



Department of Electronic and Electrical Engineering

**Grounding grid design for high voltage  
substations: An assessment of effectiveness for  
lightning currents**

by

Farhan bin Hanaffi

A thesis presented in fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of

Doctor of Philosophy

2016

# Declaration

This thesis is the result of the author's original research. It has been composed by the author, and has not been previously submitted for examination which has led to the award of a degree.

The copyright of this thesis belongs to the author under the terms of the United Kingdom Copyright Acts as qualified by University of Strathclyde Regulation 3.50. Due acknowledgement must always be made of the use of any material contained in, or derived from, this thesis.

Signed :

Date :

# Acknowledgements

I would like to express my deepest appreciation and sincere gratitude to my supervisors Dr Wah Hoon Siew and Dr Igor Timoshkin, for all of their invaluable guidance and discussion, patience, time and encouragement, throughout the duration of this work. I have greatly benefited from their recognised extensive knowledge and expertise in this research field. Special thanks also go to my colleagues in the High Voltage Technology Group for sharing valuable knowledge, support and encouragement.

I would like to thank my wife Siti Suhana Sulaiman, my daughter Nur Insyirah, and my son Ilham Hazim, for their patience and support. My deep and sincere thanks also go to my parents and friends for their constant support and prayers. I am so lucky and so proud to have such a wonderful family and friends.

Last but not least, many thanks to the Ministry of Higher Education Malaysia, and Universiti Teknikal Malaysia Melaka (UTeM), which have provided financial support during my study.

# Abstract

An electrical grounding system is an important element to ascertain a safe environment for both humans and equipment during fault or transient conditions. The performance of grounding systems under lightning current is quite different from the conventional frequency based power. In order to understand the grounding grid behaviour under lightning current, researchers typically carry out experiments on actual grounding systems or on laboratory scaled models. Although experiments can provide insights of the actual grounding operation, the shortcoming is that a large area of lab space is required which reflects into high costs. As an alternative, computer simulation has been introduced, and can be categorised into three different approaches, namely circuit approach, transmission line approach or electromagnetic approach.

In this work, the simulations are performed based on the electromagnetic approach under three dimensions (3D) mode due to its accurate results. For further understanding, a comparison between circuit and electromagnetic approaches is also carried out, where the resulting outcome shows that the circuit approach underestimates the impulse impedance at injection point compared with simulations by the electromagnetic approach. When the electromagnetic approach is applied, a finite element method is used to solve the partial differential electromagnetic equations in the time domain. Thereafter, the simulations results are validated with the existing published results covering the electromagnetic simulations by using the method of moment (MOM), and as well as actual field experiments. In addition, simulations are performed to understand the effect of different parameters, including lightning current, soil parameters, grounding design, and location of injection point of lightning current.

Moreover, a comparison study is carried out for potential rise between power frequency and impulse current at different grid sizes. The study shows the potential

generated at injection point for both current and saturation point when the grid size reaches a certain point. It's important to consider both types of current to get better grounding grid design. Besides that, empirical equations are used out to calculate the effective area under lightning conditions, where the effect of the down-conductor is taken into consideration as part of the grounding model. The effective area is an important parameter for the optimization of the grounding grid design when increasing grounding size does not improve the impulse impedance.

Transient ground potential rise (TGPR) above the ground is another interesting parameter to analyse. In this work, a good correlation is shown between the effective area and the impulse impedance at the injection point with rising transient ground potential. It is found that the TGPR is larger when it is closer to the injection point, but only lasts for a few microseconds. Step voltage evaluations are performed for different standing positions of the human above the grid, including the distance of the step voltage location from the injection point, and the effect of grid size to step voltage value.

