

Association between mycobacterial genotypes and disease progression in Mycobacterium avium pulmonary infection

著者	Kikuchi T., Watanabe A., Gomi K., Sakakibara T., Nishimori K., Daito H., Fujimura S., Tazawa R., Inoue A., Ebina M., Tokue Y., Kaku M., Nukiwa T.
journal or publication title	Thorax
volume	64
number	10
page range	901-907
year	2009
URL	http://hdl.handle.net/10097/53029

doi: 10.1136/thx.2009.114603

Association between mycobacterial genotypes and disease progression in *Mycobacterium avium* pulmonary infection

T Kikuchi,¹ A Watanabe,^{1,2} K Gomi,¹ T Sakakibara,¹ K Nishimori,³ H Daito,⁴ S Fujimura,² R Tazawa,⁵ A Inoue,¹ M Ebina,^{1,4} Y Tokue,⁶ M Kaku,⁷ T Nukiwa^{1,4}

► An additional table is published online only at <http://thorax.bmj.com/content/vol64/issue10>

¹ Department of Pulmonary Medicine, Tohoku University Hospital, Sendai, Japan; ² Research Division for Development of Anti-Infective Agents, Institute of Development, Aging and Cancer, Tohoku University, Sendai, Japan; ³ Research Team for Paratuberculosis, National Institute of Animal Health, Tsukuba, Japan; ⁴ Department of Pulmonary Medicine, Tohoku University Graduate School of Medicine, Sendai, Japan; ⁵ Bioscience Medical Research Center, Niigata University Medical and Dental Hospital, Niigata, Japan; ⁶ Infection Control and Prevention Center, Gunma University Hospital, Maebashi, Japan; ⁷ Department of Infection Control and Laboratory Diagnostics, Tohoku University Graduate School of Medicine, Sendai, Japan

Correspondence to: Dr T Kikuchi, Department of Pulmonary Medicine, Tohoku University Hospital, 1-1 Seiryomachi, Aobaku, Sendai 980-8574, Japan; kikuchi@idac.tohoku.ac.jp

Received 29 January 2009
Accepted 5 June 2009
Published Online First
23 June 2009

ABSTRACT

Background: Non-tuberculous mycobacterial lung disease, most commonly caused by *Mycobacterium avium* infection, tends to show variable disease progression, and significant disease predictors have not been adequately established.

Methods: Variable numbers of tandem repeats (VNTR) were evaluated in 16 mycobacterial interspersed repetitive unit (MIRU) loci from *M avium* isolates cultured from respiratory specimens obtained from 2005 to 2007. Specifically, the association between VNTR profiles and disease progression was assessed.

Results: Among the 37 subjects who provided positive respiratory cultures for *M avium* during the 2005–6 period, 15 subjects were treated within 10 months following a microbiological diagnosis of progressive *M avium* lung disease. Nine subjects underwent long-term follow-up (>24 months) without treatment for stable *M avium* lung disease. Based on a neighbour-joining cluster analysis used to classify *M avium*-positive subjects according to the VNTR profile, subjects with progressive versus stable lung disease were found to be grouped together in distinct clusters. Further analysis using logistic regression modelling showed that disease progression was significantly associated with the genetic distance of the *M avium* isolate from an appropriately selected reference (age-adjusted odds ratio 1.95; 95% confidence interval 1.16 to 3.30; $p = 0.01$ for the most significant model). A best-fit model could be used to predict the progression of *M avium* lung disease when subjects from the 2005–6 period were combined with those from 2007 ($p = 0.003$).

Conclusion: Progressive lung disease due to *M avium* infection is associated with specific VNTR genotypes of *M avium*.

Non-tuberculous mycobacterial (NTM) lung disease is a common chronic pulmonary pathology. NTM has attracted clinical attention owing to increases in its incidence over the past several decades in non-susceptible populations. Specifically, NTM is predominantly observed in postmenopausal women and in susceptible populations such as patients with AIDS or cystic fibrosis.^{1–3} Among the NTM species most often encountered in clinical settings, *Mycobacterium avium* is the most frequent and significant cause of pulmonary NTM infection.^{2–4} *M avium* is common in many environments including water and soil, and the diagnosis of *M avium* lung disease is based on clinical presentation (radiographic appearance and microbiological features).^{3–5}

