

W&M ScholarWorks

VIMS Articles

Virginia Institute of Marine Science

2016

Unstructured-grid model for the North Sea and Baltic Sea: Validation against observations

Yinglong J. Zhang Virginia Institute of Marine Science

EV Stanev

S Grashorn

Follow this and additional works at: https://scholarworks.wm.edu/vimsarticles

Part of the Aquaculture and Fisheries Commons

Recommended Citation

Zhang, Yinglong J.; Stanev, EV; and Grashorn, S, "Unstructured-grid model for the North Sea and Baltic Sea: Validation against observations" (2016). *VIMS Articles*. 819. https://scholarworks.wm.edu/vimsarticles/819

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Virginia Institute of Marine Science at W&M ScholarWorks. It has been accepted for inclusion in VIMS Articles by an authorized administrator of W&M ScholarWorks. For more information, please contact scholarworks@wm.edu.

1	Unstructured-grid model for the North Sea and Baltic Sea: validation against observations
2	
3	Yinglong J. Zhang ^{a1} , E.V. Stanev ^b , and S. Grashorn ^b
4	
5	a.Virginia Institute of Marine Science,
6	College of William & Mary,
7	Center for Coastal Resource Management,
8	1375 Greate Road,
9	Gloucester Point, VA 23062,
10	USA
11	b. Institute of Coastal Research,
12	Helmholtz-Zentrum Geesthacht
13	Max-Planck-Str. 1
14	21502 Geesthacht
15	Germany
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	

¹ Corresponding author; e-mail: yjzhang@vims.edu; phone: (804) 684-7466; fax: (804) 684-7179.

25 Abstract

26 A new unstructured-grid model and its application to the North Sea and Baltic Sea are described. 27 The research focus is on the dynamics in the two basins and in the multiple straits connecting 28 them and more specifically on how the model replicates the temporal and spatial variability of 29 physical processes. The comparison against observed data indicates the realism in the 30 simulations of the exchange flows. The simulations demonstrated that in contrast to the tidal 31 variability which decreases in the strait, the role of the barotropic forcing due to weather systems 32 increases. In this zone reversal of transport is well manifested by the increased difference 33 between the surface and bottom salinity values. Small sub-basins like Arkona and Bornholm play 34 the role of reservoirs for denser water which under specific conditions cascades on its way to the 35 Gotland Deep. Unlike the intermediate and deep water salinity in the Baltic Sea, which is strongly affected by fluxes in the straits, the simulated winter-refill and evolution of cold 36 37 intermediate water are rather driven by surface cooling and processes in the upper mixed layer. 38

Key words: SCHISM; ocean circulation; strait processes; temperature; salinity/density; North Sea; Baltic Sea

41

42 1. Introduction

43 The North Sea and Baltic Sea (Fig.1) represent coupled tidal and non-tidal basins of 44 approximately equal size connected through a system of straits. This straits system (hereafter the 45 North Sea - Baltic Sea Transition Zone (NBTZ)) includes the Kattegat, Danish straits and the 46 western part of the Baltic Sea. The surplus of fresh water in the Baltic Sea and the limited water 47 exchange with the North Sea support a two-layer exchange flow in the NBTZ and explains the 48 low salinity (brackish water) of the Baltic Sea. The deep part of the Baltic Sea known as the 49 Baltic proper is separated from the straits area by a number of sills: the Darss Sill (depth of 50 18m), the Drogden Sill (depth of 8m) and the Stolpe Channel (depth of 63-64 m) further east. In 51 these shallow areas the inflowing North Sea water is subjected to substantial mixing with the 52 highly stratified Baltic Sea water.

53 The North Sea - Baltic Sea system represents a challenge for the numerical modelling because of 54 several reasons. The first one is that it consists of a tidal and non-tidal basin with different 55 dominating balances in each individual basin. The tidal one (the North Sea) is very shallow, 56 except for the Norwegian trench. The relatively high salinity values in the North Sea are typical 57 for the ocean; the vertical mixing which is mostly due to tides dominates the hydrophysical 58 fields. The second basin (the Baltic Sea) can be considered as a huge estuary with extremely 59 strong vertical stratification, which inhibits the vertical mixing. The diffusion coefficients 60 approach to their molecular values, resulting in the extremely weak mixing between the surface 61 and deep layers. This specific vertical stratification is maintained by two major factors: (1) the 62 river runoff and precipitation-evaporation balance at sea surface, and (2) periodic intrusions of 63 saltier North Sea water triggered by extreme atmospheric conditions with an approximately 64 decadal periodicity.

65 The second challenge in the modelling of Baltic Sea and the area of Skagerrak and Norwegian 66 Trench stems from the fact that the processes there are strongly dependent upon the exchanges in 67 the NBTZ. Compared to other similar transition zones (e. g. Mediterranean – Atlantic Ocean or 68 Black Sea – Mediterranean; Sannino et al., 2009, Stanev and Lu, 2013), the NBTZ is more 69 complex because of the presence of multiple straits. Under different weather or circulation 70 conditions their individual contribution to the exchange between the basins varies (Stanev et al., 71 2015). Thus the partitioning of flows and recirculation in the belts (which includes 3 major 72 waterways: Great Belt, Little Belt, and Oresund) are central to the problem of the ventilation of 73 deep Baltic basins by the North Sea water (see also, Meier, 2005; 2007). Extremely high 74 resolution is needed there in order to resolve the complex coastal line as well as the bottom 75 topography found in the three straits (note that the Little Belt is only 1 km wide). Without an 76 adequate resolution the hydrodynamics of the inflow-outflow system cannot be accurately 77 simulated.

A number of numerical models based on primitive equations and finite-difference discretization
have been used to simulate the circulation in the coupled North Sea – Baltic Sea; e.g., models of
Funkquist and Kleine (2007), She at al. (2007), Fu et al. (2011; 2012), Zhuang et al. (2011).
More detailed references are given in Meier and Kauker (2003), Lehmann et al. (2004), Schmidt

82 et al. (2008), Leppäranta and Myberg (2009). However the horizontal resolution in these models

did not allow sufficient resolution in the three straits. Burchard et al. (2005; 2009) used a

84 horizontal resolution of 0.5 nm to study the dominant dynamics in the Western Baltic Sea and 85 validated the model performance with a focus on the mixing in the areas of Drogden Sill, Darss 86 Sill and the Bornholm Channel. Although this resolution was not sufficient for the Sound and 87 inadequate for the Little Belt, the idealized simulations of the authors allowed determination of 88 the pathways of salt transport during medium-intensity inflow events (see also Meier, 2007), 89 demonstrating a reasonable consistency with the observations. However in these publications 90 some problems with the open boundary conditions at the Kattegat, and also with the initialization 91 strategy have not been resolved; e.g., forced with the climatological condition, the model did not 92 fully recover this condition in an annual simulation (Meier, 2007).

93 Motivated by the above challenges we (1) address the resolution problem by enabling sufficient 94 resolution in the straits, and (2) avoid inconsistencies in some earlier studies. Some of these 95 inconsistencies are associated with either the forcing being prescribed in the NBTZ, or with the 96 one-way or two-way nesting techniques, which are not seamless. Therefore we describe in the 97 present paper an application of an unstructured-grid model for the coupled basins starting from 98 the English Channel in the South up to the Shetland Islands to the North. This configuration 99 enables the seamless propagation of the large-scale forcing into the NBTZ (see Danilov, 2013 for 100 a review of recent developments and practices on using unstructured meshes in ocean modelling 101 and for more references). Unlike other applications of unstructured models for this region (e.g. 102 Kliem et al. 2006), we use a 3D baroclinic set up. This presents a third challenge because it has 103 never been shown before how unstructured-grid models can adequately simulate the complex 104 thermohaline structure of two basins. If the adequacy is demonstrated, the model could be used 105 also for other similar ocean areas.

106 It's our hope that our research will shed new light on the pros and cons of the current model vs 107 other more traditional models (including both structured- and unstructured-grid models). The 108 major differences include: implicit time step (which avoids splitting errors and enables efficiency 109 and robustness), and treatment of momentum advection with Eulerian-Lagrangian Method 110 (ELM, which further boosts efficiency and robustness) (although central-difference scheme has 111 also been implemented). While the use of Galerkin Finite Element Method (GFEM) is not new, 112 the combination of it with the previous two features seems to have achieved a good balance in 113 terms of numerical diffusion and dispersion, as the numerical diffusion inherent in an implicit 114 method and ELM is balanced out by the numerical dispersion inherent in GFEM. This is very

115 different from other earlier finite-volume models such as ELCIRC (Baptista et al. 2005) where 116 numerical diffusion is dominant (another major advantage in this regard is SCHISM's ability to 117 handle very skew elements and be completely free of orthogonality constraint). As a result, the 118 model can be effectively used to simulate cross-scale processes with both accuracy and 119 efficiency. However, as an unstructured-grid model, it's not immune to some common issues 120 such as sensitivity to grid generation. The latter does not have an easy answer as the quality of 121 model results is clearly tied to the grid used, and while there are some generic guidelines about 122 mesh quality, ultimately the issue is application dependent. We are in the process of carefully 123 testing and documenting this issue for a variety of barotropic and baroclinic applications 124 including baroclinic instability (eddies and meanders), and comparing our results with those 125 from structured-grid models (Zhang et al. submitted).