# List of Publications

- I. F.Hanaffi, W. H. Siew and I. V. Timoshkin “Effective Size of Grounding Grid under Lightning Impulse”, Universities High Voltage Network (UHVnet) Colloquium, Jan 18th – 19th, 2012, Leicester, United Kingdom.
- II. F.Hanaffi, W. H. Siew and I. V. Timoshkin “Grounding transient analysis using Finite Element Method (FEM)”, Universities High Voltage Network (UHVnet) Colloquium, Jan 16th – 17th, 2013, Glasgow, United Kingdom.
- III. F.Hanaffi, W. H. Siew ,I. V. Timoshkin ,Bo Tan, , Xishan Wen and Lei Lan “Boundary Analysis on Transient Grounding Modelling using FEM”, 8th Asia-Pacific International Conference on Lightning, Jun. 26-28, 2013, Seoul, Korea
- IV. Bo Tan, , Xishan Wen and Lei Lan , F.Hanaffi, W. H. Siew ,I. V. Timoshkin “Calculation of Conductive Coupling of Substation Grounding Grid with Secondary Cable Under Lightning Stroke”, 8th Asia-Pacific International Conference on Lightning, Jun. 26-28, 2013, Seoul, Korea
- V. F.Hanaffi, W. H. Siew, I. V. Timoshkin “Grounding Grid Safety Evaluation under Lightning Current”, Progress In Electromagnetics Research Symposium, August 12th – 15th, 2013, Stockholm,Sweden.
- VI. F.Hanaffi, W. H. Siew ,I. V. Timoshkin “Transient Grounding Modelling using FEM: Infinite Boundary Condition”, International Colloquium on Lightning and Power System , May 12-14, 2014, Lyon, France
- VII. F.Hanaffi, W. H. Siew ,I. V. Timoshkin, Hailiang LU, Yu Wang , Xishan Wen , Lei Lan “Evaluation of Grounding Grid’s Effective Area”, International Conference on Lightning Protection (ICLP), Oct 13 - 17 , 2014, Shanghai, China.
- VIII. Chaoying Fang , Lei Lan, Yu Wang, Xishan Wen and F.Hanaffi, W. H. Siew ,I. V. Timoshkin, Jutian Li , Zeng Zhang “Feasibility Study on Using Jacket Structure as Natural Grounding Electrode of Offshore Wind Turbines”, International Conference on Lightning Protection (ICLP), Oct 13 - 17 , 2014 Shanghai, China.
- IX. F.Hanaffi, W. H. Siew ,I. V. Timoshkin “Step Voltages in a ground-grid arising from lightning current”, Asia-Pacific International Conference on Lightning (APL), Jun. 23-26, 2015, Nagoya, Japan

# Table of Contents

<b>Declaration</b> .....	<b>i</b>
<b>Acknowledgements</b> .....	<b>ii</b>
<b>Abstract</b> .....	<b>iii</b>
<b>List of Publications</b> .....	<b>v</b>
<b>Table of Contents</b> .....	<b>vi</b>
<b>List of Figures</b> .....	<b>ix</b>
<b>List of Tables</b> .....	<b>xiii</b>
<b>List of Acronyms</b> .....	<b>xiv</b>
<b>Chapter 1 Introduction</b> .....	<b>1</b>
1.1 Background.....	1
1.2 Objective of Research.....	4
1.3 Summary of Contribution .....	5
1.4 Thesis Organisation .....	6
<b>Chapter 2 A Review of Electrical Grounding under the Condition of Lightning Current</b> .....	<b>8</b>
2.1 Introduction.....	8
2.2 Tolerable Voltage for grounding grid design .....	9
2.2.1 Tolerable voltage definition .....	9
2.2.2 Tolerable body current .....	10
2.2.3 Safety limit .....	13
2.3 Electrical safety under lightning current.....	20
2.4 Effect of impulse current on grounding system.....	26
2.4.1 Single electrode configuration .....	28
2.4.2 Grounding grid configuration .....	31
2.5 Simulation of grounding grid under impulse current .....	35

2.5.1	Influence of soil parameter and grid configuration.....	35
2.5.2	Effective area of grounding grid .....	37
2.5.3	Ground Potential Rise .....	40
2.5.4	Improvement of grounding design under impulse current.....	43
2.6	Conclusion .....	45
<b>Chapter 3</b>	<b>Review of Numerical Modelling and Simulation Method .....</b>	<b>47</b>
3.1	Introduction.....	47
3.2	Circuit Theory Approach.....	48
3.3	Transmission line Approach .....	51
3.4	Electromagnetic Approach .....	53
3.5	Comparison Circuit and field Approach.....	55
3.6	Conclusion .....	63
<b>Chapter 4</b>	<b>Proposal for grounding grid modelling by using Finite Element Method (FEM) .....</b>	<b>65</b>
4.1	Introduction.....	65
4.2	Finite Element Method (FEM) Modelling.....	67
4.2.1	Geometry and Material .....	70
4.2.2	Meshing.....	71
4.2.3	Governing equation.....	74
4.2.4	Post-processing .....	75
4.3	Boundary Condition analysis.....	76
4.4	Validation of the model .....	80
4.4.1	Validation with simulation using method of moment (MOM) .....	80
4.4.2	Validation with Experimental Results .....	83
4.5	Performance of grounding grid under lightning current.....	88
4.5.1	Effect of soil resistivity .....	88
4.5.2	Effect of current waveform .....	90
4.5.3	Effect of grid Size .....	91
4.5.4	Effect of grid mesh size.....	94
4.5.5	Effect of current injection point.....	96



