



Faculty of Manufacturing Engineering

**DEFORMATION OF L-SHAPED AEROSPACE COMPOSITE
COMPONENTS**

Mohammad Hamdan Bin Mohd. Sanusi

Doctor of Philosophy

2017

DEFORMATION OF L-SHAPED AEROSPACE COMPOSITE COMPONENTS

MOHAMMAD HAMDAN BIN MOHD. SANUSI

**A thesis submitted
in fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy**

Faculty of Manufacturing Engineering

UNIVERSITI TEKNIKAL MALAYSIA MELAKA

2017

DECLARATION

I declare that this thesis entitle “Deformation of L-Shaped Aerospace Composite Components” is the result of my own research except as cited in the references. The thesis has not been accepted for any degree and is not concurrently submitted in the candidature of any other degree.

Signature :

Name : .. Mohammad Hamdan b. Mohd Sanusi ..

Date :

APPROVAL

I hereby declare that I have read this thesis and in my opinion this thesis is sufficient in terms of scope and quality for the award of Doctor of Philosophy.

Signature :

Supervisor Name :

Date :

DEDICATION

To my beloved parents, wife and family.

ABSTRACT

Advanced composite materials are increasingly selected to be used in fabricating new generation of aircraft primary structures than traditional materials due to its high strength-to-weight ratio, fatigue and corrosion resistance. Despite the rapid rising of the composite usage in aircraft industry recently, composite structures depict process-induced geometrical and dimensional distortion after processing. The shape deformation is unpredictable and contributes a mismatch between assembled components. Often, a traditional trial-and-error approach is deployed iteratively to ensure manufacturability in the mass production, which is very uneconomical, expensive and time consuming. There is still lacking experimental data and studies on the effect of different weaving styles of plain weave (PW), 5 harness satin (5HS) and 8 harness satin (8HS) in affecting shape deformation of angled composite laminates. The composite material selected was carbon fibre reinforced with epoxy matrix that could be cured at elevated temperature of 180°C with 7 bars pressure in the autoclave. Using design of experiment (DOE) methodology, two-level fractional factorials of 2^{4-1} Resolution IV were performed to investigate the main effects and interactions of different plies orientation, number of layers, sample sizes, tool materials as well as weaving styles in affecting the spring-in angle of composite laminates. From the design of experiments and analyses of variances, the plies orientation, number of layers, sample sizes and weaving styles were successfully determined to be significant when comparing the effect of PW and 8HS woven fabric. PW fabric induced approximately three times spring-in angle than 8HS. This relationship was determined to be strong with R-squared value of 97.2% and 87.9% when the aluminium and carbon tool was kept constant, respectively. Meanwhile, the relationship of PW and 5HS, and 5HS and 8HS were moderate with an average of R-squared values of 66%. There were some level two interaction terms affecting shape deformation mainly between plies orientation and tool materials when the weaving material was kept constant. On the other hand, the majority of level two interaction terms were between plies orientation and number of layers, and plies orientation and sample sizes when the tool materials were kept constant. Using the actual testing results of coefficient of thermal expansion and chemical shrinkage, the analytical data was calculated and compared with the actual measured results. The coefficient of thermal expansion and chemical shrinkage strain at the through-thickness direction is larger by 10 to 18 times than the in-plane properties dependent of the types of weaving pattern. Unfortunately, the analytical results were not in agreement with the experimental data possibly due to fibres misalignment and slippage during the lay-up process as well as non-thermoelastic properties not taken into account. Despite that, the effect of weaving styles cannot be ignored because statistically there were some main effects and interaction terms that might affect the shape deformation of L-shaped composite laminates.