126 The research questions and novelties can be briefly summarized as follows.

- Describe a new model and its application to a very specific region, which is
 dominated by tides and shelf processes, baroclinic processes driven by fresh water
 fluxes in the Baltic Sea and very specific (shallow) transition zone. Addressing all
 these needs good quality of simulation of both barotropic and baroclinic processes.
- 1312. Quantify how well the model replicates the temporal and spatial variability of132physical processes in the studied area.
- 1333. Make available a reproducible reference set-up for this model to be used in further134studies.

135 While deeper analysis of processes will be addressed elsewhere, this paper is focused on 136 validating the new model. In section 2 we describe the model used. Section 3 describes the 137 dynamics of sea level and the inter-comparisons with observations. Section 4 addresses the 138 simulations of thermohaline fields, and Section 5 describes the quality of simulations of water 139 mass structure. Short conclusions are formulated at the end. Because the processes in the two 140 basins differ greatly, the validation presented here is not fully symmetric for the two basins; the 141 baroclinic part of the Baltic Sea is presented in more detail along with the issue of water mass 142 structure.

144 2. **The model**

145 **2.1 Model description**

146 SCHISM (Semi-implicit Cross-scale Hydroscience Integrated System Model; Zhang et al.

- submitted) is a derivative product of the original SELFE model (Zhang and Baptista 2008), with
- 148 many improvements implemented by the first author at College of William & Mary and
- 149 collaborators. It solves Reynolds-averaged Navier-Stokes (RANS) equation with transport of
- 150 heat, salt and tracers in the hydrostatic form with Boussinesq approximation, using unstructured
- 151 grids. Due to its highly flexible framework the model has found a wide range of cross-scale
- applications worldwide, from creeks to deep oceans: general circulation (Zhang et al. 2015),
- 153 storm surges (Bertin et al. 2014), tsunami hazards (Zhang et al. 2011), water quality (Wang et al.
- 154 2013), oil spill (Azevedo et al. 2014), sediment transport (Pinto et al. 2012), and
- biogeochemistry (Rodrigues et al. 2009). The model is being distributed as an open-source
- 156 community-supported model under an Apache license (http://www.schism.wiki).
- 157 New development since the last writing (Zhang et al. 2015) includes: addition of mixed triangle-
- 158 quadrangles grid, and 1D/2D/3D options all wrapped in a single model grid. These new additions
- 159 greatly extend the capability of SCHISM and have been reported in Zhang et al. (submitted).
- 160 Currently no ice model is available within the SCHISM system, and this may explain some
- 161 errors near the Bay of Bothnia.

162 **2.2 Description of the numerics**

163 SCHISM solves the hydrostatic RANS and transport equations using a hybrid Finite Element and

164 Finite Volume approach grounded on unstructured grids in the horizontal dimension. The

- 165 efficiency and robustness of SCHISM are mostly attributed to the implicit treatment of all terms
- 166 that place stringent stability constraints (e.g. CFL) and the use of Eulerian-Lagrangian method
- 167 for the momentum advection. The vertical grid has recently been extended from the original SZ
- 168 (i.e. partially terrain-following *S* and partial *Z*-coordinates) to a highly flexible LSC² (Localized
- 169 Sigma Coordinates with Shaved Cell) grid. Zhang et al. (2015) demonstrated the superior
- 170 performance of LSC^2 in cross-scale applications. LSC^2 is also applied in the current study and
- 171 we'll demonstrate its capability to maintain sharp stratification in the Baltic Sea (cf. Fig. A1).

173 **2.3 The model grid**

- 174 Fig. 2a shows the grid size distribution of the unstructured grid covering North Sea & Baltic Sea.
- 175 Altogether there are ~300K nodes and ~600K triangles, with some refinement along the German
- 176 Bight and Danish straits, where a nominal resolution of 200m is used (Fig. 2b), with the
- 177 minimum grid size of 60m found in the narrow Little Belt. Some comparison studies were
- 178 conducted using two types of vertical grids supported by SCHISM: (1) 31 S levels with
- 179 stretching constants of $\theta_b=1$, $\theta_f=4$ (Zhang and Baptista 2008); (2) an LSC² grid with a maximum
- 180 of 59 levels and an average of 29 levels in terms of computational cost (Zhang et al. 2015). The
- 181 adoption of LSC^2 grid clearly has led to superior results especially in terms of stratification in the
- 182 Baltic Sea (Fig. A1 in Appendix 1), and so this is the vertical grid used below. More examples of
- 183 LSC^2 results can be found in Zhang et al. (2015).
- 184

185 **2.4. The model forcing**

- 186 On the open North Sea boundaries (Scottish Shelf and English Channel) time series of elevation,
- 187 horizontal velocity, salinity and temperature are interpolated from MyOcean product
- 188 (<u>http://www.myocean.eu;</u> last accessed in Jan 2015). The sea-surface boundary conditions use
- 189 the output from the regional model COSMO-EU (wind, atmospheric pressure, air temperature
- and specific humidity) operated by the German Weather Service with a horizontal resolution of 7
- 191 km. Heat fluxes (including solar radiation and downward long wave (infrared) radiation) used
- 192 come from NOAA's CFSR product (http://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/data-access/model-data/model-
- 193 <u>datasets/climate-forecast-system-version2-cfsv2</u>; last accessed in Jan 2015). Monthly flow data
- 194 at 33 rivers in the region are provided by the German Federal Maritime and Hydrographic
- 195 Agency (Bundesamt für Seeschifffahrt und Hydrographie, BSH). Bathymetry data have been
- 196 compiled from data provided by BSH, Danish Meteorological Institute and Baltic Sea
- 197 Hydrographic Commission, 2013, Baltic Sea Bathymetry Database version 0.9.3
- 198 (http://data.bshc.pro/, accessed on June 5, 2015). No bathymetry smoothing is done in our
- 199 computational grid.
- 200

201 **2.5 Initialization and parameters**

202 The model is initialized with zero elevation and velocity, and the 3D profiles of temperature and

- salinity from the climatological data of Janssen et al. (1999). The heat exchange model inside
- 204 SCHISM is Zeng's (1998) bulk aerodynamic model. We use a constant albedo of 0.06 and a

205 Jerlov type III water (Paulson and Simpson 1977) for light attenuation. As this paper concerns

- 206 large-scale process, we use a constant bottom roughness value of 0.5mm without any fine tuning.
- 207 The turbulence model used in this paper is *k-kl* (Umlauf and Burchard 2003), with a background
- 208 diffusivity of 10^{-6} m²/s.
- 209 A time step of 120s is used in the simulation which translates to a maximum CFL number of

210 16.7 (found in the NBTZ and some parts of the Norwegian North Sea coast). The transport of

salinity and heat is done using a 2^{nd} -order TVD method for depths deeper than 8m, and a more

212 efficient upwind method for shallower depths (i.e., the limiter functions are zeroed out for

shallower depths); the finer resolution used in shallow-depth areas effectively mitigates the

- 214 numerical diffusion in upwind method. The simulation period presented in this paper is from
- 215 July 1, 2011 to Nov. 13, 2012, or 500 days in total.
- 216

217 **2.6 Overall model computational performance**

218 The simulations were conducted on Sciclone cluster of College of William & Mary

219 (http://www.hpc.wm.edu/SciClone/Home; last accessed in Jan 2015), NSF's Stampede, as well

as NASA's Pleiades. On 144CPUs of Sciclone, it runs ~180 times faster than real time. About

221 30% faster performance was achieved on the other two clusters.

