



W&M ScholarWorks

Arts & Sciences Articles

Arts and Sciences

2012

Global stability in a diffusive Holling-Tanner predator-prey model

Shanshan Chen William & Mary

Junping Shi William & Mary, jxshix@wm.edu

Shanshan Chen

Junping Shi

Follow this and additional works at: https://scholarworks.wm.edu/aspubs

Recommended Citation

Chen, S., & Shi, J. (2012). Global stability in a diffusive Holling-Tanner predator-prey model. Applied Mathematics Letters, 25(3), 614-618.

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Arts and Sciences at W&M ScholarWorks. It has been accepted for inclusion in Arts & Sciences Articles by an authorized administrator of W&M ScholarWorks. For more information, please contact scholarworks@wm.edu.



Contents lists available at SciVerse ScienceDirect

Applied Mathematics Letters

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/aml



Global stability in a diffusive Holling-Tanner predator-prey model*

Shanshan Chen a,b, Junping Shi b,c,*

- ^a Department of Mathematics, Harbin Institute of Technology, Harbin, Heilongjiang, 150001, PR China
- ^b Department of Mathematics, College of William and Mary, Williamsburg, VA 23187-8795, USA
- ^c School of Mathematical Sciences, Shanxi University, Taiyuan, Shanxi, 030006, PR China

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 12 February 2011 Received in revised form 5 August 2011 Accepted 27 September 2011

Keywords: Reaction-diffusion system Predator-prey Holling-Tanner Global stability

ABSTRACT

A diffusive Holling–Tanner predator–prey model with no-flux boundary condition is considered, and it is proved that the unique constant equilibrium is globally asymptotically stable under a new simpler parameter condition.

© 2011 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

In this work, we revisit a reaction-diffusion Holling-Tanner predator-prey model in the form given in [1]:

$$\begin{cases}
\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = d_1 \Delta u + au - u^2 - \frac{uv}{m+u}, & x \in \Omega, t > 0, \\
\frac{\partial v}{\partial t} = d_2 \Delta v + bv - \frac{v^2}{\gamma u}, & x \in \Omega, t > 0, \\
\frac{\partial u(x,t)}{\partial v} = \frac{\partial v(x,t)}{\partial v} = 0, & x \in \partial\Omega, t > 0, \\
u(x,0) = u_0(x) > 0, v(x,0) = v_0(x) \ge (\not\equiv)0, & x \in \Omega.
\end{cases}$$
(1.1)

Here u(x,t) and v(x,t) represent the density of prey and predators; respectively, $x \in \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, $n \geq 1$, and Ω is a bounded domain with a smooth boundary $\partial \Omega$; d_1, d_2 are the diffusion coefficients of prey and predators respectively; and parameters a, m, b and γ are all positive constants; a no-flux boundary condition is imposed on $\partial \Omega$ so that the ecosystem is closed to the exterior environment.

The (non-spatial) kinetic equation of system (1.1) was first proposed by Tanner [2] and May [3], while Leslie [4] and Leslie and Gower [5] consider a similar equation with unbounded predation rate. In (1.1), the predator functional response is of Holling type II as in Holling [6]. The Holling–Tanner system is regarded as one of the prototypical predator–prey models in several classical mathematical biology books; see, for example, May [3, p. 84] and Murray [7, pp. 88–94].

This work was partially supported by a grant from China Scholarship Council, NSF grant DMS-1022648.

^{*} Corresponding author at: Department of Mathematics, College of William and Mary, Williamsburg, VA 23187-8795, USA. E-mail address: shij@math.wm.edu (J. Shi).

Hsu and Huang [8] dealt with the question of global stability of the positive equilibrium in a class of predator–prey systems including the ODE version of system (1.1) with certain conditions on the parameters, and in [9], they proved the uniqueness of the limit cycle when the unique positive equilibrium is unstable. For diffusive system (1.1), Peng and Wang [10] studied the existence/nonexistence of positive steady state solutions, and they [1] also proved a result on the global stability of the positive constant steady state. Li et al. [11] considered the Turing and Hopf bifurcations in (1.1). Related work on a similar diffusive Leslie–Gower system can also be found in Du and Hsu [12], Chen et al. [13].

