

W&M ScholarWorks

Dissertations, Theses, and Masters Projects

Theses, Dissertations, & Master Projects

1980

Computer simulation of polymer dynamics

Rebecca Louise Moss Smithson College of William & Mary - Arts & Sciences

Follow this and additional works at: https://scholarworks.wm.edu/etd

Part of the Polymer Chemistry Commons

Recommended Citation

Smithson, Rebecca Louise Moss, "Computer simulation of polymer dynamics" (1980). *Dissertations, Theses, and Masters Projects*. Paper 1539625094. https://dx.doi.org/doi:10.21220/s2-w7fk-gw35

This Thesis is brought to you for free and open access by the Theses, Dissertations, & Master Projects at W&M ScholarWorks. It has been accepted for inclusion in Dissertations, Theses, and Masters Projects by an authorized administrator of W&M ScholarWorks. For more information, please contact scholarworks@wm.edu.

COMPUTER SIMULATION OF

A thesis

Presented to

The Faculty of the Department of Chemistry The College of William and Mary in Virginia

In Partial Fulfillment

Of the requirements for the degree of

Master of Arts

bу

Rebecca L. Smithson

1980

APPROVAL SHEET

This thesis is submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of

Master of Arts

<u>Author</u>

Approved, April 1980

Varia E Kranhull Kranbuehl

David E.

Robert A. Orwoll

Eni Herbot

Eric Herbst

Chapter	Title	Page
	Acknowledgements	v
	List of Tables	vi
	List of Figures	vii
	Abstract	x
I	The Rouse Model	2
	Model of the Polymer Chain	3
	Motion of the Polymer Molecule	5
	Transformation to Normal Coordinates	8
	Solution of the Differential Equation	12
II	Monte Carlo Studies of Random Coil Polymer Chains	15
	The Model	15
III	Comparison of Monte Carlo techniques With the Rouse Model	21
	The Relaxation Times	21
	The Correlation Functions	24
IV	Computer Simulation of the Equilibrium and Dynamic Properties of Random Coil Polymer Chains	28
	Equilibrium Properties	29
	Dynamic Properties	33

	Results and Discussion	34
Appendix	A : Solution of the Eigenvalue Problem	78
Appendix	B : Procedure used for the calculation of Diffusion Data	82
Appendix	C: The Simulation Program	88
	References	125
	Vita	129

List of Tables

Table		Page
4.1	Mixed bead Movements, equilibrium data	44
4.2	Single bead movements, equilibrium data	45
4.3	Concentration dependence of the end-to-end length. Data from A.Bellemans and E. De Vos	46
4.4	The dependence of $\langle 1^2 \rangle$ on density	47
4.5	Mixed bead movements, dynamic properties	48
4.6	Single bead movements, dynamic properties	49
4.7	Densities at which chain entanglements begin	50
4.8	The dependence of relaxation times on chain length	50
4.9	Relaxation of $\int (L^2, L^2, T)$	51

List of Figures

Figure		Page
1.1	Velocity gradient caused by a shearing motion in the x-y plane	10
2.1	The possible single bead moves for midbeads in the presence of excluded volume.	17
2.2	The possible crankshaft bead moves for midbonds in the presence of excluded volume.	18
3.1	Autocorrelation functions, $ ho$ (1,1,t) for the Rouse Model and for Monte Carlo Simulation	on.
a	N = 9	26
b	$N_{1} = 15$	27
4.1	The effect of concentration on diffusion	
a	For 20 bead chains	52
b	For 30 bead chains	53

4.2	The concentration dependence of the linear expansion factor 54
4.3	(1^2) as a function of density
4.4	$(1^{4})/(1^{1})^{2}$ as a function of density 56
4.5	Log-log plot of $\langle l^2 \rangle$ vs density
4.6	The concentration dependence of the translational diffusion constant
a	Mixed bead movements 58
b	Single bead movements 59
4.7	Plot of $\ln P(1,1,t)$ vs. Time in N bead cycles
a	Multiple 10 bead chains, mixed bead movements. Densities from 0.04 to 0.40 60
b	Multiple 10 bead chains, mixed bead movements. Densities from 0.5 to 0.8 61
С	Multiple 20 bead chains, mixed bead movements. Densities from 0.04 to 0.40 62
đ	Multiple 20 bead chains, mixed bead movements. Densities from 0.5 to 0.8 63
e	Multiple 30 bead chains, mixed bead movements. Densities from 0.04 to 0.40 64
f	Multiple 30 bead chains, mixed bead movements. Densities from 0.50 to 0.80 65
g	Multiple 20 bead chains, single bead movements
h	Multiple 30 bead chains, single bead movements

4.8	Plot of τ_s vs. Density
4.9	Log-Log plot of \mathcal{T}_3 vs density
a	Mixed Moves 69
b	Single Moves
4 . 10a	Plot of ln τ_3 vs ln(N) for mixed bead movements. 71
4.10b	Plot of $\ln \tau_s$ vs $\ln(N)$ for single bead movements. 71
4.10c	Plot of $\ln \tau_{\mu}$ vs $\ln(N)$ for mixed bead movements
4.10d	Plot of ln $ au_{/\!\!/e}$ vs ln(N) for single bead movements
4.11	The Dependence of $\ln(\langle 1^2 \rangle / \tau_5)$ on Free Volume 73
4.12	Plot of $\ln \rho$ (l^2 , l^2 , t) vs time in N bead cycles
a	Multiple 20 bead chains, mixed bead movements. Densities from 0.04 o 0.40 74
b	multiple 20 bead chains, mixed bead movements Densities from 0.50 to 0.80

4.13	$\mathcal{T}_{s}\left(1^{2} ight)$ vs Density for mixed bead movements.	76
4.14	Log-log plot of \mathcal{T}_{3} (1 ¹) vs density for mixed bead movements	77 .
B1	Algorithm for calculation of diffusion	86
B2	Example of diffusion in the x dimension for a l chain system	87

ABSTRACT

The concentration dependence of the equilibrium and dynamic properties of random coil polymer chains was studied using Monte Carlo simulations. Chains of 10, 20, and 30 beads were studied at densities ranging from 0.04 to 0.80. Simulations were performed using two types of bead motions. The equilibrium properties sampled were the second and fourth moments of the end-to-end length and the diffusion constant. Relaxation behavior of the chains was studied by sampling the autocorrelation functions of end-to-end length and square end-to-end length.

The effect of concentration the on equilibrium properties agrees with previous studies and theoretical predictions. The faster relaxation modes of thechain appear to be less affected by concentration than the slower The critical density, at which modes. the onset of entangled behavior begins, was found to decrease with increasing concentration. The dependence of relaxation on volume fraction agrees with the free polymer volume theories.

х

COMPUTER SIMULATION OF POLYMER DYNAMICS

CHAPTER 1

The Rouse Model

The Rouse Model has been used successfully to describe linear viscoelastic properties of random coil polymer chains in dilute solution'. The polymer chain is pictured as being composed of a number of submolecules. Each submolecule is a part of the polymer chain which must be of sufficient length to approximate a gaussian distribution for the separation of end-to-end length. Hydrodynamic interactions, which are the effects of one segment on other segments caused by its motion through the viscous medium, are not included in this model. Excluded volume effects, or the obstruction of a segment by any other segments are also not included. As a result, the Rouse Model is valid only in solutions where these effects are small.

2

Model of the Polymer Chain

Each polymer molecule is divided up into N identical submolecules. The probability that the end of one of these submolecules is located in the region x to x+dx, y to y+dy, and z to z+dz given that the other end of the molecule is fixed at the origin of this coordinate system is $\Psi(x,y,z)dxdydz = (\beta / \pi)^{3/2} \exp(-\beta(x + y + z))dxdydz$. (1.1) The constant β is given by $(3/21^2)$ where 1^2 is the average square length of the submolecules². The number of configurations available to the chain is proportional to $\Psi(x,y,z)dxdydz$. The relative number of configurations thus depends only on x +y +z = r and is given by

$$\exp(-\beta r^2) \qquad (1.2)$$

This model is equivalent to one of N beads connected by N-1 Hookean springs. Treloar² shows this by calculating the amount of work required to move an end of the submolecule from r to r+dr. For reversible processes it is known from thermodynamics that at constant temperature and volume

dE = TdS + dW and $dA = dE - TdS_*$ Combining these two equations gives $dA = dW_*$

The Helmholtz free energy, A, may be found by the equation A = E - TS, where E is the internal energy of the polymer. Therefore, at constant volume and temperature

$$dW/dr = (\partial E/\partial r) - T\partial S/\partial r. \qquad (1.3)$$

By considering a freely jointed chain, or one that is

subjected to no internal energy barriers, dE/dr = 0, since the internal energy will be the same for all configurations. With this, equation 1.2 becomes

$$dW/dr = -T(S/dr), \qquad (1.4)$$

The entropy, S, may be found by Boltzmanns equation, S=kln \mathcal{A} , where k is the Boltzmann constant and \mathcal{A} is the number of possible configurations. Using equation 1.2, together with the Boltzmann equation gives

$$S = c - k \beta r^2 , \qquad (1.5)$$

The constant c includes the size of the volume element dxdydz and is used to indicate that only differences in entropy are of interest, but not absolute values of entropy.

Substituting equation 1.5 into 1.4 gives dW = 2kT rdr. Because work had to be done to move the end of the subchain from r to r+dr, there is a restoring force acting on the chain such that dW = fdr = $2kT\beta$ rdr, or $f=2kT\beta$ r. The tension is proportional to the length, each submolecule may be thought of as a Hookean spring of equilibrium length zero and spring constant $2kT = 3kT/1^2$ since $\beta = (3/2)1^2$.

In all forthcoming discussion, polymer molecules will be thought of as consisting of N beads connected by N-1Hookean springs with these properties.

The 3N coordinates of the system will be obtained by assigning a Cartesian Coordinate system to each bead. The coordinate system assigned to the jth bead is (x_j, y_j, z_j) where x_j is the displacement in the x direction of the jth bead from its equilibrium position. The coordinates y and z describe similar displacements in the y and z directions.

Motion of the Polymer Molecule.

The equations of motion for the polymer molecules will be set up in a manner analogous to the method used by Zimm³, but without his inclusion of hydrodynamic interactions. The general equation used to describe the forces acting on the jth bead of the polymer chain upon application of an external force is the Langivin equation ⁴,

$$m(dv_{xj}/dt) = -\beta v_{xj} + x + A(t)$$
, (1.6)

Similar equations may be written for the y and z dimensions.

The right hand side of the Langevin equation is the sum of the forces acting on the beads. These are

(1) A frictional force, $\int v_{xj}$, with friction constant ρ caused by motion of the bead through the solvent. The velocity of the solvent in the x-direction at the jth bead is v_{xj} .

(2) the term X represents the external, systematic forces exerted on bead j by the springs. The force exerted on bead j by the (j-1)st spring is $-(3kt/1^2)(x_j - x_{j-1})$. The force exerted on this bead by the jth spring is $(-3kt/1^2)(x_j - x_{j+1})$. The total force from the springs on the jth bead is

$$-(3kt/1^{2})(-x_{j-1} + 2x_{j} - x_{j+1}) \text{ for } 0 < j < N, \qquad (1.7a)$$

Because beads 1 and N are only affected by springs 1 and N -1 the force on these beads is $\sqrt{5}$

$$-(3kt/l^{2})(x_{1}-x_{2})$$
 for j = 0 (1.7b)

and
$$-(3kt/l^{2})(x_{N} - x_{N-l})$$
 for $j = N$. (1.7c)

2

(3) The force given by A(t) in the Langevin equation is a fluctuating force resulting from the Brownian motion of the solvent. This brownian motion causes the beads to diffuse from regions of high concentration to regions of low concentration. The driving force behind this movement may be written as the change in the Helmholtz free energy⁶, $\frac{JA}{J}\chi_{j}$. Expanding this gives

 $A(t) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} (E - TS) = T(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i})$, The entropy may be written according to Boltzmanns relations, S =kln Ψ with k being Boltzmanns constant. The unknown function Ψ is a function of the coordinates x_1, x_2, \dots, z_N . It is to be interpreted as the probability of finding each bead with coordinates between x_i to $x_i + dx_i$, y_i to $y_i + dy_i$ and z_i to $z_i + dz_i$, so is proportional to the number of possible configurations of the system. This leads to

$$A(t) = -kT J L_{M} \Psi / J X_{j}, \qquad (1.8)$$

The quantity f_{m}^{\prime} is a very high frequency on the order of 10^{13} sec⁻¹. Since only the low frequency response of the chain is of interest and $m(dv_{\chi_{j}}/dt) << fv_{\chi_{j}}$ the left hand side of equation (1.6) may be set to zero.

Substituting equations (1.7) and (1.8) into equation (1.6) gives

$$\dot{\mathbf{x}}_{1} = \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{x}_{1}} - \mathbf{D}^{\partial |\mathbf{w}|} / \partial \mathbf{x}_{1} - \mathbf{\sigma} (\mathbf{x}_{1} - \mathbf{x}_{2}),$$

$$\dot{\mathbf{x}}_{j} = \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{x}_{j}} - \mathbf{D}^{\partial |\mathbf{w}|} / \partial \mathbf{x}_{j} - \mathbf{\sigma} (-\mathbf{x}_{j-1} + \partial \mathbf{x}_{j} - \mathbf{x}_{j+1})^{\top} for \quad 1 \le j \le N,$$

$$\dot{\mathbf{x}}_{N} = \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{x}N} - \mathbf{D}^{\partial |\mathbf{w}|} / \partial \mathbf{x}_{N} - \mathbf{\sigma} (\mathbf{x}_{N} - \mathbf{x}_{N-1}),$$

where D = kT/p and $\sigma = 3kT/1^2 p$.

These equations may be written more compactly in matrix form

$$\frac{\partial X}{\partial t} = X_{X} - D\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial X}\right) |_{\mathcal{W}} \Psi - \sigma A X.$$
 (1.9)

In this equation

$$X = \begin{pmatrix} X_{\mu} \\ X_{2} \\ \vdots \\ X_{N} \end{pmatrix} \qquad X = \begin{pmatrix} Y_{X_{\mu}} \\ Y_{X_{2}} \\ \vdots \\ Y_{XN} \end{pmatrix} \qquad \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial X} \right) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial}{\partial X_{\mu}} \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial X_{2}} \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial X_{N}} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 2 & -1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 2 & -1 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 2 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 2 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ & & & & \ddots & & \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & -1 & 2 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Equations for the y and z dimensions may be written in a similar way.

These equations may now be written in terms of Ψ by use of the equation of continuity,

$$\frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial t} = -\operatorname{div} \Psi \left[\left(\frac{\partial \Sigma}{\partial t} \right) + \left(\frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial t} \right) + \left(\frac{\partial Z}{\partial t} \right) \right]$$

with the divergence operator given by

$$d_{1}v = \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right)^{T} + \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y}\right)^{T} + \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z}\right)^{T}.$$

The superscript T is used to indicate the transpose of a vector or matrix. This equation is a statement of the conservation of mass, that the rate at which beads enter some volume element must be equal to the rate at which they leave⁸. Substitution into the equation of continuity for $\partial \frac{x}{\partial t}$, $\partial \frac{y}{\partial t}$, and $\partial \frac{z}{\partial t}$ as given by equation 1.9 yields

$$\frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial t} = \sum_{\substack{g=x,y,z}} \left\{ -\left(\frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial q}\right)^T \cdot \chi_g - \Psi \cdot \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial q}\right)^T \cdot \chi_g + D\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial q}\right)^T \cdot \left(\frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial q}\right) \right\}$$
$$+ \sigma \left(\frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial q}\right)^T \cdot A \cdot q + \sigma \cdot \Psi \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial q}\right)^T \cdot A \cdot q. \tag{1.10}$$

Transformation to Normal Coordinates

Solution of equation 1.10 is simplified by a transformation to normal coordinates. These new coordinates are defined by the equations

$$u = R^{-1} x,$$
 (1.11a)

 $y = R^{-1}y$, and (1.11b)

$$w = R' z. \qquad (1.11c)$$

The matrix R in these equations is the orthogonal matrix which diagonalizes the A matrix, or

$$\mathbf{R}^{\prime} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{R} = \Lambda = (\lambda_{\rho} \delta_{\rho g}). \qquad (1.12)$$

The pth eigenvalue of A is given by $\lambda_{
ho}$ and $\delta_{
hog}$ is the Kronecker delta.

The rules for transformation of partial derivatives are found by use of the chain rule⁸:

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{\lambda} x_{j} &= \sum_{K} \left(\frac{\partial U_{K}}{\partial \lambda_{j}} \right) (\frac{\partial}{\partial U_{K}} \right) = \sum_{K} \sum_{I} \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{j}} \left(R_{KI} \times_{I} \right) \right] \frac{\partial}{\partial U_{K}} \\ &= \sum_{K} \sum_{I} \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{j}} \left(R_{IK} \times_{I} \right) \right] \frac{\partial}{\partial U_{K}} \\ &= \sum_{K} \sum_{I} \left[R_{KI} \delta_{JI} \right] \frac{\partial}{\partial U_{K}} \right] \\ &= \sum_{K} R_{JK} \frac{\partial}{\partial U_{K}}. \end{aligned}$$

In matrix notation this becomes

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \chi}\right) = R\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial u}\right).$$
 (1.13)

It may be shown in a similar manner that

$$(\partial/\partial y) = R^{-1}(\partial/\partial x). \qquad (1.14)$$

The transformation of partial deivatives in the y and z dimensions are of the same form.

Before it is possible to transform the first term of equation 1.10 to normal coordinates, it is necessary to know more about the velocities y_q . Application of a shearing

stress to the solution in the x y plane causes the velocity of the solvent to be non zero only in the x direction, as shown in Figure 1.1. Therefore,

$$v_{xj} = \alpha 2_j$$
, $v_{yj} = v_{zj} = 0$. (1.15a)
The shear rate α is

$$\alpha = \alpha_0 \exp(i\omega t). \tag{1.15b}$$



Figure 1.1. Velocity gradient caused by a shearing motion in the x-y plane.

The velocity gradient is assumed to be constant over the dimensions of a molecule. This assumption will be good except for solvents of low viscosities at high frequencies'.

The first two terms of equation 1.10 now become

$$-\left(\frac{\partial\Psi}{\partial x}\right)^{\mathsf{T}}\cdot\frac{\nabla}{x}-\Psi\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right)^{\mathsf{T}}\cdot\frac{\nabla}{x}=-\alpha\left(\frac{\partial\Psi}{\partial x}\right)^{\mathsf{T}}\cdot\frac{\nabla}{z};$$

This may be written in terms of the coordinates u,v,w by multiplying by $R^{1}R = E$, where E is the unit matrix as follows :

$$- \alpha \left(\frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial X}\right)^{\mathsf{T}} \cdot \mathcal{Z} = -\alpha \left(\mathcal{Z}\right)^{\mathsf{T}} \cdot \left(\frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial X}\right)$$
$$= -\alpha \mathcal{Z}^{\mathsf{T}} \cdot RR^{-1} \cdot \left(\frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial X}\right) = -\alpha \mathcal{Z}^{\mathsf{T}} \cdot R \cdot \left(\frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial Y}\right)$$

.