222

3. Sea level

There are some important differences and similarities between the dynamics of sea level in the North Sea and Baltic Sea. In the North Sea the range of tidal oscillations is from more than 9 m in the English Channel to ~20 cm in the Kattegat. The narrow Danish straits damp the tidal oscillations and they propagate with measurable amplitudes no further than the Belts. The two basins, the North Sea and the Baltic Sea are in most of their areas shallow basins and therefore the atmospheric forcing creates a strong barotropic response. In the NBTZ the direction of the barotropic pressure gradient alternates (from/to the Baltic Sea), which is accompanied by a flow reversal in the straits. In contrast to the deep parts of the Atlantic Ocean and the other two semi-

enclosed European seas (the Black and Mediterranean Seas) the winter anomalies of sea level are

positive in the shelf seas (Baltic Sea and northwest of European shelf) and negative in the deep

basins (see Fig. 5.3 of Stanev and Lu 2013). This reflects the specific appearances of the fresh

water budget, surface momentum and heat fluxes.

236 Because the variation of sea level in the North and Baltic seas are of utmost importance for the 237 complex physical processes there and because their adequate simulations could guarantee the 238 realism of interpretation of simulations, extensive validation of numerical simulations against 239 tide gauge data has been performed. In the following we will focus on some specificity of sea-240 level dynamics in the both basins, as well as in the NBTZ. The latter zone is of particular 241 importance not only because tidal amplitude reduces strongly (this is known for a long time) but 242 also because tidal pumping can play the role of a possible driver affecting the straits exchange 243 (Feistel et al. 2003b). Bendtsen et al. (2009) found that the tidal mixing was primarily 244 concentrated to shallow areas around Kattegat and in the Great Belt. However these authors 245 focused only on the NBTZ prescribing the tidal conditions at its boundaries. Furthermore, the 246 horizontal resolution of their model was $2' \times 2'$ minute and 30 vertical sigma layers, which is 247 much coarser, compared to the resolution in the present study, thus justifying the revisit of this 248 modelling issue using a coupled North Sea-Baltic Sea model with a very fine resolution in the 249 straits.

250

3.1 The North Sea

252 The numerical simulations reveal the well-known anti-clockwise rotation associated with the 253 Kelvin waves. The simulated tidal range reaches ~9m in the English Channel. One representative 254 illustration of the spring-neap tide modulation is shown in Fig. 3a for the station of Jersey 255 (Fig.1). At other stations, such as Helgoland this modulation is much less pronounced (Fig. 3b). 256 The Taylor diagram (Fig. 4) demonstrates in a systematic way the model skill. Most of the North 257 Sea stations cluster in a small vicinity close to the purple star representing the "perfect model-258 performance". While the correlation coefficient of all analyzed stations is 0.89 the ones in the 259 North Sea (black dots in the Taylor diagram) show much better correlation. The model skill 260 measured as the ratio between RMSE and the standard deviation of observation is about 0.1 (the

same number for all analyzed stations is 0.19). The deviations from this good performance atsome stations may be associated with some dubious outliers in the observations.

263

3. 2 The Baltic Sea

265 The variability of Baltic Sea level is represented in Fig. 3 at the stations of Tein, Kronstadt and 266 Kemi. The first station is in the Bornholm Basin, the second is the eastern-most part of the Gulf 267 of Finland and the third on the Finish coast in the Bothnian Bay (see Fig. 1 for their positions). In 268 all three stations the temporal variability in the simulations agrees well with that in the 269 observations; the response to the atmospheric forcing very clearly demonstrates that the latter 270 governs the ocean variability. However the correlation between the individual stations is not 271 particularly strong in either model or observations, indicating large differences in the regional 272 responses. At the three stations the model slightly overestimates the amplitudes associated with 273 the weather systems, in particular during periods of extreme winds, e. g. at day 75 in Fig. 3d. At 274 Kronstadt the high-frequency basin modes are underestimated while at Tejn the amplitudes of 275 basin modes are comparable with the observed values. The variability in the Bothnian Bay which 276 is in the northernmost part of the Baltic Sea also demonstrates large wind driven response (Fig. 277 3e).

278 The overall skill of the model to predict the variability of sea level in the Baltic Sea is quantified 279 by the blue circles in Fig. 4. In most of the stations the correlation coefficient is about 0.95; the 280 scaled difference between model and observations is about 0.20. The model slightly over-281 predicts the range of oscillations. The performance is not satisfactory at Tallinn where the 282 correlation with observation is ~0.72. Maximum over-predictions of the range of oscillations 283 appear at Soru, St Petersburg and Tallinn, which are coastal locations in the Gulf of Riga and 284 Gulf of Finland, possibly due to local bathymetric errors and or bottom friction. Note that the 285 bathymetry used may not have fine enough resolution in many parts of Baltic. More detail about 286 the quality of model performance is given in Appendix 2 where time series are shown 287 exemplarily for 44 tide gauge stations around the Baltic Sea coast.

288

289 **3.3 The NBTZ**

- 290 The variability in the Danish straits is represented below with two stations: Kattegat
- 291 (Frederikshavn, which is close to the North Sea boundary of the NBTZ) and Drogden which is at
- the southern entrance of the Sound (see Fig. 1 for their positions). Although very small
- 293 (amplitudes less than 20 cm) the tidal oscillations in Frederikshavn are clearly pronounced (Fig.
- 294 3f). However unlike most stations in the open part of North Sea (Fig. 3a&b) their modulation
- does not reveal a clear spring-neap cycle, but is rather dependent on the meteorological
- 296 conditions (weekly to 10-days oscillations are very clearly seen in Fig. 3f).
- 297 The tidal signal is substantially reduced on the other side of the Baltic Sea straits (Drogden). As
- seen in Fig. 3g, the tidal amplitudes there reach ~10 cm, but change strongly over time. The
- model replicates well all substantial oscillations seen in the observations; the range of oscillation
- reaches ~1m at times. The difference between Fig. 3f and Fig. 3g also indicates very strong
- 301 amplitudes and is instructive for the barotropic driving force in the straits, mostly due to the
- 302 atmospheric forcing. The temporal evolution of sea level in Fig. 3c and Fig. 3g looks
- 303 qualitatively more similar than between Fig. 3f and Fig. 3g. The most pronounced difference
- between Fig. 3c&g is the further reduction of the tidal range as one approach the Baltic proper.
- At most of the stations in the NBTZ presented in Fig. 4 (red circles) the correlation coefficients are about 0.9, but there are some stations with the correlation < 0.9. At some stations the model
- 307 over-predicts the variability (e. g. the normalized RMSE is relatively high at Fredericia).
- The contrast between the points in the three different areas in Fig. 4 demonstrates that the straits are the most difficult part to simulate correctly even with the high resolution used here.
- 310 However, the temporal evolution of sea level presented in Appendix 2 demonstrates that the
- 311 overall performance of the model is good also in the NBTZ. Note that some 'errors' are due to
- 312 some suspicious outliers in the observations, which remained in the validation data set despite
- 313 our effort to eliminate obvious outliers; Fig. A2 shows some of these suspicious data at some
- 314 stations. We did not filter out those data because their quality is dubious.
- 315

316 **4.Thermohaline fields.**

4.1 Surface-to-bottom salinity difference in the shallow channels of the NBTZ

318 The temporal change of surface-to-bottom salinity difference is very instructive for the regime of

- 319 vertical mixing and re-stratification. The numerically simulated temporal variability of salinity in
- 320 the Fehmarn Belt matches relatively well the similar variability seen in the observations (Fig. 5).
- 321 The skill of the model is quantified by the RMS errors normalized by the standard deviations of
- 322 observation: 0.18 at the surface and 0.18 at the bottom layer (the non-normalized RMS errors are
- 323 2.03 and 1.68; the standard deviations of observation are 11.27 and 9.34, respectively). The
- normalized model biases are 0.16 (surface) and 0.16 (bottom). The correlation coefficients are
- 0.80 (surface) and 0.76 (bottom), and the Wilmot scores (Wilmot 1981) are: 0.82 (surface) and
 0.72 (bottom).
- 327 The maximum salinity up to 22 occurs in winter when the vertical stratification is weak. The 328 stratification disappears during 1-2 months, with the sea-surface salinity (SSS) reaching ~20 329 (compare also with Feistel et al., 2003a; Feistel et al., 2006). Both the model and the 330 observations clearly showed several small outflow events revealed by the decrease of salinities 331 with weakly time scales (e. g. between days 187 and 194 in Fig. 5). Although the model does not 332 exactly replicate these events, their phase and duration agree well with the observations. The 333 outflow-dominated conditions are well illustrated by the increased difference between the 334 surface and bottom salinity values during days 120-150. This difference decreased dramatically 335 by the end of fall (day 150), which is interpreted as a result of the vertical mixing due to cooling 336 from the atmosphere, which changes the properties of waters entering the straits from 337 neighboring basin. During such conditions the outflow signatures of salinity are a combination of 338 variability with weekly periods, which is triggered by meteorological forcing and small short-339 periodic oscillations over-imposed.
- 340

4.2 Temporal evolution of salinity and temperature in the Arkona Basin

The penetration of mixed North Sea water into the Baltic Sea follows a pathway along channels, sills and small deeper basins such as the Arkona and Bornholm Basin (Jakobsen 1995). The latter two basins play the role of reservoirs for denser water which under specific conditions propagates to the next (deeper) basin on their way to the Gotland Deep. Therefore it is worthwhile to analyzing the simulation results in these basins.

