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#### Abstract

The gross structure of the ampullae of Lorenzini and its distribution on the body of 40 species of skates (Rajoidei) and 5 species of sharks (Galeomorphii) were compared in an attempt to investigate correlations within this system to feeding mechanisms. Three general lines of morphological change are observed. A larger proportion of the ampullary pores are associated with the ventral surface of the dorsoventrally flattened skates than the more conically shaped sharks. The relative proportion of ventral pores is significantly reduced on those species inhabiting aphotic waters. Secondly, the more piscivorous rajoids possess an array of ventral pores which covers the majority of the body surface whereas those species feeding predominantly on infaunal invertebrates exhibit a comparatively reduced pattern which are primarily concentrated around the mouth. The density of these pores on the adult is inversely related to the collective mobility of each species' prey items. Similarly, the relative density of pores on the sharks is reduced in both those species inhabiting pelagic waters and those exhibiting reduced prey selectivity. Lastly, the overall size of, and the number of alveoli associated with, each ampulla is directly related to the habitat depth of each skate species.

The proposed effects of each of these modifications is discussed. The overall pore distribution appears compensatory for reduced visual input whereas relative densities (resolution) further reflect major differences in feeding strategies. Increased ampullary size and complexity suggest mechanisms for increased sensitivity and signal - to - noise ratios.


# ANATOMICAL OBSERVATIONS ON THE AMPULLAE OF LORENZINI FROM SELECTED SKATES AND GALEOID SHARKS OF THE WESTERN NORTE ATLANTIC 

## INTRODUCTION


#### Abstract

The discovery and subsequent description of the ampullae of Lorenzini can be traced through a series of chronologically isolated observations. From a gross anatomical standpoint, Lorenzini (1678) initially described the whole structure, including the somatic pores, canals and ampullae. However, the isolated pores had been noted 14 years earlier by Stenonis whereas their innervation was not observed unti. 1 much later (Monro, 1783). A more complete chronology of these earliest studies is found in Ewart (1891) and Lamont (1916) but as might be expected from such an intermittent history, the understanding of the functional aspects of the ampullae of Lorenzini has been slow to develop.

The ampullary system of elasmobranchs consists of a series of canals, each terminating at the skin surface as a pore and proximally, in the cranial region, as an expanded, alveolate bulb. The canal walls are composed of several layers of closely joined squamous epithelium which yield an extremely high mural resistance. The tubes themselves are filled with a gelatinous mucopolysaccharide (Doyle, 1963) with an ionic composition nearly the same as that of seawater (Murray and Potts, 1961). The outpocketings, or alveoli, characteristic of the ampulla contain both receptor and supporting cells. Receptor cells are innervated by nexve fibers originating from one of four branches of the anterior lateral line nerve (see McCready and Boord, 1976) including the superficial ophthalmic, buccal, and the external mandibular. These


fibers are carried via the dorsal root to the anterior lateral line lobe of the medulla (Boord and Campbell, 1977).

Many purely descriptive anatomical studies of the whole ampullary system are available. Ewart (1891) was the first to designate the separate groups of ampullae found in both the shark, Laemargus (=Somniosus), and the skate, Raja batis (Ewart and Mitchell, 1891). He named each group after the branch of the facial nerve which innervated it. establishing the terminology used by the majority of subsequent authors. Lamont (1916) expanded on Ewart's skate study, describing the general distribution of the ampullary canals from six additional species of European Raja. Unfortunately, much of this work is very generalized and therefore of only limited value. Similar studies (Metcalf, 1915 and Norris, 1929) include descriptions of the arrangement and distribution of the ampullary system in Squalus acanthias. In addition however, Norris also summarized the number of ampullary clusters, or points of innervation, for a large number of elasombranch taxa, including the rajoids and carcharhinoids of interest here. Two additional, anatomical surveys have recently become available. Dislers (1977) briefly described the ampullary systems from a wide variety of elasmobranch genera, including Squalus, Dalatias, Squatina, Pristiurus, Orectolobus, Rhinobatus, Raja, Dasyatis, and Torpedo: while Chu and Meng (1979) surveyed this system in a number of Chinese elasmobranchs, including 73 species from 46 genera. Lastly, a limited amount of additional anatomical information is also available as a byproduct of primarily physiological studies, including semidiagrammatic representations of the ampullary systems in Raja clavata (Murray, 1960) and Scyliorhinus canicula (Dijkgraff and

Kalmijn, 1963).
Information concerning isolated portions of the ampullary system is also available. The shape and structure of the somatic pores are described by Metcalf (1915) whereas their overall distribution has been taxonomically evaluated on both rajids (Aloncle, 1966; Stehmann, 1970) and sphyrnids (Gilbert, 1967). Both the canal and the ampulla have been described in terms of their general (Metcalf, 1915) and histological (Peabody, 1897; Waltman, 1966) structure. Comparative counts and measurements of selected ampullae from separate clusters, or capsules. are available for S. acanthias (Metcalf, 1915; Norris, 1929), Mustelus canis (Peabody, 1897) and two species of Raja (Raschi, 1978). Histological considerations of ampulae innervation is presented in Peabody (1897) and Waltman (1966) while observations on the gross, peripheral nerve patterns are described by Ewart (1891), Ewart and Mitchell (1891) and Lamont (1916). Lastly, the central nervous system connections have been described in Mustelus (McCready and Boord, 1976) and Raja (Boord and Northcutt, 1982; Koestex, 1983).

Whereas the ampullary system is now widely accepted as electroreceptive by the majority of researchers, a variety of functions were previously ascribed to it. The very earliest investigators considered the ampullae to be secretory, providing the fish's skin with its characteristic coating of mucous. Following Monro's (1783) description of their innervation however, the majority of the work has focused on sensory functions. Through these efforts, a large number of sensory stimuli have been considered, including mechanoreception (Parker, 1909; Metcalf, 1915; Murray, 1957 and 1960; Lowenstein, 1960; and most recently Szabo, 1972), thermoreception (Sand, 1938; Hensel, and Lowenstein and Ishiko, 1962). Most of these responses however, may be explained as either an artifact of electroreception (Akoev et al., 1980) or as a response to an inappropriate stimulus (Kalmijn, 1974). The evidence for the electroreceptive function of the ampullae is very extensive (see for example Fessard, 1974; Viancour, 1979) and, along with the more general physiological considerations of this sensory system, is beyond the scope and aim of this work. However, the absence of a generally accepted function significantly hampered early attempts to comparatively describe the gross anatomy of this system.

A number of biologically relevant sources of electrical information are available in the natural environment (Kalmijn, 1974). Among the most widely investigated of these sources are dipole fields which normally surround most organisms (Kalmijn, 1972) including many prey species frequently utilized by elasmobranch fish. These fields are either D.C. or low frequency A.C. and may vary considerably in strength from 1.0 uV to 500.0 uV . Of particular interest is the observation that those fields surrounding wounded crustacea are several orders of magnitude stronger than normal. Electrophysiological studies have established receptor threshold values of $0.01 \mathrm{uV} / \mathrm{cm}$ in several elasmobranch taxa including Raja (Kalmijn, 1966). Fields of comparable strengths exist within approximately 25 cm of several prey species (Kalmijn, 1974). Lastly, behavioral studies in both the laboratory (Kalmijn, 1971) and in the field (1978b) demonstrate the integral role played by bioelectric information in successful prey capture.