ABSTRAK

Bahan komposit terkini semakin dipilih untuk digunakan dalam rekabentuk generasi baru struktur utama pesawat kapal terbang di bandingkan dengan bahan-bahan tradisional kerana mempunyai keutuhan bahan serta ringan, ketahanan struktur dan tiada pengaratan. Walaupun penggunaan komposit dalam industri pesawat meningkat baru-baru ini, struktur komposit mempunyai masalah kecacatan daripada segi geometri dan dimensi yang disebabkan oleh pemprosesan. Perubahan rupa bentuk tidak dapat diduga dan menyumbang kepada ketidaksepadanan antara komponen yang dipasang. Selalunya, teknik tradisional yakni percubaan berulang-kali digunakan untuk memastikan kesesuaian pengilangan sebelum pengeluaran besar-besaran, yang sangat tidak ekonomi, mahal dan memakan masa. Terdapat kekurangan data eksperimen dan kajian mengenai kesan gaya tenunan yang berbeza seperti tenunan biasa (PW), tenunan 5 satin (5HS) dan tenunan 8 satin (8HS) dalam mempengaruhi bentuk perubahan komposit laminat yang berbentuk sudut tepat. Menggunakan rekabentuk ujikaji dengan faktorial pecahan dua peringkat iaitu 2^{4-1} Resolusi IV dilakukan untuk mengkaji kesan utama dan interaksi pelbagai lapisan orientasi, jumlah lapisan, saiz sampel, bahan acuan serta gaya tenunan dalam mempengaruhi perubahan komposit laminat. Bahan komposit yang dipilih adalah serat karbon yang diperkukuhkan dengan resin epoksi yang boleh dipanaskan pada suhu tinggi 180°C dengan tekanan 7 bar dalam mesin pendandang. Berdasarkan kepada rekabentuk eksperimen dan analisa variasi, didapati orientasi ply, bilangan lapisan, saiz sampel dan gaya tenunan adalah faktor-faktor yang signifikan di dalam mempengaruhi kesan tenunan PW dan 8HS. Tenunan PW boleh mengakibatkan perubahan sudut komposit laminat sebanyak tiga kali ganda besar berbanding dengan tenunan 8HS. Hubungan ini adalah kuat dengan mempunyai nilai R^2 sebanyak 97.2% dan 87.9% untuk setiap acuan yang diperbuat daripada aluminium dan karbon. Sementara itu, hubungan tenunan PW dan 5HS, dan 5HS dan 8HS adalah sederhana dengan nilai purata R^2 sebanyak 66%. Dari segi interaksi, hanya tahap dua dapat menjejaskan rekabentuk yakni di antara orientasi ply dan acuan apabila faktor tenunan adalah kekal. Sebaliknya, majoriti tahap dua dari segi interaksi adalah di antara orientasi ply dan jumlah lapisan, dan orientasi ply dan sampel saiz apabila faktor acuan adalah kekal. Daripada ujian makmal, keputusan kadar pengembangan haba dan pengecutan kimia resin digunakan untuk mengira analisa ramalan dan dibandingkan dengan keputusan eksperimen. Pekali pengembangan haba dan pengecutan kimia pada arah ketebalan lebih besar sebanyak 10 hingga 18 kali ganda daripada dalam-satah yang mana ianya bergantung kepada jenis corak tenunan. Malangnya, keputusan analisa ramalan dan eksperimen tidak sama berkemungkinan disebabkan oleh serat gentian tidak selari dan tergelincir, serta pengaruh bukan termoelastik yang tidak diambil kira. Walaupun begitu, kesan gaya tenunan tidak boleh diabaikan kerana secara statistik terdapat beberapa faktor-faktor utama dan interaksi yang mungkin dapat memberi kesan kepada perubahan rekabentuk komposit laminat berbentuk 'L'.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

