35th Conference of the International Society of Biomechanics in Sports, Cologne, Germany, June 14-18, 2017

# RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN MUSCLE VOLUME AND STRENGTH ASYMMETRY IN ELITE ATHLETES: INVESTIGATION OF THE QUADRICEPS FEMORIS AND HAMSTRING MUSCLES

## Robert Bollinger, Dieter Bubeck, Ioannis Sialis, Daniela Kramer, Eric Lehmann, Wilfried Alt

### Institute for Exercise Science, University of Stuttgart, Germany

The purpose of this study was to examine the relationship between muscle volume asymmetries and strength asymmetries of the lower extremity. 26 highly trained athletes underwent isometric and isokinetic strength tests at different speeds (60, 180, 0 °/s) and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) to analyse quadriceps femoris and hamstring muscle volume. Pearson's correlation coefficients revealed significant correlations between both the quadriceps volume asymmetries and the maximum knee extension asymmetries (r = .60 to r = .72) as well as the hamstring volume asymmetries and the maximum knee flexion asymmetries (r = .39 to r = .52). It is assumed that bilateral differences in muscle volume in part explain the existence of strength asymmetries and that unilateral resistance training of the lower extremity could reduce strength asymmetries by increasing muscle size.

KEY WORDS: strength, imbalances, asymmetries, deficits, muscle volume

**INTRODUCTION:** Studies revealed that bilateral strength asymmetries of the leg muscles represent an internal risk factor for injuries of the lower extremity (Bonci, 1999; Croisier, 2004; Freckleton & Pizzari, 2012; Knapik, Bauman, Jones, Harris, & Vaughan, 1991). Such strength deficits can be the result of numerous factors including the specific requirements of a given sport (Zvijac, Toriscelli, Merrick, Papp, & Kiebzak, 2014), laterality (Jones & Bampouras, 2010) and especially preinjuries (Schiltz et al., 2009). The underlying neuromuscular reasons have not been investigated so far. Therefore a theory of the efficacy of a specific preventative intervention is lacking. The present study aims to resolve this grievance by analysing the relationship of morphological properties of the quadriceps femoris (QF) and hamstring muscles (H) and the strength asymmetries. Based on these results guidance for prevention and rehabilitation in competitive sports should be derived to counteract strength asymmetries of the knee extensors and flexors and thus raise the health status of highly trained athletes.

**METHODS:** 13 female and 13 male highly trained athletes of different sports were included in the study (age:  $20.5 \pm 2.9$  years; height:  $176.5 \pm 8.6$  cm; body mass:  $74.2 \pm 11.3$  kg). All subjects gave their informed consent to the procedure of the study. None of the subjects had acute knee or muscle injury and all participated in normal training and competition. *Isokinetic and isometric strength testing* 

Bilateral strength of quadriceps femoris and the hamstring muscles was tested by isometric and isokinetic maximum voluntary contractions (MVC) at different speeds (60, 180, 0 °/s) with an isokinetic dynamometer (IsoMed 2000, D&R Ferstl GmbH, Hemau, Germany). For all MVC maximum moment of force and contraction work (integral of torque over angular position) were calculated. Measurements were always performed in the same order after a 10 min, 80 W warm-up on a cycle ergometer (wattbike pro, Nottingham, UK) starting with the right leg (Fig. 1). Data were analysed using the ISA software (CSI, Bad Schönborn, Germany).



Isokinetic knee flexion/extension 60°/s (2 sets, 3 reps, 1 min rest)



lsometric knee extension 80° (3 reps, 2 min rest)

Figure 1: Strength testing procedure.

#### Muscle resonance imaging

Morphological properties of the muscles were determined by magnetic resonance imaging (MRI). Lying in the supine position with the knees extended both thighs of each subject were scanned. A 1.5-T MRI scanner (Magnetom Avanto, Siemens Healthcare GmbH, Erlangen, Germany) was used to obtain a series of axial slices from the superior border of the patella to the anterior superior iliac spine encompassing the entire quadriceps femoris and hamstring muscle group. The images were produced using 1-cm-thick T1-weighted axial with a 50-cm field of view. Measurement parameters: time to echo: 13 ms, repetition time: 626 ms, matrix size: 512 x 336. Images were transferred to a personal computer in the digital imaging and communications in medicine (DICOM) file format. The scan files were imported into the OsiriX software (Pixmeo SARL, Bernex, Switzerland) for further analysis. For each axial slice the cross-sectional area (CSA) of the quadriceps femoris and hamstring muscle group was manually outlined with a graphic tablet (Cintiq 22 HD, Wacom, Kazo, Japan) as a region of interest. The same investigator performed each analysis. The CSA of each axial slice were then multiplied by the distance between slices and summed across slices. These values represent quadriceps and hamstring muscle volume, respectively. *Statistics* 

