

## Green Practice in the Supply Chain: The Case of Malaysian Aero Composite Manufacturing Industry

Wan Hasrulnizam Wan Mahmood<sup>1,2,a</sup>, Mohd Nizam Ab Rahman<sup>2,b</sup>  
and Baba Md deros<sup>2,c</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Faculty of Manufacturing Engineering, Universiti Teknikal Malaysia Melaka, 76100 Durian Tunggal, Melaka, Malaysia

<sup>2</sup>Advanced Manufacturing Research Group, Faculty of Engineering & Built Environment, Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia, 43600 UKM Bangi, Selangor, Malaysia

<sup>a</sup>hasrulnizam@utem.edu.my, <sup>b</sup>mnizam@vlsi.eng.ukm.my, <sup>c</sup>hjbaba@vlsi.eng.ukm.my

**Keywords:** Aero composite manufacturing industry, Green supply chain management, Malaysia

**Abstract.** Green supply chain management is a concept that gaining popularity in the most region in the world. For many organizations, it is a way to demonstrate their sincere commitment to sustainability. In addition, many realize that customers and other stakeholders do not always distinguish between a company and its suppliers. This paper is then, to investigate green supply chain practices in Malaysian aero composite manufacturing companies, as an initiative for environmental enhancement of green management programme in Malaysia which has the potential to offer greater economic value especially in manufacture of composites material components and sub-assemblies for aircraft application. The study indentified that industry are currently do not have enough efforts toward green supply chain and it shows that there is lack of initiative on greening suppliers. However, the industry is struggling to enhance the green activities through continuous improvement programme such as lean manufacturing, as per requested from the customers, stakeholders and ISO practices.

### Introduction

Supply chain management can be defined as a set of synchronized decisions and activities utilized to efficiently integrate suppliers, manufacturers, warehouses, transporters, retailers, and customers so that the right product or service is distributed at the right quantities, to the right locations, and at the right time, in order to minimize system-wide cost while satisfying customer service level requirements [1]. Supply chain integration is difficult for two primary reasons: first, the supply chain is an integrated system that requires cohesive decisions to optimize the system profit and value. In practice, different facilities in the supply chain may have different, conflicting objectives. Second, the supply chain is a dynamic system, which has its own life cycle and continually evolves. When defining the scope for a supply chain effort, it is always advisable to adopt as broad a definition as possible. That way the most process steps are included and, therefore, the greatest opportunity for improvement is considered. The only caveat is to exercise caution and not make the scope so great that insufficient resources are available to reach effective conclusions [2].

Although the improvements have been achieved through the successfully SCM practice, some of organizations are neglected to take care the environmental issues such as global energy, global warming, reverse logistic, etc. Environmental, ecological concerns in global competition attracted researchers in variety of disciplines. The growing body of literature on the subject demonstrates a widespread appeal especially with regard to the application of ISO 14001 or Environmental Management System (EMS) standards. Simultaneously, the public's environmental awareness has increased through formal and informal environmental education channels. As a result, a systematic

approach, Green Supply Chain Management (GSCM), has been increasingly accepted and practiced by forward-thinking organizations. For example, there are various streams of research that have made their focus the study of the dynamics and variables involved in the greening of business and supply chains, including reverse logistics, green purchasing, life cycle analysis and design for environment.

GSCM is generally to be considered as the modern management model giving consideration of the environmental impact and resource efficiency in the whole supply chain. It involves suppliers, manufacturers, sales and users based on green manufacturing theory and supply chain management technology [3]. Its purpose is to enable products' negative impact on the environment to the smallest, use efficient of resources to the maximum in the entire process, then achieve sustainable development of the enterprises and the supply chain. The thought of green supply chain management comes from the increasing pressure on the enterprises to protect the environment. With the development of researches on the product's life cycle, it is gradually recognized that the actions of a single enterprise of the technologies for certain stage of a product's life cycle cannot effectively reduce the environmental impacts during the entire product life cycle.

