

# **Faculty of Manufacturing Engineering**

# SUSTAINABLE MANUFACTURING PRACTICE AND SUSTAINABILITY PERFORMANCE MEDIATED BY INNOVATION PERFORMANCE

Norsiah binti Hami

**Doctor of Philosophy** 

2015

C Universiti Teknikal Malaysia Melaka

### SUSTAINABLE MANUFACTURING PRACTICE AND SUSTAINABILITY PERFORMANCE MEDIATED BY INNOVATION PERFORMANCE

NORSIAH BINTI HAMI

A thesis submitted in fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

**Faculty of Manufacturing Engineering** 

UNIVERSITI TEKNIKAL MALAYSIA MELAKA

2015

C Universiti Teknikal Malaysia Melaka

### DECLARATION

I declare that this thesis entitled "Sustainable Manufacturing Practice and Sustainability Performance Mediated by Innovation Performance" is the result of my own research except as cited in the references. The thesis has not been accepted for any degree and is not concurrently submitted in candidature of any other degree.

Signature	:
Name	: Norsiah binti Hami
Date	:

## APPROVAL

I hereby declare that I have read this thesis and in my opinion this thesis is sufficient in terms of scope and quality for the award of Doctor of Philosophy.

Signature	:
Supervisor Name	: Prof. Dr. Mohd Razali Muhamad
Date	:
Signature	:
Co-Supervisor Name	: Dr. Zuhriah Ebrahim
Date	:

# DEDICATION

To my beloved husband, sons and parents

### ABSTRACT

Sustainable manufacturing (SM) strategies have drawn attention derived from increasing global concerns on sustainability issues such as the scarcity of natural resources, rapid environmental destruction and unequal balance of social equities. The concept of sustainability has dramatically extended the goals of businesses, traditionally solely focused on operational efficiency and economic returns, to include environmental and social benefits. Embracing the idea of the triple bottom line approach, firm performance is assessed based on three criteria namely economic, environmental and social sustainability. The importance of environmental management and socially responsible practices for enhancing sustainability performance (SP) at a firm level has been widely acknowledged and extensively reported in the extant literature. While some researchers found a positive and significant impact of sustainable manufacturing practice (SMP) on predicting SP, there were some other researchers who failed to prove the significant relationship between these two variables. The inconsistent result of SMP-SP linkage might be due to the reason that the contextual elements of SMP and SP have not been well established. Insufficient statistical evidence to corroborate the significant impact of SMP on SP demonstrates that there may be a more complex relationship between SMP and SP. Since the significant relationship of innovation performance (IP) with SMP and SP has been found in some previous studies, there is a possibility that IP mediate the SMP-SP linkage. The objectives of this study are to identify the level of SMP, SP and IP among Malaysian manufacturing firms, to analyze the direct and indirect effect of SMP on SP, and eventually to propose a validated framework indicating the interrelationships between SMP, SP and IP. Using the theories of stakeholder and resource-based view (RBV) of a firm, integrating with prior research on sustainability. SM and innovation, a theoretical model depicting the interrelationships between SMP, IP and SP has been developed in this study. A series of hypothesized relationships were tested through PLS-SEM approach by using survey data collected from 150 firms. The results reveal that internal SMP has a positive and significant impact on economic sustainability, and both types of SMP (internal SMP and external SMP) have significant impact on environmental and social sustainability. While both types of SMP have mediated effect on social sustainability through organizational innovation, process innovation is the single significant mediator for internal SMPeconomic sustainability linkage and both product and process innovations are the significant mediators for external SMP-economic sustainability linkage. The study indicates that the level of implementation of SMP is moderate to considerable extent, and economic benefits are still the dominant factors on embracing SMP compared to the others. The results also demonstrate that Malaysian manufacturers are able to gain better IP. reflecting that increasing innovation capabilities might be of high importance to the firms. While offering significant theoretical contribution by enhancing the SM, innovation and sustainability body of knowledge, the findings of this study are beneficial for industrial practitioners through better understanding of the contextual elements of SMP, IP and SP which would support their continuous improvement.

