Vitis 56, 55–62 (2017)

View metadata, citation and similar papers at core.ac.uk

Xylella fastidiosa pil-chp operon is involved in regulating key structural genes of both type I and IV pili

LINGYUN HAO¹⁾, D. ATHINUWAT^{1, a)}, K. JOHNSON¹⁾, L. CURSINO^{2, b)}, T. J. BURR¹⁾ and P. MOWERY²⁾

¹⁾ Section of Plant Pathology and Plant-Microbe Biology, SIPS, Cornell University,

New York State Agricultural Experiment Station, Geneva, USA

²⁾ Department of Biology, Hobart and William Smith Colleges, Geneva, USA

a) Present address: Major of Organic Farming Management, Faculty of Science and Technology,

Thammasat University, Pathum Thani, Thailand

^{b)} Present address: Division of Natural Sciences and Mathematics, Keuka College, Keuka Park, USA

Summary

Xylella fastidiosa is the causal agent of Pierce's disease (PD) in grapevines. It has type I and type IV pili, which are both virulence factors involved in the PD-associated processes of motility, aggregation, and biofilm formation. Many questions remain as to how the two pili are regulated. We previously identified a X. fastidiosa pil-chp chemosensory-like cluster as an operon composed of genes pilG-I-J-L-chpB-C. In this study, we deleted *pilG* (resulting in a $\Delta pilG-I$ strain) and *pilJ* and discovered that both mutants ($\Delta pilG-I$ and $\Delta pilJ$) had reduced virulence after 24 weeks post-inoculation, whereas $\triangle chpB$ and $\triangle chpC$ did not. Both $\triangle pilG-I$ and △*pilJ* lost motility and were impaired in biofilm formation in rich artificial media and xylem sap. Gene expression was significantly downregulated for representative fimbrial adhesin and motility genes in $\Delta pilG-I$, and to a lesser extent in $\Delta pilJ$. Our data suggest that Pil, but not Chp, proteins are virulence factors, and pilG-I-J are involved in transcriptional regulation of type I and IV pili virulence genes and therefore motility and biofilm formation. To our knowledge, this is the first report of a chemotaxis-like operon involved in the regulation of key structural genes of both type I and type IV pili.

K e y w o r d s : *Xylella fastidiosa, pil-chp*, Pierce's disease, PilG, PilJ, type I pili, type IV pili.

Introduction

Xylella fastidiosa is a Gram-negative, non-flagellated bacterium that causes economically devastating diseases in plants such as citrus, almond, and coffee, and causes Pierce's disease (PD) in grapevines (HOPKINS and PURCELL 2002). Sharpshooter vectors inject *X. fastidiosa* into host plants' xylem where the bacteria are proposed to migrate, aggregate, and form biofilms, that prevent movement of water and nutrients and induce disease (CHATTERJEE *et al.*

2008a). While disease is a complex process that may also involve bacterial secreted effector proteins (LEE et al. 2014, ZHANG et al. 2015, NASCIMENTO et al. 2016) and parameters impacting host susceptibility (NEWMAN et al. 2003, LEITE et al. 2004, KRIVANEK et al. 2006, ANDERSEN et al. 2007, RIAZ et al. 2008, CHENG et al. 2009, BASHA et al. 2010, SUN et al. 2011, YANG et al. 2011, SHI et al. 2013, SUN et al. 2013), inhibiting migration and biofilm formation alters the progression of PD (CURSINO et al. 2009, 2011, 2015, SHI et al. 2009, MATSUMOTO et al. 2012, KILLINY et al. 2013). Two key players in motility and biofilm development are the X. fastidiosa pili. The bacteria express both type I and type IV pili (MENG et al. 2005, DE LA FUENTE et al. 2007); type I pili are short filamentous proteins protruding from the cell surface that are involved in cell-to-cell aggregation and biofilm formation (Feil et al. 2007, MENG et al. 2005), while type IV pili are long filaments that extend and retract from one cell pole to facilitate twitching motility (MENG et al. 2005, MATTICK 2002). We have previously reported that X. fastidiosa motility is regulated by a pil-chp chemotaxis-like cluster that is an operon (CURSINO et al. 2011).

Chemotaxis is a method by which motile organisms sense stimuli and move towards attractants or away from repellents (PARKINSON et al. 2015). In the Gram-negative chemotaxis model system of Escherichia coli, transmembrane chemoreceptors bind chemical stimuli in the periplasmic domain and undergo conformational changes in the cytoplasmic portion resulting in phosphorylation changes of the kinase, CheA (PARKINSON et al. 2015). The CheA kinase associates with the chemoreceptors through a coupling protein, CheW. The shuttle protein CheY transfers the CheA phosphate to the flagella motor to change its rotation and thus the motion of the bacterium. The system adapts to changing ligand concentrations by undergoing methylation modifications at specific sites on the chemoreceptor cytoplasmic domain. CheR is the methyltransferase, and CheB, which is phosphorylated by CheA, acts as the methylesterase.

The *X. fastidiosa pil-chp* operon contains six genes in the following 5' to 3' order (with the homologous protein names in *E. coli* in parenthesis): *pilG* (CheY), *pilI* (CheW),

Correspondence to: Dr. P. MOWERY, Department of Biology, Hobart and William Smith Colleges, Geneva, NY, 14456, USA. Fax: +1-315-781-3860. E-mail: mowery@hws.edu

 $\ensuremath{\mathbb{C}}$ The author(s).

(cc) BY-SA

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Share-Alike License (http://creative-commons.org/licenses/by-sa/4.0/).

pilJ (chemoreceptor), *pilL* (CheA-CheY fusion protein), *chpB* (CheB), and *chpC* (CheW). We previously created a *X. fastidiosa pil-chp* operon polar mutant disrupted in the last three genes (*pilL-chpB-chpC*) (CURSINO *et al.* 2011). The deletion resulted in loss of twitching motility, reduced biofilm formation, and less virulence in grapevines. Given the importance of the *pil-chp* operon to Pierce's disease, we examined additional genes in the operon, and now report that Pil, but not Chp, proteins are virulence factors, and *pilG-I-J* are involved in regulating both type I and type IV pili key structural gene expression at the transcriptional level, thereby regulating motility and biofilm formation.

Material and Methods

S e q u e n c e s: Sequence comparisons were made via BLAST (ALTSCHUL et al. 1990). Sequence accession numbers were as follows: *Xylella fastidiosa* Temecula 1 (TM1) PilG (AAO02713), *X. fastidiosa* Temecula 1 PilI (AAO02714), *X. fastidiosa* Temecula 1 PilJ (AAO02715), *X. fastidiosa* Temecula 1 CheW (AAO28718), and *Escherichia coli* K-12 CheY (WP_061354929).

