

## Effect of different organo-mineral fertilizers on growth, yield and quality of Perlette grape

### II. Effect on yield and quality

by

M. L. LAVANIA and RANVIR SINGH

#### Einfluß verschiedener organisch-mineralischer Dünger auf Wachstum, Ertrag und Qualität bei der Rebensorte Perlette

##### II. Beeinflussung von Ertrag und Qualität

**Zusammenfassung.** — Organisch-mineralische Düngergemische unterschiedlicher Zusammensetzung wirkten sich folgendermaßen auf den Ertrag und die Traubenqualität der Rebensorte Perlette aus:

Im Versuchsjahr 1973—74 wurde durch Düngung mit T<sub>15</sub> (0,5 kg Knochenmehl + 0,5 kg Zedrach-Preßkuchen + 0,5 kg Blutmehl + 0,990 kg Kalkammonsalpeter + 0,456 kg Superphosphat + 0,813 kg Chlorkalium) die höchste Anzahl von Trauben je Rebe erzielt; an zweiter Stelle folgte der Dünger T<sub>13</sub> (0,5 kg Knochenmehl + 0,5 kg Blutmehl + 1,22 kg Kalkammonsalpeter + 0,487 kg Superphosphat + 0,825 kg Chlorkalium). 1973—74 war die Düngewirkung umgekehrt. In beiden Jahren wurden unter dem Einfluß dieser zwei Düngervarianten auch die längsten Trauben mit dem größten Durchmesser gebildet. Bei Anwendung von T<sub>15</sub> wurde auch das höchste Durchschnittsgewicht und -volumen der Trauben gemessen; nur wenig darunter lagen T<sub>13</sub> und T<sub>12</sub> (0,5 kg Knochenmehl + 0,5 kg Zedrach-Preßkuchen + 1,014 kg Kalkammonsalpeter + 0,500 kg Superphosphat + 0,822 kg Chlorkalium). Infolgedessen war der Höchstertrag bei T<sub>15</sub>, gefolgt von T<sub>13</sub>, zu verzeichnen. Der höchste Gehalt an löslicher Trockensubstanz wurde bei T<sub>15</sub>, der höchste Säuregrad bei T<sub>1</sub> (1,22 kg Kalkammonsalpeter + 0,782 kg Superphosphat + 0,833 kg Chlorkalium) festgestellt. Die höchsten Konzentrationen des Gesamtzuckers und der reduzierenden Zucker wurden bei T<sub>15</sub> beobachtet; die höchste Konzentration der nicht-reduzierenden Zucker erbrachte dagegen T<sub>16</sub> (8 kg Stallmist + 0,5 kg Knochenmehl + 0,5 kg Zedrach-Preßkuchen + 0,5 kg Blutmehl + 0,629 kg Kalkammonsalpeter + 0,640 kg Chlorkalium).

#### Introduction

In recent years grape growing has brought greater dividends per unit area than any other type of farming in India. As it has been amply demonstrated in a previous paper (LAVANIA and SINGH 1977), grapevines respond favourably to NPK fertilization (HOLLADAY 1893, LEVINSKI 1960, ARUTYUNYAN 1964). However, in view of changing fertilizer technology and reduced availability of traditional sources of N, P and K, organics are assuming greater importance. Studies were, therefore, conducted to gain information on the efficiency of various organo-mineral sources of N, P and K on the yield, fruit characters and quality of Perlette grape in Meerut region.

Table 1

Effect of different organo-mineral fertilizers on yield and yield contributory characters of Perlette grape

Einfluß verschiedener organisch-mineralischer Dünger auf Ertrag und ertragsbestimmende Merkmale bei der Rebensorte Perlette