First and foremost, I am very grateful and thankful to Allah s.w.t., God Almighty, for giving me the health, energy, strength and perseverance to complete this dissertation. I would like to express my deepest gratitude and appreciation to my supervisor, Dato' Professor Dr. Abu bin Abdullah from Faculty of Manufacturing Engineering of Universiti Teknikal Malaysia Melaka (UTeM) for his supervision, motivation and encouragement towards the completion of this thesis. Without his guidance and persistent support this thesis would not have been possible. I would also like to express my gratitude and appreciation to Professor Dr. Razali bin Muhammad from Pusat Pengajian Siswazah of UTeM who had been supervising and guiding me during the early days of my research project. I would like to extend my gratitude and appreciation to Professor Madya Dr. Mohd. Rizal bin Salleh as my co-supervisor in guiding me in completing this thesis. In addition, special thanks to the Senior Management of CTRM who has supported my research project. I would like to thank my colleagues, friends and technicians in CTRM as well as others who had assisted me in completing my experimental works and testing. Finally, I would like to express my appreciation and sincere thanks to my late father (Mohd. Sanusi), mother (Puteh), mother-in-law (Sena), wife (Jalilah) and children (Husaini, Marsya and Marissa) for their love, patience and encouragement.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	PAGE
DECLARATION	
APPROVAL	
DEDICATION	
ABSTRACT	i
ABSTRAK	ii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	iii
TABLE OF CONTENTS	iv
LIST OF TABLES	vii
LIST OF FIGURES	xi
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS AND SYMBOLS	xix
LIST OF PUBLICATIONS	xxiii
CHAPTER	
1. INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Background	1
1.2 Problem Statement	2
1.3 Research Objectives	7
1.4 Scope of Research Study	8
1.5 Thesis Outline	9
2. LITERATURE REVIEW	11
2.1 Composite Material	11
2.1.1 Fibre Reinforcement in Polymer Matrix Composite (PMC)	16
2.1.2 Polymer Matrix	17
2.2 Composite Processing Technologies for Polymer Matrix Composite	21
2.2.1 Hand Lay-up	21
2.2.2 Vacuum Bag Moulding	22
2.2.3 Oven and Autoclave Moulding	23
2.2.4 Resin Transfer Moulding and Vacuum-Assisted Resin Transfer Moulding	24
2.2.5 Pultrusion	26
2.2.6 Compression Moulding	26
2.2.7 Filament Winding	27
2.3 Part Geometries	28
2.4 Sources of Process Induced Shape Deformation	32
2.4.1 Residual Stresses	32
2.4.2 Thermal Expansion	35
2.4.3 Chemical Resin Cure Shrinkage	37
2.4.4 Curing Processing	39
2.5 Tooling	42
2.5.1 Tooling Material	42
2.5.2 Tooling Geometry	44
2.5.3 Open and Closed Form	45
2.6 Lay-up Configuration	47
2.6.1 Release Coating	47

2.6.2 Stacking Sequence and Plies Orientation	48
2.7 Woven Fabric	50
2.8 Measurement Method of Shape Deformation	54
2.9 Non-Destructive Testing (NDT)	54
2.10 Material Characterization	56
2.11 Prediction of Shape Deformation in Composite Part	57
2.12 Design of Experiment (DOE)	59
3. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	61
3.1 Design of Experiment (DOE)	63
3.2 Materials Selection	66
3.3 Tooling	66
3.4 Composite Manufacturing Processes	68
3.4.1 Mould Preparation	68
3.4.2 Cutting Materials	69
3.4.3 Lay-up	72
3.4.4 Autoclave	75
3.4.5 Demould	77
3.5 Measurements	78
3.5.1 Angularity	78
3.5.2 Weight	79
3.5.3 Thickness	79
3.6 Non-Destructive Testing (NDT)	80
3.7 Destructive Testing	81
3.7.1 Coefficient of Thermal Expansion (CTE) and Chemical Shrinkage (CS)	82
3.7.2 Glass Transition Temperature (T _g)	83
3.7.3 Fibre Volume Content	84
3.7.4 Tensile Strength and Modulus	85
3.7.5 Microscopic Analysis	86
4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS	89
4.1 Measurements	89
4.1.1 Angularity	89
4.1.2 Weight	89
4.1.3 Thickness Measurement	91
4.2 Phase I: DOE and ANOVA	92
4.2.1 Effect of PW Fabric on Spring-in Angle with Fractional Factorial of 2 ⁴⁻¹ Resolution IV	92
4.2.2 Effect of 5HS Fabric on Spring-in Angle with Fractional Factorial of 2 ⁴⁻¹ Resolution IV	95
4.2.3 Effect of 8HS Fabric on Spring-in Angle with Fractional Factorial of 2 ⁴⁻¹ Resolution IV	99
4.2.4 Discussion	102
4.3 Phase II: DOE and ANOVA	105
4.3.1 Effect of PW and 5HS on Spring-in Angle with Aluminium Tool	106
4.3.2 Effect of PW and 8HS on Spring-in Angle with Aluminium Tool	108
4.3.3 Effect of 5HS and 8HS on Spring-in Angle with Aluminium Tool	111
4.3.4 Effect of PW and 5HS on Spring-in Angle with Carbon Tool	114

