



Faculty of Manufacturing Engineering

AN INTEGRATIVE MODEL OF RESPONSIVENESS AND SUSTAINABILITY REQUIREMENTS FOR AUTOMOTIVE INDUSTRY

Nurul Aini Binti Ahmad

Master of Science in Manufacturing Engineering

2016

AN INTEGRATIVE MODEL OF RESPONSIVENESS AND SUSTAINABILITY REQUIREMENTS FOR AUTOMOTIVE INDUSTRY

NURUL AINI BINTI AHMAD

A thesis submitted in fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Master of Science in Manufacturing Engineering

Faculty of Manufacturing Engineering

UNIVERSITI TEKNIKAL MALAYSIA MELAKA

2016

DECLARATION

I declare that this thesis entitled "An Integrative Model of Responsiveness and Sustainability Requirements for Automotive Industry" is the result of my own research except as cited in the references. The thesis has not been accepted for any degree and is not concurrently submitted in candidature of any other degree.

| Signature | : | |
|-----------|---|--|
| Name | : | |
| Date | : | |

APPROVAL

| I here | by (| declare | that | I have r | ead t | this t | thesis ar | nd i | n my op | oinic | on this t | hesis | s is sufficient | in |
|--------|------|---------|------|----------|-------|--------|-----------|------|---------|-------|-----------|-------|-----------------|----|
| terms | of | scope | and | quality | for | the | award | of | Master | of | Science | e in | Manufacturi | ng |
| Engine | eeri | ng. | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| Signature | ······ |
|--------------------|--------|
| Supervisor Name | : |
| Date | : |
| | |
| Signature | : |
| Co-Supervisor Name | : |
| Date | |

DEDICATION

To my parents

Encik Ahmad & Puan Maizon

and

To my siblings

Junaina, Shuhairi, Hidayah & Taufed

This humble work is a sign of

my love to you!

ABSTRACT

Rapid changes in market demands require manufacturing companies to be responsive and at the same time sustainable in order to compete and survive in their industry. Therefore, the determination of the fundamental elements for responsiveness and sustainability are essential in manufacturing company to remain competitive in the rapid changes market. Up to this date, there is no integrated model of responsiveness and sustainability for manufacturing operations. Thus, the aim of this study is to develop an integrated model between manufacturing responsiveness (MR) and manufacturing sustainability (MS) in the context of manufacturing operations. The fundamental elements of MR and MS has been determine through literature study and the model has been developed based on the concept of Input-Transformation-Output system in manufacturing. The results show that the fundamental elements of MR and MS consist of four elements: (i) Driver, (ii) Enabler, (iii) Measure, and (iv) Impact. Then, the components for MR and MS are determined using literature study and Pareto's 80/20 rule. Next, they were verified using email surveys and face-to-face interviews in automotive-based manufacturing companies for avoiding conflict between the proposed components with the real-context of manufacturing. The same method (literature study and Pareto's 80/20 rule) were used to determine the integrated components. Then, integrated model was developed and validated based on the Measure's components using case study approach. Six automotive manufacturing companies from U.S.A, Germany, and Japan were chosen for validation process. The integrated components of MR and MS has been validated in their relationship and the data are collected from the annual report of the these six automotive manufacturing companies. The results show that all the integrated components have the relation with MR and MS but at the different level which are strong and inconsistent relationship. Thus, this study concludes that the MR and MS have four fundamental elements and sharing their measurable components that have affected their own isolated components.