347 With the increasing distance from the straits, the inflow increasingly resembles the gravity flows

348 (Fig. 6b, d). During most of the time the dominant two-layer salinity stratification is very clear

both in the observations and simulations: about 5-10 m thick saltier bottom layer with salinities

of ~14-18 is overlain by a thicker surface layer with salinity less than 8. Although the

351 simulations appear slightly more diffuse than the observation and the bottom salinity is slightly

352 overestimated, the timing of the individual bottom salinity maxima matches well, suggesting that

the individual inflow events are captured by the model.

We remark that Fig. 6 displays more than four months of data during the summer and fall of

355 2012. At the beginning of this period (summer) the cold intermediate layer (CIL) is very

356 pronounced with an axis at ~30-35 m reaching at some times the bottom at the analyzed location

357 (Fig. 6 a, b). The model produces slightly weaker vertical stratification, and the depth of the

thermocline is ~5m too shallow; this indicates an overall reasonable model performance. The

359 cold water content is reduced several times due to strong warming from above. After the day 435

this layer collapsed, as seen in the observations and numerical simulations, as a result of the
inflow of warm and high-salinity surface water from the straits. After day 460 (i.e., fall) the

depth of surface cooling increases, and the upper layer is cooled more than the bottom. However
the strong salinity stratification of deeper layer (Fig. 6 c, d) does not allow a complete mixing of
the entire water column.

The correlation coefficients between simulated and observed temperature and salinity are 0.87 and 0.77, respectively. Although the RMSE and bias for the bottom salinity seem relatively large (1.35 and 0.97 PSU, respectively), it is small compared to the standard deviation of data (6.8 PSU), demonstrating a good skill.

369 The large differences between presented simulations and observations are explained by the large 370 contrasts in the NBTZ and their rapid changes. However in comparison with other estimates they 371 are not so large. For example, Fu et al. (2012) reported a salinity bias of plus 3-4 psu in the 372 Bornholm Basin. In contrast to the present study these authors used data assimilation. In a 373 similar study Liu et al (2013) demonstrated that compared to the free run, temperature and 374 salinity had been improved significantly with data assimilation. Our results demonstrate that 375 there is still a potential to get reasonable results even without data assimilation if more adequate 376 resolution in the NBTZ is used.

378 **4.3 The Sea Surface Temperature (SST)**

379 Recall that the thermohaline part of the model forcing includes heat and water fluxes. In the 380 following the Operational Sea Surface Temperature and Sea Ice Analysis (OSTIA) data will be 381 used as the validation data set. The OSTIA system produces a high resolution analysis of the 382 current SST for the global ocean using satellite data provided by the GHRSST (The Group for 383 High-Resolution SST) project, together with in-situ observations to determine the sea surface 384 temperature (Donlon et al., 2009). The analysis was performed using a variant of optimal interpolation described by Bell et al. (2000) and is provided daily at a resolution of $1/20^{\circ}$ (~5km). 385 386 The comparison between OSTIA and model results is presented in the following for simulation 387 days 30-500. The RMSE between model and OSTIA data is small over most of the North Sea 388 (less than 1°C) (Fig. 7a). The low-error zone extends from the northern open boundary to the 389 south mostly following the western coast. The simulations in the English Channel show errors reaching locally ~1.5 °C, which is mostly due to some boundary condition errors there (note 390 391 some large errors right at the boundary). The comparison with the range of temperature 392 fluctuations in this region demonstrates that the relative errors are small. The local maximum in 393 the northeastern part of the basin is due to errors in OSTIA data (which can be seen from the fact 394 that the SST from OSTIA there is mostly stationary over time). However the larger errors in the 395 western part of Skagerrak and along the Norwegian Trench could present a motivation to further 396 improve the performance of the model in these areas. It's encouraging that the errors in NBTZ 397 are generally small.

398 The RMSE pattern in the Baltic Sea could give a possible explanation of the large differences 399 between the simulation and observation in the Skagerrak. High errors occur usually along the 400 west coasts of Baltic Sea and south of them. In this neighborhood OSTIA data are sometimes 401 unavailable (see the blank zones). Upwelling events along the Swedish coast explain the 402 substantially cooler temperatures than in the interior and southern part of the basin. The 403 accompanied tilting of isotherms toward the Swedish coast and upwelled CIL there are clearly 404 seen in the model results also (not shown). Therefore the bias in these areas is clearly negative 405 (Fig. 7b). Other potential error sources include the lack of an ice model (especially for the Bay of 406 Bothnia), or the wind stress parameterization.

408 **5. The Water Masses**

The question of whether or not the simulated water mass structure is correct under the variable horizontal resolution as found in unstructured grids is a critically important issue to be addressed when estimating the performance of a new (unstructured-grid) model setup for such a complex area. In the following 3 subsections, three aspects of this issue will be analyzed using the example of the formation of CIL, the two-layer exchange in the NBTZ and the propagation of bottom water into the Gotland Basin.

415 **5.1 The Cold Intermediate Water**

416 The vertical stratification in the Baltic Sea is dominated by salinity (Fig. 8b), which provides

417 enormous stability, decreases vertical diffusion (Stigebrandt, 1987; Meier, 2001; Stanev, 1997;

418 Omstedt, 2014) and therefore prevent the permanent halocline from being destructed by the
419 winter cooling. The vertical salinity gradient at ~150 m only changes slightly, mostly in winter,

420 as a result of the convective cooling. The small-scale oscillations at the interface, separating421 surface and deep waters, reveals the model representation of the oscillations of pycnocline.

422 The cold water mass formation starts in fall, first in a shallow part of the upper layer (Fig. 8a); in 423 January-February the cooling reaches depths of ~60 m. This value varies in space reaching in 424 some areas depths of ~65 m. The 25 years-long simulations of Meier (2007) showed also a 425 pronounced inter-annual variability of winter convection. In March-April the re-stratification 426 starts to form and the cold water is overlain by waters from the seasonal thermocline. As the time 427 progresses after the maximum cooling, the cold water content of the CIL starts to decrease, 428 which is seen in Fig. 8a as a decrease of its thickness. The refill and thickening of the CIL in 429 winter and the decrease of its cold water content in summer repeats in an almost periodic way 430 every year. These results demonstrate that the model replicates some of the known features and 431 processes associated with the temporal evolution of thermohaline circulation in the Baltic Sea.

432

433 **5.2 The Two-layer Exchange in the NBTZ**

The widths of the straits in the NBTZ, i.e. the Great Belt, the Sound and the Little Belt, are 16-32
km, 4 km and 1 km respectively. The comparison of the straits widths with the internal

436 (baroclinic) Rossby radius of deformation (~5 km in the Kattegat) indicates that the Great Belt 437 could be rotationally dominated. However all Baltic Sea straits are shallow and mostly friction-438 dominated. Furthermore the narrower ones are shaped by sills and contractions, which make the 439 understanding of processes there difficult. In the following the simulations results are illustrated 440 along three transects in the straits (Fig. 9a) for temperature and salinity sampled from the model 441 outputs at 00:00, Jan. 17, 2012 and at 00:00, Feb. 18, 2012, for outflow and inflow conditions 442 respectively. The bottom relief changes very differently along the three sections. The main sill 443 for the Great Belt is the Darss Sill (383 km on the section). However it is not only this sill, which 444 is practically outside the strait, but also the narrows that constrain the two-layer exchange. In the 445 Little Belt the shallowest depths along the sections are close to the corresponding narrowest 446 section. However in the Drodgen Sill is located at the southern entrance of the Sound whereas 447 the narrowest section is located in the northern part of Sound between Helsingör and

