

Applied Economics and Finance Vol. 1, No. 1; May 2014 ISSN 2332-7294 E-ISSN 2332-7308 Published by Redfame Publishing URL: http://aef.redfame.com

The Accounting Methods of the Local Government Department Output by Factor Analysis

Haoyuan Zhong¹, & Guangming Deng^{1,2}

¹College of Science, Guilin University of Technology, Guilin, China

²Guangxi Key Laboratory of Spatial Information and Geomatics, Guilin, China

Correspondence: Guangming Deng, College of Science, Guilin University of Technology, Guilin, China. E-mail: dgm@glut.edu.cn

Received: March 1, 2014Accepted: March 20, 2014Available online: April 8, 2014doi:10.11114/aef.v1i1.363URL: http://dx.doi.org/10.11114/aef.v1i1.363

Abstract

In this paper, using the 2011 national economic accounting data of the provinces, we evaluated the government department performance by factor analysis. And then calculated the local government department's total output taking advantage of the labor production efficiency. And the labor production efficiency of government department concludes the performance information. Which will improved the method of accounting government department's output by cost.

Keywords: government's output accounting, factor analysis, performance, labor production efficiency

1. Introduction

Government departments afford nonmarket services, so the government department's total output has been estimated by the total cost in the service, namely Input substitution method. But this method has a lot of defects, such as: changing the essence of the "output" in the national economy index, and locking the government department of labor productivity is zero, and then influence the authenticity of the gross domestic product (GDP) growth rate. Someone also wants to account government output by output indexes but there are lots of problems, such as: government department contains a lot of industries, and each industry has different output indicator systems. And that the output can be divided into marketability output and nonmarket output, nonmarket output is hard to calculate and so on. For the output accounting of government departments, we should use the market information as far as possible. And the improvement of the accounting methods shouldn't give up.

2. Data and Variables

2.1 The data source

This paper uses the raw data from the national bureau of statistics 2011 statistical yearbook.

2.2 Variable Selection

On the basis of existing research and the actual situation of China, considering the data 's activeness and consistency, this article selected the indexes are as follows:

(1) Input indicators

Fiscal expenditure system in China includes the central fiscal expenditures and the local fiscal expenditures, but the central government is responsible for the external large fiscal spending, like national defense, diplomacy. And local government is responsible for the general local infrastructure, education and health care, and other areas of the smaller externality. This article studies the local government department's output accounting methods, so choosing the local fiscal budget spending as input indicators.

(2) Output indicators

According to fiscal expenditure and government function of local government departments, this article selected output indexes are in regard to the economy, infrastructure, energy conservation, environmental protection, medical and health care, education and other aspects.

The primary indicators	The secondary indicators					
	X1 Total fixed asset investment (/ billion /)					
Economia	X6 The added value of ecological-economic (/billion/)					
Economic	X7 Total retail sales of consumer goods (/billion/)					
	X3 urban residents' per-capita annual income (/RMB/)					
	X4 Per capita green area					
Infrastructure,	X8 Technical market turnover (/ billion/)					
education, science and	X9 The number of town worker attend endowment insurance					
technology, social	X10 The number of full-time teachers for elementary school					
welfare	X11 With public transport vehicles per ten thousand people					
	X12 The public library total capacity growth					
Environmental	X2 Energy consumption per unit GDP fell (such as value) (%)					
protection and energy	X5 Industrial pollution control investment (/Million/)					
conservation and emissions reduction	X1 City daily sewage treatment capacity					

Table 1. Local government fiscal expenditure performance evaluation index system

3. Theory of Analysis Method

We use factor analysis to research financial expenditure performance of local government departments. Then define a labor productivity of ministry by applying expenditure performance. Finally, we can calculate the output of government department by labor productivity.

3.1 Factor Analysis Theory

Factor analysis is the study of the correlation of a set of variables, we can find the few "factors" instead of comprehensive original variables. And a few factors can reflect most of the original variable information, with purpose of reducing the number of variables, the mathematical model can be writen as follows:

$$\begin{cases} X_{1} = a_{11}F_{1} + a_{12}F_{2} + \dots + a_{1m}F_{m} + \mathcal{E}_{1} \\ X_{2} = a_{21}F_{1} + a_{22}F_{2} + \dots + a_{2m}F_{m} + \mathcal{E}_{2} \\ \dots \\ X_{p} = a_{p1}F_{1} + a_{p2}F_{2} + \dots + a_{pm}F_{m} + \mathcal{E}_{p} \end{cases}$$
(1)

For the model expressed as matrix form:

$$X = AF + \varepsilon \tag{2}$$

X as observable index vector; F as an observation factor variables; A as the factor loading matrix; ε_i as a special factor vector.

