#### Kenyon College Digital Kenyon: Research, Scholarship, and Creative Exchange

Kenyon Summer Science Scholars Program

Summer Student Research Scholarship

Summer 2007

### Affect and Cognitive Failures: A Comparison of Positive and Negative Mood Factors

Michael Schnapp

Follow this and additional works at: https://digital.kenyon.edu/summerscienceprogram Part of the <u>Psychology Commons</u>

**Recommended** Citation

Schnapp, Michael, "Affect and Cognitive Failures: A Comparison of Positive and Negative Mood Factors" (2007). *Kenyon Summer Science Scholars Program*. Paper 364. https://digital.kenyon.edu/summerscienceprogram/364

This Poster is brought to you for free and open access by the Summer Student Research Scholarship at Digital Kenyon: Research, Scholarship, and Creative Exchange. It has been accepted for inclusion in Kenyon Summer Science Scholars Program by an authorized administrator of Digital Kenyon: Research, Scholarship, and Creative Exchange. For more information, please contact noltj@kenyon.edu.

#### **Background and Purpose**

The purpose of this research is to better understand the connection between affect or mood and potential effects on cognitive functioning, in particular executive processes. There is evidence that affect, at least with clinically depressed populations, is associated with cognitive deficits that are related to everyday activities (Sullivan & Payne, 2007). Prior research on this issue has focused on clinically depressed populations, however, little attention has been given to mood, in general, for individuals not necessarily diagnosed or suffering with affective disorders. Cognitive failures are defined as an inability to successfully perform a task that one is typically capable of (Wallace et al., 2002).

#### Materials

•The *Demographic Survey* consisted of 11 questions asking about age, institution attended, gender, class year, and clinical history, and recent stressful events.

•The Cognitive Failures Questionnaire (CFQ, Broadbent, Cooper, FitzGerald, & Parkes, 1982) is a self-assessment scale that measures a person's likelihood of committing an error in the completion of an everyday task. Participants were asked to rate on a 5-point scale (0=never, 4= very often) how often they have experienced each of 25 given examples of cognitive failures. Scores range from 0-100, all items are positively correlated with each other. The Cronbach's alpha was found to be .91, and has a .82 test-retest reliability over a 2 month period (Vom Hofe, Mainemarre, & Vannier, 1998).

•The PANAS-X (PANAS-X Manual, Watson & Clark, 1994) consists of 60 adjectives describing feelings and emotions, like "cheerful", "excited", or "distressed", in order to assess self-reported affect over the past week. Participants are asked to rate how often these were felt over the past week on a 5 point scale (1= Very slightly/not at all, 5=extremely). The **PANAS-X** (Postive and Negative Affect Scale) is an extended version of the original PANAS, and includes 4 positive emotion scales, 3 negative, and 4 affective state scales (like fatigue or surprise), in addition to only positive and negative affect.

#### **Sample Questions For CFQ Factors**

- 1. Do you forget why you went from one part of the house to another (memory)
- 2. Do you read something and find you find you haven't been thinking about it and must read it again? (Distractibility)
- 3. Do you drop things? (Blunders)
- 4. Do you forget people's names? (names)

# Affect and Cognitive Failures: A Comparison of Positive and Negative Mood Factors

### Michael Schnapp '08 and Tabitha W. Payne, Ph.D.

### Method

Our non-clinical sample consisted of 129 participants who were current or had recently graduated from small central Ohio colleges. There were 45 males and 84 females in the sample population. The mean age was 22 years old. Participants were administered a Demographic and psychological history survey, the CFQ, and the PANAS-X. Participants were tested in groups of 20-30, and Gambier residents during the summer were tested in smaller groups or individually

#### Results

PANAS-X FACTORS												
	PA	NA	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Positive Affect												
Negative Affect	06											
1. Fear	01	.85**										
2. Hostility	04	.79**	.53**									
3. Guilt	06	. 82**	.61**	. 62**								
4. Sadness	22*	.68**	_47 <b>**</b>	.55**	.63**							
5. Joviality	.80**	- 22*	10	18*	25**	31**						
6. Self-Assurance	.80**	09	05	. 01	15	21*	.64**					
7. Attentiveness	.86**	- 17	12	09	11	24*	.60**	.64**	i			
8. Shyness	11	.41**	.39** <b>*</b>	.25 <b>**</b>	47**	.28*	09	24***	- 16			
9. Fatigue	14	.52**	.35**	.46 <b>**</b>	.47**	.41**	14	21*	15	. 14		
10. Serenity	.46**	44**	32**	42**	34**	35**	.61**	.34**	.36**	.00	34***	
11. Surprise	.21*	.04	.17	02	.03	.06	.21*	.17	.11	.08	-, 03	.04