Even after a diagnosis of *M avium* lung disease has been established, important and unresolved questions remain regarding treatment. These questions include whether the patient requires immediate treatment with macrolide-containing multidrug therapy or whether the start of treatment can be delayed.^{1–3} While immediate therapy is recommended for fibrocavitary *M avium* lung disease owing to progressive lung destruction, a more prevalent form of *M avium* lung disease termed “nodular bronchiectatic disease” tends to show variable disease progression. For example, one patient may show indolent stable lung disease such that a potentially toxic and expensive therapy is withheld, while another patient may display a more progressive disease that warrants immediate treatment.^{3–8} However, there are currently no established predictors for disease progression. As a result, close pre-therapeutic follow-up may be necessary to distinguish between progressive and stable *M avium* lung disease.^{3–8}

The present study evaluates whether molecular typing of *M avium* isolates is informative for predicting the progression of *M avium* lung disease. Specifically, we investigated variable numbers of tandem repeats (VNTR) in 16 mycobacterial interspersed repetitive unit (MIRU) loci in *M avium* clinical isolates. Our data demonstrate that specific VNTR profiles are related to the likelihood of *M avium* lung disease progression, thus allowing us to predict disease progression by examining the genetic divergence of *M avium* isolates.

METHODS

Study population

The study included 37 subjects who had visited the Pulmonary Medicine Clinic of the Tohoku University Hospital, a referral hospital in Sendai, between January 2005 and December 2006. Patients who had provided respiratory cultures positive for *M avium* were chosen for the study. Respiratory specimens yielding positive cultures included expectorated sputum samples and bronchial washes of bronchoscopic samples. Subjects who were culture-positive for *M avium* were classified as having *M avium* lung disease based on clinical diagnostic criteria, including pulmonary symptoms and radiographic findings. Otherwise, the culture-positive subjects were classified as not having *M avium* lung disease. “False” positive samples may have been the result of transient infection or environmental contamination of the respiratory specimens.³ To validate the logistic

regression model, we also evaluated eight subjects with lung disease that yielded positive cultures for *M avium* during 2007.

The clinical and microbiological factors responsible for the progression of *M avium* pulmonary infection were also examined. Disease progress was determined by observing patients with *M avium* lung disease until the start of antimycobacterial treatment (after the culture-proven diagnosis). During the observation period the patients were evaluated at least several times a year for exacerbation of pulmonary symptoms, chest radiograph features and microbiological findings. Specifically, we determined whether the condition was progressive (treatment required within 12 months of diagnosis) or stable (treatment delayed until after 12 months). Data were excluded from all analyses if unrelated death occurred during the observation period. A patient with *M avium*-associated hypersensitivity-like lung disease (ie, "hot tub lung") was also excluded owing to the unique clinical features of both lung inflammation and infection.^{2 3 9}

Mycobacterial genotyping

M avium isolates were grown on Middlebrook 7H10 agar with OADC enrichment (BD Diagnostics, Sparks, Maryland, USA) for 3–4 weeks at 37°C under 5% CO₂. The mycobacterial mass was placed in a microcentrifuge tube containing 200 µl InstaGene matrix (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Hercules, California, USA) and DNA was extracted according to the manufacturer's instructions. Using the DNA template (2 µl) and *Taq* DNA polymerase (1.25 U, Takara Shuzo, Kyoto, Japan), 16 MIRU loci were amplified in a total volume of 25 µl with primers specific for sequences flanking each locus (1 µM of each primer, see table S1 in the online supplement).^{10–16} The amplification profile consisted of 5 min at 95°C followed by 36 cycles of 10 s at 98°C, 30 s at 68°C and 1 min at 72°C. The polymerase chain reaction (PCR) products (5 µl) were run on a 2.5% agarose gel and stained with 0.5 µg/ml ethidium bromide. The size of each amplicon was estimated using the ChemiDoc XRS system (Bio-Rad Laboratories). The number of repeat units was determined in 16 MIRU loci (ie, the VNTR profile) for each *M avium* isolate.

Clustering analysis

The diversity of VNTR profiles between each set of *M avium* clinical isolates was assessed using the Manhattan distance. The Manhattan distance between *M avium* isolates Ma-*x* and Ma-*y* was calculated using the following formula:

$$\sum_{n=1}^{16} |x_n - y_n|$$

where x_n and y_n are the number of repeat units in the n th MIRU locus, MATR- n . The genotypic diversity of *M avium* isolates was analysed using a neighbour-joining algorithm in the PHYLIP software (Version 3.67) for clustering.^{17 18} Branch support was determined using the bootstrap method with 1000 replicates of a randomly resampled data set. The graphic phylogenetic distribution was drawn using the NJplot software for MS Windows.¹⁹

Statistical analysis

Comparisons of clinical characteristics between study groups were performed using the Fisher exact test for categorical variables and the unpaired Student *t* test for continuous variables. The Manhattan distance of *M avium* isolates from a reference point was compared between patients with progressive disease

and those with stable disease by logistic regression analysis. The results of all models are reported as age-adjusted odds ratios (ORs) with 95% confidence intervals (CI). The probability of disease progression was calculated using the logistic regression model with *M avium* isolate Ma-31 as the reference. The two study groups were examined using Mann-Whitney non-parametric comparison tests; *p* values of <0.05 were considered to be statistically significant. All analyses were performed using StatView software Version 5.0 (SAS Institute, Cary, North Carolina, USA).