And to meet: (1)m < p; $(2)COV(F,\varepsilon) = 0$ $(3)F_1 \cdots F_m$ have independence and homogeneity of variance. $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \ldots, \varepsilon_n$ have independence and heteroscedasticity.

3.2 Factor analysis steps

(1) Data standardization

Data standardization formula:

 $\chi_{ik}^{*} = \frac{\chi_{ik} - \bar{\chi}_{i}}{\sqrt{D(\chi_{i})}}$ (3)

(2) Data verification

Factor analysis requires strong correlation in the original variables, otherwise cannot synthesize a few public variables to reflect common characteristics. This article uses the KMO and Bartlett ball test. It is based on the variable correlation coefficient matrix, and the statistic test carried out the determinant of the correlation coefficient matrix. If the value is bigger, and its corresponding concomitant probability value is less than the significance level, then reject the null hypothesis. The data is suitable for factor analysis; On the contrary, it is not suitable for factor analysis.

(3) Extracting factors

In this paper, we are using principal component analysis method.

(4) Factor rotation

This Article uses the varimax to rotate factors, each factor has the minimum number of variables with the highest load, simplifying the interpretation of the factors. Get the matrix:

$$B = \widehat{A}T \tag{4}$$

 \hat{A} as the preceding *m* lists of *A*, *T* as the orthogonal matrix The factor model:

$$\tilde{x}_1 = b_{11}F_1 + \dots + b_{1m}F_m$$

$$\tilde{x}_p = b_{p1}F_1 + \dots + b_{pm}F_m$$
(5)

(5) Calculate the factor score

The factor score formula:

$$\hat{F} = A^{\mathrm{T}} R^{-1} X \tag{6}$$

(A as the factor loading matrix, R as the correlation coefficient matrix, X as the primitive variable vector)

4. The Empirical Analysis of Factor

4.1 The Feasibility Test Results

Using SPSS statistical software and the results are as follows:

Table 2. KMO and Bartlett's Test

Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin Measure of Sampling Adequacy.					
Approx. Chi-Square	363.388				
df	78				
Sig.	.000				
	of Sampling Adequacy. Approx. Chi-Square df Sig.				

The table 2 shows that the KMO value is 0.731, reaching the standard feasibility. And Bartlett sphericity test value is 363.388, significance value of 0.00 is far less than the significance level of 0.05, therefore reject the null hypothesis, so the original data is fit for factor analysis.

4.2 Factor Extraction

Table 3. Total Variance Explained

		Initial Eigen	values	Extrac	tion Sums of Sq	uared Loadings
Component	Total	% of Variance	Cumulative %	Total	% of Variance	Cumulative %
1	6.053	46.560	46.560	6.053	46.560	46.560
2	2.638	20.294	66.853	2.638	20.294	66.853
3	1.058	8.140	74.994	1.058	8.140	74.994
4	1.005	7.730	82.724	1.005	7.730	82.724
5	.807	6.207	88.930			
6	.515	3.965	92.895			
7	.287	2.211	95.107			

This paper used principal component analysis method to extract factors, and the eigenvalues of extracted factor is greater than 1. The table of Total Variance Explained shows that eigenvalues of the first four component are greater than 1 and the cumulative contribution rate has reached 82.724%. It's said that the first four factors include mainly information of all indicators.

Table 4. Component Matrixa

		Component					
Variables	1	2	3	4			
X1 Total fixed asset investment (billion)	.918	190	.061	.124			
X2 Energy consumption per unit GDP fell (such as value) (%)	.317	.179	.852	.002			
X3 Urban residents' per-capita annual income (RMB)	.419	.760	.049	.029			
X4 Per capita green area	.306	117	215	.813			
X5 Industrial pollution control investment (Million)	.643	330	.148	.371			
X6 The added value of ecological-economic (billion)	.804	451	.107	020			
X7 Total retail sales of consumer goods (billion)	.981	.118	069	010			
X8 Technical market turnover (billion)	.115	.866	.215	.124			
X9 The number of town worker attend endowment insurance	.919	.216	133	132			
X10 The number of full-time teachers for elementary school	.770	433	.130	183			
X11 With public transport vehicles per ten thousand people	.090	.787	159	.154			
X12 The public library total capacity growth	.768	.149	342	265			
X13 City daily sewage treatment capacity	.878	.166	136	172			

Extraction Method: Principal Component Analysis.

