#### FRENCH-UKRAINIAN JOURNAL OF CHEMISTRY (2015, VOLUME 3, ISSUE 2)

# New coordination compounds of uranyl ion with 3-(2-hydroxyphenyl)-1,2,4triazole and its derivatives: synthesis and investigation of spectral properties

Oleksandr V. Vashchenko<sup>a</sup>, Dmytro M. Khomenko<sup>a\*</sup>, Roman O. Doroschuk<sup>a</sup>, Rostyslav D.

Lampeka<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Chemistry, Taras Shevchenko National University of Kyiv, Volodymyrska Street, 64/13, Kyiv 01601, Ukraine

dkhomenko@ukr.net

Keywords: uranyl ion, 1,2,4-triazole, hydroxyphenyl, coordination compounds, NMR-spectroscopy.

New methods of 3-(2-hydroxyphenyl)-1,2,4-triazoles synthesis were developed. Obtained ligands was used for synthesis of three new complex compounds of uranyl-ion with general composition [UO<sub>2</sub>(HL)<sub>2</sub>(Solv)]. These compounds were characterized by NMR and IR spectroscopy.

# Introduction

The synthesis and investigation of new coordination compounds of uranyl with organic ligands allows developing of new functional materials, such as sensors for alkali metals ions [1] or electrophilic addition reactions catalysts [2]. Hydrophobic ligands could be used as extractants which transfer uranyl ion to the organic phase [3-4]. New methods of spectrophotometric determination of trace amounts of uranium, which is very important to detect radioactive contamination, based on the use of organic ligands are also actively developing part of modern chemistry [5].

Well known that uranyl ion is a hard Lewis acid, so it is readily form coordination compounds with O-containing ligand systems. But there are also number of reports describing uranyl compounds with O,N-donor ligands [67]. Depending on the desired properties of the complex as O-containing part usually acts carboxylic [6] or hydroxyphenyl group [2c, 7-8]. The most common N-containing fragments is azomethine group [2c, 7, 9]. Five or sixmembered nitrogen containing heterocycles are also used [6a, 10].

Considerable attention among heterocycles attracting to 1,2,4-triazoles. Previously we report synthesis of mononuclear complexes with 5-(2-hydroxyphenyl)-1,2,4triazolylacetic acid [11], 3-(2-hydroxyphenyl)-5-(2-pyridyl)-1,2,4-triazole [12] and 3,5-(2hydroxyphenyl)-1,2,4-triazole [13]. In all cases ligands showed tridentate coordination mode. In this paper we focused on synthesis of uranyl complexes with 3-(2-hydroxyphenyl)-1,2,4triazole and its derivatives which act as bidentate ligands.

## **Results and discussion**

Ligands were obtained from corresponding carboxylic acids hydrazides and iminoesters (Scheme 1). This is a fairly common method of synthesis of triazole heterocycle [11-14]. Compared to other described methods it allows using simple and cheap starting materials [15]. Acylamidrazone which is formed by acylation of hydrazide with iminoester undergo intermolecular cyclization into triazole in situ.



Scheme **1.** Synthesis of 3-(2-hydroxyphenyl)-1,2,4-triazoles and UO<sub>2</sub>(HL)<sub>2</sub>(Solv).

Obtained ligands easily form coordination compounds with uranyl ion. The disappearance of the signal of hydroxyl proton in the NMR spectra of the complex allows us to assume that in the process of complex formation deprotonation of hydroxyl groups take place. It results in neutral complexes formation. Absences of signals of acetates also prove formation of intramolecular coordination compounds.

For obtained complexes we propose structure depicted on **Scheme 1**, where ligands

together with molecule of solvent occupy equatorial positions of uranyl ion.

In the NMR spectrum of  $[UO_2(H_2L^1)_2(CH_3OH)]$  we observe high field shift of signals of protons  $H^1$ ,  $H^2$  and  $H^4$ , compared to the initial ligand spectra, caused to transmission of charge to uranium through a chain of atoms (**Scheme 2**). Low-field shift of signal of  $H^3$  caused to mesomeric transion of charge from deprotonated hydroxyphenyl group.