Strains, collection and storage of xylem sap and culture conditions: TM1 cells were maintained at 28 °C on PWG plates (Periwinkle Wilt plates with 8 g·L⁻¹ gelrite) (DAVIS *et al.* 1981) modified by omitting phenol red and adding 25 mL·L⁻¹ bovine serum albumin (BSA) fraction V solution (Life Technologies, Grand Island, NY, USA). All mutant strains were cultured similarly but with addition of 30 μ g L⁻¹ of kanamycin respectively. Vitis vinifera sap (80 %) water agar plates were made by mixing 1 mL of autoclaved water agar (0.6 g agar in 10 mL of distilled water) with 4 mL of filter sterilized 100 % V. vinifera sap. All strains were stored at -80 °C in modified PD2 broth (DAVIS et al. 1981) containing 20 % glycerol. Grapevine xylem fluid was collected in early spring from bleeding V. vinifera vines grown in a research vineyard at New York State Agricultural Experiment Station in Geneva, NY. Sap collection and storage procedures were as previously reported (ZAINI et al. 2009). Sap was aliquoted and stored at -80 °C upon collection and was filter-sterilized prior to use with a 0.2 µm pore-membrane (Corning, NY).

Construction of the mutant strains: $\Delta pilG$ (PD0845), $\Delta pilJ$ (PD0847), $\Delta chpB$ (PD0849), and $\Delta chpC$ (PD0850) strains were generated with a double cross-over homologous recombination method as previously described (SHI et al. 2009, JOHNSON et al. 2015). Two different PCRs (polymerase chain reactions) amplified the 500 bp upstream and downstream flanking the sequence of each target gene with primers pilXA/chpXA and pilXB/ chpXB (upstream) and pilXC/chpXC and pilXD/chpXD (downstream), including an AscI recognition site in primers pilXB/chpXB and pilXC/chpXC (Table S1). The upstream and downstream fragments were mixed and further amplified by PCR with primers pilXA/chpXA and pilXD/chpXD to generate the final, mutagenized 1.0-kb fragment, which was cloned into pUC19 to make pUC19-pilG, pUC19-pilJ, pUC19-chpB, and pUC19-chpC. The resulting products were verified by PCR and DNA sequence analysis of the upstream and downstream regions (Cornell Core Sequencing Facility, Ithaca, NY, USA) and compared with the genomic sequences of TM1. A kanamycin cassette from pGEM-T-KM (Promega, Madison, WI, USA) was excised and cloned into the AscI site of the 1.0-kb PCR fragment in pUC19-pilG, pUC19-pilJ, pUC19-chpB, and pUC19chpC, and resulting strains were verified by PCR and sequencing. Transformation into X. fastidiosa was performed by electroporation as previously described (CURSINO et al. 2011) with modifications. Briefly, 2 µL of each construct and 0.5 µL of TypeOne restriction inhibitor (Epicentre, Madison, WI, USA) were added to 50 µL of X. fastidiosa electrocompetent cells and subjected to electroporation at 2.5 kV, 25μ F, and 200Ω . The electrocompetent cells alone and PD2 broth with no bacterial cells served as negative controls. Electroporated cells were added to 1 mL fresh PD2 broth and incubated at 28 °C for 24 h. Transformants were selected on PW agar containing 10 µg·L⁻¹ kanamycin for 7-10 d. The insertions of kanamycin in each target gene were confirmed and the DNA was sequenced at the Cornell Core Sequencing Facility.

P at h o g e n i c i t y as s a y and *in planta* b acterial detection: Pathogenicity assays were performed using the PD-susceptible grape species, *V. vinifera* as described previously (MENG *et al.* 2005). Briefly, strains were grown on PW or PWG plates (with kanamycin for mutant strains) for approximately seven days, harvested separately, and five microliters of bacterial suspension in phosphate buffered saline solution (PBS) of approximately $OD_{600} = 2.0$ was pin-prick inoculated into the base of the shoot. PD development was recorded based on a 0-5 disease rating system as previously described (GUILHABERT and KIRKPATRICK 2005). Plants inoculated with buffer-only were included as the negative control. Six plants were included for each treatment in trial 1 and ten plants were included for each treatment for both trial 2 and 3 respectively.

The presence of *X. fastidiosa* was determined by a commercially available ELISA (enzyme linked immunosorbent assay) test (Agdia, Elkhart, IN, USA). Briefly, petioles at 0, 30, and 150 cm above the inoculation point from inoculated vines were sampled and processed following the manufacture's instruction. *X. fastidiosa* TM1 cells collected from PWG plates were used as positive controls and petioles from un-inoculated vines were negative controls.

M otility and biofilm assays: The motility assay on rich media plate was performed as previously described (MENG *et al.* 2005). Briefly, five-day-old TM1, $\Delta pilG$ -I or $\Delta pilJ$ grown on PWG, or PWG plates with kanamycin were collected separately with a 1 µL sterile loop (Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA), carefully spotted onto PWG minus BSA plates without dragging the loop, and incubated at 28 °C. At day one and five post-inoculation (p.i.), colonies were observed under an Olympus SZX12 dissecting microscope (Tokyo, Japan) for the presence of a peripheral fringe as previously described (MENG *et al.* 2005). Pictures were taken with a Nikon camera using software NIS-elements F 4.00.00 with 90X magnification (Tokyo, Japan). The motility assay on sap agar plate was done similarly as described above, except cells were spotted onto 80 % *V. vinifera* sap water agar plates and observed with a Stemi 200-C dissection microscope with 4.5 X magnification (Zeiss, Oberkochen, Germany), and pictures were taken with an Infinity 2 Lumenera camera using software from the same company (Lumenera, Ottawa, ON, Canada). At least three replicates from each strain were included on each plate, and three plates were included for each assay. The experiment was repeated three times.

Biofilm quantification in PD2 broth was done as previously described in 96-well plates (ZAINI *et al.* 2009). Twenty-four replicates were included for each treatment, and the assay was repeated three times. Biofilm quantification in 100 % sap was done in a similar way, except cells from each strain were acclimated to 100 % *V. vinifera* sap, as described previously (ZAINI *et al.* 2009), before being inoculated into glass tubes (same inoculum as the assay with PD2 broth, except in a total volume of 2 mL of sap per tube). At least five replicates were included for each treatment, and the assay was repeated twice.