Taking the transpose of both sides of equation 1.11c gives 9

$$(W^{T}) = (R^{-1}Z)^{T} = Z^{T}R^{-1T}$$

Because R is an orthogonal matrix, $R^{-1} = R^{-1}$ giving

$$(w)^{\tau} = z^{\tau} R,$$
 (1.16)

with similar equations for $(\underline{y})^T$ and $(\underline{y})^T$. Using equation 1.13 gives the first term as

$$-\alpha w^{T} \cdot (\partial \Psi | \partial \psi) = -\alpha \sum_{i} w_{i} \partial \Psi | \partial v_{i}. \qquad (1.17)$$

The third term of equation 1.10, the Brownian motion term, may be transformed as follows :

$$D(\partial_{\lambda} \underline{x})^{T} \cdot (\partial_{\lambda} \underline{x}) = (\partial_{\lambda} \underline{x})^{T} \cdot RR^{-1} \cdot (\partial_{\lambda} \underline{y})$$
$$= (\partial_{\lambda} \underline{x})^{T} (\partial_{\lambda} \underline{y})$$
$$= (\partial_{\lambda} \underline{x})^{T} (\partial_{\lambda} \underline{y})$$
$$= D \sum_{i} \partial_{i} \partial_{i}$$

Transformation of the spring terms is similar :

$$\sigma \left[\left(\frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial x} \right)^{T} \cdot A \cdot x + \Psi \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right)^{T} \cdot A \cdot x \right]$$

$$= \sigma \left[\left(\frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial y} \right)^{T} \cdot RR^{-1} \cdot A \cdot RR^{-1} \cdot x + \Psi \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right)^{T} \cdot RR^{-1} \cdot A \cdot RR^{-1} \cdot x \right]$$

$$= \sigma \left[\left(\frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial y} \right)^{T} \cdot \lambda_{k} \cdot y + \Psi \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right) \lambda_{k} y \right]$$

$$= \sigma \left[\left(\frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial y} \right)^{T} \cdot \lambda_{k} \cdot y + \sigma \lambda_{k} \Psi \right]$$

$$= \sum_{i} \sigma \lambda_{i} \left[u_{i}^{i} \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial y} \cdot y + \Psi \right].$$

Combination of equations 1.17, 1.18, 1.19 and their counterparts for the y and z dimensions gives the diffusion equation for the polymer in the coordinates u, v, and w, as

$$\partial \Psi / \partial t = \overline{\zeta} \left\{ -\alpha w_i \partial \Psi / \partial u_i \right\}$$

+ $\Sigma \int D \partial^2 \Psi / \partial g_i^2 + \sigma \lambda_k \left[g_i \partial \Psi / \partial g_i + \Psi \right] \right\}. (1.20)$
 $g = y_i y_i w$

Solution of the Differential Equation

Equation 1.20 is easily solved when no shearing motion is involved. In this case, the first term is zero, and the unnormalized solution, \underline{T}_o is

$$\Psi_{0} = \exp\left(-\sigma/\partial D\right) \left[\sum_{k=1}^{D} \left(\bigcup_{k=1}^{2} (\bigvee_{k=1}^{2} (\bigvee_{k$$

Differentiating gives

The solution of 1.20 may be written as a power series in α ,

$$\Psi = \Psi_0 \sum_{\mu=0}^{\infty} \Psi_{\mu} \omega^{\mu} , \qquad (1.23)$$

 Ψ_0 = 1 and α given by equation 1.15b. Substituting 1.19 into 1.16 and equating the coefficients of \prec^N gives the recursion equations for Ψ_N

$$\begin{split} \dot{\omega}_{N}\psi_{N} &= \sum_{k=1}^{M} \left[\left(\sigma/D \right) \cup_{K} \bigvee_{K} \psi_{N-1} - \psi_{K} \partial \psi_{N-1} / \partial \cup_{K} \right] \\ &+ \sum_{g=\nu_{j}\nu_{j}} \left(D^{2^{2}}\psi_{N} / \partial g^{2} \right) - \sigma \cdot \lambda_{K} g_{K}^{2} \partial \psi_{N} / \partial g_{K} \qquad (1.24) \end{split}$$

Solving this equation for Ψ_i will then permit use of

equation 1.25 as a recursion relation from which Ψ_2, Ψ_3, Ψ_4 ... may be found. The equation for Ψ_4 is

$$i_{\mathcal{N}} \omega \Psi_{\mathcal{V}} = \sum_{K=1}^{\mathcal{L}} \left\{ \left(\frac{\sigma}{\rho} \right) \omega_{K} \omega_{K} + \sum_{g=\upsilon_{i}\upsilon_{i}} \omega_{i} \omega_{K} \right\}$$

$$\times \left(\frac{\rho^{2}\Psi}{\rho_{K}} \right)^{2} \left(\frac{\sigma}{\rho_{K}} \right)^{2} - \frac{\sigma}{\rho_{K}} \left(\frac{\sigma}{\rho_{K}} \right)^{2} \left(\frac{\sigma}$$

A solution for Ψ_i is given by

$$\Psi_{I} = \sum_{K=1}^{N} C_{K} v_{K} w_{K} , \text{ with}$$

$$C_{K} = \left(\frac{1}{20}\right)_{K} \left(1 + (iw/2m)\right).$$

For small \checkmark , only this first term will be of importance and the relaxation times for the normal modes of vibration of the polymer are then given by

 $\mathcal{T}_{K} = \frac{1}{(2\sigma\lambda K)} = \frac{1^{2}P}{6KT\lambda_{K}}.$ (1.27) The λ_{K} are the eigenvalues of the A matrix. These are shown in Appendix A to be

$$\lambda_{K} = 4 \sin^{2} (\pi k/2N)$$
 for $k = 0, 1, \dots N-1$. (1.28)

Each of the normal coordinates represents a mode of motion of the polymer chain. These modes are independent of one another, so that superposition or combination of them gives vibrations that are not normal vibrations. All possible vibrations of the molecule can be represented by combinations of the normal vibrations.

Different types of experiments will excite certain modes of motion. For example, mechanical measurements will predominantly excite the lower modes of motion, whereas dielectric type experiments will excite higher frequencies $'^{O}$.

CHAPTER 2

Monte Carlo Studies of Random Coil Polymer Chains.

A second approach used in investigating both the equilibrium and dynamic properties of polymers is the computer simulation of lattice model polymer chains based on Monte Carlo techniques. This approach makes it possible to study the effects of excluded volume conflicts which are not easily treated analytically.

The Model

A polymer chain is represented in this model as a series of points or beads on a cubic lattice. Each bead lies on one of the cubes vertices and they are connected along the cube sides. Each connection, called a bond, is of unit length. The initial chain configurations are generated randomly. Movement of the chain due to Brownian motion is

15

then simulated by picking either a bead or a bond at random and moving it according to the rules to be given later. Each movement of a bead or a bond is called a bead cycle. Without excluded volume more than one bead may occupy a lattice site at a given time. The excluded volume condition is realized by allowing only one bead per lattice site at any one time.

The beads of a chain are indexed from 1 to N. Let be the vector pointing from bead j to bead j + 1. The movement of a bead that is not an endbead is accomplished by an interchange of vectors. This interchange for bead j is $\sigma_i = \sigma_i = 1$ and $\sigma_i = \sigma_i$ for $2 \le j \le N-1$, (2.1)where the prime denotes the vector after the move. This type of vector exchange, which involves the movement of only one bead is pictured in Figure 2.1. It is called a single bead movement. The endbeads, numbered 1 and N are moved by replacing and , by a vector chosen at random from one the six vectors originating at beads 2 or N-1, οf respectively.

The second type of bead movement, pictured in Figure 2.2, is called a crankshaft bead movement. It results in the movement of two beads instead of just one. The bond connecting bead j and bead j + 1 is moved by the following

Figure 2.1

The possible Single Bead Moves for Midbeads in the Presence of Excluded Volume.

a) no move possible if the angle between beads is 180° .



b) move across the diagonal if angle is 90° between beads.



Figure ².2 The Possible Crankshaft bead moves for midbonds in the Presence of Excluded Volume.













rules :

$$\Sigma_{j-1} = \Sigma_{j+1}$$
,
 $\Sigma_{j}' = \Sigma_{j}$,
 $\Sigma_{j+1}' = \Sigma_{j-1}$ for $1 \le j \le N - 1$. (2.2)
The subscripts 0, 1, N and N - 1 label vectors that are

The time interval, in bead cycles, over which the dynamics of the chains are observed is called a frame. Each frame is further divided into samples. The number of bead cycles in each sample varies, and is controlled by input to the program.

chosen at random from the six possible vectors.

At the start of each frame the initial end-to-end length, l_o , of each chain is calculated, as well as l_o^2 and the position of the center of mass. For each subsequent sample, l_t , l_t^2 , l_t^4 , and the position of the center of mass are again sampled. The products $l_o \cdot l_t$ and $l_o^2 \cdot l_t^2$ are calculated. This procedure is repeated for some number of frames that is defined by input to the program. This group of frames is called a run.

The products $l_0 - l_t$ and $l_0^2 \cdot l_t^2$ are summed over all the frames for each time, t. The values of 1, l^2 , l^4 and the change in the position of the center of mass from the proceeding sample, d, are added into running sums at the end of each sample. At the end of a run the sums are averaged to the quantities

$$\langle 1_0, 1_1 \rangle, \langle 1_0^2, 1_1^2 \rangle, \langle 1_2^2 \rangle, \langle 1_2^4 \rangle$$
 and $\langle d^2 \rangle$.

From this the correlation functions for each chain as a function of time may be computed as

$$\begin{array}{l}
 \rho'(1,1,t) = \langle 1_{c} \cdot 1_{t} \rangle / \langle 1^{2} \rangle, \\
 \rho'(1^{2},1^{2},t) = (\langle 1_{c}^{2} \cdot 1_{t}^{2} \rangle - \langle 1^{2} \rangle^{2}) / (\langle 1^{4} \rangle - \langle 1^{2} \rangle^{2}). \\
 (2.3)
 \end{array}$$

This procedure is repeated for a number of runs, again defined by input. The final configurations of each run are used as the intitial configuration of the next. Final averages are obtained over all runs for each chain, and then over all the chains. The standard deviation of the final averages is used as a measure of the uncertainty in the results.

It is evident that this model emphasizes the chain connectivity properties of a real polymer chain. It is not possible to look at effects due to short range forces within the polymer. Its utility comes, however in looking at the long range, longtime cooperative motions of the chain".

CHAPTER 3

Comparison of Monte Carlo Techniques with the Rouse Model model polymer chains without excluded volume have shown remarkable agreement with the Rouse statistical bead model^{12,13}. In particular, a lattice model chain of N beads behave svery much like a Rouse chain of N statistical segments. Theoretical explanations for this have been given by various arthors^{14,15,16}. The method used by Verdier¹⁶ will be used here to show the similarities in the relaxation times and correlation functions for the two models. Although only single bead moves are considered here, this method may be extended to include either pure crandshaft or a mixture of crankshaft and single moves¹⁷.

The Relaxation Times

For single bead moves there are two types of bond exchanges which result in bead moves. The first is given by

21

equation 2.1 which is a simple exchange of vectors. The second is one in which an end bond is lost and replaced by one created at random. Calculation of the relaxation of quantities linear in the bond vectors may be accomplished by finding the expectation $\langle \sigma_j(t) \rangle$. This is just the expectation that the ith vector in the initial set of vectors $\{\sigma_{i}(c), \sigma_{i}(c) \cdots \sigma_{i}(c)\}$ will migrate in t moves to the jth position. The angular brackets are used to denote the average over all possible motions of the chain for t steps. Any vector that is absorbed by being replaced at the end, or any vector created at the end will not contribute to this expectation. It can be written then as

$$\langle \sigma_j(t) \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} \sigma_i(0) \rho_i^{(t)}$$
 (3.1)

The $p_{ij}^{(t)}$ are the probibilities of a vector moving from position i to position j in t bead cycles.

Calculation of the $p_{c,s}^{(t)}$ for this case is very similar to that of the t-step transition probabilities for a random walk on a line with two absorbing barriers ¹⁸. These t-step probabilities may be calculated with only the knowledge of the one step transition probilities, $p_{c,s}^{(i)} = p_{c,s}$.

The probability that an interior bond will move one step to the right or one step to the left is given by $p_{j,j+1} = 1/N$ or $p_{j,j-1} = 1/N$. The probability that the bond will not move is $p_{i,i} = (N-2)/N$. The matrix of these transition probabilities then looks like

$$\mathcal{T} = \begin{pmatrix} K & 1/N & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1/N & K & 1/N & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1/N & K & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 1/N & K & 1/N \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 1/N & K \end{pmatrix}$$

Here the constant K is used to denote (N-2)/N, and \mathcal{T} is a matrix of order N-1.

Diagonalization of this matrix yields the t step transition probablilties. Then, by expressing the bond vectors as normal coordinates which are similar to the Rouse normal coordinates, Verdier 16 shows that the relaxation of these normal coordinates is simple exponential and independent of initial configuration.

The relaxation times are therefore of the form $\exp(-1/\mathcal{T}_{MK})$ where

$$\mathcal{T}_{4K} = -\ln(1 - (4/N)\sin^2(k\gamma/2N)), \qquad (3.2)$$

Comparison of the relaxation times for the two models requires rewriting equations 1.23 and 3.2 so that they can be expressed in terms of the same unit of time. This is done by computiong the translational diffusion constant, D_{cn} , for both models. For the lattice model, Einsteins relation gives $D_{cm} = 1/6 \cdot \mathcal{Y} \sim^2$, where \mathcal{Y} is the number of times a bead is moved per unit time and \mathcal{O} is the rms magnitude of each displacement¹⁹. Only one bead is moved each bead cycle, so $\mathcal{Y} = 1$ and $\mathcal{O} = 2/N$. The diffusion constant is then $D_{cm} = 2/N^2 \cdot 1/6 = 1/3N^2$. For the Rouse Model, the diffusion constant of a single bead, D, in equation 1.5 is equal to kT/p^{2} . This is related to the diffusion of the center of mass by D = $D_{CM}N_{*}$

The relaxation times for the two models may now be written as $\mathcal{T}_{NK} = (3N^2 D_{Cm} \ln(1 - (4/N) \sin^2(k\pi/2N))))$ (3.3) for the lattice model, and

 $\mathcal{T}_{NK} = \begin{bmatrix} 24N & 0_{cm} & 51N^2 & (K\pi/2N) \end{bmatrix}^{-1} & K = 0, 1, ..., N-1 & (3, 4) \\ \text{for the Rouse Model. In writing 3.4 the mean square extension of a submolecule, 1² is taken as unity.$

Both expressions exhibit the same general behavior. The longest relaxatiion time is for k = 1. Spacing between relaxation times is large for small values of k, but decreases as k increases. The relaxation times for both models approach the same value for large N. Even for N = 8 the difference in the longest relaxation times for the two models is less than 3% *

The Correlation Functions

Direct comparison between the Rouse model and computer simulations may be made by calculating the correlation functions of equations 2.3 for the Rouse model. In particular, $f(1,1,t) = \langle 1_c, 1_{\chi} \rangle / \langle 1^2 \rangle$ may be calculated as follows.

The vector end-to-end length, 1 may be written as $1 = \frac{r}{\sqrt{N}} - \frac{r}{\sqrt{1}}$ where $r = (x_i, y_i, z_i)$ for bead i. Also, let u_m be

the normal coordinates of the Rouse Model. Then by a simple extension of equation 1.11 the r_i may be written as $r_i =$ $\sum_{m=1}^{N-1} R_{jm} u_{m}$ Since R is an orthogonal matrix, its inverse is equal to its transpose and $R_{jm}^{-1} = R_{mj} = (2/N)^{1/2} \cos(n \pi j - 1)$ 1/2)/N). Let $B_m = R_{Nm} - R_{om} = (2/N)^{1/2} \cos(\pi n(N - 1/2)/N) (2/N)^{\frac{1}{2}}\cos(-1/2n\pi/N)$. The correlation functions for vector 1 may now be written as $P(1,1,t) = \langle 1(t) \cdot 1(0) \rangle / \langle 1^2 \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} \langle B_{i}^2 u_i(t) u_i(0) \rangle / \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} \langle B_{i}^2 u_i(0) \rangle.$ The normal coordinates, $u_{\mathcal{K}}$ may be written as $u_{\mathcal{K}}$ = $\langle u_K^2 \rangle \exp(-t/n_{\overline{K}})$ where γ_K is the relaxation time of the kth normal mode of vibration and $\langle u_n^z \rangle_e$ is the mean square of the coordinate at equilibrium. Verdier shows that $\langle u^2, \rangle_e$ = $(1/4)Nsin^{2}(i\pi/2N) = 1/2i$. This gives f(1,1,t) = $\sum_{i=0}^{N-1} (B_i^2 / \lambda_i^2) \exp(-t/\lambda_i) / \sum_{i=0}^{M-1} (B_i^2 / \lambda_i^2).$ These correlation functions were calculated by computer. The graphical results of these calculations for N = 9 and 15 are shown in figures 3.1a and b. Also shown are the results for Monte Carlo simulations for the same chain lengths.




CHAPTER 4

Computer Simulation of the Equilibrium and Dynamic Properties of Random Coil Polymer Chains.

The concentration dependence of both the equilibrium dynamic properties of polymer chains and was studied. Computer experiments for chain lengths of 10, 20 and 30 were performed with concentrations ranging up to 0.8. Both single bead movement rules and mixed rules were used. In box edge effects, boxes the size of the order to minimize many simulations chains were used in as as possible. Smaller boxes were used in some οf the runs at higher concentrations because of limitations imposed by computer Previous experiments have been performed by Bellemans time. for equilibrium properties only, and for chains of the same lengths. Kranbuehl and Schardt studied the concentration dependence for both the equilibium and dynamic properties chain lengths of 10 and 20 for concentrations of up to for 0.6 using single bead movement rules and smaller boxes than were used in this study.

28

Equilibrium Properties

The equilibrium data sampled in each simulation are $\langle 1^2 \rangle$, $\langle 1^4 \rangle$ and $\langle d^2 / \text{frame} \rangle$. Also of interest is the expansion factor, \prec . This quantity may be defined by the equation

$$\langle 1^2 \rangle = \alpha^2 \langle 1_0^2 \rangle.$$

The average end-to-end length squared of the unperturbed chain is given by l_o^2 . By unperturbed is meant the dimensions of the random flight or guassian chain, in which the end-to-end length is affected only by bond angles and bond lengths. A polymer molecule will assume unperturbed dimensions at the theta temperature, where deviations from ideality vanish. However, in a good solvent at non-theta conditions the attraction of the polymer to the solvent is greater than the attraction of the polymer segments for each other. The polymer will tend to expand so as to minimize these less favorable contacts. Similarly, in a poor solvent at non-theta conditions polymer-polymer contacts are favored over polymer-segment contacts and the chain will contract²⁰.