4 components extracted.

The table 4 shows that there are 8 variables on the first factor of the load which is very high, and can't explain these variables well, and the actual meaning of the other three factors are vague, so is needed factor rotation, making the factors have good practical significance.

4.3 The Results of Factor Rotation

Table 5. Total Variance Explained

	Initial Eigenvalues				tion Sums of Sc	uared Loadings	Rotati	on Sums of Squ	uared Loadings
Components	Total	% of Variance	Cumulative %	Total	% of Variance	Cumulative %	Total	% of Variance	Cumulative %
1	6.053	46.560	46.560	6.053	46.560	46.560	5.453	41.944	41.944
2	2.638	20.294	66.853	2.638	20.294	66.853	2.631	20.238	62.182
3	1.058	8.140	74.994	1.058	8.140	74.994	1.405	10.809	72.991
4	1.005	7.730	82.724	1.005	7.730	82.724	1.265	9.732	82.724
5	.807	6.207	88.930				5.453		

Table 6. Rotated Component Matrixa

	•			
	1	2	3	4
X1 Total fixed asset investment (billion)	045	728	055	.315
X2 Energy consumption per unit GDP fell (such as value) (%)	.157	.127	029	.885
X3 Urban residents' per-capita annual income (RMB)	.314	.759	047	.228
X4 Per capita green area	.116	.080	.887	114
X5 Industrial pollution control investment(Million)	.517	198	.551	.263
X6 The added value of ecological-economic (billion)	.791	356	.252	.207
X7 Total retail sales of consumer goods (billion)	.932	.227	.198	.130
X8 Technical market turnover (billion)	030	.883	033	.268
X9 The number of town worker attend endowment insurance	.907	.299	.054	.058
X10 The number of full-time teachers for elementary school	.799	333	.103	.162
X11 With public transport vehicles per ten thousand people	002	.780	.005	015
X12 The public library total capacity growth	.838	.234	066	210
X13 City daily sewage treatment capacity	.884	.243	.018	.037
Extraction Method: Principal Component Analysis. Rotation Method: Varimax with Kaiser Normalization.				

a. Rotation converged in 6 iterations.

The table 5 shows that the variance contribution rate of the first factor is the biggest, so the first component needs to explain the most of the variables. The variance contribution rate of the first component is still high, but some has spread to other factors. Rotated Component Matrix is shown in table 6.

Table 6 shows that the first component has higher loads in Total fixed assets investment, The added value of ecological-economic (/billion/), Total retail sales of consumer goods (/billion/), The number of town worker attend endowment insurance, The number of full-time teachers for elementary school, The public library total capacity growth, City daily sewage treatment capacity. Though the last four indicators are not economic indicators, the" economic base determines the superstructure," and these indicators can reflect the level of the economy development. So the first component can be seen as a contribution of expenditure to economic. For the second component, Urban residents' per-capita annual income (RMB), Technical market turnover (/billion/), with public transport vehicles per ten thousand people have higher loads. So the second component can be considered as the expenditure contribution in science and technology development. Only the per capita green area 2011 (sqm / person) variables have the higher load on the third component. So it can be considered the contribution of fiscal spending on environmental improvements. The fourth component is the contribution of fiscal spending on energy conservation because of the higher load on Energy consumption per unit GDP fell (such as value)(%)

4.4 The Factor Score and Ranking

The factor scores and rankings are shown in table 7:

Table 7. I	Factor	scores	and	Rankings
------------	--------	--------	-----	----------

