

LE MATEMATICHE  
Vol. LIII (1998) – Fasc. II, pp. 263–275

## ON TOTAL FUNCTIONAL STABILITY WITH TWO MEASURES

GIUSEPPE ZAPPALÀ

A concept of total functional stability in terms of two different measures is given.

The theoretical results are obtained by mean of an extension of Liapunov's direct method.

### Introduction.

In this paper we consider the problem of total stability, or “stability under persistent perturbations”, for general differential systems defined on open subsets of  $R^+ \times R^n$ .

The total stability problem of the zero solution of a differential equation was first examined, in a general formulation, in a paper by Dubosin [1] and in subsequent works by Malkin [8],[9] and Gorsin [3].

Movchan's theory of stability in terms of two metrics [12] appeared on mathematical scene in 1960.

From this we obtain, for example, Liapunov's stability [15], Rumiantsev's partial stability [16] and [18], the stability of sets by Peiffer and Rouche [14].

In the present work we deal with a new method (founded on auxiliary functions measure depending) for characterization of total stability in terms of two different measures, it is developed by introducing the total functional stability and the total eventual functional stability, two notions of new formulation.

---

Entrato in Redazione il 9 ottobre 1997.

Research supported by MURST.

As we shall see the ideas which constitute a starting point for theory construction lie in [4], [5], [7], [17], [19], [20] and [21], [22], [23], [24].

## 1. Preliminaries.

First, it is useful to remember two classical definitions.

**Definition 1.** A function  $a : R^+ \rightarrow R^+$  continuous, strictly increasing, so that  $a(0) = 0$  is said to be a class  $K$  function ([4]).

**Definition 2.** A function  $h : R^+ \times R^n \rightarrow R^+$  continuous s.t.  $\inf h(t, y) = 0$  for each  $t \in R^+$  is said to be a class  $H$  function ([6]).

**Assumption A.** Given  $h_0, h \in H$  with  $\sup h = l > 0$  suppose that  $\exists \lambda > 0$ ,  $\exists m \in K$  s.t.  $h_0(t, y) < \lambda$  implies  $h(t, y) \leq m[h_0(t, y)] < m(\lambda)$  ([7]).

**Notations.** Let  $p = \min[m(\lambda), l]$ ,  $\eta \in ]0, p[$  and  $\eta' \in ]0, \eta[$  we denote  $Q_\eta = \{(t, y) \in R^+ \times R^n : 0 < h(t, y) \leq \eta\}$ ,  $Q = Q_p$  and  $Q_\eta \times \eta = Q_\eta \times ]0, \eta[$ ,  $F_r A$  = the boundary of set  $A$ ,  $A'$  = closure of  $A$ ,  $R'^+ = ]0, \infty[$ . Consider the differential equation with initial condition

$$(1.1) \quad \dot{y} = Y(t, y), Y \in C^{(0)}(Q' \rightarrow R^n), y(t_0) = y_0$$

and their perturbative

$$(1.2) \quad \dot{y} = Y(t, y) + P(t, y) = Z(t, y), P \in C^0(Q' \rightarrow R^n).$$

Because  $Y, P \in C^0$  then  $\forall (t_0, y_0)$  the relative Cauchy problem admit continuous solutions  $y'(t, t_0, y_0)$  defined on  $J'^+(t_0, y_0)$  for (1.1) and  $y(t, t_0, y_0)$  defined on  $J^+(t_0, y_0)$  for (1.2).

Let us list the following classes of functions for convenience:

$$\begin{aligned} I(Q_\eta) &= \{g = g(t, y) \in C^0(Q_\eta \rightarrow R'^+)\}; \\ I'(Q_\eta) &= \{e = e(t, y) \in C^0(Q_\eta \rightarrow R^+), \sup e > 0\}; \\ I''(Q_\eta) &= \{Y(t, y) \in C^0(Q_\eta \rightarrow R^n)\}; \\ L(Q_\eta \times \eta) &= \{V(t, y, h) : Q_\eta \times \eta \rightarrow R, \text{ continuous}\}; \\ L'(Q_\eta \times \eta) &= \{V(t, y, h) \in L(Q_\eta \times \eta) : \lim_{h \rightarrow 0^+} V = 0\}; \\ L''(Q_\eta \times \eta) &= \{V(t, y, h) \in L(Q_\eta \times \eta) : V \in C^1\}. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a family of positive continuous functions that depend upon the parameter  $\epsilon$ ,  $\mathcal{F} = \{f_\epsilon = f_\epsilon(t, y) > 0, (t, y) \in Q_\epsilon, \epsilon > 0\}$ .

**Definition 3.** The system (1.1) is said to be *functionally*  $(h_0, h)$ -*totally stable* if  $(\forall \epsilon > 0)(\forall t_0 \in R^+)(\exists \delta(\epsilon, t_0) > 0)(\forall y_0 : (t_0, y_0) \in Q_\epsilon, h_0(t_0, y_0) < \delta)(\forall P : \|P\| \leq f_\epsilon \text{ on } Q_\epsilon) (h(t, y(t, t_0, y_0)) < \epsilon \forall t \geq t_0)$ .