i

ABSTRAK

Perubahan yang pesat dalam permintaan pasaran memerlukan syarikat-syarikat pembuatan menjadi responsif dan pada masa yang sama mampan untuk terus bersaing dan bertahan di dalam industri. Oleh itu, penentuan unsur-unsur asas untuk responsif dan kemampanan adalah penting kepada svarikat perkilangan untuk terus kekal kompetitif dalam perubahan pasaran yang pesat. Sehingga kini, tiada lagi model bersepadu responsif dan kemampanan bagi operasi pembuatan. Oleh itu, tujuan kajian ini adalah untuk membangunkan model bersepadu antara responsif pembuatan (MR) dan kemampanan pembuatan (MS) dalam konteks operasi pembuatan. Elemen-elemen asas MR dan MS telah di tentukan melalui kajian literatur dan integrasi model MR dan MS telah dibangunkan berdasarkan konsep sistem Input-Transformasi Output dalam operasi pembuatan. Keputusan kajian menunjukkan bahawa elemen asas MR dan MS terdiri daripada empat elemen, iaitu: (i) Pemacu, (ii) Penggerak, (iii) Pengukur, dan (iv) Kesan. Seterusnya, komponen bagi MR dan MS ditentukan dengan menggunakan kajian literatur dan Peraturan Pareto 80/20. Elemen tersebut telah disahkan menggunakan kaji selidik melalui e-mel dan temu bual bersama lima pakar dari industri automotif di Malaysia. Pengesahan elemen dijalankan melalui Peraturan Pareto 80/20. Model integrasi responsif dan kemampanan dibangunkan menggunakan elemen pengukur dari model MR dan MS. Model integrasi ini telah disahkan menggunakan kajian kes di enam syarikat multinasional dari tiga negara pengeluar utama dalam industry automotif iaitu U.S.A, Jerman, dan Jepun. Kajian kes adalah berdasarkan laporan tahunan syarikat berkenaan untuk lima tahun iaitu 2009 hingga 2013. Keputusan menunjukkan bahawa semua komponen bersepadu mempunyai hubungan dengan MR dan MS tetapi pada tahap yang berbeza iaitu kukuh, tidak konsisten, dan tiada hubungan. Kesimpulannya, MR dan MS yang berkongsi empat komponen pengukur yang juga dikenali sebagai komponen bersepadu (fleksibiliti, penghantaran, kualiti, kos pembuatan) yang memberi kesan kepada prestasi MR dan MS sesebuah syarikat pembuatan

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

First and foremost, I would like to take this opportunity to express my sincere acknowledgement to my supervisor Dr. Zuhriah Binti Ebrahim from the Faculty of Manufacturing Engineering, Universiti Teknikal Malaysia Melaka (UTeM) for her essential supervision, support, and encouragement towards the completion of this thesis. I would also like to express my greatest gratitude to Prof. Datuk Dr. Mohd Razali bin Muhamad the Deputy Vice-Chancellor (Academic and International), cosupervisor of this project for his advice and suggestions in development of the proposed model. Special thanks to UTeM grant (ERGS/2013/FKP/TK01/UTeM/02/01 E00030) funding for the financial support throughout this project. And also, to Kementerian Pelajaran Malaysia (KPM) for valuable contributions of scholarship MyBrain15.

Particularly, I would also like to express my deepest gratitude to everyone who participate in my surveys and interviews process for their time spent and supports to complete this study.

Special thanks to my beloved mother, father, siblings, and all my peers for their moral support in completing this degree. Lastly, thanks to everyone who had been to the crucial parts of realization of this project.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | | | PAGE |
|-----|------------|---|------|
| DEC | CLAF | RATION | |
| APP | ROV | VAL | |
| DEI | ICA | TION | |
| | TRA | | i |
| | TRA | | ii |
| | | WLEDGEMENTS | iii |
| | | OF CONTENTS | iv |
| | | TABLES | vii |
| | | FIGURES | X |
| | | APPENDICES | xii |
| | | ABBREVIATIONS | xiv |
| LIS | ГOF | PUBLICATIONS | XV |
| | APTE | | |
| 1. | | RODUCTION | 1 |
| | | Research Background | 1 |
| | | Research Motivation | 2 |
| | | Research Questions | 3 |
| | | Research Objectives | 4 |
| | | Research Scope and Limitation | 4 |
| | | Research Contributions | 4 |
| | 1.7 | Thesis Outline | 6 |
| 2. | | ERATURE REVIEW | 8 |
| | | Preliminary | 7 |
| | | Overview of Manufacturing Operations | 7 |
| | | Overview of Automotive Industry | 8 |
| | 2.4 | Overview of Responsiveness | 10 |
| | | 2.4.1 Definition of Responsiveness | 12 |
| | 2.5 | 2.4.2 Existing Models of Responsiveness | 14 |
| | 2.5 | Overview of Sustainability | 16 |
| | | 2.5.1 Definition of Sustainability | 18 |
| | 2.6 | 2.5.2 Existing Models of Sustainability | 19 |
| | 2.6 | Summary | 21 |
| 3. | | THODOLOGY | 22 |
| | 3.1 | Preliminary | 22 |
| | 3.2 | Planning and Activities | 23 |
| | 3.3 | Data Collection and Sample Size | 24 |
| | | 3.3.1 Qualitative Data | 24 |
| | 2.4 | 3.3.2 Quantitative Data | 25 |
| | 3.4 | Phase 1: Literature Study (Objective 1) | 26 |
| | 3.5 | Phase 2: Development of an Integration Model of MR and MS (Objective 2) | 29 |
| | 3.6 | Phase 3: Model Validation of MR and MS (Objective 3) | 30 |
| | 3.7 | Summary | 34 |