448 Helsingborg.

449 The overall conclusion from the cross-sections in Fig. 9 is that the straits decouple the water 450 masses, which is better seen in the field of salinity under the outflow condition (Fig. 9 b, d, f). 451 This is well pronounced in the Great Belt and the Sound by the tongue of Baltic Sea water 452 propagating into the direction of Kattegat. In the Sound this tongue propagates with relatively 453 low values north of the strait while the mixing along the wide and shallow Great Belt reduces 454 substantially the salinity contrast. Therefore the salinity distribution is smoother in this strait and 455 the isohalines rather vertical and the gravity flow-like pattern (high salinity at the bottom, not 456 reaching the sea surface) is seen only beyond the Darss Sill. Unlike this strait, the Sound shows 457 more classic estuarine patterns with far reaching intrusions in the Kattegat, and a gravity flow-458 like pattern just after the sill only during inflow situation (Fig. 91). The situation in the Little Belt 459 is rather different from the two wider straits. Here the water south of the sill is more stagnant, 460 which enables higher salinity values south of the sill. However the salinity contrast peaks around 461 the sill (Fig. 9b). Note that because the very narrow section of this strait the back-and-forth 462 oscillations of salinity front in the Little Belt are not in phase with the ones of the main straits. 463 For the outflow period analyzed above the temperature in the Baltic Sea is higher or comparable

464 with the one in Kattegat (Fig. 9c, e, g). The absolute maximum is below the sea surface, which

465 again demonstrates that the strong salinity stratification is the main stabilizing factor. Another

466 clear evidence of the dominant role of salinity is that the along-channel separation of temperature

467 follows the maximum extension of the Baltic plume. During the inflow phase the North Sea

468 water is clearly identifiable by the warmer temperature; the temperature patterns (Fig. 9i, k, m)

469 are very similar to the ones of salinity (Fig. 9 h, j, l).

470

471 **5.3 The Water Mass Formation**

472 **5.3.1** The Cascading of Mixed North Sea Water into the Gotland Basin

The water mass formation in the Baltic Sea reflects the balance between the heat and waterfluxes at the surface and in the straits. The latter is illustrated in Fig. 10a by the cascading of

475 inflowing water from the straits area down into the Baltic proper (an animation of the gravity

476 flow can be viewed at:

477 http://ccrm.vims.edu/yinglong/TMP/anim_transect_TS_Darss_to_Gotland_new.zip). Physically

this process has much in common with the major Baltic flow events. The situation shown in Fig.

479 10(a&b) happened in February, 2012, which is consistent with the overall occurrence of the

480 major inflow events. However it is weaker and there is no sufficiently big volume of mixed

481 North Sea water that reaches the deepest layers of the Gotland Deep. The analysis of the 500-day

482 simulations indicates that the situation shown in Fig. 10 is repeated many times during the

483 analyzed period but usually with a lesser intensity.

484 During most of the time the Bornholm Basin is filled with mixed North Sea water up to its sill

485 depth. The halocline reveals seishes-like oscillations at the interface in these basins triggered by

486 the inflowing water, which supports the concept of Jakobsen (1995). Under strong wind

487 conditions these denser waters overshoot the sill and cascade into the next basin. Beyond the

488 Stolpe Channel the inflowing bottom water undergoes strong mixing over the sloped bottom.

489 Traces of this saltier and warmer water can be found as deep as 150-200m. In the deeper layers

490 its penetration is better illustrated by temperature, which acts as a tracer in this salinity-

491 dominated environment.

492 As far as the large-scale surface salinity patterns are concerned, the continuous decrease of

493 salinity to the east and the thinner diluted layer to the west give a manifestation that the

494 numerical model captures well the main characteristic of this sea being a huge estuary. The

495 patterns of temporal variability illustrated in Fig. 10 demonstrate that the simulated water mass

496 formation undergoes large transformations basin-wide.

498 **5.3.2 The Ventilation of the upper layer**

499 The surface ocean processes are presented by the temperature distribution in winter (Fig. 10b) 500 and summer (Fig. 10d). The seasonal thermocline starts to form at the beginning of April as the 501 warmer surface water overlies the cold surface water formed by previous winter cooling. The 502 temperature continuously increases up to 22° C in August, but this surface layer never gets 503 thicker than 20-40 m. Very close to its upper boundary, at about 40-50 m, is the core of CIL with temperatures lower than 3 °C. It extends towards the NBTZ where it flattens. In this area the 504 505 CIL is subject to periodic back-and-forth oscillations caused by the inflowing-outflowing straits 506 circulation. The CIL mixes with saltier water in the straits, and depending on the season 507 propagates with the inflow as the warm bottom water in summer (Fig. 10d) or cold bottom water 508 in winter (Fig. 10b). This mixed water mass cascades from one to the next "retention" basin on 509 its way to the Baltic proper.

510 The formation of cold intermediate water along the analyzed cross-section starts first at the

511 surface of the Gotland Deep and at the end of September the CIL outcrops. Local traces of

anomalous (warmer or colder) waters entrapped below the CIL propagate down-slope as seen by

513 the temperature pattern in the area between the Bornholm Basin and Gotland Deep (Fig. 10

514 b&d). Noteworthy is the sequence of cold and warm water intrusions at different depths in winter

515 (Fig. 10b). Obviously the dominant stratification caused by salinity limits the depth of

516 propagation of waters from the CIL. Below these cold intrusions, warm intrusions are

517 propagating with the gravity currents at the bottom. The variety of intrusions of temperature

518 compared to the patterns of salinity demonstrates again that temperature over most of the basin

519 can be roughly considered as a passive tracer.

520 The refill of the Baltic Sea with cold water continues until the end of February. During this time

521 part of the cold water is formed not only in the interior of the sea. In the shallower zone

522 convection reaches the bottom and cold plumes propagate towards the deeper part of the basin.

523 Because of the low salinities on the shelf their density is not high enough to reach deeper levels.

524 Therefore these cold waters propagate in the direction of Gotland Deep as horizontal intrusions

525 above the halocline contributing to additional cooling of the basin interior.

526

527 **6.** Conclusions

528 We have described here a new unstructured-grid model and its application to the North Sea and 529 Baltic Sea, a region dominated by tides, inflows, shelf and baroclinic processes. The focus was 530 on the NBTZ (North Sea - Baltic Sea Transition Zone) which is the most difficult area to 531 simulate in the studied region because it requires very good quality of simulations of both 532 barotropic and baroclinic processes. This zone is crucial for the processes developed on either 533 side of straits (the Skagerrak and Norwegian Trench on one side, and the Baltic Sea on the other 534 side). The specificity of the NBTZ in comparison to other similar zones is that its dynamics are 535 controlled by multiple straits, the narrowest one being only 1km wide and the widest being about 536 16 km wide. With the setup of the presented model we resolved in a reasonable way these straits 537 which ensured realism in the simulations of the exchange flows.

The model overcame some inconsistencies in earlier simulations that either covered only one sea (only Baltic or only North Sea) or used non-seamless one-way or two-way nesting techniques. The placement of the open-boundary conditions far from the straits made it possible that the model developed its own dynamics which appeared consistent with the tide gauges and temperature-salinity observations. Thus the presentation of the model validation appears one substantial part of the present research because this is a prerequisite for establishing some confidence in the interpretation of numerical simulations.

The statistical analysis of the simulated sea levels demonstrated that most of the analyzed North Sea stations tend to tightly follow the observations; the tidal variability is very well simulated. The dynamics of sea levels in the Baltic Sea are mostly driven by the evolution of weather systems although the responses greatly differ over different areas of the sea. Eigen oscillations also seem to have an important contribution to the Baltic Sea dynamics. The model captured all these important responses well.

The NBTZ presents an interesting case of reduction of the tidal range when the tides propagate through the straits. On the other hand, in this zone, the relative contribution from the weather systems (which is part of the barotropic forcing) increases because the straits amplify the sealevel contrasts between the Baltic Sea and North Sea. The reversal of the atmospherically driven barotropic gradient triggers the temporal change of surface-to-bottom salinity difference. This is clearly revealed in the variability of the vertical mixing and re-stratification, and is very well

validated by the similar variability seen in the observations. It is noteworthy that in this zone reversal of transports (inflow-outflow conditions) is well illustrated by the increased difference between the surface and bottom salinity values. With increasing distance from the straits other topographic features such as small basins (Arkona, Bornholm) start to play the role of reservoirs for denser water which under specific conditions propagates to the next (deeper) basin on its way to the Gotland Deep. In these areas the inflow resembles the gravity overflows. The individual inflow events were well captured by the model.

564 For the Baltic Sea modelling, simulating water mass formation is of particular importance 565 because the distribution of water masses gives the major representation of the estuarine dynamics 566 of this basin. The simulated refill and thickening of the CIL (cold intermediate layer) in winter 567 and the propagation of cold water in summer demonstrated that the model successfully replicates 568 the known features of the temporal evolution of thermohaline circulation in the Baltic Sea. Of 569 particular interest is that the simulated SST demonstrated a good agreement with the OSTIA 570 data. Furthermore, the evolution of CIL near the straits was also realistically simulated. This was 571 illustrated by the realistic simulation of the mostly salinity-dominated vertical stratification and 572 the cascading of inflowing water from the straits area down to the Baltic proper; the cascading 573 inflow process is one important mechanism in maintaining the vertical conveyor belt (Döös et 574 al., 2004).

