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#### Abstract

PMR-15 aromatic thermosetting polyimide is a widely used matrix resin for advanced composites. Though there exists a large database of its mechanical and physical properties, the chemistry of the reacting PMR-15 system is not well understood. This study is concerned with the mechanism and kinetics of the PMR-15 imidization reaction. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-$ NMR and FTIR studies have led to the proposal of various imidization mechanisms. Principal among those are schemes which involve an amide or an anhydride intermediate. In this study ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}$ spectra of reacting PMR-15 monomers showed no intermediates, and it was concluded that no stable intermediate is formed.


Thermogravimetric Analysis (TGA) has been performed on PMR-15, and rate constants and activation energies calculated. The following study used TGA to determine kinetic parameters for the two separate imidization reactions taking place in the system. The reactions were found to be third order or greater and third order rate constants were calculated. Contrary to earlier reports, the overall 1:1 BTDE/MDA reaction was found to be faster than the NE/MDA reaction at temperatures lower than $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

To monitor polymer chain growth in the PMR-15 system, a computerized model was devised using the rate constants previously determined. Examination of the resultant chain distributions versus degree of reaction showed chain length and type to be dependent on the ratio of rates of the two reactions and therefore dependent on the cure temperature.

A separate section of this thesis presents a method of measuring the glass transition temperature ( $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{g}}$ ) of epoxy resin systems. Rheology, in combination with Dynamic Dielectric Analysis, proved to be a simple and reliable method of relating $T_{g}$ to degree of cure for two epoxy resin systems.

THE IMIDIZATION OF PMR-15 AROMATIC THERMOSETTING POLYIMIDE

PART 1
CHAPTER I

## AN INTRODUCTION TO PMR-15

The aromatic polyimide thermosetting resin PMR-15 ( for "polymerization of monomer reactants" - formulated molecular weight 1500 , is a frontrunner in the search for high temperature matrix resins for advanced composites. Epoxies used for aerospace applications are typically limited to use temperatures below $175^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, while graphite reinforced PMR-15 parts have been shown to be stable to $316^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. However, the difficulties in processing polyimide composites have limited their applications. ${ }^{1}$

PMR-15 resin is composed of three monomer reactants: the dimethyl ester of 3,3',4,4'-benzophenone tetracarboxylic anhydride (BTDE), 4,4'-methylene dianiline (MDA), and the monomethyl ester of 5-norbornene-2,3-dicarboxylic anhydride (NE) (Figure 1.1). The molar ratios of the reactants, respectively, are $n:(n+1): 2$, where $n=2.087 .{ }^{1}$


BTDE


MDA

Figure 1.1. PMR-15 monomers.

The monomers are usually mixed in a 50/50 wt.\% alkyl alcohol solution. The fibers are impregnated with resin and the low-boiling solvent driven off at low temperatures before reaction. There are two reaction stages. The first is the formation of the polyimide prepolymer at temperatures between room temperature and $200^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ( Figure 1.2 ). The second is the crosslinking of the norbornyl endgroups at temperatures above $200^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ through a reverse Diels-Alder reaction (Figure 1.3). ., 2


Figure 1.2. PMR-15 polyimide prepolymer formation.
Difficulties in processing arise in the first stage because the reaction involves the evolution of methanol and water. These volatiles create voids in composite parts which
can affect part performance.


Figure 1.3. Reverse Diels-Alder crosslinking of norbornyl endgroups.
Differential Scanning Calorimetry by Lauver of the overall cure reaction of PMR-15 showed four thermal events ( Figure 1.4 ), which Lauver explained as follows: ${ }^{3}$
1.) The endotherm below 100 C occurs due to monomer association in the melt phase.
2.) A second endotherm, centered around $140^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, is due to loss of volatiles during imidization.

figure 1.4. DSC scan by Lauver of PMR-15 reaction.
3.) The third endotherm is due to the melt and flow of the
polyimide prepolymer.
4.) The exotherm occurring above 300 C is attributable to the crosslinking reaction of the norbornyl end groups ${ }^{3}$. The crosslinking reaction will not be discussed in this study.

## POSSIBLE MECHANISMS OF IMIDIZATION

The first attempts to explain the imidization of PMR-15 suggested two steps: 1) formation of the polyamic acid, and 2) cyclodehydation to the polyimide.




$\left.\right|^{-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}}$

Figure 1.5. PMR-15 reaction scheme 1 .

Infrared spectroscopic studies on $\operatorname{PMR-15}$ and related compounds suggested such a mechanism (Scheme I). ${ }^{4}$ Separate sets of absorption bands for amide and imide were reported, and by monitoring the intensity of these bands versus temperature it was concluded that Scheme $I$ was the actual mechanism. Thus the ester would undergo nucleophilic attack by
the amine to displace methanol and form amide-acid. Also present were peaks which were attributed to cyclic anhydride formation. This anhydride was formed by reversion of the amic acid in the presence of free amine, which forms a salt with the acid. Disappearance of the anhydride at higher temperature was attributed to the lower probability of salt formation at those temperatures.

Later ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}$ studies showed amic acid always accompanied by imide ${ }^{5}$. This is contrary to what would be expected with Scheme I, which should result in an initial appearance of amic acid peaks followed by their disappearance and the appearance of imide peaks. This discrepancy suggests that the amide is only a transient intermediate in imide formation and not a distinct isolable species and supports a mechanism of complete imidization in one step ( Scheme II).


Figure 1.6. PMR-15 imidization scheme II.
Numerous carbon-13 NMR and FTIR studies have reported the presence of anhydride throughout the reaction.4,5,6 Initially this was explained as a competing side-reaction as in

Scheme I, but recently anhydride formation has been described as a distinct step in imidization (Scheme III): 1) the amine and acid form a salt, 2) the acid-ester salt reacts to yield cyclic anhydride and methanol, and 3) the anhydride reacts rapidly with the amine to form amic-acid. 6,7 At the reaction temperatures used, the amic acid converts to imide at sufficiently high rate that anhydride formation is the ratelimiting step.



$1-\mathrm{CH}_{5} \mathrm{OH}$

$-$




$1 \cdot \mathrm{no}$


Figure 1.7. PMR-15 imidization scheme III.
Young and Chang reported a different scheme for anhydride formation through their FTIR work with LARC-160, a polyimide in the $P M R$ family closely related to $P M R-15$ in molecular structure. Their study showed that after an initial buildup of polyamic acid, a large anhydride band appeared in the temperature range of $50-280^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with a maximum intensity at
about $180^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). They proposed that after initial formation of high molecular weight polyamic acid, the chains are cleaved at various points by degradation of some of the amide linkages to anhydride. Then upon further heating, final reaction occurs to the ultimate molecular weight of the polymer, coinciding with the disappearance of the FTIR anhydride band (Scheme IV). ${ }^{8}$
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## ${ }^{13}$ C-NMR STUDIES OF PMR-15 MONOMERS AND THEIR REACTIONS

Many researchers have reported the presence of anhydride during PMR-15 imidization. Johnston et al reported anhydride as a precursor to amide through FTIR studies on BTDE/MDA and analagous model compounds. ${ }^{1}$ Garcia and Serafini made similar conclusions. ${ }^{2}{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-NMR studies at BP Research were inconclusive in regards to anhydride formation, but did report the presence of amide. ${ }^{3}$ This section describes a ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-$ NMR study undertaken to shed further light on the PMR-15 imidization mechanism and to identify any amide or anhydride intermediates.

All measurements were made with a General Electric QE-300 NMR Spectrometer. $D M S O-d_{6}$ was the solvent. Spectra were taken on MDA; the acid/ester, anhydride, diacid, and diester forms of NA; the diacid/diester, anhydride, tetraacid, and tetraester forms of BTDA; and PMR-15. Thus we hoped to be able to accurately determine the identity of peaks in the PMR-15 spectrum. Figures 2.1 through 2.10 show these spectra.