### ABSTRAK

Strategi pembuatan mampan (SM) telah mendapat perhatian hasil daripada kebimbangan global terhadap isu kelestarian seperti kekurangan sumber asli, kemusnahan alam sekitar dan ketidakseimbangan ekuiti sosial. Konsep pembangunan mampan telah meluaskan matlamat perniagaan iaitu bukan sahaja memberi tumpuan kepada kecekapan operasi dan pulangan ekonomi tetapi juga mengambil kira faedah kepada alam sekitar dan sosial. Menyokong pendekatan "triple bottom line", prestasi firma dinilai berdasarkan kepada kemampanan ekonomi, kelestarian alam sekitar dan kemampanan sosial. Kepentingan amalan yang menitikberatkan aspek tanggungjawab sosial dan pengurusan alam sekitar terhadap peningkatan prestasi mampan (SP) telah diakui dan dilaporkan secara meluas dalam literatur. Walaupun beberapa penyelidik mendapati bahawa amalan pembuatan mampan (SMP) memberi kesan positif dan signifikan kepada SP, terdapat beberapa penvelidik lain yang gagal membuktikan hubungan tersebut secara signifikan. Keputusan yang tidak konsisten dalam hubungan SMP-SP mungkin disebabkan oleh masalah dalam mendefinisikan konteks SMP dan SP. Kekurangan bukti statistik menyokong hubungan signifikan di antara SMP dan SP menunjukkan kemungkinan wujudnya hubungan yang kompleks di antara kedua-dua pembolehubah. Mengambil kira dapatan kajian lepas mengenai hubungan signifikan prestasi inovasi (IP) dengan SMP dan SP, terdapat kemungkinan bahawa IP adalah pengantara bagi hubungan SMP-SP. Objektif kajian ini adalah untuk mengenal pasti tahap SMP, SP dan IP di kalangan firma pembuatan di Malaysia, menganalisis kesan secara langsung dan tidak langsung SMP terhadap SP, dan mencadangkan rangka kerja yang telah disahkan yang menunjukkan hubungan di antara SMP, SP dan IP. Menggunakan teori pihak berkepentingan dan RBV, diintegrasikan dengan penyelidikan terdahulu, model teori menggambarkan hubungan di antara SMP, IP dan SP dibangunkan dalam kajian ini. Melalui teknik PLS-SEM, satu siri hubungan hipotesis diuji dengan menggunakan data kajian yang dikumpul daripada 150 firma. Keputusan menunjukkan SMP dalaman mempunyai kesan positif dan signifikan ke atas kemampanan ekonomi, dan kedua-dua jenis SMP (SMP dalaman dan luaran) mempunyai kesan ketara kepada kelestarian alam sekitar dan kemampanan sosial. Sementara itu, kedua-dua jenis SMP mempunyai kesan tidak langsung ke atas kemampanan sosial melalui pemboleh ubah intervensi inovasi organisasi. Inovasi proses pula adalah pemboleh ubah intervensi tunggal untuk hubungan kemampanan SMP-ekonomi dalaman manakala keduadua produk dan proses inovasi adalah pembolehubah intervensi yang signifikan bagi hubungan SMP luaran-ekonomi kemampanan. Selain itu, dapatan kajian menunjukkan tahap pelaksanaan SMP yang sederhana dan baik, manfaat ekonomi terus menjadi faktor pendorong dominan kepada perlaksanaan SMP, dan pencapaian prestasi yang baik dalam IP mencerminkan bahawa peningkatan keupayaan inovasi mungkin menjadi keutamaan kepada firma. Selain menyumbang kepada pengembangan pengetahuan dalam bidang SM, inovasi dan kelestarian, dapatan kajian ini memberi manfaat kepada pengamal industri melalui pemahaman yang lebih baik mengenai konteks SMP, IP dan SP yang akan menyokong kepada penambahbaikan yang berterusan.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

In the name of Allah, the Most Gracious and the Most Merciful. I would like to extend my deepest gratitude and thanks to Allah the Almighty for giving me excellent health and energy to complete my research.