The GSCM integrates the supply chain management in order to reduce the environmental impacts during the entire product life cycle by the harmony and the common actions of the partners in a supply chain [4]. Hervani et al [5] believed that by adding the "green" component to supply chain management involves expressing the influence and relationships of supply chain management to the natural environment. Porter and Van der Linde [6] stated that the basics reasoning of GSCM are resource saving, waste elimination and productivity improvement. Adopting green technology in the whole direction of raw materials obtaining, processing, packaging, storage, transportation, products dealing with and recycling, can minimize the products' impact on environment, and utilize resources most effectively. Therefore, green initiatives can lower not only the environmental impact of a business but also raise efficiency, possibly creating major competitive advantages in innovation and operations.

This paper is an initial study (pilot study) on the green practices in supply chain management on Malaysia aero composite manufacturing industry. The selection of the industry is based on the business potential and government aims by 2015 to turn Malaysia into a major player in the global aerospace industry. The paper seeks to investigate the green practices, and companies' initiative on green suppliers. The following sub-title will describe the supply chain structure in aerospace industry, green supply chain challenges and opportunities, research methodology, result and discussion, and conclusion which can be referred by other companies to define their green initiatives and other academician to explore what can be improved in green supply chain management and the sustainability.

### **Supply Chain Structure of the Industry**

The Aerospace industry is dominated by a few large companies. These include players such as Boeing and Airbus. These large players are supported by a vast supplier base globally, including fairly large and sophisticated engine and avionics manufacturers. These include suppliers such as Rolls-Royce, Honeywell and Pratt & Whitney. They are referred to as tier-one suppliers, and play a significant role in the aerospace industry. Tier 1 suppliers are further supplied by a large base of tier 2 and tier 3 suppliers, which serve multiple industries. These tier 2 and 3 suppliers supply all tier 1 suppliers, which share this common supply base. The tier 2 suppliers include companies such as CTRM, ACM, Spirit Aerosystems, etc. These are followed by tier 3 suppliers which include suppliers of machined components such as castings and raw materials suppliers for metals and rubber. Except for the first level of the supply chain who do not trade among themselves (aircraft manufacturers such as Boeing and Airbus), companies actively buy from and sell to each other. Hence, for example, CTRM and Spirit Aerosystems are competitors and might collaborate and trade between themselves too. Therefore, the industry is symbolized by collaborative programs and equity

cross holdings between aircraft manufacturers (Boeing and Airbus) and its tier 1 suppliers. At tier 2, 3 and 4 levels, there is a large and diversified manufacturing base which is shared by the consuming supply chain tier above it.

### **Green Supply Chain Challenges and Opportunities**

As mentioned in the earlier, the biggest challenge facing the industry is supply chain dynamics and its link to environmental pressure in changing firm behaviour. While many suppliers may not be under environmental pressure, they are often under considerable pressure from their customer firms for other issues. This defines the opportunity for operational improvements at each layer of the supply chain because the survival of each company depends on whether it can deliver a better quality product at a lower cost, and on time to its customer base.

### **Malaysia Aero Composite Industry: An Overview**

Malaysia's success in industrial development is also due to the government's pro-business policies and its ability to respond to investor needs by ensuring facilities and incentives for investments are in place to support smooth business operational activities in Malaysia [7]. The expansion of the aerospace industry has wide potential in the nation's industrialisation programmed and technological development. The main activities include the assembly of light aircraft, manufacture of parts and components, maintenance and repair of aircraft, as well as modification and conversion activities. The current emphasis is on the manufacture of avionics components, composite material parts and the design or development and assembly/ production of light aircraft. This paper is only focused on the greening supply chain in manufacturing composite material part which also called as aero composite product. To date, only two companies are seriously involved in producing aero composite product: first, CTRM-AC Sdn Bhd (CTRM) which situated in Melaka, Malaysia is equipped at least 1200 employees has international standard manufacturing facilities produce components for aircraft industries for Boeing, Airbus, Sprit Aerospace, and Goodrich. Second, ACM Sdn Bhd (ACM) which situated in Kedah, Malaysia is a joint venture company between Boeing and Hexcel Corporation has at least 700 employees also expert in aero composite manufacturing. Both CTRM and ACM are the major players in aerospace industry in Malaysia.