4.3.5 Effect of PW and 8HS on Spring-in Angle with Carbon Tool	117
4.3.6 Effect of 5HS and 8HS on Spring-in Angle with Carbon Tool	120
4.3.7 Discussion	122
4.4 Non-Destructive Testing	126
4.4.1 Discussion	128
4.5 Destructive Testing	129
4.5.1 Coefficient of Thermal Expansion (CTE)	129
4.5.2 Chemical Shrinkage Strain	131
4.5.3 Discussion	132
4.5.4 Glass Transition Temperature (T _g)	133
4.5.5 Fibre Volume (V _f) and Resin Content (R _c)	138
4.5.6 Tensile Modulus (TM) and Tensile Strength (TS)	141
4.5.7 Micro-Section Analysis	145
4.6 Discussion and Comparisons of Experimental and Analytical Prediction	149
4.6.1 Discussion and Comparison of Analytical Calculations and Experimental Results	149
4.6.2 Discussion and Comparison of Measured In-plane CTEs with Rules of Mixture Calculation	151
5. CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORKS	153
5.1 Future Works	155
REFERENCES	156

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE	TITLE	PAGE
1.1	Part geometries and its applications in aerospace composite structures adapted from Soutis (2005) and Brauner et al. (2015)	3
1.2	Recent studies of composite shape deformation using different part geometries, weaving styles, part configuration, type of materials and processing technology	7
2.1	Summary of matrix phases with its reinforcement and matrices (Callister, 2006, Sinha, 2006, Balasubramanian, 2013)	14
2.2	Summary of matrix phases with its advantages, disadvantages, processing techniques and applications (Callister, 2006, Sinha, 2006, Balasubramanian, 2013)	15
2.3	Material properties of several fibre-reinforcement materials (Callister, 2006)	18
2.4	Typical thermoset resin materials properties (Sinha, 2006)	20
2.5	Typical thermoplastic resin materials properties (Sinha, 2006)	20
2.6	Summary of advantages and disadvantages between thermoset and thermoplastic resin (Steinbüchel, 1995, Gilleo and Ongley, 1999)	21
2.7	Thermo-mechanical level with its coordinate system and length scale of stress (Johnston, 1997)	33

2.8	Past research studies using woven fabrics other than unidirectional	52
2.9	Comparison of different weaving styles and properties (Aliabadi, 2015)	53
3.1	Phase I - Fractional factorial of 24-1 Resolution IV with low and high setting where the weaving style will be kept constant for PW, 5HS and 8HS in the ANOVA analysis	65
3.2	Phase II - Fractional factorial of 24-1 Resolution IV with low and high setting where the tool material (aluminium or carbon) will be kept constant	65
3.3	Experimental design matrix using Minitab software for fractional factorial 24-1 Resolution IV	66
3.4	Materials properties of different weaving styles (Anonymous, 2010)	66
3.5	Magnification Levels for NK Vision Microscope	88
3.6	Magnification Levels for Olympus	88
4.1	Weight calculation and measurement for each composite laminate	90
4.2	Thickness calculation and measurement for each laminate	91
4.3	Spring-in angle measurement for PW composite laminates	93
4.4	ANOVA experimental results for spring-in angle of L-shaped composite laminates with PW fabric ($\alpha = 0.05$)	94
4.5	Spring-in angle measurement for 5HS composite laminates	97
4.6	ANOVA experimental results for spring-in angle of L-shaped composite laminates with 5HS fabric ($\alpha = 0.05$)	97
4.7	Spring-in angle measurement for 8HS composite laminates	100
4.8	ANOVA experimental results for spring-in angle of L-shaped composite laminates with 8HS fabric ($\alpha = 0.05$)	100