I would like to express my gratitude to everyone who contributes to the completion of this research. Special thanks go to my main supervisor, Prof. Dr. Mohd. Razali Muhamad, and co-supervisor, Dr. Zuhriah Ebrahim, for their valuable guidance, ideas and encouragement throughout the entire research process. Without their assistance and continued support, I would not be able to complete this thesis as presented here.

My acknowledgments are also dedicated to Ministry of Education Malaysia for financial support and my beloved employer, Universiti Utara Malaysia (UUM), for giving me the chance to pursue my PhD in the Universiti Teknikal Malaysia Melaka (UTeM). I am also indebted to the respondents in this study for their time and willingness to share valuable information.

My sincere appreciation also extends to the scholars and administrative staff in UTeM and UUM who gave countless constructive suggestions and administrative assistance. I would further like to thank all my friends, colleagues and others who have provided assistance at various occasions. Last but not least, very special thanks to my husband, my kids, my parents and my mother-in-law for their limitless encouragement, patience, love and support during the entire process.

### **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

DE	CLAR	ATION		
DE	DICAT	ION		
AB	STRAC	CT		i
AB	STRAF	K		ii
AC	KNOW	LEDG	EMENTS	iii
TA]	BLE O	F CON'	TENTS	iv
LIS	T OF 1	<b>FABLE</b>	S	vii
LIS	T OF I	FIGURI	ES	Х
LIS	T OF A	APPEN	DICES	xi
LIS	T OF A	ABBRE	VIATIONS	xii
LIS	T OF I	PUBLIC	CATIONS	XV
CH	APTEI	R		
1.	INTF	RODUC	CTION	1
	1.1	Prelin	ninary	1
	1.2	Sustai	inability in manufacturing	4
	1.3	Resea	urch motivation	10
	1.4	Resea	urch questions	16
	1.5	Resea	urch objectives	16
	1.6	Resea	urch scope	17
	1.7	Resea	urch significance	17
	1.8	Thesis	s organization	19
2.			RE REVIEW	21
	2.1		ninary	21
	2.2		inability and sustainable manufacturing (SM)	21
	2.3		inable manufacturing practice (SMP)	25
		2.3.1	Internal sustainable manufacturing practice (Internal SM	· ·
		2.3.2	External sustainable manufacturing practice (External	34
			SMP)	•
	2.4	Innov		39
		2.4.1	Innovation performance (IP)	43
	2.5		retical foundation	47
		2.5.1	Resource-based view (RBV) theory	47
		2.5.2	Stakeholder theory	49
	2.6		retical framework	52
		2.6.1	er ,	54
			sustainability performance (SP)	
			2.6.1.1 Sustainable manufacturing practice (SMP) and	57
			economic sustainability	
			2.6.1.2 Sustainable manufacturing practice (SMP) and	62
			environmental sustainability	<i>.</i> .
			2.6.1.3 Sustainable manufacturing practice (SMP) and	64
			social sustainability	
		2.6.2	SMP, innovation performance (IP) and sustainability	66
			performance (SP)	