An expression for $\langle 1_0^2 \rangle$ may be obtained from equation 1.1 by performing the integration as described by Flory²⁰. The result is $\langle 1_c^2 \rangle = x$, where x is the number of bonds in the chain, and $\langle 1_c^2 \rangle$ is expressed in units of the bond length. This gives $\ll = \langle 1^2 \rangle / (N - 1)$, where N is the number of beads in the chain. The expansion factor is expected to be unity under ideal conditions. Fixman and Peterson²¹ have derived an analytical expression which describes the concentration dependence of \prec in a good solvent. Initially, \checkmark will be greater than one. As the concentration increases in dilute solutions, \preccurlyeq decreases rapidly. This decrease slows at higher concentrations, and \nsim approcaches unity as p^{-1} where P is the concentration of the solution.

Studies by Bishop <u>et al</u>²², Wall <u>et al</u>²³, Okamoto²⁴, Kranbuehl and Schardt²⁵, De Vos and Bellemans^{26,27}, and Curro^{24,29,30}, have shown that for chains in dilute solution $\langle 1^2 \rangle \propto (N - 1)^{\prime,2}$. As the solution becomes more concentrated the chains contract and the polymer sizes approach a proportionalality of $(N - 1)^{\prime, \rho}$ in the bulk phase. This implies that $\ll > 1$ for dilute solution and becomes one in bulk. This is in line with Flory's reasoning that in the bulk phase the inter-chain repulsions are exactly balanced by the intra-chain repulsions. Therefore the chain will not contract or expand, and $\langle 1^2 \rangle$ will be proportional to $(N - 1)^{\prime, \rho}$. An $(N - 1)^{\prime, \rho}$ dependence in the bulk phase has been observed by Cotton <u>et al</u>^{3/} by neutron scattering experiments on bulk polystyrene.

The average squared distance traveled per frame, $\langle D^{2} \rangle / \text{frame}$, is another property sampled by the program. This is used to calculate the translational diffusion constant, D_{f} , given by $D_{t} = (1/6) \langle D^{2} \rangle / t$. The time t is the number of bead cycles in a frame divided by the total number of beads cubed. This time was chosen because a frame length, whenever possible was one relaxation time. It is not known if this time interval is of sufficient length for the longest range motions of the chain to occur. Data for diffusion over longer time intervals would help to answer this question.

The actual calculation of the chains diffusion became complex because the chain was allowed to penetrate the box walls. This means that when a bead hit a box wall it was the other side of the box. The continuity of the moved tο such hit chain was always preserved. Each had tο be recorded and used to calculate the diffusion for each chain. Details of this procedure are discussed in Appendix B.

Two factors were found to affect the diffusion of the chains. The first of these is the frame length, the diffusion being greater for greater frame lengths. The second is concentration. Figures 4.1a and 4.1b show the effect of concentration on diffusion for 20 and 30 head chains. These are graphs of the probability distribution of the average square diffusion of the center of mass of the chain with diffusion measured in the number of lattice sites traveled. As can Ъe seen in these plots, increasing concentration causes the distribution to become sharper and the chains to travel less distance.

Exact calculation of diffusion was not possible using our algorithm if the number of beads in the chain was greater than the box length. However, because of computer time restrictions it became necessary to go to smaller boxes for the higher concentrations of the 20 and 30 bead chains. diffusion chains in these smaller boxes The οf was calculated by assuming that the chain would travel no more than half the box length. Selection of a box size for which this condition would be met was made using Figures 4.1a and 4.1b.

The approach used in calculating the box size and frame length for these smaller boxes is exemplified by the method used in calculating these quantities for concentrations of 0.5 and greater for the 20 bead chains. Analysis of Figure 4.1a indicates that by choosing a box size of 10 and not increasing the frame length no chain should travel more than 5 lattice sites when the density is increased to 0.5.

This box size of 10 was used for all concentrations οf 0.5 and higher. The frame length for the density of l'=0.6was chosen by multiplying the frame length used at $\rho = 0.5$ the quantity D (f = 0.4)/D (f = 0.5). This allows the by frame length to increase within safe limits by taking into that as density increases the diffusion account the fact will be less. Frame lengths for densities of 0.7 and 0.8 the same way. A similar analysis of Fig. were chosen in 4.1b led to the the use of a box size of 14 for 30 bead chains.

As a measure of the dynamics of the system, the autocorrelation function,

$$P(1,1,t) = \langle 1_0 \cdot 1_1 \rangle / \langle 1^2 \rangle$$
, and

$$P(1^2, 1^2, t) = (\langle 1_o^2 - 1_t^2 \rangle - \langle 1^2 \rangle^2) / (\langle 1^4 \rangle - \langle 1^2 \rangle^2)$$

were obtained from the program. The time, t, is measured in N bead cycles, where N is the total number of beads. These autocorrelation functions are normalized so that they have a value of one at t=0 and decrease to zero as time increases. This relaxation behavior of the autocorrelation functions is characteristic of the overall relaxation behavior of the It is not simple exponential. However, for times chain. after which the autocorrelation function has dropped tο about 1/2, it is nearly simple exponential and may be fitted to a function of the form $l'(t) = \exp(-t/T_s)$. This part of the graph is fitted to a function of the form $\ln \ell(1,1,t) =$ $\ln A - t/\tau_s$. The relaxation time, \mathcal{T}_3 , may then bе calculated from the limiting slope. This relaxation time should be representive of the longest relaxation time found Rouse Model. It will therefore involve the long in the range motions of the chain.

A second relaxation time, $au_{\prime/e}$, is defined as as the time it takes ho (1,1,t) to relax to a value of 1/e. This

relaxation time is characteristic of the overall relaxation of the chain.

Results and Discussion

The results for the equilibrium properties are shown in tables 4.1 and 4.2. Previous studies of the concentration effects on the equilibrium dimensions were made by De Vos Bellemans 2^{4} . For low concentration Bellemans used and the same model as in the present study, with crankshaft bead movement rules. Samples of $\langle 1^2 \rangle$ were made about every 200 bead moves. Because this model has a large number of excluded volume conflicts at high concentrations resulting in large amounts of computer time needed, a different approach was taken. Bellemans approach was to use single bead rules but to pick an empty lattice site at random. Ιf adjacent bead could move to this site it was moved. an Bellemans data is summarized in Table 4.3. Figure 4.2 shows a graphical comparison of our model to Bellemans. Mixed bead movement results are shown, the results of the single bead movements are very similar. All points are within one standard deviation of Bellemans results. This data shows that $\langle 1^2 \rangle$ decreases with increasing density. The last four points fit better to ρ^{-3^2} than $\rho^{-\prime}$.

The dependence of end-to-end length on chain length may be found by taking the slope of lines generated by a log-log plot of N - 1 vs $\langle 1^2 \rangle$. The results are shown in Table 4.4 The parameter a is the exponent in the equation $\langle 1^2 \rangle \circ c$ (N - 1)^{∞}. It is simply the slope of the plot of $\log \langle 1^2 \rangle$ vs $\log(N - 1)$. Results compare with those of Bishop <u>et al</u>²² and Bellemans ${}^{26}{}^{27}$. They show a decrease in the exponent a from 1.2 for a single chain to a value approaching 1 in bulk.

That chains in concentrated solutions show the behavior of $\langle 1^2 \rangle \propto (N-1)^{1.0}$ means that they behave as Gaussian or ideal chains. This does not mean that the complete distribution of chain segments is gaussian, since the distribution is uniquiely ditermined by all even moments, not just the second.

The average end-to-end length squared vs density is plotted in Fig 4.3. The results have been extrapolated to a density of 1. The theoretical results for $\langle 1^2 \rangle$ from second order (5 choice) random wald calculations on a cubic lattice at this density are guven by Curro³⁰ as

 $\langle r^2 \rangle = ((1 + \delta)/(1 - \delta))n - 2 (1 - \delta^m)/(1 - \delta^m)$ where $\delta = 1/(q - 1)$ and q is the coordination number of the lattice (6 for a cubic lattice). The extrapolated results are close for all three chain lengths, as expected. No exact expression was readily available for $\langle 1^4 \rangle$, but the theoretical values for $\langle 1^4 \rangle / \langle 1^2 \rangle^2$ were obtained from Curro as 1.47, 1.51 and 1.55 for chain lengths of 10, 20 and 30 respectively. Plots of $\langle 1^4 \rangle / \langle 1^2 \rangle^4$ for all three chain lengths vs density are given in Fig 4.4. They are again extrapolated to a density of 1, and the agreement is good with the results for a gaussian chain.

The distribution function W(r) is completely determined by the even moments. It is a slowly converging series, however, so it can only be written in terms of just the second and fourth moments as a rough approximation. Curro gives this as

 $W(r) \sim \pi^{-3/2} exp(-\rho^2)((1 + 15g_{4}) - 20g_{4}\rho^2 + 4g\rho^4)$

where $f^2 = 3r^2 / 2 \langle r^2 \rangle$ and

g = 0.125((3/5)
$$\langle r^{4} \rangle / \langle r^{2} \rangle^{2} - 1$$
).

The concentration dependence of $\langle 1^{2} \rangle$ and $\langle 1^{4} \rangle / \langle 1^{2} \rangle^{2}$ with the ideal chain values suggests that this approximate distribution is the same for all chain lengths for the bulk system and the gaussian chain. Data for the higher even moments would be necessary to show with a higher certainty that the two distributions are the same.

The concentration dependence of $\langle 1^{2} \rangle$ is predicted by theory ³¹ to be $\langle 1^{2} \rangle \sim \rho^{-\gamma}$ with $\gamma = 0.25$ in three dimensions. Least squares fits of the plots of $\log \langle 1^{2} \rangle$ vs $\log \rho$, shown in Figure 4.5, gave values of γ to be $0.07 \pm$ 0.005, 0.12 ± 0.05 and 0.13 ± 0.04 for chain lengths of 10, 20, and 30. Table 4.4b shows a comparison of those of Curro³⁰ and Bishop <u>et al</u>²². Our results are within one standard deviation of both sets of data. The exponents for the small chain lengths are less than predicted by theory. For the longer chain lengths used by Bishop <u>et al</u>²², the exponents did approach the expected result of 0.25.

Plots of D_{ℓ} vs. bead density are shown in Fig. 4.6a for mixed bead movements and in Fig. 4.6b for single bead movements. The diffusion constant appears to be independent of chain length. D_{ℓ} decreases linearly with increasing concentration. This decrease might be expected to be less pronounced because frame lengths of less than one relaxation time were used for the higher concentrations of the 20 and 30 bead chains. This length of time is most likely not sufficient for the long range motions of the chain to have occured, with the result that D_{ℓ} is too small .

Plots of $\ln f(1,1,t)$ vs. time are shown in Figures 4.7a through 4.7h. The time is measured in N³ bead cycles, with N being the total number of beads in the box. The error bars correspond to one standard deviation of the mean.

The Dynamic results are shown in tables 4.5 and 4.6. Figure 4.8 is a plot of \mathcal{T}_s vs. bead density. The behavior of \mathcal{T}_s is different for the mixed and single bead movement rules. It is the same, however, for the three chain lengths using a given rule. \mathcal{T}_s varies much more slowly at low concentrations for the mixed plots than for the single ones. Behavior is similar for the two bead movement rules for concentrations above about 0.5.

For the mixed moves, T_s changes very little at the low

37

densities, but increases very rapidly at the high densities. This effect is much less pronounced for the single moves. A behavior was seen by Kranbuehl and Verdier⁷⁷ in the study of the effect of bead movement rules on isolated chains of various lengths. They found that T_3 increased much more slowly for mixed moves at small chain lengths (10 to 20 beads) than for either pure single or pure crankshaft moves. They also found that the increase in T_3 with chain length was similar for all bead movement rules at longer chain lengths.

The smaller dependence of τ_s on density at low densities than at high densities may be explained as follows. At low densities each chain is isolated from the others, each chain forming a cluster. As chains are added this situation does not change until some critical density, ρ^* is reached. At this point the chains become entangled and the relaxation is slowed by these interchain excluded volume effects. At this density τ_s begins to rise sharply with increasing density.

Figures 4.9a and b are log-log plots of 7_5 vs density. The concentration, ρ^* , at which entanglement begins is the concentration at which the two lines intersect. These concentrations are given in Table 4.7. Schaefer, Joanny and Pincus have predicted that ρ^* is proportional to $1/(N-1)^{4/5}$. As expected, the critical density decreases with increasing chain length. It does not decrease as rapidly as expected, however. Little can be seen from the runs using single bead moves because runs were not taken to high enough densities.

Figures 4.10a and 4.10b are plots of ln \mathcal{T}_5 vs. ln(N) for the various densities. Table 4.8 shows the slopes for each of these lines. For both types of bead movement rule the dependence on chain length increases with increasing density. This dependence is much larger in the single bead movement rules than for the mixed ones.

Figures 4.10c and 4.10d are similar plots of $\ln \tau_{/\!/e}$ vs. ln(N). The overall relaxation of the chain shows little change in its N-dependence with increasing densities until a density of ρ =0.6, at which point it also begins to increase.

The dimensionless quantity $T_3 D_{\ell} / \langle 1^2 \rangle$ has been found to be nearly linear, as shown in Table 4.5. This gives

$$D_{+} \propto T_{5} / \langle 1^{2} \rangle . \tag{4.1}$$

Einsteins equation relates D to the viscosity, $\mathcal X$, by the equation

$$D_{+} \propto 1/\eta \qquad (4.2)$$

Combining equations 4.1 and 4.2 gives

$$\eta \propto \langle 1^2 \rangle / T_s$$
 (4.3)

Cohen and Turnbull derived a relation between the diffusion and free volume by considering transport in a liquid of hard spheres. The molecules are pictured as traveling in a cage consisting of their nearest neighbors. Diffusion occurs when a hole large enough for the molecule to move through opens up in the cage and another molecule occupies its original position before it is able to return. Using this approach they found that

$$D = D(v^*) \exp(-Y v^*/v_c).$$
 (4.4)

In this equation v^* is a critical volume just large enough to allow another molecule to jump in after the displacement. The average free volume per molecule, v_f , is the volume within the cage minus the volume of the molecule. The contribution of the molecule to the diffusion coefficient is $D(v^*)$, as D(v) is zero if $v < v^*$. $D(v^*)$ is equal to ga*u with a* being the molecular diameter, u the velocity of the molecule and g is a geometrical factor. The final quantity, Y is a numerical factor introduced to include the overlap of free volume between molecules and should lie between 1/2and 1.

An emperical relation of the same form, $\mathcal{N} = Aexp(Bv_{f}/v_{o})$ was found by Doolittle for simple hydrocarbons³⁶. Here v_{f} is the free volume of the molecular unit or bead and v_{o} is the volume of the bead.

Combining equations 4.2, 4.3, and 4.4 gives

$$\langle 1^2 \rangle / \tau_j \propto D(v^*) \exp(\gamma v^* / v_{\varsigma}).$$
 (4.5)

The quantity \forall v* must be nearly equal to the molecular volume, giving

$$\langle 1^{2} \rangle / \tau_{s} oc exp(1/r_{s})$$
.

The free volume, $v_{\frac{1}{2}}$, is the total free volume divided by the number of beads, N. Letting V be the total volume, $v_{\frac{1}{2}}$ = $(V - N)/N = (i - \rho)/\rho$. Therefore $\langle 1^2 \rangle / \tau_3$ oc' $(\rho / i - \rho)$. A plot of $\ln \langle 1^2 \rangle / \tau_5$ vs. $\rho / (i - \rho)$ is given in Figure 4.11. As predicted it is a linear graph. Also $\langle 1^2 \rangle / \tau_3$, which is proportional to D_t is independent of chain length.

Table 4.9 shows the values for $\Upsilon_{/\!/e}(1^2)$ and $\tau_s(1^2)$ for the correlation functions $P(I^2, I^2, t)$. Plots of $I_s P(I^2, I^2, t)$ vs time are shown in Figures 4.12a and b. The dependence of $\tau_s(1^2)$ on concentration is shown in figure 4.13. There appears to be no difference based on chain lengths. Figure 4.14 shows that a break point occurs at a density of 0.46. This is comparable to the density at which the break point occured in τ_s for the longest chain length studied.

The effect of concentration on equilibrium properties agrees with previous studies. $\langle 1^2 \rangle$ is proportional to (N -1)^{α} where the exponent a is near 1.2 for the single chain and approaches an exptrpolated value of 1.0 in bulk. Agreement of $\langle 1^2 \rangle$ and $\langle 1^4 \rangle / \langle 1^2 \rangle^2$ at high concentrations with random walk calculations in bulk is good. This suggests that the distribution of end-to-end length for the bulk system is consistant with that of the gaussian chain. The exponent in the equation $\langle 1^2 \rangle \circ C$ (N - 1)^{α} was found to be lower than predicted by theory. The exponent agrees with previous simulations, which show that the theoretical value is approached as chain length is increased. Diffusion decreases linearly with concentraiton and is not dependent on chain length.

The behavior of the longtime relaxation, τ_s , is different for mixed and single bead movement rules at low concentration. Behavior is similar at high concentrations. The critical density was found to decrease with increasing chain length, but at a much slower rate than predicted by theory. The N dependence of au_{5} increases with increasing density. This increase appears to be stronger for the single bead movement rules than for the mixed ones. The slope of $ln(\tau_s)$ vs. N increases by a factor of 1.33 for the mixed moves vs. 1.73 for the single moves in the concentration range 0.04 to 0.5. The N dependence of $\tau_{\prime \prime
m e}$ is less pronounced for low concentrations than high ones. Again this increase is larger for single moves. For single moves the slope of $\ln(\tau_{\prime_{\!\!\!/\!}})$ vs. N increases by a factor of 1.45 vs. an increase of 1.08 for the mixed moves in the concentration range 0.04 to 0.5. The overall increase in the N dependence of the long-time relaxation is less than that of the overall relaxation. This suggests that the short range, faster relaxation modes are less affected by concentration changes than the long range coperative relaxation modes.

The quantity $\ln \langle 1^{2\gamma} / \tau_{j} vs \langle \rho / \tau_{\rho} \rangle$ is linear as predicted by the free volume theories of Doolittle and

Williams, Landel, and Ferry. The free volume theory provides a good description of the dependence of the relaxation time on polymer volume fraction.

Table 4.1 Mixed Bead Movements. Equilibrium Data.