4.9	Comparison of significance factors affecting spring-in angles of PW, 5HS and 8HS composite parts where ‘-’ and ‘X’ denotes insignificant and significant factor respectively	104
4.10	Regression equations with R-squared and standard error for spring-in angle (‘Y’) of PW, 5HS and 8HS fabric where ‘A’, ‘B’, ‘C’ and ‘D’ denotes plies orientation, no. of layers, sample sizes and tool materials respectively	104
4.11	Spring-in angle measurement for PW and 5HS composite laminates	106
4.12	ANOVA experimental results for spring-in angle of L-shaped composite laminates between PW and 5HS ($\alpha = 0.05$)	107
4.13	Spring-in angle measurement for PW and 8HS composite laminates	109
4.14	ANOVA experimental results for spring-in angle of L-shaped composite laminates between PW and 8HS ($\alpha = 0.05$)	110
4.15	Spring-in angle measurement for 5HS and 8HS composite laminates	112
4.16	ANOVA experimental results for spring-in angle of L-shaped composite laminates between 5HS and 8HS ($\alpha = 0.05$)	113
4.17	Spring-in angle measurement for PW and 5HS composite laminates	115
4.18	ANOVA experimental results for spring-in angle of L-shaped composite laminates between PW and 5HS ($\alpha = 0.05$)	115
4.19	Spring-in angle measurement for PW and 8HS composite laminates	118
4.20	ANOVA experimental results for spring-in angle of L-shaped composite laminates between PW and 8HS ($\alpha = 0.05$)	118
4.21	Spring-in angle measurement for 5HS and 8HS composite laminates	120
4.22	ANOVA experimental results for spring-in angle of L-shaped	121

	composite laminates between 5HS and 8HS ($\alpha = 0.05$)	
4.23	Comparison of significance factors affecting spring-in angles of PW vs. 5HS, PW vs. 8HS and 5HS vs. 8HS composite parts where ‘-’ and ‘X’ denotes insignificant and significant factor respectively	125
4.24	Regression equations with R-squared and standard error for spring-in angle (‘Y’) of PW vs. 5HS, PW vs. 8HS and 5HS vs. 8HS fabric with aluminium tool where ‘A’, ‘B’, ‘C’ and ‘D’ denotes plies orientation, no. of layers, sample sizes and weaving styl	125
4.25	Regression equations with R-squared and standard error for spring-in angle (‘Y’) of PW vs. 5HS, PW vs. 8HS and 5HS vs. 8HS fabric with carbon tool where ‘A’, ‘B’, ‘C’ and ‘D’ denotes plies orientation, no. of layers, sample sizes and weaving styles	126
4.26	Test samples	127
4.27	Range of ultrasonic level in decibel penetrating various thicknesses made from PW, 5HS and 8HS	128
4.28	Summary of Tg Onset	137
4.29	Experimental data of fibre volume and resin volume for woven fabrics	139
4.30	Calculated residual stress and the measured height of the deflection of flat laminates	144
4.31	Comparison of predicted spring-in angles with experimental data (standard deviation in parentheses)	151