		2.6.2.1 Sustainable manufacturing practice (SMP) and	69
		innovation performance (IP)	70
		2.6.2.2 Innovation performance (IP) and sustainability performance (SP)	72
		2.6.2.3 Innovation performance (IP) as a mediator	76
	2.7	Summary	78
3.	RES	EARCH METHOD	79
	3.1	Preliminary	79
	3.2	Research process	80
	3.3	Item measure development	83
		3.3.1 Exogenous latent variables	84
		3.3.2 Endogenous latent variables	93
	3.4	Questionnaire development	101
		3.4.1 Construction of initial questionnaire	101
		3.4.2 Pre-test	101
		3.4.3 Pilot test	102
	3.5	Survey administration	104
		3.5.1 Survey plan	104
		3.5.2 Survey implementation	106
		3.5.3 Response analysis	108
	3.6	Data analysis	112
		3.6.1 Descriptive analysis	112
		3.6.2 PLS-SEM	113
		3.6.2.1 Measurement model validation	114
		3.6.2.2 Structural model analysis	116
		3.6.2.3 Structural model 1 through structural model 9	119
	3.7	Summary	126
4.	RES	ULT AND DISCUSSION	127
	4.1	Preliminary	127
	4.2	Descriptive analysis of respondent	127
	4.3	Measurement model validation	132
		4.3.1 Exogenous variables	133
		4.3.2 Endogenous variables	141
	4.4	Descriptive analysis of variable	149
	4.5	Structural model analysis	152
		4.5.1 Hypothesis 1 results (SMP $\rightarrow$ SP)	154
		4.5.2 Hypothesis 2 results (SMP $\rightarrow$ IP $\rightarrow$ SP)	157
		4.5.3 Validated model	171
	4.6	Discussion	174
		4.6.1 The level of SMP, SP and IP	174
		4.6.2 The impact of SMP on SP	177
		4.6.2.1 The impact of SMP on economic sustainability	178
		4.6.2.2 The impact of SMP on environmental sustainability	181
		4.6.2.3 The impact of SMP on social sustainability	182
		4.6.3 Mediating effect of IP on SMP-SP linkage	184
		4.6.3.1 Mediating effect of product innovation on SMP- SP linkage	185

		4.6.3.2	Mediating effect of process innovation on SMP-	187
			SP linkage	
		4.6.3.3	Mediating effect of organizational innovation on	189
			SMP-SP linkage	
		4.6.3.4	Mediating effect of marketing innovation on	190
			SMP-SP linkage	
		4.6.4 Validat	red model	192
	4.7	Summary		194
5.	CON	CLUSION ANI	) FUTURE RESEARCH	196
	5.1	Preliminary		196
	5.2	Research findi	ngs	197
	5.3	Research contr	ributions	199
	5.4	Research impl		201
	5.5	Limitations an	d future research	203
DE	את כו הוק	NCES		207
	FERE			207
AP	PENDI	UES .		237

### LIST OF TABLES

TABLE	TITLE	
1.1	Global merchandise trade	3
1.2	GDP growth for selected countries	3
1.3	Percentage share of GDP by economic activities	5
1.4	Key indicators of manufacturing sector for 2005 and 2010	5
1.5	Relative frequency of accidents reported for 2008 through 2012	7
1.6	Number of accidents reported according to industry for 2012	8
2.1	Conceptual definition of each element in 6R methodology (Jayal et	28
	<i>al.</i> , 2010)	
2.2	Definition of the constructs	55
2.3	Empirical findings on insignificant SMP-SP linkage	67
3.1	The indicator variables of internal SMP	87
3.2	The indicator variables of external SMP	90
3.3	The indicator variables of SP	94
3.4	The indicator variables of IP	98
3.5	The comments from pre-test	103
3.6	The analysis of interim consistency reliability	105
3.7	Determination of sample size (Cochran, 1977)	107
3.8	Determination of final sample size (Cochran, 1977)	107
3.9	Non-response bias test results	111

3.10	Structural model 1 through structural model 9	121
3.11	The four steps of mediation analysis (Baron and Kenny, 1986)	124
4.1	Profile of the responded firms	128
4.2	Profile of respondents	131
4.3	Factor loadings, composite reliability and AVE for internal SMP	136
4.4	Factor loadings, composite reliability and AVE for external SMP	137
4.5	Comparison of the AVE and squared correlation between constructs	140
	for SMP at first-order model	
4.6	Comparison of the AVE and squared correlation between constructs	140
	for SMP at second-order model	
4.7	Factor loadings, composite reliability and AVE for SP	144
4.8	Factor loadings, composite reliability and AVE for IP	145
4.9	Comparison of the AVE and squared correlation between constructs	148
	for SP and IP	
4.10	Mean and standard deviation of variables	150
4.11	Collinearity statistics	153
4.12	Hypothesis 1 (Structural Model 1 through Structural Model 3) test	155
	results	
4.13	Summary of the results (hypothesis 1)	156
4.14	Specific hypothesis of H2(a) (Structural Model 4) - Internal SMP, IP	158
	and SP1	
4.15	Specific hypothesis of H2(b) (Structural Model 5) - External SMP, IP	160
	and SP1	
4.16	Specific hypothesis of H2(c) (Structural Model 6) - Internal SMP, IP	162
	and SP2	