575

576 Acknowledgements

577 We are grateful to the two anonymous reviewers for their constructive comments. S. Grashorn is 578 funded by the initiative Earth Science Knowledge Platform (ESKP) operated by the Helmholtz 579 Association. Y. Zhang was a HWK (Hanse-Wissenschaftskolleg) Fellow when he performed part 580 of this work. The forcing data have been provided by the German Weather Service and NOAA. 581 The river run-off data were provided by the Bundesamt für Seeschifffahrt und Hydrographie 582 (BSH). Bathymetry data have been provided by BSH, DMI and Baltic Sea Hydrographic 583 Commission, 2013 (Baltic Sea Bathymetry Database version 0.9.3). The authors are thankful to 584 W. Koch for preparing the model forcing and B. Gardeike for assistance with the graphics. 585 Simulations used in this paper were conducted on the following computational facilities: (1) 586 Sciclone at the College of William and Mary which were provided with the assistance of the

587 National Science Foundation, the Virginia Port Authority, and Virginia's Commonwealth

588 Technology Research Fund; (2) the Extreme Science and Engineering Discovery Environment

589 (XSEDE; Grant TG-OCE130032), which is supported by National Science Foundation grant

- number OCI-1053575; (3) NASA's Pleiades; and (4) JUROPA at Jülich Supercomputing Centre
- 591 (JSC), provided by the John von Neumann Institute for Computing (NIC).
- 592

593 Appendix 1. Choice of vertical grids

594 The important role of vertical grids in ocean models has long been recognized, and traditional 595 choices (Z, terrain-following, or isopycnal coordinates) all suffer from certain shortcomings. 596 Therefore we have recently proposed a new type of vertical grid that maximizes the flexibility in 597 resolving processes of contrasting scales as found in the ocean, river and lake modeling (Zhang et al. 2015). Dubbed Localized Sigma Coordinates with Shaved Cell (LSC²), it allows flexible 598 599 placement of vertical grid nodes at each horizontal position while minimizing non-smoothness 600 among neighboring nodes. The latter is ensured by the Vanishing Quasi Sigma (VQS) of 601 Dukhovskoy et al. (2009). Moreover, the staircases found in VQS are eliminated with a novel 602 and simple shaved cell technique that effectively shuts down the diapycnal mixing and in the 603 same time, reduces the coordinate slope and thus the classic Pressure Gradient Errors (PGE; Haney 1991). Many tests have been conducted to demonstrate the superiority of the new LSC^2 604 605 grid (Zhang et al. 2015).

Fig. A1 further illustrates the superior results obtained from the LSC^2 grid. With the 31-level S 606 607 grid, the physically stable stratification as found in the Baltic proper is gradually eroded over a 608 few months due to a combination of PGE and diapycnal (numerical) mixing. Increasing the 609 number of vertical levels to 51 only delayed the erosion by a few months, and adjusting the 610 stretching parameters used in S did not significantly affect the results either (not shown). On the other hand, the new LSC^2 grid, despite having fewer number of levels on average, is able to 611 612 maintain the stable stratification over multi-year simulations (also cf. Fig. 8b), which is crucial 613 for Baltic Sea because the Major Inflow events only happen roughly over a ten-year scale.

614

615 Appendix 2. Validation of sea levels

616 We group the validation into 2 regions: NBTZ and Baltic Sea. The station coordinates can be

617 seen in Table 1. Exemplary time series over a 14-day period and low-pass filtered signals are

618 shown in Fig. A2. Some error statistics at 14 NBTZ stations are shown in Table 2, which can be

619 compared with those in Fu et al. (2012). Tidal harmonics at North Sea stations are reported in

Table 3. A mini-storm can be seen at the end of the 14-day period at most NBTZ stations, as a

response to a weather system that dominated the region. No pronounced spring-neap cycle is

622 found anywhere in the 2 regions, and while NBTZ stations exhibit some similarities among each

623 other, the Baltic stations show greater disparity suggesting localized responses. For example,

624 many Baltic stations did not witness the set-down near Day 148, and some show distinctive

625 period of oscillation of a few days (#26, 30, 39, 73). Overall, the model captured both system-

- 626 wide and localized responses pretty well.
- 627

628 Table 1. NBTZ and Baltic stations location
--

Station	Lon	Lat	Station	Lon	Lat	Station	Lon	Lat	Station	Lon	Lat
Aarhus	10.2167	56.15	Hornbaek	12.4667	56.1	Marviken	16.8371	58.5537	Smogen	11.2178	58.3537
Althagen	12.4194	54.3769	Juelsminde	10.0167	55.7167	Neustadt	10.8128	54.0967	Soru	22.5229	58.6938
Bagenkop	10.6778	54.7528	Kalix	23.0962	65.697	NordreRose	12.6867	55.6361	Spikarna	17.5312	62.3632
Ballen	10.6444	55.8167	Kalkgrund	9.8881	54.8247	Olands NorraUdde	17.0971	57.3662	StPetersburg	30.2667	59.9333
Barhoeft	13.0328	54.4397	Kappeln	9.9381	54.6644	Oskarshamn	16.4779	57.2749	Stenungsund	11.8325	58.0933
Barseback	12.9034	55.7565	Kaskinen	21.2167	62.3333	Paldiski	24.0796	59.3349	Stockholm	18.0818	59.3243
Daugavgriva	24.0167	57.05	Kemi	24.5167	65.6667	Pietarsaari	22.7	63.7	Stralsund	13.0986	54.3153
Degerby	20.3833	60.0333	KielHoltenau	10.1569	54.3722	Ratan	20.895	63.9861	Tallinn	24.7637	59.4444
Drogden	12.7117	55.5358	KielLT	10.2733	54.4997	Ringhals	12.1126	57.2498	Tejn	14.8333	55.25
Eckernfoerde	9.8361	54.4747	Klagshamn	12.8936	55.5223	Rodby	11.35	54.65	TimmendorfPoel	11.3756	53.9919
Flensburg	9.4331	54.795	Klaipeda	21.0833	55.7333	Rodvig	12.3728	55.2542	Travemuende	10.8722	53.9581
Forsmark	18.2109	60.4085	Kobenhavn	12.6	55.7	Rohukula	23.4248	58.9049	Triigi	22.7173	58.5914
Fredericia	9.75	55.5667	Kolka	22.5833	57.7333	Rostock	12.155	54.0831	Ueckermuende	14.0664	53.7503
Frederikshavn	10.5667	57.4333	Korsor	11.1333	55.3333	Sassnitz	13.6431	54.5108	Viken	12.5793	56.1421
Furuogrund	21.2306	64.9157	Koserow	14.0008	54.0603	Schleimuende	10.0367	54.6733	Virtsu	23.5081	58.5761
Fynshav	9.9833	55	Kronstadt	29.75	59.9667	Schleswig	9.5692	54.5114	Visby	18.2845	57.6393
Gedser	11.9333	54.5667	Kuivastu	23.3935	58.5742	Sillamae	27.7401	59.4227	Warnemuende	12.1033	54.1697
Goteborg Torshamnen	11.7907	57.6846	Kungsholmsfort	15.5893	56.1052	Simrishamn	14.3577	55.5576	Wismar	11.4581	53.8989
Greifswald	13.4461	54.0928	Kungsvik	11.1274	58.9966	SjaellandsOdde	11.3722	55.975	WittowerFahre	13.245	54.5575
Grena	10.9306	56.4111	LandsortNorra	17.8589	58.7688	Skagen	10.5858	57.7194	Wolgast	13.7703	54.0417
Heiligenhafen	11.0056	54.3731	Langballigau	9.6542	54.8233	Skagsudde	19.0124	63.1905			
Helsinki	24.9667	60.15	Lehtma	22.6969	59.069	Skanor	12.8296	55.4168			

Heltermaa	23.0466	58.8664	Luebeck	10.7031	53.8931	Slipshavn	10.8333	55.2833		

Table 2. Elevation error statistics at the 14 NBTZ stations used in Fu et al. (2012).