**Definition 4.** If  $\delta = \delta(\epsilon)$  we have the “*uniformity*”.

**Remark.** If  $f_\epsilon = \text{const}$  we obtain the  $(h_0, h)$ -*total stability* ([7], [24]).

Let  $g = g(t, y) \in I(Q)$  be a continuous function corresponding upon the function  $Y$  so that for every  $\|P\| \leq g$  we have the following definition

**Definition 5.** The system (1.1) is said to be *uniformly*  $(h_0, h)$ -*totally eventually stable* if  $(\forall \epsilon > 0)(\exists T > 0)(\exists \delta > 0)(\forall t_0 \geq T)(\forall y_0 : (t_0, y_0) \in Q_\epsilon, h_0(t_0, y_0) < \delta)$  then  $(h(t, y(t, t_0, y_0)) < \epsilon \forall t \geq t_0)$ .

**Definition 6.** The system (1.1) is said to be  $(h_0, h)$ -*totally functionally stable* if  $(\forall \epsilon > 0)(\exists \delta > 0)(\forall (t_0, y_0) \in Q_\epsilon : h_0(t_0, y_0) < \delta)(\exists \sigma > 0) (\exists f_\sigma \in I(Q))(\forall P : \|P\| \leq f_\sigma)(h(t, y(t, t_0, y_0)) < \epsilon \forall t \geq t_0)$ .

Let  $\varphi(t, y) \in I'(Q_\eta)$  and  $E_t(\varphi = 0) = \{y \in Q : \varphi(t, y) = 0, \}$ .

**Definition 7.** A function  $V(t, y, h(t, y)) : Q \rightarrow R$  is said to be *g-definitively*  $\neq 0$  on the set  $E_t(\varphi = 0)$  if  $(\exists g \in I(Q))(\forall \eta \in ]0, p]) (\exists \beta_\eta = \beta_\eta(t, y), \gamma_\eta = \gamma_\eta(t, y) \in I(Q_\eta))(\forall (t, y) \in Q_\eta : |\varphi(t, y)| < \gamma_\eta) (|V(t, y, h(t, y))| \geq \beta_\eta g)$ .

**Definition 8.** We say that  $V\dot{V} \geq 0$  on  $Q$  if  $\forall \eta \in ]0, p]$  we have  $A_\eta^3 = \{(t, y) \in Q_\eta : \varphi < \gamma_\eta, V\dot{V} < 0\} = \phi$ .

## 2. Theoretical results and applications.

**Theorem 1.** *Suppose that*

- 1) *three functions*  $V \in L'(Q \times p)$  *and*  $a, b \in K$  *corresponding to*  $Y$  *exist;*
- 2) *for every*  $\epsilon > 0$  *there exist three functions*  $V_\epsilon \in L''(Q_\epsilon \times \epsilon), f_\epsilon(t, y) \in F$  *and*  $M_\epsilon \in \{I(Q_\epsilon) : \inf M_\epsilon > 0\}$ ;
- 3) *on the set*  $Q_\epsilon \times \epsilon$  *the following conditions hold*
  - a<sub>1</sub>)  $V_\epsilon(t, y, h) \leq V(t, y, h)$ ;
  - b<sub>1</sub>)  $V_\epsilon(t, y, \epsilon) \geq a(\epsilon)$ ;
  - c<sub>1</sub>)  $\|\frac{\partial V_\epsilon}{\partial y}\|; \|\frac{\partial v_\epsilon}{\partial h} \frac{\partial h}{\partial y}\| < M_\epsilon(t, y)$ ;
  - d<sub>1</sub>)  $\dot{V}_\epsilon(t, y, h) = \dot{V}_\epsilon^{(1.1)} \leq -f_\epsilon(t, y)$ .

*Then the system (1.1) is functionally*  $(h_0, h)$ -*totally stable; if*  $V(t, y, h) \leq b(h)$  *we also obtain the “uniformity”.*

*Proof.* Given  $\epsilon > 0$  and  $t_0 \in R^+$  by the Malkin generalized formula [24], from  $c_1$ ) and  $d_1$ ) we deduce

$$(2.1) \quad \dot{V}_\epsilon^{(1.2)} = \dot{V}_\epsilon^{(1.1)} + \frac{\partial V_\epsilon}{\partial y} P + \frac{\partial V_\epsilon}{\partial h} \frac{\partial h}{\partial y} P \leq -f_\epsilon + 2M_\epsilon \|P\|.$$

If  $\|P\| \leq \frac{f_\epsilon}{2M_\epsilon}$  on  $Q_\epsilon$  we obtain  $\dot{V}_\epsilon^{(2,1)} \leq 0$ .

Because  $V \in L'(Q \times p) \exists \delta' \in ]0, \epsilon[$  so that  $h(t_0, y) < \delta' \rightarrow V(t_0, y, h(t_0, y)) < a(\epsilon)$ .

Let  $(t_0, y_0) \in Q_\epsilon$  s.t.  $h_0(t_0, y_0) < \delta = \min[\lambda, m^{-1}(\delta')]$  consequently  $h(t_0, y_0) \leq m(h_0(t_0, y_0)) < \delta'$ , hence, by  $a_1$ ), we deduce

$$(2.2) \quad V_\epsilon(t_0, y_0, h(t_0, y_0)) \leq V(t_0, y_0, h(t_0, y_0)) < a(\epsilon).$$

Let  $y(t) = y(t, t_0, y_0)$  a solution of (1.2), suppose that  $\exists t' \in J^+(t_0, y_0)$  so that  $h(t', y(t')) = \epsilon$  with  $h(t, y(t)) < \epsilon \forall t \in [t_0, t'[$  then, by  $b_1$ ) we have

$$(2.3) \quad V_\epsilon(t', y(t'), h(t', y(t'))) \geq a(\epsilon).$$

Therefore  $\exists t'' \in ]t_0, t'[$  s.t.  $\dot{V}_\epsilon(t'', y(t''), h(t'', y(t''))) > 0$  it is a contradiction.