4.6	Conclusion .....	98
<b>Chapter 5</b>	<b>Effective Area Evaluation and Proposal of New Formulation</b>	<b>99</b>
5.1	Introduction.....	99
5.2	Comparison between power frequency current and impulse current on the effective area .....	100
5.3	Effective area evaluation .....	103
5.4	Influence of down-conductor on effective area .....	107
5.5	New empirical equation for grounding grid design.....	111
5.6	Empirical equation comparison with previous works .....	116
5.7	Conclusion .....	118
<b>Chapter 6</b>	<b>Transient Ground Potential Rise (TGPR).....</b>	<b>119</b>
6.1	Introduction.....	119
6.2	Transient Ground potential rise .....	119
6.3	Relationship between TGPR and effective area .....	121
6.4	Step Voltage Evaluation .....	124
6.4.1	Maximum step voltage.....	125
6.4.2	Influence of size .....	129
6.5	Conclusion .....	130
<b>Chapter 7</b>	<b>Conclusion and Recommendation for Future Work .....</b>	<b>131</b>
7.1	Conclusion .....	131
7.2	Future Work.....	134
<b>Appendices</b>	<b>136</b>	
<b>References</b>	<b>140</b>	

# List of Figures

Figure 2.1: Effect of AC (15Hz to 100Hz) towards human body, from left hand to feet as defined in IEC 60479-1[7].....	12
Figure 2.2 Equivalent circuit for touch and step voltages that adheres the .....	14
Figure 2.3 Accident circuit topologies for (a) touch voltage and (b) step voltage designed according to BS 7354 [4].....	16
Figure 2.4 Accident circuit according to BS 50522 standard [3].....	17
Figure 2.5 Permissible touch voltage based on fault duration [3] .....	18
Figure 2.6: Relationship between theoretical initial current to time constant and computed points from human surge discharge accidents [29].....	22
Figure 2.7: Lightning hazard impulse current flowing through human [7] .....	24
Figure 2.8 Rectangular, sinusoidal and capacitor discharge impulse demonstrates similar energy level for a fixed shock duration [7].....	24
Figure 2.9: Lightning current path diverted to the ground by lightning protection system.....	26
Figure 2.10: Soil breakdown model proposed by Liew[41] .....	29
Figure 2.11: Dynamic model for soil ionisation process [41].....	29
Figure 2.12: Electrode resistance for different lengths of grounding electrode [45].	30
Figure 2.13: Impulse coefficient for different lengths of electrode [54].....	31
Figure 2.14: Stojkovic grid configuration [62] .....	33
Figure 2.15: Grid configuration and injection point location .....	33
Figure 2.16: Grounding grid size and mesh size.....	37
Figure 2.17: Illustration of effective area as proposed by Guptar and Thapar [40]...	38
Figure 2.18: Illustration of the effective area as proposed by L.Greev [57].....	39
Figure 2.19: Scalar potential at grounding grid with current injection ratings of (a) 60Hz and (b) 500 kHz [77, 78] .....	42
Figure 2.20: Improvement to the grounding grid by introducing parallel insulated conductor of 6cm above the grounding grid [71].....	44
Figure 3.1: Transmission Line equivalent circuit .....	52
Figure 3.2: Equivalent circuit by Ramamoorthy et al. [61] .....	56
Figure 3.3: Mutual Inductance between parallel conductors .....	57
Figure 3.4 Grounding grid (5mx5m).....	58