	Correlation coefficient	Unbiased RMSE (m)	Bias (m)	
Aarhus	0.875	0.073	0.045	
Frederikshavn	0.919	0.063	0.136	
Slipshavn	0.800	0.067	0.046	
Korsor	0.806	0.057	-0.003	
Hornbæk	0.983	0.046	-0.030	
Rodby	0.893	0.043	0.077	
Gedser	0.908	0.043	0.017	
Tejn	0.915	0.043	0.157	
Kalix	0.966	0.049	0.329	
Klagshamn	0.878	0.051	0.108	
Kungsholmsfort	0.891	0.063	0.149	
Kungsvik	0.925	0.030	0.112	
Ratan	0.958	0.061	0.307	
Visby	0.873	0.060	0.201	

632	Table 3. Comparison of M2	(which accounts fo	r over 80% o	of the total tidal	energy) amplitudes
-----	---------------------------	--------------------	--------------	--------------------	--------------------

633 and phases at North Sea stations.

Station name	Amp_Obs (m)	Amp_Mod (m)	Pha_Obs (degr)	Pha_Mod (degr)
Aberdeen	1.24	1.09	21.75	21.39
Bournemouth	0.41	0.52	271.82	273.00
Cromer	1.56	1.29	187.23	193.60
Dover	2.24	2.08	330.17	324.30
Harwich	1.32	1.32	323.84	320.15
Immingham	2.23	1.96	160.63	165.80
Jersey	3.29	3.30	176.57	175.29
Lowestoft	0.68	0.62	259.15	262.13
Newhaven	2.21	2.12	319.04	303.65
North Shields	1.60	1.34	84.66	88.08
Wick	1.01	0.91	320.81	326.75
Borkum	1.11	1.16	273.67	263.63
Helgoland	1.11	1.17	308.42	296.86
Hirtshals	0.15	0.20	107.10	113.21

635 Figure captions

Fig. 1. North Sea and Baltic Sea topography. The color scheme was chosen to better illustrate the
bottom relief in the deepest parts of the two basins. The positions of the following stations used
in model validation are also shown: (1) Jersey, (2) FINO-1, (3) Helgoland, (4) Frederikshavn, (5)
Fehmarn Belt, (6) Drogden, (7) Arkona Basin, (8) Tejn, (10) Kronstadt and (11) Kemi. Analyses

- 640 of numerical simulations are presented further in the paper at location (9) and along the transect
- 641 line starting in the western Arkona Basin and ending in the northern Gotland Deep (grey line).
- Fig. 2. Model grid size (a), and illustration of the fine grid resolution in the Great Belt (b), whichis a zoom-in view of the rectangular box in (a).
- 644 Fig. 3. Modelled and observed sea level in Jersey (a), Helgoland (b), Tejn (c), Kronstadt (d),
- 645 Kemi (e), Frederikshavn (f), Drogden (g). Red: observations; green: model (hourly time series).
- **Fig. 4**.Taylor diagram of the modelled sea levels. The distance from the origin measures the
- 647 RMSE of model results, scaled against standard deviation of the data. The correlation
- 648 coefficients are shown on the full sector line (increasing from "North" to "East"). The purple star
- 649 is the 'truth'. Black circles are the North Sea stations, red ones the NBTZ and the blue ones the
- Baltic Sea stations. The average correlation is 0.8837, and average scaled RMSE is 0.19. The
- stations used for this validation are shown in Table 1.
- **Fig. 5**. Time series comparison between the simulated (blue lines) and observed (red dotted
- lines) near-surface and near-bottom salinities at the station Fehmarn Belt (see Fig. 1 for its
- 654 positions). The near-surface values are lower than the near-bottom ones.
- **Fig. 6**. Time versus depth diagrams of temperature (a, b) and salinity (c, d) in Arkona Basin. (a) and (c) are from observation and (b) and (d) are from model.
- **Fig. 7**. The RMSE (a) and mean bias (b) of SST between model and OSTIA data. The blank
- areas in Baltic Sea are due to missing data at some time instances, and the local extrema in the
- northern part of North Sea is due to OSTIA issue. The black isoline in (b) is the boundary
 between positive and negative biases.
- **Fig. 8**. Time versus depth diagrams of temperature (a) and salinity (b) at the Gotland Basin (see
- location 9 in Fig. 1). The isolines in (a) represent 3, 3.5, 4, 4.5° C.
- **Fig. 9**. Vertical cross-sections of salinity (left panels) and temperature (right panels) along 3 transects in Little Belt (b, c, h, i), Great Belt (d, e, j, k) and Sound (f, g, l, m). (b-g) represent the outflow conditions at 00:00, Jan. 17, 2012, and (h-m) represent the inflow conditions at 00:00, Feb. 18, 2012. The black dashed line shows where the transect coming from Little Belt joins the transect coming from Great Belt. In all plots, x=0 corresponds to the starting location in the north. The locations of the three transects are shown in (a).
- **Fig. 10**. Water masses in the Baltic Sea along the section shown in Fig. 1. (a) is salinity on Feb.
- 670 29, 2012, (b) is temperature on Feb. 29, 2012; (c) is salinity on Aug. 02, 2012, and (d) is 671 temperature on Aug. 02, 2012.
- 672 **Fig. A1** Comparison of salinity profile at Gotland Deep (see Fig. 1 for location), from Nov. 1,
- 673 2013 to Feb. 1, 2014, using (a) 31 S layers, and (b) LSC^2 grid. See Section 2.3 for more details of 674 the two types of vertical grids used.
- **Fig. A2** Comparison of elevations at (a) NBTZ and (b) Baltic Sea stations. The station locations
- are shown in Table 1. The red lines are observation, and green lines are model results. The x-axis
- 677 is time in days from July 1, 2011. (c) and (d) show the low-pass filtered signals (with 30-hour 678 out off) for (a) and (b) over a longer period
- 678 cut-off) for (a) and (b) over a longer period.
- 679

680 **References**

- Azevedo, A., Oliveira, A., Fortunato, A.B., Zhang, Y. and Baptista, A.M. (2014) A cross-scale
 numerical modeling system for management support of oil spill accidents, *Marine Pollution Bulletin*, 80, 132-147.
- Baptista, A.M., Zhang, Y., Chawla, A., Zulauf, M.A., Seaton, C., Myers, E.P., Kindle, J., Wilkin,
 M., Burla, M. and Turner, P.J. 2005 A cross-scale model for 3D baroclinic circulation in
 estuary-plume-shelf systems: II. Application to the Columbia River. *Continental Shelf Research*, 25, 935-972.
- Bell, M.J., Forbes, R.M., and Hines, A. (2000). Assessment of the FOAM global data
 assimilation system for real-time operational ocean forecasting. *J. Mar. Syst.*, 25 (1), 1-22.
- Bendtsen, J., K. E. Gustafsson, J. Söderkvist, J. L.S. Hansen, (2009) Ventilation of bottom water
 in the North Sea–Baltic Sea transition zone, Journal of Marine Systems, Volume 75, Issues
 1–2, Pages 138-149,
- Bertin, X., Li, K., Roland, A., Zhang, Y., Breilh, J.F. and Chaumillon, E. (2014) A modelingbased analysis of the flooding associated with Xynthia, central Bay of Biscay, *Ocean Eng*,
 94,80-89.
- Burchard, H , Lass, U., Mohrholz, V., Umlauf, L., Sellschopp J., Fiekas, V., Bolding, K., and
 Arneborg, L. (2005) Dynamics of medium-intensity dense water plumes in the Arkona
 Sea, Western Baltic Sea, *Ocean Dynamics*, 55: 391-402.
- Burchard, H., Janssen, F., Bolding, K., Umlauf, L., Rennau, H. (2009), *Cont Shelf Res.*, 29:205220.
- 701 Danilov, S. (2013) Ocean modeling on unstructured meshes, *Ocean Mod.*, 69, 195-210. doi:
 702 10.1016/j.ocemod.2013.05.005
- Donlon, C.J., Casey, K.S., Robinson, I.S., Gentemann, C.L., Reynolds, R.W., Barton, I., Arino,
 O., Stark, J., Rayner, N., Le Borgne, P., Poulter, D., Vazquez-Cuervo, Armstrong, E.,
 Beggs, H., Llewellyn-Jones, D., Minnett, P.J., Merchant, C.J., and Evans, R. (2009) The
 GODAE High Resolution Sea Surface Temperature Pilot Project, *Oceanogr.*, 22 (3), 3445.