4.17	Specific hypothesis of H2(d) (Structural Model 7) - External SMP, IP	164
	and SP2	
4.18	Specific hypothesis of H2(e) (Structural Model 8) - Internal SMP, IP	166
	and SP3	
4.19	Specific hypothesis of H2(f) (Structural Model 9) - External SMP, IP	168
	and SP3	

4.20 Summary of the results (hypothesis 2) 170

### **LIST OF FIGURES**

FIGURE	TITLE	PAGE
1.1	Three pillars of sustainability	11
2.1	The evolution of manufacturing concepts (Jawahir and Dillon Jr.,	26
	2007)	
2.2	The evolution of SM concepts and practices (OECD, 2010)	27
2.3	Interactive model of innovation (Rothwell and Zegveld, 1985)	40
2.4	Theoretical framework of the present study	53
3.1	The research process	81
3.2	Exogenous variables	86
3.3	Path diagram of measurement model for internal SMP	89
3.4	Path diagram of measurement model for external SMP	92
3.5	Path diagram of measurement model for SP	96
3.6	Path diagram of measurement model for IP	100
3.7	Hypothesized structural model	120
4.1	Measurement models of exogenous variables	134
4.2	The result of measurement model of SMP	135
4.3	Measurement models of endogenous variables	142
4.4	The result of measurement model of SP and IP	143
4.5	Validated causal model of SMP on SP	172
4.6	Validated mediation model of SMP on SP through IP	173

### LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX	TITLE	PAGE
A	Gantt chart for the present research	237
В	Cover letter of questionnaire	238
С	Finalized set of questionnaire	239
D	Factor loadings and cross loadings for SMP	246
E	Factor loadings and cross loadings for SP	247
F	Factor loadings and cross loadings for IP	248

### LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

3R	-	Reduce, reuse, recycle
6R	-	Reduce, reuse, recycle, recover, redesign, remanufacture
APEC	-	Asia-Pasific Economic Cooperation
AVE	-	Average variance extracted
BNM	-	Bank Negara Malaysia
CB-SEM	-	Covariance based structural equation modeling
CEO	-	Chief executive officer
CFA	-	Confirmatory factor analysis
CI	-	Confidence interval
CMB	-	Common method bias
$CO_2$	-	Carbon dioxide
CR	-	Composite reliability
CSR	-	Corporate social responsibility
EFA	-	Exploratory factor analysis
EMS	-	Environmental management systems
EPA	-	Environmental Protection Agency
Ext1	-	Supplier relation
Ext2	-	Customer relation
Ext3	-	Community relation
Ext4	-	Closed-loop production

Ext5	-	Industrial relation
FMM	-	Federation of Malaysian Manufacturers
GDP	-	Gross domestic product
GHG	-	Greenhouse gases
GLC	-	Government-linked companies
IBM SPSS	-	Statistical Package for the Social Sciences
IEA	-	International Energy Agency
ILO	-	International Labor Organization
IMF	-	International Monetary Fund
Int1	-	Cleaner production
Int2	-	Eco-efficiency
Int3	-	Employee relation
IP	-	Innovation performance
IP1	-	Product innovation
IP2	-	Process innovation
IP3	-	Organizational innovation
IP4	-	Marketing innovation
ISO	-	International Organization for Standardization
JV	-	Joint venture
LC	-	Local company
MNC	-	Multinational corporation
OECD	-	Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development
PBDE	-	Dipheny polybrominated ether
PLS-SEM	-	Partial least squares structural equation modeling
PRC	-	The People's Republic of China

R&D	-	Research and development
RBV	-	Resource-based view
SD	-	Sustainable development
SEM	-	Structural equation modeling
SME	-	Small and medium enterprise
SMP	-	Sustainable manufacturing practice
SOCSO	-	Social Security Organization
SP	-	Sustainability performance
SP1	-	Economic sustainability
SP2	-	Environmental sustainability
SP3	-	Social sustainability
TBL	-	Triple bottom line
TQM	-	Total quality management
UNEP	-	United Nations Environment Programme
UK	-	United Kingdom
US	-	United States
VIF	-	Variance inflation factor
WBCSD	-	World Business Council for Sustainable Development
WCED	-	World Commission on Environment and Development
WTO	-	World Trade Organization