Strength asymmetries and asymmetries of the muscle volume were determined by calculating the limb symmetry indices ( $LSI = \frac{left - right}{maximum} * 100$ ). Correlations between muscle volumes and strength asymmetries were determined by using the Pearson correlation coefficient. The critical level for statistical significance was set at 5%. The data are presented as means ± S.D. SPSS Statistics 22 software (IBM, Armonk, US) was used for statistical analysis.

**RESULTS:** LSI of muscle volume averaged 3.67% (LSI V<sub>QF</sub>) and 5.26% (LSI V<sub>H</sub>). In addition strength asymmetries on average ranged from 7.43% to 8.80% (MVC<sub>Flex</sub>) and 7.52% to 11.58% (MVC<sub>Ext</sub>), respectively. There were significant positive correlations between muscle volume asymmetries and strength asymmetries. Correlation coefficients between LSI V<sub>H</sub> and the analysed parameters of MVC<sub>Flex</sub> ranged from r = .39 to r = .52. In contrast LSI V<sub>QF</sub> highly correlated with all strength asymmetry variables of MVC<sub>Ext</sub> (r = .60 to r = .72).



Figure 2: Correlations between hamstring muscle volume asymmetries and strength asymmetries. LSI: limb symmetry index;  $V_H$ : volume of hamstring muscles; W: work; M: moment of force.



Figure 3: Correlations between quadriceps femoris volume asymmetries and strength asymmetries. LSI: limb symmetry index;  $V_{QF}$ : volume of quadriceps femoris; W: work; M: moment of force.

DISCUSSION: Taken into account that bilateral strength asymmetries of the leg muscles of more than 10% increase the risk of injury in competitive sports (Bonci, 1999; Croisier, 2004; Freckleton & Pizzari, 2012; Knapik et al., 1991) the LSI of maximum knee flexion and especially the LSI of maximum knee extension seem pretty high in this sample. The main findings of the present study are the significant correlations between muscle volume asymmetries and strength asymmetries. The general relationship between muscle mass and maximal strength is well-known and beyond question (Cormie, Mcguigan, & Newton, 2011; Folland & Williams, 2007; Housh, Housh, Johnson, & Chu, 1992; Masuda, Kikuhara, Takahashi, & Yamanaka, 2003; Thomas, Wojtys, Brandon, & Palmieri-Smith, 2015). The present results indicate that muscle volume asymmetries strongly affect strength asymmetries. Although we acknowledge correlation does not imply causality, these findings suggest muscle asymmetries to be at least one important source for strength asymmetries. The fact that the correlation is much higher for the quadriceps femoris and the maximum knee extension than for the hamstring muscles and the maximum knee flexion could in part be explained by human anatomy. Despite the hamstring muscles there are other muscles supporting the knee flexion (e.g. m. sartorius, m. gracilis, m. gastrocnemius). In contrast by investigating the quadriceps femoris every muscle acting as a knee extensor has been analysed. As morphological properties of the muscles are not the only factors which determine muscle strength (Häkkinen, Alen, Kallinen, Newton, & Kraemer, 2000; Ivey et al., 2000; Mizner, Petterson, Stevens, Vandenborne, & Snyder-Mackler, 2005), future studies should consider additional factors such as muscle activation or neural drive.

**CONCLUSION:** Strength asymmetries of the lower extremities is a big issue in competitive elite sports. The underlying neuromuscular reasons can be manifold. As shown in the present study differences in the quantity of the musculature mostly explains bilateral strength asymmetries in highly trained athletes. We assume that unilateral high resistance training of the lower extremity could reduce strength asymmetries by increasing muscle size. Further

investigations should evaluate this treatment strategy and include neuronal factors, which could additionally account for strength deficits.

#### **REFERENCES:**

Banister, E. W. (1991). Physiological Testing of Elite Athletes. In *Macdougall, J. D., Wenger, H. A.* {&} *Green, H. J.* Champaign, (IL): Human Kinetics.

Bonci, C. M. (1999). Assessment and evaluation of predisposing factors to anterior cruciate ligament injury. *Journal of Athletic Training*, *34*(2), 155–164.