Our peak assignments for the three PMR-15 monomers are listed in Tables $2 a-c$. The final entry for each peak gives the chemical shift reported by BP Research for the corresponding

MDA $\begin{aligned} & \text { Table } 2 \mathrm{C} \\ & { }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\text { NMR } \\ & \text { SPECTRUM }\end{aligned}$

| peak no. | $\delta$ (ppm) | assignment | BP Research ${ }^{3}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 114.16 | $C_{3}, C_{5}$ | 115.09 |
| 2 | 129.08 | $\mathrm{C}_{2}, \mathrm{C} 6$ | 129.13 |
|  | 129.66 |  | 129.21 |
| 3 | 146.39 |  | * |
| * BP has no | corresponding | peak here. |  |



MDA
peak here.

BP Research ${ }^{3}$
115.09
129.13
129.21
*


NE


carbon. ${ }^{3}$ ( BP Research's assignments were made on reacted PMR15, as opposed to isolated monomers. )

It is interesting to note that, though there are 5 kinds of carbons in MDA $\left(C_{1}, C_{2} / C_{6}, C_{3} / C_{5}, C_{7}\right.$, and $\left.C_{4}\right)$, only 3 separate peaks appear in the MDA spectrum (Table 2a).

There are three possible configurations of the BTDE acid/ esters; these would lead to eight possible types of carbonyl carbons. Figure 2.6 shows only six carbonyl carbon peaks.

Tables 2 d and 2 e list our carbonyl assignments for the NA
and the BTDA for their respective acid/ester, anhydride, allacid, and all-ester adducts.

Though the two carbonyl carbons of a BTDA anhydride group are of different types, only one peak for those carbons appears in Figure 2.7.

Table 2d
CARBONYL ASSIGNMENTS FOR NA AND DERIVATIVES

| acid/ester | anhydride | diaacid | diester |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| 172.62 | 172.52 | 173.64 | 172.73 |
| 173.26 |  | 174.79 | 173.38 |

Table $2 e$

CARBONYL ASSIGNMENTS FOR BTDA AND DERIVATIVES | acid/ester | anhydride | tetraacid | tetraester |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 167.11 | 168.49 | 167.82 | 167.67 |

| 167.18 | 168.76 | 168.61 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

167.73
167.92
167.96
168.65

By determining the chemical shifts of the acid and ester carbonyl carbons from the fully acidified and the fullyesterified adducts, specific chemical shifts in the acid/esters could be assigned to acid and ester. The NDE carbonyl carbon peaks occur upfield from those of the NDA; hence, in Table $2 b$ the upfield carbonyl peak (no. 5) is assigned as ester, while the downfield carbonyl peak (no. 6) is called acid.

Because there are several possible configurations for the BTDE acid/esters, there are many carbonyl peaks and acid and
ester peak differentiation is not attempted here.
2:1 NE/MDA and 1:1 BTDE/MDA were reacted to various degrees in order to detect any amide or anhydride in either case. Table $2 f$ lists the carbonyl peak shifts and heights for NE/MDA aged to varying degrees at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The peaks at 172.64 and 173.29 are readily recognizable as ester and acid. According to a previous ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-NMR study by Kranbuehl, the peaks at 176.83 and 176.88 are nadimide carbonyl carbons. ${ }^{4}$ The anhydride peak at 172.52 ppm that we assume would appear if anhydride was present does not in fact appear. BP Research identified a peak at 173.80 as an amide $N E$ carbonyl, one at 141.86 as amide MDA $C_{1}$, and a peak at 119.78 as equivalent amide MDA $C_{3}$ and $C_{5}$ carbons,but these peaks do not appear in these spectra either. The results only serve to support a mechanism of complete imidization in one step (Chapter I, Scheme II ). If anhydride or amide is an intermediate and the formation of one of these intermediates is the ratecontrolling step, then all anhydride or amide formed must subsequently be converted to imide in such short time as to make it a non-isolable species.

The data presented in Table $2 g$ also shows how ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}$ may be used as a qualitative means of monitoring the advancement of the imidization reaction. At $t=0$ minutes only acid and ester are present, but with time one can monitor the gradual disappearance of the acid and ester carbonyl peaks and the
subsequent appearance and relative growth of the nadimide carbonyl peaks.

Spectra were also taken on 1:1 BTDE/MDA aged to various times at $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Problems arose in making the less soluble cured samples concentrated enough to obtain clean spectra. The

Table $2 f$
2:1 NE/MDA carbonyl peaks at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$

| time(min.) | acid/ester $\delta$ (ppm) | height | nadimide $\delta$ (ppm) | height |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 172.64 | 129 |  |  |
|  | 173.29 | 186 |  |  |
| 10 | 172.62 | 125 | 176.83 | 162 |
|  | 173.27 | 170 | 176.88 | 131 |
| 20 | 172.62 | 38 | 176.81 | 146 |
|  | 173.26 | 45 | 176.88 | 122 |
| 60 | 172.61 | 19 | 176.80 | 231 |
|  | 173.24 | 15 | 176.87 | 47 |
| 120 |  |  | 176.81 | 80 |
|  |  |  | 176.86 | 37 |

spectra obtained for those samples that were aged for an hour or more at $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ were noisy and only the tallest peaks were distinguishable from noise. Figures 2.11 - 2.13 are the spectra for $1: 1 \mathrm{BTDE} / \mathrm{MDA}$ cured at $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10,20 , and 45 minutes.

None of these spectra show the peak at 168.49 that would be characteristic of the BTDA anhydride carbonyl listed in Table $2 e$. Nor do any of the spectra contain a peak to match $B P$ Research's peak at 141.86 labeled as MDA $C_{1}$ carbon. There is,
however, a peak at 119.79 in Figure 2.11 that would correspond to the peak at 119.78 that $B P$ Research assigned as $C_{3}$ and $C_{5}$ carbons of MDA. This peak also appears in Figures 2.12 and 2.13. Scheme I, with amide as a precusor to imide, would be reasonable with regards to the BTDE/MDA imidization if this assignment were correct. However, it is curious that we do not see these peaks in the similar NE/MDA imidization; and the assignment should be regarded with skepticism.

## CONCLUSIONS

Though several research teams have reported seeing anhydride at various stages of $\operatorname{PMR}-15$ imidization, ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}$ results show no evidence of anhydride, either as intermediate or product of a side-reaction in the NE/MDA or BTDE/MDA imidization. The peaks at 172.52 and 168.49 corresponding, respectively, to the nadic and BTDA anhydride carbonyl carbons are not present at any stage of either reaction. Nor does ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR show evidence for the amide intermediate of Scheme I. Thus it must be concluded here that imidization takes place in a single step (Scheme II); any amide or anhydride formed during the reaction would be a short-lived transition state.

Figure 2.1: ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}$ of MDA.


Figure 2.2: ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}$ of NE .


Figure 2.3: ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}$ of NA .


Figure 2.4: ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}$ of NDA.


Figure 2.5: ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}$ of NDE .


Figure 2.6: ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}$ of BTDE .


Figure 2.7: ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}$ of BTDA.


Figure 2.8: ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}$ of BTTA .


Figure 2.9: ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}$ of BTTE .


Figure 2.10: ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}$ of $\mathrm{PMR}-15$.


Figure 2.11: ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-NMR of unreacted 2:1 NE/MDA.


Figure 2.12: ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}$ of 2:1 $\mathrm{NE} / \mathrm{MDA}$ after 10 minutes at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.


Figure 2.13: ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}$ of $2: 1 \mathrm{NE} / \mathrm{MDA}$ after 20 minutes at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.


Figure $2.14:{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}$ of $2: 1 \mathrm{NE} / \mathrm{MDA}$ after 60 minutes at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.


Figure 2.15: ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}$ of $2: 1 \mathrm{NE} / \mathrm{MDA}$ after 120 minutes at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.