Figure 3.5 Circuit model base on Ramamoorthy et al. [61] simulated using Pspice ...	59
Figure 3.6 Voltage at injection point when 1.2/50 $\mu$ s impulse current injected at corner of grid, grid size= (a) 5mx5m and (b) 40mx40m.....	60
Figure 3.7: Impulse impedance simulation for different sizes of grid by using both circuit and electromagnetic approaches .....	62
Figure 3.8: Impulse impedance simulation for different soil resistivity by using circuit and electromagnetic approaches, where the front time is 1.2 $\mu$ s and grid size is 20mx20m .....	63
Figure 4.1: The structure of the chapter 4 .....	66
Figure 4.2: The basics of finite element.....	68
Figure 4.3: Modelling steps in COMSOL package.....	69
Figure 4.4: Geometry of conductor in (a) 2D drawing and (b) depiction of the extrudition to 3D .....	70
Figure 4.5: Complete depiction of the geometry of FEM model.....	71
Figure 4.6: Meshing process for small grid configuration.....	73
Figure 4.7: Meshing process for all domains .....	74
Figure 4.8: Example of line integral path in COMSOL.....	76
Figure 4.9: Open boundary problem .....	77
Figure 4.10: Absorption layer implementation .....	77
Figure 4.11: Illustration of the boundary size .....	78
Figure 4.12: Current density from injected point to boundary (20mx20m).....	79
Figure 4.13: Current density from injected point to boundary (60mx60m).....	79
Figure 4.14: Grounding grid buried in homogeneous soil .....	80
Figure 4.15: (a) Injection current from [73] and (b)Injection current from simulation .....	81
Figure 4.16: Grounding grid configuration.....	82
Figure 4.17: Potential rise at injection point, where (a) simulation using FEM and (b) simulation using MOM [67].....	83
Figure 4.18: Grounding system with two-layer of soil .....	84
Figure 4.19: Grounding grid experiment layout .....	84
Figure 4.20: Voltage and current at the injection point for (a) simulation using FEM and (b) experimental setup by Stojkovic's.....	86
Figure 4.21: Effect of the front time of the injected current .....	87

Figure 4.22: Effects of different soil resistivity for (a) different upper layer with lower layer =20Ω.m and (b) lower layer with upper layer =50Ω.m .....	87
Figure 4.23: Potential rise at the injection point for different soil resistivities with injected current of 10kA 1.2/50μs at the corner of a 20mx20m grounding grid .....	89
Figure 4.24: Peak Voltage for different soil resistivities with injected current of 10kA 1.2/50μs at the corner of 20mx20m grounding grid .....	89
Figure 4.25: Potential rise at the injection point for varying front times injected at the corner of 20m x 20m grounding grid with $\rho = 1000\Omega.m$ .....	91
Figure 4.26: Different sizes of grounding grid.....	92
Figure 4.27: Ground potential rise at the injection point for different sizes of grid with injected corner current of 10kA 1.2/50μs and $\rho=1000\Omega.m$ .....	93
Figure 4.28: Peak voltages according to varying grid sizes.....	93
Figure 4.29 Normalise potential rise at injection point and injected current to its maximum value.....	94
Figure 4.30: Illustration of meshes with various sizes.....	95
Figure 4.31: Ground potential rise at the injection point for different mesh sizes.....	95
Figure 4.32: Location of injection point .....	96
Figure 4.33: Injection of ground potential rise for soil resistivity of 1000Ω.m.....	97
Figure 5.1: Peak voltages for different sizes and impulse current front times ( $\rho = 100\Omega.m$ ) .....	102
Figure 5.2: Peak voltage at the injection point for different grid sizes, where the $ft=1.2\mu s$ and soil resistivity are (a) 100Ω.m, (b) 300 Ω.m, and (c) 1000 Ω.m .....	103
Figure 5.3: Voltage at injection point when 1.2/50μs impulse current injected at corner of different size, (a) 5mx5m and (b) 40mx40m .....	104
Figure 5.4: Grounding impedance at different grid sizes.....	105
Figure 5.5: Effective Area calculation process .....	106
Figure 5.6: Illustration of models (a) injecting directly to the grid and (b) injecting through down-conductor .....	107
Figure 5.7: Impulse impedance for 100Ω.m soil resistivity with different front times at (a) 1.2 μs, (b) 2.6 μs, and (c) 10 μs .....	109
Figure 5.8: Impulse impedance for 1000Ω.m soil resistivity and different front times at (a) 1.2 μs, (b) 2.6 μs, and (c) 10 μs .....	110
Figure 5.9: Effective Area vs soil resistivity at different front times.....	113
Figure 5.10: Effective area vs front time at different soil resistivity .....	113

