

W&M ScholarWorks

Reports

1994

#### Estimation of juvenile striped bass relative abundance in the Virginia portion of Chesapeake Bay, January 1993-December 1993 : annual progress report

Hebert M. Austin Virginia Institute of Marine Science

A. Dean Estes Virginia Institute of Marine Science

Donald M. Seaver Virginia Institute of Marine Science

Follow this and additional works at: https://scholarworks.wm.edu/reports

Part of the Aquaculture and Fisheries Commons, and the Marine Biology Commons

#### **Recommended Citation**

Austin, H. M., Estes, A. D., & Seaver, D. M. (1994) Estimation of juvenile striped bass relative abundance in the Virginia portion of Chesapeake Bay, January 1993-December 1993 : annual progress report. Virginia Institute of Marine Science, College of William and Mary. https://dx.doi.org/doi:10.25773/v5-vcpa-zy38

This Report is brought to you for free and open access by W&M ScholarWorks. It has been accepted for inclusion in Reports by an authorized administrator of W&M ScholarWorks. For more information, please contact scholarworks@wm.edu.

#### ANNUAL PROGRESS REPORT

Estimation of Juvenile Striped Bass Relative Abundance in the Virginia Portion of Chesapeake Bay

> U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service Sportfish Restoration Project F87R4 January 1993 - December 1993

> > Prepared by

Herbert M. Austin

A. Deane Estes

Donald M. Seaver

Virginia Institute of Marine Science School of Marine Science College of William and Mary

Gloucester Point, Virginia 23062

#### Submitted to

Virginia Marine Resources Commission United States Fish and Wildlife Service

19947

LIBRARY of the VIRGINIA INSTITUTE of MARINE SCIENCE

VIMS SH B3ST 1993

#### TABLE OF CONTENTS

Introduction	
Methods	
Results	7
Discussion and Conclusions	
Literature Cited	18
List of Figures	21
List of Tables	22
Tables	23
Figures	
Appendix	

ł

#### INTRODUCTION

The estimation of juvenile striped bass abundance in Virginia waters, while funded by the U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service, is part of a coast-wide sampling program of striped bass recruitment conducted from New England to North Carolina under the coordination of the Atlantic States Marine Fisheries Commission (ASMFC). Virginia's efforts started in 1967 with funding from the Commercial Fisheries Development Act of 1965 (PL88-309) and continued until 1973 when the program was cut. It was instituted in 1980 with Emergency Striped Bass Study funds (PL 96-118, 16 U.S.C. 757g, the "Chafee Amendment"), and since 1989 has been funded by the Wallop-Breaux expansion of the Sportfish Restoration and Enhancement Act of 198 (PL - , known as the Dingle-Johnson Act).

ASMFC, in 1981, adopted the Atlantic Coast Striped Bass Interstate Fisheries Management Plan which was then adopted by the Virginia Marine Resources Commission in March 1982 (Regulation 450-01-0034). Amendment IV to the plan <u>requires</u> "producing states" (e.g. Virginia, Maryland, Delaware and New York) to develop and support monitoring programs of recruitment levels. This became a mandate when Congress passed the Atlantic Striped Bass Conservation Act in 1984 (reauthorization 1991, PL102-130) To remain in compliance with the Act, each state must adhere to all provisions in the interstate FMP (ESBS 1993). Virginia has done this through December 1993.

Originally, the Virginia program used a 6' x 100' (2m x 30.5m) .25" (6.4mm) bag seine, but after comparison tows with Maryland gear, 4' x 100', .25" mesh (1.2m x 30.5m, 6.4mm) showed virtually no statistical differences in catch, Virginia adopted the "Maryland seine" (Colvocoresses 1984). The original purpose of the gear comparison studies was to standardize methods thereby allowing a Bay-wide examination of recruitment success (Colvocoresses and Austin 1987). This was never realized however for various differences in data handling (MD: arithmetic index; VA: geometric index) and state politics. A Bay-wide index using a weighted (by river spawning area) geometric mean was finally developed in 1993 (Austin, Colvocoresses and Mosca 1993).

#### METHODS

on whereas was we

#### Field Sampling

Sampling was conducted during five bi-weekly sampling periods from early July (5 July) through early September (9 September) 1993. A round consisted of replicate tows at each of 18 index stations and a single haul at each of 22 auxiliary stations on the James, Chickahominy, Mattaponi, Pamunkey, and Rappahannock rivers (Figure 1). Addition of the auxiliary sites in 1989 made it possible to provide wider geographic coverage, particularly during drought or wet years when the nursery grounds are displaced up or downriver past the index stations.

All collections were made by hand deployment of a 100' (30.5m) by 4' (1.2m), 1/4" (0.64cm) bar mesh minnow seine set perpendicular to the shore. The net was set until fully extended or until a depth of four feet was encountered. The upstream end of the net was held fixed on the beach as the body of the net was swept downstream and back onto shore. Ideally this provided a quadrant of a circle with a radius of approximately 100' (30.5m). Tidal currents and/or drop-offs (>4' depth) often resulted in a smaller sweep.

All fish caught during the first tow at index stations were maintained alive in buckets and not released until after the second tow. All fish were identified to species and counted. All striped bass and all individuals, or a subsample of at least 25, of other species were measured to the nearest mm fork length (or total length as appropriate). Water temperature, salinity, dissolved oxygen and pH were measured after the first haul using a HYDROLAB Surveyor II <sup>®</sup> water quality instrument. A secchi disk reading was also made at each station. Sample time, tidal stage and weather conditions were recorded at the time of each haul. At those stations where two hauls were made, a 30 minute pause was observed between the first and second collection to allow conditions to return to "normal". The first sample was processed during this intervening period. All fishes were returned alive to the river, except those retained for later laboratory analyses.

#### Index Computation

Only the "standard index stations" from the primary nursery ground were used to compute the index and plot in time series with previous years. Abundance data were logarithmically transformed prior to analyses and generation of the indices. Subsequent mean values were retransformed and expressed as a geometric mean. Since geometric means tend to be smaller than arithmetic means, a scaling factor (2.28) was introduced in 1984, the year Virginia converted from arithmetic to geometric means, so that continuity of the long term data set could be maintained. Colvocoresses (1984) discussed the rationale for this logarithmic transformation in an earlier report.

This analysis was conducted in collaboration with J. Loesch and B. Hill, also here at VIMS, as part of their study entitled, "Evaluation of striped bass stocks in VA: Tagging and monitoring studies". Their pound net CPUE data for the spring and fall 1984 through 1993 fishing seasons on the Rappahannock River were used (Hill and Loesch 1993). An index of adult spawners was derived following the age of maturity relationships of Merriman (1941) and Mansueti and Hollis (1963): Twenty five percent of age IV females are sexually mature, 70% at age V, and 95-100% at age VI. The spring up-river CPUE data for females in the spawning reaches (greater than river mile 37) were adjusted by the above maturation schedule. In other words, the CPUE of age IV females taken above river mile 37 was multiplied by .25, age V by .70, and age VI and greater by 1.00. These numbers were added to give a female on-the-spawning-grounds CPUE or spawning stock index. This spawning stock abundance index was used as the value for the spawner in the Ricker spawner-recruit model. The juvenile index (Rappahannock, Virginia and weighted Virginia) for that year was the recruitment input (Table 12). Data were analyzed using PC-SAS on the Fisheries Data Management Unit (FDMU) network.

#### Relation of index to subsequent year class strength

The data were also examined following the juvenile index for each spawning year through the fall pound net CPUE for each subsequent year for ages I<sup>+</sup> through III<sup>+</sup>.

Linear regression was run using the juvenile indices as the independent variable and the subsequent I-III age classes as the dependent variable.

6

#### RESULTS

# Objective 1: Measure the relative abundance of the 1993 year class of juvenile striped bass from the James, York and Rappahannock river systems.