In this note, we prove a new global stability result for the constant positive equilibrium by using a comparison method, and our result significantly improves the earlier one given in [10] which was established with the Lyapunov method.

2. The main results

It is easy to verify that system (1.1) has a unique positive equilibrium (u_*, v_*) , where

$$u_* = \frac{1}{2}(a - m + b\gamma + \sqrt{(a - m - b\gamma)^2 + 4am}), \quad v = b\gamma u_*.$$

We recall the following known result from [1].

Theorem 2.1. Assume that the parameters m, a, b, γ , d_1 , d_2 are all positive. Then for system (1.1):

1. The positive equilibrium (u_*, v_*) is locally asymptotically stable if

$$m^{2} + 2(a + b\gamma)m + a^{2} - 2ab\gamma \ge 0.$$
(2.1)

2. The positive equilibrium (u_*, v_*) is globally asymptotically stable if

$$m > b\gamma$$
, and $(m+K)[b\gamma + 2(m+u_*+K-a)] > (a+m)b\gamma$, (2.2)

where

$$K = \frac{1}{2} \left(a - m + \sqrt{(a-m)^2 + 4a(m-b\gamma)} \right).$$

In [1], the local stability was established through a standard linearization procedure, and the global stability was proved by using a Lyapunov functional. In this note, we prove the global stability under only the condition $m > b\gamma$ but without the second condition in (2.2); thus our result improves on the one in [1]. Our proof is based on the upper and lower solution method in [14,15]. Our main result is stated as:

Theorem 2.2. Assume that the parameters m, a, b, γ , d_1 , d_2 are all positive. Then for system (1.1), the positive equilibrium (u_*, v_*) is globally asymptotically stable, that is, for any initial values $u_0(x) > 0$, $v_0(x) \ge (\not\equiv 0)$,

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} u(t,x) = u_*, \qquad \lim_{t\to\infty} v(t,x) = v_*, \quad \text{uniformly for } x\in \overline{\Omega},$$

if

$$m > b\gamma$$
. (2.3)

Proof. It is well known that if c > 0, and w(x, t) > 0 satisfies the equation

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial w}{\partial t} = D\Delta w + w(c - w), & x \in \Omega, \ t > 0, \\ \frac{\partial w(t, x)}{\partial \nu} = 0, & x \in \partial \Omega, \ t > 0, \\ w(x, 0) \ge (\not\equiv)0, & x \in \Omega, \end{cases}$$

then $w(x, t) \to c$ uniformly for $x \in \overline{\Omega}$ as $t \to \infty$.

Since (2.3) holds, we can choose an ϵ_0 satisfying

$$0 < \epsilon_0 < \frac{b\gamma(m - b\gamma)a}{b\gamma(b\gamma + 1) + mb\gamma + m}. (2.4)$$

Because u(x, t) satisfies

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = d_1 \Delta u + au - u^2 - \frac{uv}{m+u}$$

$$\leq d_1 \Delta u + au - u^2,$$

and the Neumann boundary condition, then from comparison principle of parabolic equations, there exists t_1 such that for any $t > t_1$, $u(x, t) \le \bar{c}_1$, where $\bar{c}_1 = a + \epsilon_0$. This in turn implies

$$\frac{\partial v}{\partial t} = d_2 \Delta v + bv - \frac{v^2}{\gamma u}$$

$$\leq d_2 \Delta v + v \left(b - \frac{v}{\gamma (a + \epsilon_0)} \right)$$

for $t>t_1$. Hence there exists $t_2>t_1$ such that for any $t>t_2$, $v(x,t)\leq \overline{c}_2$, where $\overline{c}_2=b\gamma(a+\epsilon_0)+\epsilon_0$. Again this implies