The purpose of the present study is to try to extend the anatomical descriptions of the ampullary system an additional step. In the absence
of a functional focus, many of the intricacies of complex, anatomical systems, such as the ampullae of Lorenzini, are lost through generalization. When viewed functionally, many of these intricacies become significant in relation to specific environmental parameters. The importance of such an approach to morphological studies is too often underestimated (Disler, 1971). My aim here, is to examine the gross anatomy of the ampullary system with the intent of looking for modifications which might result from various environmental constraints on the electroreceptive system as it is utilized in prey capture.

## METHODS AND MATERIALS

The primary group focused on in this study is the suborder Rajoidei and was chosen for a number of reasons. It is very speciose and therefore provides a wide variety of natural histories for comparison (for example see McEachran, 1982). Its dorsoventrally flattened body helps to isolate the mouth from, and thereby partially eliminate from consideration, visual input during the final stages of prey capture. In addition, rajoids are relatively small in size and hence probably more available from museum collections. The ampullary system was also examined in a select group of galeoid sharks. They in turn, offer an opportunity to compare any functionally related trends observed in the rajoids with a group of fishes very different in overall body plan.

A total of 87 specimens, from 40 species belonging to five genera of rajoids were examined. For convenience, these are broken into two groups. The first (Table 1), including Bathyraja richardsoni. Malacoraja senta, Raja bigelowi, R. eglanteria, R. garmani, R. laevis and $R$. radiata constitutes the principal group while the second (Table 2), includes all additional specimens and constitutes the ancillary group. Members of both groups were chosen in order to examine a wide variety of bathymetric and food habit characteristics. Five to 7 specimens from each species of the principal group were included in an attempt to incorporate both sexual and ontogenetic differences. The actual size of the specimens covered from 21\% (in R. laevis) to $72 \%$ (in R. bigelowi) of each species' recorded total length (Table 3) and, with
the exception of B. richardsoni and $R$. radiata, accounted for a large portion of each species' bathymetric and geographic range (compare Tables 1 and 4). Specimens in the ancillary group were also chosen in order to include depth and food preferences from a wide variety of geographical locations. Unfortunately, only a few specimens at most, could be examined from each species. In addition to the rajoids, the ampullary system was also examined in 31 specimens from five species of galeoid sharks (Table 5). A similar attempt was made to include specimens from both sexes and covering as wide a range of total lengths as possible.

The ampullary system in the rajoids was examined by means of gross dissection of one specimen from each of the principal species. The pores were located on a diagram by initially placing the specimen beneath a monofilament grid, of approximately square centimeter dimensions, and transferring their location to graph paper. Each canal was then traced from the pore to the ampulla by hand dissection, occasionally using methylene blue to enhance the contrast of the canal from the surrounding tissue. The ampullary system of the sharks was also examined through gross dissection of one specimen from each species. Pores locations were determined by drawing a grid, of approximately square centimeter dimensions, on the surface of the specimen along the frontal plane. Their locations were then transferred to a proportionally arranged drawing. The position of the lateral line in all dissection specimens was also established through gross dissection, following its injection with methylene blue. Lastly, the relative position of the anterior lateral line nerves was traced from the ampullary capsules or clusters to the cranial wall.

The drawings that resulted from these dissections were placed within an outline for each species adapted from the previously published works listed in Table 3 for the skates or as presented in Bigelow and Schroeder (1948) for the sharks, and are superimposed on a generalized skull drawing, adjusted for each species, to provide appropriate landmarks. These skull drawings, and subsequent nomenclature are adapted from Hulley (1972) and Parker (1878) for the rajoids and from Compagno (1979) for the galeoids. Aäditional nomenclature used in subsequeric descriptions includes that of Marion (1905) for the musculature and Garman (1888) for the lateralis system. My intent is that these drawings accurately represent the relative position and abundance of the ampullae of Lorenzini, with respect to both the lateral line and more generally, to the chondocranium.

The structure of the ampulla itself was examined by removing the tissue containing each ampullary capsule in the case of the rajoids, or clusters of ampullae in the case of the galeoid sharks, from either the left or right side of each specimen. All ampullae were removed from the rajoid capsules and counted beneath a dissecting scope. Since this was not possible in the majority of the shark species due to the diffuse arrangement of the ampullae, theix numbers were inferred from the corresponding number of pores. Five ampullae from each capsule, or group, wexe stained with eosin and mounted on a glass slide through standard histological techniques. Any shrinkage that might have occurred was considered to be consistent among all specimens. The length, from the proximal end of each ampullary canal to the point of innervation on the ampulla, and the width across the widest portion of the ampulla, normal to the length, were measured by means of a
calibrated occular micrometer.
Ventral somatic pore densities were calculated for all rajoid species from corresponding ventral pore counts. Drawings of each species were enlarged to the maximum total lengths listed in the literature (Table 3 and 6). Surface areas were then measured by means of a digital planimeter (Electronic Graphics Calculator 1224, Numonics Corp.) or graphics tablet (Apple IIe).

Five ampullae were removed from the left superficial ophthalmic capsule from an additional 27 specimens (12 female, 15 male) of Raja eglanteria and were included along with the matexial from the original six specimens (Table 1) in order to further examine structural differences through a more complete ontogenetic series. This material was prepared as above with the number of alveoli, length and width being measured. All statistical methods follow Snedecor and Cochran (1967) unless otherwise noted.

RESULTS

The general arrangement and distribution of the ampullae of Lorenzini in the rajids differs little among the principal species and is relatively consistent with previous descriptions. A brief delineation of this system in the single species, Raja eglanteria (figure 1), will suffice to provide a basis for further comparisons. In this species, the ampullae of Lorenzini are grouped into four pairs of bilaterally located, connective tissue capsules (figures 2 and 3 ). However, as previously noted by Lamont (1916), those ampuliae innervated by the inner buccal as well as by the superficial ophthalmic branches of the anterior lateral line nerve are often located within close proximity of each other, or as in some of the species discussed here, actually united within a single capsule. In such instances, the two capsules are considered jointly as the superficial ophthalmic. Each capsule contains ampullae with canals running beneath the dermis to pores located over various areas of the skin surface. Appropriate branches of the anterior lateral line nerve enter these capsules and innervate the individual ampullae, with the remaining trunk continuing to specific sections of the lateral line. A more thorough description follows (figures 2 and 3).

SUPERFICIAL OPHTHALMIC

This capsule pair is located along either side of the rostral cartilage (figure 4 A and B ), situated beneath its dorsal shelf and

Figure 1. Semidiagrammatic representation of the ampullary system from a 550 mm TL female specimen of R . eglanteria. The ampullary system is shown in green, the lateralis system in blue and the cranial nerves in red.