A total of 2323 young-of-the-year striped bass were collected from 180 seine hauls during the 1993 index station sampling, and an additional 935 were collected in 99 hauls at the auxiliary sites (Fig. 1, Table 1). The geometric index, an adjusted overall mean catch per seine haul (CPUE) for the index stations, was 18.12, the highest value for the 21 years sampled (Figure 2). This value is about three and a half times the overall average index of 5.30 and the unweighted (by sample size) annual mean index of 5.54 (Table 2). This favorable overall result occurred across all drainages, with all but the Rappahannock recording historical highs and suggests that the index is due to an extremely strong year class (Fig. 3).

The 1993 catch rate in the James drainage (James and Chickahominy) as a whole (23.63) was nearly four times the historical average and the highest value ever recorded for the system (Table 3, Fig. 3). The extremely high catch rates in the James drainage reversed a declining trend recorded during the previous four years in this system. The 1993 index in the mainstem James (28.71), was nearly six times the historical average and significantly higher than in 1992. The Chickahominy River results also showed a dramatic increase over the previous two years when catch rates were at an all time low. Highest catch rates were observed in the center of the index station sampling area (particularly C1 and J46), although the distribution of fish was much more uniform than in 1992 (Table 1, Fig. 4).

The York drainage in 1993 established a record index (13.83) that was three times the historical average and nearly four times higher than 1992 (Table 3). Catch rates in the Pamunkey River rebounded to an all time high (16.34) after four successive years of declining catches (Fig. 3, Table 3). A similar increase was seen in the Mattaponi River where a record high of 12.18 was established (Table 3). Similar to the situation in the James drainage, striped bass in the York system were captured at all stations at least twice and the center of abundance was within the central reaches of the index area (Figs. 5-6, Table 1).

í

The 1993 index in the Rappahannock River (18.99) was three and a half times the historical average (Table 3) and the third highest value recorded for the drainage. Juveniles were primarily concentrated in the index station area but the primary nursery area appeared to be offset slightly upriver when compared to the index station area, with the auxiliary site adjacent to the upriver end of the index area (R60) being more productive than all the index stations except R44, in the central reach of the index area (Fig. 7). Small numbers of juveniles were seen regularly at all of the upriver auxiliary stations, but the auxiliary stations downstream of the historical sampling area (R12 and R21) produced only one juvenile striped bass, the only striped bass captured below R28 in the survey's history.

In contrast to most years sampled, the highest catch rates were seen during

the latter rounds, with round four being substantially higher than previous rounds, followed by a decreased catch rate in round five (Table 4). Because the number and precise timing of sampling rounds has varied throughout the history of the sampling program, results by sampling period cannot be compared on a directly corresponding basis. However, temporal usage of the nursery area can be evaluated by comparing round by round results with historical monthly averages. Results from 1993 indicate a deviation from the normally observed pattern, with August being the month of highest abundance, rather than July. This was probably the result of a later spring spawn.

#### Objective 2: Quantify environmental conditions at the time of collection.

Collection information and pertinent environmental variables recorded at the time of each collection in 1993 are given in Tables 5 through 8. No particularly unusual conditions were encountered and all five sampling rounds were completed at the index stations without interruption under nominal conditions. Severe thunderstorms on the Rappahannock (R21) and the Pamunkey Rivers (P55 & 61) forced the cancellation of these stations during the first and third rounds respectively. Vessel engine problems caused delays which prevented the upper auxiliary stations in the Rappahannock (R69 & 76) being reached before rising tides precluded sampling during the fourth round. High water associated with storms prevented sampling in the upper Rappahannock (R60, 65 & 76) during the fifth round and the Pamunkey (P61) during the first

round and high winds prevented reaching Y21 on the York during the second round. Hydrographic instrument malfunction or probe damage prevented collection of a very limited amount of supporting data, specifically dissolved oxygen and pH at the upper six Rappahannock stations during the first round and the upper six stations in the James during the fifth round (Tables 7 and 8).

# Objective 3: Examine relationships between juvenile striped bass abundance and measured or proxy environmental and biological data.

Overall distribution of catch rates with respect to salinity in 1993 followed the normally observed pattern, i.e. a definitive trend towards higher catches at lower salinities (Table 9). There was no relation between catch and salinity between any of the five rounds.

Catch rates with respect to water temperature in 1993 clearly adhered to the pattern seen in most previous years, i.e. catch rates varied directly with water temperature (Table 10). As noted in previous reports, this relationship is considered to be largely the result of a coincident downward progression of both catch rates and temperature as the survey season progresses (at least after the second sampling round) rather than any causative effect of water temperature on juvenile distribution. No relationships between water temperatures and catch rates are evident within sampling rounds.

Data on pH, dissolved oxygen concentrations and secchi disc visibility depth readings have only been recorded with the seine collections since the expansion

of the sampling program in 1989. Dissolved oxygen concentrations generally exceed 5 ppm outside of the York system, and should have had little or no effect on juvenile striped bass distributions. Low pH values (<6.5) were only observed in the York system and the lowermost stations on the James during the first round in 1993 (Table 8), and there was no evidence of any negative effect on juvenile distribution. Secchi depth readings were generally low (<0.5 m) except for the upper York system and lower Rappahannock. All of these parameters, as well as those previously discussed and undoubtedly others which are not currently measured, probably exert complex and interrelated effects on juvenile striped bass distribution, catchability and survival, and several more years of data will be required before even preliminary meaningful assessments of the effects of the newly measured parameters can be attempted.

# Objective 4 Provide survey results in a timely manner to concerned regulatory bodies.

The results of the 1993 survey were communicated to the Virginia Marine Resources Commission (VMRC) at the 20 September 1993 Fisheries Management Advisory Committee/VMRC. A more detailed report and discussion was presented at the annual Striped Bass Research Workshop held in Alexandria, VA on 15 and 16 February, 1994. These data were also communicated to the Striped Bass Technical Committee, ASMFC via the VMRC representative.

#### Objective 5 <u>Examine relationships between the juvenile index and</u> <u>subsequent year class strength using</u> <u>age/size data from VIMS' pound net (1980-</u> 1993) monitoring program.

The juvenile indices for the Rappahannock River and state-wide Virginia, index (1980-1993), and the subsequent year class strength as indexed by the spring and fall pound net CPUE (1984-1993) from Hill and Loesch (1993) are presented in Tables 12a-12c. The juvenile indices and index of spawning stock used in the Ricker Model are found in Table 12d. The dominant 1987 (at that time record year class) showed up in pound nets, although not in the age I-III numbers one might expect. It was however, the dominant year class on the spawning grounds in 1993. The 1985 year class, not dominant based upon the juvenile index, made the strongest showing in the fall pound net catches as age I-III juveniles (Table 12b-c). This apparently strong year-class, from a relatively small juvenile index, was an outlier in the regression and reduced the  $r^2$ .

The regression for juvenile index vs I<sup>+</sup> was highly significant for the Rappahannock juvenile index and Virginia state-wide index (P = 0.007 and 0.006,  $r^2 = .86$  and .88). The weighted Virginia index was also significant (P = 0.01,  $r^2 = .83$ ). The juvenile indices were not significant when regressed on the II and III<sup>+</sup> (Table 13b). No analyses could be run on the I<sup>+</sup> vs II<sup>+</sup> as there were insufficient years with catch for both year classes.The record juvenile index of 1993 was

produced by a record number of spawning adults if the Rappahannock is indicative of the Virginia portion of the Bay (Tables  $12d_1$ ,  $12d_2$ ). Significant numbers of spawning age fish, ages IV-IX, were collected by Hill and Loesch during the spring 1993 run. The apparently dominant (age VI) 1987 year class was very abundant on the spawning ground, as were age VII and VIII females.

The results of the Ricker spawner-recruit model did not yield a significant coefficient of determination (Table 13a). The best coefficient of determination was for the weighted Virginia index ( $r^2$ = 0.49 and 0.45, P= 0.78 and 0.10).

#### DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

The striped bass juvenile indices recorded in the Virginia Chesapeake Bay nursery areas in 1993 were the highest on record (Figure 2). Recruitment success in the York system was the highest for the entire time series. Not only was the York system high, but for the first time fish were abundant in both the Pamunkey and Mattaponi Rivers. Over the years the Pamunkey has been a consistent but low level producing river interspersed with occasional high production. Until 1993, however the Mattaponi has never been a good producer (Figure 3). Levels of juvenile abundance in the James system also set a new The index for the James system has often been carried by the record. Chickahominy. For example, the record 1970 year class was almost entirely a product of the Chickahominy River (index 40). This year however, both the Chickahominy and the main stem James were productive (Figure 3). The nursery ground on the James, which was diffuse during 1992, was clearly defined in 1993. Highest counts were obtained at river mile stations J29 and J36 during 1992, but in 1993 the center of abundance was at J46 (Table 1, Fig. 4). Chickahominy station C1, traditionally a major producer, yielded very few fish in 1992 (Colvocoresses et al 1993) but regained its position as a major contributor to the index in 1993 as large catches were made over all five rounds (Table 1).

The Rappahannock River, a major contributor to the dominant 1987 year class, and the only river to produce substantial numbers in 1992 which resulted in an "average" state-wide index in 1992, produced its third highest year class and

contributed to the record 1993 index. As with the James, the center of abundance in 1993 was farther upriver than in 1992. During 1992 fish were abundant from river mile R37 to R55, where as in 1993 they were evenly distributed from R44 to R55, with most at R44 and R55 (Table 1), and at R60 the adjacent upriver auxillary station.

If one looks only at recruitment patterns since the resurrection of the survey in 1980 (Figures 2 & 3) there appears to be two recruitment modes. The first is the period of low, probably failure level recruitment from 1980 to the mid-1980's. If one looks at Maryland's data it is possible to extrapolate this failure period in Virginia's recruitment back to the early 1970's. The Virginia recruitment, although low during the early 1980's does show evidence of a very slow natural recovery. Then a second period of high, but variable recruitment from 1987 to the present. This sudden apparent recovery is probably due to the 1981 Atlantic States Marine Fisheries Commission striped bass Interstate Fisheries Management Plan enhancing the gradual natural recovery. Now, as we look at recruitment levels since 1987 it becomes apparent that the dominant and standard setting 1970 year class was not that large by comparison.

A primary reason for the increase in areal coverage provided by the auxillary stations was to provide a better basis for evaluating the extent and utilization of juvenile habitat in these systems (Colvocoresses et al 1988). Although the highest catch rates and centers of abundance have generally been observed in the areas bracketed by the historical index stations, previous sampling outside of these

primary nursery areas has shown that there is also some utilization of areas above and below these zones, particularly when large year classes are present or abnormal salinity regimes cause some spatial displacement of the primary zones. Clearly this has been the case in 1993.

The year-to-year variability of the subsystems of each river, and the main stem rivers themselves make clear the difficulty of providing an accurate state-wide, and in fact Bay-wide index of annual juvenile recruitment (Heimbuch et al. 1983, Colvocoresses and Austin 1987, Colvocoresses et al 1993). The revised Interstate Striped Bass Management Plan (ASMFC 1991), calls for the development of bay and coast-wide juvenile indices as key elements for monitoring and evaluating the effects of relaxed fishing restrictions under the provisions of Amendment 4. This issue was addressed with support from the Chesapeake Bay Stock Assessment Committee (CBSAC) and in 1993 a Bay-wide index was developed from scaled, geometric means, weighted by area of spawning ground in each producing river (Austin et al 1993). Although the index cannot be verified due to the lack of a commercial harvest and/or sufficient Bay-wide fishery-independent data collection program, there is evidence that dominant year classes can be followed through the Virginia stock in future years (Austin 1993).

The lack of a strong density-dependent Spawner-Recruit relationship for the striped bass has been documented in the literature (see for example the reviews by Richkus et al 1980 and Richkus et al 1993). The density-independence and the importance of environmental factors early in the life cycle of the Chesapeake Bay stock has been demonstrated (Ulanowicz and Polgar 1980, Logan 1985, Uphoff 1989, Houde and Rutherford 1992) by various investigators. Never-the-less, there has been no attempt to examine the spawner and subsequent recruitment relationship using fisheryindependent means as we have done here. This has been due to the lack of a time series of fishery independent data. The lack of a significant spawner-recruit relationship using spring pound net CPUE from but a single river (Rappahannock) is not unexpected; therefore the next step is to attempt to apply environmental factors to the Ricker model as Tang (1985) did with blue crabs and Nelson et al (1977) did with menhaden.

Our efforts here are also the first to validate the juvenile index with CPUE from a fishery-independent monitoring program. Again, past efforts were hampered by the lack of post young-of-the-year verification data. Both by inspection (Table 12) and regression (Table 13) it is clear that, with a single exception (1985), the juvenile index is validated by the subsequent year abundance of I-III<sup>+</sup> cohorts in fall Rappahannock River pound net catches. It is indeed unfortunate that the time series is only for one river. Why the 1985 juvenile index is so poor, with such a strong showing of cohorts in subsequent years can be explained by a change in sampling strategy. In 1985, as in previous years, the juvenile striped bass sampling only went up-river to mile 50 on the Rappahannock; subsequently river mile 55 was initiated. During "dry" years (1985 and 1993) the nursery ground is displaced some five miles or so upriver. The consequence of this is the 1985 sampling protocol missed the center of young-of-the-year abundance, resulting in an index "...that should be considered artificially depressed." (Colvocoresses 1985).

#### LITERATURE CITED

ASMFC. 1991. Supplement to the striped bass FMP-Amendment No. 4. ASMFC Fisheries Management Report, Washington, D.C.

Austin, H.M. 1993. Evidence for a strong 1987 year class of striped bass in Virginia. VMRR-93-07, 12 pp + 7 fig.

Austin, H. M., J. A. Colvocoresses, and T. A. Mosca III. 1993. Develop a Chesapeake Bay-wide Young-of-the-Year striped bass index. Final Report, CBSAC Coop. Agree. No. NA16FUO393-01, 59 pp + 2 appen.

Colvocoresses, J. A. 1985. Striped bass research, Virginia. Part I: Juvenile striped bass seining program, Annual Rept 1985. VIMS, 41 pp.

Colvocoresses, J. A. 1988. Striped bass research, Virginia. Part I: Juvenile striped bass seining program. Annu. Rep. 1987-88. Virginia Institute of Marine Science, Gloucester Point, Virginia. 43 p.

Colvocoresses, J. A. and H. M. Austin. 1987. Development of an index of juvenile striped bass abundance for the Chesapeake Bay System: I. An evaluation of present measures and recommendations for future studies. Va. Inst. Mar. Sci. Spec. Sci. Rep. No. 120. 108 p.

- ESBS. 1993. Emergency Striped Bass Research Study, Report for 1991. Prepared by the U.S.F&W.S., ASMFC, and the NMFS/NOAA. 35 pp.
- Heimbuch, D. G., P. W. Jones and B. J. Rothschild. 1983. An analysis of Maryland's juvenile striped bass index of abundance. UMCEES Ref.
   No. 83-51 CBL.
- Hill, B.W. and J. G. Loesch. 1993. Striped bass research, Virginia: Characterization of Virginia's striped bass commercial fisheries.
  Completion Report, Project AFC 18, Segments 1-6, Dec. 1986-Aug. 1992.
- Houde, E. and E. Rutherford. 1992. The influence of temperature on cohortspecific growth, survival and recruitment of larval striped bass in the Chesapeake Bay, USA. ICES C.M. 1992/M:22, 14 pp.
- Logan, P. T. 1985. Environmental variation and striped bass population dynamics: A size dependent mortality model. Estuaries (8):28-38.
- Mansueti, R. J. and E. H. Hollis, 1963. Striped bass in Maryland Tidewater. Ed. Ser. No. 61, NRI/UMd. 28 pp.
- Merriman, D. 1941. Studies on the striped bass (*Roccus saxatilis*) of the Atlantic Coast, Fish. Bull. #35., U.S. F&W.S. 50:1-77.

