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## Summary

A total of 73 accessions of Vitis vinifera L., corresponding to local grape cultivars from Castilla La Mancha (Spain) mostly collected in the districts around the Serranía de Cuenca, were analyzed with 12 microsatellite markers in order to ascertain identity and to detect synonymy and homonymy.

The allelic pattern of the 73 accessions belonged to 39 different cultivars: 23 coincided with those of known grape cultivars and 16 were different such as 'Flamenca', 'Churriago', 'Pintailla', and 'Gallera Negra'. Homonymous designations were also detected like 'Coloraillo', 'Moravia Dulce' and 'Botón de Gallo' and synonymous names such as 'Garnacha' and 'Tinto Basto', 'Machina', 'Tortosi' and 'Rojal' as well as 'Moravio' and 'Bobal'.
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## Introduction

Today, vineyards in Spain are mainly concentrated in the central part of the country. Castilla-La Mancha is the vine growing region, with almost $600,000 \mathrm{ha}$, representing $50 \%$ of the total vine growing area in Spain and around $7 \%$ of the world. Viticulture is therefore a crucial sector for this region.

The legacy of grape varieties in the region is relatively unknown and comprises a few dozen varieties, most subject to selective pressure. Only some selective cultivars, many of them foreign, benefit from the restructuring of vineyards and often at the cost of autochthonous, minority varieties. Some of them, whose surfaces have continually diminished in recent decades, may soon be on the verge of extinction, and are already affected by an important loss of genetic diversity.

In view of the need to learn more about the wealth of this heritage, to clarify once and for all the synonyms and homonyms affecting some of its components and, ultimately, to establish an "autochthonous" ampelographic collection in the field, in 2004 there was started a process of territorial exploration, identification and characterization of plant material.

In the last 20 years, methods for identifying grapevine cultivars using molecular markers have been established.

Simple sequence repeats (SSRs), also known as microsatellites, have so far proven to be the most useful technology for the genetic identification of grapevine varieties and evaluation of genetic diversity (Тномаs and Sсотт 1993, Sefc et al. 2001).

The aim of this study was to characterize 73 autochthonous grapevine accessions grown in Castilla La Mancha, collected up to 2005, by analyzing 12 microsatellite regions, in order to establish a germplasm bank of the different varieties cultivated in the region.

## Material and Methods

The 73 accessions used in this study and the local denomination are shown in Tab. 1. Some were authorized or recommended varieties in Castilla La Mancha and the rest were unknown and/or appeared with the local denomination. The Figure shows the provinces of Castilla La Mancha and the prospected zones. Most accessions were collected from regions within the territory bordering the Serrania of Cuenca (mountain range), where grapevines are marginal crops often grown on plurivarietal plots. Two internationally known cultivars, 'Cabernet Sauvignon' and 'Chardonnay', were also included to compare allele size results with those of other laboratories.

DNA extraction: DNA was extracted from leaves or roots from Vitis vinifera by the CTAB method according to Steenkamp et al. (1994), adapted for small volumes.

Microsatellite analysis: 12 microsatellite loci were selected. The 6 core loci, as per the recommendation of the EU project Genres081 (This et al. 2004) were: VVS2 (Thomas and Scotт 1993), VVMD5, VVMD7 (Bowers et al. 1996), VVMD27 (Bowers et al. 1999), VrZAG62 and VrZAG79 (Sefc et al. 1999). Samples were also analyzed at 6 additional loci, ssrVrZAG67, ssrVrZAG64, ssrVrZAG83 (SEFC et al. 1999), VVMD21, VVMD28, VVMD36 (Bowers et al. 1999). The forward primer from each pair was fluorescently labelled to allow detection. 6-FAM (blue), VIC (green), PET (red) and NED (yellow) (Applied Biosystems) were used.