If  $V(t, y, h) \leq b(h)$ , fixed  $\epsilon > 0$  we obtain  $b(h) < a(\epsilon) \rightarrow h < b^{-1}(a(\epsilon))$ .

Let  $h_0(t_0, y_0) < \delta = \min[\lambda, m^{-1}(b^{-1}(a(\epsilon)))] \rightarrow h(t_0, y_0) \leq m[h_0(t_0, y_0)] < b^{-1}(a(\epsilon))$  then

$$(2.4) \quad V(t_0, y(t_0, h(t_0, y(t_0)))) < b[b^{-1}(a(\epsilon))] = a(\epsilon).$$

When  $h(t_0, y_0) = 0$  the proof is trivial.

**Theorem 2.** Assume that

1) seven functions  $g \in I(Q)$ ,  $\varphi \in I'(Q)$ ,  $V \in L''(Q \times p)$ ,  $W \in L'(Q \times p) \cap L''(Q \times p)$ ,  $a, b \in K$ ,  $h \in H \cap C^1$  exist so that

$a_2$ )  $W(t, y, h) \geq a(h)$ ;

$b_2$ )  $\varphi(t, y) = 0 \implies \dot{W} = \dot{W}(t, y, h(t, y)) \leq 0$ ;

$c_2$ )  $\forall \eta > 0, \forall \sigma \in I(Q_\eta) \exists v \in I(Q_\eta) (\inf v > 0), : \varphi \geq \sigma \implies \dot{W}(t, y, h(t, y)) \leq -(v g)(t, y)$ ;

$d_2$ )  $\dot{V}$  is  $g$ -definitively  $\neq 0$  and  $V \dot{V} \geq 0$  on the set  $E_t(\varphi = 0)$ ;

$e_2$ )  $\dot{h}(t, y) \leq 0$ ;

2) two constants  $L, M > 0$  exist s.t. we have on the set  $Q \times p$ .

$f_2$ )  $|V|, \|VY\| < Lg$ ;

$g_2$ )  $|\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t}|, \|\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial y}\|, \|\frac{\partial h}{\partial y}\| < M$ ;

$$h_2) \left\| \frac{\partial V}{\partial y} \right\|, \left| \frac{\partial V}{\partial h} \right| < Mg;$$

$$i_2) \left\| \frac{\partial W}{\partial y} \right\|, \left| \frac{\partial W}{\partial h} \right| < Mg;$$

Then, the system (1.1) is functionally  $(h_0, h)$ -totally stable.

*Proof.* From hypothesis  $d_2$ ),  $\forall \eta \in ]0, \rho]$  two continuous functions  $\beta_\eta, \gamma_\eta \in I(Q_\eta)$  exist and two sets

$$A^1_\eta(t, y) = \{(t, y) \in Q_\eta : \varphi < \gamma_\eta \rightarrow \dot{V} \leq -\beta_\eta g, \quad V \leq 0\},$$

$$A^2_\eta(t, y) = \{(t, y) \in Q_\eta : \varphi < \gamma_\eta \rightarrow \dot{V} \geq \beta_\eta g, \quad V \geq 0\}$$

with  $A^1_\eta \cap A^2_\eta = \emptyset$ .

Let  $i = 1, 2$ , denote by  $n_i (\geq 3)$  the first integer s.t. one at least of the sets  $B^i_\eta(t, y) = \{(t, y) \in A^i_\eta : \frac{n_i-3}{n_i}\gamma_\eta \leq \varphi(t, y) \leq \frac{n_i-2}{n_i}\gamma_\eta\}$  is non empty.

We set

$$C^i_\eta = \{(t, y) \in A^i_\eta : \frac{n_i-3}{n_i}\gamma_\eta \leq \varphi(t, y) \leq \frac{n_i-1}{n_i}\gamma_\eta\} \quad (\supset B^i_\eta),$$

$$T_\tau = \{(t, y) \in R^+ \times R^n : t^2 + \|y\|^2 < \tau\}, \tau > 0,$$

$$\rho = \inf_{i=1,2} \{D(Fr Q_\eta, Fr A^i_\eta), D(Fr A^i_\eta, Fr C^i_\eta), D(Fr C^i_\eta, Fr B^i_\eta)\}$$

where  $D(A, B)$ =euclidean distance. Denote

$$A^i_{\eta\tau} = A^i_\eta \cap T_\tau, B^i_{\eta\tau} = B^i_\eta \cap T_\tau, C^i_{\eta\tau} = C^i_\eta \cap T_\tau, Q_{\eta\tau} = Q_\eta \cap T_\tau.$$

Consider the functions  $\psi^i_{\eta\tau}(t, y) = 1 \forall (t, y) : D[(t, y), B^i_{\eta\tau}] \leq \rho; \psi^i_{\eta\tau}(t, y) = 0 \forall (t, y) : D[(t, y), B^i_{\eta\tau}] > \rho$  and the averaging functions [11]

$$(2.5) \quad \alpha^i_{\eta\tau}(z) = \int_{R \times R^n} \psi^i_{\eta\tau}(u) S_r(z - u) du$$

where  $u, z \in R \times R^n, r = \frac{1}{2}\rho$ .