Mean yield (kg)		Mean bunch number					
1973	1974	1973	1974				
T <sub>15</sub>	8.87 a	T <sub>15</sub>	14.80 a	T <sub>13</sub>	46.60 a	T <sub>15</sub>	68.30 a
T <sub>13</sub>	8.29   b	T <sub>13</sub>	14.50   b	T <sub>15</sub>	44.00   b	T <sub>13</sub>	64.30   b
T <sub>3</sub>	7.56	T <sub>12</sub>	12.80     c	T <sub>3</sub>	34.30 a   c	T <sub>0</sub>	61.60
T <sub>12</sub>	7.29     c	T <sub>16</sub>	12.40     d	T <sub>12</sub>	32.60     d	T <sub>12</sub>	58.60     c
T <sub>14</sub>	6.95     d	T <sub>6</sub>	12.10	T <sub>6</sub>	31.60	T <sub>16</sub>	54.20
T <sub>4</sub>	6.50	T <sub>14</sub>	12.10 a	T <sub>9</sub>	31.40	T <sub>9</sub>	52.50
T <sub>1</sub>	6.36	T <sub>5</sub>	11.90     b	T <sub>14</sub>	31.30	T <sub>14</sub>	51.40
T <sub>10</sub>	6.35	T <sub>9</sub>	11.40	T <sub>1</sub>	31.20     b	T <sub>5</sub>	50.70
T <sub>11</sub>	6.33	T <sub>1</sub>	11.30	T <sub>2</sub>	30.40	T <sub>11</sub>	49.30
T <sub>9</sub>	6.27	T <sub>10</sub>	11.20	T <sub>11</sub>	30.20	T <sub>7</sub>	48.30 a
T <sub>6</sub>	6.23 a	T <sub>3</sub>	11.20	T <sub>16</sub>	29.20	T <sub>1</sub>	48.00
T <sub>5</sub>	6.04	T <sub>7</sub>	10.80	T <sub>4</sub>	29.10	T <sub>10</sub>	46.90
T <sub>2</sub>	5.83     b	T <sub>11</sub>	10.80	T <sub>5</sub>	28.10	T <sub>3</sub>	46.20
T <sub>7</sub>	4.78     c	T <sub>8</sub>	10.40	T <sub>7</sub>	26.50	T <sub>2</sub>	46.20
T <sub>8</sub>	4.46	T <sub>2</sub>	10.00     c	T <sub>8</sub>	24.20     c	T <sub>8</sub>	44.40     b
T <sub>10</sub>	4.32     d	T <sub>4</sub>	9.60     d	T <sub>10</sub>	19.70     d	T <sub>4</sub>	40.80     c
SE/M	1.00	SE/M	1.04	SE/M	4.76	SE/M	7.26
C.D. 5%	2.27	C.D. 5%	2.88	C.D. 5%	13.19	C.D. 5%	20.16

### Materials and methods

The plant material and the methods for field experimentation were the same as reported in part I of this paper (LAVANIA and SINGH 1977). Yields were measured in the years 1972-73 and 1973-74 by recording the number of bunches and their weight. For assessing the influence of treatments on the fruit quality, physico-chemical analyses on ripe berries were carried out in the month of June in both the years. The data on physical characters, viz. bunch length, bunch diameter, bunch volume and bunch weight were recorded on three bunches per plot. Berry diameter and berry weight were found by taking the average of ten berries selected at random. Acidity was estimated as per cent tartaric acid by titrating 10 ml juice against 0.1 N sodium hydroxide. Total soluble solids (TSS) were determined by using a hand refractometer. Non-reducing sugars, reducing sugars and total sugars were estimated by LANE and EYNON (1969) general volumetric method.

### Results

#### 1. Effect on yield

The data in Table 1 show that in 1973 maximum yield (8.87 kg/plant) was observed under T<sub>15</sub> followed by T<sub>13</sub>, T<sub>3</sub> and T<sub>12</sub> in descending order. These treatments did not differ significantly among themselves. T<sub>15</sub> differed significantly from treat-

Table 2

Effect of different organo-mineral fertilizers on bunch diameter and bunch length of Perlette grape

Einfluß verschiedener organisch-mineralischer Dünger auf Traubendurchmesser und -länge bei der Rebensorte Perlette

Mean bunch diameter (cm)				Mean bunch length (cm)			
1973		1974		1973		1974	
T <sub>15</sub>	10.37 a	T <sub>15</sub>	8.99 a	T <sub>12</sub>	18.37 a	T <sub>15</sub>	17.95 a
T <sub>1</sub>	9.33 b	T <sub>6</sub>	8.72 b	T <sub>4</sub>	17.99 b	T <sub>13</sub>	17.73 b
T <sub>9</sub>	9.20 a c	T <sub>13</sub>	8.68	T <sub>5</sub>	17.63	T <sub>7</sub>	17.62
T <sub>11</sub>	9.15 d	T <sub>5</sub>	8.42 c	T <sub>9</sub>	17.63	T <sub>8</sub>	17.54
T <sub>4</sub>	9.12	T <sub>12</sub>	8.39	T <sub>11</sub>	17.44 c	T <sub>12</sub>	17.44
T <sub>8</sub>	9.06	T <sub>3</sub>	8.10 d	T <sub>15</sub>	17.33	T <sub>6</sub>	17.30
T <sub>2</sub>	8.90 e	T <sub>10</sub>	8.10	T <sub>3</sub>	17.22	T <sub>3</sub>	16.79 c
T <sub>3</sub>	8.82 f	T <sub>4</sub>	8.09	T <sub>13</sub>	17.18	T <sub>9</sub>	16.62
T <sub>7</sub>	8.57 g	T <sub>7</sub>	7.98	T <sub>1</sub>	17.12	T <sub>14</sub>	16.44
T <sub>5</sub>	8.15 b	T <sub>9</sub>	7.94	T <sub>14</sub>	16.97	T <sub>5</sub>	16.24
T <sub>10</sub>	8.12 c	T <sub>16</sub>	7.93 a	T <sub>8</sub>	16.93	T <sub>10</sub>	16.20
T <sub>12</sub>	7.96 d	T <sub>14</sub>	7.51	T <sub>16</sub>	16.17 a	T <sub>4</sub>	16.17 a
T <sub>6</sub>	7.80 e	T <sub>1</sub>	7.41 b	T <sub>2</sub>	16.09	T <sub>11</sub>	15.75 b
T <sub>13</sub>	7.62 f	T <sub>2</sub>	7.17 c	T <sub>7</sub>	16.08	T <sub>1</sub>	15.24
T <sub>16</sub>	7.54	T <sub>11</sub>	7.04	T <sub>10</sub>	16.03 b	T <sub>16</sub>	15.02
T <sub>14</sub>	6.51 g	T <sub>8</sub>	6.92 d	T <sub>6</sub>	15.30 c	T <sub>2</sub>	14.90 c
SE/M	0.44	SE/M	0.49	SE/M	0.81	SE/M	0.73
C.D. 5%	1.21	C.D. 5%	1.35	C.D. 5%	2.24	C.D. 5%	2.02