Gene expression analysis: For gene expression analysis in the Table, bacteria were inoculated into 30 mL of PD2 broth to an OD₆₀₀ of ~0.013 and incubated at 28 °C, 185 rpm. After 3 d of inoculation, cells were collected for RNA extraction as previously described (HAO et al. 2012). Total RNA was evaluated using the Experion automated electrophoresis system (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Hercules, CA, USA) to ensure good quality. Contaminating genomic DNA was removed with Turbo DNA-free with the stringent protocol as instructed (Fisher Scientific) and confirmed by performing real-time RT PCR (qPCR) using one-step universal supermix (Bio-Rad Laboratories) without adding reverse transcriptase. RNA samples with yield quantification cycle (Cq) values larger than 32 were judged to be sufficiently free of contaminating DNA for further analysis. Primer amplification efficiencies were determined using a serial dilution of X. fastidiosa genomic DNA.

Suitable internal reference gene primers with efficiencies close to 100% and stable expression within TM1 and mutant samples were chosen (Table S1). The stability of reference transcripts was validated using the BestKeeper program (PFAFFL *et al.* 2004). We evaluated five *X. fastidiosa* genes [*dnaQ* (PD1217), *nuoA* (PD0248), *petC* (PD1775), *dnaE* (PD0165), *mreD* (PD0559)] and 2 of them, *nuoA* and *petC* were used as reference genes to normalize target gene expression.

qRT-PCR (quantitative RT-PCR) was performed with 150 ng RNA per reaction and one-step universal supermix (Bio-Rad Laboratories) using the Bio-Rad-CFX PCR detection System, and the results were analyzed using the Bio-Rad-CFX manager (Bio-Rad Laboratories). The mean Cq of each target transcript was normalized to the Cq of the reference transcripts using the following formula: $2^{q \text{ internal standard} - Cq \text{ target}}$. Two biological replicates were included for each experiment and each experiment was repeated at least two times.

For RT-PCR assay in Fig. S2, TM1, $\Delta pilG$, or $\Delta pilJ$ cells grown for \sim 7 d on PWG plates were collected for RNA extraction and contaminating DNA was removed as described above. First strand of cDNA was synthesized with the SuperScript III first-strand synthesis kit (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA) following the manufacturer's instructions and subsequent PCR was performed with Go Taq Flexi PCR kit (New England Biolabs, Ipswich, MA) as instructed using each cDNA template combined with the following internal primers for detection of pilG, pilI, pilJ and pilL expression respectively (Table S1). Seven microliters of the PCR products were analyzed with gel (1%) electrophoresis and visualized with Gel DocTM XR (Bio-Rad Laboratories) with Image LabTM software. At least two RNA samples per mutant strain independently extracted from different batches of cells were analyzed.

Statistical analysis: All statistical analyses were performed using the R program. Student's t-test was performed for pathogenicity assays, while post hoc comparisons between the treatments were performed for other assays using Tukey's HSD (honest significance difference) to correct for multiple comparisons. A residual analysis was performed to assess the validity of the assumptions of normality and homogeneous variances. Gene expression data were log transformed to be qualified for the assumptions of normality and homogeneous variances. A *p*-value less than 0.05 was considered to be significant.

Τа	b	1	e
----	---	---	---

Fold of gene expression changes in $\Delta pilG-I$ and $\Delta pilJ$ relative to TM1

Strains ^a —	Genes ^b				
	pilA	fimA	hxfB	xadA	
$\Delta pilG-I$	$0.01\pm0.00^{\rm c}$	$0.09\pm0.05^{\circ}$	$0.29\pm0.42^{\rm c}$	$51.94\pm28.22^\circ$	
$\Delta pilJ$	$0.33\pm0.39^{\rm c}$	$0.46\pm0.24^{\circ}$	0.59 ± 0.64	1.13 ± 0.58	

^a Shown were fold of expression changes relative to TM1 by real-time RT-PCR. TM1= X. fastidiosa wild-type strain Temecula 1; $\Delta pilG-I$ = TM1 deleted of pilG and also lacking expression of pilI; $\Delta pilJ$ = TM1 deleted of pilJ.

^b To normalize target gene expression, reference genes were *nuoA* (encodes NADH dehydrogenase subunit A) and *petC* (encodes ubiquinol cytochrome C oxidoreductase cytochrome C1 subunit). Two biological replicates were included for each treatment and the experiment was repeated at least twice.

^c Represents a significance change in expression with p < 0.05 compared to that of TM1 by Tukey's HSD test.

Results and Discussion

We analyzed the annotated proteins sequences of PilG, PilI, and PilJ in order to make predictions concerning their structure and/or function; PilL, ChpB, and ChpC were detailed previously (CURSINO *et al.* 2009). When PilG was aligned with *E. coli* CheY, the PilG Asp64 residue corresponded to the highly conserved CheY Asp57, which is a phospho-accepting residue that is necessary for signal transduction (Fig. S1) (SANDERS *et al.* 1989), suggesting that PilG may be a functional protein. The PilI protein is predicted to be a 176 amino acid CheW homologous protein (VAN SLUYS *et al.* 2003). While the *X. fastidiosa pil-chp* operon is also predicted to have a second C-terminal *cheW* gene, *chpC* (CURSINO *et al.* 2011), multiple CheW proteins in a chemotaxis system are not unusual (WUICHET and ZHULIN 2010).

The PilJ protein is predicted be a putative transmembrane chemoreceptor with a large 269 amino acid periplasmic domain (VAN SLUYS *et al.* 2003) that would be sufficient for ligand binding. The *X. fastidiosa* PilJ was previously classified as belonging to the 40H chemoreceptor class, which have a cytoplasmic alpha-helical structure with 40 amino acid heptad repeats and lack methylation sites for CheB/CheR modification (ALEXANDER and ZHULIN 2007). This classification parallels our findings in that the PilJ protein lacks a C-terminal CheB/CheR docking site (WU *et al.* 1996, BARNAKOVA *et al.* 1999), the *pil-chp* operon does not contain a *cheR* gene, and the *chpB* is predicted to encode a non-functional protein (CURSINO *et al.* 2011).

To examine the role of additional *pil-chp* operon genes in *X. fastidiosa* virulence, we constructed gene deletion mutants of *pilG* and *pilJ*, as within chemotaxis proteins, chemoreceptors (PilJ) function as the initial signal input protein and CheY proteins (PilG) function as the final output protein that phosphorylates its target proteins (PAR-KINSON *et al.* 2015). We also made single gene deletion mutants of *chpB* and *chpC* to learn if the two *chp* genes contributed to the disease phenotype from the previous *pilL-chpB-chpC* polar mutant (CURSINO *et al.* 2011).

We examined the ability of these mutants to cause PD on grapevines. In trial 1 in year 1, at 25 weeks post inoculation (w.p.i.), 100 % of $\Delta chpB$ and $\Delta chpC$ inoculated plants developed severe to full PD symptoms (GUILHABERT and KIRKPATRICK 2005), similar to those of the wild-type *X. fastidiosa* Temecula 1 (TM1) treatment, in which 100 % of plants developed full PD symptoms (Fig. 1). In contrast, all $\Delta pilG$ and $\Delta pilJ$ inoculated plants developed some levels of PD; however, the disease severity was much lower at week 25 than that of the TM1 treatment, with an average disease rating being 2.3 ± 0.6, and 1.8 ± 0.3, respectively (p < 0.01).