We have the following properties [by (2.5)]

- 1.j)  $\alpha^i_{\eta\tau}(t, y) \in C^\infty$ ;
- 2.j)  $0 \leq \alpha^i_{\eta\tau}(t, y) \leq 1$ ;
- 3.j)  $\left| \frac{\partial \alpha^i_{\eta\tau}(t, y)}{\partial t} \right|, \left\| \frac{\partial \alpha^i_{\eta\tau}(t, y)}{\partial y} \right\| \leq M_\eta (> 0, \text{ constant})$ ;
- 4.j)  $\alpha^i_{\eta\tau}(t, y) = 1$  when  $(t, y) \in B^i_{\eta\tau}, \alpha^i_{\eta\tau}(t, y) = 0 \forall (t, y) \notin A^i_{\eta\tau}$ .

Since  $\eta \leq p$  then  $Q_\eta \subseteq Q$ , we put, on  $Q_\eta$

$$(2.6) \quad H_{\eta\tau}(t, y, h(t, y)) = \\ = \sum_{(i=1,2)} (-1)^{i+1} \alpha^i_{\eta\tau}(t, y) V(t, y, h(t, y)) (\eta - h(t, y)).$$

We have

- r)  $H_{\eta\tau}(t, y, h) \leq 0$ ;
- 2r)  $H_{\eta\tau}(t, y, \eta) = 0$ ;
- 3r)  $H_{\eta\tau} = 0 \forall (t, y) \notin A^i_{\eta\tau}$ ;
- 4r)  $\dot{H}_{\eta\tau} \leq \sum_{(i=1,2)} (-1)^{i+1} (\eta - h) \dot{\alpha}^i_{\eta\tau}(t, y) V(t, y, h) \leq 2M_\eta \eta Lg$ .

We now define on  $Q_{\eta\tau}$  the function ( $\mu > 0$ , constant)

$$(2.7) \quad W^\mu_{\eta\tau}(t, y, h(t, y)) = W(t, y, h(t, y)) + \mu H_{\eta\tau}(t, y, h(t, y)).$$

By (2.7) we deduce

- s)  $W^\mu_{\eta\tau}(t, y, h) \leq W(t, y, h)$ ;
- 2s)  $W^\mu_{\eta\tau}(t, y, \eta) = W(t, y, \eta) + \mu H_{\eta\tau}(t, y, \eta) \geq a(\eta)$ ;
- 3s)  $\dot{W}^\mu_{\eta\tau} = \dot{W} + \mu \dot{H}_{\eta\tau}$ .

Let  $n_i \geq 4$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ) then  $\varphi \geq \frac{1}{4}\gamma_\eta$  and by  $c_2) \exists v \in I(Q_\eta)$  s.t.  $\dot{W} \leq -vg$ ; this implies

$$(2.8) \quad \dot{W}^\mu_{\eta\tau} \leq -vg + 2\mu M_\eta Lg\eta;$$

if  $\mu \leq \inf \frac{v}{4M_\eta L\eta}$  we have  $\dot{W}^\mu_{\eta\tau} \leq -\frac{vg}{2}$  on  $Q_{\eta\tau}$ .

Let  $n_1 = 3, n_2 = 4$  we deduce

$$(2.9) \quad B^1_{\eta\tau} = \{(t, y) \in A^1_{\eta\tau} : 0 \leq \varphi(t, y) \leq \frac{\gamma_\eta}{3}\}, \quad \varphi > \frac{1}{4}\gamma_\eta \forall (t, y) \notin B^1_{\eta\tau}.$$

On the set  $B^1_{\eta\tau}$  we have  $\alpha^1_{\eta\tau} = 1$  hence  $H_{\eta\tau} = (-1)^2(\eta - h)V = (\eta - h)V$  and

$$(2.10) \quad \dot{H}_{\eta\tau} = -\dot{h}V + (\eta - h)\dot{V} \leq (\eta - h)\dot{V} \leq -\eta'\beta_\eta g$$

where  $\eta' = (\eta - h)$  then  $\dot{W}^\mu_{\eta\tau} \leq -\mu\eta'\beta_\eta g$ .

Let  $(t, y) \notin B^1_{\eta\tau}$  then  $\exists v' \in I(Q_\eta)$  so that  $\dot{W} \leq -v'g$ , therefore  $\dot{W}^\mu_{\eta\tau} \leq -v'g + 2\mu M_\eta Lg\eta$  is true for  $\mu \leq \inf \frac{v'}{4M_\eta L\eta} = \mu'$  we have  $\dot{W}^\mu_{\eta\tau} \leq -\frac{v'g}{2}$ .