RESULTS

Characterisation of subjects

Based on sputum specimens or bronchial washes, a total of 37 *M avium*-positive subjects were identified from 2005–6. Data regarding demographic information, clinical types of *M avium* lung disease, pretreatment observation period, culture-negative period after initiating treatment and the medical history of each subject are presented in table 1 and summarised in table 2. Of the 37 subjects whose respiratory cultures were positive for *M avium*, 11 showed no radiographic findings (including chest CT scans) for *M avium* lung disease. These 11 subjects were therefore excluded from the study. The remaining 26 subjects met the clinical criteria for a diagnosis of *M avium* lung disease including fibrocavitary lung disease (three subjects), nodular bronchiectatic lung disease (22 subjects) and hypersensitivity-like lung disease (one subject). The proportion of patients with a medical history of pulmonary disease was higher in the group without *M avium* lung disease than the group with *M avium* lung disease. In contrast, the two groups did not differ with respect to age at positive culture or the proportion of men and women (lung disease vs no lung disease: age, *p* = 0.20; sex, *p* = 0.06; medical history, *p* < 0.001; table 2).

In patients with *M avium* lung disease, 15 subjects were treated within 10 months of microbiological diagnosis owing to the progression of *M avium* lung disease and treatment was withheld for more than 24 months in nine subjects owing to stable *M avium* lung disease. Two subjects with *M avium* lung disease were excluded from the analyses owing to censored observation or unique clinical manifestations such as hypersensitivity-like lung disease. Demographic and clinical variables were similar in *M avium*-infected patients with progressive or stable lung disease (progressive disease vs stable disease: age, *p* = 0.44; sex, *p* = 0.12; clinical feature, *p* = 0.27; medical history, *p* = 0.99; table 2).

Genotyping and clustering analysis of *M avium* isolates

To assess the association between mycobacterial genotype and disease progression, we determined the VNTR profiles of each *M avium* isolate by evaluating the numbers of repeat units in 16 MIRU loci (fig 1A). The VNTR profiling data for 40 *M avium* isolates were obtained from 37 subjects; subjects 2, 13 and 22 provided respiratory specimens containing two *M avium* isolates with distinct VNTR profiles: Ma-2a/-2b, Ma-13a/-13b and Ma-22a/-22b, respectively (fig 1B).

Genotypic diversity in VNTR profiles was calculated as the Manhattan distance between each pair of *M avium* isolates and analysed using a neighbour-joining algorithm. In the phylogenetic tree (showing relationships among VNTR profiles), *M avium* clinical isolates were grouped into three major clusters designated as follows: cluster A including 12 isolates, cluster B including 12 isolates and cluster C including 16 isolates (fig 2). The branches in cluster C were supported by bootstrap values of

Table 1 Subjects with positive respiratory cultures for *Mycobacterium avium*

Subject no	Age at positive culture (years)	Sex	Clinical feature	Observation period before treatment (months)	Culture-negative period after treatment (months)	Relevant medical history
1	56	F	NB	>26	NA	Dialysis
2	46	M	FC	1	>7	Hypertension
3	73	F	NB	3	>19	None
4	59	F	NB	1	9	None
5	54	F	NB	>31	NA	None
6	66	F	NB	4	4	Hyperthyroidism
7	78	M	–	NA	NA	Lung cancer
8	72	M	NB	>5*	NA	Lung cancer
9	44	F	NB	63	>4	Hyperthyroidism
10	64	F	NB	1	1	None
11	63	F	NB	>32	NA	Bronchiectasis
12	41	M	–	NA	NA	Bronchial asthma
13	74	M	NB	3	>31	Lung cancer
14	47	M	–	NA	NA	Alveolar proteinosis
15	69	F	NB	>25	NA	Rheumatoid arthritis
16	62	F	NB	>50	NA	None
17	55	F	–	NA	NA	Alveolar proteinosis
18	51	F	NB	1	>28	None
19	53	F	NB	3	11	None
20	59	F	NB	1	49	None
21	77	M	–	NA	NA	Lung cancer
22	40	M	FC	2	7	None
23	51	F	NB	10	>36	Hypertension
24	70	F	NB	1	>3	Hypertension
25	60	F	–	NA	NA	Lung cancer
26	60	M	FC	1	40	Bronchiectasis
27	50	F	NB	>24	NA	None
28	46	F	NB	1	18	None
29	79	F	–	NA	NA	Lung cancer
30	56	M	NB	1	19	None
31	61	M	–	NA	NA	Lung cancer
32	58	F	NB	>30	NA	Periarthritis nodosa
33	76	M	–	NA	NA	Lung cancer
34	34	F	NB	>29	NA	Goitre
35	54	M	–	NA	NA	Pulmonary cyst
36	66	M	HP	NA	NA	None
37	63	F	–	NA	NA	Lung cancer