Three previously optimized multiplex PCR reactions were performed to obtain a similar quantity of amplifications for all the microsatellite markers. PCR reactions were carried out in the GeneAmp®PCR System 9700 thermocycler (PE Applied biosystems), in $10 \mu 1$ of a mixture containing 20 ng DNA, 0.2 U Taq DNA polymerase (Biotools),

[^0]Table 1

| Cultivars <br> Airen | AccessionsAiren (61) Malvar(3) Blanca (18) | VVS2 |  | VVMD5 |  | VVMD7 |  | VVMD 21 |  | VVMD27 |  | VVMD 28 |  | VVMD 36 |  | ZAG62 |  | ZAG 64 |  | ZAG 67 |  | ZAG79 |  | ZAG 83 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 143 | 145 | 222 | 230 | 24 | 250 | 198 | 204 | 177 | 190 | 232 | 242 | 263 | 283 | 187 | 199 | 133 | 139 | 128 | 146 | 246 | 258 | 193 | 197 |
| Alarije | Aris (27) <br> Torrontes (33) | 43 | 145 | 230 | 232 | 236 | 236 | 202 | 204 | 181 | 190 | 232 | 256 | 271 | 283 | 185 | 187 | 133 | 135 | 128 | 152 | 250 | 256 | 193 | 197 |
| Albillo Mayor | Albillo (31, 65) | 143 | 145 | 228 | 232 | 236 | 250 | 188 | 202 | 179 | 190 | 232 | 256 | 271 | 283 | 185 | 199 | 137 | 156 | 122 | 136 | 250 | 256 | 197 | 197 |
| Alcañon | Bobal Blanca (23) | 133 | 145 | 230 | 234 | 236 | 236 | 200 | 204 | 177 | 190 | 232 | 242 | 265 | 283 | 185 | 187 | 133 | 145 | 128 | 161 | 250 | 256 | 193 | 197 |
| Ariño | Unknown (1) <br> Botón de Gallo | 143 | 151 | 222 | 230 | 236 | 246 | 198 | 202 | 175 | 190 | 226 | 256 | 259 | 259 | 187 | 203 | 137 | 139 | 122 | 148 | 256 | 260 | 191 | 193 |
| Beba | (4) Teta de Vaca (8) Uva de planta | 135 | 143 | 232 | 236 | 240 | 246 | 198 | 204 | 177 | 185 | 242 | 256 | 259 | 259 | 187 | 203 | 133 | 156 | 12 | 136 | 242 | 246 | 197 | 197 |
| Bobal | (19) <br> Bobal (56), colgadera (7) Moravio (55) Colgadera (7) | 145 | 147 | 224 | 230 | 236 | 240 | 188 | 204 | 177 | 185 | 232 | 258 | 259 | 265 | 187 | 187 | 139 | 156 | 136 | 148 | 242 | 246 | 197 | 197 |
| Brujidera | Crujidera (6) Moravia Dulce $(16,50)$ Rucial | 143 | 145 | 224 | 228 | 236 | 240 | 202 | 212 | 179 | 190 | 242 | 252 | 249 | 265 | 187 | 191 | 135 | 139 | 152 | 157 | 246 | 256 | 191 | 197 |
| Coloraillo | (48) <br> Gordera <br> Coloraillo (38) | 143 | 145 | 224 | 232 | 236 | 236 | 204 | 212 | 179 | 179 | 252 | 256 | 249 | 259 | 187 | 195 | 135 | 139 | 146 | 152 | 246 | 250 | 197 | 197 |
| Corazón de <br> Cabrito | Manchega (5, <br> 63) Gordera <br> (30) Gordal (34) <br> Rompetinajas (67) | 133 | 145 | 230 | 234 | 236 | 236 | 188 | 204 | 177 | 181 | 232 | 256 | 259 | 265 | 187 | 195 | 139 | 160 | 130 | 148 | 236 | 246 | 193 | 197 |