Figure 5.11: Regression plot of the effective area side length .....	113
Figure 5.12: Comparison of centre and corner injections at different grid sizes .....	115
Figure 5.13: Comparisons of previous equations with the proposed equation .....	117
Figure 6.1: TGPR at different points away from the injection point .....	120
Figure 6.2: Peak transient ground potential rise at the grounding grid with.....	121
Figure 6.3: Peak TGPR for 40m x 40m grounding grid with injection at corner, investigating across varying front time and soil resistivity.....	123
Figure 6.4 Grounding model.....	124
Figure 6.5: Locations near the injection point .....	125
Figure 6.6: Step voltages at different point of locations near the injection point ...	126
Figure 6.7: The locations where step voltages move further away from the injection point .....	126
Figure 6.8: Peak step voltage measured while being away from the injection point, but remains inside the grid .....	127
Figure 6.9: Peak step voltage away from injection point and outside of the grid...	128
Figure 6.10: Peak Step Voltage at different sizes and soil resistivity .....	129

# List of Tables

Table 2.1 Heart-current factor F for different current paths [7].....	13
Table 2.2 Maximum EPR for cold substation and the permitted transfer voltage [9] 14	
Table 2.3: Parameters from different standards to calculate step and touch voltages limit [10, 11] .....	19
Table 2.4 Annual lightning fatality rate per million people categorised according to different countries[12].....	20
Table 2.5: Summary of published electrical safety limits for humans.....	25
Table 2.6: Grounding impedance for different locations of injection point and front time [63-65].....	34
Table 2.7: Comparison of simulation data from literatures to determine the effective area .....	40
Table 2.8: Distribution of lightning accidents categorised according to mechanisms [75, 76].....	41
Table 3.1 Circuit components value .....	59
Table 3.2: Comparison between circuit, transmission line and electromagnetic approaches.....	64
Table 4.1: Defining meshing parameters .....	73
Table 4.2: Maximum potential rise at injection point (kV) .....	82
Table 5.1: Effective area side length comparison for different considerations of the location of injection.....	111
Table 5.2: Effective side length at different conditions .....	112
Table 5.3: Effective area side length for both centre and corner injections.....	116
Table 6.1: The effective Area for corner injection.....	124

# List of Acronyms

AC	Alternating Current
BEM	Boundary Element Method
EMC	Electromagnetic Compatibility
FDTD	Finite Different Time Domain
FEM	Finite Element Method
FFT	Fast Fourier Transform
HV	High Voltage
MOM	Method of Moment
PML	Perfect Match Layer
TGPR	Transient Ground Potential Rise
2D	Two Dimensional
3D	Three Dimensional

# Chapter 1

## Introduction

### 1.1 Background

Grounding systems play an important role in protecting life or facilities from any fault or transient in power systems. The main purpose of a grounding system is to provide the lowest impedance path for unwanted current during faults or transient conditions, such as lightning and switching. Relatively, the level of safety of a protection system is influenced by the efficiency of the grounding system, where the grounding conductors can range from a horizontal rod, vertical rod, ring rod, and grounding grid depending upon the application. In a substation grounding design, the grounding grid is buried below entire installed equipment to maintain the potential rise above the ground within the safety limit during the discharging process of a fault or lightning current. Parameters that influence the potential above the ground are soil resistivity, conductor configuration and level of fault current, where soil resistivity depends on geography, water content, chemical compound and type of soil. In practice, lower soil resistivity is advantageous for grounding system. Apart from that, the grounding grid configuration also depends on the size of the grounding grid and the mesh size within the grounding grid.