Figure $2.16:{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}$ of $1: 1 \mathrm{BTDE} / \mathrm{MDA}$ after 10 minutes at $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.


Figure 2.17: ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}$ of $1: 1 \mathrm{BTDE} / \mathrm{MDA}$ after 20 minutes at $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.


Figure 2.18: ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}$ of $1: 1 \mathrm{BTDE} / \mathrm{MDA}$ after 45 minutes at $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
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## CHAPTER III

## KINETIC STUDIES OF PMR-15 BY THERMOGRAVIMETRIC ANALYSIS

Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) has been used by Kranbuehl in the past to study the PMR-15 imidization reaction. ${ }^{1}$ The formation of the imide from acid/ester and amine liberates one methanol molecule and one water molecule per imide linkage formed. By monitoring the weight loss of a sample over time one should be able to determine the kinetic parameters of the reaction. Kranbuehl's studies determined the overall order of the PMR-15 reaction to be 3 or greater. ${ }^{1}$

TGA was performed on samples in an aluminum foil cup suspended from a Perkin-Elmer AD2B Autobalance. The cup was hung inside a Delta Design 5900 forced-air oven. A separate steel chamber enclosed the cup and prevented the flow of air from the oven fans from affecting the balance. A thermocouple next to the sample cup monitored temperature. Data from the balance was taken through a Kiethley 179A TRMS Multimeter at one to two minute intervals by a Hewlett-Packard 9826 computer.

There are actually two different imidizations taking place, and one should be able to use TGA to study them independently. Each MDA has two amines, whereas the NE has only one acid/ester. Therefore a mixture of $2: 1 \mathrm{NE} / \mathrm{MDA}$ should
theoretically react completely to bisnadimide (BNI) (Figure 3.1). The theoretical weight loss for such a mixture would be $16.7 \%$ if fully cured.
$N E \cdot M D A \frac{-\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}}{-\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{C}}}$


Figure 3.1. Formation of bisnadimide from NE and MDA.
Similarly, each BTDE molecule posesses two acid/esters, and a ratio of $1: 1$ BTDE/MDA would polymerize with a weight loss of $17.1 \%$.

MDA is available commercially. The monomer NE was synthesized by Walton's method of refluxing 5-norbornene-2,3dicarboxylic anhydride for 3 hours in a $50 / 50$ weight percent solution with methanol ${ }^{2}$. BTDE was prepared with the same procedure. PMR-15, 2:1 NE/MDA, and 1:1 BTDE/MDA were prepared by combining the monomers in the correct stoichiometric amounts, dissolving in methanol, and drying at room temperature with a vacuum pump. The dried mixtures were then stored under nitrogen at $-10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to prevent reaction. The PMR-15 and BTDE/MDA dried in a matter of hours, and it is assumed here that no reaction occurred during that time spent at room temperature. The samples used were no more than 3 months old, and earlier studies have indicated that unreacted PMR monomers are stable up to 6 months at $-10^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{3}$

The NE/MDA, however, can take 3 or more days to dry completely. To avoid the imidization that might occur during this time spent at room temperature, the samples described here were dried for only one day. The resultant "gooey" mixture was assumed to contain a minimum of solvent (the mixture is a gum instead of powder ) ; but this methanol should have evaporated early in the reaction ( less than 5 minutes), and should not have introduced significant error into the weight loss measurements. The difference between average total percent weight loss and theoretical percent weight loss for the NE/MDA was only slightly higher than that for BTDE/MDA -2.3 versus 1.0 (Tables 3 a and 3 b ). To avoid any reaction of the nadic group with residual methanol to form diesters, experiments with NE/MDA were performed on samples mixed no more than a week.

The resultant weight loss data for both systems is listed in Tables 3 a and 3 b . Figures 3.2 and 3.3 compare alpha (degree of cure) versus time data for the two systems at various temperatures . Figures 3.4, 3.5, and 3.6 compare the two reactions and the overall reaction of $\mathrm{PMR}-15$ at 80,120 , and $160^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. One first notices that the the BTDE/MDA reacts faster than the NE/MDA at all three temperatures. This is seemingly contrary to earlier reports that characterized the nadic reaction as the faster reaction at the lower temperatures, and will be discussed later in this chapter. More consistent with the earlier view is the observation that the NE/MDA reacts to
higher alphas at the lower temperatures.

Table 3a
2:1 NE/MDA weight loss data
temp $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ \% weight loss \% residual wt. loss total \%


Table 3b
1:1 BTDE/MDA weight loss data
temp $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ \% weight loss \% residual wt. loss total \%

| 80 |  | 5.7 | 13.9 | 19.7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 105 | (\#1) | 6.9 | 9.5 | 16.3 |
|  | (\#2) | 11.1 | 9.6 | 20.7 |
| 120 |  | 12.2 | 7.8 | 20.0 |
| 140 |  | 5.9 | 9.5 | 15.4 |
| 160 |  | 12.6 | 3.9 | 16.5 |

From equation 1,

$$
\begin{align*}
d \alpha / d t= & k(1-\alpha)^{m}  \tag{1}\\
& \text { or } \\
\log d \alpha / d t= & \log k+m * \log (1-\alpha) \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

we see that plots of $\log d \alpha / d t$ versus $\log (1-\alpha)$ would yield a slope of $m$, where $m$ is the reaction order. Figures 3.7-3.18 are plots of equation 2 for both reactions at each temperature.

Because only the initial part of the imidization reaction is controlled by the initial rate constant (as the medium becomes more viscous the reaction becomes increasingly more dependent upon the diffusion of the reacting species through the medium), this kinetic analysis deals only with that initial part. Hence, $m$ is determined only from the slope of the final flat part of the curve. ( The upper right corner of the plot corresponds to the lower alphas.) Tables 3 c and 3 d list the slopes calculated in such a manner for NE/MDA and BTDE/MDA.

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Table 3c } \\ & \text { 2:1 NE/MDA } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| reaction | order |
| temp ( ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) | m |
| 80 (\#1) | 3.9 |
| 80 (\#2) | 2.1 |
| 120 | 3.7 |
| 140 | 3.6 |
| 160 (\#1) | 3.8 |
| 160 (\#2) |  |

2:1 NE/MDA
reaction orders
$120 \quad 3.7$
$140 \quad 3.6$
160 (\#1) 3.8 avg. $=4.0$

Table 3d
1:1 BTDE/MDA
reaction orders


The NE/MDA reaction order ranges from 2 to 7 , with an average of 4 . The BTDE/MDA reaction also yields a variable order ranging from 3-12. However, if we ignore the first three values of $m$, which are intuitively high, the three highest temperatures indicate an order of 3 . Since the two reactions involve similar functional groups (acid/ester with amine to form imide) we would expect identical reaction orders. Thus the imidizations have an order of 3 or greater.

Both reactions occur in a 1:1 molar ratio ( considering each amine of the dianiline as a separate species and each acid/ester of the BTDE a separate species). Therefore simple rate equations can be devised. If the reaction is first order:

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{t}=c_{0} e^{-k t} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c_{t}$ is the concentration of either reactant at time $t$ and $c_{\text {o }}$ its initial concentration ${ }^{4}$. Arbitrarily assuming an initial concentration of $1, c_{t}=1-\alpha$.

For a second order reaction :

$$
1 / c_{t}=k t+1 / c_{0}
$$

A rate expression for a third order reaction can also be derived. For a third order reaction with two reactants:

$$
\begin{equation*}
d( \} / V) / d t=k c_{A} C_{b}^{2} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\{/ V$ is the advancement of the reaction in units of concentration, $c_{A}$ is the concentration of species $A$ at time $t$, and $C_{B}$ is the concentration of species $B$ at time $t .{ }^{4}$

If the reaction is $1: 1$, then $C_{A}=C_{B}$, and:

$$
\begin{equation*}
d(\xi / V)=k c^{3} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c$ is the concentration of either reactant at time $t$. Defining change in concentration in terms of ( $/ \mathrm{V}$ ):

$$
\begin{equation*}
d c / d t=-d(\{/ v) / d t \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting equation 7 into equation 6 yields:

$$
-d c / d t=k c^{3}
$$

(8).