| Cinsaut | Botón de Gallo <br> (62) | 133 | 133 | 222 | 222 | 240 | 244 | 198 | 200 | 175 | 177 | 226 | 232 | 249 | 271 | 187 | 203 | 156 | 156 | 136 | 136 | 254 | 258 | 193 | 197 |
| Garnacha | Garnacha (26), | 137 | 145 | 222 | 236 | 236 | 240 | 200 | 202 | 190 | 190 | 242 | 242 | 261 | 265 | 187 | 187 | 33 | 139 | 128 | 146 | 256 | 256 | 191 | 193 |
| Malvar | Malvar (73) | 143 | 145 | 232 | 236 | 236 | 240 | 202 | 204 | 175 | 190 | 256 | 256 | 259 | 271 | 185 | 187 | 135 | 139 | 148 | 152 | 250 | 256 | 193 | 197 |
| Montua | Chelva (73) | 143 | 151 | 230 | 234 | 240 | 250 | 202 | 204 | 177 | 181 | 232 | 258 | 265 | 283 | 187 | 187 | 133 | 139 | 128 | 144 | 246 | 256 | 197 | 197 |
| Moravia Agria | Moravia Agr <br> (40,47,49) | 145 | 151 | 224 | 232 | 236 | 250 | 200 | 200 | 175 | 175 | 256 | 258 | 265 | 271 | 187 | 193 | 137 | 156 | 122 | 136 | 250 | 250 | 193 | 197 |
| Moscatel Grano Menudo | Moscatel Grano Menudo (70,72) Blanca Pequeña | 133 | 133 | 224 | 232 | 230 | 246 | 204 | 204 | 175 | 190 | 244 | 266 | 239 | 259 | 185 | 195 | 137 | 156 | 122 | 136 | 250 | 254 | 191 | 191 |
| Pardillo | (9) Marisancho (17) | 145 | 157 | 232 | 236 | 236 | 246 | 200 | 204 | 181 | 190 | 232 | 256 | 271 | 283 | 185 | 193 | 139 | 139 | 146 | 157 | 250 | 256 | 197 | 203 |
| Planta fina | Pasera $(24,71)$ Coloraillo (11,12) | 143 | 145 | 224 | 236 | 236 | 240 | 204 | 204 | 175 | 190 | 246 | 256 | 259 | 259 | 185 | 187 | 139 | 139 | 146 | 148 | 250 | 256 | 197 | 197 |
| Rojal | Machina (45) <br> $\operatorname{Rojal}(58,66)$ | 137 | 145 | 224 | 230 | 236 | 240 | 200 | 204 | 181 | 190 | 234 | 242 | 249 | 261 | 187 | 187 | 133 | 139 | 128 | 146 | 246 | 256 | 193 | 197 |
| Tardana | $\xrightarrow{\text { Tortosi }}$ (68) ${ }_{\text {Tardana (10, }}$ 21) | 137 | 149 | 222 | 224 | 236 | 236 | 200 | 204 | 175 | 190 | 234 | 242 | 249 | 261 | 187 | 187 | 135 | 139 | 122 | 146 | 246 | 256 | 191 | 193 |
| Tempranillo | Negra (28) <br> Botón de Gallo | 143 | 145 | 232 | 232 | 236 | 250 | 202 | 204 | 179 | 179 | 256 | 256 | 259 | 271 | 195 | 199 | 137 | 139 | 122 | 146 | 246 | 250 | 197 | 197 |
| Teta de vaca | (20) De la Panga <br> (2) | 135 | 147 | 228 | 234 | 236 | 246 | 198 | 212 | 179 | 190 | 246 | 252 | 249 | 259 | 191 | 203 | 135 | 156 | 136 | 152 | 246 | 256 | 197 | 203 |
| Tinto Velasoo | Frasco (57) <br> Churriago (13) <br> Granadera (15) | 133 | 133 | 228 | 234 | 230 | 250 | 200 | 202 | 175 | 181 | 246 | 258 | 259 | 265 | 199 | 203 | 135 | 139 | 146 | 152 | 236 | 250 | 197 | 197 |
| Genotype 1 | Tinto de Villar de Olalla (29) | 145 | 145 | 224 | 232 | 240 | 246 | 204 | 212 | 179 | 190 | 242 | 256 | 265 | 271 | 191 | 193 | 139 | 139 | 146 | 157 | 256 | 256 | 197 | 197 |