| 4. | MO | DEL DEVELOPMENT | 36 |
|-----------|------|--|-----|
| | 4.1 | Preliminary | 36 |
| | 4.2 | Determination of the Fundamental Elements | 36 |
| | 4.3 | Development of Isolated Models | 40 |
| | | 4.3.1 Isolated Model for Manufacturing Responsiveness (MR) | 41 |
| | | 4.3.1.1 Components of Responsiveness Driver | 41 |
| | | 4.3.1.2 Components of Responsiveness Enabler | 46 |
| | | 4.3.1.3 Components of Responsiveness Measure | 53 |
| | | 4.3.1.4 Components of Responsiveness Impact | 59 |
| | | 4.3.2 Isolated Model for Manufacturing of Sustainability (MS) | 66 |
| | | 4.3.2.1 Components of Sustainability Driver | 66 |
| | | 4.3.2.2 Components of Sustainability Enabler | 70 |
| | | 4.3.2.3 Components of Sustainability Measure | 76 |
| | | 4.3.2.4 Components of Sustainability Impact | 86 |
| | 4.4 | Verification of the Isolated Models | 96 |
| | | 4.4.1 Results and Discussion of Questionnaire Survey and Interview | 96 |
| | | 4.4.1.1 Results of Part A: Demographic Study | 97 |
| | | 4.4.1.2 Results of Part B: Manufacturing Responsiveness | 98 |
| | | 4.4.1.3 Results of Part C: Manufacturing of Sustainability | 102 |
| | | 4.4.2 Verified Model of MR and MS | 106 |
| | 4.5 | Development of Integrated Model for MR and MS | 107 |
| | | 4.5.1 Determination of the Components for Integrated Model of MR and MS | 107 |
| | | 4.5.2 The Proposed Model for Integration of MR and MS | 115 |
| | 4.6 | Summary | 117 |
| | | 2 (11.11.11.11.1) | |
| 5. | MO | DEL VALIDATION | 118 |
| | 5.1 | Preliminary | 118 |
| | 5.2 | Verification of the Data Compatibility for the Measure's Components | 119 |
| | 5.3 | Validation of the Integrated Model of MR and MS | 126 |
| | 5.4 | Correlation between the Integrated Measure's Components and the Isolated Measure's Components of MR and MS | 128 |
| | 5.5 | Discussion | 138 |
| | | 5.5.1 Flexibility | 139 |
| | | 5.5.2 Delivery | 143 |
| | | 5.5.3 Quality | 146 |
| | | 5.5.4 Production cost | 150 |
| | 5.6 | Summary | 154 |
| 6. | CO | NCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION | 150 |
| | 6.1 | Preliminary | 156 |
| | 6.2 | Conclusions | 156 |
| | 6.3 | Implications | 157 |
| | | Recommendations | 159 |
| RE] | FERE | CNCES | 160 |
| AP | PEND | DICES | 190 |

LIST OF TABLES

| ΓABLE | TITLE | PAGE |
|-------|--|------|
| 2.1 | List of Sustainability Definitions | 11 |
| 2.2 | Existing Models of Responsiveness | 13 |
| 2.3 | List of Sustainability Definitions | 17 |
| 2.4 | Existing Models of Sustainability | 19 |
| 4.1 | List of Driver Components for MR | 42 |
| 4.2 | List of Enabler Components for MR | 47 |
| 4.3 | List of Measure Components for MR | 55 |
| 4.4 | List of Impact Components for MR | 60 |
| 4.5 | List of Driver Components for MS | 67 |
| 4.6 | List of Enabler Components for MS | 71 |
| 4.7 | List of Measure Components for MS | 78 |
| 4.8 | List of Impact Components for MS | 87 |
| 4.9 | List of the Authors for Overlapping Measure's Components of MR | 109 |
| | and MS | |
| 5.1 | Data Compatibility: Literature vs. Annual Report Terms | 119 |
| 5.2 | Determination of Compatible Data for Speed, Delivery and | 125 |
| | Employee's Education/Training | |
| 5.3 | List of Automotive Manufacturing Company for the Case Studies | 126 |