Std	0.04	0.01	0.02	0.02	0.06	0.06	0.08	0•07	0.08	0.05	0.17	0.17	0,07	0.11	0.18	0.68	0.18	0.10	0.24	0.17	0.16	0.22	0.41	0.52
<i>لا</i>	0.41	1.63	1.59	1.54	1.49	1.49	1.46	1.48	1.80	1.90	1.69	1.68	1.67	1.61	1.61	1.51	2.13	2 . 03	1.92	1.78	2.68	1.70	1.80	1.94
Std	12	m	8	2	19	19	24	21	69	81	287	287	110	1.61	331	564	1068	498	1105	814	690	837	2049	2708
$\langle T_{l} \rangle$	224	297	280	286	256	253	244	252	887	1848	1727	1506	11447	1389	1425	1212	6239	4994	4569	1040	3817	3633	l4259	4,845
Std	1 0.39	60.0 0	9 0.20	7 0.21	3 0.54	3 0.54	0.68	0 0.63	3 1.1	6°0	2•0	З • 2	. -	2•0	ა ო	13	5.7	3.0	7•0	0°2°	т. Т.	6.3	112	15
$\langle I_{1}^{2} \rangle$	13.3	14.70	14.25	3 13.8	3 13.6	5 13.4	13.10	2 13.3(3 25 2	5 36.1	34.7	31.9	31.8	5 30 . 5	2°0° ;	5 28.6	66.01	58.90	55.61	51.60	50.39	19.20	52,14	56.19
<'u >		3.30	2.35		0.9	0.36	0.31	0.13	4.6	4.65	2.91	1.79	1.15	1.26	0.42	0.0	6-94	5.74	3.60	2.07	1.44	0.86	0.60	0.19
Std	0.17	0.12	0.10	0.13	0.17	0.26	0.22	0.26	0.52	0.59	1.40	1.13	0.28	8,00	0.17	0.13	3.08	1.90	3.06	0.69	0.50	0.144	0.38	0.28
< n ² >	2.95	2.38	2.58	2.46	2.20	1.93	1.144	1.53	5.00	5.86	4.36	4.09	4.32	4.57	1.56	0.27	12.5	9 . 00	8.06	5.22	4.19	2.83	2.02	1.24
Dens		•0	0.20	0.40	0.50	0,60	0.70	0.80	1	0.04	0.20	0.40	0.50	0.60	0.70	0.80) 	0.06	0.20	0700	0.50	0.60	0.70	0.80
es Box	32	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	32	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	32	30	õ	17	14	17	14	14
#Fram	200	100	100	100	20	20	20	8	100	25	10	t	1 2	10	ر کر	- 7	у С	50	<u>ب</u>	<u>ي</u> :) []	عد t	4.	л, ,
#Runs	10	5	10	Ø	9	10	9	8	40	10	9	4	12	12	4	1	20	4	ω	9	4	4	ŝ	5
Lenoth	6	, 01 10	10	10	10	10	10	10	1ر کرا	20	,20	20	20	20	20	20	32	õ	30	8	30	õ	õ	õ

Single Bead Movements. Equilibrium Data.

:	-		4	"	24	Ţ	ţ	-2.	7 + 0	ンサン	7 + 2	ر م	ר + נו
Length	#Runs	#Frames	Box	Dens	∧ { 	sta o o		~ 6 ∃ ⊆	ν γ α α α		0 10 7 7 7	1 60	0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
6	2	06	у Л		54.2	02.0	07.1	24.2			<u>_</u>	1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	22.0
*10	10	500	α	0.02	t 1 1 1		1.64.0	t1•01	0.21	1.62	o	1.054	0.02
*10	10	500	9	0.19			0.51	4.37	0.19	282	2	1.597	0.02
*10	10	1400	9	0.42			0.26 1	3 . 89	0.28	267	6	1.543	0.03
10	ω	20	10	0.50	3.47	0.40	0.32 1	3.74	0.38	264.60	17	رگر ا	0.40
10	9	20	10	0.50			0.24 1	5 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	0.32	257	20	1.50	0.06
*10	10	400	9	0,60	1 1 1 1		0.24 1	3. 55	0.32	257	11	1.506	0.06
*20	10	200	æ	0.04	1 1 1 1		1.45 3	5.75	0.90	1082	74	1.892	0.05
*20	ഹ	100	8	0.20			0.92 3	14.07	1.45	1661	135	1.793	0.08
*20	ഹ	100	2	0.41	1	1 1 1	0.50	31.63	1.53	1446	129	1.665	0.08
*20	у	100	2	0.52	1 1 1 1		0.32 3	30.6 9	1.65	1387	132	1.613	0.09
ר ער	10	100	32	1 1 1 1	L.32	0.63	1.38 2	5.02	0.86	879	51	1.79	0.06
20	8	25	20	0.04	5.40	0.64	1.36 3	5.90	0.74	1829	68.5	1.89	0.04
20	5	4	20	0.20	3.49	2.82	0.59 3	5.44	1.90	1791	282	1.87	0.20
20	5	2	10	0.40	2.71	7.50	0.50 3	1.53	1°00	14.67	349	1.66	0.21
20	m	20	10	0.50	3.63	0.40	0.28 3	1.10	2.50	1438	213	1.64	0.13
20	m	6	10	0.60	4. 32	02.0	0.16 3	1.54	1.73	14386	386	1.66	60 •0
32	20	25	32	1 5 1 1	10.35	l4.81	1.44	7.25	5.4	6467	1214	2.17	0.21
30	20	х	14	0.04	6.61	0.64	1.41 5	9.17 (0.6	5056	109	2.0l	0.02
90	<u>г</u>	Lt	14	0.20	4.27	2.00	0.76 5	3.95	7.0	4216	1080	1.86	0.24
8	2	M	14	0.40	4.33	1.70	0.33 4	9.87 9	6.6	3665	1482	1.72	0.34
30	2	5	17	0.50	4.81	2.12	0.18 5	. 96.0	12	4023	1840	1.76	0.41

45

Table 4.3

Concentration dependence of the end to end Length. Data from A. Bellemans and E. De Vos

	N=10			N=20		N	=30	
b	$\langle 1^2 \rangle$	م م	P	<1 ² >	بر ک	6	$\langle 1^2 \rangle$	s Y
0,0000	14.7806	1.642	0,0000	36.32 F.0]	3 1.910	0000	· 60.40 ± •05	2.083
0.09.30	14.587 ± .027	1.621	0.1006	35.37 .21	1.860	0.1111	57.40 .19	1.979
0.2015	14.312 .020	1.590	0.2138	34.22 .07	1.801	0.1901	55.94 .37	1.929
.2933	14.111 .023	1.568	0.2975	33.35 .11	1.755	0.3053	52.88 .29	1.823
0.3608	13.988 .018	1.544	0.3975	32.49 .21	1.710	0.3884	52.17 .19	1.799
0.4506	13.786 0.034	1.532	0.4975	31.73 .10	1.670			
0.4858	13.707 0.013	1.528	0.5975	30.71 .20	1.616	0.4999	48.812 = 32	1.683
0.5729	13.519 0.018	1.502				0.5997	49.17 .14	1.696
0.5867	13.515 .034	1.502	0.5957	31.06 ±.32	1.635	0.6995	47.76 .26	1.647
0.7262	13.218 .033	1.476	0.6982	30.57 .32	1.609	.*		
0.7438	13.199 .037	1.467	0.6982	30.36 .30	1.598	1.0000	14.70 ±.97	1.541
0.8695	13.018 .040	1.446	0.7910	29.50 .45	1.553			
			0.7910	29.13 .20	1.533			
0.7983	13.122±.090	1.458	0.8495	28.17 .29	1.483			
0.8423	13.084 0.53	1.545	0.8496	30.79 .27	1.621			
0.8986	12.896 .057	1.433	0.8984	27.04 .37	1.530			
0.9497	12.924 .088	1.436						
			1.0000	28.34 ±.15	1.492			
1.0000	12.79 ± .02	1.421						

was obtained using a computer model the same as in the present studies. --The second set of data was obtained by use of a model in which empty --The first set of data at each chain length (up to the first blank line)

--The data given for polymer volume fraction of one was obtained by lattice sites are moved instead of beads. extrapolation of the computer results. Table 4.4 G. The dependence of $\langle 1^2 \rangle$ on chain length

density	а	_
0.04	1.18	$\langle 1^{2} \rangle$ or $(N-1)^{\alpha}$
0.20	1.16	
0.40	1.11	
0.50	1.12	
0.60	1.06	
0.70	1.12	
0.80	1.17	

b. The dependence of $\langle l^2 \rangle$ on density

1	Y	V(Curro)	Y (Bishop)	<12> 05 p-Y
5			.06±.01	
10	.07±.005		.13 ± .02	
1 5		.076		
20	.12 * .005	.077	.163 .02	
30	.13 [±] .04			
32			•20 ± •03	
50			•22 ± •02	
70			.23 ±.02	

Mixed bead movements. Dynamic Properties.

(1)																								
$T_s D_t^{[a]}$	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.04	0.03	0.03	0.04	0.05	0.06	0.04	0.01	0.03	0.04	0°03	0.01	0.03	0.03	0.40	0.04
in Ts	-1.88	-1.66	-1.56	-1.02	-0.70	-0.39	0.25	1.17	-1.46	-1.35	-1.20	-0-43	0.02	0.36	1.13	2.01	-1.13	-0.89	-0.80	-0.19	0.12	0.58	1.469	2.411
<i>ت</i> ب .	0.15 2.02	0.19	0.21	0.36	$0.50 \pm .08$	0.68 ± 131	1.20 ± .21	3.23 ± .36	0.23	0.26±.01	0.30±.05	0.65±.06	1.02 ± .18	1.43 ± 15	3.09 2.32	7.46±3	0.32	0.41 2.01	0.45	0.83	1.13	1.79±.38	4.30±1.4	11.1±4.9
Δf	0.035	0.002	0.01	0.02	0.04	0.04	0.05	0.04	0.05	0.05	0.06	0.10	0.04	0.05	0.07	0.07	0.06	0.06	0.04	0.07	0.07	0.09	0.1	0.1
1/6	0.14	0.15	0.19	0.30	0.41	0.59	1.00	2.13	0.16	0.20	0.27	0.45	0.65	1.04	1.90	4.61	0.26	0.29	0.37	0.565	0.734	1.239	2.86	4.91
#Chains	f -	L	20	40	50	60	70	80	1	16	80	160	25 25	30	35	h 0		36	17	18	46	л Л	64	73
#Frames	8000	5000	1000	800	300	200	120	· 1 28	1,000	250	60	32	180	120	120	240	500	80	120	36	32	140	24	20
/ Fr.Len.	0.150	0.120	0.180	0.310	0.395	0.650	0.700	2.1 00	0.18	0.210	0.25	0.38	0.606	0.696	0.623	0.720	0.300	0.36	0.450	0.420	0.487	0.540	0.676	1.10
th Density	1 2 1	0.04	0.20	0.40	0.50	0.60	0.70	0.80	1 1 1	0.04	0.20	0.40	0.50	0.60	0.70	0.80	1	90.0	0.20	0.40	0.50	0.60	0.70	0.80
Lengt	6	, C	10	10	10	10	10	10	1 7	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	32	R	30	80	õ	õ	8	õ

48

Table 4.6

Single bead movements. Dynamic Properties

ngth	Density	Fr.Len.	#Frames	#Chains	The	۵P	-ر	In Ts	To 2 Kir
	 0.02	0.33 1.00	2700 5000	~ ~	0.33 (0.37 0.44	-0.99 -0.82	0.04 0.036
	0.19	1.00	2000	Ļ	0.LLL	1	0.56	-0.58	0.036
_	0.42	1.00	4000	6	0.73 -		0.96	-0.04	0.035
_	0.50	1.80	160	20	1.28 (-0h	1.28	0.25	0.03
~	0.60	1.40	120	60	1.36 (0.50	1.31	0.27	0.02
~	0,60	1.25	400	13	1.36		1.76	0.57	0.034
0	0.04	1.25	2000	-	0.71	1	1.13	0.12	0.046
~	0.20	1.25	500	w	1.00	1	1.45	0.37	0.039
~	0.41	1.25	500	7	1.57 .		2.06	0.72	0.032
0	0.52	1.25	500	6	2.15		2.77	1.02	0.029
10	1	0.50	1000		0.50	10 . 0	0.65	-0.43	0.04
~	0.04	0.71	200	16	0.70 (10.0	0.91.17	-0.09	0.03
~	0.20	1.00	8	80	0.95 (0.17	1.32	0.28	0.02
~	0.40	1.57	4	160	1.40 (0.14	2.13	0.76	0.04
~	0.50	2.15	60	2 <u>7</u>	2.34 (0.07	l t.20±. 9	1.44	0.04
~	0.60	4.80	18	30	3.43 (2.07	7.58±.12	2.03	0.04
			τ υ	Ŧ	1 18 1	90	1 1.0± 10		
							ר עלי יייייייייייייייייייייייייייייייייי		
_	0.04	0.100		ţç					
~	0.20		54	20		⊇ { •			
~	0.40	2.80	Ω,	37	2.93 1	۲.۲.+	4.17	1.43	0.03
~	0.50	l4.60	Ø	146	4.69	1.69	7.14±3	1.97	0.03

Densities at which chain entanglements begin Mixed Moves

Chain Length	<u> </u>	
10	0.54	Ratio 1 : .91 : .86
20	0.49	Predicted Ratio 1 : .6 : .4
30	0.42	

Table 4.8

The dependence of relaxation times on chain length

Density	Slope of In \mathcal{T}_{s}	vs LN(N)	Slope of LNT &	vs LN(N)
	Mixed	Single	Mixed	Single
1 chain	0.58	1.18	0.50	1.09
0.04	0.68	1.17	0.58	1.13
0.2	0.67	1.40	0.60	1.39
0.4	0.76	1.18	0.57	1.31
0.5	0.77	2.04	0.54	1.58
0.6	0.90		0.70	2.09
0.7	1.13		0.95	
0.8	1.16		0.79	

Relaxation of $l^{(L^2, L^2, T)}$

Length	Densit	y Tye	ΔP	Ts	DT,
10	0.04	0.603	0 • 0 4	0 • 0 4	0 • 0 1
10	0.20	0.04	0 ፍ 0 4	0 • 0 5	0.01
10	0.40	0.07	0.07	0.11	0,02
10	0,50	0.07	011	0.17	0.05
10	0 \$ 60	0.09	0.15	0 • 13	0 • 08
10	0.70	0.17	0.15	0•23	0.14
10	0 • 80	0.26	032	0.56	0.15
20	0 • 0 4	0.03	0 🔹 8 0	0 @ 05	0 🔹 0 1
20	0,20	0.03	0 • 2 1	0 🔹 0 8	0.01
20	0 ≈ 40	0.04	0.#43	0.06	0 • 0 1
20	0. 50	0.09	0.13	0 • 0 9	0 🔹 0 2
20	0.60	011	0 • 35	0.16	0 • 16
20	0 • 70	0.26	0.22	0₅52	0.19
20	0 • 80	0.41	0 • 26	0 • 7 4	0.25
30	0 🛭 0 4	0 @ 0 4	0 • 24	0 🔹 0 7	0.01
30	0 • 20	003	0 • 17	0 * 07	0.02
30	0.40	0.07	0.30	0.13	0.13
30	0,50	0.08	0 • 2 1	0.21	019
30	0.60	0 • 0 4	0,25	0.21	0₅20
30	0.70	0.12	0.19	0.26	0.20
30	080	0.20	0.42	0.65	0.25



D (number of Lattice Sites)


















































APPENDIX A

A Solution of the Eigenvalue Problem.

The matrix A derived in Chapter 1 may be written as

by preforming a series of row and column additions on the original matrix. This matrix may be diagonalized by an orthogonal transformation,

$$R^{-1}AR = \Lambda = \lambda_i \delta_{ij}$$

where λ_{ρ} is the pth eigenvalue of A, and \mathcal{J}_{cj} is the Kronecker delta.

Writing A as the sum of two matrices, C and D gives

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & \cdot & \cdot & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & -1 & 0 & \cdot & \cdot & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & -1 & \cdot & \cdot & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ & & & & \cdot & \cdot & & \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdot & \cdot & -1 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdot & \cdot & 0 & -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 & 0 & \cdot & \cdot & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 & \cdot & \cdot & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & \cdot & \cdot & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & \cdot & \cdot & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdot & \cdot & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdot & \cdot & 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$$

= C + D. The orthogonal marix, R, which diagonalizes C also diagonalizes D. The eigenvalues of A are related to the eigenvalues, c_i , of C by 37

$$\lambda_i = 2 + C_i \quad . \tag{A1}$$

The eigenvalues of C are easily found by considering a more general matrix, $\widehat{\mathcal{H}}$, which is of the save form as C,

Using the orthogonal transformation $B \pi B^{-1} = \lambda_i S_{ij}$, or $B \pi = \lambda B$ with $B = (\beta_i, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_{N-1})$, yields upon multiplication the following set of second order difference equations:

 $P \beta_{K-1} + q \beta_{K+1} = \lambda_{K} \beta_{K}$ (k = 0,1,2,... N-1). (A2) The quantities β_{C} and β_{M} are set to zero¹⁸.

This set of equations may be solved by assuming a solution of the form 3^{39}

$$\beta_{\kappa} = \alpha r^{\kappa} . \tag{A3}$$

Substituting A3 into A2 shows that this can be a solution only if r is a root of the quardratic

$$p - \lambda r + qr^2 = 0.$$
 (A4)

There will, in general, be two distinct, independent roots to this quardratic, r_i and r_2 . Therefore a solution to the difference equation (A2) will be in the form of a geometric progression

$$\beta_{k} = \alpha_{i} r_{i}^{k} + \alpha_{j} r_{j}^{k} . \qquad (A5)$$

Because $\beta_0 = \beta_m = 0$, it is easy to show that $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 = 0$ and that $r_1^{(n)} = r_2^{(n)}$. Rewriting this last equation gives

$$r_i = r_2 \exp(2\pi i j)$$
, or

 $r_i = r_2 \exp(2\pi i j/N)$ for j = 0, 1, 2, ..., N-1. (A6) where³⁹ $i = \sqrt{-i}$ and $\exp(2\pi i j) = \cos 2j\pi + i \sin 2j\pi = 1 + i \cdot 0$ = 1.

By equation A6, r, and r_2 must be complex conjugates of each other. The absolute values of r, and r_2 may be found by using this fact as follows, $r^2 - \frac{1}{q} + \frac{p}{q} = (r - r_1)(r - r_2) = 0$. Equating the coefficients of the two equations gives $\lambda = q(r_1 + r_2)$ and $r_1 r_2 = \frac{p}{q}$. The roots of A4 are $r = \frac{p}{q} \exp(\frac{\pi i j}{N})$ and

$$r_{2} = \sqrt{p/q} \exp(-\pi i j/N) \quad (j = 0, 1, 2, ..., N-1).$$
 (A7)

The eigenvalues of Π are $\lambda_i = q(r_i + r_2) = -2\sqrt{pq} \cos(\pi i/N)$ j = (1,2, ..., N-1). Substituting p = q = -1 gives $c_i = 2\cos(\pi i/N)$ (i = 1,... N-1), and from equation Al $\lambda_i = 2 + 2\cos(\pi i/N) = 4\sin^2(\pi i/2N)$ (i = 1, ... N-1) (A8) The corresponding eigenvectors are given by the columns of the matrix R. The elements of R are given by Verdier⁴⁰ as $R_{nj} = \cos((n - 1/2\pi j/N))$.