Tab. 1 continued

| Cultivars | Accessions | VVS2 |  | VVMD5 |  | VVMD7 |  | VVMD 21 |  | VVMD27 |  | VVMD 28 |  | VVMD 36 |  | ZAG62 |  | ZAG 64 |  | ZAG 67 |  | ZAG79 |  | ZAG 83 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Genotype 2 | Tinto fino (14) | 147 | 157 | 224 | 232 | 236 | 236 | 200 | 204 | 177 | 181 | 234 | 242 | 249 | 259 | 185 | 187 | 139 | 139 | 146 | 157 | 246 | 250 | 197 | 203 |
| Genotype 3 | Botón de Gallo (22) <br> Botón de Gallo | 133 | 135 | 222 | 228 | 236 | 246 | 188 | 212 | 181 | 181 | 232 | 256 | 271 | 271 | 185 | 187 | 133 | 135 | 128 | 152 | 242 | 250 | 191 | 197 |
| Genotype 4 | $(25,69) \text { Gallera }$ Dorada (51) | 135 | 143 | 228 | 232 | 236 | 240 | 188 | 212 | 177 | 179 | 232 | 234 | 245 | 283 | 187 | 203 | 139 | 156 | 146 | 152 | 246 | 248 | 197 | 197 |
| Genotype 5 | Moscatel $(32,41)$ Gordera Roja (35) | 133 | 143 | 224 | 232 | 246 | 248 | 188 | 198 | 175 | 185 | 242 | 256 | 249 | 259 | 185 | 203 | 135 | 156 | 122 | 136 | 242 | 254 | 191 | 197 |
| Genotype 6 | Gordera Negra (54) | 135 | 143 | 230 | 234 | 240 | 240 | 200 | 204 | 190 | 190 | 246 | 256 | 259 | 259 | 187 | 187 | 135 | 139 | 122 | 148 | 256 | 256 | 193 | 197 |
| Genotype 7 | Teta de Vaca (36) | 137 | 145 | 234 | 236 | 240 | 240 | 198 | 204 | 177 | 179 | 232 | 246 | 259 | 283 | 187 | 187 | 133 | 135 | 122 | 128 | 246 | 246 | 193 | 197 |
| Genotype 8 | Unknown (37) | 135 | 135 | 228 | 234 | 236 | 246 | 188 | 198 | 185 | 185 | 242 | 246 | 259 | 265 | 193 | 201 | 133 | 135 | 122 | 128 | 246 | 256 | 193 | 203 |
| Genotype 9 | Moravia Dulce (39) | 143 | 145 | 224 | 232 | 236 | 240 | 202 | 212 | 179 | 190 | 242 | 256 | 259 | 265 | 191 | 195 | 139 | 139 | 146 | 157 | 246 | 256 | 191 | 197 |
| Genotype 10 | Coloraillo (44) | 145 | 157 | 228 | 236 | 236 | 240 | 204 | 212 | 181 | 190 | 242 | 256 | 265 | 271 | 185 | 191 | 135 | 139 | 146 | 152 | 250 | 256 | 191 | 197 |
| Genotype 11 | Pintailla (46) | 135 | 145 | 222 | 236 | 236 | 236 | 202 | 204 | 177 | 177 | 234 | 256 | 259 | 271 | 187 | 187 | 133 | 139 | 128 | 148 | 246 | 246 | 193 | 197 |
| Genotype 12 | Gallera Negra (52) | 133 | 143 | 232 | 232 | 236 | 236 | 188 | 204 | 179 | 185 | 256 | 256 | 259 | 271 | 185 | 187 | 139 | 156 | 136 | 146 | 242 | 250 | 197 | 197 |
| Genotype 13 | Coloraillo (53) | 143 | 147 | 230 | 242 | 236 | 240 | 202 | 212 | 175 | 190 | 234 | 256 | 245 | 259 | 187 | 203 | 133 | 135 | 128 | 152 | 248 | 256 | 193 | 197 |
| Genotype 14 | Unknown (59) | 143 | 157 | 222 | 232 | 236 | 244 | 200 | 212 | 175 | 181 | 234 | 256 | 259 | 271 | 185 | 203 | 135 | 139 | 146 | 152 | 250 | 250 | 197 | 203 |
| Genotype 15 | Unknown (60) | 137 | 145 | 230 | 232 | 240 | 246 | 198 | 204 | 181 | 190 | 246 | 256 | 249 | 249 | 187 | 203 | 133 | 133 | 128 | 128 | 236 | 256 | 193 | 197 |
| Genotype 16 | Flamenca (64) | 133 | 145 | 224 | 228 | 230 | 240 | 204 | 212 | 175 | 190 | 242 | 266 | 259 | 265 | 191 | 195 | 135 | 156 | 136 | 152 | 254 | 256 | 191 | 197 |
| Cabernet sauvignon | Clone 15 | 139 | 151 | 228 | 236 | 236 | 236 | 198 | 204 | 171 | 185 | 232 | 234 | 249 | 259 | 187 | 193 | 135 | 156 | 122 | 136 | 246 | 246 | 203 | 203 |
| Chardonnay | Clone 96 | 137 | 143 | 230 | 234 | 236 | 240 | 198 | 204 | 177 | 185 | 216 | 226 | 249 | 271 | 187 | 195 | 156 | 160 | 136 | 150 | 242 | 244 | 191 | 203 |



