

W&M ScholarWorks

Arts & Sciences Articles

Arts and Sciences

2010

Where Things Stand with Hazardous Waste Regulation

Sarah L. Stafford *College of William and Mary*, slstaf@wm.edu

Follow this and additional works at: https://scholarworks.wm.edu/aspubs

Part of the Public Policy Commons

Recommended Citation

Stafford, Sarah L., Where Things Stand with Hazardous Waste Regulation (2010). *Issues of the Day: 100 Commentaries on Climate, Energy, the Environment, Transportation, and Public Health Policy.* https://scholarworks.wm.edu/aspubs/595

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Arts and Sciences at W&M ScholarWorks. It has been accepted for inclusion in Arts & Sciences Articles by an authorized administrator of W&M ScholarWorks. For more information, please contact scholarworks@wm.edu.

ISSUES OF THE DAY

100 Commentaries on Climate, Energy, the Environment, Transportation, and Public Health Policy

CLIMATE VALUE TAXES markets Pollution CHANGE Fisheries Cars MNSK development

EMISSIONS a ir

POILCY FOOD RENEWABLE

IAN W.H. PARRY AND FELICIA DAY, EDITORS

ISSUES OF THE DAY

100 Commentaries on Climate, Energy, the Environment, Transportation, and Public Health Policy

Ian W.H. Parry and Felicia Day, editors

RESOURCES FOR THE FUTURE

Washington, DC, USA

VIRGINIA COMMONWEALTH UNIVERSITY

Copyright © 2010 by Resources for the Future. All rights reserved.

No part of this publication may be reproduced by any means, whether electronic or mechanical, without written permission. Requests to photocopy items for classroom or other educational use should be sent to the Copyright Clearance Center, Inc., Suite 910, 222 Rosewood Drive, Danvers, MA 01923, USA (fax +1 978 646 8600; www.copyright.com). All other permissions requests should be sent directly to the publisher at the address below.

GE 170

.IN85

Resources for the Future 1616 P Street NW Washington, DC 20036–1400 USA www.rff.org

EDITORS:

Ian W.H. Parry, series editor Felicia Day, managing editor Adrienne Foerster, assistant editor

CONTRIBUTING EDITORS: John Anderson Sally Atwater

COVER AND BOOK DESIGN: Ellen A. Davey

The findings, interpretations, and conclusions offered in this publication are those of the authors. They do not necessarily represent the views of Resources for the Future, its directors, or its officers.

Distributed by RFF Press, an imprint of Earthscan

9781933115887 (hardback) 9781933115870 (paperback)

VIRGINIA COMMONWEALTH UNIVERSITY

Escolarization POIS CENTRE AL INVITE

TABLE OF CONTENTS

EDITORS' INTRODUCTIONIX Ian W.H. Parry and Felicia Day	10. Mit Ric
PART 1 Global Environmental Challenges	11. De
	Ka
1. Stabilizing Atmospheric CO, with Incomplete	
International Cooperation2	12.
Jae Edmonds, Leon Clarke, and Marshall Wise	Po l Ca
2. A Pragmatic Global Climate Policy Architecture4	
Valentina Bosetti and Jeffrey Frankel	13. Pre
3. Thinking Beyond Borders Why We Need to Focus on	Roz
Global Public Goods6	
Scott Barrett	14.
	Ke
4. The Value of Climate-Related Satellite Data 8 <i>William Gail</i>	Ke
	15
5. The Successful International Response to	Tro
Stratospheric Ozone Depletion10	Bri
James Hammitt	
	16
6. Evaluating Europe's Plan for Reducing Greenhouse	Da
Gases	Ca
Dallas Burtraw	
	17.