ment nos. 5, 2, 7, 8 and 10, T<sub>13</sub> from treatment nos. 7, 8 and 10, and T<sub>3</sub> from treatment nos. 5, 8 and 10.

Data for the year 1974 (Table 1) also show that T<sub>15</sub> and T<sub>13</sub> maintained their superiority in this respect in the succeeding year as well. T<sub>3</sub> which had maintained the third position in the year 1973, however, did not show good results in the year 1974 and occupied the eleventh position. T<sub>12</sub> took lead over T<sub>3</sub> in the second year but the differences were not significant. However, T<sub>12</sub> had performed well in the previous year and maintained its yield in the following year, showing consistency in its performance.

It was important to note that T<sub>1</sub>, which was completely inorganic in source, maintained consistency in performance of plants in both the years, occupying the seventh and ninth positions in 1973 and 1974, respectively, and was found significantly inferior to T<sub>15</sub> in the year 1974.

## 2. Effect on bunch number

The perusal of the data in Table 1 shows that in the year 1973 T<sub>13</sub> produced the highest number of bunches and was found significantly superior to treatment nos. 12, 6, 9, 14, 1, 2, 11, 16, 4, 5, 7, 8 and 10. T<sub>15</sub> and T<sub>3</sub>, however, fell in the same group.

Data for the year 1974 (Table 1) also indicated that T<sub>15</sub> and T<sub>13</sub> maintained their superiority in the succeeding year as well. Although T<sub>15</sub> took lead over T<sub>13</sub>, the differences were not significant. It is interesting to note that T<sub>12</sub>, T<sub>9</sub> and T<sub>14</sub> maintained consistency in performance of plants in both the years occupying the fourth, sixth and seventh positions in descending order. Another interesting finding, which

Table 3

Effect of different organo-mineral fertilizers on bunch weight and bunch volume of Perlette grape

Einfluß verschiedener organisch-mineralischer Dünger auf Traubengewicht- und -volumen bei der Rebensorte Perlette

Mean bunch weight (g)				Mean bunch volume (ml)			
1973		1974		1973		1974	
T <sub>12</sub>	330.50 a	T <sub>15</sub>	383.50 a	T <sub>12</sub>	302.00 a	T <sub>15</sub>	352.00 a
T <sub>15</sub>	299.00 b	T <sub>13</sub>	382.00	T <sub>15</sub>	277.00 b	T <sub>13</sub>	350.50
T <sub>9</sub>	284.50 c	T <sub>12</sub>	350.50 b	T <sub>9</sub>	257.50 c	T <sub>12</sub>	304.50 b
T <sub>13</sub>	270.50 d	T <sub>6</sub>	324.50 c	T <sub>13</sub>	257.00 a	T <sub>6</sub>	291.50
T <sub>8</sub>	264.50 a	T <sub>5</sub>	294.50 a d	T <sub>11</sub>	235.50 d	T <sub>5</sub>	258.00 a c
T <sub>1</sub>	257.50	T <sub>7</sub>	276.00 e	T <sub>1</sub>	235.00	T <sub>4</sub>	245.50
T <sub>11</sub>	257.00	T <sub>4</sub>	273.50	T <sub>8</sub>	228.00	T <sub>7</sub>	241.30
T <sub>2</sub>	246.50 e	T <sub>3</sub>	273.00	T <sub>5</sub>	218.00 e	T <sub>3</sub>	235.00
T <sub>5</sub>	243.50 b	T <sub>16</sub>	258.50	T <sub>2</sub>	216.50 b	T <sub>10</sub>	230.00
T <sub>4</sub>	226.00	T <sub>9</sub>	256.00	T <sub>4</sub>	198.50	T <sub>16</sub>	225.50
T <sub>7</sub>	225.50	T <sub>10</sub>	255.00 b	T <sub>10</sub>	195.00	T <sub>9</sub>	216.50
T <sub>3</sub>	224.50 c	T <sub>14</sub>	239.00 c	T <sub>7</sub>	193.00 c	T <sub>14</sub>	207.50 b
T <sub>10</sub>	222.00 c	T <sub>8</sub>	215.00	T <sub>3</sub>	187.00	T <sub>2</sub>	183.50
T <sub>14</sub>	209.50	T <sub>2</sub>	212.50	T <sub>14</sub>	181.50	T <sub>8</sub>	175.50
T <sub>16</sub>	208.00 d	T <sub>1</sub>	201.50 d	T <sub>16</sub>	180.50 d	T <sub>1</sub>	171.04
T <sub>6</sub>	180.80 e	T <sub>11</sub>	192.50 e	T <sub>6</sub>	158.50 e	T <sub>11</sub>	163.50 c
SE/M	23.84	SE/M	36.34	SE/M	23.54	SE/M	35.28
C.D. 5%	66.08	C.D. 5%	100.72	C.D. 5%	65.25	C.D. 5%	97.79