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = d_1 \Delta u + au - u^2 - \frac{uv}{m+u}$$

$$\geq d_1 \Delta u + au - u^2 - \frac{b\gamma(a+\epsilon_0) + \epsilon_0}{m} u$$

for $t > t_2$. Since $m > b\gamma$, then for ϵ_0 chosen as in (2.4),

$$a - \frac{b\gamma(a+\epsilon_0) + \epsilon_0}{m} > 0, \quad \text{and} \quad a - \frac{b\gamma(a+\epsilon_0) + \epsilon_0}{m} - \epsilon_0 > 0.$$

Hence there exists $t_3 > t_2$ such that for any $t > t_3$, $u(x, t) \ge \underline{c}_1 > 0$, where

$$\underline{c}_1 = a - \frac{b\gamma(a + \epsilon_0) + \epsilon_0}{m} - \epsilon_0.$$

Finally we apply the lower bound of u to the equation of v, and we have

$$\frac{\partial v}{\partial t} = d_2 \Delta v + bv - \frac{v^2}{\gamma u}$$
$$\geq d_2 \Delta v + v \left(b - \frac{v}{\gamma c_1} \right)$$

for $t > t_3$. Since for the ϵ_0 chosen above in (2.4),

$$b\gamma\left(a-\frac{b\gamma(a+\epsilon_0)+\epsilon_0}{m}-\epsilon_0\right)-\epsilon_0>0,$$

then there exists $t_4 > t_3$ such that for any $t > t_4$, $v(x, t) \ge \underline{c}_2 > 0$, where

$$\underline{c}_2 = b\gamma \left(a - \frac{b\gamma (a + \epsilon_0) + \epsilon_0}{m} - \epsilon_0 \right) - \epsilon_0.$$

Therefore for $t > t_4$ we obtain that

$$\underline{c}_1 \leq u(x, t) \leq \overline{c}_1, \qquad \underline{c}_2 \leq v(x, t) \leq \overline{c}_2,$$

and c_1 , c_2 , \overline{c}_1 , \overline{c}_2 satisfy

$$0 \ge a - \overline{c}_1 - \frac{\underline{c}_2}{m + \overline{c}_1}, \qquad 0 \ge b - \frac{\overline{c}_2}{\gamma \overline{c}_1},$$

$$0 \le a - \underline{c}_1 - \frac{\overline{c}_2}{m + \underline{c}_1}, \qquad 0 \le b - \frac{\underline{c}_2}{\gamma \underline{c}_1}.$$

$$(2.5)$$

The inequalities (2.5) show that $(\overline{c}_1, \overline{c}_2)$ and $(\underline{c}_1, \underline{c}_2)$ are a pair of coupled upper and lower solutions of system (1.1) as in the definition in [14,15] (see also [16]), as the nonlinearities in (1.1) are mixed quasimonotone. It is clear that there exists K > 0 such that for any $(\underline{c}_1, \underline{c}_2) \le (u_1, v_1), (u_2, v_2) \le (\overline{c}_1, \overline{c}_2)$,

$$\left| au_1 - u_1^2 - \frac{u_1 v_1}{m + u_1} - au_2 + u_2^2 + \frac{u_2 v_2}{m + u_2} \right| \le K(|u_1 - u_2| + |v_1 - v_2|),$$

$$\left| bv_1 - \frac{v_1^2}{\gamma u_1} - bv_2 + \frac{v_2^2}{\gamma u_2} \right| \le K(|u_1 - u_2| + |v_1 - v_2|).$$