Scheme 2. The distribution of the electron density in the aromatic system of  $UO_2(HL)_2(Solv)$ .

The stability of obtained complexes in solution depends on the substituent in 5-position of triazole heterocycle (**Figure 1**).



Figure 1. The signals of aromatic protons of complexes  $[UO_2(H_2L^1)_2(CH_3OH)]$  (a),  $[UO_2(H_2L^2)_2(H_2O)]$  (b) and  $[UO_2(H_2L^3)_2(CH_3OH)]$  (c).

In the case of  $[UO_2(H_2L^1)_2(CH_3OH)]$ there are sharp signals of complexes observed in (Figure **1a**). It spectra means that [UO<sub>2</sub>(H<sub>2</sub>L<sup>1</sup>)<sub>2</sub>(CH<sub>3</sub>OH)] do not dissociate in solution of DMSO. The signals in spectra of  $[UO_2(H_2L^2)_2(H_2O)]$  are broad (Figure 1b) and in the case of  $[UO_2(H_2L^3)_2(CH_3OH)]$  we observe also the signals of non-coordination ligands (Figure 1c). This allows us to assume that complexes 2 and 3 are partially dissociated in solution of DMSO and dissociations rate rise together with increasing of substituent volume. Also 2 and 3 can have high kinetic lability compared with 1 that is associated with dissociation of solvent molecules, which can explore broadening of proton signals in NMR spectra.

#### Conclusions

We have developed new methods of synthesis of 3-(2-hydroxyphenyl)-1,2,4-triazoles and received three new coordination compounds of uranyl ion. Obtained ligands form complexes with uranyl-ion to ligand molar ratio M:L = 1:2. Two complexes partially dissociate in DMSO solution, what was confirmed by <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectroscopy.

# **Experimental part**

#### General

All used solvents and reagents are commercially available and were used without prior purification. Formylhydrazide, hydrazides 2-hydroxybenzoic and benzoic acids, imidoesters 2-hydroxybenzoic and acetic acid were obtained by the previously described methods [16-18]. <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra were recorded in DMSO-d<sub>6</sub> solutions on a Varian Mercury 400 instrument. <sup>1</sup>H chemical shifts ( $\delta$ ) are given in ppm with positive values to high frequency relative to the tetramethylsilane resonances determined from the residual solvent peaks. IR spectra were recorded on a Spektrum BX Perkin Elmer.

#### Synthesis and characterizations

3-(2-hydroxyphenyl)-5-H-1,2,4-triazole  $(H_2L^1)$ . To a solution of 20.0 g (0.107 mol) of 2hydroxybenzoic acid ethyl iminoester hydrochloride in 250 ml of methanol 16.5 ml (0.117 mol) of triethylamine and 6.36 g (0,107 mol)mol) formilhydrazide was added and refluxed for 3 hours. The reaction mixture was evaporated and diluted with wather. Solid residue was filtered, dried and recrystallized from 100 ml of toluene. Yield: 14.5 g, 84%. M.p. = 144-146 °C. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>, 400 MHz): δ, m.p. (J, Hz): 14.41 (1H, s., NH); 11.33 (1H, s., OH); 8.53 (1H, s., CH); 7.97 (1H, d.,  ${}^{3}J_{HH} = 8$ , o-PhOx,  ${}^{3}J_{HH} = 7$ ); 6.94 (1H, t.,  ${}^{3}J_{HH} =$ 7, m-PhOx); 7.32 (1H, t.,  ${}^{3}J_{HH} = 7$ , p-PhOx); 6.99 (1H, d.,  ${}^{3}J_{HH} = 7$ , m'-PhOx). IR(KBr, cm<sup>-1</sup>): 3311, 3178-2411, 1614, 1595, 1546, 1490, 1445, 1387, 1359, 1284, 1263, 1266, 1219, 1201, 1162, 1130, 1108, 1085, 985, 887, 835, 739, 705. Anal. calc. for C<sub>8</sub>H<sub>7</sub>N<sub>3</sub>O, %: C 59.62,

H 4.38, N 26.07. Found: C 59.58, H 4.34, N 26.09.