Figure: Map of Castilla La Mancha and location of the prospected zones. Provinces. Cuenca $(\mathrm{Cu})$, Albacete $(\mathrm{Ab})$, Ciudad Real (Cr), Guadalajara (Gu) and Toledo (To). Zones: A, Sacedón (Gu); B, Arrancacepas (Cu); C, Campillo de Altobuey (Cu); D, Villaverde y Pasaconsol (Cu); E, Aliaguilla (Cu); F, Casillas De Ranera (Cu); G, Ribatajada (Cu); H, Villar De Olalla (Cu); I, Madrigueras (Ab); J, Dos Barrios (To); K, Casasimarro (Cu); L, Villagarcía Del Llano (Ab); M. Cañaveras (Cu); N, San Clemente $(\mathrm{Cu}), \mathrm{O}$. Casas de Fernando Alonso $(\mathrm{Cu})$, P. Casas de Haro $(\mathrm{Cu})$. Q. Tomelloso ( Cr ).
$200 \mu \mathrm{M}$ of each dNTPs, 1 x reaction buffer, 1.5 mM of $\mathrm{MgCl}_{2}$, and different amounts of each primer pairs depending on the set (in set A, $0.1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ of each VrZAG62 primer, $0.2 \mu \mathrm{M}$ of each primer of the three primer pairs VVMD7, VVMD27 and VrZAG79, $0.3 \mu \mathrm{M}$ of each VVS2 primer; in set $\mathrm{B}, 0.1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ of each VVMD28 primer and $0.3 \mu \mathrm{M}$ of each VVMD5 primer; and in set C $0.1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ of each primer of ZAG83 and VVMD21, $0.2 \mu \mathrm{M}$ of ZAG67, VrZAG64 and $0.3 \mu \mathrm{M}$ of VVMD36). PCR conditions were $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 12 min, 10 cycles of 15 s at $94^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 15 \mathrm{~s}$ at $55^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and 15 s at $72{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, followed by 20 cycles of 15 s at $89^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 15 \mathrm{~s}$ at $55^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and 15 s at $72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and a final extension of 30 min at $72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. PCR amplifications were separated using capillary electrophoresis, and analysis of fluorescence with an ABIPRISM ${ }^{\text {TM }} 310$ Genetic Analyzer (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA). Fluorescently labelled fragments were detected and sized using Genemapper software (Applied Biosystems). Genescan-500 LIZ ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ (Applied Biosystems) was used as internal standard to assign sizes to DNA fragments.

Data analysis: The genotypes of all accessions in this study were tested against the database containing genotypes of Spanish varieties of grapevine (Martin et al. 2003, IbaÑEz et al. 2003) and other European varieties (Sefc et al. 2000). The number of alleles, the allele frequencies, the expected and observed heterozygosity, the probability of identity and the probability of null alleles were calculated using Identity 1.0 software (WAGNER and Sefc 1999).

