequality (8) with $x = u$, $y = y_n$, we have

$$\int_0^{F_{Au,By_n}(t)} \phi(t) dt \geq \psi \left(\int_0^{M(u,y_n)} \phi(t) dt \right), \quad (9)$$

where

$$M(u, y_n) = \min\{F_{Su, Ty_n}(t), F_{Au, Su}(t), F_{By_n, Ty_n}(t), F_{By_n, Su}(t), F_{Au, Ty_n}(t)\}.$$

Taking limit $n \rightarrow \infty$ in inequality (9), we obtain

$$\int_0^{F_{Au,z}(t)} \phi(t) dt \geq \psi \left(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^{M(u,y_n)} \phi(t) dt \right), \quad (10)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(u, y_n) &= \min\{F_{z,z}(t), F_{Au,z}(t), F_{z,z}(t), F_{z,z}(t), F_{Au,z}(t)\} \\ &= \min\{1, F_{Au,z}(t), 1, 1, F_{Au,z}(t)\} \\ &= F_{Au,z}(t). \end{aligned}$$

From inequality (10), we get

$$\int_0^{F_{Au,z}(t)} \phi(t) dt \geq \psi \left(\int_0^{F_{Au,z}(t)} \phi(t) dt \right) > \int_0^{F_{Au,z}(t)} \phi(t) dt,$$

which is a contradiction. Therefore $Au = Su = z$ and hence u is a coincidence point of (A, S) .

Since $z \in T(X)$, we have $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} By_n = z \in T(X)$, that is, $z = Tv$ for some $v \in X$. Now we assert that $Bv = Tv$. If it is not so, then using inequality (8) with $x = x_n$, $y = v$, we have

$$\int_0^{F_{Ax_n, Bv}(t)} \phi(t) dt \geq \psi \left(\int_0^{M(x_n, v)} \phi(t) dt \right), \quad (11)$$

where

$$M(x_n, v) = \min\{F_{Sx_n, Tv}(t), F_{Ax_n, Sx_n}(t), F_{Bv, Tv}(t), F_{Bv, Sx_n}(t), F_{Ax_n, Tv}(t)\}.$$

Taking limit $n \rightarrow \infty$ in inequality (11), we obtain

$$\int_0^{F_{z, Bv}(t)} \phi(t) dt \geq \psi \left(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^{M(x_n, v)} \phi(t) dt \right), \quad (12)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(x_n, v) &= \min\{F_{z,z}(t), F_{z,z}(t), F_{Bv,z}(t), F_{Bv,z}(t), F_{z,z}(t)\} \\ &= \min\{1, 1, F_{Bv,z}(t), F_{Bv,z}(t), 1\} \\ &= F_{z,Bv}(t). \end{aligned}$$

From inequality (12), we get

$$\int_0^{F_{z,Bv}(t)} \phi(t) dt \geq \psi \left(\int_0^{F_{z,Bv}(t)} \phi(t) dt \right) > \int_0^{F_{z,Bv}(t)} \phi(t) dt,$$

which is a contradiction. Therefore $Bv = Tv = z$ and hence v is a coincidence point of (B, T) .

Since the pairs (A, S) and (B, T) are weakly compatible and $Au = Su$, $Bv = Tv$, therefore $Az = ASu = SAu = Sz$ and $Bz = BTv = TBv = Tz$. The rest of the proof runs on the lines of the proof of Theorem 4.1, therefore details are omitted. \square

Remark 4.10. Theorem 4.9 improve the results of Imdad et al. [13, Theorem 3.13] and Altun et al. [5, Theorem 3.2] without any requirement of closedness of the underlying subspaces.

Remark 4.11. In the setting of Example 4.3, retain the same mappings A, B, S and T and define $\phi(t) = 1$. Then A, B, S and T satisfy all the conditions of Theorem 4.9 and have a unique common fixed point at $x = 1$ which also remains a point of discontinuity.

Remark 4.12. The integral analogue of Theorems 4.4-4.6 and Corollary 4.8 can be outlined easily. But due to the repetition, the details are avoided.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to express their sincere thanks to Professor Poom Kumam and Professor Wutiphol Sintunavarat for the reprints of papers [35, 38]. The first author is also grateful to Professor Salvatore Giuffrida and Editorial Board of "Le Matematiche" for supporting this work.