District	F1	F2	F3	F4	F	ranking
Guangdong	3.15	0.95	-0.38	-1.24	1.63	1
Jangsu	2.27	0.79	0.60	-0.52	1.36	2
Shandong	1.34	-0.31	3.07	1.02	1.12	3
Beijing	-0.89	4.10	-0.01	1.23	0.70	4
Zhejiang	1.06	0.97	-0.11	-0.65	0.68	5
Sichuan	0.82	-0.43	-0.35	0.21	0.29	6
Henan	1.16	-1.41	-0.80	1.01	0.26	7
Shanghai	-0.05	1.59	-1.76	0.78	0.22	8
Hebei	0.29	-0.67	1.26	0.54	0.21	9
Liaoning	0.42	-0.03	-0.19	0.22	0.21	10
Hunan	0.65	-0.63	-1.17	0.23	0.05	11
Fujian	-0.01	0.19	0.07	-0.09	0.04	12
Hubei	0.24	-0.33	-0.44	0.46	0.04	13
Anhui	0.00	-0.54	-0.02	0.46	-0.08	14
Shaanxi	-0.56	0.29	0.61	0.32	-0.09	15
Tianjin	-0.80	1.02	-0.02	0.26	-0.13	16
Guangxi	-0.02	-0.66	-0.45	0.23	-0.20	17
Heilongjiang	-0.24	-0.39	-0.06	0.07	-0.22	18
Inner Mongolia	-0.65	-0.54	1.59	0.15	-0.24	19
Chongqing	-0.93	0.05	1.76	-0.22	-0.26	20
Jiangxi	-0.44	-0.45	0.44	-0.06	-0.28	21
Shanxi	-0.44	-0.74	-0.03	0.59	-0.34	22
Jilin	-0.35	-0.46	-0.51	0.10	-0.35	23
Yunnan	-0.36	-0.62	-0.42	0.30	-0.35	24
Xinjiang	-0.74	-0.22	-0.66	1.01	-0.40	25
Guizhou	-0.25	-0.84	-1.60	0.23	-0.51	26
Ningxia	-1.49	0.16	1.26	-0.14	-0.57	27
Gansu	-0.61	-0.68	-1.05	0.03	-0.61	28
Hainan	-0.69	-0.18	0.20	-2.65	-0.68	29
Tibet	-1.09	-0.16	-0.64	-0.17	-0.70	30
Qinghai	-0.80	0.21	-0.19	-3.71	-0.81	31

5. The Labor Productivity of Government Departments

Factor comprehensive score of each region:

$$\hat{F}_{j} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\lambda_{i}}{\lambda_{1} + \lambda_{2} + \dots + \lambda_{m}} \hat{F}_{i} \qquad (j=1, 2, \dots, n; i=1, 2, \dots, m)$$
(7)

- (2) Comprehensive score of factor is real number with no range.
- (3) The absolute value of factor score are smaller because of the standardized data.

Considering all factors, the labor productivity of government departments can be defined as follows:

$$\rho = \alpha + \hat{F}_{j} * \beta\%$$
 (α, β for nonnegative constant) (8)

When α , β are determined, the labor productivity are the linear transformation of composite scores. It does not change the score ranking, but also can ensure that the labor productivity of all government departments is non-negative, unless comprehensive scores are very small negative. A local government's comprehensive factor score is a small negative, then it is meaningless to count its output. α , β play the roles of regulating composite scores with no impact in ranking.

5.2 Advantages and Disadvantages

If account output of government departments by the cost, it will be easy to evaluate a local government departments as an efficient sector which has wasted much. However, after labor productivity combining with government fiscal expenditure performance evaluation score, it will greatly reduce such errors. And as the same time it can avoid inflating GDP because of excessive government spending.

However, labor productivity of government departments is not the labor productivity in the conventional sense, which only includes government performance evaluation information. So this sector output includes the fiscal expenditure performance information. Simultaneously, they are determined as appropriate, so they can affect the overall government sector output directly. And the value of sector output is not accurate, just a rough estimate.