comes out from the above data, is that T<sub>1</sub>, completely inorganic in source, did not stand anywhere as regards the number of bunches per plant. It occupied the eighth position in the first year and the eleventh position in the second year in order of merit.

### 3. Effect on bunch diameter

It is evident from the data in Table 2 that T<sub>15</sub> consistently produced a high mean diameter of bunches in both the years. T<sub>7</sub> produced consistent results by occupying the ninth position in both the years. There was no consistency in behaviour of plants under other treatments. Also, there appears to be no correlation between bunch diameter and its weight or volume. T<sub>1</sub> (standard treatment) occupied the second position in the first year and the thirteenth position in the second year and was found to be significantly inferior to T<sub>15</sub> in the year 1974.

### 4. Effect on bunch length

A close scrutiny of the data presented in Table 2 indicates that T<sub>15</sub> and T<sub>13</sub> produced the longest bunches in 1973—74, whereas in 1972—73 T<sub>12</sub> and T<sub>4</sub> produced the longest bunches. There was no consistency in behaviour of plants under other treatments in both the years. T<sub>1</sub> (standard treatment) had the second position in the first year and the thirteenth position in the second year and was found significantly inferior to T<sub>15</sub> in the year 1974.

### 5. Effect on bunch weight

The data on bunch weight for the years 1972—73 and 1973—74 indicate that T<sub>15</sub>, T<sub>12</sub> and T<sub>13</sub> produced heaviest bunches in both the years, although their positions

Table 4

Effect of different organo-mineral fertilizers on berry diameter and berry weight of Perlette grape

Einfluß verschiedener organisch-mineralischer Dünger auf Beerendurchmesser und -gewicht bei der Rebensorte Perlette

Mean berry diameter (cm)				Mean berry weight (g)			
1973		1974		1973		1974	
T <sub>15</sub>	1.30 a	T <sub>15</sub>	1.41 a	T <sub>15</sub>	1.31 a	T <sub>15</sub>	1.63 a
T <sub>9</sub>	1.24 b	T <sub>13</sub>	1.40 b	T <sub>13</sub>	1.29 b	T <sub>13</sub>	1.60 b
T <sub>12</sub>	1.23	T <sub>12</sub>	1.39 c	T <sub>3</sub>	1.28 c	T <sub>12</sub>	1.57 c
T <sub>14</sub>	1.23	T <sub>11</sub>	1.37 d	T <sub>11</sub>	1.28	T <sub>11</sub>	1.57
T <sub>7</sub>	1.21 c	T <sub>14</sub>	1.36	T <sub>9</sub>	1.27 a d	T <sub>14</sub>	1.53
T <sub>11</sub>	1.20	T <sub>2</sub>	1.35	T <sub>8</sub>	1.26 e	T <sub>2</sub>	1.50
T <sub>3</sub>	1.19 d	T <sub>4</sub>	1.34	T <sub>14</sub>	1.26	T <sub>10</sub>	1.49
T <sub>2</sub>	1.19 a	T <sub>10</sub>	1.33	T <sub>7</sub>	1.26	T <sub>4</sub>	1.47
T <sub>13</sub>	1.16	T <sub>5</sub>	1.33	T <sub>2</sub>	1.26	T <sub>3</sub>	1.46
T <sub>16</sub>	1.14 e	T <sub>16</sub>	1.32	T <sub>12</sub>	1.25 b	T <sub>8</sub>	1.45
T <sub>8</sub>	1.13 b	T <sub>8</sub>	1.32	T <sub>8</sub>	1.24 c f	T <sub>5</sub>	1.44
T <sub>6</sub>	1.10 c	T <sub>3</sub>	1.32 a	T <sub>6</sub>	1.23	T <sub>10</sub>	1.43
T <sub>1</sub>	1.09	T <sub>6</sub>	1.30	T <sub>4</sub>	1.23	T <sub>9</sub>	1.42
T <sub>10</sub>	1.07 d	T <sub>9</sub>	1.30 b	T <sub>1</sub>	1.23 d	T <sub>7</sub>	1.40 a
T <sub>5</sub>	1.03	T <sub>7</sub>	1.29 c	T <sub>5</sub>	1.22 e	T <sub>6</sub>	1.39 b
T <sub>4</sub>	1.02 e	T <sub>1</sub>	1.27 d	T <sub>10</sub>	1.20 f	T <sub>1</sub>	1.35 c
SE/M	0.07	SE/M	0.04	SE/M	0.02	SE/M	0.09
C.D. 5%	0.13	C.D. 5%	0.11	C.D. 5%	0.05	C.D. 5%	0.24