- Nelson, W. R., M. C. Ingham, and W. E. Schaff. 1977. Larval transport and yearclass strength of Atlantic menhaden, *Brevoortia tyrannus*. Fish. Bull. 75(1):23-41.
- Richkus, W. A., J. K. Summer, T. T. Polgar, and A. F. Holland. 1980. A review and evaluation of fisheries stock management models. A report prepared by Martin-Marietta, Corp. for the Maryland DNR.
- Richkus, W. A., S. J. Nelson, and H. M. Austin. 1993. Fisheries Assessment and Management Synthesis: Lessons for Chesapeake Bay. Chap. 4, Stock Assessment. pp. 75-114, CRC, Annapolis.
- Tang, Q. 1985. Modification of the Ricker stock recruitment model to account for environmentally induced variation in recruitment with particular reference to the blue crab fishery in Chesapeake Bay. Fish. Res. 3:13-21.
- Ulanowicz, R. E. and T. T. Polgar. 1980. Influence of anadromous spawning behavior and optimal environmental conditions upon striped bass year-class success. Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci. 37:143-154.

#### List of Figures

Figure Number	Page Number
Figure 1	Juvenile striped bass seine survey station locations
Figure 2	Scaled geometric Virginia index (average catch per
	seine haul of young-of-the-year striped bass), 199340
Figure 3	Scaled geometric index by drainage and river (primary
	nrusery area), 199341
Figure 4	Mean 1993 CPUE by sampling round, James drainage42
Figure 5	Mean 1993 CPUE by sampling round, York and Mattaponi43
Figure 6	Mean 1993 CPUE by sampling round, York and Pamunkey44
Figure 7	Mean 1993 CPUE by sampling round, Rappahannock45
Figure 8	Spawner-Recruit curve, Rappahannock juvenile index
	on Rappahannock pound net CPUE (I-VIII)46
Figure 9	Residuals for Rappahannock Spawner-Recruit curve47
Figure 10	Spawner-Recruit curve, Virginia juvenile index on
	Rappahanock pound net CPUE (I-VIII)48
Figure 11	Residuals for Virginia Spawner-Recruit curve

#### List of Tables

Table Number	Page Number
Table 1	1993 Catch-per-seine-haul for each round by river mile23
Table 2	Catch of young-of-the-year summarized by year24
Table 3	1993 Catch of young-of-the-year summarized by river25
Table 4	1993 Catch of young-of-the-year summarized by date26
Table 5	Salinity (ppt) at seine survey stations27
Table 6	Water temperature (°C) at seine survey stations
Table 7	Dissolved oxygen (ppm) at seine survey stations29
Table 8	pH at seine survey stations30
Table 9	Catch of juvenile striped bass by salinity31
Table 10	Catch of juvenile striped bass by temperature32
Table 11	Catch ratios between index and auxiliary stations
Table 12a	Juvenile index and spring Rappahannock
	pound net CPUE34
Table 12b-	c Juvenile index and fall Rappahannock pound net CPUE35
Table 12d	Ricker Spawner-Recruit function
Table 13	Regression analysis of spawner-recruit relation and
	regression analysis of juvenile index and I-III <sup>+</sup> 37
Table 14	Regression of juvenile indices <u>vs</u> subsequent pound
	net CPUE (I-III)

۰. .

.

Drainage														
JAMES														•
Station Round	J12	J22	J29	J36	C1	C3	J46	J51	J56	J62	J68	J74	J78	TOT.
1	6	6	9/7	17/12	17/2	8/3	7/7	21	12/3	14	3	3	5	162
2	2	8	9/2	6/5	14/22	11/0	32/35	75	13/10	8	0	44	.7	303
3	1	8	6/17	22/10	18/25	. 4/0	18/107	17	1/0	8	0	29	5	296
4	/	28	15/30	30/40	04/21	. 5/2	96/74	18	14/11	. /	4	12	· 5	484
5	8	13	3/12	34/20	1//10	4/1	10/20	D	3/0	Ū	0	•	U	1469
YORK														1407
Station Round	¥15	¥21	¥28	P36	P42		P45	P50	P55	P61				
1	1	2	2	16	23/5		11/16	4/3	11	ns				94
2	3	ns	9	39	9/3		4/6	25/2	32	11				143
3	0	0	3	22	2/2		12/4	26/30	ns	ns				101
4	0	ō	9	38	13/1		8/4	23/15	18	1				130
5	5	5	6	8	4/1		24/4	11/9	15	TO				100
Station				M33	M37	M41	M44	M47	M52					
1				1/0	1	12/14	2/1	3/0	0				•	34
2				10/7	4	6/8	7/6	1/3	ć õ					52
3	•			7/1	2	0/3	5/13	11/6	0					48
4				4/5	3.	1/7	18/14	21/7	3					83
5				9/2	7	11/5	11/19	38/23	4					129
														914
RAPPAHANNOCK Station Round	R12	R21	R28	R37 .		R41	R44	R50	R55	R60	R65	. R69	R76	· ·
1	0	ns	4/6	5/2		4	16/46	20/11	17/12	72	6	2	17	243
2	Ó	0	2/7	2/8		1	14/30	10/6	19/7	63	ns	3	4	176
3	0	1	0/0	18/3		15	5/7	11/2	29/8	33	3	- 3	8	146
4	0	0	3/1	7/6		3	34/28	31/20	26/13	17	4	ns	ns	193
5	0	0	2/2	3/6		0	27/18	11/8	20/18	ns	ns	2	ns	117
								÷			<b>`</b> .			875
														3258

Table 1. Catch per seine haul of young-of-the-year striped bass during the 1993 survey. Two hauls were made per sampling round at each of the historical index stations (bold).

Table 2. Catch of young-of-the-year striped bass per seine haul in the primary nursery area summarized by year (adjusted mean = retransformed mean of ln(x+1) + 2.28, the ratio of the overall arithmetic and geometric means through 1984).

Year	Total	Mean ln(x+1)	Std. Dev.	Adjust. Mean	C.I. ( <u>+</u> 2 SE)	N
1967	219	1.11	0.993	4.61	2.97-6.77	53
1968	218	0.96	0.906	3.70	2.50-5.19	66
1969	219	0.82	0.908	2.91	1.94-4.11	77
1970	469	1.34	1.115	6.42	4.47-8.93	77
1971	185	0.81	0.847	2.83	1.95-3.90	80
1972	103	0.42	0.588	1.19	0.83-1.59	116
1973	139	0.53	0.790	1.59	0.98-2.32	84
1980	229	0.75	0,901	2.54	1.70-3.56	89
1981	165	0.52	0.691	1.57	1.10-2.09	116
1982	324	0.78	0.968	2.71	1.86-3.75	106
1983	300	0.93	0.832	3.48	2.60-4.51	102
1984	464*	1.07	1.009	4.36	3.18-5.80	106
1985	322	0.72	0.859	2.41	1.78-3.14	142
1986	672	1.13	1.038	4.75	3.63-6.08	144
1987	2192	2.07	1.228	15.75	12.4-19.9	144
1988	1349	1.47	1.127	7.64	6.11-9.45	180
1989	1981	1.78	1.119	11.23	9.15-13.7	180
1990	1248	1.44	1.095	7.34	5.89-9.05	180
1991	668	0.98	0.951	3.78	2.98-4.70	180
1992	1769	1.44	1.247	7.32	5.69-9.28	180
1993	2323	2.19	0.975	18.12	15.4-21.3	180
Overall	15692	1.20	1.119	5.30	4.97-5.64	2582
Unweighted						
Annual Mean				5.54		21

\* adjusted figure (see 1984 report)

Table 3.	Catch of young-of-the-year	striped bass	per	seine	haul	in	the	primary	nursery	area	in 1	1993	summarized
	by drainage and river.												