5.3 The Output of Local Government in 2011

Table 8. Output calculation of local government

Region	F	$ ho_{_1}$	$p_{_0}$	${\cal C}_0$	$p_{_1}$	${\cal C}_1$	$ ho_{_2}$	$p_{_2}$	C_2
Guangdong	1.63	1.16	6712.40	1	7809.31	1	1.33	8906.22	1
Jangsu	1.36	1.14	6221.72	2	7067.84	2	1.27	7913.96	2
Shandong	1.12	1.11	5002.07	3	5563.46	3	1.22	6124.86	3
Beijing	0.70	1.07	3245.23	12	3471.16	11	1.14	3697.09	9
Zhejiang	0.68	1.07	3842.59	8	4105.45	6	1.14	4368.32	6
Sichuan	0.29	1.03	4674.92	4	4810.11	4	1.06	4945.30	4
Henan	0.26	1.03	4248.82	5	4359.14	5	1.05	4469.47	5
Shanghai	0.22	1.02	3914.88	6	4002.07	7	1.04	4089.26	7
Hebei	0.21	1.02	3537.39	9	3612.44	9	1.04	3687.49	10
Liaoning	0.21	1.02	3905.85	7	3987.10	8	1.04	4068.35	8
Hunan	0.05	1.01	3520.76	10	3539.02	10	1.01	3557.28	11
Fujian	0.04	1.00	2198.18	25	2207.34	22	1.01	2216.50	21
Hubei	0.04	1.00	3214.74	13	3226.80	13	1.01	3238.85	13
Anhui	-0.08	0.99	3302.99	11	3275.90	12	0.98	3248.82	12
Shaanxi	-0.09	0.99	2930.81	15	2903.27	15	0.98	2875.72	14
Tianjin	-0.13	0.99	1796.33	26	1773.43	26	0.97	1750.53	26
Guangxi	-0.20	0.98	2545.28	19	2493.51	19	0.96	2441.74	19
Heilongjiang	-0.22	0.98	2794.08	17	2733.41	17	0.96	2672.74	17
Inner	-0.24	0.98	2989.21	14	2918.60	14	0.95	2848.00	15
Mongolia	0.0		2550.24	10		10	0.05	2420 44	10
Chongqing	-0.26	0.97	2570.24	18	2504.44	18	0.95	2438.64	18
Jiangxi	-0.28	0.97	2534.60	20	2462.79	20	0.94	2390.99	20
Shanxi	-0.34	0.97	2363.85	21	2283.25	21	0.93	2202.65	22
Jilin	-0.35	0.97	2201.74	24	2125.24	25	0.93	2048.74	24
Yunnan	-0.35	0.96	2929.60	16	2825.75	16	0.93	2721.89	16
Xinjiang	-0.40	0.96	2284.49	22	2194.19	23	0.92	2103.90	23
Guizhou	-0.51	0.95	2249.40	23	2133.72	24	0.90	2018.04	25
Ningxia	-0.57	0.94	705.91	31	665.89	31	0.89	625.86	31
Gansu	-0.61	0.94	1791.24	27	1682.38	27	0.88	1573.51	27
Hainan	-0.68	0.93	778.80	29	725.64	29	0.86	672.49	29
Tibet	-0.70	0.93	758.11	30	705.36	30	0.86	652.61	30
Qinghai	-0.81	0.92	967.47	28	888.67	28	0.84	809.88	28

F as factor comprehensive score; ρ_1, ρ_2 as labor productivity of government departments :

$$\rho_1 = 1 + F^{*10\%}, \quad \rho_2 = 1 + F^{*20\%}$$
(9)

 p_0 as local government fiscal spending in 2011; p_1 , p_2 as the output of local government in 2011:

$$p_1 = \rho_1 * p_0, \quad p_2 = \rho_2 * p_0$$
 (10)

 c_0, c_1, c_2 as the rankings of p_0, p_1, p_2 ; $\triangle C$ as Ranking change:

$$\Delta C = \sum_{j=1} \left| C_{ij} - C_{0j} \right| \qquad j = 1, 2, \cdots, 31$$
(11)

In the table, as α is determinated with the β increasing, changes in the ranking will be bigger, which is reflection of labor productivity in the government sector's impact on local government output. Just make sure the α , β right, it can get a relatively appropriate output of local government departments. And $\Delta C=20$ in the table 8.

6. Conclusion

Beijing local fiscal expenditure is 324.523 billion yuan and its output is 369.709 billion yuan by labor productivity, so ranking raised three. However there are some local governments with lower labor productivity, and their output is less than the input, so the rankings reduced. If we continue to adjust the government labor productivity, the rankings of the low efficiency and high efficiency departments will be further widened. It is the meaning of accounting government departments output. Although the output accounted by this ideal is not accurate, it can reflect the effect of government services well.

Acknowledgments

This work was jointly supported by the National Social Science Foundation (no. 13BTJ009), Guangxi Key Laboratory of Spatial Information and Geomatics (nos.1103108-24, 1207115-27).

References

Cheng, S., & Zhang, J. (2008). The study of Chinese local government fiscal expenditure efficiency (1978-2000). *Chinese academy of social sciences, April,* 65-78.

He, X. (2012). Multivariate statistical analysis (third edition). Beijing: China Renmin University Press, pp.58-225.

Jiang, P. (2011). The government output of the non-market services accounting related problems. *Journal of statistical research, May*, 9-16.

Wang, Y., & Wang, K. (2002). A new idea of non-market services output accounting – the method of output index. *Journal of statistics and information theory altar, May,* 39-56.

(CC) BY

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 License.