Figure 2. Superficial dissection of R. eglanteria (ca. 600 mm TL
female); dorsal view on the right side, ventral view on
the left side. Abbreviations as follows: "A", ampullary
canals from pores of subsection "A"; Aml, adductox mandibu-
laris lateralis; Dr, depressor rostri; "E", ampullary
canals from pores of subsection "E"; "F", ampullary canals
from the pores of subsection "F"; Hyo, hyomandibular car-
tilage; "I", ampullary canals from the pores of subsection
"I"; Lls, levatores labiales superioris; Lr, levator
rostri tendon; Nas, nasal capsule; Pro, propterygium.
Figure 3. Deeper dissection of R. eglanteria (same specimen as Fig. 2); dorsal view on the right side, ventral view on the left side. Abbreviations as follows: $H$, hyoid branch of the ALLN; HC, hyoid capsule; IB, inner buccal branch of the ALLN; $O B$, outer buccal branch of the ALLN; OBC, outer buccal capsule; so, superficial ophthalmic branch of the ALLN; SOc, superficial ophthalmic capsule.


Figure 4. The ampullary capsules, indicated by arrows, of $R$. eglanteria (ca. 600 mm TL female): A. Ventral view, superficial ophthalmic capsule. B. Dorsal view, superficial ophthalmic capsule. C. Dorsal view, outer buccal capsule. D. Dorsal view, hyoid capsule.

slightly anterior to the nasal capsule. On the ventral surface, pores from the superficial ophthalmic ampullae are generally distributed from the mouth and the tip of the rostrum, their density increasing somewhat posteriorly. One or two loosely arranged rows are located along the length of the rostral cartilage. Pores are evenly distributed in the space between these rows and the medial loop of the subrostral branch of the lateral line. Numerous pores are also located posteriorly between the subrostral branch and the nares. Pores from the superficial ophthalmic ampullae are clustered in two areas on the dorsal surface; one containing from 3 to 6 pores overlying the rostral appendix (subarea "K" in Raschi, 1978) and a second consisting of from 7 to 11 pores associated with the cranial branch of the lateral line adjacent to the anterior fontanelle (subarea "D"). Canals from both of these dorsal groups run parallel to the rostral caxtilage. This capsule, being the amalgamation of two clusters of ampullae, is innervated by both the inner buccal and the superficial ophthalmic branches of the anterior lateral line nerve. Both exit the chondocranium jointly through the pro-otic foramen. The first runs anteroventrally along the subethmoidal depression and ventral surface of the rostral cartilage; whereas the second runs beneath the crista supraorbitalis, through the ophthalmic foramen and along the dorsal border of the rostral cartilage.

OUTER BUCCAL
This capsule pair is located along the anterior margin of the antorbital cartilage between the nasal capsule and the propterygium (figure 4C). Ventrally, pores from outer buccal ampullae are fairly
evenly distributed laterally from the medial loop of the subrostral branch of the lateral line to the margin of the pectoral fin. Additional pores are located in two groups. The first is distributed posteriorly along the medial side of the angular branch of the lateral line from the position of the antorbital cartilage to a point even with the corner of the mouth overlying the adductores mandibularis lateralis; whereas the second is found along the lateral side of the angular branch of the lateral line from a position along the fin margin opposite the nasal branch to one approximately even with the location of the hyoid ampullary capsule. Substantial overlap occurs between these canals and those belonging to both the hyoid and superficial ophthalmic ampullae. Dorsally, 5 to 10 pores from outer buccal ampullae form a group anterolateral to the eye (subsection "C" in Raschi, 1978) overlying portions of the levatores labialis superioris (2) and adductor mandibularis lateralis (2) muscle masses. The outer buccal branch of the anterior lateral line nerve also exits the chondocranium via the pro-otic foramen and traverses anteriorly along the orbital wall, passing beneath the eyeball and through the center of the antorbital cartilage to the capsule.

HYOID

The hyoid capsule is located between the hyomandibular and propterygial cartilages (figure 4D), anterior to the branchial region. On the ventral surface, pores from these ampullae are distributed in a line along the fin margin from opposite the origin of the nasal branch of the lateral line to nearly the posterior angle of the pectoral fin, at which point they gently curve medially (in part subarea "I"). A few
randomly distributed pores are found between this line and the angular and jugular branches of the lateral line. Medially, a number of pores are arranged in an arc from even with the angle of the mouth to near the midline of the abdomen overlying the coracomandibularis and depressor rostri. A group of pores are distributed posteriorly along either side of the jugular branch of the lateral line to its point of termination. Dorsally, pores from the hyoid capsule are located in three general areas. The first, is a cluster of 6 to 11 near the anterolateral margin of the fin. The pores are located near the levator rostri tendon, interspersed among the pores of the anterior pleural branch of the lateral line (subarea "B" in Raschi, 1978). The second is a group of 24 to 31 pores running along the pectoral fin margin, often in association with the pleural branch of the lateral line (in part subarea "A"). The third group forms an arc of 6 to 9 pores (subarea "E") along the midline of the scapular region. The hyoid branch of the anterior lateral line nerve exits the chondocranium through a second foramen separated from the pro-otic foramen by the prefacial commissure. It runs posteriolaterally to the capsule, having previously given off the mandibular branch.

## MANDIBULAR

The mandibular capsule pair is situated on the outer concave surface of the mandible. The canals radiate medially to between 15 and 26 pores arranged in several rows. These pores are located along the posterior margin of the mouth, anterior to the oral section of the lateral line (subarea "J" in Raschi, 1978). The mandibular branch of the anterior lateral line nerve originates from the hyomandibular
branch and runs ventromedially, passing between the mandible and the adductores mandibularis lateralis muscle to the capsule.

Of the remaining principal species, R. laevis (figure 5) shows the greatest amount of variation from this general pattern, those differences involving the distribution of somatic pores being particularly noticeable due to the dark pigmentation of the distal ends of the ampullary canals. The proportionally longer rostrum is reflected in a more complete separation of the inner buccal and superficial ophthalmic capsules, each innervated by a separate branch of the anterior lateral line nerve, as well as in a general increase in the number of pores on the ventral surface of the snout. The generally larger body size of this species (Table 3) is accompanied by an increased number of ampullae (Table 7); ranging from an additional 78\% (in $\underline{R}$. radiata) to 3048 (in M. senta) as compared with the other principal species. Whereas the distribution of the pores is nearly the same as on R. eglanteria, with $89 \%$ (as compared with $84 \%$ ) being ventrally located (Table 8), significant differences in the general arrangement of the ventral pores are apparent. These include pores extending further caudally, covering a significantly greater proportion of the body surface, as well as the presence of isolated clusters of pores associated with the vent, and to a lesser extent, the mid-abdominal region. Lastly, as described by Garman (1888), the ventral portion of the lateralis system exhibits a complete pleural loop in conjunction with the jugular branch. As a result, there is a slight increase in the number of lateral line tubules on the ventral surface. All of these differences are characteristic of the majority of

Figure 5. Semidiagrammatic representation of the ampullary system from a 700 mm TL female specimen of R . laevis. The ampullary system is shown in green, the lateralis system in blue and the cranial nerves in red.

other species in the subgenus dipturus examined here.
The general arrangement of the ampullary system in the remaining principal species differs from $R$ eglanteria in only a few minor aspects, the first of which involves the relative amount of overlap of canals from adjacent ampullary capsules. M. senta, for example, exhibits a conspicuous near-absence of any overlap, probably due to a reduction in the number of hyoid ampullae by almost $50 \%$ as compared with R. eglanteria (Table 7). R. garmani, on the other hand, exhibits a slight increase in overlap resulting from the more lateral position in many of the ventrally located superficial ophthalmic canals. Both $R$. bigelowi (figure 6) and B. richardsoni (figure 7) exhibit a similar increase due to the increased number of ventral hyoid canals extending rostrally, Secondly, slight differences are also apparent in the relative abundance and distribution of lateral line canals. In M. senta, the anterior portion of the pleural branch appears to have fewer tubules while the lateral subrostral and nasal branches have more. Both R. radiata and R. garmani exhibit fewer tubules on the ventral surface. Similarly, an additional section of the pleural branch is present on the ventrum of $R$. bigelowi. The outer buccal branch of the anterior lateral line nerve passes over the antorbital cartilage in both $B$. richardsoni and $\underline{E}$. laevis instead of through it as it does in the remaining species. All of these observations however, are based on only a single dissection specimen from each species and therefore provide no information on intraspecific variation.