Choose  $\mu$  so that  $-\frac{1}{2}v'g \leq -\mu\eta'\beta_\eta g$ , it is verified when  $\mu \leq \inf \frac{1}{2} \frac{v'}{\eta'\beta_\eta} = \mu''$ ;

then, if  $\mu \leq \min(\mu', \mu'')$ , we obtain  $\dot{W}^\mu_{\eta\tau} \leq -\mu\eta'\beta_\eta g$ . Similarly by elaborating the cases  $n_1 \geq 4, n_2 \geq 3; n_1 = n_2 = 3$  we verify hypothesis  $d_1$ ). Since  $\tau$  is arbitrary we obtain the proof.

**Application of Theorem 2 to analytical mechanics.**

The motion equations of a nonstationary mechanical system, with ([13]) variable masses  $m_j(q, \dot{q}, t)$ , under the action potential, gyroscopic and dissipative forces have the form ([13], [25])

$$(2.11) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \left( \frac{\partial T}{\partial \dot{q}_i} \right) - \frac{\partial T}{\partial q_i} = f_i + g_{is} \dot{q}_s + \frac{\partial U}{\partial q_i} - \frac{\partial R}{\partial \dot{q}_i} \quad (i, s = 1, \dots, n).$$

Here  $T = \frac{1}{2} a_{is} \dot{q}_i \dot{q}_s + a_i \dot{q}_i + T_0 = T_2 + T_1 + T_0$  is the kinetic energy ([2]),  $g_{is} = g_{is}(q, t) = -g_{si}(q, t)$  the gyroscopic coefficient,  $R = R(\dot{q})$  the dissipative function,  $U = U(q)$  the forcing function,  $f_i = f_i(q, \dot{q})$  the generalized forces of masses variation. In this section the symbol  $\Sigma$  is deleted,  $\|\cdot\| = \text{euclidean norm}$ .

**Theorem 3.** *Suppose that the following hypotheses hold on the set  $F = \{(t, q, \dot{q}) : t \in \mathbb{R}^+, \|q\|, \|\dot{q}\| < S\}$ ,  $S > 0$ ,*

*a<sub>3</sub>)  $a_i, a_{ij}, T_0, U$  are continuous and bounded with their derivatives;*

*b<sub>3</sub>)  $f_i, g_{is}$  bounded and continuous with  $f_i(q, 0) + \frac{\partial U}{\partial q_i} \equiv 0$ ;*

*c<sub>3</sub>)  $\frac{\partial a_{is}}{\partial t} \dot{q}_i \dot{q}_j + \frac{\partial a_i}{\partial t} \dot{q}_i + \frac{\partial T_0}{\partial t} - f_i \dot{q}_i \equiv 0$ ,  $\frac{\partial T_0}{\partial q_i} q_i = T_0$ ,  $\frac{\partial a_{rs}}{\partial q_i} q_i = a_{rs}$ ,  $\frac{\partial a_r}{\partial q_i} q_i =$*

*$a_r$ ,  $\frac{\partial R}{\partial \dot{q}_i} \dot{q}_i = mR$  ( $m = \text{const} > 0$ );*

*d<sub>3</sub>)  $T_0 + U \leq 0$ ,  $h(t, q, \dot{q}) = T_2 - T_0 - U$ ,  $U(0) = 0$ ,  $g = m$ ,  $h_0 = a(h)$  with  $a \in K$ ;*

*e<sub>3</sub>)  $\varphi = R \geq b(\|\dot{q}\|)$ ,  $b \in K$ ;*

*f<sub>3</sub>)  $\forall \rho \in ]0, S[ \exists \rho'(t, q, \dot{q}) \in I(F)$  s.t.  $\|q\| \geq \rho \implies T_0(q) \geq m\rho'$ .*

*Then the system (2.11) is functionally  $(h_0, h)$ -totally stable.*

*Proof.* The explicit form of (2.11) is

$$(2.12) \quad \dot{a}_{is} \dot{q}_s + a_{is} \ddot{q}_s + \dot{a}_i - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial a_{rs}}{\partial q_i} \dot{q}_r \dot{q}_s - \frac{\partial a_s}{\partial q_i} \dot{q}_s - \frac{\partial T_0}{\partial q_i} = f_i + g_{is} \dot{q}_s + \frac{\partial U}{\partial q_i} - \frac{\partial R}{\partial \dot{q}_i}.$$

From *d<sub>3</sub>*) taking  $W = T_2 - T_0 - U = h$  we have *a<sub>2</sub>*); by (2.12), *b<sub>3</sub>*) and *c<sub>3</sub>*) follows  $\dot{W} \leq -mR \leq 0$ , since  $\varphi = R$ , when  $R = 0$  we have  $\dot{W} \leq 0$ ; and hence *b<sub>2</sub>*), *e<sub>2</sub>*).

Let  $\sigma > 0$  and  $\varphi > \sigma$  then  $\dot{W} = -mR < -m\sigma$ , put  $g = m$ ,  $v = \sigma$ , we have *c<sub>2</sub>*).

Given  $V = \frac{\partial T}{\partial \dot{q}_i} q_i$  we obtain, by (2.11) and  $f_3$ )

$$(2.13) \quad \dot{V} = 3T_2 + 2T_1 + T_0 + f_i q_i + g_{ir} q_i \dot{q}_r + \frac{\partial U}{\partial q_i} q_i - \frac{\partial R}{\partial \dot{q}_i} q_i.$$

By  $b_3$ ),  $b_3$ ) we deduce that in the set  $\varphi = 0$  we obtain  $\dot{V} = T_0$  and  $V\dot{V} = 0$  if we suppose  $\|q\| > \rho \in ]0, S[ \rightarrow T_0 > m\rho'$  i.e.  $d_2$ ).