к. <sup>1</sup>. г. <sup>2</sup>.

-

			1	993			<u>.</u>		All Yea	rs Combin	ed	
Drainage River	Total	Mean ln(x+1)	Std. Dev.	Adjust. Mean	C.I. ( <u>+</u> 2 SE)	N	Total	Mean ln(x+1)	Std. Dev.	Adjust. Mean	C.I. ( <u>+</u> 2 SE)	N
N James James Chickahom.	1083 835 248	2.43 2.61 2.07	1.073 1.012 0.915	23.63 28.71 15.84	17.36-31.91 20.22-40.39 8.67-27.73	60 40 20	5733 3136 2597	1.30 1.16 1.58	1.157 1.103 1.217	6.06 4.99 8.79	5.43-6.75 4.35-5.69 7.29-10.53	851 573 278
York Pamunkey Mattaponi	626 304 322	1.96 2.10 1.85	0.879 0.915 0.821	13.83 16.34 12.18	10.78-17.60 11.51-22.84 8.55-17.03	70 30 40	4058 2065 1993 ु	1.08 1.14 1.03	0.947 1.017 0.891	4.40 4.85 4.10	4.01-4.82 4.16-5.60 3.63-4.60	962 406 556
Rappahannock Overall	614 2323	2.23 2.19	0.921 0.975	18.99 18.12	14.11-25.31 15.35-21.30	50 180	5901 15692	1.25 1.20	1.253 1.119	5.70 5.30	5.01-6.45 4.97-5.64	769 2582

Table 4.	Catch of young-o	of-the-year	striped	bass ]	per s	seine	haul	in t	:he	primary	nursery	area	in .	1993	summarized	by
	sampling period	and month.														

з <sup>3</sup> к <sup>4</sup> к

• •

			1	993					<u>All Yea</u> r	s Combine	ed	
Month	Total	Mean ln(x+1)	Std. Dev.	Adjust. Mean	C.I. ( <u>+</u> 2 SE)	N	Total	Mean ln(x+1)	Std. Dev.	Adjust. Mean	C.I. ( <u>+</u> 2 SE)	N
$\overline{\mathbf{N}}$												
OJuly (1st)	341	2.01	0.896	14.67	10.29-20.57	36	6418	1.45	1.169	7.42	6.65-8.25	800
(2nd)	361	2.11	0.803	16.52	12.10-22.29	36						
Aug. (3rd)	433	1.94	1.165	13.66	8.53-21.23	36	5891	1.26	1.111	5.73	5.17-6.33	939
(4th)	712	2.59	1,003	28.04	19.42-40.08	36						
Sept.(5th)	476	2.31	0.877	20.61	14.81-28.38	36	3383	0.94	1.021	3.55	3.13-4.00	843
Overall	2323	2.19	0.975	18.12	15.35-21.30	180	15692 🗸	1.20	1.119	5.30	4.97-5.64	2582

.

							1.1								
Drain	nage														
JAMES	5														
	Station Round	J12	J22	J29	J36	C1	C3	J46	J51	J56	J62	J68	J74	J78	MEAN
	1	15.4	6.7	2.2	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.9
	2	11.7	10.5	6.6	2.5	0.7	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.5
	3	ns	ns	6.6	2.9	1.5	1.2	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.2
	4	19.7	11.8	7.7	0.0	2.9	2.0	0.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.4
	5	9.1	11.7	7.9	5.2	3.5	2.8	1.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0,.0	0.0	0.0	3.2
YORK											:				1.0
	Station Round	Y15	¥21	Y28	P36	P42		P45	P50	P55	P61				
	1	16.0	13.8	9.6	2.4	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	ns				3.2
	2	20.3	ns	14.1	5.2	1.1		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0				3.8
	3	12.5	16.6	14.7	6.0	2.0		0.4	0.1	ns	ns	· · · ·	•		4.7
	4	17.6	15.0	14.6	7.0	2.9		0.8	0.2	0.0	0.0	•	•		4.6
	5	20.7	17.7	15.2	8.6	3.9		1.5	0.6	0.3	0.0				5.6
	Station				M33	M37	M41	M44	M47	M52					
	nounu 3				28	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0					
	2				7.1	4.1	1.3	0.0	0.0	0.0			1		(included
	3				4.9	2.6	0.8	0.0	0.0	0.0					abovel
	4				6.8	3.4	1.4	0.0	0.0	040					<i>uxere</i> ,
	5				8.9	4.7	2.3	0.3	0.0	0.0					
RAPPA	HANNOCK							-							
	Station Round	R12	R21	R28	R37		R41	R44	R50	R55	R60	R65	R69	R76	
	1	12.3	ns	8.4	3.3		0.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.3*
	$\overline{2}$	13.2	12.7	10.5	4.8		2.7	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.7
	3	15.4	14.7	11.9	6.2		3.3	2.1	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	4.5
	4	14.7	14.6	11.1	6.2		4.1	2.1	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	4.4
	5	16.6	15.6	12.9	8.4		4.8	3.2	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	<u>5.2</u> <u>4.0</u> 3.4

Table 5. Salinity (parts per thousand) recorded at 1993 seine survey stations.

\* biased downward due to missing data from lower stations.

Drainage		****			•									
JAMES														
Station Round	J12	J22	J29	J36	C1	С3	J46	J51	J56	J62	J68	J74	J78	MEAN
1	30.1	29.4	29.2	28.1	32.3	31.1	31.4	34.2	30.0	31.0	31.8	32.0	32.3	31.0
2	27.7	27.0	30.0	27.4	29.5	29.4	29.7	29.9	30.0	34.1	32.3	33.0	30.4	30.0
3	28.8	27.8	29.3	26.2	28.1	28.1	29.1	28.7	28.3	30.9	30.9	32.5	29.7	29.1
4	27.0	26.0	29.3	23.4	28.3	28.1	29.1	28.9	27.3	29.2	29.6	30.6	28.5	28.1
5	29.4	30.1	30.4	26.9	28.5	28.3	30.0	28.0	28.0	32.0	32.0	34.0	31.0	29.9
VORY														29.6
Station	¥15	¥21	¥28	P36	P42		P45	P50	P55	P61			,	• •
Round														
1	30.9	33.1	30.0	30.4	30.6		31.0	31.6	33.7	ns				31.4
2	26.2	ns	26.8	28.5	29.4		29.5	29.3	29.8	29.8				28.8
3	26.7	25.6	26.4	27.9	28.1		28.1	28.1	ns	ns				27.2
4	26.1	28.0	27.1	27.8	. 27.9		28.5	28.0	28.4	27.9				27.8
5	26.7	26.3	26.3	27.8	28.1		28.6	28.5	29.0	28.3				27.8
														28.6
Station Round				M33	M37	M41	M44	M47	M52					
1				31.0	30.9	30.4	31.6	31.9	32.6					
2				28.8	28.7	28.6	29.0	29.3	29.0					(included
3				27.3	27.1	26.8	27.1	26.9	27.1					above)
4				27.5	27.7	27.6	27.7	28.6	28.9					
5				27.9	27.9	27.2	27.8	28.2	28.8	i.				
DADDAHANNOCK														
Station	R12	R21	R28	R37		R41	R44	R50	R55	R60	R65	R69	R76	
Round														
1	30.5	ns	30.7	31.8		32.9	32.7	27.5	27.8	28.0	26.5	28.0	28.0	29.5
2	27.2	28.3	25.1	31.3		30.8	31.5	29.3	29.7	29.2	ns	29.6	30.5	29.3
3	30.4	29.4	26.1	28.1		28.3	29.1	29.1	29.4	29.0	29.2	29.7	30.3	29.0
4	24.8	28.9	26.6	28.0		28.3	29.5	28.0	28.0	28.4	28.1	ns	ns	27.9
5	30.3	29.1	30.0	27.9		28.7	29.0	30.0	30.0	ns	ns	30.0	ns	29.4
												-		29.0
									,		,			29.1

. .