were not consistent. T<sub>15</sub>, having the second position during 1972—73, occupied the first position during 1973—74, whereas T<sub>12</sub> occupying the first position during 1972—73 had the third position during 1973—74. T<sub>13</sub> occupied the fourth and second positions during 1972—73 and 1973—74, respectively. While the positions of the rest of the treatments changed in both the years, there were interesting indications of position of T<sub>1</sub>, T<sub>2</sub> and T<sub>11</sub>, which had the sixth, eighth and seventh positions during 1972—73 and the fifteenth, fourteenth and sixteenth during 1973—74, respectively.

#### 6. Effect on bunch volume

The perusal of the data in Table 3 shows that T<sub>15</sub>, T<sub>13</sub> and T<sub>12</sub> produced bunches of high volume consistently in both the years, although their positions were not consistent. T<sub>15</sub> having the second position during 1972—73 occupied the first position in 1973—74. T<sub>13</sub> occupied the fourth position during 1972—73 and the second position during 1973—74. Similarly, T<sub>12</sub> occupied the first position in 1972—73 and the third position in 1973—74. T<sub>1</sub> (standard treatment) which had maintained the sixth position in the first year, however, did not give good response in the second year and went down to the fifteenth position.

#### 7. Effect on berry diameter

The data on berry diameter for both the years indicate that T<sub>15</sub> and T<sub>12</sub> consistently produced a high diameter of berries in the years 1972—73 and 1973—74. T<sub>13</sub> which was observed to be superior in respect of yield and number of bunches

Table 5

Effect of different organo-mineral fertilizers on fruit quality of Perlette grape  
Einfluß verschiedener organisch-mineralischer Dünger auf die Beerenqualität bei der  
Rebensorte Perlette

Acidity (%)		Total soluble solids (%)	
1973	1974	1973	1974
T <sub>1</sub>	0.55 a	T <sub>15</sub>	21.15 a
T <sub>2</sub>	0.53   b	T <sub>16</sub>	20.89   b
T <sub>4</sub>	0.53	T <sub>10</sub>	20.80
T <sub>3</sub>	0.52 a	T <sub>7</sub>	20.40   c
T <sub>7</sub>	0.51 b	T <sub>11</sub>	20.25
T <sub>9</sub>	0.46 c	T <sub>13</sub>	20.16
T <sub>6</sub>	0.46   c	T <sub>8</sub>	20.14
T <sub>12</sub>	0.46	T <sub>5</sub>	20.00
T <sub>14</sub>	0.46	T <sub>7</sub>	19.63
T <sub>5</sub>	0.46	T <sub>4</sub>	19.50   a
T <sub>13</sub>	0.46	T <sub>9</sub>	19.32   b
T <sub>8</sub>	0.46	T <sub>6</sub>	18.86   c
T <sub>11</sub>	0.45   c d	T <sub>12</sub>	16.63   d
T <sub>16</sub>	0.42	T <sub>1</sub>	16.21
T <sub>10</sub>	0.42   d	T <sub>2</sub>	15.90
T <sub>15</sub>	0.36	T <sub>3</sub>	15.58   d
		T <sub>1</sub>	18.35   e
SE/M	0.02	SE/M	0.64
C.D. 5%	0.04	C.D. 5%	1.80
		SE/M	0.38
		C.D. 5%	1.02

produced berries of a bigger diameter, occupying the second position in 1974, but in the year 1973, its performance was much below expectation (ninth position) and thus the results of two years were not consistent in this respect. No striking feature was observed with other treatments which were quite inconsistent in their performance. T<sub>1</sub> (standard treatment) produced berries of very small size in both the years.

#### 8. Effect on berry weight

The perusal of the data in Table 4 shows that T<sub>15</sub>, T<sub>13</sub> and T<sub>11</sub> had consistently produced the heaviest berries occupying the first, second and fourth positions in both the years. The lighter berries were produced by T<sub>1</sub> (standard treatment) and its positions had been the fourteenth and sixteenth during the successive years meaning thereby that it has no effect on the weight of berries. The positions of the rest of the treatments were not consistent and changed in both the years considerably.

#### 9. Effect on fruit quality

The data in Tables 5, 6 and 7 indicate that treatment nos. 1, 2 and 4 produced maximum acidity with low content of various sugars in both the years. Treatments 15, 16, 10, 11 and 13, in both the years, brought about significantly higher TSS, reducing sugars, non-reducing sugars, total sugars and lower acidity. Similar, but less marked effects were also noticed in case of the other treatments.