### **Research Methodology**

This study focused on sampling the perceptions of green practices in the Malaysia aero composite manufacturing industry. The questionnaire, comprising 21 items (which adopted from Rao [8] with permission), was distributed to company's senior management which have more than 2 years working experience. Respondents were asked to rate each item under a four-point Likert-type scale (e.g. 1 = strongly disagree, 2 = disagree, 3 = agree, 4 = strongly agree), to indicate the extent to which each items was practiced in their respective organization. A total of 20 questionnaires were distributed for each organisations, only 14 were valid for CTRM meanwhile 11 were valid for ACM. In addition, the research methodology comprises semi-structured interviews for the top management and direct observation of the plant in operation to collect the primary data.

### **Result & Discussion**

Table 1 shows the green practices statistical result. Each of these items was evaluated on a four-point scale. From these data, for each of the items, the percentage of respondents which said "agree" and the percentage of respondents which said "strongly agree" were determined. Considering both "agree" and "strongly agree", the item which had the highest percentage (82.8 percent) is "substitution of environmental questionable materials". This implies that 82.8 percent of the both companies in aero composite manufacturing industry had said "agree" or "strongly agree" to this

item. On the other hand, top management of CTRM agreed that the item is most practice in supply chain management compared to others item with 92.9 percent. In contrast, the items which had the highest percentage (90.9 percent) in ACM are “design considerations” and “optimization of processes to reduce air emissions”.

Next came the “taking environmental criteria into consideration” (80.2 percent) on the average total percentage, followed by “optimization of processes to reduce solid wastes” and “optimization of processes to reduce noise” (76.6 percent). Environment-friendly raw materials to the companies was preferred in almost majority cases (71.1 percent). Among the items which were least implemented were use of waste of other companies (17.9 percent), helping suppliers to establish their own EMS (35.1 percent) and use of alternative sources of energy (35.7 percent). The Cronbach’s alpha values, ranging 0.686 to 0.771 show the high internal consistency.

Table.1 Green Practices

Items	CTRM (N=14, Cronbach Alpha = 0.771)			ACM (N=11, Cronbach Alpha = 0.686)			Total Average (%)
	Agree (%)	Strongly Agree (%)	Total (%)	Agree (%)	Strongly Agree (%)	Total (%)	
1. Environment-friendly raw materials	71.4	7.1	78.5	63.6	-	63.6	71.1
2. Substitution of environmental questionable materials	92.9	-	92.9	72.7	-	72.7	82.8
3. Choice of suppliers by environmental criteria	42.9	14.3	57.2	63.6	-	63.6	60.4
4. Urging/pressuring supplier(s) to take environmental actions	64.3	7.1	71.4	45.5	-	45.5	58.5
5. Taking environmental criteria into consideration	78.6	-	78.6	72.7	9.1	81.8	80.2
6. Design considerations	42.9	-	42.9	90.9	-	90.9	66.9
7. Optimization of processes to reduce solid wastes	64.3	7.1	71.4	81.8	-	81.8	76.6
8. Optimization of processes to reduce water use	71.4	7.1	78.5	36.4	-	36.4	57.5
9. Optimization of processes to reduce air emissions	64.3	7.1	71.4	90.9	-	90.9	81.2
10. Optimization of processes to reduce noise	57.1	14.3	71.4	81.8	-	81.8	76.6
11. Use of cleaner technology processes to make savings (energy, water, wastes)	57.1	21.4	78.5	45.5	-	45.5	62.0
12. Recycling of materials internal to the company	57.1	28.6	85.7	18.2	-	18.2	52.0
13. Use of waste of other companies	35.7	-	35.7	-	-	0	17.9
14. Use of alternative sources of energy	64.3	7.1	71.4	-	-	0	35.7
15. Helping suppliers to establish their own EMS	42.9	-	42.9	27.3	-	27.3	35.1
16. Recovery of the company’s end-of-life products	78.6	7.1	85.7	45.5	9.1	54.6	70.2
17. Eco-labeling	71.4	-	71.4	36.4	-	36.4	53.9
18. Environmental improvement of packaging	78.6	7.1	85.7	36.4	-	36.4	61.1
19. Taking back packaging	71.4	-	71.4	9.1	-	9.1	40.3
20. Providing consumers with information on environmental friendly products and/or production methods	85.7	-	85.7	27.3	9.1	36.4	61.1
21. Change for more environmental-friendly transportation	71.4	-	71.4	18.2	-	18.2	44.8