The variables are separated:

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\mathrm{dc} / \mathrm{c}^{3}=\mathrm{kdt} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

and equation 9 is integrated from ( $c_{0}, 0$ ) to ( $\left.c_{t}, t\right)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{c}^{c_{t}}-d c / c^{3}=\int_{0}^{t} k d t \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

This Yields:

$$
\begin{equation*}
1 / c_{t}^{2}=2 k t+1 / c_{0}^{2} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the variables are defined as in equation 3.
Thus the plot of the data for a certain temperature that yields a linear fit should identify that equation and that order as the correct one.

Figures 3.19 - 3.30 show plots of $1 / c_{t}^{2}$ versus time for the TGA data. There is an initial linear fit, followed by a nonlinear region. The first, linear part is the third order reaction. The second, nonlinear region of the cure is where the reaction rate becomes increasingly dependent on viscosity. As the medium becomes more viscous (i.e. as $T_{g}$ approaches cure temperature ), the rate of diffusion of the reacting species slows.

From equation 11 , the linear fit should give an initial slope of 2 k . Tables 3 e and 3 f give the rate constants at their respective temperatures for the two reactions.

The Arrhenius expression relates the rate constant to the activation energy and temperature.

$$
\begin{equation*}
k=A e^{-E^{*} / R T} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

| Table 3e |  | Table 3f |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | : $1 \mathrm{NE} / \mathrm{MDA}$ | 1:1 BTDE/MDA |  |
| $\underline{T}\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | $\mathrm{k}\left(\mathrm{mol}^{-2} \mathrm{~min}^{-1}\right)$ | $\underline{T}\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | $\mathrm{k}\left(\mathrm{mol}^{-2} * \mathrm{~min}^{-1}\right)$ |
| 80 | . 0043 | 80 | . 0085 |
| 80 | . 0037 | 105 | . 022 |
| 120 | . 065 | 105 | . 019 |
| 140 | . 045 | 120 | . 034 |
| 160 | . 19 | 140 | . 055 |
| 160 | . 12 | 160 | . 094 |

where A is the Arrhenius pre-exponential factor, $E^{*}$ the energy of activation, $R$ the gas constant in $J / m o l * K$, and $T$ the temperature in Kelvin. A plot of $\ln \mathrm{k}$ versus $1 / T$ yields $a$ slope of $-E^{*} / R$ and $a$-intercept of $\ln A$. Figures 3.31 and 3.32 are the Arrhenius fits of the two sets of data. Table 3 g lists the resultant activation energies and pre-exponential factors.

The activation energy for the BTDE imidization is lower than that for the NE. This conflicts with prior studies which reported that nadimide formation occurs at lower temperatures

TABLE 3g

| monomers | $E^{*}(\mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{mol})$ |  | A $\left(\mathrm{min}^{-1} * \mathrm{~mol}^{-2}\right)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2: 1 \mathrm{NE} / \mathrm{MDA}$ | 55 | 65600 |  |
| $1: 1 \mathrm{BTDE} / \mathrm{MDA}$ | 39 | 4200 |  |

( as low as $12^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) than BTDE imidization. ${ }^{5}$ However, the apparent inconsistency could be explained by two different rate constants in the $2: 1 \mathrm{NE} / \mathrm{MDA}$ reaction -- one for nadimide formation from NE and MDA and one for bisnadimide formation from NE and nadimide. The TGA experiments here would only be measuring an average of the two rate constants, and it could still be said that $\mathrm{E}^{*}{ }_{\mathrm{NI}}<\mathrm{E}^{*}{ }_{\text {BTDE }}<\mathrm{E}_{\text {BNI }}^{*}$.

## CONCLUSIONS

Thermogravimetric analysis shows the NE/MDA and the BTDE/MDA reactions to be third order or greater. Degree of cure versus time data were applied to a third order rate expression for both systems to yield third order rate constants. Arrhenius fits of the rate constants gave an activation energy of $55 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{mol}$ and a pre-exponential factor of $66000 \mathrm{~min}^{-1} * \mathrm{~mol}^{-2}$ for $\mathrm{NE} / \mathrm{MDA}$, and $39 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{mol}$ and $4200 \mathrm{~min}^{-1} * \mathrm{~mol}^{-2}$ for the BTDE/MDA. The experiments did not show the overall NE/MDA reaction to be faster than the BTDE/MDA reaction, as previously suggested by previous studies. However, this particular conclusion does not rule out the possibility that nadimide formation is faster than the BTDE/MDA reaction.


Figure 3.2: 2:1 NE/MDA: alpha vs. time.
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Figure 3.3: 1:1 BTDE/MDA: alpha vs. time.


Figure 3.4: Alpha vs. time for NE/MDA, BTDE/MDA and PMR-15 at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
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Figure 3.5: Alpha vs. time for NE/MDA, BTDE/MDA, and PMR-15 at $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.


Figure 3.6: Alpha vs. time for NE/MDA, BTDE/MDA, and PMR-15 at $160^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.


Figure 3.7: NE/MDA reaction order determination at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (\#1).


Figure 3.8: NE/MDA reaction order determination at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(\# 2)$.
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Figure 3.9: NE/MDA reaction order determination at $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.


Figure $3.10: \mathrm{NE} / \mathrm{MDA}$ reaction order determination at $140^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.


Figure 3.11: NE/MDA reaction order determination at $160^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (\#1).


Figure 3.12 : NE/MDA reaction order determination at $160^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (\#2).


Figure 3.13: BTDE/MDA reaction order determination at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.


Figure 3.14: BTDE/MDA reaction order determination at $105^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (\#1).


Figure 3.15: BTDE/MDA reaction order determination at $105^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (\#2).


Figure 3.16: BTDE/MDA reaction order determination at $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.


Figure 3.17: BTDE/MDA reaction order determination $140^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.


Figure 3.18: BTDE/MDA reaction order determination at $160^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.


Figure 3.19: NE/MDA at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(\# 1): 3$ rd order rate constant.


Figure 3.20: NE/MDA at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(\# 2): 3$ rd order rate constant.


Figure 3.21: NE/MDA at $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ : 3 rd order rate constant.


Figure 3.22 : NE/MDA at $140^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ : 3 rd order rate constant.


Figure 3.23: NE/MDA at $160^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (\#1): 3 rd order rate constant.


Figure 3.24: NE/MDA at $160^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(\$ 2)$ : 3 rd order rate constant.


Figure 3.25 : $\mathrm{BTDE} / \mathrm{MDA}$ at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ : 3 rd order rate constant.


Figure 3.26: BTDE/MDA at $105^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(\# 1)$ : 3rd order rate constant.


Figure 3.27: BTDE/MDA at $105^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(\# 2)$ : 3rd order rate constant.


Figure 3.28: BTDE/MDA at $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ : 3 rd order rate constant.


Figure 3.29 : BTDE/MDA at $140^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ : 3 rd order rate constant.


Figure 3.30: BTDE/MDA at $160^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ : 3 rd order rate constant.


Figure 3.31: 2:1 NE/MDA: ln k vs. 1/RT.


Figure 3.32: 1:1 BTDE/MDA: ln k vs. 1/RT.
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## CHAPTER IV

## A COMPUTERIZED KINETIC MODEL OF

THE PMR-15 IMIDIZATION REACTION

The final stage of this study of PMR-15 uses the information gained from the kinetic studies of the imidization reaction to build a computer simulation of chain growth at any given temperature. The program described below monitors the formation of all possible chains (up to a given length) from a stoichiometric mixture of the three PMR-15 monomers at a given temperature using the two rate constants for that temperature.