**Theorem 4.** Suppose that six functions  $M \in I(Q)$ ,  $V \in L''(Q \times p)$ ,  $V_*$ ,  $\psi : R^+ \rightarrow R^+$ ,  $a, b \in K$  with the following properties exist

- $a_4$ )  $a(h) \leq V(t, y, h) \leq b(h)$ ;
- $b_4$ )  $V_*(t, y, h) \geq 0$ ;
- $c_4$ )  $\dot{V}(t, y, h) \leq -V_*(t, y, h) + \psi(t)$ ;
- $d_4$ )  $\int_0^{+\infty} \psi(\tau) d\tau < +\infty$ ;
- $e_4$ )  $\|\frac{\partial V}{\partial y}\|, \|\frac{\partial V}{\partial h} \frac{\partial h}{\partial y}\| \leq M(t, y)$  ( $\inf M > 0$ ).

Then, put  $g = \frac{V_*}{2M}$  the system (1.1) is uniformly  $(h_0, h)$ -totally eventually stable.

*Proof.* Put

$$(2.14) \quad U(t, y, h(t, y)) = V(t, y, h(t, y)) + \int_t^{+\infty} \psi(\tau) d\tau$$

we deduce, by  $c_4$ )  $\dot{U} = \dot{V}(t, y, h) - \psi(t) \leq -V_*$ .

From the generalized Malkin's formula

$$(2.15) \quad \dot{U}^{(2.2)} = \dot{U}^{(1.2)} + \frac{\partial V}{\partial y} P + \frac{\partial V}{\partial h} \frac{\partial h}{\partial y} P \leq -V_* + 2M\|P\|.$$

Therefore  $\|P\| \leq \frac{V_*}{2M}$  implies  $\dot{U}^{(1.2)} \leq 0$  on  $Q$ .

For any  $\epsilon \in ]0, p[$  there exist a  $T > 0$  so that  $\int_{t_0}^{+\infty} \psi(\tau) d\tau < \frac{a(\epsilon)}{2}$  for  $t_0 > T$ .

Let's assume  $\delta' = b^{-1}[\frac{a(\epsilon)}{2}]$ , if we choose  $(t_0, y_0) \in Q_\epsilon$  with  $t_0 \geq T$  and  $h_0(t_0, y_0) < \min(\lambda, m^{-1}(\delta'))$  then  $h(t_0, y_0) < m(m^{-1}(\delta')) = \delta'$  and  $b(h(t_0, y_0)) < b(\delta') = \frac{a(\epsilon)}{2}$ .

Consider a solution  $y(t) = y(t, t_0, y_0)$  of (1.2) we have

$$(2.16) \quad a(h(t, y(t))) \leq U(t, y(t), h(t, y(t))) \leq b(h(t_0, y_0)) + \int_0^{+\infty} \psi(\tau) d\tau.$$

From (2.16) follows

$$a(h(t, y(t))) < a(\epsilon) \implies h(t, y(t)) < \epsilon \quad \forall t \geq t_0.$$



**Application to differential system.**

Let us consider the differential system defined on  $\mathcal{D} = \{(t, x, y) : t \geq 0, x \in \mathbb{R}, |y| \leq 1\}$

$$(2.17) \quad \begin{cases} \dot{x} = [1 - \lambda(t, x, y)]y - \frac{r(t)}{p(t)}xy \\ \dot{y} = \frac{\dot{r}(t) - f(t, x, y)}{r(t)}y - \frac{p(t)q(x)}{r(t)}[1 - \lambda(t, x, y)] + xq(x) \end{cases}$$

where  $q \in C^0(\mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R})$ ,  $p, r \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R})$  and  $\inf(|p|, |r|) > 0$ .

**Theorem 5.** *Suppose that*

- a<sub>5</sub>)  $0 < \frac{r}{p}$ ,  $\Delta(t) = 3\frac{\dot{r}}{r} - \frac{\dot{p}}{p} \geq 0$ ,  $\int_0^{+\infty} (rp^{-1}\Delta)(u)du < +\infty$ ;
- b<sub>5</sub>)  $xq > 0$  for  $x \neq 0$ ,  $pf \geq 0$  on  $\mathcal{D}$ ;
- c<sub>5</sub>)  $\exists$  a constant  $L > 0$  s.t.  $2 \int_0^x q(u) du \leq Lx^2, \forall x \in \mathbb{R}, \frac{r}{p} \leq L$ ;
- d<sub>5</sub>)  $h(x, y) = \int_0^x q(u) du + \frac{r}{p}y^2, h_0(x, y) = \sup\{|x|, |y|\}$ ;
- e<sub>5</sub>)  $g = fy^2\Delta^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ , where  $\Delta = p^2(q^2 + \frac{r^2}{p^2}y^2)$ .

Then, the system (2.17) is uniformly  $(h_0, h)$ -totally eventually stable.