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE	TITLE	PAGE
1.1	The materials breakdown for Boeing 787 airframe (Hale, 2006, Nayak, 2014)	2
1.2	Intrinsic and extrinsic variables known to affect geometrical instability of composite structure where score 3, 2, 1 and 0 indicates large, medium, small and least effect respectively (Svanberg, 2002)	6
2.1	Category of natural and synthetic fibres (Jawaid and Abdul Khalil, 2011)	12
2.2	Classification of composite types (Callister, 2006)	13
2.3	Traditional manual lay-up process (Campbell, 2004)	22
2.4	Production process of prepreg with thermoset resin (Callister, 2006)	22
2.5	Bagging sequence for flat composite laminates (Mezeix et al., 2015)	23
2.6	Autoclave forming process for composite parts (Hoa, 2009)	24
2.7	RTM manufacturing process flow (Campbell, 2004)	25
2.8	VARTM processing schematic diagram (Song, 2003)	25
2.9	Pultrusion process flow (Meyer, 1985)	26
2.10	Compression molding schematic diagram (Davis et al., 2003)	27
2.11	Filament winding process (Askeland et al., 2011)	28
2.12	Flat composite laminate, (a) no change in geometrical shape during curing process and (b) after end of cure the laminate warps out of	30

	plane (Radford, 2010).	
2.13	Cured shapes of composite laminates. (a) At the curing temperature, (b) saddle shape, (c) and (d) cylindrical shapes (Ren et al., 2003).	30
2.14	Spring-in angle before and after cure (Radford and Diefendorf, 1993, Radford and Rennick, 2000)	32
2.15	A schematic set-up of the Moiré' Interferometry for the FBGS technique (Mulle et al., 2007)	36
2.16	Curing of thermoset resin (a) monomer stage, (b) linear growth and branching, (c) formation of gelled but incompletely cross-linked network, and (d) fully cured thermoset (Garstka et al., 2007).	38
2.17	Stresses in fibre and matrix during cure cycle, (a) compressive stress occurs in fibres during volumetric shrinkage and (b) tensile stress during thermal expansion (Russell et al., 2000)	39
2.18	Material properties change of a thermosetting polymer matrix during a typical curing process (Johnston, 1997).	41
2.19	The physical properties of polymer matrix change throughout the curing process are divided into three regions where V_i is the specific volume at gelation, and V_f is the specific volume at 100% cure (Shah and Schubel, 2010).	41
2.20	CTE mismatch between mould tool and composite laminate upon completion of curing process (Fernlund et al., 2002c, Potter et al., 2005)	43
2.21	CTE of different tooling materials (Burden, 1989, Burke, 2003)	44

2.22	Part-tool interaction reduced shape distortion of composite part when using concave tool instead of convex tool (Radford, 2010).	45
2.23	Schematic representation of open and mould process: (a) before the cure and (b) at the end of the cure (Palardy et al., 2008, Khoun and Hubert, 2010).	46
2.24	The effect of the use of FEP release film against mould release agent (Ersoy et al., 2005b)	48
2.25	Thermal expansion for ply orientation (Hubert and Poursartip, 2001)	49
2.26	Types of weaving styles in a fabric (Anonymous, 2010)	53
2.27	Through-transmission utilizing ultrasonic technique with a transmitter and a receiver (Kapadia, 2007)	55
2.28	Typical A-scan display of pulse-echo (Kapadia, 2007)	56
2.29	Micrographs of the cross section of (a) plain weave and (b) 8H satin weave (Rinn, 2014).	57
2.30	SEM micrograph of 8HS composite plaque (Searles et al., 2001)	57
3.1	Activities in determining the effects of input variables to the shape deformation of angled composite laminates	62
3.2	Overview of the input variables, DOE and output response	63
3.3	Mould tools made from (a) carbon and (b) aluminium material	67
3.4	Flowchart of typical composite manufacturing processes	68
3.5	Flowchart of cutting materials	70
3.6	Gerbercutter DCS3500 machine	71
3.7	Nesting file for cutting purpose	71