#### LIST OF PUBLICATIONS

Hami, N., Muhamad, M.R. and Ebrahim, Z., 2014. Exploring Sustainable Manufacturing Practices and Sustainability Performance among Malaysian Manufacturing Firms. In *International Symposium on Research in Innovation and Sustainability 2014*, Melaka, Malaysia, 15-16 October 2014. Universiti Teknikal Malaysia Melaka.

Hami, N., Muhamad, M.R. and Ebrahim, Z., 2014. The impact of Sustainable Manufacuring Practices and Innovation Performance on Economic Sustainability. In *12<sup>th</sup> Global Conference on Sustainable Manufacturing*, Johor Bahru, Malaysia, 22-24 September 2014. CIRP.

Hami, N., Muhamad, M.R. and Ebrahim, Z., 2012. Sustainable Manufacturing Practices towards Performance Improvement. In Bani Hashim, A.Y., *Proceedings of the International Conference on Design and Concurrent Engineering*, Melaka, Malaysia, 15-16 October 2012. Universiti Teknikal Malaysia Melaka.

Muhamad, M.R., Ebrahim, Z. and Hami, N., 2014. The Influence of Innovation Performance towards Manufacturing Sustainability Performance. In *Proceedings of the 2014 International Conference on Industrial Engineering and Operations Management*, Bali, Indonesia, 7-9 January 2014. IIEOM.

#### **CHAPTER 1**

#### **INTRODUCTION**

#### 1.1 Preliminary

The business environment has changed significantly since the last decades. Efforts toward globalization have increased due to an increase in connectivity and interdependence among different markets and business niches around the world. Globalization, which is the process of international integration, has become the propeller of global economic growth (Kim, 2010). International trade has managed to record positive growth annually for the past five years (2005-2010), with an annual average growth of more than 7% (WTO, 2011).

Table 1.1 shows the data of global merchandise trade dating from the middle of the 20<sup>th</sup> century. The data highlighted an increase pattern for both merchandise exports as well as imports. More remarkably, the value of global merchandise exports and imports has increased significantly from US\$59 billion and US\$62 billion in 1948 to US\$14,851 billion and US\$15,077 billion in 2010, respectively (WTO, 2011). This is an indicator which signaled how developed and developing countries are able to create competitive advantages by opening their economics to the global stage. Impressive evidence could be seen from the favorable economic performance exhibited by one of Asia's own economic giants, The People's Republic of China (PRC).

PRC is the second largest economy in the world, closely behind the United States (US) with a nominal gross domestic product (GDP) of US\$8,229.4 billion in 2012 and an estimated US\$9,181.4 in 2013 (IMF, 2014). It is the fastest-growing major economy in the

world, with growth rates averaging 10% over the past 30 years. Its share in the global growth from 1995 to 2002 was estimated at 25%, compared to 20% in the US (Kim, 2010). Besides PRC, other developing countries in Asia have also experienced rapid economic growth. For example, in 2013 alone, GDP increased by 4.7% in Malaysia, 2.9% in Thailand, 5.8% in Indonesia and 7.2% in Philippines (IMF, 2014), displayed in Table 1.2.

Nevertheless, various conflicts of interest emerged between the economic profit originating from industrialization and the accompanying adverse effects due to severe pollution and destruction of natural resources caused by such industrial activities. For instance, rapid industrial development in PRC since the 1980's as a result of economic reformed stirred concern. PRC, which emitted 5.92 tons per capita of carbon dioxide ( $CO_2$ ) or approximately 25% of global emissions in 2011, has been the biggest emitter of  $CO_2$  in the world since 2006 (IEA, 2013). There is a strong view that the level of  $CO_2$  and other greenhouse gases (GHG) such as methane, nitrous oxide and a number of gases that are created from industrial processes is rising (Rajemi, 2010). Although the flow of carbon emissions is not harmful to the public immediately, contrasting with the other polluting gases, it is a major contributor to climate change problems.