*Proof.* Let  $V = h, V_* = 2\frac{r}{p}y^2, \psi = \frac{r}{p}\Delta$  we have a<sub>4</sub>), b<sub>4</sub>), d<sub>4</sub>) immediately; c<sub>4</sub>) is valid because

$$(2.18) \quad \dot{V} = p^{-1}(r\Delta - 2f)y^2 \leq p^{-1}(r\Delta - 2fy^2).$$

From c<sub>5</sub>), d<sub>5</sub>) follows  $h \leq 2Lh_0^2$  and by  $\|(\frac{\partial V}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial V}{\partial y})\|^2 \leq 4(q^2 + \frac{r^2}{p^2})$  we deduce e<sub>4</sub>).

**Theorem 6.** *Suppose that,  $\forall P(t, y) \in I'(Q)$ , two functions  $V_P^* \in L(Q \times p)$  and  $V_P \in L''(Q \times p)$  exist so that*

- a<sub>6</sub>)  $a(h(t, y)) \leq V_P^*(t, y, h(t, y)) \leq b(h(t, y))$  with  $a, b \in K$ ;
- b<sub>6</sub>)  $\forall \sigma > 0 \exists f_\sigma \in I(Q)$  so that when  $\|P\| \leq f_\sigma$  the following conditions hold:
  - z)  $|V_P(t, y, h) - V_P^*(t, y, h)| < \sigma$ ,
  - 2z)  $\dot{V}_P^{(1,2)}(t, y, h) \leq 0$ .

Then, the system (1.1) is  $(h_0, h)$ -totally functionally stable.

*Proof.* Given  $\epsilon > 0$ , from  $b(h(t, y)) < \frac{1}{2}a(\epsilon)$  we obtain, by  $a_6$ ),  $h(t, y) < b^{-1}[\frac{1}{2}a(\epsilon)] = \epsilon^* < \epsilon$ .

Therefore, given  $t_0 \in R^+$  so that  $(t_0, y_0) \in Q_\epsilon$ ,  $h_0(t_0, y_0) < \min(\lambda, m^{-1}(\epsilon^*)) = \delta$  it follows

$$(2.19) \quad h(t_0, y_0) \leq m[h_0(t_0, y_0)] < m[m^{-1}(\epsilon^*)] = \epsilon^*,$$

$$(2.20) \quad V_P^*(t_0, y_0, h(t_0, y_0)) = e_1 \leq b(h(t_0, y_0)) < \frac{1}{2}a(\epsilon).$$

Let  $y(t) = y(t, t_0, y_0)$  a solution of (1.2), if  $\exists t' \in J^+(t_0, y_0)$  so that  $h(t, y(t)) < \epsilon \forall t \in [t_0, t']$  and  $h(t', y(t')) = \epsilon$ , we obtain, by  $a_6$ )

$$(2.21) \quad V_P^*(t', y(t'), h(t', y(t'))) = e_2 \geq a(\epsilon) > e_1.$$

Let's assume  $\sigma(t_0, \epsilon) = \frac{e_2 - e_1}{2} (> 0)$ , if  $\|P\| < f_\sigma$  we obtain, from z)

$$|V_P(t_0, y_0, h(t_0, y_0)) - V_P^*(t_0, y_0, h(t_0, y_0))| < \sigma \implies$$

$$(2.22) \quad \implies V_P(t_0, y_0, h(t_0, y_0)) < \frac{e_1 + e_2}{2}$$

and

$$|V_P(t', y(t'), h(t', y(t'))) - V_P^*(t', y(t'), h(t', y(t')))| < \sigma \implies$$

$$(2.23) \quad \implies V_P(t', y(t'), h(t', y(t'))) > \frac{e_1 + e_2}{2}.$$

Because, from 2z)  $V(t, y(t), h(t, y(t)))$  does not increase, this is a contradiction.

### Application of Theorem 6.

Given two differential equations on  $Q = \{(t, u) : t \geq 0, |u| < 1\}$

$$(2.24) \quad \dot{u} = -f(t, u), \text{ with } f(t, 0) = 0, \forall t \geq 0,$$

$$(2.25) \quad \dot{u} = -f(t, u) + P(t, u),$$

where  $f, P \in C^0(Q \rightarrow R)$ .

**Theorem 7.** *Suppose that:*

$$i) \int_0^{u^2} |P(t, \tau)| d\tau \leq |P(t, u)|;$$

$$2i) \int_0^{u^2} P^2(t, \tau) d\tau \leq P^2(t, u);$$

$$3i) a(|u|) \leq \int_0^{u^2} f(t, \tau) d\tau \leq b(|u|), a, b \in K.$$

Then  $u = 0$  solution of (2.24) is  $(h_0, h)$ -totally functionally stable, with  $h$  euclidean metrics and  $h_0 = a(h)$ ,  $a \in K$  with  $\lim_{r \rightarrow +\infty} a(r) = +\infty$ .