Table 6

Effect of different organo-mineral fertilizers on fruit quality of Perlette grape  
Einfluß verschiedener organisch-mineralischer Dünger auf die Beerenqualität bei der  
Rebensorte Perlette

Reducing sugars (%)				Non-reducing sugars (%)									
1973		1974		1973		1974							
T <sub>15</sub>	15.71	a	T <sub>15</sub>	19.03	a	T <sub>16</sub>	5.22	a	T <sub>16</sub>	2.16	a		
T <sub>7</sub>	14.84	b	T <sub>11</sub>	18.54	b	T <sub>10</sub>	4.74	b	T <sub>13</sub>	1.80	b		
T <sub>13</sub>	14.80		T <sub>10</sub>	18.06	c	T <sub>15</sub>	4.43	c	T <sub>14</sub>	1.65			
T <sub>16</sub>	14.77		T <sub>16</sub>	17.82	a	T <sub>11</sub>	4.35	a	d	T <sub>10</sub>	1.64		
T <sub>11</sub>	14.55	a	c	T <sub>13</sub>	17.19	d	T <sub>4</sub>	4.00		T <sub>15</sub>	1.60		
T <sub>5</sub>	14.49		T <sub>5</sub>	17.14		T <sub>14</sub>	3.96	b	e	T <sub>12</sub>	1.60		
T <sub>8</sub>	14.46		T <sub>8</sub>	17.12		T <sub>8</sub>	3.69			T <sub>8</sub>	1.59		
T <sub>14</sub>	14.28		d	T <sub>14</sub>	17.12	b	T <sub>13</sub>	3.64		T <sub>6</sub>	1.50	c	
T <sub>10</sub>	14.22		T <sub>12</sub>	16.66		T <sub>9</sub>	3.57			T <sub>4</sub>	1.49		
T <sub>9</sub>	13.84	b	e	T <sub>2</sub>	16.64		T <sub>5</sub>	3.53		T <sub>3</sub>	1.43	a	
T <sub>4</sub>	13.54	c	f	T <sub>6</sub>	16.61		T <sub>6</sub>	3.43	c	T <sub>7</sub>	1.32		
T <sub>6</sub>	13.25	d	g	T <sub>7</sub>	16.52		T <sub>3</sub>	3.41	d	T <sub>9</sub>	1.31		
T <sub>1</sub>	12.66		e	T <sub>4</sub>	16.44	c	T <sub>7</sub>	2.85	e	f	T <sub>1</sub>	1.30	
T <sub>12</sub>	12.36		f	T <sub>9</sub>	16.14		T <sub>12</sub>	2.29		g	T <sub>5</sub>	1.29	
T <sub>2</sub>	12.27			T <sub>3</sub>	15.92		T <sub>2</sub>	1.57		f	T <sub>11</sub>	1.09	b
T <sub>3</sub>	12.13		g	T <sub>1</sub>	15.83	d	T <sub>1</sub>	1.39		g	T <sub>2</sub>	0.80	c
SE/M	0.43		SE/M	0.60		SE/M	0.39		SE/M	0.27			
C.D. 5%	1.19		C.D. 5%	1.66		C.D. 5%	1.02		C.D. 5%	0.74			

### Discussion

The present studies reveal that the yield obtained from the plants under T<sub>15</sub> was highest in both the years, closely followed by T<sub>13</sub> and T<sub>12</sub>. It appears that bloodmeal and neemcake do not give any response singly or in combination, but when combined with bonemeal, they resulted in maximum yield. CHEEMA *et al.* (1954), AHMAD (1960) and GAFFAR and ZENDE (1971) pointed out that bloodmeal in combination with various organic phosphates increased yield.

In the present investigations T<sub>10</sub>, which lacks inorganic phosphate, failed to give satisfactory yield. The presence of inorganic phosphate in organic manures increases the yield efficiency. This can be attributed to the fact that superphosphate acts as a preservative and prevents volatilization of gases including ammonia. Simultaneously, P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> is not fixed in the soil and is interlocked with the organic matter and thus becomes easily available for plant growth (DUTT 1961, LAMBA and VERMA 1962).

It was also noted that the magnitude of response was highest in grapes with the application of bonemeal in combination with bloodmeal and neemcake. HOLLADAY (1893) also reported that an addition of bloodmeal to a mixture of inorganic fertilizers and organic manures resulted in increased yield of grape. Similarly, GAFFAR and ZENDE (1971) pointed out that bloodmeal in combination with calcium phosphate or slacked lime showed higher recovery of N. The effect of N on yield characters could be attributed to increased synthesis of amino acids, increased growth, increased leaf surface and thus increased photosynthesis.

