Henry Ford Health System Henry Ford Health System Scholarly Commons

Clinical Research

Medical Education Research Forum 2019

5-2019

Does the use of peri-articular anesthetic cocktail provide adequate pain control following shoulder arthroplasty?

Elizabeth Klag *Henry Ford Health System*, eklag1@hfhs.org

Gabriel J Sheena Henry Ford Health System

Kelechi R Okoroha Henry Ford Health System, KOKOROH1@hfhs.org

Stephanie J. Muh Henry Ford Health System, Smuh1@hfhs.org

Follow this and additional works at: https://scholarlycommons.henryford.com/merf2019clinres

Recommended Citation

Klag, Elizabeth; Sheena, Gabriel J; Okoroha, Kelechi R; and Muh, Stephanie J., "Does the use of peri-articular anesthetic cocktail provide adequate pain control following shoulder arthroplasty?" (2019). *Clinical Research*. 32. https://scholarlycommons.henryford.com/merf2019clinres/32

This Poster is brought to you for free and open access by the Medical Education Research Forum 2019 at Henry Ford Health System Scholarly Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in Clinical Research by an authorized administrator of Henry Ford Health System Scholarly Commons. For more information, please contact acabrer4@hfhs.org.

DOES THE USE OF PERI-ARTICULAR ANESTHETIC COCKTAIL PROVIDE ADEQUATE PAIN CONTROL FOLLOWING SHOULDER ARTHROPLASTY?

ELIZABETH KLAG M.D., GABRIEL SHEENA B.S., KELECHI OKOROHA M.D., STEPHANIE J MUH M.D.

Henry Ford Health System

Detroit, MI





BACKGROUND

- Regional anesthesia
 - Interscalene nerve block (INB)
- Local infiltration analgesia
 - Liposomal bupivacaine (LB) long lasting agent
 - Anesthetic Cocktail usually consisting of ketorolac, ropivacaine, epinephrine, and sometimes morphine





PURPOSE

- Prospective cohort study of post-operative pain control
 - INB vs. Local LB vs. Local infiltration cocktail (LIC)
 - LIC consisting of ropivacaine, ketorolac, and epinephrine
- Hypothesis: No significant difference in pain levels or narcotic requirements with LIC





METHODS

- Prospective trial
- Non-Industry Sponsored
- 87 patients, Age: 18+
- Primary TSA or RTSA
- 3 fellowship trained shoulder surgeons
- Exclusions: Alcohol/drug abuse, allergies, pregnancy





METHODS

- Nerve block group:
 - Preop ultrasound guided INB
- Liposomal Bupivacaine Group:
 - 20cc LB/ 20 cc saline
- LIC
 - 200 mg 0.5% ropivacaine, 1 mg epinephrine, 30 mg ketorolac
- Standardized pain regimen
- VAS, opioid consumption & LOS collected







RESULTS

Table I. Patient Demographics				
	LIC	INB	LB	P-value
Number of patients	30 (34.5%)	31 (335.6%)	26 (29.9%)	
Males	15 (17.2%)	16 (18.4%)	12 (13.8%)	0.916
Females	15 (17.2%)	15 (17.2 %)	14 (16.1%)	0.916
Mean age (SD), years	73.5 (7.8)	67.3 (12.9)	69.4 (8.1)	0.014*
Mean BMI, kg/m ² (SD)	28.6 (7.0)	29.8 (5.3)	32.3 (6.5)	0.030*
Surgery				
TSA	2 (2.3%)	15 (17.2%)	18 (20.7%)	<0.001
RTSA	28 (33.2%)	16 (18.4%)	8 (9.2%)	<0.001

LIC, local infiltration cocktail; INB, interscalene nerve block; LB, liposomal bupivacaine; BMI, body mass index; TSA, total shoulder arthroplasty; RTSA, reverse total shoulder arthroplasty

* Average age in LIC group is higher than age in INB group (p=0.014). Average BMI in LIC group is lower than BMI in LB group (p=0.030). Bold values are statistically significant.



RESULTS





RESULTS





CONCLUSION

- Shorter LOS:1.5 days for INB and LB vs 1.0 days for LIC (p < 0.001)
- 1 complication in INB group
- LIC appears to be an effective means of obtaining adequate overall post-operative pain control when compared to INB and LB without significant increase in opioid requirements





THANK YOU