Recognizing the importance of economic development to society, creation of material wealth and prosperity has been a primary goal for many countries. However, is the rapid industrial development really managed to improve the social well being? As reported by the International Labor Organization (ILO), on average, 6,300 people die globally as a result of occupational accidents or work-related diseases each day. Workers suffer from 317 million accidents happen while working annually, together with occupational diseases, resulting more than 2.3 million deaths per year (ILO, 2014). Hence, the urgency of further scrutiny and action to ensure survival of human life is needed.

Year	1948	1953	1963	1973	1983	1993	2003	2010	
Value (Billions US\$)									
Merchandise exports	59	84	157	579	1838	3676	7377	14851	
Merchandise imports	62	85	164	594	1882	3786	7695	15077	
Source: World Trade Organization, International Trade Statistics 2011									

Table 1.1 Global Merchandise Trade

	Year										
Country	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013		
GDP at constant prices (% change)											
United States	3.4	2.7	1.8	-0.3	-2.8	2.5	1.8	2.8	1.9		
Japan	1.3	1.7	2.2	-1.0	-5.5	4.7	-0.5	1.4	1.5		
United Kingdom	3.2	2.8	3.4	-0.8	-5.2	1.7	1.1	0.3	1.8		
South Korea	4.0	5.2	5.1	2.3	0.3	6.3	3.7	2.0	2.8		
Hong Kong	7.4	7.0	6.5	2.1	-2.5	6.8	4.8	1.6	2.9		
Taiwan	4.7	5.4	6.0	0.7	-1.8	10.8	4.2	1.5	2.1		
Singapore	7.4	8.9	9.0	1.9	-0.6	15.1	6.0	1.9	4.1		
Thailand	4.6	5.1	5.0	2.5	-2.3	7.8	0.1	6.5	2.9		
Philippines	4.8	5.2	6.6	4.2	1.1	7.6	3.6	6.8	7.2		
Indonesia	5.7	5.5	6.3	6.0	4.6	6.2	6.5	6.3	5.8		
Malaysia	5.0	5.6	6.3	4.8	-1.5	7.4	5.1	5.6	4.7		
Source: International Monetary Fund, World Economic Outlook Database, April 2014											

Table 1.2 GDP Growth for Selected Countries

#### 1.2 Sustainability in manufacturing

Although remarkable economic achievement has contributed to human development and reduced poverty in Malaysia, the impacts of rapid environmental changes raise doubts as to its sustainability and inter-generational equity (Hezri and Hasan, 2006). In recent decades, the country faces new challenges related to the sustainability due to the increasing of the scarcity of resources, the rapid deterioration of the global environment, and human beings pursuit of a higher quality of life. With the increasing of the depletion of natural resources of the earth, firms are looking for better efficiency in managing their resource needs.

Manufacturing is one of the key economic sectors in Malaysia which recorded positive annual growth, accounted for 24.2% of GDP in 2012 as shown in Table 1.3. The main impetus for this favorable performance were petroleum, chemical, plastic and rubber products (29.9% shares) as well as the rebound in transport equipment and other manufactured products. Meanwhile, electrical and electronics products were the second largest contributor with 24.5% of shares (Department of Statistics Malaysia, 2013).

Key indicators of the manufacturing sector registered a positive growth in 2010, as shown in Table 1.4. The value of gross output grew by RM181.0 billion in the five years, resulting in an annual compounding growth rate of 5% between 2005 and 2010. During the same period, the other key indicators of manufacturing sector include intermediate input, value added, fixed assets, and employment followed the same trend, with an increase of 4.4%, 7.6%, 2.0% and 1.6%, respectively (Department of Statistics Malaysia, 2012).

Unfortunately, despite the impressive performance exhibited by the annual GDP and the main indicators of the manufacturing sector, the number of accidents (including occupational diseases) that were reported to the Ministry of Labor and Social Security Organization (SOCSO) is also quite high. A total of 61,552 cases were reported in 2010, an