First, a system of nomenclature was devised to categorize the species present in the reacting mixture. In this system BTDE is designated "B", MDA is designated "M", and NE is designated "N". Besides monomers, there are 6 basic types of species (chains) present in the reacting PMR-15 system. 1.) Chains capped on each end by a BTDE unit. These are hereafter designated as $B B(N)$, where $N$ equals the number of MDA units in the chain. For example, the chain $B-M-B-M-B$ is $\mathrm{BB}(2) \cdot \mathrm{BB}(0)$ is simply the BTDE monomer.
2.) Chains capped on one end by BTDE and the other end by MDA. These designated $\operatorname{BM}(N)$, where $N$ equals the number of BTDE units between the endgroups. Thus $B M(0)$ is $B-M, B M(2)$ is
$B-M-B-M-B-M$, etc.
3.) Chains capped on both ends by MDA. These are designated $M M(N)$, and $N$ equals the number of BTDE units in the chain. 4.) Chains capped on one end by NE and the other by BTDE. The chains are designated $\operatorname{NEMB}(N)$, and $N$ is the number of BTDE units between the end groups (as in category 2 above). 5.) Chains capped on one end by NE and the other by MDA. The chains are designated $\operatorname{NEMM}(N)$ and $N$ is the number of BTDE units in the chain.
6.) Chains capped on both ends by NE. They are called NN(N), and $N$ is the number of BTDE units in the chain. Since we assume no chain degradation, these chains are unreactive and thus final products.

Some basic assumptions are made to simplify the model. As in the TGA studies in Chapter III, there are assumed to be only two rate constants: one for amine reacting with BTDE acid/ester and one for amine reacting with nadic acid/ester. Hence no distinction is made between the reaction rates of chains of different length.

Third order kinetics are assumed (see Chapter III). March and others have reported that the reaction between primary or secondary amine and ester is third order and involves two molecules of amine and one molecule of ester. ${ }^{1,2}$ This is consistent with an earlier study of PMR-15 by Dr. Kranbuehl which gave the reaction order as 3 or greater and gave the
rate equation as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{d}[\text { imide }]=\mathrm{k}[\text { amine }]^{\geq 2}[\text { acid/ester }] \mathrm{dt} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore the same rate equation was used for this simulation. As stated in Chapter III, TGA studies indicate that rate constants become increasingly dependent upon decreasing functional group mobility as the viscosity of the reaction medium increases. The model does not take into account this phenomenon.

A brief description of the computer program ( file name NEWPMR3.BAS ), written in the Microsoft Quickbasic language, follows. ( A printout of the program is included at the end of this chapter. )

First a temperature is assigned. From this temperature is calculated the rate constants for the two reactions using the Arrhenius expression given in Chapter III (equation 12). KI is the rate constant for the $B T D E / M D A$ reaction, and $K 2$ is the rate constant for the $\mathrm{NE} / \mathrm{MDA}$ reaction.

The initial dry concentrations of the three reactants -$N E, B B(0)$, and $M M(0)$-- are given for one mole of reactants. The change in concentrations of all possible species are calculated by the third order rate equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{d}[\text { species }]=\mathrm{K}[\text { amine }]^{2}[\text { acid/ester }] \mathrm{dt} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $K=K 1$ or $K 2$ in $\mathrm{mol}^{-2} * \mathrm{~min}^{-1}$ and $d t$ is the chosen time interval. A subprogram was written for the formation of each
of the six types of chains. Each subprogram calculates the concentration change for $N=0$ to 5. ( Concentrations of longer chains are assumed to be insignificant.) Since with increasing $N$ there are an increasing number of possible chain combinations to form a chain of that $N$, the number of possibilities of chain combination are accounted for in subloops of each subprogram. For example, for a chain of $\mathrm{BB}(\mathrm{N})$ there are N different possibilities of chain combination to form that chain.

After going through the six subroutines for the specified time interval, printouts are obtained for the concentration of each species at that point in the reaction.

Alpha (degree of conversion) is calculated for each time by dividing the total number of imide linkages present by the number possible. Since there are . 4303 MDA molecules present in a mole of unreacted PMR-15 and each MDA posesses two amines, the total possible here is .8606 .

A useful and simple method of characterizing the chains in the reacting mixture is by the number of imides present in each chain. The number of imides is given by the number of monomer units in the chain minus one. Figures 4.1-4.4 show the imidization distribution over time for the PMR-15 reaction at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 160^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and $200^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. It is interesting to note that, in this model, we do not see a significant buildup of the concentration of the actual PMR-15 prepolymer molecule ( NN(2), \#imides $=6$ ) even at $200^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ( mole fraction $=.003$ at

300 minutes). The most populous species here are those with \#imides $=2$ ( NMN, BMB, MBM, and NMB ). The longer chains are present in a broad distribution of small concentrations. Chains of 7 imides and greater are not plotted in Figures 4.1 - 4.4 because their concentrations are very small (mole fraction $=.005$ or less).

To better compare the concentration buildup of the longer chains, the data from Figures 4.1 - 4.4 were plotted using a semi-log scale. The results are shown in Figures 4.5-4.8. These figures are better for comparing the expected concentration buildups of longer chains at the higher temperatures.

To see the interplay of the two reactions' rate constants in the overall reaction, the simulation was next run in three hypothetical cases:
1.) $k(N E / M D A)$ is twice as large as $k(B T D E / M D A) ;$
2.) $k$ (NE/MDA) is equal to $k(B T D E / M D A)$;
3.) $k(N E / M D A)$ is half as large as $k(B T D E / M D A)$.

Figures 4.9-4.11 show the chain distributions versus alpha for the three simulations. (These figures show concentrations of chains with \#imides $=0,1,2,3,4,5,6$, and 8. Other chains' concentrations are smaller and not plotted.) As above, these data were also plotted with a semi-log scale (Figures 4.12-4.14). The concentrations of each species for each case at alpha $=.98$ is given in Table $4 a$.

The first observation to be made here is that, as
predicted, a large amount of species of two imides remains in the first case. As $k(B T D E / M D A)$ increases relative to $\mathrm{k}(\mathrm{BTDE} / \mathrm{MDA})$ (i.e. from Case 1 to Case 2 to Case 3 ), there are less of the two imide species present. This is explained by the buildup of bisnadimide ( $N N(0)$, \#imides $=2$ ) when the NE/MDA reaction is the faster one.

Similarly, chains with an even number of imides show a significant buildup at the late stages of the reaction in all three cases. This is due to the buildup of the non-reactive, doubly endcapped species ( all with \#imides even ); in all three cases, species with \#imides odd disappear as alpha approaches one.

In Table 4 a , we see that, as $k$ (BTDE/MDA) increases relative to $k(N E / M D A)$, the concentrations of the longer chains ( those with \#imide $=8,10,12$ ) are greater. However, there are more of the smaller chains (those with \#imide $=2$ and 4) when the NE/MDA reaction is the faster one. This is as expected, since less of the smaller chains would be end-capped in Case 3 and thus free to react further to form the larger chains.

Finally, this simulation shows that the prepolymer of molecular weight 1500 shown in the general PMR-15 mechanism (Figure 1.2) is only the average; there is really a very broad distribution of molecular weights in a mixture of imidized PMR-15.

An average imidization analogous to number average
molecular weight is given by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { avg. imidization }=\frac{\Sigma\left(N_{i} * i\right)}{N_{\text {tot }}} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $i$ is the number of imides in given chain, $N_{i}$ is the number of chains with $i$ imides, and $N_{\text {tot }}$ is the total number of chains.