## Results and Discussion

The 12 microsatellite loci chosen for this study discriminated 39 different genotypes in 73 analysed cultivars.

Microsatellite results, expressed as allele size in base pairs, are presented in Tab. 1. 'Chardonnay' and 'Cabernet Sauvignon' were used as references, in order to compare the obtained data with other existing microsatellite libraries.

Only the 39 different genotypes obtained were used for the calculation of genetics parameters (Tab. 2) in order to avoid overestimation. A total of 93 alleles, ranging from 10 in ZAG67 and VVMD28 and 4 in ZAG83, were detected with an average of 8 alleles per locus. The most frequent allele was ZAG83-197, which showed a frequency up to $50 \%$ and 13 alleles were unique.

Table 2
Number of alleles (AO), expected heterozygosity (He), observed heterozygosity (Ho), Frequency of null alleles (r), and PI, Probability of identity of 12 SSR loci studied in 39 genotypes obtained from 73 cultivars

| Locus | AO | He | Ho | r | PI |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| VVS2 | 9 | 0.815 | 0.872 | -0.032 | 0.104 |
| VVMD5 | 8 | 0.845 | 0.923 | -0.042 | 0.080 |
| VVMD7 | 7 | 0.702 | 0.744 | -0.025 | 0.218 |
| VVMD27 | 6 | 0.808 | 0.795 | 0.007 | 0.117 |
| ZAG62 | 8 | 0.749 | 0.795 | -0.027 | 0.141 |
| ZAG79 | 9 | 0.783 | 0.821 | -0.021 | 0.142 |
| ZAG 67 | 10 | 0.853 | 0.949 | -0.052 | 0.074 |
| ZAG 64 | 7 | 0.759 | 0.821 | -0.035 | 0.164 |
| ZAG 83 | 4 | 0.596 | 0.692 | -0.061 | 0.314 |
| VVMD 21 | 6 | 0.798 | 0.923 | -0.070 | 0.121 |
| VVMD 28 | 10 | 0.815 | 0.897 | -0.045 | 0.099 |
| VVMD 36 | 9 | 0.800 | 0.846 | -0.026 | 0.117 |
| TOTAL | 93 |  |  |  | $2.29 \mathrm{E}-11$ |
| MEAN | 7.75 | 0.777 | 0.840 | -0.036 | 0.141 |

The expected heterozygosity (gene diversity) ranged from 0.596 at locus ZAG83 to 0.853 at locus ZAG67, with a mean value 0.777 . The observed heterozygosity varied between 0.692 at loci ZAG83 and ZAG62 and 0.949 at locus ZAG67. For all loci, Ho was higher than He, and the probability of null alleles was always negative, except for VVMD27, and very close to 0 , indicating the low probability of null alleles at all studied loci. Samples in which only one single allele per locus was detected were considered as homozygous genotypes instead of heterozygous with a null allele.

The most informative locus for the studied set of accessions was ZAG67, with a probability of identity (Probability of obtaining identical profiles) of 0.074 , and least informative locus was ZAG83 with PI. 0.314.

The 12 microsatellite loci used reflected a high discrimination power ( $99.998 \%$ ) and a low probability that two randomly chosen individuals had identical genotypes using the 12 loci (PI. 2.29 10-11). Thus, cultivars with identical genotypes were considered synonymous. This confirmed the suitability of the system for genetic identification. The number of primers sufficient for reliable varietal identification depends on the nature and discriminating power of each primer (Tessier et al. 1999). Normally 6 primer pairs are sufficient for differentiating between genotypes (ZuLini
et al. 2002, THis et al. 2004), but closely related cultivars require larger numbers of pairs (Meredith 1999). In this case, the 6 couples of primers recommended by the GENRES081 project would be sufficient for differentiating the 39 genotypes obtained.