APPENDIX B

Procedure used for the calculation of Diffusion Data

The exact number of lattice sites each chain moved during some time interval was calculated by the following method. This method is valid only if the chain length is less than or equal to the box size.

The subroutine performing the bead movements needed two arrays. These are IMOVE(I,J) and IWALL(I,J). The subscript I is 1,2, or 3 if the chain moved in the x,y, or z dimension, respectively. The J subscript is used to denote the chain of interest.

Each time an end bead moves out of the box a one is added to or subtracted from IMOVE(I,J). If the new coordinates of the bead in the I dimension is greater than the box size then a one is added. Otherwise a one is subtracted from IMOVE(I,J). The wall through which the the bead moved is also recorded. The walls are defined as:

Wall Hit	I	IWALL(I,J)
x < 0	1	1
x > Box	1	4
y < 0	2	2
y > Box	2	5
z < 0	3	3
z > Box	3	6

Initially, IMOVE(I,J) is set to zero if the chain is connected. If it is broken then IMOVE(I,J) is set to -1 if 0 < the center of the chain < Box Size/2. If Box Size/2 \leq the Center of mass of the chain then IMOVE(I,J) is set to +1. If the chain is broken more than once then the diffusion of the chain is not calculated for that frame. This initialization procedure also occurs before each call to the subroutine which performs bead movements. The center of mass of each chain is calculated by summing over the x, y, or z coordinates and dividing by the number of beads in the chain. These sums always start with the first bead of the chain. If the difference in coordinates between two beads is greater than one then the chain is split and a box dimension is added or subtracted to the bead coordinate before the center of mass is calculated. This is to say that the center of mass is always calculated from a fully connected chain. If the center of mass lies outside the box then a box dimension is added to or subtracted from it to put it inside the box.

The diffusion is then calculated by the procedure outlined in Figure Bl. The variables in this flowchart are defined as

- CMO(I,J) = the position of the center of mass of the chain the last time its properties were sampled.
- CM(I,J) = the current position of the center of mass of the chain

Box = the box dimension and

DCM = the distance the chain has moved in one of the three dimensions since the last time its properties were sampled.

The function INT(A) where A is a floating point number is defined as INT(A) = largest integer $\leq |A|$.

There is one exception to this procedure which had to be accounted for. This case is outlined in Figure B2. Diffusion is considered in the x dimension only. The bead represented by the open circle is bead number 1. The arrows mean that some number of bead movements have occured to cause the chain to reach the new configuration pictured. It was reached in such a way that the variales IMOVE(I,J) and IWALL(I,J) set according to the above rules are as shown.

To calculate DCM by the procedure of Figure Bl the following choices would be made:

- (1) The chain is not connected
- (2) A wall was hit
- (3) The center of mass, CM(I) is nearest wall 1

(4) Wall 1 waw hit.

The formula used to calculate DCM is, then

DCM = CM(1) - CMO(1,1) + INT(IMOVE(1,1) + INT(IMOVE(1,1)/2)
* BOX. Substituting for these variables from Fig B2 gives

$$DCM = 2.2 - 7.3 + 0 = -5.10$$
.

This is clearly wrong. The chain did not move 5.10 lattice sites to the left but 10.2 - 7.3 = 2.9 lattice sites to the right.

The correction was made to the program by the addition of the subroutine CHAIN. This subroutine checks to see if an end bead moves through a wall, and then through the opposite wall. If this type of movement is detected the IWALL(I,J) is set to zero. In the above example, the choices made in Bl are

- (1) The chain is not connected
- (2) no wall was hit.

Therefore, DCM = CM(I) - CMC(1,1) = 2.2 - 7.3 = -5.10and

(3) -5.10 < BOX/2, so

DCM = 8.0 - 5.10 = 2.90,

which is correct.





Figure B2

Example of diffusion in the

x dimension for a

1 chain system







IMOVE(1,1) = 1IWALL(1,1) = 1

APPENDIX C

:The Simulation Program

	Page
Main Program	89
Subroutines	
Ncyc	94
Prime	95
Sample	98
Prtmap	100
Print	101
Skj	101
Ldmap	103
Chain	105
Cycle	107
Chmap	120
Ctmap	121
Pack	122

89 0025 PAGE 13 1 ÷, 00000010 00000020 00000020 00000590 00000580 00000580 COMMON/CORFEN/FLSO3(50), FLFUR(50), NCYCS (35), TCYCS(35), TCNC(35), T CORSM(2, 50, 35), CCRFF(4, 50, 35), 1 SA MP, I NCR, BCX(3), SDCM(3, 50), 2 SVDCM (50), KSB(50), KFRAME(50), D2(50), D4(50), AVGL 2(2, 50), 3 AVGL 4(2, 50) COMMON/CEDSS/ALF(4,50,500),CROSS(5,2,50),START(3,50),START2(50), 2 RCEDSS(5,2,50),RCRCS2(5,2,50),CCRDSS(5,2),CCRDS2(5,2) FILE DISK THIS PROGRAM HANDLES CNLY CHAINS THAT ARE ALL THE SAME LENGTH GFEATER THAN & BEADS LENG IT IS FOR EXCLUDED VOLUME CONDITIONS ONLY DIFFUSION CALCULATIONS ARE EXACT, BUT THE BOX SIZE WUST BE AT LEAST AS LARGE AS THE CHAIN LENGTH FOO THE DIFFUSION TO BE CORRECT. DF. FEAD 12,11... FREAD 12,11... FORMATC3110) FORMATC3110) FORMATC3110) FORMATC3110 FORMATC3110 FORMATC3110 FINAL BEAD CONFIGURATION FOUT=1 WRITE FINAL BEAD CONFIGURATION AS SPECIFIED ON FIC9F001 ON JCL AS SPECIFIED ON FIC9F001 ON JCL N N N SEED COMMON/FREQ/D 2FR (30), NFN (50) DATA SPACE/1H / DIMENSION AVG (4), COPFC(4) NUMBER . INTEGEP#4 LT48(3) READ THS PANDOM READ 10,194N A00. HEADER NUSN 01 CONAT(110) NI VW PPINT S FORMAT (READ 10 D N V U ũ. 11 H H MEMBER -NIN-10 12 20 0m 40 يۇر. • ΰů UU ں U 0000000 Q υ υυυυ U ţ

e

) -

.



00001180 00001180 00001210 00001220 00001220 00001220 00001250 00001250 00001250 00001250	00001350 00001350 00001350 00001350 00001350 00001350 00001350 00001410 00001420 00001420 00001420 00001420 00001420 00001420 00001450		00001640 00001650 00001650 00001650 00001710 00001710 00001720 00001720 00001720
	AVGL2(1.4)=0.00 D44(1)=0.00 D22(1)=0.00 D22(2)=0.00 D22(2)=0.00 D22(2)=0.00 D22(2)=0.00 D22(2)=0.00 D22(2)=0.00 D23(2)=1.00 D24(2)=0 D25(2)=1.00	580 CCPFN(I.J.K)=0.0 CALL PRIME C COMPUTE THE FRACTION CF EXCLUDED VOLUME CONFLICTS PEVC=FLCAT(NTEV)/FLCAT(NCYCLE) C COMPUTE THE BEAD DENSITY BDEN=FLCAT(N)/(BCX(I)*BOX(2)*BOX(3)) IF (IOUT=G0.0) GO TO 585 WRITE (9.595) (NTAB(J).J=1.N) 595 FORMAT (8(28,4X)) C COMPUTT AND PRINT DATA FOF EACH CHAIN C COMPUTT AND PRINT DATA FOF EACH CHAIN	C 585 D7 FRO J=1, ISAMP PRINT 40.HEADER PRINT 500.NEUN.NFRAME.NCYCLE.PFVC S90 FFSWAT (00.1120N= 13.NFRAME= 14. TOTAL BEAD CYCLES= 1 *IS.XFV CONF='F6.4) C COMPUTE THE NUMBER OF BEADS IN JTH CHAIN NBENEND(J)-NSTAF(J)1 PRINT 600 FOUMET(00:J)1XSIIS.ISN.BDEN *14. BDEN='F6.4) *12. TOTAL BEADS='F6.4) *11. TOTAL BEAD CYCLES= '

PAGE 0027

91

۲

•

PAGE 0028 92 ۰. 00001925 00001925 00001925 00001925 00001925 00001950 00001950 00001760 00001770 00001780 000018200000182000001820 00002050 00002050 00002070 00002080 00002080 00002080 000002120 00002130 00002130 00002130 00002130 00002150 00002170 00002170 00002190 00002200 00002210 000022500002250 00002260 00002280 00002280 00002280 1870 06110000 00002030 00002040 00002330 1850 1800 0000187 000023 20000 00001 <P(L2.L2,T)> F (K.NF.1) GC TC 650 RINT F&0.K.(COFFN(1,J.K),I=1,2),ALN1;(CQRFN(1,J.K),I=3,4),ALN2 DGMAT(1H0.13,PX.F9.3.3X.F6.3.3X.F7.3.F9.3.F6.3.F7.3) EUS2 (KMM, I, J) = SORT ((RCEOS2 (KMM, I, J) = FCROSS (KMM, I, J) = + 2/NRUN)/ PINT & 0.0.K,TCNC(K-1),(COFFN(I,J,K),I=1,2),ALN1,(COFFN(I,J,K), =3.4),fLN2,NCYCS(K-1),TCYCS(K-1) OFMAT(**,13,2F9.3,6X,F6.3,F7.3,F9.3,F9.3,F5.3,F7.3,IR,F12.0) FORMAT(' CROSS CORRELATION TABLE') DC 676 Kmw=1,NTIME Privt 677,KMM,(RCROSS(KMM,I,J),I=1,2),(RCROSS(KMM,I,J),I=1,2) FORMAT(' ',14,4F12,4) cMPUTE <P(L2,L2,T)>, <P(L2,L2,T)>, THIER STD AND NATURAL LCGS 0 670 K=1,KQUNT 06FN(2,J,K)=SOPT((CORFN(2,J,K)-CORFN(1,J,K)**2/NRUN) CCMPUTC <L2>,<L4>, AND THIEP STD OVER THE N RUNS AVGL2(2))=SOST((AVGL2(2,J)-AVGL2(1,J))**2/NJUN)/(NRUH-1)) AVGL2(1,J)=AVGL2(1,J)/NRUN AVGL4(2,J)=SOST((AVGL2,J)-AVGL4(1,J))**2/NRUN)/(NRUN-1)) AVGL4(1,J)=SOST((AVGL2,J)-AVGL4(1,J))*2/NRUN)/(NRUN-1)) AVGL4(1,J)=SOST((AVGL2(J))/NRUN AVGL4(1,J)=SOST((AVGL2(J))/NRUN)/(NRUN-1)) AVGL4(1,J)=SVGL2(1,J),AVGL2(2,J),AVGL4(2,J) AVGL4(10,*VGL2(1,J),AVGL2(2,J),AVGL4(1,J),AVGL4(2,J) AVGL4(10,*VGL2)=',FG,S,STD=',F9,S,'CL4>=',F15,3, *'STD',FG,STD',FG,S'STD=',F9,S,'CL4>=',F15,3, GDFN(1, J,K)=CORFN(1, J,K)/NRUN APFN(4, J,K)=SQPT((CORFN(4, J,K)-CΩPFN(3, J,K)**2/NRUN) Z D3 = 0.0 IF ((NEN(J) -EQ.0).0P.(NRN(J).EQ.1)) GD TD 619 D3=SQHT((D4(J) -D2(J)**2/NHN(J))/(NGN(J)-1)) IF (NEN(J).143.0) D2(J)=D2(J)/NRN(J) IF (NEN(J).EQ.0) D2(J)=D2(J)/NRN(J) IF (NEN(J).EQ.0) D2(J) = 0.0 FTNT 4.0.0 22(J).D3 FOGMÁT(20...CD**22/FFAME=*,F8.3,*STD = *,F7.3) sto <D**2> AND ITS STD DVER THE PUNS CHAINS CHOSS (KWM, I, J)=RCROSS(KMM, I, J) /NRUN <P(L.L.T)>
TCYCS.) ALL THE COFFN(3,3,4,K)=COFFN(3,4,K)/NRUN FMP=COFFN(1,4,K) F(TEMP+LF,0,0) TEVE=0001 (LV1=ALD(F(TEMP)) EMP=CDFFN(3,J,K) F(TFMP+LT+000) TEMP=+00001 LN2=ALCG(TEMP) T NCYCS CALCULATE AVERAGES DVER DO 675 KMW=1,NTIME DO 676 1=1,2 TNUCH. とこうぶ ((I-NCON)) (I-NINN) () ราง 2 PEINT 200 ((I - MO aN) * CONTINUE STD CONTINUE FORMAT(-C C NPU T COMPUTE FCRMAT PLA SIT 2 2 1 1 1 675 P(660 FC 670 CC a ₩ * M EMB FC 640 620 680 640 650 678 é l c 800 c T 621 000υυ $\mathbf{u}\mathbf{u}$ $\cup \cup$ ١ ١ ò ١ ١ ٠ ١ ١

ï

- C. Handerson C. -

00002840 00002850 00002850 00002860 00002890 00002890 0005 Ó ì 1 · , I 4 , MP.LE.0.0) TEMP=.0001 Lng(TEMP) NE.1) GJ TO 755 640.K.(CDPFC(I).I=1.2).ALN1.(CDFFC(I).I=3.4).ALN2 COFFC(2)=SQFT((CORFC(2)-COFFC(1)**2/ISAMP)/(ISAMF-1)) CCFFC(1)=COFFC(1)/ISAMP CCFFC(4)=SOFT((COFFC(4)-COFFC(3)**2/ISAMP)/(ISAMP-1)) CCFFC(4)=SOFT((COFFC(4)-COFFC(3)**2/ISAMP)/(ISAMP)/ CCFFC(5)=COFFC(6)/ISAMP TEMP=CFCFC(1) IF (TEMP+LE.0.0) TEMP=.0001 ALN1=FLC6(TEMP) IF (ISAMP.LE.2) GO TO 915 PRINT 40. HEADER PPINT 600. KCHAIN.NRUN.NFRAME 690 FFRAMET (0.XVFRAGES OF '.12, 'CHAINS FOR '.13, RUNS OF * FFRAMES EACH') 20 b5 720 T=1.4 20 b5 730 J=1.153MP AVG(1)=0.0 2 VG(1)=0.0 2 VG(2)=AVGL2(1,J)+AVG(1) 2 VG(2)=AVGL2(1,J)+AVG(1) 2 VG(2)=AVGL4(1,J)+AVG(1) 2 VG(2)=AVGL4(1,J)+AVG(1) 2 VG(2)=AVGL4(1,J)+AVG(1) 2 VG(2)=2 (J)+10 2 VG(2)=2 VG(1)/15AMP 2 VG(2)=2 VG(1)/15AMP 2 VG(1)=2 VG(1)/15AMP 2 VG(1)/15A 1 BEADS 700 FCENT 700.HCYCLE.PEVC.BDEN 700 FCENAT(14) TOTAL BEAD CYCLES.18, "%EV/100",F6.4, * 9EAD DENSITY ',F6.4) PFINT 710.1X5.1Y5.1Z5.N.1SAMP 710 FCENET(14, '90X ',12.2('X',12), 'TOTAL'* DF BEADS *1Å.'TOTAL * OF CHAINS ',13) WGITE(8, 240) IP, COFFC(1) FC(3) NI AM 12 · X) См1461 Еман СО Еман СО 01=0.0 03=0.0 PRINT MEMBER NAME C N N N L a 130 720 740 750 131

93

)

i

00003420 000034430 000033440 000033440 00003460 00003460 00003470 000025520 000025520 000025530 000022530 000022550 00002550 SUBREVITIME NEVE Neve calculates the number of eveles that will elapse between samples. C CMMANH/CORFN/FL SOR (50), FL FOUR (50), NCYCS (35), TCYCS (35), TCNC(35), CORSM (2, 50, 35), COPFN (4, 50, 35), ISAMP, INCR, BCX(3), SDCM(3,50), SVDCM (50), KSB(50), KFRAME (50), D2 (50), D4 (50), AV GL 2 (2, 50), AVGL 4 (2, 50) IF (NFFAME-LE-5) NTIME=NFRAME IF (NFFAME-LE-5) NTIME=SFRAME DD 940 KMM=1.NTIME DD 940 KMM=1.NTIME DD 940 KMM=1.NTIME DD 940 KMM+1.00 DD 770 J=1.15AM DD 770 J=1.15AM DD 770 J=1.15AM CGFD55 (KMM+1)=0.0 DD 770 J=1.15AM CGFD55 (KMM+2)=FCFD55 (KMM,1.J)+FCFPD55 (KMM,2) CGFD55 (KMM+2)=FCFD55 (KMM,2.J)+FCFPD55 (KMM,2) CFD555 (KMM+2)=FCFD55 (KMM,2.J)+FCFD55 (KMM,2) CFD555 (KMM+2)=FCFD55 (KMM,2.J)+FCFD55 (KMM,2) CFD555 (KMM+2)=FCFD55 (KMM,2.J)+FCFD55 (KMM,2) CFD555 (KMM+2)=FCFD55 (KMM,2.J)+FCFD55 (KMM+2) CFD555 (KMM+2)=FCFD55 (KMM+2) CFD555 (KMM+2) CFD555 (KMM+2)=FCFD555 (KMM+2) CFD555 (KMM+2) CFD555 (KMM+2) CFD555 (KMM+2)=FCFD555 (KMM+2) CFD555 (KMM+2) > FETHT RE0.K*TCNC(K-1).(CORFC(1).1=1.2).ALN1.(CORFC(1).1=3.4). *CLN2.NCYCS(K-1).TCYCS(K-1) WEITT(8.540) TCNC(K-1).CORFC(1) D FDRMAT(**2F6.3) COMMAN 14, 19, 19, N, IXS, IYS, 1ZS, IN, ICHAIN, ISUM(3), IS(3), ISEP(3) IFEAME, MFCAME, KCHAIN, NCHAIN, NRUN, IFUH, NY CLE, NEVT, KYS, IPRINT, KOUNT, TABLE(32), IMOVE(3,50), IWALL(5,50), NTAE(15000), MAP(4095), NSTART(15000), NEN(15,00) in the second FORMAT(" TIME P(L,L) STD P(L2,L2) STD') DC 700 KMM=1,NTIVE PRIVI 830,KMM,(CCROSS(KMM,I),I=1,2),(CCROS2(KMM,I),I=1,2) FORMAT(",12,4F12.4) CCENTS: (*úM,1)=CCENCSS(KMM,1)/ISAMP CCENS2(KMM,2)=SOBT((CCENS2(KMM,2)-CCRUS2(KMM,1)**2/ISAMP)/ *(ISAMP-1)): -IS FORMAT (THE DISTRIBUTION FUNCTION FOR D++2 FORMAT() CROSS COFFELATION TABLE') Print 320 Format(' time P(L,L) Sto P(L) CCF052(KMM, 1)=CCP052(KMM,1)/ISAMP PPINT 310 F(D**2) •) READ 10. FACTOR, FCUBE, FADD PRINT C10 FURMAT('0 D**2 DD 020 I=1,30 D2FP(I) = D2FF(I)/NPUN PEINT 930, L. D2F4(1) FORMAT(* *, 18, 5X, F8.4) NI ÝN V VI V 940 FORMAT(760 CONTINUE MEMBER NAME 61 10 745 Petrit 2015 Nm N104 190 920 930 1000 830 810 910 006 84.0 820 770 780 i υυ υ υ 0 -{È £ C ¢ e