3.8	Coordinate system for fibre direction for L-shaped composite laminate where the longitudinal direction is along the 0° direction and the transverse direction is following the 90° direction (Mohd Sanusi and Abdullah, 2015)	73
3.9	Ancillary materials used in the debulking process and final bagging process	75
3.10	Manufacturer recommended curing cycle for curing composite laminates (Anonymous, 2010)	76
3.11	Autoclave machine	77
3.12	Cured composite laminates	78
3.13	CMM for angular measurement	79
3.14	MIDAS Flatbed Ultrasonic machine	80
3.15	NDT reference standard 100 x 100 ml with artificial defects at the middle of laminate	81
3.16	Test specimens extraction location from L-shaped composite laminate with the required test specification method and dimension	82
3.17	Dilatometer equipment. Courtesy of UniMAP.	83
3.18	Dynamic Mechanical Analyzer.	84
3.19	Measuring equipment as part of acid digestion process	85
3.20	Universal Testing Machine	86
3.21	Grinder and Polisher Machine	87
3.22	High Magnification Microscopes (a) Olympus and (b) NK Vision	87
4.1	Comparison of calculated weight and measured weight for each laminate	90

4.2	Comparison of calculated thickness and measured thickness for each laminate	92
4.3	Main effect plots of spring-in angle using PW fabric vs. (a) plies orientation, (b) no. of layers, (c) sample sizes and (d) tool materials	94
4.4	Interaction plots of spring-in angle using PW fabric vs. (a) plies orientation, (b) no. of layers, (c) sample sizes and (d) tool materials	95
4.5	Main effect plots of spring-in angle using 5HS fabric vs. (a) plies orientation, (b) no. of layers, (c) sample sizes and (d) tool materials	98
4.6	Interaction plots of spring-in angle using 5HS fabric vs. (a) plies orientation, (b) no. of layers, (c) sample sizes and (d) tool materials	98
4.7	Main effect plots of spring-in angle using 8HS fabric vs. (a) plies orientation, (b) no. of layers, (c) sample sizes and (d) tool materials	101
4.8	Interaction plots of spring-in angle using 8HS fabric vs. (a) plies orientation, (b) no. of layers, (c) sample sizes and (d) tool materials	101
4.9	Spring-in angle of L-shaped composite laminates with different plies orientation, number of layers, sample sizes, tool materials and woven fabrics.	105
4.10	Main effect plot of spring-in angle of PW and 5HS vs. (a) plies orientation, (b) number of layers, (c) sample sizes and (d) weaving style	107
4.11	Interaction plots of spring-in angle for PW and 5HS vs. (a) plies orientation, (b) no. of layers, (c) sample sizes and (d) weaving styles	108
4.12	Main effect plot of spring-in angle of PW and 8HS vs. (a) plies orientation, (b) number of layers, (c) sample sizes and (d) weaving	110

	style	
4.13	Interaction plots of spring-in angle for PW and 8HS vs. (a) plies orientation, (b) no. of layers, (c) sample sizes and (d) weaving styles	111
4.14	Main effect plot of spring-in angle of 5HS and 8HS vs. (a) plies orientation, (b) number of layers, (c) sample sizes and (d) weaving style	113
4.15	Interaction plots of spring-in angle for PW and 8HS vs. (a) plies orientation, (b) no. of layers, (c) sample sizes and (d) weaving styles	114
4.16	Main effect plot of spring-in angle of PW and 5HS vs. (a) plies orientation, (b) number of layers, (c) sample sizes and (d) weaving style	116
4.17	Interaction plots of spring-in angle for PW and 5HS vs. (a) plies orientation, (b) no. of layers, (c) sample sizes and (d) weaving styles	116
4.18	Main effect plot of spring-in angle of PW and 8HS vs. (a) plies orientation, (b) number of layers, (c) sample sizes and (d) weaving style	119
4.19	Interaction plots of spring-in angle for PW and 8HS vs. (a) plies orientation, (b) no. of layers, (c) sample sizes and (d) weaving styles	119
4.20	Main effect plot of spring-in angle of 5HS and 8HS vs. (a) plies orientation, (b) number of layers, (c) sample sizes and (d) weaving style	121
4.21	Interaction plots of spring-in angle for 5HS and 8HS vs. (a) plies orientation, (b) no. of layers, (c) sample sizes and (d) weaving styles	122
4.22	Ultrasonic scanning results for A (PW [0]8), B (PW [0/-45/45/90]s), C	127