Tinto Velasco appears removed from the remaining genotypes, with $28 \%$ shared alleles and 'Brujidera' and 'Moravia Dulce' (Genotype 9) are the closest genotypes among all analyzed and shared $75 \%$ of alleles studied.

Cultivar identification: Microsatellite analysis enabled the identification of unknown cultivars (1) which is 'Ariño' in comparison with existing SSR-markers databases. However, the other three unknown accessions are 3 misnamed genotypes. Synonymy detection: In comparison with existing SSR-marker database synonymous accessions could be assigned (Tab. 1). Homonymy detection: Owing to differing genetic profiles for 16 of the analyzed accessions homonymy was detected, namely 'Coloraillo' (44, 53 and 11, 12), 'Moravia Dulce' (39), 'Moscatel' (32, 41), 'Botón de Gallo' (4, 20, 22, 25, 62 and 69), 'Teta de Vaca' (8) and 'Torrontes' (33). 16 unique genetic profiles were detected that probably corresponded to varieties not described previously (genotypes 1 to 16 in Tab. 1). In the future, these new genotypes will have to be described ampelographically and, where possible, named accordingly. Four genotypes called 'Coloraillo' were differentiated, from the true 'Coloraillo' (accession 38) described by Martin et al. (2003). Accessions 11 and 12 were synonyms of 'Rojal' and the other two (44 and 53) are two different genotypes. 'Botón de Gallo' showed the same phenomenon. Five different genotypes were among the 6 studied accessions, three ( 22,25 and 69 ) with two different genotypes, accession (62) was 'Cinsaut', accession 20 and 'De la Panga' (2) were identified as 'Teta de Vaca' and accession (4), 'Teta de Vaca' (8) and 'Uva de Planta' (19) were 'Beba'. 'Moravia Dulce' displayed the largest number of synonymous: some were previously known, such as 'Brujidera' and 'Crujidera', and others were new, such as 'Colgadera' and 'Rucial'. Accession 39 turned out to be a homonym from the previous group. 'Moravio' was a synonym of 'Bobal' and not of 'Moravia'. 'Tinto Fino' has always been considered a synonym of 'Tempranillo', 'Cencibel' or 'Tinto del País', but in this case, this accession was a different genotype; hence, it was a misnamed accession. 'Tinto Basto' (authorized variety in Castilla La Mancha) is a synonym of 'Garnacha'. 'Torrontes' (33) was confirmed to be a synonym of 'Alarije' and 'Aris', contrasting with the 'Torrontes' described in the bibliography.
'Malvar' is sometimes confused with 'Airén' (Ibañez et al. 2003), but is clearly separable by microsatellite analysis; hence, accession 3 is considered to be a misnamed genotype and not a homonym of 'Malvar' (43). 'Bobal Blanca' is a synonym of 'Alcañon'. This variation is not due to berry color mutation such as in the 'Garnacha Blanca', 'Peluda', 'Gris' and 'Tinta' varieties (IbaÑEz et al. 2003 and Martin et al. 2003), whose differences could not be detected by microsatellite analysis. In this case, they had different genotypes and only shared $42 \%$ of the studied alleles with 'Bobal Tinta'.

In the cases of 'Gallera Negra', 'Gallera Dorada', 'Gordera Manchega' and 'Gordera Negra/Roja', despite withdrawals in the same geographical area and similar names, the phenotypic and genetic variations were very high, sharing only $46 \%$ and $42 \%$ of the studied alleles, respectively; Hence, they surely had different origins.

It is important to highlight that the genotype obtained for 'Moscatel' $(32,41)$ did not coincide with any of those described by Crespan et al. (2001), for 64 accessions of Moscatel, and shared $50 \%$ alleles with 'Moscatel de Grano Menudo' which is closer to Genotype 16 that also has Muscat flavour.

The results obtained show that the differentiation of certain Castilla La Mancha cultivars with microsatellite markers is feasible. This study allowed us to clarify some synonyms, homonyms and misnaming. 16 genotypes of unknown identity have been found. Therefore, this is the first step in the process of establishing a collection of varieties of grapevine, using the region as a reference and where most of the cultivars are perfectly identified and catalogued.
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