The ampullae of galeoid sharks are more widely scattered throughout the cranial region than they are in the rajoids. Major innervation points consist of loosely aggregated clusters, often occupying large

Figure 6. Semidiagrammatic representation of the ampullary system from a 445 mm TL male specimen of R . bigelowi. The ampullary system is shown in green, the lateralis system in blue and the cranial nerves in red.


Figure 7. Semidiagramatic representation of the ampullary system from a 752 mm TL female specimen of B. richardsoni. The ampullary system is shown in green, the lateralis system in blue and the cranial nerves in red.

areas, within the head region. This occasionally necessitates careful scrutiny of the individual nerve fibers associated with each ampulla in order to determine from which branch of the anterior lateral line nerve they originate. All five shark species exhibit the three major innervation areas characteristic of the carcharhinoids (Norris, 1929). While the relative extent of these areas may vary considerably, their relative location remains constant within the species examined and thereby allows the following description of the single species, Carcharhinus plumbeus (figure 8), to serve as a basis for further comparisons.

SUPERFICIAL OPHTHALMIC

This (supra-orbital of Norris, 1929) is the most extensive group, including all of the ampullae innervated by the superficial ophthalmic branch of the anterior lateral line nerve. In $C$. plumbeus, these ampullae are numerous enough to warrant the establishment of the following smaller subdivisions. SUBDIVISION $I$ consists of ampullae located along the lateral wall of the anterior fontanelle above the base of the lateral rostral cartilage. The corresponding pores are distributed over a region posterior to the eye on the dorsolateral surface of the head (figure 9, subsections $I$ and $A$, in part) and in a second region overlying the depression between the posterior wall of the nasal capsule and the preorbital process extending onto the ventrum as part of an arc of pores in front of the eye (figure 9, in part subareas $D, C$ and $\left.C^{\prime}\right)$. This group of ampullae is innervated by the medial-most branch of the superficial ophthalmic. suBDIVISION II includes the ampullae lying along the proximal $2 / 3$ to $3 / 4$ of the
Figure 8. Semidiagrammatic representation of the ampullary system from a 211 cm TL female specimen of $C$. plumbeus. The cranial nerves are shown in red, the lateralis system in blue and the ampullary system as follows (see text): superficial ophthalmic - DIVISION I in dark green, DIVISION II in light green, DIVISION III in brown, outer buccal in orange and mandibular in black.


Figure 9. Location of the subareas (A-I) used in counting the ampullary pores on the five species of galeoid sharks.

lateral rostral cartilage, the pores from which are located in a band along the dorsolateral margin of the rostrum from the posterior margin of the nasal capsule to a point roughly parallel with the rostral node (figure 9, in part subareas $C$ and $D$ ). The majority of these pores are bordered medially by the rostral-cranial branch and caudally by a posterior loop of the subrostral branch of the lateral line and are interspersed marginally with pores from outer buccal ampullae (see below). On the ventral surface, pores originating from this subdivision occupy the space between the lateral margin of the rostral node and the nasal aperture, these pores extending medially to the prenasal branch of the lateral line in the region overlying the cranial margin of the inter-nasal cartilage (figure 9, subsection F). A second, smaller group lies directly behind the nasal aperture and is interspersed among a series of outer buccal pores (figure 9, in part subarea $D^{\prime}$ ). This branch of the superficial ophthalmic runs along the outer base of the lateral rostral cartilage before dividing into three sections, one remaining along the lateral side of the rostral cartilage, the other two passing beneath it and continuing to medially located groups. The ampullae of SUBDIVISION III occupy the distal end of the rostrum, their pores forming the cranial-most groups on both the dorsal and ventral surfaces (figure 9, subareas $E$ and J). This last section of nerve separates from the main superficial ophthalmic branch and runs medial to the lateral rostral cartilage to its point of innervation.

OUTER BUCCAL
This cluster of ampullae is situated within the cavity formed
between the posterior wall of the nasal capsule and the preorbital process. Four isolated clusters of pores associated with this group are located on the dorsal surface of the head. The first consists of only a few pores that are interspersed with those from the superficial ophthalmic (SUBDIVISION I) and that run along the lateral margin of the head from near the orbital branch of the lateral line to the distal corner of the palatoquadrate (figure 9, in part subarea B). The second occupies a large region between the cranial and caudal pore groups of SUBDIVISION I (superficial ophthalmic) in association with the cranial branch of the lateral line (figure 9, in part subarea A). The third is located along the caudolateral margin of the SUBDIVISION II (superficial ophthalmic) pores. The fourth runs along the anteriomedial margin of this same group and connects SUBDIVISIONS II and IIT. Ventrally, outer buccal pores are also located in four distinct groups, the largest of which is located between the nasal branch of the lateral line and the mouth (figure 9, in part subarea G). The second begins in association with the ventral extension of SUBDIVISION I (superficial ophthalmic) and continues as a semicircle around the eye (figure 9, in part subarea $C^{\prime}$ ). The third group extends posteriorly from the lateral margin of the first ventral group as a condensed line bounded on either side by the orbital and angular branches of the lateral line. The fourth ventral group consists of a small cluster of pores lying along the cranial margin of the more posterior SUBDIVISION II (superficial ophthalmic) group (figure 9, in part subarea $D^{\prime \prime}$ ). Following its exit from the orbit wall, the outer buccal branch of the anterior lateral line nerve passes beneath the eyeball and runs anterolateral to the ampullary cluster.

MANDIBULAR
This is the smallest group and is located in a small connective tissue capsule approximately midway along each side of the lower jaw. From 18 to 24 pores (Table 19) pores are located between the angle of the mouth and the midline and lie cranial to the oral section of the lateral line. The mandibular branch of the anterior lateral line nerve runs posteriolaterally from the orbit, over the dorsolateral edge of the palatoquadrate, and directly to the capsule.