vii

| 5.4 | Data Collection for FCA Group | 127 |
|------|--|-----|
| 5.5 | List of Graphs Plotted for Determining the Correlation between the | 128 |
| | Integrated Measure's Components and the Isolated Measure's | |
| | Components | |
| 5.6 | Illustration Analysis for Graph Relation | 130 |
| 5.7 | Correlation Value of Flexibility and the Isolated Measure's | 131 |
| | Components for FCA Group | |
| 5.8 | Analysis of Correlation Conditions | 132 |
| 5.9 | Correlation of Flexibility and Isolated Measure's Components in | 133 |
| | FCA Group | |
| 5.10 | Summary of the Correlation between Flexibility and Isolated | 134 |
| | Measure's Components in Automotive Manufacturing Companies | |
| 5.11 | Summary of the Correlation between Delivery and Isolated | 135 |
| | Measure's Components in Automotive Manufacturing Companies | |
| 5.12 | Summary of the Correlation between Quality and Isolated | 136 |
| | Measure's Components in Automotive Manufacturing Companies | |
| 5.13 | Summary of the Correlation between Production Cost and Isolated | 137 |
| | Measure's Components in Automotive Manufacturing Companies | |
| 5.14 | Summary of Percentage Correlation (PoC) for Flexibility versus | 139 |
| | the Isolated Measure's Components for MR and MS | |
| 5.15 | Summary of Percentage of Correlation (PoC) for Delivery versus | 143 |
| | the Isolated Measure's Components for MR and MS | |
| 5.16 | Summary of Percentage of Correlation (PoC) for Quality versus | 146 |
| | the Isolated Measure's Components for MR and MS | |
| 5.17 | Summary of Percentage of Correlation (PoC) for Production Cost | 150 |

versus the Isolated Measure's Components for MR and MS

LIST OF FIGURES

| FIGURE | TITLE | PAGE |
|--------|---|------|
| 3.1 | Flow Chart of Research Methodology | 23 |
| 3.2 | The Process Flow of Phase 1 | 26 |
| 3.3 | The Process Flow of Phase 2 | 29 |
| 3.4 | The Process Flow of Phase 3 | 31 |
| 4.1 | General Concept of Input-Transformation-Output System | 37 |
| 4.2 | Example of Pareto 80/20 distribution | 40 |
| 4.3 | Pareto Diagram for the Components of MR Driver | 43 |
| 4.4 | Pareto Diagram for the Components of MR Enabler | 49 |
| 4.5 | Pareto Diagram for the Components of MR Measure | 56 |
| 4.6 | Pareto Diagram for the Components of MR Impact | 61 |
| 4.7 | Proposed Isolated Model of MR | 65 |
| 4.8 | Pareto Diagram for the Components of MS Driver | 67 |
| 4.9 | Pareto Diagram for the Components of MS Enabler | 72 |
| 4.10 | Pareto Diagram for the Components of MS Measure | 79 |
| 4.11 | Overview of the Measure's Components for MS | 85 |
| 4.12 | Pareto Diagram for the Components of MS Impact | 89 |
| 4.13 | Overview of the Measure's Components for MS | 92 |
| 4.14 | Proposed Isolated Model of MS | 94 |

| 4.15 | Result of Current Positions | 97 |
|------|---|-----|
| 4.16 | Results of Working Experiences | 98 |
| 4.17 | Verification Results of the Driver's Components for MR | 99 |
| 4.18 | Verification Results of Enabler's Components for MR | 100 |
| 4.19 | Verification Results of Measure's Components for MR | 101 |
| 4.20 | Verification Results of Impact's Components for MR | 102 |
| 4.21 | Verification Results of Driver's Components for MS | 103 |
| 4.22 | Verification Results of Enabler's Components for MS | 104 |
| 4.23 | Verification Results of Measure's Components for MS | 105 |
| 4.24 | Verification Results of Impact's Components for MS | 106 |
| 4.25 | Pareto Diagram for Measure's Components of MR and MS | 110 |
| 4.26 | Proposed Model of Integrated MR and MS in Manufacturing | 116 |
| | Operations | |
| 5.1 | Verification Results for the Measure's Components of MR | 121 |
| 5.2 | Verification Results for the Measure's Components of MS | 123 |
| 5.3 | Graph of Correlation between Flexibility and Speed for Fiat | 129 |
| | Chrysler Automobile Group (FCA Group) | |