## 3-(2-hydroxyphenyl)-5-methyl-1,2,4-triazole

 $(H_2L^2)$ . To a solution of 1.47 g (0.0171 mol) of acetic acid ethyl iminoester in 100 ml of methanol 2.0 (0.0132 mol) 2g hydroxybenzhydrazide was added and refluxed for 6 hours. The reaction mixture was evaporated and residue was recrystallized from 50 ml of water. Yield: 0.7 g, 31%. M.p. = 166-167 °C. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>, 400 MHz): δ, m.p. (J, Hz): 14.09 (1H, s., NH); 11.26 (1H, s., OH); 2.47 (3H, s., CH<sub>3</sub>); 7.94 (1H, d.,  ${}^{3}J_{HH} = 7$ , o-PhOx); 6.95 (1H, t.,  ${}^{3}J_{HH} = 4$ ,  ${}^{3}J_{HH} = 8$ , m-PhOx); 7.27 (1H, t.,  ${}^{3}J_{HH} = 6$ , p-PhOx); 7.94  $(1H, d., {}^{3}J_{HH} = 7, m'-PhOx)$ . IR (KBr, cm<sup>-1</sup>): 3208, 3148, 3030, 2919, 2758, 2689, 1626, 1589, 1574, 1493, 1460, 1378, 1288, 1230, 1209, 1555, 1058, 892, 830, 747, 717, 674. Anal. calc. for C<sub>9</sub>H<sub>9</sub>N<sub>3</sub>O, %: C 61.70, H 5.18, N 23.99. Found: C 61.74, H 5.19, N 23.96.

# 3-(2-hydroxyphenyl)-5-phenyl-1,2,4-triazole

(*H*<sub>2</sub>*L*<sup>3</sup>). To a solution of 6.49 g (0.035 mol) benzoic acid ethyl imidoester hydrochloride in 100 ml of methanol was added 4.92 ml (0,035 mol) triethylamine and 4.76 g (0,035 mol) 2-hydroxybenzhydrazide was added and refluxed for 40 hours. Result white precipitate was filtered and dried. Yield: 6.9 g, 88%. M.p. > 200 °C. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>, 400 MHz):  $\delta$ , m.p. (J, Hz): 14.44 (1H, s., NH); 11.37 (1H, s., OH); 7.55 (1H, t., <sup>3</sup>J<sub>HH</sub> = 8, m-Ph); 7.53 (1H, t., <sup>3</sup>J<sub>HH</sub> = 8, p-Ph); 8.09 (1H, d., <sup>3</sup>J<sub>HH</sub> = 8, o-Ph); 7.04 (1H,

t.,  ${}^{3}J_{HH} = 8$ , m-PhOx); 7.37 (1H, t.,  ${}^{3}J_{HH} = 8$ , p-PhOx); 8.03 (1H, d.,  ${}^{3}J_{HH} = 8$ , m'-PhOx); 7.00 (1H, d.,  ${}^{3}J_{HH} = 3$ , o-PhOx). IR(KBr, cm<sup>-1</sup>): 3238, 1629, 1589, 1529, 1493, 1463, 1435, 1372, 1306, 1248, 1140, 786, 754. Anal. calc. for C<sub>14</sub>H<sub>11</sub>N<sub>3</sub>O, %: C 70.87, H 4.67, N 17.71. Found: C 70.86, H 4.71, N 17.75.