The ampullary systems in the remaining four species of sharks are remarkably similar to that just described. With only a few exceptions, differences that do exist either reflect reductions in the overall numbers of ampullae or in the relative development of the lateralis system. For example, a reduction in the number of superficial ophthalmic, DIVISION I ampullae (Table 9) result in a less distinct and generally abbreviated subarea "I". In addition, G. cuvieri, $\underline{\text { O }}$. taurus and $P$. glauca exhibit a limited number of pores extending rostrally from their normal point of innervation. Variations in the general arrangement of DIVISION II ampullae include a noticeable separation of the cluster into nearly equal cranial and caudal subdivisions in $P$. glauca as well as shifts in the arrangement of somatic pores caudally (on G. cuvieri) and/or laterally (on $\underline{O}$. taurus). Differences in the arrangement of outer buccal ampullae include reductions in their relative contribution to subarea "A" (G. cuvieri and P. glauca), and a somewhat unusual expansion of numerous pores into the area caudal to the eye on 0 . taurus, possibly compensating for the reduction in the
number of pores originating from SUBDIVISION I (superficial ophthalmic) ampullae. Minor differences are also apparent in the distribution of the ventrally located outer buccal, particularly in the area in front of the mouth (subarea "G"). Variations in the relative extent of the lateralis system range from a slight increase on both the dorsal and ventral surfaces in $C$. obscurus (figure 10) to decreases, slight on the dorsal and ventral surfaces of $G$. cuvieri to extreme on the ventral surface of $\underline{P}$. glauca.

While the overall arrangement of somatic pores on the surface of the rajoids exhibits many interspecific similarities, differences in actual numbers exist. Pore counts from previously established subareas (Raschi, 1978) are presented for both the principal (Table 10) and ancillary (Table 11) species. These artificial subareas are of only limited use, particularly in those species with modified pore distributions such as the subgenus dipturus or $\underline{A}$. longirostris, in which subareas "H" and "I" are not sufficiently distinct to permit their separation (see figure 5). Comparisons of these subareas yield no noticeable trends, but are useful in calculating total counts. Table 8 summarizes the pore distributions on the principal species. Total numbers of pores generally reflect the overall size of each species (Table 3), ranging from 380 on $R$. garmani, to 1691 on R. laevis. The majority of these pores are on the ventral surface of each species and range from 64\% of the total in B. richardsoni to $89 \%$ in $R$. laevis. Pore densities are therefore greater on the ventral surface, with values ranging from 0.05 pores/sq. cm on B. richardsoni to 0.96 pores/sq. cm on R. bigelowi. Species in the ancillary group exhibit total pore counts (Table 12) which are comparable to the principal species, with

Figure 10. Semidiagrammatic representation of the ampullary system from a 160 cm TL male specimen of C . obscurus. The cranial nerves are not included. The lateralis system is shown in blue and the ampullary system as follows (see text): superficial ophthalmic - DIVISION I in dark green, DIVISION II in light green, DIVISION III in brown, outer buccal in orange and mandibular in black.

## C. obscurus

## DORSAL

the exception of the reduced numbers of pores on the comparatively smaller sized Gurgesiella ishiyamai and G. plutonia (compare Tables 6 and 3). As seen in the principal species, the majority of these pores are also associated with the ventrum, with the single exception of $R$. clarkii, on which $52 \%$ of the pores are located on the dorsum. The percentage of the total pores located on the ventrum of the ancillary species are similar to the principal group except for the two previously mentioned gurgesiellids, which have fewer ventral pores, and Anacanthobatis longirostris which exhibits a greater value. The ventral pore densities on the ancillary species range from 0.04 pores $/ \mathrm{sq}$. cm on R. alba to 2.73 pores/sq. cm on Gurgesiella atripinna.

The total numbers of ampullae contained in each of the connective tissue capsules from the principal species is presented in Table 7. These totalled counts compare favorably with those for the pores (Tables 8 and 12), ranging from an increase of $10.8 \%$ (R. bigelowi) to a decrease by 14.38 (R. laevis). Generally, the the hyoid capsule contains the greatest numbers of ampullae and range from between 37.5\% of the total in $R$. eglanteria to $51.2 \%$ in B. richardsoni. With the exception of $R$. laevis, the second largest capsule is the superficial ophthalmic accounting for between $26.3 \%$ (in R. bigelowi) and $30.2 \%$ (in B. richardsoni) of the total. In R. laevis however, this capsule is spatially separated from the inner buccal and therefore contains relatively fewer ampullae. When combined however, these two capsules still encompass fewer ampullae than does the outer buccal capsule, a feature different from the other principal species. The mandibular capsule contains the fewest ampullae, accounting for between only $4.0 \%$ in B. richardsoni and $9.9 \%$ in M. senta. The relative distribution of
the ampullae in the ancillary species is similar to that of the principal species (Table 13). Exceptions to this include a significant reduction in the number of ampullae of the hyoid capsules from $\underset{\text { A }}{ }$. longirostris and R . texana: a similar reduction in the number of ampullae in the superficial ophthalmic capsule of $B$. spinacauda as well as in the combined superficial ophthalmic and inner buccal capsules of R. rhina; and a decrease in outer buccal ampullae in $G$. ishiyamai. Interestingly, those remaining species of the subgenus dipturus which possess a separate inner buccal capsule, contained more ampullae in this and the superficial ophthalmic then are present in the outer buccal capsule alone.

By comparison, the general distribution of both the ampullae (Table 9) and the corresponding pores (Table 19) of the galeoid sharks are significantly different from that of the rajoids. Total numbers of pores are greater, ranging from 941 on $\underline{P}$. glauca to 2382 on $C$. plumbeus and appear to bear no relationship to the overall size of the species. These pores exhibit a much more even distribution over the surface of the head, with between only $50.3 \%$ (C. plumbeus) and 61.8\% (P. glauca) of the total number being associated with the ventral region. The average, total counts of ampullae are slightly less, with reductions amounting to from 2.3\% (C. plumbeus) to 25.0\% (P. glauca) of the corresponding pore counts. Such large deviations probably reflect the difficulty often encountered in differentiating ampullary pores from the lateral line pores. In the absence of the hyoid capsule found in the rajoids, the majority of ampullae, from $74.3 \%$ (C. plumbeus) to $49.2 \%$ (G. cuvieri) of the total, are located in the superficial ophthalmic region. Similarly, the mandibular capsules contain the
fewest ampullae, accounting for only between 1.8\% (C. plumbeus) and 5.8\% (G- cuvieri).

Variation is present in the number of alveoli on ampullae from the principal species of rajoids (Table 14). Counts of alveoli vary considerably among ampullae within the same capsule and are most variable in the hyoid and least variable in the mandibular capsule. Furthermore, average numbers of alveoli differ between capsules within the same specimen; from a high value of 20.5 (in B. richardsoni) to 8.3 (in R. laevis) on ampullae from the hyoid capsule, and lows from 9.6 (in B. richardsoni) to 3.8 (in $R$. leavis) on ampullae from the mandibular capsule. The average number of alveoli do not appear to exhibit any ontogenetic variation, as indicated by the superficial ophthalmic ampullae from $R$. eglanteria (figure 11). Finally however, considerable intraspecific variation does exists in the average number of alveoli within the same capsule (figure 12 and 13), with $\underline{B}$. richardsoni exhibiting consistently greater values for each capsule and R. laevis, or in one instance M. senta, exhibiting the lowest.