- Döös, K., H. E. M. Meier, and R. Döscher (2004) The Baltic Haline Conveyor Belt or The
 Overturning Circulation and Mixing in the Baltic. AMBIO: A Journal of the Human
 Environment: June 2004, Vol. 33, No. 4, pp. 261-266.
- Dukhovskoy, D.S., Morey, S.L., O'Brien, J.J., Martin, P.J., and Cooper, C. (2009) Application of
 a vanishing quasi-sigma vertical coordinate for simulation of high-speed deep currents
 over the Sigsbee Escarpment in the Gulf of Mexico, *Ocean Mod.*, 28, 250–265.
- Feistel, R., Nausch, G., Matthäus, W., and Hagen, E. (2003a) Temporal and spatial evolution of
 the Baltic deep water renewal in spring 2003, *Oceanologia*, 45(4), 623–642.
- Feistel, R., Nausch, G., Mohrholz, V., Łysiak-Pastuszak, E., Seifert, T., Matthäus, W., Krüger,
 S., Sehested-Hansen, I. (2003b) Warm waters of summer 2002 in the deep Baltic Proper.
 Oceanologia, 45 (4), 571–592
- Feistel, R., Nausch, G., and Hagen, E. (2006) Unusual inflow activity in 2002–2003 and varying
 deep-water properties, *Oceanologia*, 48(S), 21–35.
- Fu, W., Høyer, J.L., and She, J. (2011) Assessment of the three dimensional temperature and
 salinity observational networks in the Baltic Sea and North Sea, *Ocean Sci.*, 7, 75- 90,
 doi:10.5194/os-7-75-2011.
- Fu, W., She, J., and Dobrynin, M. (2012) A 20-year reanalysis experiment in the Baltic Sea using
 three-dimensional variational (3DVAR) method, *Ocean Sci.*, 8, 827-844, doi:10.5194/os-8827-2012, 2012.
- Funkquist, L. and Kleine, E. (2007) An introduction to HIROMB, an operational baroclinic
 model for the Baltic Sea. Technical report No 37, 2007. SMHI. Norrköping, 47 pp.
- Haney, R.L. (1991) On the pressure gradient force over steep topography in sigma coordinate
 ocean models, *J. Phys. Oceanogr.*, 21, 610–619.
- Jakobsen, F. (1995) The major inflow to the Baltic Sea during January 1993, *J Mar Syst*, 6:227240.
- Janssen, F., Schrum, C., and Backhaus, J. (1999) A climatological data set of temperature and
 salinity for the Baltic Sea and the North Sea, *Deut. Hydrogr. Z., supp.*, 9, 5–245.

- Kliem, N., Nielsen, J.W. and Huess, V. (2006) Evaluation of a shallow water unstructured mesh
 model for the North Sea Baltic Sea. *Ocean Mod.*, 15(1-2), 124-136,
 doi:10.1016/j.ocemod.2006.06.003
- Lehmann, A., Lorenz, B., and Jacob, D. (2004) Modelling the exceptional Baltic Sea inflow
 events in 2002-2003, *Geopphys. Res. Lett.*, 31 L21308, doi: 10.1029/2004GL020830.
- Leppäranta, M. and Myrberg. K. (2009) Physical oceanography of the Baltic Sea. Springer,
 Berlin, 378pp.
- Liu, Y., H. E. M. Meier, and L. Axell (2013), Reanalyzing temperature and salinity on decadal
 time scales using the ensemble optimal interpolation data assimilation method and a 3-D
 ocean circulation model of the Baltic Sea, *J. Geophys. Res.-Oceans*, 118, 5536–5554,
 doi:10.1002/jgrc.20384
- Meier, H.E.M. (2001) On the parameterization of mixing in three-dimensional Baltic Sea
 models, *J Geophys Res-Oceans*, 106:30,997–31,016
- Meier, H.E.M. (2005) Modeling the age of Baltic Seawater masses: Quantification and steady
 state sensitivity experiments, *J Geophys Res*, 110, C02006, doi:10.1029/2004JC002607
- Meier, H.E.M. (2007) Modeling the pathways and ages of inflowing salt- and freshwater in the
 Baltic Sea, *Estuarine Coastal Shelf Sci.*, 74 (4), 610–627.
- Meier, H.E.M. and Kauker, F. (2003) Sensitivity of the Baltic Sea salinity to the freshwater
 supply, *Clim Res*, 24: 231–242.
- Omstedt, A., Elken, J., Lehmann, A., Leppäranta, M., Meier, H.E.M., Myrberg, K., and
 Rutgersson, A. (2014) Progress in physical oceanography of the Baltic Sea during the 2003–
 2014 period. *Progress in oceanography*, 128, 139-171.
- Paulson, C.A. and Simpson, J.J. (1977) Irradiance Measurements in the Upper Ocean, J. Phys.
 Oceanogr., 7, 952-956.
- Pinto, L., Fortunato, A.B., Zhang, Y., Oliveira, A. and Sancho, F.E.P. (2012) Development and
 validation of a three-dimensional morphodynamic modelling system, *Ocean Mod.*, 57-58,
 1-14.

- Rodrigues, M., Oliveira, A., Queiroga, H., Fortunato, A.B. and Zhang, Y.J. (2009) ThreeDimensional Modeling of the Lower Trophic Levels in the Ria de Aveiro (Portugal), *Ecological Modelling*, 220, 1274-1290.
- Sannino G., Pratt, L. and Carillo, A. (2009) Hydraulic Criticality of the Exchange Flow through
 the Strait of Gibraltar, *J. Physical Oceanography*, 39(11), 2779-2799.DOI:
 10.1175/2009JPO4075.1
- She, J., Berg, P. and Berg, J. (2007) Bathymetry impacts on water exchange modelling through
 the Danish Straits. *J. Marine Systems*, 65, 450–459.
- Schmidt, M., Fennel, W., Neumann, T. and Seifert, T. (2008) Description of the Baltic Sea with
 numerical models. In: State and evolution of the Baltic Sea, 1952 2005. Ed. by R. Feistel,
 G. Nausch and N. Wasmund. Hoboken: Wiley-Interscience: 583-624pp.
- Stanev, E.V., Staneva. J.V. and Roussenov. V.M. (1997) On the Black Sea water mass
 formation. Model sensitivity study to atmospheric forcing and parameterization of physical
 processes. *J Mar Syst.*, 13:245–272.
- Stigebrandt, A. (1987) A model for the vertical circulation of the Baltic deep water, J. Phys.
 Oceanogr., 17, 1772–1785.
- Stanev, E.V. and Lu, X. (2013) European semi-enclosed seas: basic physical processes and their
 numerical modelling. In T. Soomere and E. Quak, Preventive methods for coastal
 protection, 131-179, Springer, Switzerland, DOI: 10.1007/978-3-319-859 00440-2_5.
- Stanev, E.V., Lu, X. Grashorn, S. (2015) Physical processes in the transition zone between North
 Sea and Baltic Sea. Numerical simulations and observations, *Ocean Mod (accepted)*.
- 783 Umlauf, L. and Burchard, H. (2003) A generic length-scale equation for geophysical turbulence
 784 models. *J. Mar. Res.*, 6, 235-265.
- Wang, H.V., Wang, Z., Loftis, J.D., Teng, Y.C. (2013) Hydrodynamic and water quality
 modeling and TMDL development for Maryland's coastal Bays system, Final report
 submitted to Maryland Department of the Environment, TMDL Technical Development
 Program.
- 789 Willmott, C.J. (1981) On the validation of models, *Phys. Geogr.*, 2,184–194.

- Zeng, X., Zhao, M. and Dickinson, R.E. (1998) Intercomparison of bulk aerodynamic algorithms
 for the computation of sea surface fluxes using TOGA COARE and TAO data. *J. Clim.*,
 11, 2628-2644.
- Zhang, Y. and Baptista, A.M. (2008) SELFE: A semi-implicit Eulerian-Lagrangian finiteelement model for cross-scale ocean circulation, *Ocean Mod.*, 21(3-4), 71-96.
- Zhang, Y., Witter, R.W. and Priest, G.P. (2011) Tsunami-Tide Interaction in 1964 Prince
 William Sound Tsunami, *Ocean Mod.*, 40, 246-259.
- Zhang, Y., Ateljevich, E., Yu, H-S., Wu, C-H., and Yu, J.C.S. (2015) A new vertical coordinate
 system for a 3D unstructured-grid model, *Ocean Mod.*, 85, 16-31.
- Zhang, Y., Ye, F., Stanev, E.V. and Grashorn, S. (submitted) Seamless cross-scale modelling
 with SCHISM, *Ocean Mod.*
- Zhuang, S.Y., Fu, W.W., and She, J. (2011) A pre-operational three Dimensional variational data
 assimilation system in the North/Baltic Sea, *Ocean Sci.*, 7, 771–781, doi:10.5194/os-7771-2011.



Fig. 1











Fig. 4



Fig. 5



Fig. 6







Fig. 8











Fig. 9



Along-transect distance (m)

Fig. 10



Fig. A1