Because the *pilG* and *pilJ* genes are in an operon, and therefore mutant constructs could have a polar effect, we examined the expression of the *pilG*, *pilI*, *pilJ*, and *pilL* genes in the $\Delta pilG$ and $\Delta pilJ$ mutants by reverse transcriptase polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR). As shown in Fig. S2, *pilG* was not expressed in $\Delta pilG$ as expected; however, the *pilI* gene was also not expressed. Meanwhile, *pilJ* and *pilL* were expressed in $\Delta pilG$. These findings sug-



Fig. 1: Virulence of TM1, $\Delta pilG$, $\Delta pilJ$, $\Delta chpB$ and $\Delta chpC$ in grapevines in trial 1 at 25-weeks post-inoculation. Shown are mean disease ratings of vines inoculated with TM1 (wild-type), $\Delta pilG$, $\Delta pilJ$, $\Delta chpB$, and $\Delta chpC$ respectively. A 0-5 scale disease rating system was used as described previously (GUILHABERT and KIRKATRICK 2005). A total of 6 plants were included in each treatment. Error bars were standard deviations. Plants in the negative control group (buffer-inoculated) remained healthy throughout the experiment. TM1= *X. fastidiosa* wild-type strain Temecula 1; $\Delta pilG$ = TM1 deleted of pilG; $\Delta pilJ$ = TM1 deleted of pilG. * represents a p < 0.01 compared to TM1 by student's t-test.



Fig. 2: Virulence of TM1, $\Delta pilG-I$, and $\Delta pilJ$ in grapevines in trial 2 and 3 at 24-weeks post-inoculation. Shown are mean disease ratings of vines inoculated with TM1, $\Delta pilG-I$, and $\Delta pilJ$ respectively. A 0-5 scale disease rating system was used as described previously (GUILHABERT and KIRKATRICK 2005). A total of 20 plants were included in each treatment (10 per trial). Error bars were standard errors. Plants in the negative control group (buffer-inoculated) remained healthy throughout the experiment. TM1= *X. fastidiosa* wild-type strain Temecula 1; $\Delta pilG-I$ = TM1 deleted of *pilG* and also lacking expression of *pilI*; $\Delta pilJ$ = TM1 deleted of *pilJ*. * represents a p < 0.01 compared to TM1 by student's t-test.

gest that deletion of *pilG* caused a polar effect affecting downstream *pilI* expression. Therefore, we will subsequently refer to $\Delta pilG$ as $\Delta pilG$ -*I*. On the other hand, the *pilJ* deletion did not result in a polar mutation as the adjacent *pilI* and *pilL* genes were both expressed in $\Delta pilJ$.

For trials 2 and 3 in year 2 we focused on $\Delta pilG$ -I and $\Delta pilJ$, as $\Delta chpB$ and $\Delta chpC$ had shown the same disease outcome as TM1-inoculated vines. Two additional trials of pathogenicity assays were performed in the second year with $\Delta pilG$ -I and $\Delta pilJ$, and the results were similar to that of trial 1 in that both mutants were significantly defective in virulence compared to the TM1 strain at 24 w.p.i. (p < 0.01) (Fig. 2). Petioles close to the symptomatic leaves from each treatment were collected at the end of the experiments and

the presence of *X. fastidiosa* was confirmed by ELISA (data not shown) (HAO *et al.* 2016). Therefore, three independent pathogenicity assays performed in two different years demonstrated that PilG-I and PilJ are virulence factors of *X. fastidiosa* required for full PD development.

Next we examined PD-associated twitching motility and biofilm formation. Twitching motility was assessed by the development of a colony fringe on agar plates (MENG et al. 2005); colonies from both mutants lacked a fringe on PW without BSA (Periwinkle Wilt without Bovine Serum Albumin) (DAVIS et al. 1981) plates (Fig. 3A). These results indicate the loss of motility, as observed in previous reports (SHI and LIN 2016). In PD2 broth (Pierce's disease 2) (DAVIS et al. 1981), $\Delta pilG-I$ and $\Delta pilJ$ produced ~8.7 and ~4.7 fold less biofilm compared to that of TM1 (Fig. 3B). Together these data indicate that both *pilG-I* and *pilJ* are involved in regulating motility and biofilm formation in a rich media environment. We also examined the above phenotypes in grapevine sap, to more closely mimic the *in planta* biochemical environment. On Vitis vinifera sap (80 %)-water agar plates, TM1 colonies formed typical fringes (Fig. 3C), representing outward movement of bacterial cells on the edge of the colonies. In contrast, $\Delta pilG-I$ and $\Delta pilJ$ colonies had smooth colony, margins indicating the loss of motility. In addition, after seven days of incubation in 100 % sap medium following acclimation, $\Delta pilG$ -I and $\Delta pilJ$ produced \sim 3.2 and \sim 1.5 fold less biofilm than that of the TM1 cells (Fig. 3D). The phenotypic consistency of $\Delta pilG$ -I and $\Delta pilJ$ between nutrient rich (PD2) and poor (sap) media (BOVE and



Fig. 3: Motility and biofilm formation of TM1, $\Delta pilG-I$, and $\Delta pilJ$ in different media. A) Colony fringes on PW plates (without BSA) 5 days post-inoculation (d.p.i.). B) Mean biofilm quantification 5 d.p.i in PD 2 broth in 96-well plates. C) Colony fringes on 80 % V. vinifera sap agar plates 5 d.p.i. D) Mean biofilm formation 7 d.p.i in 100 % V. vinifera sap in glass tubes. For A and C, cells from each strain were spot inoculated at least three times on each plate, and three plates were included for each experiment and all experiments were repeated three times. For B and D, all error bars are standard deviations. Twenty-four replicates were included for each strain in B and the experiment was repeated three times. Five replicates were included for each strain in D and the experiment was repeated twice. Different non-capitalized letters represent a significant difference with p<0.01 using Tukey's HSD test. TM1=X. fastidiosa wild-type strain Temecula 1; $\Delta pilG-I$ = TM1 deleted of pilG and also lacking expression of *pilI*; $\Delta pilJ = TM1$ deleted of *pilJ*.

GARNIER 2002) implies that the involvement of these genes in regulating motility and biofilm formation in *X. fastidiosa* is likely to be independent of nutrient status of the medium.