For the practical scenario, it is necessary that a grounding system is designed with a low magnitude of earth resistance, so the protection device can divert the high fault current to the earth effectively. In the British Standard [1], the value of earth resistance was proposed to be below than  $20\Omega$  for the independent earth electrodes that are



associated with the local grounding of the star point of generating plant, and below  $1\Omega$  for a substation grid. In the grounding grid design, touch and step voltage are the main components that required to be guaranteed to operate below the safety limit. Step voltage is generally defined as the voltage difference between the earth surface potential experienced by an operator bridging at 1m distance and without any contact with the earthed structure. For the case of the touch voltage, it is the voltage difference between the earth potential rise at the metal and the surface potential where a person is standing at (1m) from the earthed structure. Step and touch voltage limits depend on the tolerable current flow through human body and accidental circuit. Tolerable current depends on the critical limit that human can withstand before the ventricular fibrillation happens. These values depend on the duration of shock and magnitude of the current, while the British standard defined tolerable current as dependent of current path, duration and magnitude and the American standard defined the tolerable current as the limit that depends on weight, duration and magnitude of current.

Grounding design and procedure under power frequency is well described in many standards. However, grounding will perform differently when a lightning current discharge through the system, due to the inductive and capacitive effects. A large lightning current with a fast rise time will flow to the grounding grid, which will behave like an antenna, and induces large transient potentials in the system. The resulting potential can create a huge potential rise and electromagnetic coupling, which will lead to system malfunctions and errors, or even damage the valuable and sensitive electronic equipment.

Therefore, a study of grounding systems under lightning condition is vital to improve the performance and design. The study can be performed in three categories, namely laboratory tests, site tests and analytical modelling. The analytical modelling

method can be further divided into circuit, transmission line and electromagnetic approaches. In the proposed research herein, an analytical modelling based on the electromagnetic approach is adopted to investigate the impact of lightning current towards the grounding grid design. Simulations are carried out in three-dimensional (3D) geometry modelling, while a Finite Element Method (FEM) is used to solve the partial differential equations. The electromagnetic approach is chosen due to its accuracy of results that it computes based on Maxwell's equations.

On the other hand, the performance of the grounding grid under lightning current can be improved by reducing the soil resistivity, increasing the grounding grid size and mesh density. However, the grid is limited to a finite size, which is known as the effective area, and this can be achieved when there is no significant improvement in the grounding impedance with increasing grounding grid size. Besides, it is useful to enhance more conductors near the injection point and within the effective area to improve the impulse impedances. The impulse impedance is a value used to evaluate the grounding performance under lightning current, in other word, it is a ratio between peak potential rise at injection point and peak injected current. It is very important to understand the relationship between transient ground potential rise (TGPR) and impulse impedance, which will provide insights into how lightning current is dissipated through the grid. Furthermore, the grounding grid evaluation depends on the value of ground potential rise above the ground. Since the simulations are performed in 3D, post processing can be carried out to evaluate the transient ground potential rise (TGPR).

## 1.2 Objective of Research

Grounding is a main element in the lightning protection system that provides low impedance path for unwanted current through the soil. In substation grounding design, it is very important to maintain low step and touch voltages, which increases the level of safety. Although the grounding response and safety limits are quite different under lightning conditions, most of the standards are still based on power frequency safety hazards without any specific guidelines that consider fast transient response within the grounding design framework. It is challenging to achieve the best protection concurrently for both humans and equipment under lightning conditions.

In order to solve these shortcomings, this research aims to achieve the following objectives:

- i. Study and review the effect of lightning current in a grounding grid, and understand human safety limits under power frequency and impulse currents.
- ii. Perform a 3D grounding grid system modelling by using the electromagnetic approach with FEM
- iii. Analyse the effect of down-conductor through the simulation of impulse impedance and effective area
- iv. Consider and assess the improvements of grounding methodologies and topologies for lightning currents by introducing effective area empirical equations as an engineering guide.
- v. Investigate transient grounding potential rise throughout the conductor and above the ground

### 1.3 Summary of Contribution

The main contributions and achievements of this research can be summarised as follows:

- I. Modelling using Maxwell's equations in Electromagnetic domain, which considers the displacement current effect. The FEM is applied to solve the equations. Open boundary problems are solved by evaluating the current density between regions of interest relative to the perfect conductor boundary. Computer simulations are performed in time domain based 3D mode. Thereafter, the validations are performed by comparing with the simulation results from MoM and actual experiments.
- II. The effects of various parameters of soil, lightning and grounding grid are used for a parameter analysis. In addition, the effect of the down-conductor is investigated to obtain better results for the effective area evaluation.
- III. A new empirical equation for effective area is proposed, which is developed based on electromagnetic modelling. The equation takes into consideration the effect of the down-conductor during simulation.
- IV. A transient ground potential rise is analysed to understand the relationship between the grounding impedance and the effective area.