Table 7

Effect of different organo-mineral fertilizers on fruit quality of Perlette grape  
Einfluß verschiedener organisch-mineralischer Dünger auf die Beerenqualität bei der  
Rebensorte Perlette

1973		Total sugars (%)	1974		
T <sub>15</sub>	20.13	a	T <sub>15</sub>	20.73	a
T <sub>16</sub>	19.99	b	T <sub>16</sub>	19.97	b
T <sub>10</sub>	19.09	c	T <sub>10</sub>	19.72	c
T <sub>11</sub>	18.90	d	T <sub>11</sub>	19.62	d
T <sub>13</sub>	18.45	a	T <sub>13</sub>	19.00	a
T <sub>14</sub>	18.32	b	T <sub>14</sub>	18.84	e
T <sub>8</sub>	18.11	c	T <sub>8</sub>	18.71	f
T <sub>5</sub>	18.09	d	T <sub>5</sub>	18.43	
T <sub>7</sub>	17.70	e	T <sub>12</sub>	18.26	
T <sub>4</sub>	17.55	f	T <sub>6</sub>	18.20	b
T <sub>9</sub>	17.42		T <sub>4</sub>	17.93	c
T <sub>6</sub>	16.76		T <sub>7</sub>	17.85	d
T <sub>3</sub>	15.54		T <sub>9</sub>	17.45	
T <sub>12</sub>	14.73		T <sub>3</sub>	17.35	
T <sub>1</sub>	14.05		T <sub>2</sub>	17.35	e
T <sub>2</sub>	13.85		T <sub>1</sub>	17.14	f
SE/M	0.64		SE/M	0.67	
C.D. 5%	1.78		C.D. 5%	1.85	

RAGAB and HABEED (1961) reported significant differences between the number of bunches produced by organic manures and inorganic fertilizers. GOURLEY (1934), LEVINSKI (1960), ARUTYUNYAN (1964), MARTIN and STETAN (1967) and PEYER and ZWICKY (1972) also observed profitable response from organo-mineral fertilizers in grapes. These findings support the results obtained in the present investigation, in which the maximum number of bunches was obtained from the plants under T<sub>15</sub> and T<sub>13</sub>. It is, therefore, evident that different treatments like T<sub>15</sub> and T<sub>13</sub> have a dominating effect on bunch production in grapes and results vary from treatment to treatment. These observations are again supported by SINGH *et al.* (1963) and SERPUKHOVITINA (1965).

BAKSHI and CHADHA (1968) reported that addition of organic matter like organic manures to inorganic fertilizers helps in producing long and heavy bunches and shows better plant response than the application of organic manure or inorganic fertilizers alone. This conforms with the observations of the present trial.

The effect of T<sub>15</sub> and T<sub>13</sub> on bunch weight and bunch volume was also very encouraging. Findings further show that the application of the same treatments caused significant improvement in the bunch length and bunch diameter. These results are supported by the findings of GAFFAR and ZENDE (1971) who found maximum weight, length, diameter and volume of cobs treated with a mixture of blood-meal and superphosphate.

T<sub>15</sub> gave maximum increase in breadth and weight of berry followed by T<sub>13</sub> and T<sub>12</sub> (Table 4). T<sub>1</sub> (standard treatment) gave smaller sized berries in comparison to other treatments. As reported earlier (LAVANIA and SINGH 1977) T<sub>15</sub> increased



growth and fruit bearing surface. Consequently, there is a high leaf to fruit ratio leading to an increase in size of berries. That the berry grade is improved by organo-mineral fertilizers application was also reported by AHMAD (1960) and CHEEMA *et al.* (1954).

Data presented in Table 5 indicate that high TSS and low acid content in grape juice were associated with T<sub>15</sub>. Leaf analysis has shown a high NPK status under T<sub>15</sub> (unpublished data). BALLINGER *et al.* (1966) reported an inverse relationship between acidity and leaf- or fruit-N content while BUCHER (1969) reported an increase in TSS with increase in leaf-N. The present findings are thus in conformity with the results reported by earlier workers.

It is clear from the data presented in Table 7 that T<sub>15</sub>, T<sub>16</sub>, T<sub>10</sub>, T<sub>11</sub> and T<sub>13</sub> increased the sugar content significantly as compared to T<sub>1</sub> (completely inorganic in source). Besides these, other treatments like T<sub>8</sub> and T<sub>5</sub> also produced berries with higher sugar contents. It appears that in the present studies the highest sugar percentage in berries resulted with the treatments having bloodmeal alone or in combination with bonemeal. This can be explained on the ground that bloodmeal is rich in micronutrients, especially iron. It is an established fact that iron is credited with definite role in the formation of chlorophyll molecules in plants. This subsequently promotes higher photosynthetic efficiency. HARAS (1960) suggested that externally applied iron increases the redox potential of chlorotic leaves, which in turn causes increase in the rate of chlorophyll synthesis and ultimately the increase in photosynthetic activity.

### Summary

The present study on grape nutrition was carried out with a view to find out the effects of different organo-mineral fertilizers on yield and quality of Perlette grape. The results obtained are summarized below.