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Aamri - D. El Moutawakil, *Some new common fixed point theorems under strict contractive conditions*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 270 (1) (2002), 181–188.
- [2] J. Ali - M. Imdad - D. Bahuguna, *Common fixed point theorems in Menger spaces with common property (E.A)*, Comput. Math. Appl. 60 (12) (2010), 3152–3159.
- [3] J. Ali - M. Imdad - D. Miheţ - M. Tanveer, *Common fixed points of strict contractions in Menger spaces*, Acta Math. Hungar. 132 (4) (2011), 367–386.
- [4] A. Aliouche, *A common fixed point theorem for weakly compatible mappings in symmetric spaces satisfying a contractive condition of integral type*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 322 (2) (2006), 796–802.
- [5] I. Altun - M. Tanveer - M. Imdad, *Common fixed point theorems of integral type in Menger PM spaces*, J. Nonlinear Anal. Optim. 3 (1) (2012), 55–66.
- [6] I. Altun - D. Turkoğlu, *Some fixed point theorems on fuzzy metric spaces with implicit relations*, Commun. Korean Math. Soc. 23 (1) (2008), 111–124.
- [7] A. Branciari, *A fixed point theorem for mappings satisfying a general contractive condition of integral type*, Int. J. Math. Math. Sci. 29 (9) (2002), 531–536.
- [8] S. Chauhan - B. D. Pant, *Common fixed point theorem for weakly compatible mappings in Menger space*, J. Adv. Res. Pure Math. 3 (2) (2011), 107–119.
- [9] A. Djoudi - A. Aliouche, *Common fixed point theorems of Gregus type for weakly compatible mappings satisfying contractive conditions of integral type*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 329 (1) (2007), 31–45.
- [10] J. X. Fang, *Common fixed point theorems of compatible and weakly compatible maps in Menger spaces*, Nonlinear Anal. 71 (5-6) (2009), 1833–1843.
- [11] J. X. Fang - Y. Gao, *Common fixed point theorems under strict contractive conditions in Menger spaces*, Nonlinear Anal. 70 (1) (2009), 184–193.
- [12] M. Imdad - J. Ali - M. Tanveer, *Coincidence and common fixed point theorems for nonlinear contractions in Menger PM spaces*, Chaos Solitons & Fractals 42 (5) (2009), 3121–3129.
- [13] M. Imdad - M. Tanveer - M. Hasan, *Some common fixed point theorems in Menger PM spaces*, Fixed Point Theory Appl. Vol. 2010, Article ID 819269, 14 pages. DOI:10.1155/2010/819269
- [14] G. Jungck - B. E. Rhoades, *Fixed points for set valued functions without continuity*, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math. 29 (3) (1998), 227–238. MR1617919
- [15] I. Kubiacyk - S. Sharma, *Some common fixed point theorems in Menger space under strict contractive conditions*, Southeast Asian Bull. Math. 32 (2008), 117–124.
- [16] S. Kumar, *Fixed point theorems for weakly compatible maps under E. A. property in fuzzy metric spaces*, J. Appl. Math. Inform. 29 (1-2) (2011), 395–405.
- [17] S. Kumar - B. Fisher, *A common fixed point theorem in fuzzy metric space using property (E.A.) and implicit relation*, Thai J. Math. 8 (3) (2010), 439–446.
- [18] S. Kumar - B. D. Pant, *A common fixed point theorem in probabilistic metric space*

using implicit relation, *Filomat* 22 (2) (2008), 43–52.