Both the number and arrangement of alveoli are similar in the ancillary group (Table 15). Ampullae from the hyoid capsule exhibited the largest variation in the number of alveoli, with the exception of six species: A. longirostris, G. ishiyamai, R. clarkii, R- doutrei, R. mirelatus and R . ocellata. An additional five species (G. plutonia, R . alba, R. erinacea, R. rhina and R. teevani), exhibit ampullae in either the superficial ophthalmic, inner buccal or outer buccal capsules with less variation in alveoli counts than the mandibular. Total averaged numbers of alveoli exceed the 20.5 of $B$. richardsoni in six species (R. bathyphila, $R$. binoculata, M. fuliginea, $R$ - fyllae, $R$. jenseni and M.
Figure 1l. Relationship between the number of alveoli and the total length of the individual in $R$. eglanteria. Each data point represents an averaged count from five ampullae removed from the superficial ophthalmic capsule of each specimen. Statistical analysis was performed on separate counts.
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Figure 13. Isolated ampullae from the superficial ophthalmic capsule of the following species: A. R. garmani (Disc 180, female). B. R. bigelowi (Disc 196, male). C. R. radiata (Disc 199, male). D. B. richardsoni (Disc 538, female).

purpuriventralis) whereas three species (G. plutonia, R. alba and R. porosa) possess lower average counts than the 3.8 of R . laevis. Over $50 \%$ of the ancillary species have ampullae from capsules other than the hyoid exhibiting the largest average number of alveoli for that particular species, but it remains the mandibular which exhibits the least.

In contrast to the rajoids, the alveoli from the galeoid sharks are arranged in a single, concentric row encircling the terminal end of the ampulla (figure 14). By comparison, there are generally fewer alveoli (Table 16), with combined, averaged values for all of the innervation areas ranging from 23.6 (c. plumbeus) to 34.2 ( 0 . taurus). Normally. those ampullae from the superficial ophthalmic (DIVISION I and III) or the outer buccal (0. taurus) regions exhibit the most numerous alveoli, ranging from 11.0 (G. cuvieri) to 7.4 (C. plumbeus): whereas those from the mandibular region exhibit the fewest alveoli of from 2.4 (C. plumbeus) to 5.2 (G. cuvieri and O . taurus). The variation within a specific innervation area is comparable to that found in the rajoids.

Considerable variation is also apparent in the length and width of ampullae within a single capsule as well as between capsules within the same specimen. Although not immediately apparent from individual capsules (Table 17), the combined, averaged measurements of ampullae from each capsule pair tend to exhibit an ontogenetic increase within each species (figures 15 and 16). While statistically suspect due to the low sample size, similar measurements from a more complete ontogenetic series of the superficial ophthalmic ampullae in $R$. eglanteria confirm this trend. Both the lengths and the widths of these ampullae are found to be proportional to the natural logarithm of the

Figure 14. Isolated ampullae from C. plumbeus ( $120 \mathrm{~cm} T \mathrm{TL}$, female): A. Lateral view from the outer buccal area. B. Apical view from the superficial ophthalmic area.

Figure 15. Relationship between width of ampullae and disc width for the seven principal species of skates. Data points represent the average width of five ampullae totalled for the four capsules (inner buccal capsule of R . Laevis is omitted).


Figure 16. Relationship between length of ampullae and disc width for the seven principal species of skates. Data points represent the average length of five ampullae totalled for the four capsules (inner buccal capsule of R . laevis is omitted).


# Figure 17. Relationship between the disc width (ln) and the overall dimensions of the superficial ophthalmic ampullae from R. eglanteria. Each data point represents either the averaged length or width of five ampullae from each specimen. 


disc width (figure 17), as indicated by a deviation from regression analysis (Sokal and Rohlf, 1969). The logarithmic plot of ampullae length and disc width yields a nonsignificant deviation from the regression ( $F=1.36 ; 31,132$ ) but a correspondingly significant curvilinear regression ( $F=208.67 * * ; 1,31$ ) , resulting in the following equation: $Y=-0.77+0.23 \ln X$, where $Y$ is the ampullae length and $X$ is the disc width $(t=16.34 * *)$. A similar plot of the width of the ampullae produces a correspondingly significant curvilinear regression ( $F=215.25 * *$, 1,31), expressed by $Y=-1.15+0.31 \operatorname{lnX}$, where $Y$ is the ampullae width and $X$ is disc width ( $t=23.36 * *$ ). Both relationships allow for a further comparison of principle and ancillary species (Table 18).

The general arrangement of the ampullae of Lorenzini in the rajoids appears to compensate for reduced sensory stimuli during feeding. The predominance of ventrally located pores (Tables 8 and 1.2 ) on a dorsoventrally flattened body potentially provides the adequate cues necessary for the suction-grasping type of feeding mechanism (Moss, 1977) characteristic of this group. The rapid dissipation of a stationary dipole field requires the close approach of the skate to a prey item (Kalmijn, 1971 and 1972) which diminishes the function of the dorsally located eyes. A reduced importance of mechanoreceptive information during prey capture may further be inferred from the generally diminished lateralis system on the ventrum as compared to the dorsal surface. The increased pore density which is located around the mouth in all species (figures 1, 5, 6, 7) provides the greater resolution necessary to direct the feeding strike once the source is located. Furthermore, the relative proportion of the pores which are ventrally located generally decreases with depth of occurrence. Compared to the shallower dwelling species (those inhabiting depths less than 500 m ), those skates from depths exceeding 1000 m exhibit a significant reduction $(t=3.697 * *)$ by nearly $10 \%$ in the number of ventral pores, from $80.3 \%$ to $72.6 \%$ (figure 18). This shift of ampullary pores to the dorsal surface may reflect the lack of adequate visual stimuli in deeper waters. Moreover, both the largest, $92.3 \%$ on $A$. longirostris, and the smallest, $48.4 \%$ on R. clarkii, proportion of

Figure 18. The relationship between the percentage of total ampullary pores associated with the ventral surface and the mean depth of occurrence for each species of skate. Each point represents the averaged value for a different species.
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ventral pores are found on those species inhabiting intermediate depths (from 500 m to 1000 m ). This region is characterized by near-threshold light intensities (Marshall, 1971) and variations in the dorsal-ventral pore distribution may represent experimentation in the electrosensory system to compensate for the lack of visual input.

The significance in the general arrangement of the ampullary system in the galeoid sharks is less clear. The lateral, or nearly lateral, position of the eyes on a more conically-shaped head facilitates extensive use of vision during the initial stages of prey capture and appropriately, the number of pores associated with either the dorsal (A-E, I) or the ventral surface ( $F-H, C^{\prime}, D^{\prime}, J$ ) are more nearly equal (Table 19). The lateralis system is also more evenly distributed. However, the ampullary system of certain elasmobranchs has been shown both theoretically (Kalmijn, 1973) and behaviorally (Kalmijn, 1978a \& b) to play a major role in electroorientation and navigation of the more migratory species. As a shark moves through the earth's magnetic field, dorsally and ventrally located pores evaluate the ohmic voltage gradient induced by the horizontal component while the more laterally located pores evaluate the vertical component (Kalmijn, 1981). This favors a more even distribution of pores. This functional duality of the electroreceptive system in the more mobile species of sharks may mask any clear anatomical relationships to feeding. In further contrast to the rajoids, a greater proportion of the ampullary pores are concentrated in the snout region (figure 8 and 10). In all but G. cuvieri, the majority of the corresponding ampullae are located within the rostrum (Table 9, superficial ophthalmic I-III). Although Schaeffer (1967) has suggested that the evolution of the rostrum is associated
with the elaboration of the ampullary system, with the rostral length directly reflecting electroreceptive acuity (Compagno, 1979), the total number of ampullae within this region does not confirm this hypothesis. While $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{e}}$ glauca exhibits the longest rostrum and G. cuvieri the shortest (Table 21), measured as either the relative length of the medial cartilage (Compagno, 1979) or externally between the tip of the snout and the level of the nares (Bigelow and Schroeder, 1948); it is G. cuvieri which possess the greater number of ampullae (Table 9). Similarly, $\underline{\text { O }}$. taurus exhibits a significant reduction of from $2 / 3$ to $1 / 2$ of the number of ampullae as compared to $\underline{C}$. plumbeus and $C$. obscurus and yet possesses a snout of nearly the same length.