..... 00004030 00004010 00004020 00004050 • C PWUUNC JR3FN/FL SOP (5 0) • FL FDUR (50) • NCYCS (35) • TCYCS (35) • TCNC(35) • CDFSM (2, 50, 35) • CDFFN (4, 50, 35) • ISAMP • I NCF • BCX(3) • SDCM(3, 50) • SVDCM (50) • KSB(50) • KF RAME (50) • D2 (50) • C4 (50) • AV GL 2(2, 50) • AV GL 2(2, 50) • AV GL 2(2, 50) • C4 (50) • C4 (50 TCYCS/N##3 ÷ u i COMMCN N, IR, IPAN, IXS, IYS, IZS, IN, ICHAIN, ISUM(3), IS(3), ISEP(3), IFF&MT, MFRAME, KCHAIN, NCHAIN, NPUN, IFW.N, NCYCLE, NEVT, KYS, IPRIMT, KOUNT, TABLE(32), IMGVE(3,50), " I MALL(5,50), NTAE(15000), MAP(4096), NSTAFT(15000), NEND(15000)). • F7.0) "+F10.3,5X, FADD IN CREASED 11 PPINT 200.TDTAL FOPMAT (1H0, TOTAL NUMBER OF BEAD CYCLES PER FRAME PFINT 210 FOPMAT (1H0, TNEW = INT(TOLD*FACTOR) + FADD') PRINT 20 PRINT 20,(1,0000 DF SAMPLE NCYCS PRINT 230,(1,0000 Cf), TCYCS(1),TCNC(1),I=1,KYS) FORMAT (1H,19,112,F12,1,F15,4) = ',13,5X ы С MUST H WEITE (1.30) KYS, MULES PER FRAME 80 FORMAT. (140, NUMBER OF SAMPLES PER FRAME * NUMBLA OF BEEZS = 0,15) PRINT 90, FACTOR = 0,F10.3,5X, FCUBE 90 FCPMAT (140, FACTOR = 0,F10.3,5X, FCUBE COUNT ER NEND(1)-NSTART(1)+1 L = AINT(FCUEE*FLCAT(IN*IN*N)) = 0.0 F MORE THAN 50 SAMFLES ARE DESIRED. OF F0 1=1.50 DY F0 1=1.50 XYS = KYS + 1 KYS = KYS + 1 FYS = 1000 0 0.30,20 THOFW = TOTAL) 40.30,20 20 THEW = TOTAL) 40.30,20 40 NCVCS(1) = THEW - TOLD TOVCS(1) = THEW - TOLD TOUCO 1 = THEW DES IRED. CRAAFT (14, INCYCS RESPONDING!) INTEGER*4 T.NOFP END SUBSOUTINE PPIME GF 10.4) NI WW NETUEN 10.3) PG INT N V N C U-am اند * L. MEMBFR arri d 210 с. Г 70 200 220 230 60 308 4 1 į 50 م میں اور روسیا جاری U $v_{\rm U}v$ υ 200 ~

1

000004150 000004150 000004150 000004150 000004150 000004150 000004210 000004210 000004230 00004230 00004230 00004250 00004250 0000434000004340 000004400 000004410 000004420 000004420 000004420 000004500 000004510 000004520 000004520 00004550 00004550 00004090000000004100 000043600043700004370 0000457000004580 00004640 200 00004590 20 00004070 00004290 0 00004480 00004560 00004460 00004400 00004080 00004280 000040000 00004620 0000445 0000431 0000463 0000447 0000461 40000 00000 Ŧ COMPUTATESALE(4,50,500),CROSS(5,2,50),START(3,50),START2(50), COMPUTATE(50),FCPDS2(5,2,50),CCRCSS(5,2),CCROS2(5,2) = 1FREQ(11) ł . Jemart('1',15(1H*),3X,'START DF RUN NUMBER',12,3X,15(1H*)) DD 100 [FRAME=1,NFRAME DD 40 J=1,1SAMP KSR(J)=0 KONNT=1 ",110,2X,"IR= ",13,2X, =1.15AVF =1.15AVF 1 = 1.29 1.21.21 1.21.21 1.21.21 1.21.21 1.21.21 1.22 1. DC 50 1=1,1 S& MP 1F (KS3(J) • E0•0) KFEAME(J)=KFRAME(J)+1 DC 60 1=1,3 1F (KSH(J) •NE•0) G0 TO 60 SVDCM(J)=SDCM(1,J) **2+SVDCM(J) SUM(J) = SDCM(1,J) **2 +SUM(J) PAME, IPAN, IR, NEVT • FPAME • 13, 2X, • IPAN= CTS= • 112, 2X) NT • NFRAME) GO TO 100 COMMON/F9EQ/D2F8(30),NRN(50) DC 1000 IEUN=1,NSUN -200 5 [=1,30 IF9EQ(1) = 0 * MEMBER NAVE MAIN PERIAA IFREN(30), SUM(50) IF (KKK.LE.0) STCP 32 CALL CYCLE(KKK) NCYCLE=NCYCLE+KKK KPUVT=1+1 90. IRUN, IFRAM []=1,5 [],1,J)=0.0 [],2,J)=0.0 NFVTR KEUNTEKYS+1 DO 10 J=1+15AMP SV 10 J=1+15AMP NOFC 0 0 O.IFPAME + NOUX+ CALL SYMPLE DD 50 I=1.KYS KKK=NCYCS(I) I, J)=0.0 FL 50t (J) = 0.0 For () =0 •0 ò E TOWYS =1 • 3 u 11 ¥ 5 SUM ((SUM CONTINUE DO 50 J= FPEQ (1 H H DC 151 ຣເມລ (ິງ) D PMAT () S S C a SDCW n lad 0 L'AL A > !∪ ≉ ц. v Suus いしつい CALI ۲ ۵ u. с С ĒŸ č * ึง 5 161 65 20 30 **Å** 0 50 60 o ທ i υ -())

96

15.00 A



°, I 4) (EI . * Ð PEMAT('0',' & VG LSOR',26X,'AVGLFCUR') PEINT 150,FLSGT(J),FLFQUR(J) PEDMAT(1H ,F10.4,25X,F10.4) REINT 160,SVDCM(J),KFRAME(J) PEINT 160,SVDCM(J),KFRAME(J) PEINT 160,SVDCM(J),KFRAME(J) PEINT 150,SVDCM(J),KFRAME(J) PEINT 15(SVDCM(J),KFRAME(J) IF (SVDCM(J),NE.0) NRN(J) = NRN(J) + 1 *.F10.1] TCY CS FRANE# . 14 RUN # .. I2, FRAME F (FLFUUR(J)-FLSAR(J)**2.E0.0.0) FLG=1.0 00 200 1=1.KGUNT CDESM(1.J.1)=COPSM(1.J.1)/(FLSAP(J)*NFRAME) F (FLG.660.10) GT TO 190 CDESM(2.J.1)=(CARSM(2.J.1)/NFRAME-FLSAR(J)**2) (FLFDU3(J)-FLSOP(J)**2) AVCOR (L2*L2) SUM= (00,...vepress for chain*...12) 130.Flsof(1),Flfnur(1) (00.Flsof(1),Flfnur(1) (10.Flsof(1)/(NFtAMFKYS) ((X4'8(Z8'4X)) II) = D2FF(II)+FPEQ(II) 110, FPUN, NFRAME ('1','AVGFPAGE FOR RUN #',12,' J=1, ISAMP · ,F13.4) 4M3T(*0***FINAL CONFIGURATION OF 31TF(7+93) (NTAB(J)+J=1+N) 02M6T(8(Z8+4X)) 2(1,))=FL50F())+AVGL2(1,)) 4(1,))=FLF0U7()+AVGL4(1,)) 2(2,))=&VGL2(2,))+FLS0R())##2 &(2,))=AVGL4(2,))+FLFUUR())*#2 į LFOUP(J)/(NFR&ME#KYS) INT 220,1,(CORSM(K,J,I),K=1,2) 230 1=2,KQUNT A VCDRR (L* L) 85.J.(NTAB(I).I=JI.J2) [* *, 3x,*CFAIN# *,14/* 95 = IFSEQ(II)/NDFR DO 45 J=1.ISAMP If (kfRamg(J).EQ.0) GO TO 9 Svocm(J)=SvDcm(J)/KFRAmE(J) 1'47 210,CGPSM(1,J,1) Email(00,,CGRSM(1,J,1)= INT 260 CONT INUS CONT INUS =1 • KCHAIN IH. KOUNT .50.0 μ VGL 2(1,J)=F FOPMAT(0., FOUP (J) =F A t 3 ())=FI 200 40 =NSTAG Ę Ly could CFF (II FORMAT CET INU G=0.0 SUYUZ 0 0 J2=NE LYAND エミンドロ T (WO () 5 FNINT +NI SOS TV Idd NI 33 MEMBER NAM I LNUD HZIAD 1120 2100 A C C C ซี > L L L L 5 N 0 ï ц ц 00 Ċ С 4 Ľ, ċ ũ. Ľ. u. L. ۵. 260 900 100 100 130 140 150 190 82 110 120 160 200 210 80 r C 101 ·• # $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ 5 0 Û 0 Ó () Э Э Э) \odot) \bigcirc $(\mathbf{I}$ \bigcirc ----ميد ددوره μ,

97

i
PAGE 0034 5250	5260 5220 5280 5290	5310 6320 5330	5350 5350 5370	0450 04230 04230	5410 5420 5430	5440 5550 5470	5480 5490 55500	55210 55530 55530	5550 55550 4560	55570	5550 5600 5610	5620 5630 5630	5650	56670 5680 5690	5700 6710 5720	5730 5740 5750 5760	5770 5780 57790 58100 6810	
→ MEMBER NAME NAIN → Z20 FUGT 27041 (COPSM(K,J,I),K=1,2),TCYCS(I-1),NCYCS(I-1) 220 FUGMAT(1H,13,F12,4,9X,1PE12,4,0PF11.1,17) 0000	230 CGNTIMUR DC 240 I=1,KOUNT COPFN(1,J,1)=CCPSM(1,J,1)+CGRFN(1,J,1) COPFN(2,J,1)=CCPSM(1,J,1)*CGRFN(2,J,1) COPFN(2,J,1)=CCPSM(1,J,1)*CCRFN(2,J,1) COPFN(3,J,1)=CCPSM(2,J,1)+CCRFN(2,J,1) COPFN(3,J,1)=CCPSM(2,J,1)+CCRFN(2,J,1) COPPN(2,J,1)=CCPSM(2,J,1)+CCRFN(2,J,1) COPPN(2,J,1)=CCPSM(2,J,1)+CCRFN(2,J,1) COPPN(2,J,1)=CCPSM(2,J,1)+CCRFN(2,J,1) COPPN(2,J,1)=CCPSM(2,J,1)+CCRFN(2,J,1) COPPN(2,J,1)=CCPSM(2,J,1)+CCRFN(2,J,1) COPPN(2,J,1)=CCPSM(2,J,1)+CCRFN(2,J,1) COPPN(2,J,1)=CCPSM(2,J,1)+CCRFN(2,J,1)) COPPN(2,J,1)=CCPSM(2,J,1)+CCRFN(2,J,1) COPPN(2,J,1)=CCPSM(2,J,1)+CCRFN(2,J,1) COPPN(2,J,1)=CCPSM(2,J,1)+CCRFN(2,J,1) COPPN(2,J,1)=CCPSM(2,J,1)+CCRFN(2,J,1) COPPN(2,J,1)=CCPSM(2,J,1)+CCRFN(2,J,1) COPPN(2,J,1)=CCPSM(2,J,1)+CCRFN(2,J,1) COPPN(2,J,1)=CCPSM(2,J,1)+CCRFN(2,J,1) COPPN(2,J,1)=CCPSM(2,J,1)+CCRFN(2,J,1) COPPN(2,J,1)=CCPSM(2,J,1)+CCRFN(2,J,1)+CCRFN(2,J,1) COPPN(2,J,1)=CCPSM(2,J,1)+CCRFN(2,J,1)+CCR	240 CDEFR(4.J.I) = COESM(2.J.I) * *2 + CORFN(4.J.I) 17 (RF30×M3+LC+5) NTIME=NFRAME 17 (RF30×M3+LC+5) NTIME=NFRAME 0000 18 (RF30×B+61+5) NTIME=5 0000 19 (RF30×B+61+5) NTIME=5 0000 10 (RF30×B+61+5) NTIME=5 00000 10 (RF30+5) NTIME 00000 10 (RF30+5) NTIME 10 (RF30+5)	CFLSS(KMM:1, J)=ALF(1, J,KMM)*ST&RT(1, J)+ALF(2, J,KMM)*STAFT(2,J) 0000 *+ALF(3, J,KMM)*ST&RT(3, J) 0000 *+ALF(3, J,KMM)*START(3, J) 0000 CFLSS(KMM,2, J)=ALF(4, J,KMM)*START2(J) 0000	DEFENTENT AFRICATION 0000 DET (NET + LAFL) 60 TO 265 DET 045 TELINE DO000	<pre>[Carss(KMM, 1, J)=ALF(1, J, T)*ALF(1, J, T+KMM)+ALF(2, J, T)*ALF(2, J, T+KMM)0000 **ALF(3, J, T)*ALF(3, J, T+KNM)+CGOSS(KMM, 1, J) CFOSS(KMM, 2, J)=ALF(4, J, T)*ALF(4, J, T+KMW)+CFOSS(KMM, 2, J) 0000 0000</pre>	<pre>245 CENTINUE 265 NF=NF=KF=NH+1 CECSS(KM+1.J)=CFDSS(KMM+1.J)/(NF*FLSQR(J)) CECSS(KM+2.J)=(CFCSS(KMM+2.J)/NF=FLSQR(J)**2)/ 0000</pre>	*(FLFQUF(J)-FLSQF(J)**2) 0000 FCPOSS(KMM.1,J)=CFOSS(KMM.1,J)+FCPOSS(KMM.1,J) 0000 FCPOSS(KMM.1,J)=CFOSS(KMM.1,J)**2+FCPOSS(KMM.1,J) 0000 FCPOSS(KMM.1,J)=CFOSS(KMM.1,J)**2+FCPOSS(KMM.1,J) 0000	TCARSS (KMM, 2, J)=CTCCS(KMM, 2, J) + #2+XCXCS(KMM, 2, J) = CTCSS (KMM, 2, J)=CTCSS (KMM, 2, J)=CTCSS (KMM, 2, J) = CTCSS (KMM	200704117 290 2007034411(00.00000000000000000000000000000000	300 FORMAT('',''TIME(FRAMES), <p(l*l)> <p(l2,l2)> ') 0000 DD 320 KW115 (''') 0000</p(l2,l2)></p(l*l)>	320 PEINT 310,KM,CRCS(KM,1,J),CROSS(KM,2,J) 310 FQMAT(''',12,5X,2F12.4) 350 CENTINHE 0000	350 FORMET() THE DISTPIRUTION FUNCTION FOR 0**2/FRAME IS •) 0000	(360 FORMAT('0 D**2 F(D**2)') 0000 00 370 I = 1,30 0000) 370 PPINT 330.L.IFREQ(1) 380 FROMAT(**, 19.5X,F8.4) 380 FROMAT(**, 19.5X,F8.4)	1000 C CNTINUE PETURN C E 0000 E E ND		C COMMON N, IF, IEAN, IXS, IYS, IZS, IN, ICHAIN, ISUN(3), IS(3), ISEP(3), 0000 I IFPSAF, VFTAME, KCHAIN, NPUN, C 2 IFUN, NCYCLE, NEVT, KYS, IPPINI, KOUNT, TABLE(32), IMDVE(3,50), 0000 C 3 IWULL (3,50), NAPR(15000), MAP(4050), ADD(4050), 0000	

Γ.



-....

- 4

99

)

*







 \cap

10

ŝ

(

MAIN

MEMBER NAME C

-Q-

-(

ئـــ

-NP 1

C

 $\overline{\mathbf{U}}$

.____

(



% UDMAP: INITIAL LEADING GF WAP FROM NTAR * LOMAP: INITIALIZES MAP TO ZEFC AND THEN LEADS WAP AGGOCDING TO LTAE % PAIT IN MAP IS ONE IF THE CORRESPONDS TO A BIT IN MAP. % A REV NAP IS ONE IF THE CORRESPONDING READ LECATION CONTAINS 0000 * A REV NAP IN AND CORRESPONDING READ LECATION CONTAINS 0000 * A REVIEW CORRESPONDING READ LECATION WITH A * A REVIEW CORRESPONDING READ LECATIONS WHERE * A REVIEW CORRESPOND TO FILHER THE 32 LECATIONS WHERE * THAN OR FOUAL TO 32. TO DIFFERENTIATE BETWEEN THE SE LECATIONS WHERE * THAN OR FOUAL TO 32. TO DIFFERENTIATE BETWEEN THE SE LECATIONS WHERE * REVENTION CONTAINS TO A SET THE SE TWO CASES. A 0000 * HENCE THE CORRESPOND TO BETWEEN THE SE LECATIONS WHERE * THAN OR FOUAL TO 32. TO DIFFERENTIATE BETWEEN THE SE LECATIONS WHERE * REVENTIONS WAR AND READ LOCATIONS TO A * HENCE THE CORRESPOND TO BETWEEN THE SE TWO CASES. A 0000 * HENCE THE CORRESPOND TO BETWEEN THE SE LECATIONS WHERE * REVENTIONS WHERE A DODOODOO ON TO BETWEEN THE SE A DODO * HENCE THE READ AND READ LOCATIONS WHERE A * REVENTION AND AND READ LOCATIONS WHERE * THAN OR FOUCL TO 32. TO DIFFERENTIATE BETWEEN THE SE A DODO * HENCE THE SET A DODOODOO ON TO BETWEEN THE SE AND AND READ LOCATIONS WHERE * REVENTION AND AND READ LOCATIONS WHERE TS A * THAN ON FRANCHENTIATE BETWEEN THE SE AND AND READ LOCATIONS TO A * HENCE THE READS AND CONCOULD CONCOULD COUNCOULD * A DODO * THEN THERE BEED AT (N-1, Y, Z) CE (R-1 + 32, Y, Z). * THEN THERE BEED AT (N-1, Y, Z) CE (R-1 + 32, Y, Z). * THEN THERE BEED AT (N-1, Y, Z) CE (R-1 + 32, Y, Z). * THEN THERE BEED AT (N-1, Y, Z) CE (R-1 + 32, Y, Z). * THEN THERE BEE READS AT (R, Z, A, Z). * THEN THERE BEE READS AT (R, Z, A, Z). * THEN THERE BEER READS AT (R, Z, A, Z). * THEN THERE BEER READS AT (R, Z, A, Z). * THEN THERE BEER READS AT (R, Z, A, Z). * THEN THERE ARE READS AT (R, Z, A, Z). * THEN THERE ARE READS AT (R, Z, A, Z). * THEN THERE ARE READS LTAE 0001 00000000 00110000 00000000. T (X+Y+Z) = {&4+4+3},{&5.4+3},{55.4+3} | MEANS WC9D BUUNDARY) D'Z OCCUPY 6 BITS INDEX DF NTAE S £. لنالثا 111 z a a 200 NN NN TABLE(I)=2**(I-1) 5 IX 5.0 (1.15) 1.2.4GAIN 1.2.4GAIN 1.2.4GAIN UNUSED BIT, |) 1.2.4GAINS 1.2.4 NLUES FOR X, Y, AND Z FEG 1 - IN 13, SAV1+4 13, SAV1 18171ALIZED. 3, 4 1.0 2.4 3.= 5.0 4.TABLE(3) 3.=F'4' 5.L1 V THERE ARE READS AT BALE 9.0 USING * 9 L 12.ACOM 10.=F'31' 5.5 L OF MAP 4, NTAB(1) 5,31 4,TARLE 11 °N C LDMAP 4,1 ۍ • o 4 NOTE: USING N.12 I S I OUT AI LA ST BXLE MAP SPDL SFL SFDL SPL CR r L L L H A S S S C T A A C T L A C T L < < NAME TABLE (32) ت LCAD ZEPO NEMBPP LOMAP THEN AGAIN HERE * * * •