Figure 4.15 shows the average imidization versus alpha for PMR-15. This model predicts that the expected average

| Chain concentrations for PMR-15 at alpha $=.98$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{x}=\mathrm{k}(\mathrm{NE} / \mathrm{MDA}) / \mathrm{k}(\mathrm{BTDE} / \mathrm{MDA})$ |  |  |  |
| \#imides | $x=2$ | $x=1$ | $x=.5$ |
| 0 | . 010 | . 034 | . 050 |
| 1 | . 002 | . 002 | . 001 |
| 2 | . 083 | . 062 | . 038 |
| 3 | . 002 | . 002 | . 001 |
| 4 | . 044 | . 042 | . 035 |
| 5 | . 002 | . 002 | . 002 |
| 6 | . 025 | . 026 | . 026 |
| 7 | . 002 | . 002 | . 001 |
| 8 | . 014 | . 016 | . 018 |
| 9 | . 002 | . 002 | . 001 |
| 10 | . 009 | . 010 | . 012 |
| 11 | . 001 | . 001 | . 001 |
| 12 | . 005 | . 005 | . 008 |
| imidization | 3.78 | 3.87 | 3.98 |

imidization of 6 is reached only very late in the reaction. Table 4 a lists the average imidizations at alpha $=.98$. The
data shows that average imidization increases as $k$ (BTDE/MDA) increases relative to $k(N E / M D A)$.

CONCLUSIONS
Though the model described above is by no means meant to be a quantitative analysis of species distribution in the PMR-15 system, it is useful in demonstrating that there is a broad distribution of species in the reacted mixture. In addition it can be used to see the effect of the interplay between the two reactions: one essentially a propagation reaction and the other a termination reaction. Varying the temperature ( i.e. varying the ratio of $k(N E / M D A)$ to $k$ (BTDE/MDA) ) not only affects the rate of chain growth, but also the lengths and types of chains formed. Finally it shows that the final expected average molecular weight of PMR-15 prepolymer ( m.w. $=1500$, \#imides $=6$ ) is reached only as alpha approaches unity.

```
DECLARE SUE NNSUB ()
DECLARE SUB NMRSUB ()
DECLRRE SUE NMMSUB ()
DECLARE SUB EBSUE ()
DECLARE SUE MMSUB ()
CECLARE SUB EMSUE ()
COMMON SHARED /VARIABLES/ T, EM(), MM(), EB(), NE, NEMM(), NEME(), NN(), SUMOEMII
(1), SUMdEME(), SUMdMM(), SUMABB(), dEM1(), dBME(), dEB(), dMM(), K1, KZ, DT
DIM EE(O TO 11), MM(O TO 11), EM(O TO 11), NEMM(O TO 11), NEMB(O TO 11), NN(O TO
    11), SUMdSM1 (O TO 11), SUMAEME (O TO 11), SUMdMM(O TO 11), SUMERE(O TO 11), gUMd.
NEMME(O TO 11), SUMdNEMM3(O TO 11), SUMdNEMER(O TO 11), SLMDNEME3(O TO 11), 8UMA
NNE (O TO 11)
DIM dBM1(O TO 11), dBM2(O TO 11), dBB(O TO 11), dMM(O TO 11), dNEMM1(O TO 11), d
NEMME(O TO 11), dNEMM3(O TO 11), dNEMBC(O TO 11), dNEMB3(O TO 11), dNN1(0 TO 1i)
- dNN2 (O TO 11)
DIM IMIDE(12)
TEMP = 80
LPRINT "PMR-15 KINETICS"
LPRINT "TEMPERATURE=": TEMP; "C"
BE(0) =. 2909
MM(0)=.4303
NE = . 2788
M12 - 6260 E EXP{-40000 / (8.314 (TEMP + 273)))
K2a = 1100000* EXF(-57000 / (8.314* (TEMP + 273)))
DT = S
K1 = K1a DT / 60
K2 = K2a * DT/GO
LPRINT "K(BTDE/MDA)="; K1a
LPRINT "K(NE/MDA)=": K2a
LPRINT "DT=": DT
LPRINT "."
FOR T = 1 TO 100
FOR Z = 1 TO 120
CALL EMSUE
CALL MMSUE
CALL EBSLE
CALL NMMSUE
CALL NMESLE
CALL NNSUB
NEYT Z
Ti = T * E
LFE!NT "T=":T:: "MINUTES"
LFRINT "NE ": NE
Sumanain = NE
SUMTOTimide = O
FOR N = 0 TJ 6
dSuMcnain =MM(N) + BE (N) + BM(N) + NEMM(N) + NEME(N) + NN(N)
Sumchain m jumchain + GSUMchain
Torimademm(N)=2 N*MM(N)
TOTimideBB(N)=2*N*RB(N)
TOTimideSM(N) = (2 * N + 1) BM(N)
TOTimidaNEMM(N)=(2 N + 1) NEMM(N)
TOTimideNEME(N)=(2*N + 2) NEME(N)
InTimadeNN(N)=(2*N + 2) &NN(N)
dSUMTOTimide = TOTimideMM(N) + TOTimideBB(N ) + TOTimideBM(N) + TOTimideNEMM(N) +
    TOTimideNEME(N) + TOTimidONN(N)
SLMTOTimide = SUMTOTimide + बSUMTOTimide
NEXT N
NavgIMIDE © SUMTOTimido / Bumchain
```

```
FOR N = O TO 6
imideMM = 2*N
imideEE = Z *N
imideEM = E*N+1
imideNEMM = E *N+1
imideNEMB = E * N + 己
imideNN = E*N+2
LFRINT "MM("; N; ")", MM(N)
LFRINT " imides per chain =", imideMM
LPRINT "RE{";N; ")", EB(N)
LPRINT " imides per chain =", imideEB
LPRINT "BM("; N; ")", BM(N)
LPRINT " imides per chain =", imideBM
LPRINT "NEMM("; N; ")", NEMM(N)
LPRINT " imides per chain =", imideNEMM
LPRINT "NEME("; N; ")", NEMB(N)
LPRINT " imides per chain m", imideNEME
LERINT "NN(":N; ")", NN(N)
LPRINT " imides per chain =", imideNN
NEXT N
IMIDE(O) = NE + MM(O) + BB(O)
IMIDE(1) = BM(0) + NEMM(0)
IMIDE(2) = NEME(0) + NN(0) + MM(1) + BB(1)
IMIDE(3) = EM(1) + NEMM(1)
IMIDE(4) = NEME(1) + NN(1) + MM(\Xi) + EB(こ)
IMIDE(E) = BM(2) + NEMM(こ)
IMIDE(E) = NEME(\Omega) + NN(E) + MM(З) + EB(弓)
MMIDE(T) = EM(\Xi) + NEMM(\Xi)
IMIDE(B) = NEME(5) + NN(3) + MM(4) + EB(4)
MMIDE(3)=EM(4) + NEMM(4)
IMIEE(1.j) = NEME(4) + NN(4) + MM(E) + EE(5)
IMIDE(11) = BM(E) + NEMM(E)
IM:TE(1こ) = NEME(5) + NN!S)
FCR F = O TO 1e
LPRINT "IMIDE(": F; ")=": IMIDE(#)
NEXT F.
ALFMA = 0
FOF N = 0 -0 =
gALPHQ = ((E*N + 1) E EM(N) * (E *N) * MM(N) + (E*N)*EE(N) & (E * N + 1)
* NEMM(N) + (\Xi * N + #゙) * NEME(N) + (E * N + E) * NN(N)) / . EOOE
ALFHA = ALFHA + DALFHHA
NEXT N
LFQINT "ALF"HA = ": ALF゙HA
LFRINT "NavgIMIDE="; NavgIMICE
LERINT *
NEXT i
```

```
SUE EESUF
FOR N=0 TO S
SLMMABE (N + 1) = 0
FOR i = O TO N
dBE}(N+1)=K1 * (BM(i) 人 E) * 2 * BE(N - i) * DT
SUMOEE (N + 1) = SUMOBR (N + 1) + dEB(N + 1)
SM(i) = BM(i) - OEE(N + 1)
EE(N - i) = EB(N - i) - dEB (N + 1)
NEXT i
EB(N+1)=EE(N+1)+SUMOEE (N + 1)
NEXT N
END SUB
SUB BMSUE
FOR N=0 TO S
SUMdBM1(N+1)=0
SUMOBME (N) = O
FOR i = O TO N
dEM1(N + 1)=K1 * (BM(i) ^ 2) * BM(N - i) * DT
SUMdEMI(N+1)=SUMCBMI(N + 1)+\operatorname{CBM1}(N+1)
EM(i) = 8M(i) - dBM1(N + 1)
BM(N - i) = BM(N - i) - dBMi(N + i)
dBMÉ(N)=K1*4* (MM(i) 人 2)* 2*BB(N - i) *DT
SUMवBME(N) = SUMdBME(N) + OBME(N)
MM(i) = MM(i) - dBME(N)
BE(N - i) = BB(N - i) - बBME(N)
NEXT i
BM(N)=BM(N) + SUMAEME (N)
BM(N + 1)=EM(N + 1) + SUMGEM1(N + 1)
NEXT N
END SUE
SLje MMSUE
FOR N=0 TC S
SLMAMM(N + 1) = 0
FOR i =O TO N
GMM(N + 1)=K1 * 4 * iMM(1) ` 2) *EM(N - i) * DT
SLMCMM (N + 1) = SUMOMM(N + !) + dMM(N + 1)
MM(1) = MM(i) - dMM(N + 1)
EM(N - 1) = EM(N - 1) - dMM(N + 1)
NEXT 1
MM(N + 1)=MM(N + 1) + SLMCMM(N + 1)
NEXT N
END SLG
GUE NMESUE
FOR N=O-O S
SUMCNEMEE(N + 1) = 0
SUMONEMES(N) =0
dNEME! (N) = KE** (SM(N) ~ O) *NE * DT
EM(N) = EM(N) - CNEMBI(N)
NE = NE - NEME1(N)
FOR i = O TO N
ONEMBE(N + 1) = K1 * (BM(i) 人 ミ) *NEME (N - 1) * DT
EM(i) = EM(i) - GNEMEE(N + 1)
NEMB(N - i) = NEME (N - i) - dNEMBE (N + 1)
SUMONEMEE (N + 1) = SLMMONEMEE (N + 1) + ONEMEE (N + 1)
dNEMES (N)=K1* (NEMM(1) ( 己) * 2*BE(N - 1)*DT
NEMM(i) = NEMM(i) - aNEMES(N)
BE(N - i)=BE(N - i) - dNEMEZ (N)
SUMONEMES(N) = SUMONEMB3(N) + ONEMB3(N)
NEXT i
NEMB(N)=NEMB(N) + ONEME1(N) + SUMdNEME3(N)
NEME (N + 1) = NEMB (N + 1) + SUMONEME己(N + 1)
NEXT N
END SUE
```

```
SUB NMMSUE
FOR N = O TO S
SUMANEMME (N+1)=0
SUMdNEMMS (N + 1) = 0
dNEMM1(N) = K2.* 4* (MM(N) ^ 2) *NE * DT
MM(N) = MM(N) - ONEMMI (N)
NE = NE - dNEMM1(N)
FOR i = O TO N
dNEMME(N + 1) = K1 * (NEMM(i) ^ 2) * BM(N - i) * DT
NEMM(i) = NEMM(i) - dNEMMZ(N + 1)
BM(N - i) = BM(N - i) - dNEMMZ (N + 1)
SUMONEMMZ(N + 1) = SUMONEMME(N + 1) + dNEMME(N + 1)
dNEMM3(N + 1) = K1 * (4 * MM(i) 人 2) *NEMB(N - i) * DT
MM(i) = MM(i) - बNEMM3 (N + i)
NEMB(N - i) = NEME(N - i) - dNEMM3(N + i)
SUMONEMM3 (N + 1)= SUMONEMM3 (N + 1) + dNEMM3 (N + 1)
NEXT i
NEMM(N)=NEMM(N) + dNEMM1 (N)
NEMM (N + 1)=NEMM(N + 1) + SUMdNEMME (N + 1) + SUMdNEMM3(N + 1)
NEXT N
END SUB
SUB NNSUB
FOR N = OTO 5
SUMONNE(N + 1)=0
ONNI (N) = K2 * (NEMM(N) ^ 2) * NE * DT
NEMM(N)=NEMM(N) - dNN1 (N)
NE = NE - ONN1 (N)
FOR i = OTO N
ONNE(N + 1)=K1 * (NEMM(i) ^ 2) * NEMB(N - i) * DT
NEMM(i) = NEMM(i) - dNNE(N + 1)
NEME (N - i) = NEME(N - i) - वNNE (N + i)
SUMANNE (N + 1)= SUMdNNE (N + 1) + dNNE (N + 1)
NEXT i
NN(N)=NN(N) + GNN:(N)
NN(N + 1) = NNN(PL + 1) + SUMONNE (N + 1)
NEXT N
END SUE
```