FC, fibrocavitary lung disease; HP, hypersensitivity-like lung disease; NA, not applicable; NB, nodular bronchiectatic lung disease; –, no *M avium* lung disease.

*Follow-up was terminated due to lung cancer-related death.

Table 2 Demographic and clinical characteristics of subjects

Characteristic	<i>M avium</i> lung disease (n = 26)	No <i>M avium</i> lung disease (n = 11)	p Value	<i>M avium</i> lung disease (n = 24)*		p Value
				Progressive disease (n = 15)	Stable disease (n = 9)	
Mean (SD) age at positive culture (years)	58 (10)	63 (13)	0.20	58 (10)	54 (11)	0.44
Male sex, n (%)	7 (27)	7 (64)	0.06	5 (33)	0 (0)	0.12
Clinical feature, n (%)						0.27
FC	3 (11)	NA		3 (20)	0 (0)	
NB	22 (85)	NA		12 (80)	9 (100)	
HP	1 (4)	NA		NA	NA	
History of pulmonary disease, n (%)	4 (15)	11 (100)	<0.001	2 (13)	1 (11)	0.99
VNTR cluster, n (%)†						
Cluster A	8 (30)	4 (36)	0.99	2 (13)	6 (67)	0.02
Cluster B	9 (35)	2 (18)	0.44	5 (33)	3 (33)	0.99
Cluster C	9 (35)	5 (46)	0.71	8 (54)	0 (0)	0.01



FC, fibrocavitary lung disease; HP, hypersensitivity-like lung disease; NA, not applicable; NB, nodular bronchiectatic lung disease; VNTR, variable numbers of tandem repeats.

*Patients with progressive versus stable *Mycobacterium avium* lung disease were defined as those treated within 12 months of diagnosis versus those treated after 12 months, respectively. Two patients (subjects 8 and 36) who were not classifiable using the established criterion were excluded from the analysis.

†The VNTR cluster is defined in fig 2.

Respiratory infection

Figure 1 Variable numbers of tandem repeats (VNTR) profiling of *Mycobacterium avium* clinical isolates. (A) A representative result of the VNTR profile of *M avium*. The genomic DNA of *M avium* isolated from subject 3 was amplified with primers for 16 MIRU loci (MATR-1 to MATR-16) using PCR. The PCR products were resolved on a 2.5% agarose gel with a size marker and stained with ethidium bromide. From the estimated size of the PCR product, the number of repeat units was calculated. (B) VNTR profiles of 40 clinical isolates of *M avium*. The numbers of tandem repeat units at 16 MIRU loci are shown for each *M avium* isolate. Two different *M avium* isolates, based on the VNTR profiles, were cultured from respiratory samples collected from subjects 2, 13 and 22 and are referred to as Ma-2a/-2b, Ma-13a/-13b and Ma-22a/-22b, respectively. Boxes of the same colour represent the same number of tandem repeats.



27–84%, whereas clusters A and B were interspersed with branches of low bootstrap support (<10%). Distinct isolates cultured from one subject were located close to one another on the genotypic distribution and classified into the same cluster (ie, Ma-2a/-2b in cluster C, Ma-13a/-13b in cluster B and Ma-22a/-22b in cluster C). From these observations, all 37 subjects who provided respiratory specimens positive for *M avium* were assigned to one of the three VNTR clusters.

As summarised in table 2, *M avium*-infected patients with progressive or stable lung disease were more likely to be grouped

in cluster C or cluster A, respectively (progressive vs stable disease: cluster A, $p=0.02$; cluster B, $p=0.99$; cluster C, $p=0.01$). Subjects without *M avium* lung disease did not differ significantly from those with *M avium* lung disease based on the VNTR profile classification (lung disease vs no lung disease: cluster A, $p=0.99$; cluster B, $p=0.44$; cluster C, $p=0.71$; table 2). These data suggest that *M avium* genotypes are associated with the progression of *M avium* lung disease; however, isolate genotypes did not significantly predict the presence or absence of lung disease.