We observed that in PD2 broth $\Delta pilG-I$ exhibited planktonic growth and formed little biofilm (Fig. S3), suggesting an impairment in cell-to-cell aggregation and/or cell-to-surface attachment in $\Delta pilG-I$, which could be due to altered expression of the fimbrial type I pili structural gene fimA (MENG et al. 2005) and/or afimbrial adhesion genes (such as *hxfB*) (GUILHABERT and KIRKPATRICK 2005, FEIL *et al.* 2007). Therefore, we examined the expression of genes known to be associated with aggregation and attachment via real-time RT-PCR. The expression of *fimA* was reduced in both mutants compared to that of TM1 (Table). The afimbrial adhesion gene hxfB was downregulated in $\Delta pilG-I$ and remained unchanged in $\Delta pilJ$. We also examined the expression of *pilA* in $\Delta pilG$ -I and $\Delta pilJ$; the pilA gene is predicted to encode the major filamentous structural protein of X. fastidiosa type IV pili (DA SILVA NETO et al., 2008), and deletion of pilA leads to a non-motile phenotype in vitro (unpublished data). Compared to TM1, *pilA* was downregulated in *ApilG-I* and $\Delta pilJ$. Together these data indicate that *pilG-I*, and to a lesser extent *pilJ*, are involved in transcriptional regulation of the key structural genes associated with both type I (fimA) and type IV (pilA) pili biosynthesis and a non-fimbrial adhesion gene (*hxfB*), thereby regulating the virulence responses of twitching motility, aggregation, and biofilm formation.

We also assayed afimbrial adhesion gene *xadA*, which encodes an outer membrane protein secreted as vesicles to coat the xylem vessel surfaces thus to reduce bacterial adherence (FEIL *et al.* 2007, IONESCU *et al.* 2014). Interestingly, *xadA* was up-regulated almost 50 fold in $\Delta pilG-I$ compared to that of TM1; it remained the same in $\Delta pilJ$ (Table). Thus it is possible that increased production of outer membrane vesicles reduced bacterial attachment and subsequent biofilm formation and therefore lead to less disease. Further studies, such as examination of outer membrane vesicles production in $\Delta pilG-I$, may help to explain this phenotype.

In this study, we found that disruption of *pilG-I* or *pilJ* caused significant less virulence in X. fastidiosa. The single deletion of the genes downstream of *pilL* (*chpB* and *chpC*), which are the last two genes within the *pil-chp* operon, did not affect X. fastidiosa virulence. Whether the deletion of *chpB* caused a polar effect on the expression of *chpC* was not explored. However, the fact that $\Delta chpC$ displayed wildtype like virulence confirmed that both Chp proteins are not virulence factors in X. fastidiosa. Thus the previous finding that the polar $\Delta pilL$ -chpB-chpC mutant has reduced PD (CURSINO et al. 2011) most likely relies on the interruption of *pilL* but not *chpB* or *chpC*. Although we did not identify a role of the Chp proteins in grapevines, whether they play a role in transmission by the sharpshooter vector is an area for future study. Thus future examination of other phenotypes, such as attachment or biofilm formation, of these two mutants would provide interesting information.

Chemoreceptor-mediated signaling pathways have been reported to play roles not just in motility, but also in processes such as pathogenicity, biofilm formation, and transcriptional regulation (KIRBY 2009, WADHAMS and ARMITAGE, 2004, HE and BAUER 2014). To our knowledge, this is the first report of a chemotaxis-like operon being involved in the regulation of key structural genes for both type I and type IV pili. Based on genome sequences X. fastidiosa has a single chemoreceptor and chemotaxis-like operon, which may result from an adaptation to a highly specific niche within the vector and plant xylem vessels. Thus the single chemotaxis-like operon may have evolved to directly or indirectly regulate multiple cellular behaviors involved in virulence. Our data from motility and biofilm formation assays support this hypothesis, as both $\Delta pilG$ -I and $\Delta pilJ$ are impaired in motility, aggregation, and biofilm formation, which can be explained by downregulation of fimbrial (pilA and *fimA*) and *hxfB* afimbrial gene with upregulation of *xadA*. We acknowledge that many other genes in X. fastidiosa are also known to play important roles in either motility or biofilm formation such as those also involved in type IV pili biosynthesis, exo- or lipopolysaccharide production (CRUZ and PARKER et al. 2014, KILLINY et al. 2013, CLIFFORD et al. 2013). Future investigation of whether such genes might be regulated by the *pil-chp* operon will help to further understand its genetic function. Although the importance of motility, aggregation, and biofilm formation in X. fastidiosa virulence is well recognized, how the type I and type IV pili genes are regulated has remained unknown. X. fastidiosa is known to produce quorum sensing molecules, diffusible signaling factors (DSF), to regulate diverse cellular behaviors. Low concentration of DSF favors motility, while high concentrations promote aggregation, biofilm formation, and insect transmission (DE SOUZA et al. 2013, CHATTERJEE et al. 2008b, NEWMAN et al. 2004). Preventing DSF production leads to up-regulation of motility genes and down-regulation of adhesion genes (DE SOUA et al. 2013, ALMEIDA et al. 2012, WANG et al. 2012). Related, in the homologous P. aeruginosa *pil-chp* operon PilJ binds the type IV pilus PilA proteins leading to a phosphorylation cascade that alters motility and induces cAMP-dependent upregulation of virulence factor genes (FULCHER et al. 2010, LEIGHTON et al. 2015, LUO et al. 2015, Persat et al. 2015, Inclan et al. 2016, Jansari et al. 2016). In other bacteria cAMP is known to regulate type I pili or fimbriae (KALIVODA et al. 2008, MÜLLER et al. 2009). Whether X. fastidiosa type I pili are regulated in a cAMP-dependent manner, cAMP regulation is connected to type IV pili gene expression, or the *pil-chp* operon regulates pili gene expression in a DSF-dependent manner warrants further research.

Conclusion

In summary, we report that the *X. fastidiosa pil-chp* chemotaxis-like operon is involved in regulating key structural genes involved in both type I and type IV pili biosynthesis at the transcriptional level along with other important virulence afimbrial genes. Our data suggest a model in which the *pil* genes positively impact expression of *pilA* (type IV pili), *fimA* (type I pili), and *hxfB* (afimbrial adhesion), and negatively impact expression of *xadA* (outer membrane vesicles); all of these virulence genes play a role in disease development by modulating *X. fastidiosa* motility,

aggregation, and biofilm formation. Whether the operon is also involved in regulating the two pili at the translational level needs future experimentation, such as with scanned electron microscopy or Western blot analysis. It has been previously shown that the type I and type IV pili modulate each other; deletion of type I pili increases type IV-driven motility and deletion of type IV pili increases type I-associated biofilm formation (LI *et al.* 2007). Therefore, having the operon regulate both pili may allow for optimal behaviors for survival in plants and/or the vector. Understanding the mechanisms for how the *pil-chp* operon regulates both pili types will help to identify key genes and steps involved in PD and to develop potential targets for disease management.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by CDFA (California Department of Agriculture) - Pierce's Disease and Glassy-winged Sharpshooter Board (15-0138-SA to T.J.B., P.M., L.C., and L.H.), University of California Piece's Disease Research Grants Program (2010-287 to T.J.B. and P.M.), and USDA-NIFA Federal Capacity Funding (United States Department of Agriculture - National Institute of Food & Agriculture (2015-16-198 to T.J.B). The funding agencies had no role in study design, data collection and interpretation, or the decision to submit the work for publication.