We define two iteration sequences $(\overline{c}_1^{(m)}, \overline{c}_2^{(m)})$ and $(\underline{c}_1^{(m)}, \underline{c}_2^{(m)})$ as follows: for $m \ge 1$,

$$\overline{c}_{1}^{(m)} = \overline{c}_{1}^{(m-1)} + \frac{1}{K} \left(a \overline{c}_{1}^{(m-1)} - (\overline{c}_{1}^{(m-1)})^{2} - \frac{\overline{c}_{1}^{(m-1)} \underline{c}_{2}^{(m-1)}}{m + \overline{c}_{1}^{(m-1)}} \right),$$

$$\begin{split} \overline{c}_2^{(m)} &= \overline{c}_2^{(m-1)} + \frac{1}{K} \left(b \overline{c}_2^{(m-1)} - \frac{(\overline{c}_2^{(m-1)})^2}{\gamma \overline{c}_1^{(m-1)}} \right), \\ \underline{c}_1^{(m)} &= \underline{c}_1^{(m-1)} + \frac{1}{K} \left(a \underline{c}_1^{(m-1)} - (\underline{c}_1^{(m-1)})^2 - \frac{\underline{c}_1^{(m-1)} \overline{c}_2^{(m-1)}}{m + \underline{c}_1^{(m-1)}} \right), \\ \underline{c}_2^{(m)} &= \underline{c}_2^{(m-1)} + \frac{1}{K} \left(b \underline{c}_2^{(m-1)} - \frac{(\underline{c}_2^{(m-1)})^2}{\gamma \underline{c}_1^{(m-1)}} \right), \end{split}$$

where $(\overline{c}_1^0, \overline{c}_2^0) = (\overline{c}_1, \overline{c}_2)$ and $(\underline{c}_1^0, \underline{c}_2^0) = (\underline{c}_1, \underline{c}_2)$. Then for $m \geq 1$, $(\underline{c}_1, \underline{c}_2) \leq (\underline{c}_1^{(m)}, \underline{c}_2^{(m)}) \leq (\underline{c}_1^{(m+1)}, \underline{c}_2^{(m+1)}) \leq (\overline{c}_1^{(m+1)}, \overline{c}_2^{(m)}) \leq (\overline{c}_1, \overline{c}_2)$, and there exists $(\widetilde{c}_1, \widetilde{c}_2)$ and $(\check{c}_1, \check{c}_2)$ such that $(\underline{c}_1, \underline{c}_2) \leq (\check{c}_1, \check{c}_2) = (\check{c}_1, \check{c}_$

$$0 = a - \tilde{c}_1 - \frac{\check{c}_2}{m + \tilde{c}_1}, \qquad 0 = b - \frac{\tilde{c}_2}{\gamma \tilde{c}_1}, 0 = a - \check{c}_1 - \frac{\tilde{c}_2}{m + \check{c}_1}, \qquad 0 = b - \frac{\check{c}_2}{\gamma \check{c}_1}.$$
(2.6)

Simplifying (2.6) we obtain

$$(a - \tilde{c}_1)(m + \tilde{c}_1) = b\gamma \tilde{c}_1,$$

$$(a - \tilde{c}_1)(m + \tilde{c}_1) = b\gamma \tilde{c}_1.$$
(2.7)

Subtracting the first equation of (2.7) from the second equation, we have

$$(\tilde{c}_1 - \check{c}_1)(a - m + b\gamma - \tilde{c}_1 - \check{c}_1) = 0. \tag{2.8}$$

If we assume that $\tilde{c}_1 \neq \check{c}_1$, then

$$a - m + b\gamma = \tilde{c}_1 + \check{c}_1. \tag{2.9}$$

Substituting equation (2.9) into (2.7), we have

$$(a - \tilde{c}_1)(m + \tilde{c}_1) = b\gamma (a - m + b\gamma - \tilde{c}_1), (a - \check{c}_1)(m + \check{c}_1) = b\gamma (a - m + b\gamma - \check{c}_1).$$
 (2.10)