Figure 2 Cluster analysis of *Mycobacterium avium* clinical isolates based on variable numbers of tandem repeats (VNTR) profiles. Based on the VNTR profile data for 40 *M avium* isolates, the Manhattan distance between each pair of isolates was calculated and analysed using a neighbour-joining algorithm. The phylogenetic distribution of *M avium* from subjects with progressive *M avium* lung disease, stable *M avium* lung disease, other *M avium* lung disease and no *M avium* lung disease is shown as a radial dendrogram. Progressive or stable *M avium* lung disease is defined as described in table 2. The three major branches of *M avium* are designated as clusters A, B and C. Numbers along the branches denote bootstrap support as percentages of 1000 replicate data sets (shown only for values greater than 10). The scale bar indicates genetic distance.

Risk of progression according to the genotypic diversity of *M avium*

The genetic relevance of mycobacteria to the clinical course of *M avium* lung disease allows for the use of logistic regression analysis. We selected one *M avium* isolate as a reference to evaluate the Manhattan distance of each *M avium* isolate and therefore determine the association between genotype and disease progression. Among the 40 *M avium* isolates examined, significant associations with progressive lung disease were found for 12 isolates including Ma-1, -5, -6, -7, -8, -9, -15, -27, -31, -32, -35 and -37 (age-adjusted ORs, 1.26–4.10; $p = 0.01$ –0.04). In particular, the genetic distance of each *M avium* clinical isolate from Ma-31 was associated with the highest likelihood of disease progression (age-adjusted OR for subjects with progressive *M avium* lung disease vs those with stable *M avium* lung disease 1.95; 95% CI 1.16 to 3.30; $p = 0.01$).

Finally, we sought to evaluate the sensitivity and specificity of the regression model using Ma-31 as a reference. The purpose of the evaluation was to assess our ability to distinguish subjects with progressive *M avium* lung disease from those with stable *M avium* lung disease. In an effort to improve the analysis, we examined additional VNTR profiles of *M avium* isolates obtained in 2007 from eight subjects (four subjects with

progressive disease and four with stable disease), and combined the data with that of subjects sampled from 2005 to 2006 (due to small sample size). Based on the logistic regression model, we found that subjects with progressive *M avium* lung disease had a significantly increased probability of disease progression compared with those with stable *M avium* lung disease (mean (SD) 0.77 (0.19) vs 0.30 (0.38), $p = 0.003$; fig 3). On the basis of our criterion (probability of disease progression >0.5) for the positive prediction of progressive *M avium* lung disease, 15 of 19 subjects with progressive *M avium* lung disease were positive (sensitivity 79%) and 10 of the 13 subjects with stable *M avium* lung disease were negative (specificity 77%).

DISCUSSION

Little is known regarding the factors that determine the clinical course of *M avium* lung disease. It is assumed, but not proven, that mycobacterial virulence and/or host predisposition influence disease progression.^{1–3} Based on the assumption that the progression of *M avium* lung disease depends, at least in part, on the *M avium* genotype isolated from the patient, we hypothesised that VNTR-based genotyping of *M avium* isolates would be a useful strategy for predicting disease progression. The observations gathered in the present study are consistent with this hypothesis. The distribution of *M avium* clinical isolates, as determined by VNTR profiles, demonstrates the existence of three clusters; *M avium* isolates from progressive lung disease and those from stable lung disease tend to be clustered differently. We then assessed the association between mycobacterial genotypes and disease progression of *M avium* lung disease by means of a logistic regression analysis. We found that the genetic distance calculated using Ma-31 as a reference was associated with the most significant age-adjusted ORs for disease progression. Lastly, a best-fit model was evaluated for its ability to predict the risk of disease progression in subjects with *M avium* lung disease.

Important issues yet to be addressed in the clinical area of NTM disease include therapeutic decisions regarding when to start treatment. Currently, no consensus exists among experts with respect to the treatment of patients with *M avium* lung disease, particularly the prevalent nodular bronchiectatic type. The clinical practice guidelines for NTM diseases were recently published by the American Thoracic Society (ATS) and the Infectious Diseases Society of America (IDSA);³ however, this additional information has not resolved the aforementioned issues. According to the guidelines, there are complicated reasons for the lack of a consensus: (1) unlike fibrocavitary lung disease which is known to be generally progressive within a short time frame, the more predominant nodular bronchiectatic lung disease tends to show slower progression; (2) even with the more indolent bronchiectatic form of the disease, antimycobacterial treatment should be considered to prevent clinical deterioration and death; and (3) drug therapy for *M avium* disease involves long-term multidrug treatment (eg, 12 months or longer) with a relatively high risk of adverse drug reactions and/or toxicities, so the treatment of *M avium* disease seems worse than the disease for a patient with minimal symptoms and radiographic changes.^{3 7 8 20–24}