	PAGE 0010		106
	6666000 66660000 666600000000000000 77700000777700000000	860 920 920 950 955 956 956 0000 0000 0000 0000 00	
	00000000000000000000000000000000000000	00000000000000000000000000000000000000	
「「「王王」」「「「王王」」」」	THL = 0 IWALL = R7 FALI = R7 F5=IWALL = R7 F5=IWALL FOR LAST WALL THITS CHAIN FF F5 NE CURRENT WALL HIT GO TP ONE2 TWALL HIT FOR FIRST TIME BY CHAIN WALL HIT FOR FIRST TIME BY CHAIN R5 = P6 + 1 COUNT(3) = 2 WALL HIT FOR FIRST TIME BY CHAIN R5 = P6 + 1 COUNT(3) = 1 woll FINISHED OPPOSITE WALL HIT, SO EFFECT FINISHED OPPOSITE WALL HIT, SO EFFECT TBL 60 COUNT (3) = 1 woll FINISHED OPPOSITE WALL HIT, SO EFFECT TBL 60 COUNT (3) = 1 woll FINISHED OPPOSITE WALL HIT, SO EFFECT TBL 60 THE FFORM TBL 60 COUNT (3) = 1 woll FINISHED TBL 60 THE FFORM TBL 60 THE FFORM THE FFORM	THE WALL HIT 2 TIMES REFORE BY GIVEN CHAIN THE LAST WALL HIT BY GIVEN CHAIN NUMBER OF TIMES A CHAIN HAS HIT A GIVEN WALL FROM 0 TO 2 TIME = 0 FISST TIME BRANCH FROM CYCLE GCCURS INDEX FOR VARIABLES IN ALL ARAYS IN THIS SUBPROGRAM	
	CHAIN 5.7 THL(3) 5.7 THL(3) 5.7 THL(3) 5.7 THL(3) 5.7 THL(3) 5.7 THL(3) 7.1 WALL(3) 7.1 WALL(3) 5.1 (13) 7.1 WALL(3) 5.1 (13) 7.1 WALL(3) 7.1 THL(3) 7.1 THL(3) 7	150F 150F 150F 7 A(N) 7 209F 150F 150F 150F	
c	SAV SAV SATTURN SAV SATTURN SAV SATTURN SAV SATTURN SAV SATTURN SAV SATTURN SAV SATTURN SAV SATTURN SAV SATTURN SATTUR	TBL1 TBL1 TBL1 COUNT COUNT TIME DS TIME DS DS DS DS DS DS DS DS DS DS	

	т. 1			100					2	1			*				
GE 0012																	-
⋖ .																	
								1. 									
	0000	00000	0000	0000	0000	0000	000	000	0000	0000	000	000	2000	0.00			, ,
		10000000000000000000000000000000000000	000012	000015	000020 000020 000021	000023 000025 000025	000025	000030	000033	0000036	0000041	0000044		000000000000000000000000000000000000000	000052	00005550005500005500005500005500005700005700057000570005700570005700570005700057000570000580000580000580000580000580000580000580000580000058000005800000580000580000058000005800000580000058000005800000580000058000005800000580000058000005800000580000058000005800000580000058000000))))
	s TN S	L.	,2000	* *		0000	500	* * *; *;	5005	*	000	300	z		5500	0000	
		ARGUME	~	****				***		**** 02	TIME	vPLED	T I W	NIV	1 H H H	1-7	
	TS ITS NAME	MES TO	IE AREA	N I N N I N	IBER OF KSHAFT	tu:		****	TO N-1	****** 009EESS]	EACH	END BE SAT	AD FR	(6) SD CH/	N NI TH	HA IN AND	
	EFFORN ONSTAN POINT FOR FY	CF TI CF TI SS L ADDR	NE SAV	UGH_CF	DM NUM	SING		****	ROM 0	+**** FCR_A	T BY 1	ICEX NE NS TO	VI NG BE	NEND.		LIS IC MEEN C	
			BPQUTI	E THFC 4 * * * *	N FAND N FAND 0. DO	MENT A	۵	* * * * *	KEER F 22	**** 1000 11	C REMEN	L CHAI	CF NCV RESS C	0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	TCHAIN	AIN NC IS BET	
			ns an B	51 11W 512 11W ****	Е К. ТЕ 0 С. R 1 T I S	IT IS D MOVE	ELECTE	* * * * *	ERATE DOM NU E EG *	* X S X * X S X * X S X * X S X S X S X S X S X S X S X S X S X S			= NO. = ADD NEND(5		1 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2		
	SUS SUS NEN NEN SUS NEN SUS	CC B F	SET TI MI	11 U*	SN N N N N N N N N N	MC V BF &	AENT S	* *	27 1141 27 1141 1141 1141 1141 1141 1141		к 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	- 4 4 - 4 4	4 7 7 7 1 0 1 0	N + 1 0 - 1 0 - 1		LE SUL	, ,
							O MOVE										
	VCLE		×+ +	Z Z	μz	•	E BEAL	z⊢	z	N IS N		" NIV	0 M +8		Z I S		
E L L	12115 X1715 CL71C		2 	4 	900 900 900 900 900 900 900 900 900 900	10440 10440 10440 10440 10440	SINGL	4. NUL	00000	4,3 4,18 H CHAI IN DI	3.1	50 50 10 10	44 44 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	8 ₹ 4 0	101 (5 1000 100 100		1
AME C	200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200	L RALP USING	USING ST LA	р Тал Тал Тал	₹ZS] Þ4	urin Juin	1 1 2	: ۲ ر	ר גר ארר	M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M	× J (۲.	سرت سر ا	e H E H	L S T L S T	SCT SCT SCT	; ; ;
HE N N				a t	:			~	- 1 -	CEC IOF AFEAVS			DG		-	QN	
MEW	<u>х</u>		·	STA	,		***	H		**	*	- - -	, C 00		* & * &	FOU	
)، 	<u>к</u> - (.	ť	f	C.	C	.	ŗ		د.	• • • • • • • • • • •	ن و مىرىيە		· (• * 	V /	V.'	

ار ار

÷

ļ

108

1.00

750	760 770 790	800	8000 840	650 840 840		900 910	9.30 9.40	950 960	000000000000000000000000000000000000000	000000000000000000000000000000000000000		020000000000000000000000000000000000000	110	120	170	150	220	2 4 0 2 4 0	2500	280	200 	320 330 340
10000	10000	000018	000018	00001		510000 510000	10000		000010	00000		0000020	000021	000021	00002	00002	00000	200000	00005	00000	00002	00002
	R3 = INDEX OF MAP F4 = INDEX OF TABLE AD IS THERF *		IN MAP FOF NEW LOCATION		LD LOCATION Index in Ntab of Moving Bead	DLDC = COTRDINATES BEFORE MOVE	· ·	F5 = INDEX IN MAP OF OLD NTAB(IR) F4 = INDEX OF TABLE		MOVED READ FROM STAR NO EXCLUDED VOLUME CHECKS NEEDED	STORF NEW BEAD COORDINATES D MOVED THROUGH A WALL D M ATCHS	THREUGH THE WALL IT DOSEN'T	RATING TO CALCULATE THE ADDRESS of USED TO CALCULATE THE ADDRESS of CHAIN IN IMOVE AND IWALL	IF CHAIN IS TO BE SAMPLED			GENERATE A FANDOM NUMBER FROM O TO N+	THUTALUZ N # Mit II Mit	DETERMINE WHICH CHAIN IS MCVEING		R3 = 1 INCREMENT BY 1 EACH TIME THROUTH THE LOOP	F6 = 0 USE TO INDEX NEND R9 = NC CF CHAINS TO BE SAMPLED R4 = NUMBER CF MOVING BOND
/СL Е 5.17	5,2 4,2 7,128LE(4) 0 set 1F Be	10.ACOM+12 7.0(5.10) FINISV	PE 50 PUT 1 7, TABLE(4)	10.//CCM+12 7.0(5,10) 7.0(5,10)	IN MAP FOR DI	4.0LDC 4.0LDC	441	5,2	7, 74 BLE (4). 7,0(5,10)	1010010101 AB WITH NEW 1 4.514B	0, TCACE 4, NTAB(3) 5 ER 1 F ENCREA 5 TERCERA	7, 80AD 7, 80AD	NICHAIN	G, =F 12		5,00	Z A Z M	G, KCHAIN G, 1 A J	4 I F	7.7 7.85AD	3,1	¢, € 9, KCHAIN ¢, IP

÷

AGE 0015

109

•

PAGE : 1 00003460 00003460 00003460 00003460 00003460 00003140000003160000003160 00002950 00003430 00003440 00003450 00002930 U) R6 = ∩HC UF L≰ST BEAD IN CHAIN P8=0PC OF NEXT TC LAST BEAD IN CHAIN ****************************** IF IRE = 3 THEN ADD 1 TO Y POSITION IF IRE = 2 THEN SUBTRACT I FROM Y THE Y POSITION RIO = A 1 IN Z POSITION IF IRE = 0 THEW ADD I TO Z POSITION IF IRE = 1 THEN SUBTRACT 1 FROM Z DIMENSION MOVE #N END BEAD IF IPE = 0 OR I THEN MOVE IN Z DIMENSION IF IPE = 2 GP 3 THEN MOVE IN Y DIMENSION IPE = 4 CS 5 SC MOVE IN X DIMENSION IF IPE = 4 SUBTRACT I FROM X DIM IF IPE = 4 SUBTRACT I FROM X DIM IF IPE = 4 I IN Y COORO. POSITION BEAD F4 = 4*(IP - 1) INDEX FEGISTER F6 = CPC OF FIPST BEAD IN CHAIN R8 = QPC OF SECOND READ IN CHAIN GENERATE A RANDOM NUMBER FROM 0 TO THE LAST BEAD IN A CHAIN SELECTED STAB = MOVED BEAD CODFDINATES F8 = 0 R4 = ADDRESS IN NTAB DF MOVING FIRST BEAD IN A CHAIN SELECTED NO MORE MOVES NECESSARY R5 = STAB + NTAB(IR) BEAD = 31 A second a second s SIJBTC 10 / = X 00000100 4 = F 13 ADDC 10,=X.0C00001. 4,=F.4 10,=X*00010000* 4,=F*0* ۰, 4,18 6,8718 7,96 7,978 7,978 4,714 4,7144 6,91840 5,00 5,00 7,00 4.18 4.2 6.NTAB(4) 8.NTAB(4) 5.0 5.8EAD 5.FLACEC 5, STAB 4, PLACE 5, NTAB(4) CYCLE 5+3 5+8EAD STPLACEC 4, =F 1. 4. = F . . 5120 SUBTC A D D C €0D XH ST TS HNG ۲ Г s i 20 اند ب اندری ا 6 Ē MEMBER NAME 5 <u> วิกฐลากฮุซ</u> าก๕ ທ່ານ ഗത ⋝ 10 STPLACEC RANENDC ADDC * BEADIC # BEADNC * TRYOC * SUBTC TRYIC ; • • ¢ ¢ • • . 0 . ¢ ¢

111

- 44

. .

94 GE 0019									, 11 ,
-					8 8 8 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9				
					1 er g				
							na na manga ang ang ang ang ang ang ang ang ang		
4 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	44444444444444444444444444444444444444	4444444 8000700000 000000000000000000000	144444 00000000000000000000000000000000	144444444 144444444 0000000000000000000	4440 4440 50000 50000	4510 4520 45300 4540	4444 000000 00000000000000000000000000	444444 0000000000000000000000000000000	* man
						00000			
) IT	SI EROM IT	IZS I			IR STAE	IN T 2 STAE		AB1 BR STAB1	
DD BUX TC IVS DR IZ	STABL STCSTAB JBT BOX F YS, DR IZ YS, DR IZ	S+IYS, OR		щ ,	VTERED FC	MOVED DO Abi Table fof Por sta		FOR STAB LE FOR ST MAP So So TABLE FC	
IS OUT AN ISE AP +IXS.	IS < 0 FC YS DR IZ IS CUT SU CT IXS, D	TABL -IXS Moved - Du		X DF MAP X CF TABL	CT ENCOU	C FOR ST/ C FOR ST/ NDEX IN EX IN MAI		X OF MAP X OF TABU DESS OF J DESS OF J DESS OF J ITEPED F(INDEX IN	
F STAB1 OTHEOW TAB = ST	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	TAB1 = S. NI V ONF	TEST X AN	юф Ш ЮМ П ¥ П NON Т ¥ П Т	 CONFLIC 	TE DNLY CHECK EV ABLEIDEI		5 = INDE 4 = INDE 10 = ADC 5 A 1 TH 5 A 1 TH 8 C ENCOU	
⊷ ()	×α [.] ⊢ ×0	S C		A P THEE	. 11 1	μī			
C C C A B A B	STA81 АВ1 F'1' ССА АВ АВ	STAB1 AB1 (50) - 0	12. ABLC	BLE(4) IF 8E≱D COM+12	5010 50 60 700	BLFID	AB1	BLE(4) CON+12 5,10) SV LID1	
CYCLE CVCLE 100 5.51 HITC		н н н н н н н н н н н н н н	10-20 10-20 10-21 10-21	10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10		011T 4, TA 5, MA	Г	004 004 004 004 004 004 004 004 004 004	
CC1 RAME REC ARE BE ST B		CC B B CC CC CC CC CC CC CC CC CC CC CC			HNZ C C	DE ST ST	220 2202 2010	SLL SLL SLL SLL SNZ SNZ SNZ	
MEME	TEST	HITC	L OPC			*			

÷

00005760 00005770 00005780 00005790 00005800 00005810 0000563000005630000005700 00005720 00005720 00005720 00005730 00005730 NON WOVED BEAD FROM STAR NO EXCLUDEU VOLUME CHECKS NEEDED S P3 = TOTAL NUMBER OF CHAINS SAMPLED SAMPLED RIS = ADDRESS CF SKJ REANCH TO SKJ CHECK TO SKE IF IN = 0 IF IT IS THEN CHAIN IS NOT TO BE SAMPLED F3 IS USED TO CALCULATE THE ADDRESS OF CHAIN IN INDVE AC IMALL IF CHAIN IS SAMPLED IMALL IF CHAIN IS SAMPLED IMALL IF CHAIN IS ZAMPLED IMALL IF CHAIN IS ZAMPLED F8 = CORRONATE BEFORE MOVE F6 = CORRONATE BEFORE MOVE - NEW CODRD. IS GREATER THAN 21. CHECKED. IF OBC-NBC > 21 THEN D. ADD ONE TO IMOVE IF 2 HIGH WALL WA. LOW WALL WAS HIT. THEN BRANCH ВE IF LAST BEAD MOVED CHECK STAB CTHERWISE CHECK STAB STORE MOVED BEAD CODEDINATES IF ONLY ONE BEAD, MOVED THEN DON'T UPDATE STABL IF IF DNLY ONE BEAD MOVED STORE NEW BEAD COCRDINATES IF MIDBEAD MOVED NO NEED TO CALCULATE DIFFUSION THROUGH A WALL 62 63 ZI Z ł NTAB(Z) IN R9 STAB Z IN R7 NTAB(Z)-STAB(Z) NTAB(Y) IN 59 STAB(Y) IN 87 NTAB(Y)-STAB(Y) HIGH WALL HIT HIGH WALL HIT LOW WALL HIT LOW WALL HIT SET IWALL. st TC STABL
 st BCX WALL WAS PENETRATED.
 ALL 3 DIMENSIONS MUST RE CHEC
 ** HIT TC SUBTRACT ONF IF A LOW WALL
 to SUBTRACT ONF IF A LOW WALL
 st C SUBTRACT
 st C SUBTRACT ONF IF A LOW WALL
 LA 3.4(3) ST 4.5TAR1 ST 4.657AR1 C 4.657AR1 C 4.657AR1 C 4.657 RE 1.6005AD MOVED T T 13 SEE 15 LA 3.0CHAIN T 13 NCHAIN C 3.0CHAIN T 13 NCHAIN C 3.0CHAIN C 3.0CHA 15.4DDF SUB+4 14.15 10.1N T 0 (5, 10) MITH NEW 1 A 57AA 3, PLACE 3, PLACE 4, ATAB(3) 4 Z1 9, =F •- 2 • 3, = F • 31 • FEW #F - - 2 ! =F • 2 5 00 NOL-000 NOL-000 NOL-CYCL C BL BAL P C SRL SRL SRL SRL SR L B U B * UPDATE NDEXVOLC L LOBJV ZOJ มีกับห MEMBER NAME TEST BOC *5 BIL ¥: ⊡ мd ¥ u. Э C C 8 9 C C C C C C C æ 8 8 9

.....