T<sub>15</sub> (bonemeal 0.5 kg + neemcake 0.5 kg + bloodmeal 0.5 kg + calcium ammonium nitrate 0.990 kg + superphosphate 0.456 kg + muriate of potash 0.813 kg) gave maximum number of bunches per plant followed by T<sub>13</sub> (bonemeal 0.5 kg + bloodmeal 0.5 kg + calcium ammonium nitrate 1.22 kg + superphosphate 0.487 kg + muriate of potash 0.825 kg) in the year 1973—74 while in the year 1972—73 they exchanged their positions. The same treatments also produced the longest bunches having maximum diameter in both the years. Mean weight of the bunches and volume of bunches were also highest under T<sub>15</sub>, closely followed by T<sub>13</sub> and T<sub>12</sub> (bonemeal 0.5 kg + neemcake 0.5 kg + calcium ammonium nitrate 1.014 kg + superphosphate 0.500 kg + muriate of potash 0.822 kg). As a consequence, maximum yield was recorded under T<sub>15</sub>, followed by T<sub>13</sub>. Maximum TSS content was observed under T<sub>15</sub> and maximum acidity under T<sub>1</sub> (calcium ammonium nitrate 1.22 kg + superphosphate 0.782 kg + muriate of potash 0.833 kg). Maximum total sugar content and reducing sugar content were noted under T<sub>15</sub> while maximum non-reducing sugar content was observed under T<sub>16</sub> (farm yard manure 8 kg + bonemeal 0.5 kg + neemcake 0.5 kg + bloodmeal 0.5 kg + calcium ammonium nitrate 0.629 kg + muriate of potash 0.640 kg).

### Literature cited

- AHMAD, S., 1960: Fifty years research note on fruit cultivation and fruit preservation. Bull. Dept. Agric. West Pakistan, p. 96.
- ARUTYUNYAN, A. S., 1964: The effectiveness of organo-mineral fertilizer mixture in vineyards. *Agrobiologiya* 1, 46—48.

- BAKSHI, J. C. and CHADHA, K. L., 1968: Grape cultivation in Maharashtra. Pb. Hort. J. 8, 12—24.
- BALLINGER, W. E., BELL, H. K. and CHILDERS, N. F., 1966: Peach nutrition. In: CHILDERS, N. F. (Ed.): Nutrition of fruit crops, 273—390. Somerset Press, Somerville, N. J.
- BUCHER, R., 1969: Ergebnisse eines neunjährigen Rebdüngungsversuchs über die Wirkung steigender Stickstoff- und Spurenelementgaben auf die Menge und Güte der Trauben und Moste sowie auf die Aufnahme von Makro- und Mikronährstoffen durch die Rebe. Weinberg u. Keller 16, 227—252.
- CHEEMA, G. S., BHAT, S. S. and NAIK, K. C., 1954: Commercial fruits of India. McMillan and Co., Calcutta.
- DUTT, A. K., 1961: Phosphate availability in superphosphate treated organic manures. Fert. News 6, 6—8.
- GAFFAR, S. A. and ZENDE, G. K., 1971: Effect of bloodmeal on the performance of hybrid malze. Indian J. Agron., 16, 130—132.
- GOURLEY, J. H., 1934: Effect of manure on grape production. Ohio Agricult. Exp. Sta. Circ. 45, 28—29.
- HARAS, L., 1960: The "redox potential" in induced iron chlorosis. An. Estac. Exp. Aula Dei 6, 136—165.
- HOLLADAY, A. L., 1893: Fertilizer tests on grapes. Va. Agricult. Exp. Sta. Bull. 35, 145—154.
- LAMBA, P. S. and VERMA, S. S., 1962: Superphosphate adds to the efficiency of organic manures. Indian J. Agron. 7, 231.
- LANE and EYNON, 1969: Cited in RUCK, J. A.: Chemical methods for analysis of fruit and vegetable products. Can. Dept. Agricult., Summerland, B. C., 16—21.
- LAVANIA, M. L. and SINGH, RANVIR, 1977: Effect of different organo-mineral fertilizers on growth, yield and quality of Perlette grape. I. Effect on growth. Vitis 16, 1—8.
- LEVINSKI, A. I., 1960: The effectiveness of organo-mineral fertilizers in vineyards (russ.). Agrobiologiya (2), 202—204. [Hort. Abstr. 31 (1), No. 451].
- MARTIN, T. and STETAN, V., 1967: The influence of supplementary fertilizers on grape production and quality. Tev. Hort. Viticult. 16, 26—33.
- PEYER, E. und ZWICKY, P., 1972: Versuch in Malans mit verschiedenen Humusdüngern im Rebbau. Schweiz. Z. Obst- Weinbau 108, 597—601.
- RAGAB, Z. I., and HABEBB, 1961: Effect of nitrogen on yield and berry quality of Thompson Seedless grape. Agricult. Res. Cairo 39, 108—113.
- SERPUKHOVITINA, K. A., 1965: The effectiveness of fertilizers in vineyards of Kuban. Vinodel. i Vinogradar. SSSR (Moscow)-25, 28—31.
- SINGH, S., KRISHNAMURTHI, S. and KATYAL, S. L., 1963: Fruit culture in India. I.C.A.R., New Delhi.

*Eingegangen am 17. 1. 1977*

M. L. LAVANIA  
Lecturer in Horticulture  
K. D. College  
Simbhaoli, Meerut, U. P.  
India

Dr. RANVIR SINGH  
Associate Professor of Horticulture  
G. B. Pant University of Agriculture & Technology  
Pantnagar, Nainital, U. P.  
India