It is therefore necessary to identify a clinical factor that is associated with disease progression to predict which patients with *M avium* lung disease are at the highest risk. In this context, it was reported that 176 of 181 patients (97%) who had two or more *M avium* complexes (MAC, including at least two mycobacterial species, *M avium* and *M intracellulare*) in the initial three sputum specimens collected following the onset of MAC lung disease subsequently developed new chest radio-




Figure 3 Estimates of *Mycobacterium avium* lung disease progression. The probabilities of disease progression were calculated from the logistic regression analysis according to the Manhattan distances of *M avium* isolates from Ma-31. The results are shown for *M avium* isolates from subjects with progressive or stable *M avium* lung disease. Horizontal lines indicate mean values; p values were calculated using a Mann–Whitney non-parametric comparison test.

graphic abnormalities.²⁵ Although this report suggests that the frequency of positive sputum cultures for MAC is related to disease progression, the clinical value of this observation has not been conclusively established owing to substantial methodological limitations (accurate assessment of symptoms such as frequency of culture-positive sputum is often complicated in various clinical circumstances). Another study evaluated the association between MAC isolated from sputum with the prognosis of pulmonary MAC disease. This study showed that MAC serotypes could be determined using thin layer chromatography and mass spectrometry, and that patients with serotype 4 *M avium* pulmonary infection were generally non-responsive to antimycobacterial drug therapy. In addition, patients with serotype 4 had a poorer prognosis than patients with *M avium* organisms of other serotypes.²⁶ These findings suggest the benefit of surgery for some patients whose MAC disease is selected as a drug-refractory infection by MAC serotyping. The most significant limitation to the widespread application of this method, however, is that highly sophisticated experimental techniques that are generally unfamiliar to standard clinical laboratories are required to determine MAC serotypes. In addition, several typing methods have been used to differentiate mycobacterium organisms including high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) of mycolic acids, restriction fragment length polymorphism (RFLP) profiling and trinucleotide repeat sequence (TRS) analysis.^{3 16 27–29} Further studies will be needed to assess the concordance between our VNTR method and another typing approach.

In the present study we found a significant association between PCR-based VNTR profiles of *M avium* isolates from patients with pulmonary infections and the risk of developing lung disease that requires drug treatment. These results suggest that VNTR profiles of *M avium* isolates, determined by the widely prevalent PCR method, may serve as a potential predictor of disease progression.

The limitations of our study include the retrospective nature of the analyses and the uncertainty regarding the molecular mechanisms whereby the VNTR profile can discriminate between *M avium* isolates relevant to disease progression. In this regard, Hanekom *et al* reported that epidemiologically unrelated *Mycobacterium* strains may be incorrectly linked together by using the genetic distance of the VNTR profile.³⁰ Despite these limitations, our findings provide compelling evidence that *M avium* genotype rather than host predisposition is contributing to the progression of pulmonary infection. Further investigation of the *M avium* strains isolated in progressive and stable disease, such as whole genome scanning of these strains, is warranted to identify molecular factors responsible for the increased risk of progressive *M avium* lung disease.

Acknowledgements: The authors thank Mitsu Takahashi and Yuko Sasaki for their assistance with VNTR profiling.

Funding: These studies were supported in part by the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology (Tokyo, Japan) and the Core Research for Evolutional Science and Technology Program of the Japan Science and Technology Agency (Tokyo, Japan).

Competing interests: None.