7. U.S. Climate Change Policy Previewing the Debate.....14 Daniel S. Hall

10. Inducing Innovation for Climate Change	
Mitigation	20
Richard G. Newell	

11. How Should Emissions Allowance Auctions Be	
Designed?	22
Karen Palmer	

12. Competitiveness, Emissions Leakage, and Climate	
Policy2	4
Carolyn Fischer and Richard D. Morgenstern	

13. Addressing Biodiversity and Global Warming by	
Preserving Tropical Forests	26
Roger A. Sedjo	

14. Forests in a U.S. Climate Program	Promising, but the
Key Is Implementation	
Kenneth R. Richards	

15. Emissions Offsets in a Greenhouse	Gas Cap-and-
Trade Policy	
Brian C. Murray	

16. Ethics and Discounting Global Warming	
Damages	32
Cameron Hepburn	

17. Climate Change Abatement Not "Stern" Enough?......34

Dallas Burtraw and Thomas Sterner

Ian W.H. Parry and William A. Pizer

Gary Yohe

PART 2

Energy Policies	39
-----------------	----

iv Issues of the Day

20. The Oil Security Problem "Déjà Vu All	
Over Again"	42
Hillard Huntington	

21. Reassessing Oil Security......44 Stephen P.A. Brown

22. The 2008 Oil Price Shock Markets or Mayhem?......46 James L. Smith

29. Taking a Closer Look at Energy Subsidies in the	
Federal Tax Code)
Gilbert E. Metcalf	

33. Why International Natural Gas Markets Matter in Today's Energy and Environmental Picture......68 *Steven A. Gabriel*

34.	Assessing Electricity Markets Prospects and
Pitf	alls70
Tin	nothy Brennan

PART 3

National	Environmental	Policies	3
runonar	Environnenia		V

35. What Are the Biggest Environmental Challenges Facing the United States?......74 Paul R. Portney

36. W	/here	Things	Stand w	ith H	azard	ous We	aste	
Regu	lation		••••••	•••••		•••••	•••••	76
Sarah	Staffor	rd						

37. Reinstating the Superfund Taxes Good or Bad	
Policy?	.78
Kate Probst	

38. Trash Talk	80
Don Fullerton and Margaret Wall	S

39. The New Economics of Managing the Nation's	
Waste	2
Molly K. Macauley and Stephen W. Salant	

40. Enhancing Productivity while Safeguarding	
Environmental Quality	84
David Zilberman and Steven Sexton	

1 Why We Need to Treat Nitreason as a System

Kenneth Gillingham, Arthur van Benthem, and James L. Sweeney

Andrew Manale

42. The Effectiveness of Voluntary Environmental

44. The Evolving SO ₂ Allowance Mo	arket Title IV, CAIR,
and Beyond	92
Karen Palmer and David A. Evans	

47. A Plea for Environmental Accounts......98 James Boyd

48. The Political Economy of Environmental Justice...100 Spencer Banzhaf

51. What Can Policymakers Learn fro	om Experimental
Economics?	106
John List	

52. Environmental Federalism......108 *Wallace E. Oates*

PART 4	
MANAGING NATURAL RESOURCES.	111

56. Restoring Great Lakes Ecosystems Worth the	
Cost?	118
Soren Anderson, Jennifer Read, and Don Scavia	

58. Information Disclosure and Drinking Water	
Quality	122
Lori Snyder Bennear and Sheila Olmstead	

59. Western Water Law and Efficient Use of Water	
Resources	124
Charles Howe	

Shabman
5

61. Perverse Incentives and the Endangere	d Species
Act	128
Jonathan H. Adler	

62. Improving Investments in Biodiversity	
Conservation	130
Juha Siikamäki and Stephen Newbold	

63. USDA's Conservation Reserve Program Is It Time	to
Ease into Easements?	.132
Ralph Heimlich	

64. How Should We Tackle the Forest Fire		
Problem?		4
Arun Malik		

53. Can Catch Shares Save Fisheries?112	65. Options Contracts for Contingent Takings	
Christopher Costello and Steven Gaines	Improving Disaster Management	136
	Carolyn Kousky, Sam Walsh, and Richard Zeckhauser	
54. The Political Economy of Addressing Overfishing in		
U.S. Waters 114		
Harrison Fell and James N. Sanchirico	PART 5	
	Transportation and Urban Policies	139
55. Achieving Efficient Coordination and Acceptance in		
Fishery Reform116	66. Triple Convergence toward a Higher Gasoline	
Robert T. Deacon	Ταχ	140
	Kenneth Small	