*Proof.* Assume

$$V^*(t, u, P) = \int_0^{u^2} [f(t, \tau) + \operatorname{arctg} P^2(t, \tau)] d\tau,$$

$$V(t, u, P) = \int_0^{u^2} |f(t, \tau) - P(t, \tau)| d\tau$$

from  $\operatorname{arctg} P^2(t, \tau) \leq \frac{\pi}{2}$  we obtain

$$\int_0^{u^2} \operatorname{arctg} P^2(t, \tau) d\tau \leq \int_0^{u^2} \frac{\pi}{2} d\tau = \frac{\pi}{2} u^2.$$

Furthermore, by 3i) we deduce

$$(2.26) \quad \begin{aligned} a(|u|) &\leq \int_0^{u^2} [f(t, \tau) + \operatorname{arctg} P^2(t, \tau)] d\tau = \\ &= V^* \leq b(|u|) + \frac{\pi}{2} u^2 = c(u) \in K. \end{aligned}$$

From definition of  $V, V^*$ , denote  $P' = \sup\{|P| \text{ on } Q\}$ , we obtain

$$(2.27) \quad \begin{aligned} |V^* - V| &= \left| \int_0^{u^2} [P(t, \tau) + \operatorname{arctg} P^2(t, \tau)] d\tau \right| \leq \\ &\leq \int_0^{u^2} (|P| + P^2) d\tau \leq P' + P'^2. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $\sigma > 0$ , suppose  $0 < |P| < \frac{1}{2}[(4\sigma + 1)^{\frac{1}{2}} - 1]$  then  $|V - V^*| < \sigma$ .

Deduce also

$$(2.28) \quad \dot{V}^{(2.25)} = [f - P]\dot{u}^{(2.25)} = [f - P][-f + P] = -[f - P]^2 \leq 0.$$

By Theorem 6 we conclude the proof.

**Acknowledgment.** The author thank the referee for his useful comments.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] G.N. Dubosin, *On the problem of stability of a motion under constantly acting perturbation*, Trudy Gos. Astr. Inst. Sternberg, (1) 14 (1940).
- [2] F. Gantmacher, *Lectures in analytical mechanics*, MIR, Moscow, 1975.
- [3] S. Gorsin, *On the stability under constantly acting perturbation*, Izv. Akad. Nauk. Kazakh. SSR, Sez. Mat. Mech. (2) 56 (1948), pp. 46–73.
- [4] W. Hahn, *On a new type of stability*, Journ. of Diff. Eq., 3, pp. 440–448.
- [5] L. Hatvani, *On the uniform attractivity of solutions of ordinary differential equations by two Liapunov functions*, Proc. Japan Acad., (A) 67(1991).
- [6] V. Lakshmikantham - Xinzhi Liu, *On asymptotic stability for non autonomous differential system*, Nonlinear Analysis, Theory, Methods and Applications, 13-10 (1989), pp. 1181–1189.
- [7] V. Lakshmikantham - L. Salvadori, *On Massera Type Converse Theorem in Terms of Two Different Measures*, Boll. UMI, (5) 13-A (1976), pp. 293–301.
- [8] I.G. Malkin, *Stability in the case of constantly acting disturbances*, PMM, 8 (1944), pp. 241–245.
- [9] I.G. Malkin, *Theory of stability of the motion*, Gos. Izdat. Tekh-Theoret. Lit. Moscow, 1952. English Transl. AEC tr-3352, 1958.
- [10] V.M. Matrosov, *On the stability of motion*, PMM, 26-5 (1962), pp. 1337–1353.
- [11] V.P. Mikhailov, *Partial differential equations*, MIR, Moscow, 1978.
- [12] A.A. Movchan, *Stability of process with respect to two metrics*, PMM., 24-6 (1960), pp. 998–1001.
- [13] E. Oliveri, *Il teorema dell'energia nella meccanica della massa variabile*, Atti Accademia Gioenia, (4) 8-3 (1962).
- [14] K. Peiffer - N. Rouche, *Liapunov's second method applied to partial stability*, J. Mecanique, 8 (1969).
- [15] N. Rouche - P. Habets - M. Laloy, *Stability theory by Liapunov's Direct Method*, Springer Verlag, New York, 1977.

- [16] V.V. Rumiantsev, *On the stability of motion in a part of variables (Russian)*, Vestnik Moscow Univ. Ser. J. Math. Meh., 4 (1957), pp. 9–16.
- [17] V.V. Rumiantsev, *On the optimal stabilization of controlled system*, PMM, 34-3 (1970), pp. 440–456.
- [18] V.V. Rumiantsev, *On the stability with respect to a part of variables*, Symposia Math., 6, Academic Press, New York, 1971.
- [19] L. Salvadori, *Famiglie ad un parametro di funzioni di Liapunov nello studio della stabilità*, Simposia Math., Academic Press, London, 1971.
- [20] A.J. Savchenko - A.O. Ignatyev, *Some problems of stability for nonautonomous dynamical systems (Russian)*, Naukove Dumka, Kiev, 1989.
- [21] G. Zappalà, *Impiego di famiglie di funzioni di Liapunov nello studio della iperstabilità totale*, Atti Sem. Mat. Fis. Univ. Modena, 31 (1982), pp. 186–212.
- [22] G. Zappalà, *New Theorems on total instability*, Boll. Acc. Gioenia Sci. Nat., 24, 337 (1991), pp. 417–435.
- [23] G. Zappalà, *On the partial instability under constantly acting perturbation*, Boll. Acc. Gioenia Sci. Nat., 24, 337 (1991), pp. 437–459.
- [24] G. Zappalà, To appear on PMM.
- [25] G. Zappalà, *Sul principio di Hamilton per i sistemi a massa variabile*, Le Matematiche, 31 (1976), pp. 168–175.

*Dipartimento di Matematica,  
Università di Catania,  
Viale Andrea Doria 6,  
95125 Catania (ITALY)*