In the rajoids, the general arrangement and relative density of ventral ampullary pores appears accurately to reflect specific food preferences for each species. Since each ampulla functions independently (Waltman, 1966) and supplies separate sensory information to the central nervous system, pore densities represent a measure of the electroreceptive resolution. Differences in this parameter determine both the accuracy and capabilities of this system. However, in order to compare the ampullary system with prey selectivity certain generalizations must be made. Several species inhabit geographically wide ranges, throughout which preferred prey items may change significantly as a result of either changes in the prey species' distribution or through interspecific competition. Such a situation has been well documented for R. erinacea in the Delaware Bay (McEachran, 1976). More significant however, is the wide diversity of prey species included in stomach contents of most rajids. The majority of skates are extremely catholic in their prey
selection and this necessitates the categorization of prey items into more general, often artificial, groups. The relative mobility of each prey species is of most interest from an electroreceptive standpoint. In this study, overall diets are classified according to general locomotory capabilities into one of the following five categories. The first (Group 1, figure 19) consists of larger, pelagic fish and cephalopods while the second (Group 2) includes an additional smaller fraction of the larger, more mobile crustaceans. The third group includes nearly equal amounts of both active and sedentary fish and crustacea. The final two diet classes (Group 4 and 5 in figure 19) include primarily benthic invertebrates, epifaunal species in the former and infaunal species in the latter. The six principal species for which stomach content information is available (Table 4) fit into these five groups. The first includes Bathyraja richardsoni which feeds primarily on larger, more active, teleosts such as macrourids (Templeman, 1973; Campbell et al., 1980). Both $\underline{R}$. laevis and $\underline{R}$. radiata are included in the second group; R. laevis feeding primarily upon active fish (including clupeids, stromateids and gadids) and larger crustacea (Homarus, Cancer, etc.) (Bigelow and Schroeder, 1953b; Liem and scott, 1966) along with smaller quantities of molluscs (both bivalves and gastropods) and polychaetes (Bigelow and Schroeder, 1953a). Similarly, adult R . radiata feed primarily on fish (McEachran et al. . 1976) as well as smaller quantities of invertebrates. Published accounts of the stomach contents from R . eglanteria include large amounts of both invertebrates and fish and therefore place it within the third group. For example, although Fritz and Daiber (1963) found invertebrates comprising $97 \%$ of the total number of items found in

Figure 19. The relationship between food preference and ventral pore densities among the skates (both principal and ancillary species). Morphological potential, as defined in the text, is the product of relative mouth width (\% TL) and total length (mm).

stomachs of R . eglanteria, additional studies by both Hildebrand and Schroeder (1927) and Bigelow and Schroeder (1953a) suggest that fish species, such as Peprilus and Stenotomus, are occasionally the principal component. Finally, while both $M$. senta and R. bigelowi feed on smaller benthic invertebrates; the first concentrates on epifaunal groups, such as smaller decapods, euphausids, amphipods and mysids (McEachran et al.. 1976), while the second presumably on infaunal amphipods (Sedberry, pers. comm.).

Ventral pore densities of the principal species (Table 8) are inversely related to general prey mobility. Those rajoids which feed primarily on the more active prey, such as B. richardsoni (Group 1) and R. radiata and R . laevis (Group 2), exhibit the lowest pore densities of 0.05 pores $/ \mathrm{cm}^{2}, 0.26$ pores $/ \mathrm{cm}^{2}$ and 0.34 pores $/ \mathrm{cm}^{2}$ respectively. on the other hand, those rajids which feed on more sedentary prey species, R. eglanteria (Group 3), M. senta (Group 4) and R. bigelowi (Group 5), exhibit much higher densities of 0.37 pores $/ \mathrm{cm}^{2}, 0.39$ pores $/ \mathrm{cm}^{2}$ and 0.96 pores $/ \mathrm{cm}^{2}$ respectively. The ventral pore densities (Table 12) of the twelve ancillary species for which food habit information is available (Table 20) follow a similar trend. B. spinacauda and R. alba are both primarily piscivorous (Group 1) and possess reduced ventral pore densities of 0.10 pores $/ \mathrm{cm}^{2}$ and 0.04 pores $/ \mathrm{cm}^{2}$ respectively. R. binoculata, R. hyperborea and R. jenseni belong in Group 2, feeding on larger teleosts and, to a lesser extent, active crustaceans. Pore densities for these species range from 0.06 pores $/ \mathrm{cm}^{2}$ to 0.26 pores $/ \mathrm{cm}^{2}$ and 0.27 pores $/ \mathrm{cm}^{2}$ and compare favorably with those principal species with similar food habits. Ample food habit data places R. clavata and R. ocellata into the third group. Ventral pore densities of
0.24 pores $/ \mathrm{cm}^{2}$ and 0.57 pores $/ \mathrm{cm}^{2}$ for these two species are intermediate between Groups 1 and 5. Lastly, R. texana, R. mirelatus, R. exinacea, M. spinacidermis and R. fyllae possess diets which consist primarily of smaller invertebrates. The first three feed predominantly on epifaunal species (Group 4) and possess ventral pore densities of 0.47 pores $/ \mathrm{cm}^{2}, 0.92$ pores $/ \mathrm{cm}^{2}$ and 1.07 pores $/ \mathrm{cm}^{2}$ respectively whereas R. fyllae typically consumes infaunal species (Group 5) and exhibits a pore density of 0.81 pores $/ \mathrm{cm}^{2}$. A ventral pore density of 0.29 pores $/ \mathrm{cm}^{2}$ on M. spinacidermis appears anomalous probably due to the very small sizes of the individuals examined by Templeman (1965). This relationship between prey selection and the ventral pore density is presented along the horizontal axis of figure 19.

The reduced pore densities on the more piscivorous rajoids do not necessarily reflect decreased pore numbers nor does it indicate merely increased surface areas. However, those species which do feed on the larger-sized prey items exhibit certain size-related modifications including generally larger body sizes as well as proportionally larger mouths. Increased mouth sizes have previously been used as a "morphological potential" to interpret prey selection in a number of other fish groups including mesopelagic and bathypelagic teleosts (Ebeling and Cailliet, 1974) and benthic macrourids (McLellan, 1977). A similar trend is found in these rajoids as shown along the vertical axis of figure 19. The substitution of this "morphological potential" for actual prey preferences in those species lacking stomach content information further demonstrates the relationship between ventral pore densities and prey selection (figure 20).