Table 6. Water temperature (°C) recorded at 1993 seine survey stations.

Drainage												<u>.</u>		
JAMES										· •				
Station Round	J12	J22	J29	J36	C1	С3	J46	J51	J56	J62	J68	J74	J78	MEAN
1	5.1	5.5	5.6	6.8	8.0	6.9	8.8	10.4	10.6	12.4	7.3	7.5	8.9	8.0
2	6.8	3.6	7.4	6.5	7.9	7.0	8.5	6.7	8.6	10.0	5.8	5.9	5.7	7.0
3	6.5	7.4	4.7	6.1	6.8	5.4	6.9	6.1	7.3	10.7	5.7	6.2	6.6	6.7
4	5.9	6.7	4.9	8.8	7.6	6.1	7.7	8.7	8.3	11.3	5.5	6.1	6.0	7.2
5	4.5	5.5	6.0	6.9	7.5	6.1	6.9	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	6.2
												•		7.0
YORK														
Station Round	Y15	¥21	Y28	P36	P42		P45	P50	P55	P61				
1	4.8	6.6	5.4	6.4	6.1		4.8	4.5	4.9	ns				5.1
2	6.1	ns	4.8	5.3	5.9		6.1	5.7	4.7	5.8				5.1
3	4.7	4.1	5.2	5.2	5.6		6.4	5.3	ns	ns				5.0
4	5.5	7.1	6.0	5.4	5.8		5.6	5.4	5.3	4.5	<u>\</u>			5.3
5	5.6	5.1	5.4	5.8	5.2		4.9	5.1	4.9	4.2				5.0
														5.1
Station Round				М33	M37	M41	M44	M47	M52					
1				5.2	5.2	4.9	5.0	3.9	4.3					
2				4.2	4.2	5.2	4.4	4.8	4.2					(included
3				4.4	4.9	5.5	4.6	4.4	5.1					above)
4				4.6	4.5	4.7	4.8	4.7	5.2		,	,		
5				3.9	4.5	3.4	4.3	4.2	5.0		Ĺ			
										•				
RAPPAHANNOCK														
Station Round	R12	R21	R28	R37		R41	R44	R50	R55	R60	R65	R69	R76	-
1	5.4	ns	6.6	7.1		6.1	6.5	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	6.3
2	3.9	6.5	6.6	7.4		8.6	8.9	6.7	7.0	5.8	ns	5.4	7.0	6.7
3	9.2	6.7	6.5	8.2		8.1	8.8	7.7	6.6	5.9	7.5	6.3	6.5	7.3
4	6.3	7.3	5.2	7.0		7.6	7.5	7.0	6.8	5.0	5.8	ns	ns	6.6
5	7.0	5.8	6.0	4.9		5.5	6.4	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	5.9
														6.6
														6.2

۰,

.

Ŧ

Table 7. Dissolved oxygen (parts per million) recorded at 1993 seine survey stations.

pH recorded at 1993 seine survey stations. Table 8. Drainage

CD)
ň
ň
č
.д
a.
1

5	4 6 8 8 0 2	ወ ፡ ፡ ፡ ፡ ፡ ፡ ፡ ፡ ፡ ፡ ፡ ፡ ፡ ፡ ፡ · · · ·	7 Jded 7e }	
MEAI			6. (inclu	\$\$\$\$\$
J78	888.16 0.05 18 0.05 18			R 7 87 8.9 18.2 18.2 18.2 18.2 18.2 19.2 19.2 19.2 19.2 19.2 19.2 19.2 19
<b>J</b> 74	7.5 8.3 7.9 Лб			R69 7.6 7.8 ns
J68	7.0 7.7 Л.5 Л.5			R65 ns ns ns ns ns
J62	8000 .00 4.0 4.0 8 8 8	P61 7.6 7.1 7.1	· · · · ·	R60 R7.7 R.3.8 R.3.8 R.3.8 R.3.8 R.4.8 R.6.8 R.6.8 R.6.8 R.6.8 R.6.0 R.60 R.60 R.60 R.60 R.60 R.60 R.6
<b>J</b> 56	8008. 8008 18008 18008	P55 7.5 7.5 6.9	90000 X2 72 72 72 72 72 72 72 72 72 72 72 72 72	и 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 1
J51	7.8 8.8 7.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1	P50 7.6 7.0 7.0 7.0 7.0	М47 5.1 6.8 6.8 6.8 6.8	R 20 7 7 7 7 8 8 8 4 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8
J46	78887 80770	Р 45 7.7.7.5 7.00 7.00 7.00 7.00 7.00 7.00	М 44 6.0 6.0 7.8 8.0 7.8 8.0 7.0 7.0 7.0 7.0 7.0 7.0 7.0 7.0 7.0 7	ж 44 44 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20
ទ	7777 4.02 7.02 7.02		Ж41 6.00 6.00 7.00 7.00 7.00 7.00 7.00 7.00	7 7 8 8 8 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7
<b>C1</b>	0 8 8 8 8 9 7 8 8 8 8 7 8 9 9 7 8 9 9 7 9 9 7 9 7 9 7 9 7 9 7 9 7 9 7 9 7	742 742 647 742 742	M37 5.3 6.9 6.8 6.9	
J36	7.77	77775 P36 777.06	M33 M35 M35 M35 M35 M35 M35 M35 M35 M35	R37 8 8 8 7 7 . 7 . 7 8 . 3 7 . 7 . 7 . 7 . 7 . 7 . 7 . 7 . 7 . 7
J29	7.98 1.88 1.9 1.9	¥28 5.5 7.3 7.2		8 0000 X 2000 X
J22	57775 1947 1047 1047 107 107 107 107 107 107 107 107 107 10	Y21 6.2 7.5 7.4		721 721 720 720 720 720 720 720 720 720 720 720
J12	7.66 .56 .56	Y15 6.2 7.9 7.0 7.8		は、 、 、 、 、 、 、 、 、 、 、 、 、 、
JAMES Station	Жоо ос ос ос ос ос ос ос ос ос ос ос ос о	YORK Station Round 1 3 3 4 5 5	Station Round 1 3 3 5 5	RAPPAHANNOCK Station Round 1 2 3 5 5

Table 9.	Catch of young-of-the-year	striped bass	per	seine	haul	ìn	the	prìmary	nursery	area	in 1	1992	summarized
	by salinity.												

t,

~

	<u> </u>		<u>1</u>	993					<u>All Yea</u>	rs Combin	ed	
Salinity (ppt.)	Total	Mean ln(x+1)	Std. Dev.	Adjust. Mean	C.I. ( <u>+</u> 2 SE)	N	Total	Mean ln(x+1)	Std. Dev.	Adjust. Mean	C.I. ( <u>+</u> 2 SE)	N
ω 5-9.9 10-14.9 15-19.9	2066 244 6	2.23 2.16 0.88	0.984 0.711 0.816	19.33 17.43 3.20	16.12-23.10 12.47-24.07 0.53-8.38	150 24 6	14305 1276 109 2	1.29 0.91 0.42 0.07	1.123 1.063 0.629 0.219	5.98 3.39 1.19 0.17	5.59-6.39 2.72-4.15 0.79-1.64 -0.06-0.43	2172 284 107 19
Overall	2323	2.19	0.975	18.12	15.35-21.30	180	15692	1.13	1.092	4.76	4.45-5.07	2582

			1	993		-			All Yea	rs Combine	ed	
Temp. (deg. C)	Total	Mean ln(x+1)	Std. Dev.	Adjust. Mean	C.I. ( <u>+</u> 2 SE)	N	Total	Mean ln(x+1)	Std. Dev.	Adjust. Mean	C.I. ( <u>+</u> 2 SE)	N
							79	0.81	0.908	2.85	1.40-4.86	30
N20-24.9	70	3.57	0.198	79.00	59.18-105.23	2	1146	0.75	0.869	2.55	2.16-2.97	429
25-29.9	1779	2.23	0.980	18.90	15.58-22.84	132	10334	1.19	1.082	5.24	4.83-5.68	1613
30-34.9	474	2.02	0.930	14.94	10.81-20.37	46	4020	1.42	1.245	7.15	6.04-8.41	438
Overall	2323	2.19	0.975	18.12	15.35-21.30	180	15579	1.22	1.121	5.45	5.11-5.81	2510

Table 10. Catch of young-of-the-year striped bass per seine haul in the primary nursery area in 1993 summarized by water temperature.