Figure 4.1: PMR-15 imidization simulation at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ : chain distribution vs. time.


Figure 4.2: PMR-15 imidization simulation at $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ : chain distribution vs. time.


Figure 4.3: PMR-15 imidization simulation at $160^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ : chain distribution vs. time.

Figure 4.4: PMR-15 imidization simulation at $200^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ : chain distribution vs. time.


Figure 4.5: PMR-15 imidization simulation at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ : chain concentration vs. time.

time(minutes)
Figure 4.6: PMR-15 imidization simulation at $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ : chain concentration vs. time.


Figure 4.7: PMR-15 imidization simulation at $160^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ : chain concentration vs. time.


Figure 4.8: PMR-15 imidization simulation vs. time: chain concentration vs. time.


Figure 4.9: PMR-15 chain distribution vs. alpha: $k(N E / M D A)=2 * k(B T D E / M D A)$.


Figure 4.10: PMR-15 chain distribution vs. alpha: $k(N E / M D A)=k(B T D E / M D A)$.


Figure 4.11: PMR-15 chain distribution vs. alpha: $2 * k(N E / M D A)=k(B T D E / M D A)$.


Figure 4.12: PMR-15 chain concentration vs. alpha: $\mathrm{k}(\mathrm{NE} / \mathrm{MDA})=2 * k(B T D E / M D A)$


Figure 4.13: PMR-15 chain concentration vs. alpha: $\mathrm{k}(\mathrm{NE} / \mathrm{MDA})=\mathrm{k}(\mathrm{BTDE} / \mathrm{MDA})$


Figure 4.14: PMR-15 chain concentration vs. alpha: $2 * k(N E / M D A)=k(B T D E / M D A)$

## $x=k(N E / M D A) / k(B T D E / M D A)$ <br> — $x=2$--- $x=1 \quad-x=5$



Figure 4.15: PMR-15 average imidization vs. alpha for the three cases.

## NOTES FOR CHAPTER IV

1. J. March, Advanced Organic Chemistry, NY, John Wiley and Sons, 1985, 3rd edition.
2. T. C. Bruce and S. M. Felton, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 91, 2799 (1969).
3. R. Clark, Master's Thesis. College of William and Mary, 1989.

PART 2

RHEOLOGICAL STUDY OF THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN THE GLASS TRANSITION TEMPERATURE AND DEGREE OF CURE IN TWO EPOXY RESIN SYSTEMS

## INTRODUCTION

An important parameter used in the characterization of polymer systems is the glass transition temperature, $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{g}}$, the sharp change that occurs in a polymer from the rubbery to the glassy state. $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{g}}$ can be measured by a variety of methods and the value obtained will to some extent vary according to the technique used and the experimenter's interpretation of the data. We needed a consistent technique to measure the $T_{g}$ of epoxy resins and rheology proved a relatively simple one.