We thank C. GALVANI, K. WOZER, and Z. ETH from Hobart and William Smith Colleges, Geneva, New York for technical assistance. We thank Dr. L. JOHNSON at Cornell Statistical Consulting Unit for help with statistical analysis. We thank the USDA (United States Department of Agriculture), Plant Genetic Resources Unit, Cornell University-New York State Agricultural Experiment Station (NYSAES), Geneva, New York for providing access to clonal repository vineyards for sap collections. We also thank C. REID and B. DEHAVEN of Cornell University-NYSAES, Geneva, New York for plant and greenhouse management.

References

- ALEXANDER, R. P.; ZHULIN, I. B.; 2007: Evolutionary genomics reveals conserved structural determinants of signaling and adaptation in microbial chemoreceptors. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 104, 2885-2890.
- ALMEIDA, R. P.; KILLINY, N.; NEWMAN, K. L.; CHATTERJEE, S.; IONESCU, M.; LINDOW, S. E.; 2012: Contribution of rpfB to cell-to-cell signal synthesis, virulence, and vector transmission of *Xylella fastidiosa*. Mol. Plant Microbe Interact. 25, 453-462.
- ALTSCHUL, S. F.; GISH, W.; MILLER, W.; MYERS, E. W.; LIPMAN, D. J.; 1990: Basic local alignment search tool. J. Mol. Biol. 215, 403-410.
- ANDERSEN, P. C.; BRODBECK, B. V.; ODEN, S.; SHRINER, A.; LEITE, B.; 2007: Influence of xylem fluid chemistry on planktonic growth, biofilm formation and aggregation of *Xylella fastidiosa*. FEMS Microbiol. Lett. **274**, 210-217.
- BARNAKOVA, A. N.; BARNAKOVA, L. A.; HAZELBAUER, G. L.; 1999: Efficient adaptational demethylation of chemoreceptors requires the same enzyme-docking site as efficient methylation. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 96, 10667-10672.
- BASHA, S. M.; MAZHAR, H.; VASANTHAIAH, H. K.; 2010: Proteomics approach to identify unique xylem sap proteins in Pierce's disease-tolerant *Vitis* species. Appl. Biochem. Biotechnol. 160, 932-944.
- Bove, J. M.; GARNIER, M.; 2002: Phloem- and xylem-restricted plant pathogenic bacteria. Plant Sci., 163, 1083-1098.
- CHATTERJEE, S.; ALMEIDA, R. P.; LINDOW, S.; 2008a: Living in two worlds: the plant and insect lifestyles of *Xylella fastidiosa*. Annu. Rev. Phytopathol. 46, 243-271.

- CHATTERJEE, S.; NEWMAN, K. L.; LINDOW, S. E.; 2008b: Cell-to-cell signaling in *Xylella fastidiosa* suppresses movement and xylem vessel colonization in grape. Mol. Plant Microbe Interact. 21, 1309-1315.
- CHENG, D. W.; LIN, H.; WALKER, M. A.; STENGER, D. C.; CIVEROLO, E. L.; 2009: Effects of grape xylem sap and cell wall constituents on *in vitro* growth, biofilm formation and cellular aggregation of *Xylella fastidiosa*. Eur. J. Plant Pathol. **125**, 213-222.
- CURSINO, L.; ATHINUWAT, D.; PATEL, K. R.; GALVANI, C. D.; ZAINI, P. A.; LI, Y.; DE LA FUENTE, L.; HOCH, H. C.; BURR, T. J.; MOWERY, P.; 2015: Characterization of the *Xylella fastidiosa* PD1671 gene encoding degenerate c-di-GMP GGDEF/EAL domains, and its role in the development of Pierce's disease. PLoS One **10**, e0121851.
- CURSINO, L.; GALVANI, C. D.; ATHINUWAT, D.; ZAINI, P. A.; LI, Y.; DE LA FUENTE, L.; HOCH, H. C.; BURR, T. J.; MOWERY, P.; 2011: Identification of an operon, Pil-Chp, that controls twitching motility and virulence in *Xylella fastidiosa*. Mol. Plant Microbe Interact. 24, 1198-1206.
- CURSINO, L.; LI, Y.; ZAINI, P. A.; DE LA FUENTE, L.; HOCH, H. C.; BURR, T. J.; 2009: Twitching motility and biofilm formation are associated with *tonB1* in *Xylella fastidiosa*. FEMS Microbiol. Lett. **299**, 193-199.
- DA SILVA NETO, J. F.; KOIDE, T.; ABE, C. M.; GOMES, S. L.; MARQUES, M. V.; 2008: Role of sigma54 in the regulation of genes involved in type I and type IV pili biogenesis in *Xylella fastidiosa*. Arch. Microbiol. 189, 249-261.
- DAVIS, M. J.; FRENCH, W. J.; SCHAAD, N. W.; 1981: Axenic culture of the bacteria associated with phony disease of peach and plum leaf scald. Curr. Microbiol. 6, 309-314.
- DE LA FUENTE, L.; MONTANES, E.; MENG, Y.; LI, Y.; BURR, T. J.; HOCH, H. C.; WU, M.; 2007: Assessing adhesion forces of type I and type IV pili of *Xylella fastidiosa* bacteria by use of a microfluidic flow chamber. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. **73**, 2690-2696.
- DE SOUZA, A. A.; IONESCU, M.; BACCARI, C.; DA SILVA, A. M.; LINDOW, S. E.; 2013: Phenotype overlap in *Xylella fastidiosa* is controlled by the cyclic di-GMP phosphodiesterase Eal in response to antibiotic exposure and diffusible signal factor-mediated cell-cell signaling. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. **79**, 3444-3454.
- FEIL, H.; FEIL, W. S.; LINDOW, S. E.; 2007: Contribution of fimbrial and afimbrial adhesins of *Xylella fastidiosa* to attachment to surfaces and virulence to grape. Phytopathology **97**, 318-324.
- FULCHER, N. B.; HOLLIDAY, P. M.; KLEM, E.; CANN, M. J.; WOLFGANG, M. C.; 2010: The *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* Chp chemosensory system regulates intracellular cAMP levels by modulating adenylate cyclase activity. Mol. Microbiol. **76**, 889-904.
- GUILHABERT, M. R.; KIRKPATRICK, B. C.; 2005: Identification of *Xylella fastidiosa* antivirulence genes: hemagglutinin adhesins contribute a biofilm maturation to *X. fastidiosa* and colonization and attenuate virulence. Mol. Plant Microbe Interact. **18**, 856-868.
- HAO, L.; JOHNSON, K.; CURSINO, L.; MOWERY, P.; BURR, T. J.; 2016: Characterization of the *Xylella fastidiosa* PD1311 gene mutant and its suppression of Pierce's disease on grapevines. Mol. Plant Pathol. (http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/mpp.12428).
- HAO, L. Y.; WILLIS, D. K.; ANDREWS-POLYMENIS, H.; MCCLELLAND, M.; BARAK, J. D.; 2012: Requirement of siderophore biosynthesis for plant colonization by *Salmonella enterica*. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 78, 4561-4570.
- HE, K.; BAUER, C. E.; 2014: Chemosensory signaling systems that control bacterial survival. Trends Microbiol. 22, 389-398.
- HOPKINS, D. L.; PURCELL, A. H.; 2002: *Xylella fastidiosa*: cause of Pierce's disease of grapevine and other emergent diseases. Plant Dis. **86**, 1056-1066.
- INCLAN, Y. F.; PERSAT, A.; GRENINGER, A.; VON DOLLEN, J.; JOHNSON, J.; KROGAN, N.; GITAI, Z.; ENGEL, J. N.; 2016: A scaffold protein connects type IV pili with the Chp chemosensory system to mediate activation of virulence signaling in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. Mol. Microbiol.
- IONESCU, M.; ZAINI, P. A.; BACCARI, C.; TRAN, S.; DA SILVA, A. M.; LINDOW, S. E.; 2014: *Xylella fastidiosa* outer membrane vesicles modulate plant colonization by blocking attachment to surfaces. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 111, E3910-3918.
- JANSARI, V. H.; POTHARLA, V. Y.; RIDDELL, G. T.; BARDY, S. L.; 2016: Twitching motility and cAMP levels: signal transduction through a single methyl-accepting chemotaxis protein. FEMS Microbiol. Lett. 363.
- JOHNSON, K. L.; CURSINO, L.; ATHINUWAT, D.; BURR, T. J.; MOWERY, P.; 2015: Potential complications when developing gene deletion clones in *Xylella fastidiosa*. BMC Res. Notes 8, 155.