vi Issues of the Day

67. The Price of Gas and the Demand for Fue	l Economy
Are There Any Links?	142
Thomas H. Klier and Joshua Linn	

68. Should Distributional Considerations Hold Up	
Higher Gasoline Taxes?	144
Sarah E. West	

69. Does the Federal Government Spend Too Muc	h for
Highways, or Too Little?	146
Winston Harrington	

70. The Benefits and Costs of Tighte	r Fuel Economy
Regulations	148
Ian W.H. Parry	

71. Pay-as-You-Drive Auto Insurance......150 Jason Bordoff and Pascal Noel

72. What Motivates People to Buy Hybrids?.....152 *Shanjun Li*

73. The Outlook for Hydrogen Cars......154 Joan Ogden and Edward S. Rubin

75. Congestion Pricing Lessons from London......158 *Jonathan Leape*

76. Has the Time Come for Truck-OnlyToll Lanes?.....160 *Robin Lindsey*

80. Declining Traffic Fatalities Lesso	ons for Developing
Countries?	168
Maureen Cropper and Elizabeth Kopits	

81. Preservation and Development	Can TDRs Improve
Land Markets?	170
Virginia McConnell and Margaret Walls	

82. Is there an "Efficient"	Way to Address Suburban
Sprawl?	
Antonio M. Bento	

PART 6	
Public Health Policies	175

83. The Value of Health and Longevity	176
Kevin M. Murphy and Robert H. Topel	

84. How U.S. and Chinese Citizens Fe	el about Reducing
Mortality Risks	178
Alan Krupnick	

85. A New Chapter in the History of Malaria	
Control	180
Maciej F. Boni and David L. Smith	

86. The Spread of MRSA Antibiotic Resistance with a	
Name	182
Hellen Gelband	

87. Controlling Tuberculosis What Is the Benefit, at What	
Cost?	
Ramanan Laxminarayan, Eili Klein, and Sarah Darley	

88. Bringing Our Food Safety Sytem into the 21st

Jan K. Brueckner and Kurt Van Dender

79. Telecommuting What Is It Good For?......166 Elena Safirova

89. Tobacco Taxation in the European Unior	n and
United States	188
Sijbren Cnossen	

91. Mercury Advisories for Commercial Fish......192

Jay Shimshack

92. Measuring the Benefits of Reduced Exposure t	0
Lead	194
Felicia Day	

93. Indoor Air Pollution and African Death Rates......196 *Majid Ezzati*

PART 7

Environment and Development	9
-----------------------------	---

94. Driving Restrictions and Air Quality in	
Mexico City	200
Lucas W. Davis	

95. Deciphering the Demand for Safe Drinking Water i	n
Low-Income Countries	02
Michael Kremer, Edward Miguel, Clair Null, and Alix Zwane	

96. Environmental Policy Innovations	in Developing
Countries	204
Allen Blackman	

97. Green	Cities	and	Economic	Developmen	t 206
Matthew E.	. Kahn				

98. Building Better Housing Policies for the Developing				
World's Poor				
Robert M. Buckley and Jerry Kalarickal				

99. The Future of Famine	210
Cormac Ó Gráda	

100. Is Population Still an Important Policy Issue?.....212

Warren C. Robinson

36. WHERE THINGS STAND WITH HAZARDOUS WASTE REGULATION

Sarah Stafford

is the Verkuil Distinguished Associate Professor of Economics and the associate director of the Thomas Jefferson Program in Public Policy at the College of William and Mary. Her research focuses on hazardous waste regulation and corporate environmental behavior, with an emphasis on compliance and enforcement. One problem in hazardous waste regulation is the difficulty of ensuring regulatory compliance, not least because violations are often inadvertent. Therefore, complementary programs, such as compliance assistance, environmental audits, and voluntary compliance initiatives, can play a valuable role.

The U.S. economy generates a significant amount of waste. According to recent estimates, on average we generate about 250 million tons of trash each year, or 4.5 pounds per person per day. In addition to municipal solid waste, the United States generates 50 million more tons of hazardous waste each year.

Hazardous waste is a relatively new phenomenon and was not generated in significant quantities until we started using fossil fuels and chemicals in earnest at the beginning of the industrial age. Initially it was not considered to be any different from other waste and so was essentially unregulated. Hazardous constituents were routinely released into the environment, where they polluted groundwater, rivers, and lakes and killed people, livestock, and wildlife. As the consequences of uncontrolled management of waste became clear, the public began to call for regulations to protect human health and the environment.

FIRST, SOME HISTORY

The first environmental law that specifically addressed the generation and management of hazardous waste was the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA), passed in 1976. RCRA's Subtitle C delineated the basic structure of federal hazardous waste regulation and required EPA to establish criteria for identifying and listing hazardous waste and to develop standards applicable to generators, transporters, and managers of hazardous waste. In 1984, Congress passed the Hazardous and Solid Waste Amendments (HSWA), which expanded the scope of RCRA by requiring EPA to develop treatment standards for hazardous waste, minimum technological requirements for hazardous waste management units, and a corrective action program for contamination at active waste management facilities. Together these two acts provide the mandate for EPA's current hazardous waste program, commonly referred to as the RCRA program, which covers hazardous waste "from the cradle to the grave."

Although hazardous waste is often referred to as toxic waste, a material does not have to be toxic to be considered hazardous. Hazardous waste includes any discarded material that is potentially harmful to human health and the environment because it is ignitable, corrosive, reactive, or toxic, as long as the material has not been specifically excluded from the definition of hazardous waste. Two major categories of waste have the potential to be classified as hazardous but have explicitly been excluded from regulation as such—agricultural and mining wastes.