PAGE 0022														na en la seconda de la companya en a companya en la companya en la companya en la companya de la companya en la		n en la compañía de s		anno a como a mante e tanta de a como presente a como a como entre en acono como en entre como de secondo de c				n na na na mana kana na na na na na na na manana na manana na n	1]
00005830 00015840	00005860 00005860 00005870	0000055500 000055500 000055500	00005910 00005920 00005930 00005930	00005950	00000000000000000000000000000000000000	00005000 00005010 000050210	00006040	00006050 00006050	000060700000000000000000000000000000000	00006090 00006100	00006120	00006130 00006140 00006150	00006150 00006190 00006200	00006210	00006230 00006240	00006250 00006260	00006280	00006250	00006310 00006320	00006330		02290000	UCUU63BU 00006390 00006400
NTAB(X) IN RO	ST&B(X) IN 27 NTAB(X)-STAB(X) IN R9	HIGH WALL HIT	LOW WALL HIT ALL 3 DIMENSIONS HAVE BEEN CHECKED ISFV.AME BCANCHED TO IF A WALL SE THEY ARE NOT EXECUTED.	LOW X WALL HIT	I MOVE=1 MOVE-1 R7 = 1, PAGS TO CHAIN 1, 2, 200020 01 0111	FIU H AUGHESS OF CHAIN BRANCH TO CHAIN Fia so thain	MOVE NEXT BEAD	HIGH X WALL HIT	IMDVE=IMOVE+1 PASS F7=4 TO CHAIN	R15 = ADDRESS CF CHAIN THE FANCH TO CHAIN	TIME > 0 MCVE NEXT BEAD	LOW Y WALL HIT	FI = C TRUS IO COMIN FIS = ADDRESS OF CHAIN BPANCH TO CHAIN	TIME > 0 * 0 * 0	هر	HIGH Y WALL HIT	IMOVE = IMCVE+1	BPANCH TO CHAIN	TIME > 0 CHECK X	and the second se	LOW Z WALL HIT F7 - 6723		HZ = 3 PASS TO CHAIN F15 = AJDRESS OF CHAIN BRANCH TO CHAIN
MEMBÉP NAME CYCLE BAGGINSC SEDL 5+8 SEL 9+24	57 C 513 57 4,24 5.7 9.1		RL X0 R NEWCYC * THE FOLLPWING LINES UP TO FIN * MAS REEN PENET AATED. OTHERWI		ST 7,1MOVE(3)	HALP 14,15 RALP 14,15 ST 14.1TK5	XI EE NEWYC		2 2 2 7 7 1 MOVE(3) 2 2	RALR 14.15		LA 3,5577 LA 3,4(3) 7,1007E(3)	LA (+2 L 15.ADDPSUB BALF 14.15	ST 14 TIME B BAGGINSC	Y1 X L 3. S MP LA 3. 4 (3)	A, 1%0VE(3)	ST 7,1MOVE(3) / LA 7,5	L 15,ADDRSUB PALA 14,15	SH 14 IIME BAGGINSC			ST 7, 1MOVE (3)	LA (+3 15+ADDRSUB Fait 14-15

5.5

																			area and a second for the second s																			11
								and the second sec											to the second of the								and a second secon	i ya	ja .	ta a familia na materia trata a successione e a composición de la					. And the second set of the second many second s			
																			and a second sec					and design as the product of the same strength of the same of			and the set of the second s			an an a manage and a submittee a submittee a submittee a					and have a set of the set of board of the set of a	· · · ·	taria.	an antita A marana.
00006410 00006420	00006430	000006440 00006450	0000000470	00006480	00006490	00006520	00006530	00006550	00006550	00006580	0000066000	00006610	000006620	00006640	000006650	000006670	00006680	0000002000	00006710	000006730	00006740	000006750	00006770	00006780	000006600	00006810	00006830	00005840	00006860	00006870	00006890	00000000000	000006510	000006930	00006940	000006960	00006970	
TIME > 0 Check X AND Y		HIGH Z WALL HIT	TWOVE = 140VE +1		P15 = ADDRESS OF CHAIN BPANCH TO CHAIN	F14 > 0 CHECK X AND Y	EXCLUDED VOLUME CONFLICT	NEVT = NEVT + 1								an an un an		ADDRESS IN NTAB OF MOVING CHAIN	RANDOM NUMBER	NEW BEAD CODRDINATES	DLO BEAD CCCPDINATES	USED TO SEE IF BEAD WENT Through a wall in diffusion	CALCULATIONS	TIME= 0 FIRST TIME THRU CHAIN	DEADT O TON STREET MUDDERO MEVES	BEAD= 1 IF CRANKSHAFT MIDBOND Move officiated	BEAD= 2 FOR FIRST BOND IN CHAIN MOVED	BEAD= 3 FOR LAST BOND IN CHAIN MOVED Intermentate eachtte in coarvelaet	BEND MOVEMENTS	TINDEX IN TABLE FOR STABY THE TABLE TO THE TABLE	INDEX IN PAPER TUR STABLINDEX IN MAP FOR STAB	INDEX IN MAP FOR STABL	NEND OF MOVING CHAIN Natart of woving chain	MOVED BEAD CODEDINATES OF	SECOND BEAD IN			over a second second second second as and a second costs. As a second of a second second second second second s
14.TIME 81LBOC	3, S/ MP	3,8(3) 7, IMOVE(3)	7.1MOVF(3)	7.6	15. A DDR SUB	14.TIME BILBOC	7, NEVT 7,1(7)	· NIIV · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Z, STAPT		1. 12. 24(13)	12(13), X' FF'		V(CHAIN)	V(SKJ) A(TIME)	П. 1.) 63125.		L IL.	F								يستعقب ويعتمون والمستعار المتراجع والمتعامل والمتعارفة والمتعارفة	L	-		یڑ۔ تر		L. L.	. ц.	na anna an suitean anna à dha is a' shaannann anna a sanna a sanna	(N)	A(NSTART) A(NEND)	n a 1 million anna ann ann ann ann an 1 million ann ann ann ann ann ann ann ann ann a
	Z1	∢ ┙╺┙╸	+- V		a)√E a∕La	r n	FINISV L	J.	NEWCYC BCT	EXIT L	¥ ۲ ل ر	INN	SAV DS	PDR SUB	ADDELIST DC	MULT DC		PLACE US		STAB DS	DLDC DS	₩ ₩			۲ ۲	**	*	* TNRET DC		TABLEID DS	MAPID DS	SU IDI US	ENUS DS	STABL DS	****	ACOM DC		h

; ;

as mercenes and reader

.

12þ

13,5AV 44 CHECKS TO SEE IF THE MAP CONFIGURATION MATCHES NTAB 15,2CGM+4 15.=V(PFINT) 14.15 15.=V(PRTMAP) 14.15 (14.12) 9.0 **9 12.2CON 12.2CON 8.1(3) 8.1(3) 6.4095(12.0) X:0000040' A(N) A(MAP) 4,≡H.4. 7,TABLE(4) 7,0(3,15) L3 14,15,12(13) 1,12,24(13) 12(13),XFF 11.N 10.=F 31. 5.5 4.NTAB(1) 6.1(6) N.12.8.4.4 4, =F 32 5 1, L1 3, SAV+4 , =F 4 . 4. 9 = 4 27F 320F 15000F 4096F CHM&P 4,9 5,17 6,10 же снибр CSECT SAVE (14. НАLE 9.0 USING *.9 5 m 1 1 1 1 4,6 а Ш 4 • 1 . Ş H. LA SUPPROGRA LA LA USING STING SPDL SPDL SPL CA BNH SLR SLR L RALR BALR N N N N RC1 MANOOOD MANOOOD MANOOOD Ω ΣΣ∟ MEMBER NAME CHMAP CSI U. C C 1 * THIS NAD MAD TABLE PCOM PCOM SAC TWO ۲2 Q Ľ I z 1

121

.

4

0 00000480 SAMPLE F2 = ADDRFSS OF N2 PASSED ARGUMENT FROM SAMPLE F3 = N2 N2 = (N2 -1)*4 N2 IS THE ADDRESS IN NTAB OF THE LAST DEAD IN THE CHAIN F2 = ADDRFSS OF N1,PASSED FROM SA R2 = N1 N1 = (N1-1) *4 E H THIS SUBPLOGRAM PACKS THE X,Y, AND Z CODRDINATES OF ONE BEAD INTO NTAB. NTAR(I) =(LIAR(1,I))(2**16)+(LTAR(2,K))(2**8)+(LTAB(3,I)) OF HLANK CCMMON I IS THE ADDRESS IN NTAB OF 19ST BEAD IN THE CHAIN 7 TIMES THE CHAIN IS SPLIT R2 = & DDPESS OF CHAIN NO. ARGUMENT POSSED FROM MAIN P3 = CHAIN NO. FROM 1 TO N P3 = ADDRESS IN NTAB P5 = ADDRESS FLTAB P6 WCNT P0SSED FROM MAIN F2 = LTAB ABGUMENT P0SSED FROM MAIN F5 = LTAB F2 = LTAB LOCAL ADDRESSING 5 CCORDINATES THE NUMBER USED IN X+Y+Z IN TCP CF R7 X+Y+Z IN BOTTOM CF 5 TOF OF ADDFESS -----1 X,Y, AND Z C LENGTH AND T THESE AFE Y.Z IN 0: 11 į ĥ ł ∩S⊡ F12 MANY ZE SUM OF Y TO END L SPLIT. мOн 100 7, NTAB(3) 14, 15, 12(13) 1, 12, 24(13) 12(13), X'FF' 14 BATEY UNDACK UNPACK CALCULATES THE AS WELL & WELL AT ES VETOR END OF TIMES THE CHAIN IS SUBROUTINE SAMPLE (14.12) UVPACK,15 12,ACOM N:12 2.4(1) <u>I</u>ND 12.ACOM N.12 2.4(1) (14.12) 3, 2, 0(2) 3, 2, 1, 3, 2 2, 0(1) 23.40 3.40 3.40 3.40 1.10 2.40 1.10 2.40 1.10 2.40 1.10 2.40 1.10 426906066 0426665420 0900 0900 u. MEMBER NAME PACK SAVE BALR USING USING USING L USING 84747887788 848478887788 8484 S S L L ر لر SL ي 5.00 L UNPACK ł ¥ ¥ × Ŀ -0

122

COLUMN DEVEN

00000948 4600000 $\begin{array}{c} 1 \text{ IN CHAIN DOSENTT NEED RECONNECT ING \\ 2 \text{ NIT AB(2)} \\ 4 \text{ NT AB(2)} \\ 5 \text{ 24} \\ 5 \text{ 24} \\ 5 \text{ 24} \\ 5 \text{ 24} \\ 5 \text{ 25} \\ 5$ BFFORE ADDING X+Y, CR Z CCOFDINATES OF CURFENT BEAD, GIVEN BY X2Y22, CHECK TO SEE IF IT IS VORE THAN ONE LATTICE SITE AWAY FROM THE LAST BEAD (#FTER THE LAST BEAD WAS PECONNECTED IF CHAIN WAS SPLIT). THE RECONNECTED OF CHAIN WAS SPLIT). ARE GIVEN BY _XLYLZL IN THE COMMENTS. 5,13UM 5,13UM 5,FIRST 5,FIRST 5,FIRST 5,FIRST 5, CHAIN, ADD COCRD INTO ISUM MASS CALCULATIONS CALCULATE CENTER OF MASS OF CHAIN BEAD IN DF MA 555 0 FIFST MÜN * * * ł L es. 2) ø C (C) Q1

PAGE 0006

1

Ţ

i

ĺ.

123

Dilase da Ma

P

00001370 000014200000143000001430 00001450 00001460 00001460 00001490 000011490 000011510 ADDRESS IN NTAB CF LAST BEAD IN CHAIN ADDRESS IN NTAB OF LAST BEAD IN CHAIN READ COOPOUCF READ AFTER PECONNECTION BEAD COORD. OF FIRST BEAD IN CHAIN OF RECONNECTED BEAD COORDINATES TO END LENGTH

 ACT
 A BOX
 DIMENSIGN(FECONNECT
 THE CHAIN)

 A
 5,1XS(11)
 X2 = X2
 +IXS,Y2=Y+IYS,OR
 Z2=Z2+IZS

 BOX
 DIMENSION
 X2 = X2
 +IXS,Y2=Y2-IYS,OR
 Z2=Z2+IZS

 BOX
 DIMENSION
 X2=X2+IXS,Y2=Y2-IYS,OR
 Z2=Z2+IZS

 ST
 5,1XS(11)
 X2=X2+Y2+Y2,OR
 Z2=Z2+IZS

 ST
 5,1XS(11)
 LAST
 = X2,Y2

 ST
 5,1XS(11)
 LAST
 = X2,Y2

 ST
 5,1XS(11)
 LAST
 = X2,Y2

 X2=X2+IX5,Y2=Y2-IY5, 0F Z2=Z2+IZS LAST = X2,Y2,0R Z2 ISUM=ISUM+A2,ISUM+A = ISUM+4+Y2 0R ISUM+8 = ISUM+F+Z2 SPL IT = XL,YL CR ZL = XL-X1, YL-YI CR ZL-Z1 R7 = 1 THEN CHAIN NOT SPLIT = 0 CHAIN IS NOT SPLIT 1 XLYLZL OF LAST BEAD XFYFZF OF FIRST BEAD XLYLZL - XFYFZF END TC END LENGTH -1 THEN CHAIN NOT I ł 22 JUDEX REGISTER ŧ Ъ С i - x2Y2Z2 - X2Y2 - 22 014464 04460 1111 1111 H 11 r L IF R7 a it a ti 1771 1771 1771 SUM VECTOS FND TO END LENGTH L. 4945 4940 4.5 4.15(10) 3.4.7672 14.12.12(13) 12(13).X.FF 10.10 4.LAST(10) 5.FIFST(10) 5. ISUM(11) 10.LLL 6.N2 LOGP1 11.10 11.2 11.2 7.LAST(11) PACK 6, N1 6, 4(6) 10, 3 4, NTAB(6) 24 4 0 °. 34 34 34 37 34 37 m (N) ¥ LL. ŧĻ. 550L 5350L L HA MCT r Srr Svar BNE BNE SUBTP ACT COMPLITE ر بر بر ب MEMBER NAME ບພິບ 5 S S S S 1×1100 a S × UU 0022002200220 0020002000 < Œ ۹ * ADD SURT WHOLE 1 LAST FICST ACOM LOOP2 L 00P 1 I SUM I S I SE P SX I žN z 1 4# 1

References

- 1. P. E. Rouse, Jr., <u>J. Chem. Phys.</u>, <u>21</u>,1272(1953).
- L. R. G. Treloar, <u>The Physics of Rubber Elasticity</u>, 2nd ed., Oxford University Press, 1967.
- 3. B. H. Zimm, Jr., <u>J. Chem. Phys.</u>, <u>24</u>, 269(1956).
- 4. S. Chandrasekhar, <u>Revs.Modern Phys.</u>, <u>15</u>,1(1943).
- 5. Grant R. Fowles, <u>Analytical Mechanics</u>, 2nd ed. New York, 1962.
- 6. Katchalsky and Curran, <u>Nonequilibrium</u> <u>Thermodynamics</u> <u>in</u> <u>Biophysics</u>, Harvard University Press, 1965.
- 7. M. Fixman, J. Chem. Phys., 42,3831(1965).
- 8. Bird, Hassager, Armstring, Curtiss, <u>Dynamics</u> of <u>Polymer</u> <u>Liquids</u>, Vol. 2. John Wiley and Sons, 1977.
- 9. Hildebrand, <u>Methods of Applied Mathematics</u>, 2nd ed., Prentice - Hall, 1965.
- 10. F. Beuche, J. Chem. Phys., 22,603(1954).
- 11. P. Verdier, <u>J. Chem. Phys.</u>, <u>4</u>,204(1969).

- 12. P. H. Verdier, <u>J. Chem. Phys.</u>, <u>45</u>,2122(1966).
- 13. D. E. Kranbuehl and P. H. Verdier, J_{*} Chem, Phys., 31,45(1972).
- 14. R. A. Orwoll and W. H. Stockmayer, <u>Advan, Chem, Phys</u>, <u>15</u>,305(1969).
- 15. K. Iwata and M. Kurata, J. Chem. Phys., 50,4008(1969).
- 16. P. H. Verdier, J. Chem. Phys., 52,5512(1970).
- 17. D. E. Kranbuehl and P. H. Verdier, <u>J. Chem. Phys.</u>, <u>71</u>,2662,(1979).
- 18. L. Takacs, <u>Stochastic</u> <u>Processes</u>, Methuen and Co., Ltd, London, 1960.
- 19. P. H. Verdier and W. H. Stockmayer, <u>J. Chem. Phys.</u>, <u>36</u>,227(1962).
- 20. Paul J. Flory, <u>Principles of Polymer</u> <u>Chemistry</u>, Cornell University Press, LTD., London, 1953.
- 21. M. Fixman and J. M. Peterson, <u>J.Amer.Chem.Soc.</u>, <u>86</u>,3524(1964).
- 22. Bishop, Ceperley, Frisch, Kalos. (In Print, <u>J.CHem.Phys</u>.)

- 23. F. T. Wall, J. C. Chin and F. Mandel, <u>J.Chem.Phys.</u>, <u>66</u>,3143(1977).
- 24. H. Okamoto, <u>J. Chem. Phys.</u>, <u>70</u>, 1690(1979).
- 25. D. E. Kranbuehl and B. Schardt, ACS Symposium No. 86, Computer Modeling of Matter, 1978.
- 26. A. Bellemans and E. DeVos, Macromolecules, 7,809(1974).
- 27. A. Bellemans and E. DeVos, <u>Macromolecules</u>, <u>8</u>,651(1975).
- 28. J. G. Curro, <u>J. Chem. Phys.</u>, <u>61</u>,1203(1974).
- 29. J. C. Curro, <u>J. Chem. Phys.</u>, <u>64</u>,2496(1976).
- 30. J. C. Curro, <u>Macromolecules</u>, 12,463(1979).
- 31. J. P. Cotton, D. Decker, H. Benoit, B. Farnoux, J. Higgins, G. Jannink, R. Ober, C. picot, and J. des Cloizeaux, <u>Macromolecules</u>, <u>7</u>,863(1974).
- 32. D. W. Schaefer, J. F. Joanny and P. Pincus (Private Communication)
- 33. B. Schardt, Honors Thesis in Chemistry, College of William and Mary in Virginia, May 1977.

- 35. A. K. Doolittle, J. Appl. Phys., 22, 1471(1951).
- 36. A. K. Doolittle, <u>J.Appl.Phys.</u>, <u>23</u>,236(1952).
- 37. P. H. Verdier, J. Chem. Phys., 52,11(1969).
- 38. L. G. Kelly, <u>Handbook of Numerical Methods and</u> <u>Applications</u>, Addison-Wesley Publishing Co., 1967.
- 39. Mary Boas, <u>Mathematical Methods in the Physical</u> <u>Sciences</u>, John Wiley & Sons Inc. New York, 1966.
- 40. P. H. Verdier, J. Chem. Phys., 45,2118(1967).

Vita

<u>Rebecca</u> Louise <u>Moss</u> <u>Smithson</u>

Born in Frederick, Maryland on December 31, 1953. Graduated from Governer Thomas Johnson High School in that city in June, 1971. Entered Hood College in Frederick, Maryland in September of 1971 and in May of 1975 received a B. A. in Chemistry and Mathematics. In September of 1975 the author entered а Post-Baccalaureate program in engineering at the University of VIrginia in Charlottesville and continued in this program until December of 1975. She then worked in the Polymer Section of the NASA Langley Research Center in Hampton Virginia from Janurary of 1976 until August of that year.

In September of 1976 the author entered the graduate program in Chemistry at the College of William and Mary. In September of 1978 she entered the program in Applied Science at William and Mary. She is currently a research asistant in the Programming Techniques Branch of the NASA Langley Research Center.