- KALIVODA, E. J.; STELLA, N. A.; O'DEE, D. M.; NAU, G. J.; SHANKS, R. M.; 2008: The cyclic AMP-dependent catabolite repression system of *Serratia marcescens* mediates biofilm formation through regulation of type 1 fimbriae. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. **74**, 3461-3470.
- KILLINY, N.; MARTINEZ, R. H.; DUMENYO, C. K.; COOKSEY, D. A.; ALMEIDA, R. P.; 2013: The exopolysaccharide of *Xylella fastidiosa* is essential for biofilm formation, plant virulence, and vector transmission. Mol. Plant Microbe Interact. 26, 1044-1053.
- KIRBY, J. R.; 2009: Chemotaxis-like regulatory systems: unique roles in diverse bacteria. Annu. Rev. Microbiol. 63, 45-59.
- KRIVANEK, A. F.; RIAZ, S.; WALKER, M. A.; 2006: Identification and molecular mapping of PdR1, a primary resistance gene to Pierce's disease in *Vitis*. Theor. Appl. Genet. **112**, 1125-1131.
- LEE, M. W.; TAN, C. C.; ROGERS, E. E.; STENGER, D. C.; 2014: Toxin-antitoxin systems mqsR/ygiT and dinJ/relE of Xylella fastidiosa. Physiol. Mol. Plant Pathol. 87, 59-68.
- LEIGHTON, T. L.; BUENSUCESO, R. N.; HOWELL, P. L.; BURROWS, L. L.; 2015: Biogenesis of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* type IV pili and regulation of their function. Environ. Microbiol. **17**, 4148-4163.
- LEITE, B.; ANDERSEN, P. C.; IISHIDA, M. L.; 2004: Colony aggregation and biofilm formation in xylem chemistry-based media for *Xylella fastidiosa*. FEMS Microbiol. Lett. 230, 283-290.
- LI, Y.; HAO, G.; GALVANI, C. D.; MENG, Y.; DE LA FUENTE, L.; HOCH, H. C.; BURR, T. J.; 2007: Type I and type IV pili of *Xylella fastidiosa* affect twitching motility, biofilm formation and cell-cell aggregation. Microbiology **153**, 719-726.
- LUO, Y.; ZHAO, K.; BAKER, A. E.; KUCHMA, S. L.; COGGAN, K. A.; WOLFGANG, M. C.; WONG, G. C.; O'TOOLE, G. A.; 2015: A hierarchical cascade of second messengers regulates *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* surface behaviors. MBio 6, e02456-14.
- MATSUMOTO, A.; HUSTON, S. L.; KILLINY, N.; IGO, M. M.; 2012: XatA, an AT-1 autotransporter important for the virulence of *Xylella fastidiosa* Temecula 1. Microbiologyopen 1, 33-45.
- MATTICK, J. S.; 2002: Type IV pili and twitching motility. Annu. Rev. Microbiol. 56, 289-314.
- MENG, Y.; LI, Y.; GALVANI, C. D.; HAO, G.; TURNER, J. N.; BURR, T. J.; HOCH, H. C.; 2005: Upstream migration of *Xylella fastidiosa* via pilus-driven twitching motility. J. Bacteriol. **187**, 5560-5567.
- MÜLLER, C. M.; ABERG, A.; STRASEVICIENE, J.; EMODY, L.; UHLIN, B. E.; BALSALOBRE, C.; 2009: Type 1 fimbriae, a colonization factor of uropathogenic *Escherichia coli*, are controlled by the metabolic sensor CRP-cAMP. PLoS Pathog. 5, e1000303.
- NASCIMENTO, R.; GOURAN, H.; CHAKABORTY, S.; GILLESPIE, H. W.; ALMEI-DA-SOUZA, H. O.; TU, A.; RAO, B. J.; FELDSTEIN, P. A.; BRUENING, G.; GOULART, L. R.; DANDEKAR, A. M.; 2016: The type II secreted lipase/ esterase LesA is a key virulence factor required for *Xylella fastidiosa* pathogenesis in grapevines. Sci. Rep. 6, 18598.
- NEWMAN, K. L.; ALMEIDA, R. P.; PURCELL, A. H.; LINDOW, S. E.; 2003: Use of a green fluorescent strain for analysis of *Xylella fastidiosa* colonization of *Vitis vinifera*. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 69, 7319-7327.
- NEWMAN, K. L.; ALMEIDA, R. P.; PURCELL, A. H.; LINDOW, S. E.; 2004: Cellcell signaling controls *Xylella fastidiosa* interactions with both insects and plants. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA **101**, 1737-1742.
- PARKINSON, J. S.; HAZELBAUER, G. L.; FALKE, J. J.; 2015: Signaling and sensory adaptation in *Escherichia coli* chemoreceptors: 2015 update. Trends Microbiol. 23, 257-266.
- PERSAT, A.; INCLAN, Y. F.; ENGEL, J. N.; STONE, H. A.; GITAI, Z.; 2015: Type IV pili mechanochemically regulate virulence factors in *Pseudomonas* aeruginosa. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA **112**, 7563-7568.
- PFAFFL, M. W.; TICHOPAD, A.; PROGMET, C.; NEUVIANS, T. P.; 2004: Determination of stable housekeeping genes, differentially regulated target genes and sample integrity: BestKeeper-Excel-based tool using pairwise correlations. Biotechnol. Lett. 26, 509-515.
- RIAZ, S.; TENSCHER, A. C.; RUBIN, J.; GRAZIANI, R.; PAO, S. S.; WALKER, M. A.; 2008: Fine-scale genetic mapping of two Pierce's disease resistance loci and a major segregation distortion region on chromosome 14 of grape. Theor. Appl. Genet. 117, 671-681.
- SANDERS, D. A.; GILLECE-CASTRO, B. L.; STOCK, A. M.; BURLINGAME, A. L.; KOSHLAND, D. E., JR.; 1989: Identification of the site of phosphorylation of the chemotaxis response regulator protein, CheY. J. Biol. Chem. 264, 21770-21778.