	(5HS [0]8), D (5HS [0/-45/45/90]s), E (8HS [0]8) and F (8HS [0/-45/45/90]s)	
4.23	Portable A-scan probe of Epoch 600 scanning corner radius of angled composite laminate	128
4.24	The coefficient of thermal expansion of PW, 5HS and 8HS composite laminates in the in-plane and through-thickness direction with plies orientation of [0]8 and [0/-45/45/90]s	130
4.25	The chemical shrinkage strain of PW, 5HS and 8HS composite laminates in the in-plane and through-thickness direction with plies orientation of [0]8 and [0/-45/45/90]s	132
4.26	Tg result for PW with [0]8 plies orientation	134
4.27	Tg result for PW with [0/-45/45/90]s plies orientation	134
4.28	Tg result for 5HS with [0]8 plies orientation	135
4.29	Tg result for 5HS with [0/-45/45/90]s plies orientation	135
4.30	Tg result for 8HS with [0]8 plies orientation	136
4.31	Tg result for 8HS with [0/-45/45/90]s plies orientation	136
4.32	Dried fibres after acid digestion process during Vf testing	138
4.33	Comparison of fibre volume of different weaving styles and plies orientation where the recommended value is based on material data (Anonymous, 2010).	139
4.34	Comparison of resin volume of different weaving styles and plies orientation where the recommended value is based on material data (Anonymous, 2010).	140
4.35	Tensile strength for PW, 5HS and 8HS composite laminates	142

4.36	Tensile modulus for PW, 5HS and 8HS composite laminates	142
4.37	A typical acceptable failure mode of fibre breakout on mould tool's side surface	143
4.38	A typical acceptable failure mode of fibre breakout on bagging's side surface	143
4.39	Microsection analysis of PW [0]8 using (a) NK Vision with 3X magnification and (b) Olympus with 10X magnification	146
4.40	Microsection analysis of PW [0/-45/45/90]s using (a) NK Vision with 3X magnification and (b) Olympus with 10X magnification	146
4.41	Microsection analysis of 5HS [0]8 using (a) NK Vision with 3X magnification and (b) Olympus with 10X magnification	147
4.42	Microsection analysis of 5HS [0/-45/45/90]s using (a) NK Vision with 3X magnification and (b) Olympus with 10X magnification	147
4.43	Microsection analysis of 8HS [0]8 using (a) NK Vision with 3X magnification and (b) Olympus with 10X magnification	148
4.44	Microsection analysis of 8HS [0/-45/45/90]s using (a) NK Vision with 3X magnification and (b) Olympus with 10X magnification	148
4.45	Comparison of predicted spring-in angle with experimental data	151
4.46	Comparison of measured and predicted CTEs using rules of mixture	152

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS AND SYMBOLS

ACT	Advanced Composite Tool
AITM	Airbus Industries Testing Method
ASTM	American Standard Testing Method
ANOVA	Analysis of variance approach
BMC	Bulk-moulding compound
CMC	Ceramic Matrix Composite
ΔT	Change in temperature
CS	Chemical shrinkage
CTE	Coefficient of thermal expansion
CTRM	Composites Technology Research Malaysia
CMM	Coordinated Measuring Machine
$\Delta\theta_{CS}$	Cure shrinkage
DOF	Degree of freedom
DOE	Design of experiment
DDM	Direct Differentiation Method
DMA	Dynamic Mechanical Analyser
8HS	Eight harness satin
E_f	Elastic modulus fibre
E_m	Elastic modulus matrix