Commenting on the results, the respondents in CTRM were satisfied with the achievement of most of the items of the green practices in supply chain management compared to ACM management team. On average nearly half of the items had respondents agreeing and strongly agreeing. Besides, it was not surprisingly that 92.9 percent of the CTRM was implementing measures to substitution of environmental questionable materials into consideration because the industry is most depended on their customer. In contrast, design consideration is highly importance to green practice in ACM. As a strategic partner of Boeing, ACM must have critical consideration into product.

Optimization of process to reduce solid waste, water, etc. are very much practice because the companies are aware that it is much more productive to prevent production of waste using raw materials and energy, all of which are costly. The respondents disagreeing the use of waste of other companies due to some customers' regulation and limited resource can be used as direct material in production line from outside especially in different industry. The items that referred to greening the suppliers such as helping suppliers to establish their own EMS, urging/pressuring supplier(s) to take environmental actions, etc. also lack of agreeing from the respondents. On the other hands, the customer in this industry has right to decide preferred supplier of supplies. In other words, the industry only has better opportunity to improve green practices internally. Lean manufacturing system was became most preferable approach to support the development of green supply chain practices. Besides, the approach is compulsory or prerequisite for any suppliers to survive in aerospace industry. In addition, both CTRM and ACM are encouraging the lean manufacturing implementation in any continuous improvement activities which linked to EMS and other green practices as per requested from their customers. From the observation during industrial visit, both companies have shown better result in productivity improvement and developing green awareness through lean manufacturing. Besides, lean manufacturing had became a work culture where every single activity was driven by green practices and cost saving.

## **Conclusion**

As a conclusion, the authors found that the respondents were satisfied with the achievement of most the green supply chain practices. However, the green practices were different between companies. Some of the items that most practiced in the company were less practiced in other company. In addition, the items which involved supplier or third parties were received low response from companies due to customer decisions. Nevertheless, the authors believe that the research results may prove useful in helping manufacturing firms to identify an effective approach towards the successful of green supply chain practices.

## **References**

- [1] Li, Ling, (2007), *Supply chain management: concepts, techniques and practices enhancing value through collaboration*, World Scientific Publishing Co. Pte.Ltd., Singapore.
- [2] Poirier, C. C. (2004), *Using models to improve the supply chain*, St. Lucie Press, Boca Raton, Florida.
- [3] Gao, Y., Li, J. and Song, Y. (2009), Performance evaluation of green supply chain management based on membership conversion algorithm, in proceeding of *IEEE International Colloquium on Computing, Communication, Control and Management*.
- [4] Shuwang, W., Lei, Z., Zhifeng, L., Guangfu, L. and Zhang, H. C., (2005), Study on the performance assessment of green supply chain, in proceeding of *IEEE International Conference on Systems, Man and Cybernetics*, Vol.1, pp. 942-947.
- [5] Hervani, A. A., Helms, M.M. and Sarkis, J., (2005), "Performance measurement for green supply chain management", *Benchmarking: An International Journal*, Vol. 12 No. 4, pp. 330-353.
- [6] Porter, M.E. and Van Der Linde, C., (1995), "Green and competitive", *Havard Business Review*, Vol. 73 No. 5, pp. 120-134.
- [7] MIDA, (2011), [online]. Available at: [www.mida.gov.my](http://www.mida.gov.my).
- [8] Rao, P., (2002), "Greening the supply chain: a new initiative in South East Asia", *International Journal of operations & Production Management*, Vol. 22 No. 6, pp. 632-655.