- SHI, X.; BI, J.; MORSE, J. G.; TOSCANO, N. C.; COOKSEY, D. A.; 2013: Effect of xylem fluid from susceptible and resistant grapevines on developmental biology of *Xylella fastidiosa*. Eur. J. Plant Pathol. **135**, 127-135.
- SHI, X.; LIN, H.; 2016: Visualization of twitching motility and characterization of the role of the PilG in *Xylella fastidiosa*. J. Vis. Exp. 110, e53816.
- SHI, X. Y.; DUMENYO, C. K.; HERNANDEZ-MARTINEZ, R.; AZAD, H.; COOK-SEY, D. A.; 2009: Characterization of regulatory pathways in *Xylella fastidiosa*: genes and phenotypes controlled by *gacA*. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. **75**, 2275-2283.
- SUN, Q.; GREVE, L. C.; LABAVITCH, J. M.; 2011: Polysaccharide compositions of intervessel pit membranes contribute to Pierce's disease resistance of grapevines. Plant Physiol. 155, 1976-1987.
- SUN, Q.; SUN, Y.; WALKER, M. A.; LABAVITCH, J. M.; 2013: Vascular occlusions in grapevines with Pierce's disease make disease symptom development worse. Plant Physiol. 161, 1529-1541.
- VAN SLUYS, M. A.; DE OLIVEIRA, M. C.; MONTEIRO-VITORELLO, C. B.; MIYAKI,
 C. Y.; FURLAN, L. R.; CAMARGO, L. E. A.; DA SILVA, A. C. R.; MOON,
 D. H.; TAKITA, M. A.; LEMOS, E. G. M.; MACHADO, M. A.; FERRO, M.
 I. T.; DA SILVA, F. R.; GOLDMAN, M. H. S.; GOLDMAN, G. H.; LEMOS,
 M. V. F.; EL-DORRY, H.; TSAI, S. M.; CARRER, H.; CARRARO, D. M.;
 DE OLIVEIRA, R. C.; NUNES, L. R.; SIQUEIRA, W. J.; COUTINHO, L. L.;
 KIMURA, E. T.; FERRO, E. S.; HARAKAVA, R.; KURAMAE, E. E.; MARINO,
 C. L.; GIGLIOTI, E.; ABREU, I. L.; ALVES, L. M. C.; DO AMARAL, A. M.;
 BAIA, G. S.; BLANCO, S. R.; BRITO, M. S.; CANNAVAN, F. S.; CELESTINO,

A. V.; DA CUNHA, A. F.; FENILLE, R. C.; FERRO, J. A.; FORMIGHIERI, E. F.; KISHI, L. T.; LEONI, S. G.; OLIVEIRA, A. R.; ROSA, V. E.; SASSAKI, F. T.; SENA, J. A. D.; DE SOUZA, A. A.; TRUFFI, D.; TSUKUMO, F.; YANAI, G. M.; ZAROS, L. G.; CIVEROLO, E. L.; SIMPSON, A. J. G.; AMEIDA, N. F.; SETUBAL, J. C.; KITAJIMA, J. P.; 2003: Comparative analyses of the complete genome sequences of Pierce's disease and citrus variegated chlorosis strains of *Xylella fastidiosa*. J. Bacteriol. **185**, 1018-1026.

- WADHAMS, G. H.; ARMITAGE, J. P.; 2004: Making sense of it all: bacterial chemotaxis. Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol. 5, 1024-1037.
- WANG, N.; LI, J. L.; LINDOW, S. E.; 2012: RpfF-dependent regulon of *Xylella fastidiosa*. Phytopathology **102**, 1045-1053.
- WU, J.; LI, J.; LI, G.; LONG, D. G.; WEIS, R. M.; 1996: The receptor binding site for the methyltransferase of bacterial chemotaxis is distinct from the sites of methylation. Biochemistry 35, 4984-4993.
- WUICHET, K.; ZHULIN, İ. B.; 2010: Origins and diversification of a complex signal transduction system in prokaryotes. Sci. Signal. 3 (128), ra50.
- YANG, L.; LIN, H.; TAKAHASHI, Y.; CHEN, F.; WALKER, M. A.; CIVEROLO, E. L.; 2011: Proteomic analysis of grapevine stem in response to *Xylella fastidiosa* inoculation. Physiol. Mol. Plant Pathol. **75**, 90-99.
- ZAINI, P. A.; DE LA FUENTE, L.; HOCH, H. C.; BURR, T. J.; 2009: Grapevine xylem sap enhances biofilm development by *Xylella fastidiosa*. FEMS Microbiol. Lett. **295**, 129-134.
- ZHANG, S.; CHAKRABARTY, P. K.; FLEITES, L. A.; RAYSIDE, P. A.; HOPKINS, D. L.; GABRIEL, D. W.; 2015: Three new Pierce's disease pathogenicity effectors identified using *Xylella fastidiosa* biocontrol strain EB92-1. PLoS One 10, e0133796.

Received October 6, 2016 Accepted February 21, 2017