Provenance and peer review: Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

REFERENCES

1. Field SK, Cowie RL. Lung disease due to the more common nontuberculous mycobacteria. *Chest* 2006;**129**:1653–72.
2. Glassroth J. Pulmonary disease due to nontuberculous mycobacteria. *Chest* 2008;**133**:243–51.
3. Griffith DE, Aksamit T, Brown-Elliott BA, *et al*. An official ATS/IDSA statement: diagnosis, treatment, and prevention of nontuberculous mycobacterial diseases. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2007;**175**:367–416.
4. O'Brien RJ, Geiter LJ, Snider DE Jr. The epidemiology of nontuberculous mycobacterial diseases in the United States. Results from a national survey. *Am Rev Respir Dis* 1987;**135**:1007–14.
5. Wolinsky E. Nontuberculous mycobacteria and associated diseases. *Am Rev Respir Dis* 1979;**119**:107–59.
6. Ahn CH, McLarty JW, Ahn SS, *et al*. Diagnostic criteria for pulmonary disease caused by *Mycobacterium kansasii* and *Mycobacterium intracellulare*. *Am Rev Respir Dis* 1982;**125**:388–91.
7. Jenkins PA, Campbell IA. Pulmonary disease caused by *Mycobacterium xenopi* in HIV-negative patients: five year follow-up of patients receiving standardised treatment. *Respir Med* 2003;**97**:439–44.
8. Prince DS, Peterson DD, Steiner RM, *et al*. Infection with *Mycobacterium avium* complex in patients without predisposing conditions. *N Engl J Med* 1989;**321**:863–8.
9. Martinez S, McAdams HP, Batchu CS. The many faces of pulmonary nontuberculous mycobacterial infection. *Am J Roentgenol* 2007;**189**:177–86.
10. Bull TJ, Sidi-Boumedine K, McMinn EJ, *et al*. Mycobacterial interspersed repetitive units (MIRU) differentiate *Mycobacterium avium* subspecies paratuberculosis from other species of the *Mycobacterium avium* complex. *Mol Cell Probes* 2003;**17**:157–64.
11. Mobius P, Luyven G, Hotzel H, *et al*. High genetic diversity among *Mycobacterium avium* subsp. paratuberculosis strains from German cattle herds shown by combination of IS900 restriction fragment length polymorphism analysis and mycobacterial interspersed repetitive unit-variable-number tandem-repeat typing. *J Clin Microbiol* 2008;**46**:972–81.
12. Motiwala AS, Li L, Kapur V, *et al*. Current understanding of the genetic diversity of *Mycobacterium avium* subsp. paratuberculosis. *Microbes Infect* 2006;**8**:1406–18.
13. Overduin P, Schouls L, Roholl P, *et al*. Use of multilocus variable-number tandem-repeat analysis for typing *Mycobacterium avium* subsp. paratuberculosis. *J Clin Microbiol* 2004;**42**:5022–8.
14. Romano MI, Amadio A, Bigi F, *et al*. Further analysis of VNTR and MIRU in the genome of *Mycobacterium avium* complex, and application to molecular epidemiology of isolates from South America. *Vet Microbiol* 2005;**110**:221–37.
15. Thibault VC, Grayon M, Boschirola ML, *et al*. New variable-number tandem-repeat markers for typing *Mycobacterium avium* subsp. paratuberculosis and *M avium* strains: comparison with IS900 and IS1245 restriction fragment length polymorphism typing. *J Clin Microbiol* 2007;**45**:2404–10.
16. Turenne CY, Wallace R Jr, Behr MA. *Mycobacterium avium* in the postgenomic era. *Clin Microbiol Rev* 2007;**20**:205–29.
17. Felsenstein J. PHYLIP - Phylogeny Inference Package (Version 3.2). *Cladistics* 1989;**5**:164–6.
18. Saitou N, Nei M. The neighbor-joining method: a new method for reconstructing phylogenetic trees. *Mol Biol Evol* 1987;**4**:406–25.
19. Perriere G, Gouy M. WWW-query: an on-line retrieval system for biological sequence banks. *Biochimie* 1996;**78**:364–9.

20. **Dautzenberg B**, Piperno D, Diot P, *et al*. Clarithromycin in the treatment of *Mycobacterium avium* lung infections in patients without AIDS. Clarithromycin Study Group of France. *Chest* 1995;**107**:1035–40.
21. **Griffith DE**, Brown BA, Girard WM, *et al*. Azithromycin activity against *Mycobacterium avium* complex lung disease in patients who were not infected with human immunodeficiency virus. *Clin Infect Dis* 1996;**23**:983–9.
22. **Huang JH**, Kao PN, Adi V, *et al*. *Mycobacterium avium*-intracellulare pulmonary infection in HIV-negative patients without preexisting lung disease: diagnostic and management limitations. *Chest* 1999;**115**:1033–40.
23. **Reich JM**, Johnson RE. *Mycobacterium avium* complex pulmonary disease presenting as an isolated lingular or middle lobe pattern. The Lady Windermere syndrome. *Chest* 1992;**101**:1605–9.
24. **Wallace RJ Jr**, Brown BA, Griffith DE, *et al*. Initial clarithromycin monotherapy for *Mycobacterium avium*-intracellulare complex lung disease. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 1994;**149**:1335–41.
25. **Tsukamura M**. Diagnosis of disease caused by *Mycobacterium avium* complex. *Chest* 1991;**99**:667–9.
26. **Maekura R**, Okuda Y, Hirotani A, *et al*. Clinical and prognostic importance of serotyping *Mycobacterium avium*-*Mycobacterium intracellulare* complex isolates in human immunodeficiency virus-negative patients. *J Clin Microbiol* 2005;**43**:3150–8.
27. **Kumar S**, Bose M, Isa M. Genotype analysis of human *Mycobacterium avium* isolates from India. *Indian J Med Res* 2006;**123**:139–44.
28. **Singh AV**, Singh SV, Makharia GK, *et al*. Presence and characterization of *Mycobacterium avium* subspecies paratuberculosis from clinical and suspected cases of Crohn's disease and in the healthy human population in India. *Int J Infect Dis* 2008;**12**:190–7.
29. **Wojtasik A**, Augustynowicz-Kopec E, Zwolska Z, *et al*. Genotyping of *Mycobacterium avium*-intracellulare complex based on trinucleotide repeat sequences (TRS) presence in mycobacteria genomes. *J Biotechnol* 2007;**131**:S3–4.
30. **Hanekom M**, van der Spuy GD, Gey van Pittius NC, *et al*. Discordance between mycobacterial interspersed repetitive-unit-variable-number tandem-repeat typing and IS6110 restriction fragment length polymorphism genotyping for analysis of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* Beijing strains in a setting of high incidence of tuberculosis. *J Clin Microbiol* 2008;**46**:3338–45.