- [19] S. Kumar - B. D. Pant, *Common fixed point theorems in probabilistic metric spaces using implicit relation and property (E.A)*, *Bull. Allahabad Math. Soc.* 25 (2) (2010), 223–235.
- [20] K. Menger, *Statistical metrics*, *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 28 (1942), 535–537.
- [21] D. Mihet, *A note on a common fixed point theorem in probabilistic metric spaces*, *Acta Math. Hungar.* 125 (1-2) (2009), 127–130.
- [22] D. Mihet, *A generalization of a contraction principle in probabilistic metric spaces, II*, *Int. J. Math. Math. Sci.* (2005) (5), 729–736.
- [23] S. N. Mishra, *Common fixed points of compatible mappings in PM-spaces*, *Math. Japonica* 36 (2) (1991), 283–289.
- [24] B. D. Pant - S. Chauhan, *A contraction theorem in Menger space*, *Tamkang J. Math.* 42 (1) (2011), 59–68.
- [25] B. D. Pant - S. Chauhan, *Common fixed point theorems for two pairs of weakly compatible mappings in Menger spaces and fuzzy metric spaces*, *Sci. Stud. Res. Ser. Math. Inform.* 21 (2) (2011), 81–96.
- [26] B. D. Pant - S. Chauhan, *Fixed points of occasionally weakly compatible mappings using implicit relation*, *Commun. Korean Math. Soc.* 27 (3) (2012), 513–522.
- [27] B. D. Pant - S. Chauhan - Q. Alam, *Common fixed point theorem in probabilistic metric space*, *Krag. J. Math.* 35 (3) (2011) 463–470.
- [28] A. Razani - M. Shirdaryazdi, *A common fixed point theorem of compatible maps in Menger space*, *Chaos, Solitons Fractals* 32 (1) (2007), 26–34.
- [29] B. E. Rhoades, *Two fixed-point theorems for mappings satisfying a general contractive condition of integral type*, *Int. J. Math. Math. Sci.* 63 (2003), 4007–4013.
- [30] R. Saadati - D. O'Regan - S. M. Vaezpour - J. K. Kim, *Generalized distance and common fixed point theorems in Menger probabilistic metric spaces*, *Bull. Iranian Math. Soc.* 35 (2) (2009), 97–117.
- [31] B. Schweizer - A. Sklar, *Statistical metric spaces*, *Pacific J. Math.* 10 (1960), 313–334.
- [32] S. Sharma - B. Deshpande, *On compatible mappings satisfying an implicit relation in common fixed point consideration*, *Tamkang J. Math.* 33 (3) (2002), 245–252.
- [33] S. Sharma - B. Deshpande, *Common fixed point theorems for weakly compatible mappings without continuity in Menger spaces*, *J. Korea Soc. Math. Educ. Ser. B Pure Appl. Math.* 10 (2) (2003), 133–144.
- [34] B. Singh - S. Jain, *A fixed point theorem in Menger space through weak compatibility*, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 301 (2) (2005), 439–448.
- [35] W. Sintunavarat - P. Kumam, *Common fixed point theorems for a pair of weakly compatible mappings in fuzzy metric spaces*, *J. Appl. Math.* Vol. 2011, Article ID 637958, 14 pages.
- [36] W. Sintunavarat - P. Kumam, *Gregus-type Common fixed point theorems for tangential multivalued mappings of integral type in metric spaces*, *Internat. J. Math.*

- Math. Sci. (2011), Art. ID 923458, 12 pp.
- [37] W. Sintunavarat - P. Kumam, *Gregus type fixed points for a tangential multi-valued mappings satisfying contractive conditions of integral type*, J. Inequal. Appl. Vol. 2011 (3) (2011), 12 pp.
- [38] W. Sintunavarat - P. Kumam, *Common fixed points for R-weakly commuting in fuzzy metric spaces*, Ann. Univ. Ferrara Sez. VII Sci. Mat. (2012), In press. DOI: 10.1007/s11565-012-0150-z
- [39] T. Suzuki, *Meir-Keeler contractions of integral type are still Meir-Keeler contractions*, Int. J. Math. Math. Sci. (2007), Art. ID 39281, 6 pp.
- [40] P. Vijayaraju - B. E. Rhoades - R. Mohanraj, *A fixed point theorem for a pair of maps satisfying a general contractive condition of integral type*, Internat. J. Math. Math. Sci. Vol. 2005 (15), 2359–2364.
- [41] K. Wadhwa - H. Dubey - R. Jain, *Impact of “E.A. Like” property on common fixed point theorems in fuzzy metric spaces*, J. Adv. Stud. Topology 3 (1) (2012), 52–59.

SUNNY CHAUHAN
Near Nehru Training Centre
H. No: 274, Nai Basti B-14
Bijnor-246 701, Uttar Pradesh, India.
e-mail: sun.gkv@gmail.com

BADRI D. PANT
Government Degree College
Champawat-262 523, Uttarakhand, India.
e-mail: badridatt.pant@gmail.com