Hence the following equation:

$$(a - x)(m + x) = b\gamma(a - m + b\gamma - x)$$
(2.11)

has two positive roots \tilde{c}_1 and \tilde{c}_1 . Eq. (2.11) can be written as follows:

$$x^{2} + (m - a - b\gamma)x + (b\gamma + a)(b\gamma - m) = 0.$$

Since $m > b\gamma$, Eq. (2.11) cannot have two positive roots. Hence $\tilde{c}_1 = \check{c}_1$, and consequently, $\tilde{c}_2 = \check{c}_2$. Then from the results in [14,15], the solution (u(x,t), v(x,t)) of system (1.1) satisfies

$$\lim_{t\to\infty}u(t,x)=u_*,\qquad \lim_{t\to\infty}v(t,x)=v_*,\quad \text{uniformly for }x\in\overline{\varOmega}.$$

The condition $m > b\gamma$ implies that $m^2 + 2(a + b\gamma)m + a^2 - 2ab\gamma \ge 0$. Hence from Theorem 2.1 and the above analysis, we can obtain that the constant equilibrium (u_*, v_*) is globally asymptotically stable for system (1.1) if (2.3) holds. \Box

For the diffusive Holling-Tanner system with same kinetic equations, there are two other versions of nondimensionalized equations in [8,11]. Our result Theorem 2.2 can be applied to both equations with a conversion of the parameters. In [8] only a system of ordinary differential equations was considered, but adding diffusion will cast the system in [8] into the form

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = d_1 \Delta u + u(1 - u) - \frac{uv}{a + u}, & x \in \Omega, \ t > 0, \\ \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} = d_2 \Delta v + v \left(\delta - \beta \frac{v}{u}\right), & x \in \Omega, \ t > 0, \\ \frac{\partial u(t, x)}{\partial v} = \frac{\partial v(t, x)}{\partial v} = 0, & x \in \partial\Omega, \ t > 0, \\ u(x, 0) = u_0(x) > 0, v(x, 0) = v_0(x) \ge (\not\equiv)0, & x \in \Omega. \end{cases}$$

$$(2.12)$$

In [8], for the corresponding kinetic system, it was proved that the positive equilibrium (u_*, v_*) is globally asymptotically stable if one of the following assumptions is satisfied:

- (C1) $a + \delta \ge 1$; (C2) $a + \delta < 1$, $(1 a \delta)^2 8\delta \le 0$; (C3) $a + \delta < 1$, $(1 a \delta)^2 8\delta > 0$, $\beta > \beta_2$, where

$$\beta_2 = \frac{\delta a_2}{(1 - a_2)(a + a_2)}, \qquad a_2 = \frac{1}{4}(1 - a - \delta + \sqrt{(1 - a - \delta)^2 - 8a\delta}).$$

Theorem 2.2 implies that if $\beta > \frac{\delta}{a}$, then (u_*, v_*) is globally asymptotically stable for the diffusive Holling–Tanner system (2.12). One can show that the parameter region given by $\beta > \frac{\delta}{a}$ is contained in the set given by (C1)–(C3). If a and δ satisfy (C1) or (C2), then it is clear that $\beta > \frac{\delta}{a}$ is satisfied. If a and δ do not satisfy (C1) or (C2), then $0 < a + \delta < 1$, and $(1 - a - \delta)^2 - 8\delta > 0$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} a_2 &= \frac{1}{4} (1 - a - \delta + \sqrt{(1 - a - \delta)^2 - 8a\delta}) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} (1 - a - \delta) \leq \frac{1}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently

$$\beta_2 = \frac{\delta a_2}{(1 - a_2)(a + a_2)} < \frac{\delta}{a + a_2} < \frac{\delta}{a}.$$

Hence in this case, $\beta>\frac{\delta}{a}$ implies (C3). On the other hand, the diffusive Holling–Tanner system in [11] is in the form of