\*\* P<.01, \* P<.05

		CFQ Factors								
	T otal CF Q	1. Memory	2. Distractibility	3. Blunders	4. Names					
			_							
Positive Affect	119	092	205*	078	.062					
Negative Affect	.490**	.418**	.405**	.496**	.190*					
1. Fear	.412**	.375**	.362**	.389**	.150					
2. Hostility	.375**	.307**	.265**	.420**	.171					
3. Guilt	.425**	.330**	.364 **	.461**	.166					
4. Sadness	.278**	.260**	.265**	.242**	.065					
5. Joviality	149	105	172	155	.002					
6. Self-Assurance	087	078	161	045	.100					
7. Attentiveness	153	138	218*	118	.059					
8. Shyness	.356**	.337**	.338**	.302**	.141					
9. Fatigue	.370**	.276**	.351**	.348**	.147					
10. Serenity	268**	259**	280**	252**	.043					
11. Surprise	.044	.096	004	.021	.050					

### Scatterplots of Affect and CFQ Scores



Acknowledgements: This project was funded entirely by the Kenyon College Summer Science Program.

## Discussion

Our findings supported our hypothesis: higher negative affect, but not higher positive affect was significantly correlated with higher scores on the CFQ. Each basic negative emotional factor scale (Fear, Sadness, Guilt, and Hostility) was significantly correlated with scores both on the whole CFQ, and each CFQ factor, with the exception of Names. Results confirm that in addition to Sadness and Fear, reported levels of guilt and hostility appear to lead to problems with cognitive functioning. Of the four "other" affective state factor scales, Shyness, Fatigue, Serenity, and Surprise, only Fatigue had been demonstrated by previous research to be correlated with higher frequencies of reported cognitive failures. Results of this study not only confirm that higher incidence of cognitive failures are related to fatigue, but also to serenity and shyness. One way that we have made sense of these findings is that we found a strong positive correlation between reported levels of shyness and negative affect (r = .406), and a strong negative correlation between Serenity and negative affect (r = -.438). It does make intuitive sense that a serene person would experience higher levels of positive affect, while one who is shy would experience higher levels of anxiety. In fact previous studies, such as Cowden (2005) found a strong correlation (.51, p<.01) between shyness and negative affect. The benefits of the results from this study are that they raise awareness that mood is intricately related to our ability to function cognitively on a day to day basis. Prior to this research, there was a lack of investigation in a nonclinical sample.

Our results indicate it would be advantageous for institutions to identify those with extreme ratings for negative affect, and other affective factors, such as fatigue or shyness, since they predict failures in everyday activities; these in turn, are predictive of automobile and work-related accidents (Wallace & Vodanovich, 2003).

Broadbent, D.E., Cooper, P.F. Fitzgerald, P. & Parkes, K. R. (1982) The Cognitive Failures Questionnaire (CFQ) and its correlates. British Journal of Clinical Psychology, 21, 1-16. Cowden, C.R., (2005). Worry and its relationship to shyness. North American Journal of Psychology, 7, 59-70. Merckelbach, H., Muris, P., Nijman, H., & de Jong, P. J. (1996). Self- reported cognitive failures and neurotic symptomology. Personality and Individual Differences, 20, 715-724. Smith, A., Chappelow, J. & Belyavin, A. (1995). Cognitive failures, focused attention, and categoric search. Applied

Cognitive Psychology, 9, S115-S126 Watson, D., & Clark, L.E. (1994). The PANAS-X: Manual for the positive and negative affect schedule-expanded form.

University of Iowa. Wallace, J.C. (2004). Confirmatory factor analysis of the cognitive failures questionnaire: evidence for dimensionality and construct validity. Personality and Individual Differences, 37, 307-324.

Wallace, J. C., Kass, S. J., & Stanny, C.J., (2002). The cognitive failures questionnaire revisited: dimensions and correlates. The Journal of General Psychology, 129, 238-256. Wallace, J. C., & Vodanovich, S. J., (2003). Can accidents and industrial mishaps be predicted? Further investigation into

the relationship between cognitive failures and reports of accidents. Journal of Business and Psychology, 17, 503-514. Wong, J. L., Wetterneck, C., & Klein, A. (2000). Effects of depressed mood on verbal memory performance versus selfreports of cognitive difficulties. International Journal of Rehabilitation and Health, 5, 85-97.

#### Applicability

References