 $[UO_2(H_2L^1)_2(CH_3OH)]$  (1). To a 5 ml solution of 106.0 mg (0.25)mmol) UO<sub>2</sub>(CH<sub>3</sub>COO)<sub>2</sub>·2H<sub>2</sub>O in methanol was added 5 ml solution 88.5 mg (0.55 mmol)  $H_2L^1$  in methanol. At slow crystallization  $[UO_2(H_2L^1)_2(CH_3OH)]$  for two days at room temperature from solution was fallen red crystals, which are filtered, washed with methanol (5 ml) and dried in air. Yield: 37%. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>, 400 MHz): δ, m.p. (J, Hz): 14.95 (2H, s., NH); 9.65 (1H, s., CH); 9.22 (1H, s., CH); 7.18 (1H, d.,  ${}^{3}J_{HH} = 8$ , o-PhOx); 7.49  $(1H, t., {}^{3}J_{HH} = 8, m-PhOx); 6.74 (1H, t., {}^{3}J_{HH} =$ 8, p-PhOx); 8.03 (1H, d.,  ${}^{3}J_{HH} = 8$ , m'-PhOx); 3.17 (3H, s., CH<sub>3</sub>OH). IR(KBr, cm<sup>-1</sup>): 3130, 1608, 1582, 1496, 1457, 1296, 1257, 1127, 1092, 979, 892, 846, 756, 714, 590. Anal. calc. for C<sub>17</sub>H<sub>17</sub>N<sub>6</sub>O<sub>5</sub>U, %: C 32.75, H 2.75, N 13.48. Found: C 32.74, H 2.69, N 13.42.

 $[UO_2(H_2L^2)_2(H_2O)]$  (2). To a 5 ml solution 106.0 mg (0.25 mmol)  $UO_2(CH_3COO)_2 \cdot 2H_2O$  in water was added 5 ml solution 105.9 mg (0.625 mmol)  $H_2L^2$  in acetonitrile. At slow crystallization  $[UO_2(HL^2)_2(H_2O)]$  for two days at room temperature from solution was fallen dark red crystals, which are filtered, washed with acetonitrile (5 ml) and dried in air. Yield: 39%. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>, 400 MHz):  $\delta$ , m.p. (J, Hz): 14.60 (2H, s., NH); 2.72 (6H, s., CH<sub>3</sub>); 6.53-8.23 (8H, PhOx). IR(KBr, cm<sup>-1</sup>): 3327, 1604, 1579, 1489, 1459, 1308, 1263, 1136, 1036, 864, 750, 602. Anal. calc. for C<sub>18</sub>H<sub>19</sub>N<sub>6</sub>O<sub>5</sub>U, %: C 33.92, H 3.00, N 13.18. Found: C 33.88, H 3.04, N 13.15.

 $[UO_2(H_2L^3)_2(CH_3OH)]$  (3). To a 5 ml solution 106.0 (0.25)of mg mmol) UO2(CH3COO)2·2H2O in methanol was added 5 ml solution 130.4 mg (0.55 mmol)  $H_2L^2$  in methanol. At slow crystallization  $[UO_2(H_2L^3)_2(CH_3OH)]$  for two days at room temperature from solution was fallen red crystals, which are filtered, washed with methanol (10 ml) and dried in air. Yield: 44%. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>, 400 MHz): δ, m.p. (J, Hz): 14.29 (2H, s., NH); 6.69-8.26 (18H, Ph, PhOx); 3.17 (3H, s., CH<sub>3</sub>OH); 4.12 (1H, s., CH<sub>3</sub>OH). IR(KBr, cm<sup>-1</sup>): 3235, 1605, 1559, 1484, 1303, 1252, 1139, 889, 850, 735, 695, 605. Anal. calc. for C<sub>29</sub>H<sub>25</sub>N<sub>6</sub>O<sub>5</sub>U, %: C 44.91, H 3.2, N 10.84. Found: C 44.87, H 3.23, N 10.81.

#### References

[1] M. Cametti, L. Ilander, K. Rissanen, Inorg. Chem. 2009, 48, 8632.