т, **н** 

Drainage	Year	Index	Auxiliary	Ratio
James	1989	15.40	3.40	4.53
	1990	12.21	2.94	4.15
	1991	4.50	4.94	0.91
	1992	3.71	3.63	1.02
	1993	23.70	5.85	4.05
York	1989	9.29	3.01	3.09
	1990	6.72	2.61	2.58
	1991	3.37	2.22	1.52
	1992	3.64	0.68	5.35
	1993	13.70	4.01	3.42
Rappahannock	1989	9.87	1.47	6.71
	1990	4.18	1.43	2.92
	1991	3.56	2.12	1.68
	1992	30.92	4.40	7.02
	1993	18.10	3.36	5.39

Table 11. Catch ratios between adjusted mean CPUE at index and auxiliary stations by drainage, 1989-1993.

Table 12a

#### Juvenile Index (Rapphannock River and Virginia)

and

All River

Spring Rappahannock River pound net CPUE (Females)(Hill and Loesch 1993)

Year Rappahannock Virginia Index . -Class Index 31 1 5 б 2 8 0.75 2.541980 n.f. 0.14 0.00 1981 0.88 1.570.18 0.00 0.18 n.f. 19821.982.71n.f. 1.750.04 0.55 0.63 3.77 3.48 4.86 2.270.25 1983 n.f. 0.31 0.88 4.36 1984 2.57n.f. 0.720.69 0.18 0.500.63 0.14 1985 0.80 2.41n.f. 0.19 0.39 0.00 0.13 1.63 0.24 0.71 4.49 4.75 0.00 0.751.93 0.00 0.54 0.93 1986 1.50\_ 1987 34.03 15.750.53 0.171.002.631.19 4.50\_ 1988 14.55 7.64 0.00 1.91 0.60 0.36 3.719.87 11.230.00 0.30 0.14 0.21 1989 7.34 1990 4.14 0.150.070.14 3.78 19913.56 0.05 0.07 0.00 1992 30.927.32\_ 1993 18.1018.12

Above Mile 37

n.f. No funding

Table 12b

#### Juvenile Index (Rapphannock River and Virginia)

and

Fall Rappahannock River pound net CPUE (Females)(Hill and Loesch 1993)

Year	Rappahannock	Virginia						
Class	Index	Index	$1^+$	2*	3*	4*	. 5 <sup>+</sup>	6 <sup>+</sup>
1980	0.75	2.54		1997 - 19	-	0.25	· .	0.00
1981	0.88	1.57		`	0.50		0.00	0.00
1982	1.98	2.71		1.88	-	0.54	0.60	0.05
1983	3.77	3.48	0.21	د	1.94	1.46	0.05	0.61
1984	2.57	4.36		6.15	4.27	1.03	1.00	n.f.
1985	0.80	2.41	23.00	17.61	6.69	2.49	n.f.	0.51
1986	4.49	4.75	0.50	13.83	10.90	n.f.	1.53	0.00
1987	34.03	15.75	2.40	9.42	n.f.	3.66	1.00	-
1988	14.55	7.64	0.97	n.f.	5.86	7.75	. –	
1989	9.87	11.23	n.f.	3.94	8.50 <sup>°</sup>	-	an a	· · ·
1990	4.14	7.34	0.00	8.25				
1991	3.56	3.78	2.00	-	·			· •
1992	30.92	7.32	-			1		
1993	18.10	18.12						

#### n.f. = No funding in 1990

Table 12c

#### Fall Rappahannock River pound net CPUE (male & female) (Hill and Loesch 1993)

Year	Rappahannock	Virginia	- +	<b>a</b> t		4	-+ <sup>`</sup>	·
Class	Index	Index	1	2	3	4	5	6
1980	0.75	2.54			-	0.38		0.00
1981	0.88	1.57		-	0.90		0.06	0.04
1982	1.98	2.71		7.86		0.93	0.71	0.11
1983	3.77	3.48	1.00		5.33	3.82	1.11	0.19
1984	2.57	4.36		15.40	7.14	5.21	1.76	n.f.
1985	0.80	2.41	29.33	25.25	16.43	9.14	n.f.	0.64
1986	4.49	4.75	1.36	30.60	32.71	n.f.	3.46	0.25
1987	34.03	15.75	3.46	29.52	n.f.	9.29	4.20	-
1988	14.55	7.64	1.52	n.f.	21.40	38.80		
1989	9.87	11.23	n.f.	8.57	56.50	-		
1990	4.14	7.34	0.00	35.25				
1991	3.56	3.78	6.50	-				
1992	30,92	7.32	-					
1993	18.10	18.12						

Table  $12d_1$ 

#### Ricker Spawner-Recruit Function

#### Juvenile Index (Rappahannock River and Virginia)

vs

#### Spring Rapphannock River pound net CPUE for 4<sup>+</sup>-6<sup>+</sup> Females (Above River Mile 37)

Spawning	Spawning	Rappahannock	Virginia
Year	Stock	Recruitr	nent
1987	2.62	34.04	15.75
1988	0.43	14.55	7.64
1989	2.40	9.87	11.23
1990	0.59	4.14	7.34
1991	3.33	3.56	3.78
1992	1.46	30.92	7.32
1993	7.15	18.10	18.12

Table  $12d_2$ 

#### Ricker Spawner-Recruit Function

#### Juvenile Index (Rappahannock River and Virginia) vs

Spring Rapphannock River pound net CPUE for 4<sup>+</sup>-=or>8<sup>+</sup> Females (Above River Mile 37)

Spawning	Spawning	Rappahannock	Virginia
Year	Stock	Recruitr	nent
1987	3.01	34.04	15.75
1988	0.43	14.55	7.64
1989	3.22	9.87	11.23
1990	2.65	4.14	7.34
1991	4.84	3.56	3.78
1992	2.16	30.92	7.32
1993	11.93	18.10	18.12

ო	
-	
Table	

## Ricker

# Spawner-Recruit Relationships

# Striped Bass

Spawner	Recruit		ſr.	<b>C</b> 4		С <mark>н</mark>
Rapp Ri 4-6 <sup>+</sup>	Va Inde:	×	4.52	0.8		0.48
Rapp Ri 4-8 <sup>+</sup>	Va Inde	×	3.21	0.1		0.39
·						
Rapp Ri 4-6 <sup>+</sup>	Rapp In	dex	3.32	11.0	~	0.40
Rapp Ri 4-8 <sup>+</sup>	Rapp In	dex	2.69	0.1	10	0.35
			·			
Rapp Ri 4-6 <sup>+</sup>	Wgtd Va Indx	4.90	·	0.78	0.49	
Rapp Ri 4-8 <sup>+</sup>	Wgtd Va Indx	4.05		0.10	0.45	