Recently, computational modelling and evaluation can be carried out in accurate methods, due to the advancement in the ability of computer simulation. The modelling of the grounding grid by using the electromagnetic approach and FEM is an effective methodology to improve the understanding of electrical systems for different conditions and designs.

## 1.4 Thesis Organisation

This thesis is organized into 7 chapters, as described below:

*Chapter2* provides a review of grounding grid design that are adopted from different standards. The chapter also discusses the effect of lightning current on the grounding systems based on both experimental and simulation results.

*Chapter3* presents a review of the analytical modelling approach that is used to model the grounding grid under lightning conditions, where circuit, transmission line and electromagnetic approaches are discussed. In addition, a comparison between circuit approach and electromagnetic approach is given in this chapter.

*Chapter4* proposes a grounding grid model based on Ampere's law from Maxwell's equation. The governing equation is solved by using the FEM, where the geometry modelling is performed in 3D with a solution produced in the time domain. More specifically, the simulations are performed for different values of soil resistivity, lightning current front time, location of injection, and grounding grid design using COMSOL Multiphysics commercial simulation package. The challenges and problems related to mesh geometry and open boundary will be discussed in this chapter.

*Chapter5* presents a comparison of potential at injection point between power frequency and lightning injection current for the investigation on the effective area. The effects of the down-conductor are analysed for different depths. A new empirical equation is formulated for effective area calculation under the assumption of lightning current being injected at the corner and at the centre of the grid. The proposed equation also considers the effects of the down-conductor in the simulation framework. Subsequently, the equations are compared with the published empirical equations.

*Chapter6* evaluates the transient ground potential rise throughout the grid. This evaluation demonstrates the relationship between grounding impedance and effective area. Step voltages are evaluated for different locations and distances from the injection point.

*Chapter7* presents a conclusion based on the results and analysis drawn from this study, and recommendations are formed for future work within this chapter.

# Chapter 2

## **A Review of Electrical Grounding under the Condition of Lightning Current**

### **2.1 Introduction**

The demand on electrical supplies is continuously increasing, hence making it more challenging to provide a high-efficiency system that ensures a constant power delivery to customers. Consequently, there is a steep rise in the development of new substation technologies and designs, which requires an improved safe grounding design. The following objectives need to be achieved to successfully design a safe grounding for a substation:

- I. A low-impedance path to earth under normal conditions should be provided for circuit or signal reference, under fault conditions, and even at high frequencies (lightning currents).
- II. A safe condition for human and equipment from ground potential rise (GPR) should be facilitated under any condition, and the radiation and conduction of electromagnetic emission either between or within the systems should be reduced.

Therefore, this chapter will present a review on the grounding grid design according to the standards and human electrocution limit that are proposed for impulse current. Thereafter, a review of the experimental and simulation results will be

presented to aid the understanding of grounding behaviour under lightning current. Apart from that, the TGPR are also discussed to gain a better understanding of step voltage and electromagnetic coupling under lightning current.

## **2.2 Tolerable Voltage for grounding grid design**

The main objective of designing the grounding system is to provide a safe potential rise above the ground for both human and equipment. In order to design a safe substation, the values for limit of transfer, step and touch voltages are adopted from different standards. The limit is influenced by the definition of the step and touch voltages, allowable permissible current flow through human body and accidental circuit.

### **2.2.1 Tolerable voltage definition**

The limits of step, touch and transfer voltages are a reference for grounding grid design. However, the definitions of each type of the voltages are different among the international standards. Touch, step and transfer potentials' definition can vary in various standards, as discussed in the followings:

According to **IEEE80[2]**:

- Touch voltage is the potential difference between the GPR and the surface potential in a situation where a person is standing and concurrently in contact with a grounded structure.
- Step voltage is the difference in surface potential experienced by a person that is bridging a distance of 1m with the feet, but without contacting any other grounded object.