[2] (a) H. Naeimi, A. Karshenas, *Polyhedron.* 2013. 49, 234. (b) V. A. Castelli, A. D. Cort, L. Mandolini, D. N. Reinhoudt, L. Schiaffino, *Chem. Eur. J.* 2000, 6(7), 1193. (c) A. D. Cort, L. Mandolini, L. Schiaffino, *J. Org. Chem.* 2008, 73, 9439.

[3] Y. K. Agrawal, P. Shrivastav, S. K. Menon, *Separation/Purification Technology*. **2000**, 20, 177.

[4] A. C. Sather, O. B. Berryman, J. Jr. Rebek, *Chemical Science*. **2013**, 4, 3601.

[5] (a) J. Michon, S. Frelon, C. Garnier, F. Coppin, *J Fluoresc.* **2010**, 20, 581. (b) E. G. Santos, C. S. Tomiyama, C. A. Kodaira, A. V. S. Lourenço, M.C.F.C. Felinto, H. F. Brito, M. E. F. Brito, INAC **2009**, Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brazil.

[6] P. M. Cantos, M. Frisch, C. L. Cahill, Inorg. Chem. Com. 2010, 13, 1036.

[7] (a) A. D. Cort, J. I. M. Murua, C.
Pasquini, M. Pons, L. Schiaffino, *Chem. Eur. J.* 2004, 10, 3301. (b) F. Y. Mihan, S. Bartocci, A. Credi, S. Silvi, A.
D. Cort, *Supramolecular Chemistry*. 2013, 25(2), 109.

[8] L. A. Borkowski, C. L. Cahill, *Crystal Growth & Design.* **2006**, 6(10), 2241.

[9] M. Shebl, S. M. E. Khalil, F. S. Al-Gohani, *Journal of Molecular Structure*. **2010**, 980, 78.

[10] S. M. E. Khalil, J. Coord. Chem. 2003, 56, 12, 15, 1013

[11] (a) D. M. Khomenko, R. O. Doroschuk,O. V. Vashchenko, R. D. Lampeka, *Ukr. Khim. Zh.* 2014, 80(12), 83.

[12] I.V. Raspertova, R.O. Doroschuk; D.M. Khomenko, R.D. Lampeka, *Acta Crystallographica Section C: Crystal Structure Communications*, **2012**, 68(3), m61-m63.

[13] D. M. Khomenko, R. O. Doroschuk, I. V. Raspertova, R. D. Lampeka, *Reports of the National Academy of Sciences of Ukraine*, **2012**, 7, 139 (in Ukrainian).

[14] (a) A. S. Kiselyov, E. L. Piatnitski
Chekler, N. B. Chernisheva, L. K. Salamandra, V. V.
Semenov, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2009, 50, 3809. (b) D.
Moderhack, J.-C. Schneider, *J. Heterocyclic Chem.* 2007, 44, 393. (c) S.S. Woodard, K.D. Jerome, *Comb. Chem. High Throughput Screening.* 2011, 14(2), 132.

[15] (a) D. Catarzi, L. Cecchi, V. Colotta,
Filacchioni, C. Martini, L. Giusti, A. Lucacchini, G.
Valle, *Farmaco.* 1994, 49(2), 89. (b) E. Yu. Shasheva,
N. I. Vikrishchuk, L. D. Popov, V. I. Minkin, M. E.
Kletskii, M. Yu. Antipin, A. D. Vikrishchuk, I. E.
Mikhailov, *Russian Journal of Organic Chemistry*. 2010,
46(7), 1079. (c) G.N. Dorofeenko, et al. Journal of
Organic Chemistry USSR (English Translation), 1977,
13, 2289.

[16] L.N. Volovel'skii, G.V. Knorozova, J. Gen. Chem. USSR (Engl. Transl.), **1964**, 34(1), 343,341.

[17] Organikum. **1992**. M.: Mir, 2. 474 (in Russian).

[18] L.J. Darlage, T.H. Kinstle, C . L. McIntosh. J. Org. Chem., **1971**, 56(8), 1088.