۷

Table 14

Regression

of

Juvenile Indices vs Subsequent year Pound Net CPUE

	đf	۲IJ.	Ц С	ዒ	G <b>H</b>	
Rappahannock River Index <u>vs</u> I <sup>†</sup>	ъ	25.58	0.0376	0.01	0.86	•
Rappahannock River Index <u>vs</u> II <sup>+</sup>	·	0.62		0.47	0.13	
Rappahannock River Index <u>vs</u> III <sup>+</sup>		0.49		0.52	0.11	
Virginia State-wide Index <u>vs</u> I <sup>+</sup>	ហ	28.73	0.0259	0.01	0.88	
Virginia State-wide Index <u>vs</u> II <sup>+</sup>		2.37		0.20	. 0.37	
Virginia State-wide Index <u>vs</u> III <sup>+</sup>		2.67		0.18	0.40	
Virginia weighted Index <u>vs</u> I <sup>+</sup>	ល	19.11	0.0298	0.01	0.83	
Virginia weighted Index <u>vs</u> II <sup>+</sup>		2.18		0.21	0.35	
Virginia weighted Index <u>vs</u> III <sup>+</sup>		0.36		0.58	0,08	

6





2 A



e se a méridia

Figure 2. Scaled average catch per seine haul of young-of-the-year striped bass in the primary nursery area (index stations) by year. Vertical bars are 95% confidence intervals as estimated by  $\pm 2$  standard errors of the mean.





Figure 4. Average catch per seine haul of young-of-the-year striped bass by station in the James drainage in 1993.



Figure 5. Average catch per seine haul of young-of-the-year striped bass by station in the Mattaponi and York rivers in 1993.



80 60 Round 🖾 1ST **Mean CPUE**  $\Box$ 2ND 40 **3RD 4**TH **5TH** 20 CHIHIHIHIHIHI BOHOHOHOHOHO CHICHTER I H 0 R21 R28 R12 **R37** R44 **R50 R76 R41** R55 **R60** R65 **R69 Rappahannock River** 

Figure 7. Average catch per seine haul of young-of-the-year striped bass by station in the Rappahannock River in 1993.

#### **1993 SEINE SURVEY**

#### **Ricker Stock-Recruitment Curve**







#### **Ricker Stock-Recruitment Curve**

¢

Sec. 1. Oak ist

Chesapeake Bay Striped Bass 1987-1993 VA IV - VIII



#### Residual Analysis Ricker Stock-Recruitment Curve Chesapeake Bay Striped Bass

1987-1993 VA

IV - VIII





An Analysis of Finfish Species Taken in The Juvenile Striped Bass Survey

Donald M. Seaver and Herbert M. Austin School of Marine Science/Virginia Institute of Marine Science College of William and Mary Gloucester Point, VA

#### Executive Summary

The Virginia Institute of Marine Science (VIMS) has conducted a juvenile striped bass beach seine survey since 1967. except the period 1974-1979 when funding was suspended. During the survey one-hundred-twenty-three species have been captured in addition to striped bass. Several of these species are ecologically and/or economically important to the Chesapeake Bay system.

Juvenile indices for the ten most abundant species and several others of economic/ecological importance were calculated. Several distinct temporal trends were identified:

1) decreasing abundance throughout the survey period

- a period of increasing and highly variable abundance during the early to mid-1980's and
- 3) a trend of increasing abundance during the latter half of the 1980's.

Several species, including the mummichog, banded killifish, Atlantic silverside and striped killifish exhibited a trend of decreasing abundance. Mummichogs and banded killifish were relatively abundant during the first two years of the survey (1967-1968) and then show

a low but variable abundance. Striped killifish and Atlantic silversides have shown the most dramatic decreases. Striped killifish were quite abundant during the first two years (67-68) then dropped precipitously in 1969, a pattern that has continued through the present. In fact all index values since 1969 have been 1/2 to 1/10 as large as that in 1968. Atlantic silversides have not declined as sharply as striped killifish, but the overall abundance has markedly decreased since 1970. This is particularly disturbing in light of the silversides importance as forage for piscivirous species such as bluefish, grey trout and striped bass.

Species conforming to the second trend – highly variable increases in the early to mid-1980's - were inland silversides, rough silversides, menhaden, bluefish and bay anchovy. Inland silversides were relatively abundant during the early-1980's, declined slightly in the mid-80's, increased during the late-80's and has consistently declined since 1989. Rough silversides also showed a marked increase in the mid-1980's and have declined since rapidly since 1985. Menhaden abundances were similar to rough silversides, with relatively high abundance during the early to mid-1980's and then dropping substantially in the late-80's and early-1990's. Bluefish abundances increased steadily during the early-80's and then began a cycle of a very high year followed by an average year. This trend continued until 1988 when for the next three years (89-91) the index hovered around the average, nearly bottomed out in 1992 and rebounded in 1993. Bay anchovy has shown a similar pattern to bluefish with periods of high abundance followed by periods of low abundance. Interestingly, bay anchovy declined sharply during the early-80's just as bluefish started increasing, then increased when bluefish abundances were boom or bust (84–88) before dropping dramatically in 1988. Abundances have been low during the early-90's, although the average has crept slowly upward.

Three species have shown a definitive upward trend since the mid-1980's; hogchokers, threadfin shad and gizzard shad. Threadfin shad were rarely caught prior to 1987, but have been an important part of the upriver (freshwater) community in recent years. This increase in abundance is likely due to the addition of our auxiliary sites in 1989, resulting in more freshwater habitats being sampled. Gizzard shad abundances also increased in the

mid 1980's and in fact since 1987 all index values have exceeded the historical average. Hogchokers have shown the most persistent increase in abundance during the last nine years and index values have been three to four times higher than those for the first twelve years of the survey.

•

List of Figures

Figure 1	Average catch per seine haul of young-of-the-year mummichog in the three Virginia tributaries of the Chesapeake Bay.	54
Figure 2	Average catch per seine haul of young-of-the-year banded killi in the three Virginia tributaries of the Chesapeake Bay.	fish 55
Figure 3	Average catch per seine haul of young-of-the-year Atlantic silve in the three Virginia tributaries of the Chesapeake Bay.	erside 56
Figure 4	Average catch per seine haul of young-of-the-year striped killif in the three Virginia tributaries of the Chesapeake Bay.	ish 57
Figure 5	Average catch per seine haul of young-of-the-year inland silver in the three Virginia tributaries of the Chesapeake Bay.	side 58
Figure 6	Average catch per seine haul of young-of-the-year rough silvers in the three Virginia tributaries of the Chesapeake Bay.	side 59
Figure 7	Average catch per seine haul of young-of-the-year menhaden in the three Virginia tributaries of the Chesapeake Bay.	60 .
Figure 8	Average catch per seine haul of young-of-the-year bluefish in the three Virginia tributaries of the Chesapeake Bay.	61
Figure 9	Average catch per seine haul of young-of-the-year bay anchovy in the three Virginia tributaries of the Chesapeake Bay.	62
Figure 10	Average catch per seine haul of young-of-the-year hogchoker in the three Virginia tributaries of the Chesapeake Bay.	63
Figure 11	Average catch per seine haul of young-of-the-year threadfin shad in the three Virginia tributaries of the Chesapeake Bay.	64
Figure 12	Average catch per seine haul of young-of-the-year gizzard shad in the three Virginia tributaries of the Chesapeake Bay.	65

Mummichog



YEAR

MEAN CATCH PER HAUL

**Banded Killifish** 



YEAR

ហ ហ MEAN CATCH PER HAUL

**Atlantic Silversides** 



YEAR

5 С

Striped Killifish



57

**Inland Silversides** 



#### **Rough Silversides**



#### Menhaden



MEAN CATCH PER HAUL

ЯАЗҮ

Bluefish



YEAR

**Bay Anchovy** 



Hogchoker



YEAR

63

MEAN CATCH PER HAUL

## Juvenile Seine Indices Threadfin Shad



YEAR

**Gizzard Shad** 



YEAR

<u>б</u>