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = d_1 \Delta u + u(1 - \beta u) - \frac{muv}{1 + u}, & x \in \Omega, \ t > 0, \\ \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} = d_2 \Delta v + sv\left(1 - \frac{v}{u}\right), & x \in \Omega, \ t > 0, \\ \frac{\partial u(t, x)}{\partial v} = \frac{\partial v(t, x)}{\partial v} = 0, & x \in \partial\Omega, \ t > 0, \\ u(x, 0) = u_0(x) > 0, v(x, 0) = v_0(x) > 0, \quad \not\equiv 0. \end{cases}$$

$$(2.13)$$

For the kinetics system corresponding to (2.13), it was shown in [11] (by using the result of [8]) that the positive equilibrium (u_*, v_*) is globally asymptotically stable if

$$\beta \ge 1$$
, or $\beta < 1$, and $m \le \frac{(1+\beta)^2}{2(1-\beta)^2}$. (2.14)

Now our Theorem 2.2 can be applied to (2.13), and we have proved that if $\beta > m$, then (u_*, v_*) is globally asymptotically stable for (2.13). The parameter region of global stability for the ODE in [8,11] is larger than the one proved in Theorem 2.2 for the PDE case (the diffusion coefficients d_1 , d_2 are arbitrary), but this is not unexpected as the global stability for an infinite dimensional dynamical system is much more complex, as demonstrated in [17]. The parameterization of the system in [11] is easier to show for the parameter regions of global stability in Theorem 2.2 and [11].

References

- R. Peng, M.X. Wang, Global stability of the equilibrium of a diffusive Holling-Tanner prey-predator model, Appl. Math. Lett. 20 (6) (2007) 664-670.
- J.T. Tanner, The stability and the intrinsic growth rates of prey and predator populations, Ecology 56 (1975) 855–867. R.M. May, Stability and Complexity in Model Ecosystems, second ed., Princeton Univ. Press, 1974.
- P.H. Leslie, Some further notes on the use of matrices in population mathematics, Biometrika 35 (3-4) (1948) 213.
 P.H. Leslie, J.C. Gower, The properties of a stochastic model for the predator-prey type of interaction between two species, Biometrika 47 (3-4) (1960)
- [6] C.S. Holling, The components of predation as revealed by a study of small mammal predation of the European pine sawfly, Can. Entomol. 91 (5) (1959) 293-320.
- J.D. Murray, Mathematical Biology. I: An Introduction, third ed., in: Interdisciplinary Applied Mathematics, vol. 17, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2002.
- S.B. Hsu, T.W. Huang, Global stability for a class of predator–prey system, SIAM J. Appl. Math. 55 (3) (1995) 763–783.
- S.B. Hsu, T.W. Hwang, Uniqueness of limit cycles for a predator-prey system of Holling and Leslie type, Can. Appl. Math. Q. 6 (2) (1998) 91-117 [10] R. Peng, M.X. Wang, Positive steady states of the Holling-Tanner prey-predator model with diffusion, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A 135 (01) (2005) 149-164.
- [11] X. Li, W.H. Jiang, J.P. Shi, Hopf bifurcation and Turing instability in the reaction-diffusion Holling-Tanner predator-prey model (2010) (submitted for
- Y. Du, S.B. Hsu, A diffusive predator-prey model in heterogeneous environment, J. Differential Equations 203 (2) (2004) 331-364.
- S.S. Chen, J.P. Shi, J.J. Wei, Global stability and Hopf bifurcation in a delayed diffusive Leslie-Gower predator-prey system, Internat. J. Bifur. Chaos (2011) (in press).
- C.V. Pao, On nonlinear reaction-diffusion systems, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 87 (1) (1982) 165–198.
- 15] C.V. Pao, Nonlinear Parabolic and Elliptic Equations, Plenum Publishing Corporation, 1992.
- [16] S.S. Chen, J.P. Shi, Global attractivity of equilibrium in Gierer-Meinhardt system with saturation and gene expression time delays (2011) (submitted
- [17] S.B. Hsu, A survey of constructing Lyapunov functions for mathematical models in population biology, Taiwanese J. Math. 9 (2) (2005) 151–173.