The resin systems described in this particular study are both bisphenol-A type epoxies - Dow 138/H41 Tactix and Shell 1282/9470 Epon resins. Viscosity measurements were taken with a Rheometrics RDA-700 Dynamic Analyzer used in the parallel plate mode. Dynamic dielectric measurements were made with the DekDyne Type 3 microsensor and a Hewlett-Packard 4192A LF Impedance Analyzer controlled by a HP-9826 computer.

## PROCEDURE

1.) A chart of cure time versus alpha was devised for both resins at chosen cure temperatures. Alpha versus time data
for this experiment was drawn from Differential Scanning Calorimetry ( DSC ) data taken previously by Dr. Kranbuehl.' Alpha data for several temperatures was available, and specific cure temperatures to best compromise between shorter run time and accuracy of the cure.
2.) The RDA-700 was used in the parallel plate mode with 12.5 mm radius disposable discs. The rheometer oven chamber was preheated to the cure temperature and equilibrated. After equilibration the oven was opened and the sample applied. The gap between the discs was closed and the oven closed and quickly reheated.
3.) When the time was reached for the desired alpha, the oven was turned off, opened, and allowed to come to room temperature (or a higher temperature if the expected $T_{g}$ was well above room temperature).
4.) The gap was reset to allow for expansion or shrinkage and it was recorded in the rheometer run program. The sample was ramped at $2^{\circ} \mathrm{C} /$ minute. Measurements in this case were taken at $1 \%$ strain at 20 rads/sec. Figures 5.1 - 5.6 show viscosity versus time data plotted for the Dow Tactix resin and figures 5.7-5.14 show those data plotted for the Shell Epon.

Since the particular instrument in Dr. Kranbuehl's laboratory is cooled only by pressurized air, measurements were limited to those glass transitions above room temperature. To measure $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{g}}$ at alpha $=0$, Dynamic Dielectric Analysis (DDA) was performed on freshly mixed resin. The
microsensor was placed in the resin in a test tube and the test tube chilled rapidly in a Dewar flask of liquid nitrogen. The tube was then allowed to come slowly to room temperature while the sensor monitored capacitance and conductance of the resin at frequencies of $500 \mathrm{~Hz}, 5 \mathrm{kHz}$, and 50 kHz .

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

With the viscosity data, we chose $T_{g}$ to be the point at which a notable drop in viscosity occurs. In Figures 5.1 5.14, the first point of viscosity change for each alpha is noted along with its extrapolation to temperature.

The capacitance $\left(C_{d}\right)$ of a material is related to the ionic mobility ( $e^{\prime}$ ) through the expression

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{\prime}=c_{d} / C \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C$ is the capacitance of air. ${ }^{2}$ Figure 5.15 and 5.16 are plots of the ionic mobility and temperature versus time for the Dow resin at frequencies of 500 Hz and 5 kHz and for the Shell resin at $500 \mathrm{~Hz}, 5 \mathrm{kHz}$ and 50 kHz . The initial flat part of the curve is e' at room temperature. The sharp drop to a minimum occurs due to the cooling in liquid nitrogen. With sample warming, $e^{\prime}$ remains at the flat minimum until $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{g}}$ is reached, where the sample viscosity begins to decrease thereby increasing ionic and dipolar mobility. $T_{g}$ was taken to be the temperature where e' first began to increase.

Tables 5 a and 5 b list the alpha versus $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{g}}$ results from
the rheological and dielectric measurements.

Table 5a
DOW 138/H41 -Measured $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{g}}$ 's

| alpha | $\mathrm{T}_{g}\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| 0 | -29 |
| .5 | 38 |
| .6 | 43 |
| .7 | 63 |
| .8 | 88 |
| .9 | 118 |
| 1.0 | 138 |

Table 5b
SHELL 1282/9470 - Measured $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{g}}{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{s}$

| alpha | $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{g}}\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| 0 | -32 |
| .3 | 32 |
| .4 | 43 |
| .5 | 62 |
| .6 | 78 |
| .7 | 93 |
| .8 | 93 |
| .9 | 112 |
| 1.0 | 153 |

DiBenedetto's equation, as reported by L.E. Nielsen ${ }^{3}$, relates the glass transition temperature to the degree of crosslinking in a polymer:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underline{T}_{g-} \frac{T_{g}{ }^{0}}{T_{g}{ }^{\circ}}=\frac{\left[E_{x} / E_{M}-F_{x} / F_{M}\right] X_{c}}{1-\left(1-F_{x} / F_{M}\right)} X_{c} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $X_{c}$ is the mole fraction of crosslinked monomer units in the polymer, $E_{x} / E_{m}$ is the ratio of lattice energies for crosslinked and un-crosslinked polymers, and $F_{x} / F_{M}$ the ratio of segmental mobilities for the same two polymers. $3,4,5 \mathrm{~T}_{9}$ is the glass transition temperature in Kelvin at a specific $X_{c}$. $T_{g}{ }^{\circ}$ is the glass transition temperature of the polymer of same chemical composition as the crosslinked polymer except that there are no crosslinks. 3,4,5
$X_{c}$ may be defined as the ratio of crosslinked polymers to total possible crosslinks in the system. Alpha is the degree of cure in a reaction. Assuming crosslinking to be the
only reaction taking place in the curing of these two epoxy systems, $X_{c}$ is equal to alpha. We know the glass transition temperatures for each alpha; $T_{g}{ }^{\circ}$ is simply the $T_{g}$ at alpha $=0$. At alpha $=1, T_{g}=T_{g} \infty$ and DiBenedetto's equation reduces to

$$
\frac{E_{x} / E_{M}}{F_{X} / F_{M}}=\frac{T_{9}^{\infty}}{T_{g}^{0}}
$$

$$
(3) .^{4}
$$

The unknown parameters $E_{X} / E_{M}$ and $F_{X} / F_{M}$ were found using a nonlinear least square fitting program by SYSTAT. The resultant values for the two parameters are given in Tables 5c.

TABLE 5C

| Resin System | $\underline{E}_{\chi} / \mathrm{E}_{M}$ | $\underline{F}_{x}<\mathrm{F}_{M}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dow 138/H41 | . 946 | . 558 |
| Shell 1282/9470 | 2.026 | 1.191 |

These average values are used to fit the $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{g}}$ versus alpha data for the two epoxies to equation 2 (Figures 5.17 and 5.18).


Figure 5.1: Dow at $\alpha=.5 /$ viscosity vs. time.


Figure 5.2: Dow at $\alpha=.6 /$ viscosity vs. time.


Figure 5.3: Dow at $\alpha=.7 /$ viscosity vs. time.


Figure 5.4: Dow at $\alpha=.8 /$ viscosity vs. time.


Figure 5.5: Dow at $\alpha=.9 /$ viscosity vs. time.


Figure 5.6: Dow at $\alpha=1 /$ viscosity vs. time.


Figure 5.7: Shell at $\alpha=.3 /$ viscosity vs. time.


Figure 5.8: Shell at $\alpha=.4 /$ viscosity vs. time.


Figure 5.9: Shell at $\alpha=.5 /$ viscosity vs. time.


Figure 5.10: Shell at $\alpha=.6 /$ viscosity vs. time.


Figure 5.11: Shell at $\alpha=.7 /$ viscosity vs. time.


Figure 5.12: Shell at $\alpha=.8 /$ viscosity vs. time.


Figure 5.13: Shell at $\alpha=.9 /$ viscosity vs. time.


Figure 5.14: Shell at $\alpha=i / v i s c o s i t y ~ v s . ~ t i m e . ~$


Figure 5.15: Dow at $\alpha=0 / \epsilon^{\prime}$ vs. time.

SHELL


Figure 5.16: Shell at $\alpha=0 / \epsilon^{\prime}$ vs. time.


Figure 5.17: Dow: Tg vs. alpha.


Figure 5.18: Shell: Tg vs. alpha.
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