LIST OF APPENDICES

| APPENDIX | TITLE | PAGE |
|----------|---|------|
| A | Gantt Chart of this Research | 196 |
| В | Questionnaire Use for Verification Process | 197 |
| C | List of Automotive Manufacturing Company for Verification | 208 |
| | Process | |
| D1 | Data of Measure's Components for FCA Group | 209 |
| D2 | Data of Measure's Components for Ford Motor Company | 210 |
| D3 | Data of Measure's Components for Audi Group | 211 |
| D4 | Data of Measure's Components for BMW Group | 212 |
| D5 | Data of Measure's Components for Nissan Motor Company | 213 |
| D6 | Data Collection of Measure's Components for Toyota Motor | 214 |
| | Corporation | |
| E1 | Graph of Correlation between Isolated vs. Integrated | 215 |
| | Components for FCA Group | |
| E2 | Graph of Correlation between Isolated vs. Integrated | 219 |
| | Components for Ford Motor Company | |
| E3 | Graph of Correlation between Isolated vs. Integrated | 223 |
| | Components for Audi Group | |

| E4 | Graph of Correlation between Isolated vs. Integrated | 227 |
|----|--|-----|
| | Components for BMW Group | |
| E5 | Graph of Correlation between Isolated vs. Integrated | 230 |
| | Components for Nissan Motor Company | |
| E6 | Graph of Correlation between Isolated vs. Integrated | 234 |
| | Components for Toyota Motor Corporation | |
| F1 | Results of the Correlation Analysis for FCA Group | 238 |
| F2 | Results of the Correlation Analysis for Ford Motor Company | 240 |
| F3 | Results of the Correlation Analysis for Audi Group | 242 |
| F4 | Results of the Correlation Analysis for BMW Group | 244 |
| F5 | Results of the Correlation Analysis for Nissan Motor | 246 |
| | Company | |
| F6 | Results of the Correlation Analysis for Toyota Motor | 248 |
| | Corporation | |

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

BMW Bayerische Motoren Werke

FCA Fiat Chrysler Automobiles

MR Manufacturing Responsiveness

MS Manufacturing Sustainability

PoC Percentage of Correlation

STA Sales Target Achievement

LIST OF PUBLICATIONS

- 1. Ebrahim, Z., Ahmad, N.A., and Muhamad, M.R. 2014. Understanding Responsiveness in Manufacturing Operations. *Sci. Int. (Lahore)*, 26(5), pp. 1663-1666.
- 2. Ebrahim, Z., Ahmad, N.A., and Muhamad, M.R. A Model for Manufacturing Sustainability in Manufacturing Operations. Jurnal Teknologi. Status: In review (8384).

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Research Background

In general, a responsive manufacturing company recognises the significance of maintaining and reacting to the customer needs. A 'new breed of customers' demand greater responsiveness to a vigorous set of desires and new competitive situation (Handfield and Nichols, 2002; Bower and Hout, 1988); this phenomenon exposes the local companies to competition from companies worldwide (Monckza and Morgan, 2000; Pagell, 2004). In short, the term Manufacturing Responsiveness (MR) has been associated with how effective a company can react to the needs of the customer while competing in the dynamic markets.

Meanwhile, Manufacturing of sustainability (MS) has arisen through the demand for sustainable economy, environment, and society (Amrina and Yusof, 2011) since it is a critical and timely topic (Linton et al., 2007). In this context, sustainable development is determined as the ability to meet the needs of the present without compromising the ability to meet the needs of the future generations (WCED, 1987). Hence, according to Amrina and Yusof (2011) achieving sustainability in manufacturing activities has been recognised as a acute since shrinking non-renewable resources, stricter regulations related to environment and occupational safety, and expanding consumer demand for environmentally-friendly products.

In addition, Gunasekaran and Spalanzani (2012) suggested that sustainable production operations ought to dependability, cost reduction, responsiveness, flexibility, customisation, and high quality products and services. The result from their study shows that responsiveness actually contributed to the sustainable production operations. It also supported findings of an earlier study on manufacturing responsiveness: a model of Responsive Manufacturing Model founded by Saad and Gindy (2007). In their study, the outputs of responsive manufacturing were accounted for as speed of profitability and growth, response, and customer satisfaction. However, these outputs can only be interpreted into two of three pillars of sustainability concept: (i) Profitability corresponds to sustainable economy, and (ii) Growth, customer satisfaction, and speed of response that correspond to sustainable society. Here, it shows the absence of the third pillar of sustainability, that is sustainable environment. Environmental impacts are accounted for as part of manufacturing performance measures as environmentally sustainable manufacturing practices may be positively related with competitive upshots. Thus, the general description of responsiveness and sustainability can be viewed as the ability of the companies to respond quickly to customer needs with compromised sustainable economy, environment, and society.