Lung alert

Cetuximab: a new hope for advanced NSCLC

Advanced (stage IIIb/IV) non-small cell lung cancers (NSCLCs) are associated with poor survival on current chemotherapy regimes. Epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR)-directed tyrosine kinase inhibitors are second-line treatment options. This is the first study to investigate a monoclonal antibody against the EGFR cetuximab as a potential first-line treatment option.

In this multinational open-label study across 155 centres, 1125 chemotherapy-naïve patients with advanced NSCLC and immunohistochemical evidence of EGFR expression were randomly assigned to chemotherapy with cetuximab or chemotherapy alone. Patients previously treated with monoclonal antibodies or EGFR-targeting drugs were excluded. The primary outcome was overall survival. Secondary outcomes included progression-free survival, tumour response rate, safety and quality of life analyses.

On an intention-to-treat analysis, cetuximab therapy was associated with greater median survival than chemotherapy alone (11.3 vs.10.1 months), greater tumour response rates and a longer time to treatment failure. These findings were independent of histological subtype and other factors known to affect prognosis. No differences were reported between progression-free survival, quality of life outcomes or treatment-related deaths. Infusion reactions with cetuximab were rare.

The authors conclude that combination chemotherapy with cetuximab is a useful first-line therapy for advanced NSCLC. However, changes in symptom score and optimum duration of cetuximab treatment were not assessed. Unfortunately, it is likely that the benefit in overall survival compared with the cost of cetuximab treatment will be an issue in cetuximab becoming a first-line treatment option.

- ▶ Pirker R, Pereira JR, Szczesna A, *et al* and the FLEX Study Team. Cetuximab plus chemotherapy in patients with advanced non-small-cell lung cancer (FLEX): an open-label randomized phase III trial. *Lancet* 2009;**373**:1525–31.

S Karmali

Correspondence to: S Karmali, Medical Student, University College London, London, UK; s.karmali@ucl.ac.uk

Provenance and peer review: Not commissioned; not externally peer reviewed.

Thorax 2009;**64**:907. doi:10.1136/thx.2009.121012



Association between mycobacterial genotypes and disease progression in *Mycobacterium avium* pulmonary infection

T Kikuchi, A Watanabe, K Gomi, et al.

Thorax 2009 64: 901-907 originally published online June 23, 2009
doi: 10.1136/thx.2009.114603

Updated information and services can be found at:
<http://thorax.bmj.com/content/64/10/901.full.html>

	<i>These include:</i>
Data Supplement	"Web only appendix" http://thorax.bmj.com/content/suppl/2009/10/02/thx.2009.114603.DC1.html
References	This article cites 30 articles, 17 of which can be accessed free at: http://thorax.bmj.com/content/64/10/901.full.html#ref-list-1
	Article cited in: http://thorax.bmj.com/content/64/10/901.full.html#related-urls
Email alerting service	Receive free email alerts when new articles cite this article. Sign up in the box at the top right corner of the online article.

Topic Collections	Articles on similar topics can be found in the following collections Lung infection (55 articles) TB and other respiratory infections (1449 articles)
--------------------------	---

Notes

To request permissions go to:
<http://group.bmj.com/group/rights-licensing/permissions>

To order reprints go to:
<http://journals.bmj.com/cgi/reprintform>

To subscribe to BMJ go to:
<http://group.bmj.com/subscribe/>