Even with these exclusions, the RCRA-regulated universe is both large and diverse, including well over 600,000 facilities in the United States, ranging from large chemical manufacturers and petroleum refiners to small dry cleaners and photo finishers. Nonprofit and government entities, such as hospitals, universities, and military bases, generate hazardous waste as well.

Interestingly, perhaps the most well-known toxic waste sites—Superfund sites—are not part of the RCRA universe. The Superfund program was established separately to cover cleanup of hazardous waste at inactive or abandoned sites and hazardous waste spills that require an emergency response, whereas RCRA covers only active hazardous waste facilities.

MUCH SKEPTICISM

RCRA is a relatively mature program, and over the past decade there have been only minor changes to hazardous waste regulations. With no new regulations to implement, EPA has turned its focus toward waste minimization and improving regulatory compliance.

Waste minimization, which includes both pollution prevention and increased recycling, has been promoted primarily through voluntary initiatives such as WasteWise, the National Environmental Performance Track, and Responsible Care. The majority of these programs are cross-media and, in theory, have the potential to increase environmental performance because they encourage facilities to think holistically about their environmental impacts. Both industry and EPA have been enthusiastic about such programs, but many in the environmental community are more doubtful because of the general lack of public accountability or oversight.

Over the past few years, researchers have conducted a number of studies to analyze the effectiveness of voluntary environmental programs. Only a few have been able to show that voluntary programs can significantly improve performance for more than a limited set of facilities. This lack of evidence may be behind EPA Administrator Lisa Jackson's suspension of the National Environmental Performance Track.

Under previous EPA administrators, voluntary programs were not limited only to waste minimization efforts; during the Bush administration, the agency placed increased emphasis on voluntary compliance initiatives and self-policing. But still, neither voluntary nor command-and-control approaches appear adequate to get the job done. Many are skeptical that voluntary efforts are effective at increasing compliance, while others doubt that traditional enforcement can bring all facilities into compliance because many violations appear to be due to confusion or ignorance, rather than deliberate decisions to violate the rules.

Although a facility may knowingly violate RCRA regulations by sending hazardous waste to a nonhazardous waste landfill for disposal, it may also inadvertently violate regulations if one of its hazardous waste storage tanks leaks. Traditional enforcement measures, such as inspections and fines, can help decrease the level of deliberate violations by making violations more expensive for the facility. When EPA revised its RCRA penalty policy in 1991 by drastically increasing its fines to 10 or 20 times the previous fine levels, hazardous waste compliance increased. Similarly, increasing the probability of a compliance inspection has been shown

to increase the likelihood that a facility will comply with RCRA regulations.

For inadvertent violations, however, increasing penalties and inspections may not be very effective at increasing compliance. Facilities may be noncompliant because they do not fully understand the regulatory requirements, do not fully know their facility's operations, have poor internal environmental management systems, or do not have the ability to comply. Alternative policies such as compliance assistance or environmental audits may help to increase compliance.

In my research, I have found some evidence to support the effectiveness of compliance assistance programs. In a study on RCRA compliance behavior to try to determine whether facilities were deliberately or inadvertently violating hazardous waste regulations, facilities in states with compliance assistance programs were found to be less likely to violate than facilities in states without them. Environmental auditing has not been shown to be as effective. While facilities in states with environmental audit privilege and self-policing policies are less likely to violate, a more recent study of Michigan hazardous waste facilities suggests that facilities that implement environmental audit programs are not any more likely to be in compliance than facilities that do not audit.

Although the data on the ability of voluntary compliance programs to improve environmental performance are limited, I nonetheless believe that there is an important role for such programs to play in complementing traditional enforcement and improving compliance in the future. Facilities violate hazardous waste regulations for a variety of reasons, and we must develop an equally wide range of initiatives and programs to increase compliance. Voluntary programs should not supplant traditional enforcement efforts but instead should be used in tandem.

Further Reading

- Evans, Mary, Lirong Liu, and Sarah Stafford. 2009. A Facility-Level Analysis of the Long-Term Consequences of Environmental Auditing among Hazardous Waste Generators. Department of Economics Working Paper 78. Williamsburg, VA: College of William and Mary.
- Khanna, Madhu, and Keith Brouhle. 2008. Effectiveness of Voluntary Environmental Initiatives. In Governing the Environment: Interdisciplinary Perspectives, edited by M. Delmas and O.Young. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.
- Porter, Richard C. 2002. The Economics of Waste. Washington, DC: **RFF** Press.
- Stafford, Sarah L. 2006. Rational or Confused Polluters? Evidence from Hazardous Waste Compliance. Contributions to Economic Analysis and Policy 5: Article 21.