1.2 Research Motivation

Responsiveness is a major element which can generate speed in a system (Kritchanchai, 2004). Meanwhile, sustainability is ability of the organisation to improve its economic condition without affecting the natural environment and the social equity for present or future (Feng and Joung, 2009). Up to this date, the integration between MR and MS in the context of manufacturing operations has not yet been developed by any

researcher. In this study, it is believed that the integration between MR and MS will serve as practical methods for manufacturers to assess their manufacturing operation systems.

Over the decades, researchers have articulated and produced numerous models for responsive manufacturing (e.g. Kritchanchai and MacCarthy 1999; Catalan and Kotzab, 2003; Kritchanchai, 2004; Holweg, 2005b; Kurnaz et al., 2005; Reichhart and Holweg, 2007) and sustainable manufacturing (e.g. Bansal and Roth, 2014; Scheumann et al., 2013; Schrettle et al., 2011; Law, 2010; Feng and Joung, 2009). However, all the models were developed as isolated models. These results are inconsistent and ambiguous regarding the enablers of the concepts of MR and MS. Thus, it is crucial to integrate the concept of MR and MS to produce a complete range of outputs of MR and MS such as flexibility, customisation, dependability, responsiveness, high quality products and services, and cost reduction (Gunasekaran and Spalanzani, 2012) as well as economy, sustainable society (Saad and Gindy, 2007) and sustainable environment. In the view of the automotive industry, it encounter greater market pressure to produce high quality products and more quickly, which require a high degree of responsiveness, and the need to sustain the manufacturing operations.

1.3 Research Questions

As the necessity to integrate the concept of MR and MS in the context of manufacturing operations, the following are the research questions:

- (i) What are the fundamental elements and components of MR and MS?
- (ii) What are the relationships between these fundamental elements and components?
- (iii) How can MR and MS be integrated?

1.4 Research Objectives

In this study, the aim is to propose an integrated model for MR and MS in the context of manufacturing operations. Thus, this study embarks on the following objectives:

- (i) To determine identify fundamental elements and components of MR and MS in the context of manufacturing operations.
- (ii) To develop an integrated model of MR and MS in the context of manufacturing operations and validate the model using case studies related to the automotive industry.

1.5 Research Scope and Limitation

- (i) Emphases on responsiveness and sustainability in the context of manufacturing operations, especially in the automotive industry.
- (ii) Focus on the measurable components because it can be measured quantitatively for easy to view and understand.

1.6 Research Contributions

The present research delivers significant contributions, particularly in the theoretical and practical perspectives. In theory, this research identifies the relationship between MR and MS in the context of manufacturing operations, specifically automotive manufacturing companies. Through the validation of the proposed model developed, the present study offers some evidences to enhance the understanding of the conceptual model for responsiveness and sustainability, which has been investigated by the previous research. In addition, the proposed integrated model sheds light on the relationships between MR and MS, and it would enhanced understanding of the influences of both MR

and MS on the manufacturing companies. While offering benefits for continuous improvement in manufacturing firms, the principles of the proposed integrated model also can be applied to future studies; the scope of application can be extended to different industries and further development of research on the area of MR and MS.

In practical view, the present research offers significant benefits, especially to the researchers and industrial practitioners. With increased concerns for MR and MS issues, a few pertinent questions arise: what are the fundamental elements for ensuring the company's survival, and can the fundamental elements and components of MR and MS be integrated? Through rigorous processes, the present study has developed and validated an integrated model of MR and MS in the context of manufacturing operations. It is believed that the proposed model could help industrial practitioners in measuring their firm performance in a broader context that includes economic, environmental and social outcomes. Using the proposed model, the industrial practitioners can understand the diverse aspects of MR and MS implementations, and they will be able to identify the strengths and weaknesses in their current practices. Furthermore, the outputs of the study could serve as a source of reference in making decisions and taking further action related to the efforts of promoting environmental protection and social well-being while competing in the dynamic markets.

In summary, the contribution of this study emphasises the theoretical and practical perspectives, which bring benefits to future researchers and industrial practitioners. The proposed integrated model also in fact would benefit not only the body of knowledge, but also other industries which eventually can enhance economic, environmental, and social development of the country.