Cormie, P., Mcguigan, M. R., & Newton, R. U. (2011). Developing Maximal Neuromuscular Power Part 1 - Biological Basis of Maximal Power Production. *Sports Medicine*, *41*(1), 17–39. http://doi.org/0112-1642/11/0001-0017

Croisier, J. L. (2004). Factors Associated with Recurrent Hamstring Injuries. *Sports Medicine*, *34*(10), 681–695. http://doi.org/10.2165/00007256-199417050-00006

Folland, J. P., & Williams, A. G. (2007). The adaptations to strength training: Morphological and neurological contributions to increased strength. *Sports Medicine*, *37*(2), 145–168. http://doi.org/10.2165/00007256-200737020-00004

Freckleton, G., & Pizzari, T. (2012). Risk factors for hamstring muscle strain injury in sport: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *British Journal of Sports Medicine*, 1–10. http://doi.org/10.1136/bjsports-2011-090664

Häkkinen, K., Alen, M., Kallinen, M., Newton, R. U., & Kraemer, W. J. (2000). Neuromuscular adaptation during prolonged strength training, detraining and re-strength-training in middle-aged and elderly people. *European Journal of Applied Physiology*, *83*, 51–62. http://doi.org/10.1007/s004210000248

Housh, D. J., Housh, T. J., Johnson, G. O., & Chu, W. K. (1992). Hypertrophic response to unilateral concentric isokinetic resistance training. *Journal of Applied Physiology*, 73(I), 65–70.

Ivey, F. M., Tracy, B. L., Lemmer, J. T., NessAiver, M., Metter, E. J., Fozard, J. L., & Hurley, B. F. (2000). Effects of strength training and detraining on muscle quality: age and gender comparisons. *The Journals of Gerontology. Series A, Biological Sciences and Medical Sciences*, *55*(3), B152-NaN-B159. http://doi.org/10.1093/gerona/55.3.B152

Jones, P. A., & Bampouras, T. M. (2010). A comparison of isokinetic and functional methods of assessing bilateral strength imbalance. *Journal of Strength and Conditioning Research*, *24*, 1553–1558. http://doi.org/10.1519/JSC.0b013e3181dc4392

Knapik, J. J., Bauman, C. L., Jones, B. H., Harris, J. M., & Vaughan, L. (1991). Preseason strength and flexibility imbalances associated with athletic injuries in female collegiate athletes. *The American Journal of Sports Medicine*, *19*, 76–81. http://doi.org/10.1177/036354659101900113

Masuda, K., Kikuhara, N., Takahashi, H., & Yamanaka, K. (2003). The relationship between muscle cross-sectional area and strength in various isokinetic movements among soccer players. *Journal of Sports Sciences*, *21*, 851–858. http://doi.org/10.1080/0264041031000102042

Mizner, R. L., Petterson, S. C., Stevens, J. E., Vandenborne, K., & Snyder-Mackler, L. (2005). Early quadriceps strength loss after total knee arthroplasty. The contributions of muscle atrophy and failure of voluntary muscle activation. *The Journal of Bone and Joint Surgery. American Volume*, *87*(5), 1047–1053. http://doi.org/10.2106/JBJS.D.01992

Schiltz, M., Lehance, C., Maquet, D., Bury, T., Crielaard, J. M., & Croisier, J. L. (2009). Explosive strength imbalances in professional basketball players. *Journal of Athletic Training*, *44*(1), 39–47. http://doi.org/10.4085/1062-6050-44.1.39

Steyer, R., Schwenkmetzger, P., Notz, P., & Eid, M. (1997). Mehrdimensionaler Befindlichkeitsfragebogen (MDBF). Handanweisung. Göttingen: Hogrefe.

Thomas, A. C., Wojtys, E. M., Brandon, C., & Palmieri-Smith, R. M. (2015). Muscle atrophy contributes to quadriceps weakness after ACL reconstruction. *Journal of Science and Medicine in Sport*, 8–12. http://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsams.2014.12.009

Zvijac, J. E., Toriscelli, T. a, Merrick, W. S., Papp, D. F., & Kiebzak, G. M. (2014). Isokinetic Concentric Quadriceps and Hamstring Normative Data for Elite Collegiate American Football Players Participating in the NFL Scouting Combine. *Journal of Strength and Conditioning Research*, *28*(4), 875–883. http://doi.org/10.1519/JSC.0b013e3182a20f19