The reduced pore densities among those skate species which feed on

Figure 20. The relationship between morphological potential (as defined in Figure 19) and ventral pore densities.

highly mobile prey may reflect the distance from which the feeding strike is initiated. Normally, those species which select infaunal, or more sedentary epifaunal prey, have the opportunity to remain relatively stationary above the prey location. The individual food item may be drawn closer to the jaws by creating a negative pressure beneath the body, as described for Torpedo (Belbenoit and Bauer, 1972), or by excavating the surrounding sediment (Howard, et al, 1977; Gregory et al. 1979). Electroreceptive information from the ampullae concentrated around the mouth may then help to quickly grasp the prey item between the jaws. However, Kalmijn and Weinger (1981) used computer simulated bioelectric fields in a recent study to observe the feeding strike of two elasmobranch species on actively moving prey. In this situation Raja erinacea initiated the attack at a distance of 15 to 25 cm away and uses no further course corrections. An evaluation of the prey-generated, bioelectric information from this distance should favor a different configuration of pores which more evenly cover a larger portion of the ventral surface. This may be of additional significance in light of recent evidence which suggests a somatotopic arrangement of the electroreceptor terminals in the dorsal octavolateral nucleus in $R$. erinacea (Bodznick and Schmidt, 1982).

Because of the difficulties involved in measuring the surface area of the individual galeoid heads, specific pore densities are unavailable. However, a comparison of the relative numbers of ampullary pores (Table 9) among the different shark species suggests modifications in electroreceptive acuity along similar directions. C. plumbeus normally inhabits shallower, often murky, coastal waters and yet selectivity feeds on many smaller, often cryptic prey species
(Table 22). This strongly indicates the extensive use of the ampulary system in prey capture as exemplified by its ability to feed predominantly on Callinectes (Medved and Marshall, 1981). A disproportionately large fraction of those crabs taken by young $\mathbb{C}$ plumbeus appear to be freshly molted (VIMS unpublished data), a condition which is characterized by increased osmotic activity and may therefore exhibit bioelectric fields of increased magnitude similar to that observed in other wounded crustaceans (Kalmijn, 1974). By comparison, C. obscurus is as selective in its food habits which consists primarily of active fish and cephalopods but is more pelagic in its distribution despite periodically entering estuarine waters. As a result of feeding higher in the clearer water column, vision may play a more significant role. Similarly, $P$. gluaca is selective in its food habits and is normally restricted to extremely clear pelagic waters (Table 22). Both species exhibit reduced pore densities with respect to C. plumbeus: C. obscurus due to slightly decreased numbers of pores on a much larger body (Table 21 ), P. glauca due only to extremely reduced pore numbers. G. cuvieri and 0 . taurus also exhibit possess similarly reduced pore numbers (Table 9). These two species normally inhabit shallow coastal waters but feed much less discriminantly and include not only a wide variety of prey species, but a large fraction of inanimate objects as well (Table 22 ). In these cases, reduced electroreceptive acuity is not compensated for by increased visual input but rather probably through reduced prey selectivity.

Each of the principal species of rajoids exhibits a characteristic number of alveoli on ampullae from each capsule pair (for example,
figures 12 and 13). These values are generally greater in the the two deeper dwelling species, $B$. richardsoni and $R$, bigelowi. since intraspecific variation within each capsule is relatively small (Table 14) and since there are very few ontogenetic differences (figure 11), the average number of alveoli within each capsule may be interspecifically compared. Figure 21 demonstrates a generally increased number of alveoli within each capsule of the deeper dwelling species. Furthermore, a total average number of alveoli for all of the major capsules (omitting the inner buccal) exhibits a similar depth related increase for the majority of both principal and ancillary species (figure 22). The alveoli counts (Tables 14 and 15) for those species found in waters shallower than 500 m average $38.8 /$ species (range of 23.0 , on R . porosa, to 71.4 on R . binoculata) whereas similar counts for those species inhabiting waters deeper than 1000 m average 70.0/species, of nearly twice that of the shallow, with a range from 59.4 (R. hyperborea) to 79.6 (M. fuliginea). Again of particular interest are those ampullae from the species inhabiting intermediate depths (500-1000 meters). The number of alveoli in this group ranges between from 17.7 (G. plutonia) and 46.6 (G. atripinna), overlapping the lower range of the shallow group and exhibit an average of 38.3/species which is slightly below that of the shallow group.

In addition, ampullae from deeper dwelling rajoids are generally larger in size. Comparisons of ampullary widths (figure 15) and lengths (figure 16) among the principal species strongly indicates that those inhabiting shallower waters, such as $R$. eglanteria and R. laevis, possess smaller ampullae for any given sized individual when compared to the deeper species, such as $\underline{R}$. bigelowi and B. richardsoni. By
Figure 21. The averaged number of alveoli on ampullae from individual capsules of the seven principal species of skates. Capsules are abbreviated at the bottom of each histogram as follows: M, mandibular; $O$, outer buccal; $I$, inner buccal; S, superficial ophthalmic; and $H$, hyoid. Species are arranged in order of increasing total numbers of alveoli.


Figure 22. Relationship between the average number of alveoli on each ampulla and depth of occurrence. Mean depths for each species of skate is represented by a single point within the published depth ranges. Alveoli values consist of total, averaged counts for four of the capsule pairs (inner buccal values are not included).


Figure 23. Comparison of ampullary width and size of specimen for both shallow and deep species of skate. Individual points represent all available specimens (both principal and ancillary species) and are the averaged widths for five ampulae for each specimen.


Figure 24. Comparison of ampullary lengths and size of specimen for both shallow and deep species of skate. Individual points represent all available specimens (both principal and ancillary species) and are the averaged lengths for five ampullae for each specimen.

combining lengths or widths for all of the species in the shallow group or with those of the deep group (figures 23 and 24), it becomes apparent that the differences in their slopes are insignificant (width $F=1.91 ;$ d.f. $=1,64$ and length $F=2.93 ;$ d.f. $=1,64$ ) whereas their elevations are not (width $F=57.77 * * ;$ d.f.1,65 and length $F=95.14 * *$; d.f. $=$ 1,65). Therefore, ampullae from deeper dwelling species are longex and wider than those from the shallower waters.

Since the sensory epithelium is restricted to the alveoli (Murray, 1974, Waltman, 1966), the increased lumenal surface area in deep-sea rajoids which results from the combined effect of increased overall size with greater numbers of alveoli indicates an increased population of receptor cells. This may have several major physiological implications. An increased number of receptor cells associated with each neuron is likely to reduce the stimulus threshold level. Since the release of neurotransmitter appears to based on a quanta-synapse relationship (Bennett and Clusin, 1978), with a certain number of quanta required for spike initiation; a lower stimulus strength should initiate the same impulse through the combined release of neurotransmitter from a larger number of receptor celis. Secondly, an increased number of neurons from each ampulla, may affect central nervous system processing through an increased number of fibers carrying a particular signal, and thereby the signal-to-noise ratio. Unfortunately, little information is available regarding the relationship between the number of neurons innervating each ampulla and the corresponding number of alveoli, nor is the material available from this study of sufficient histological quality to permit such a determination. Peabody (1897) lists an average value of 7-10 nerves
innervating each ampulla in M. canis. The average number of nerve fibers (six) associated with each mandibular ampulla recorded for R . ocellata by Murray (1965) is substantially fewer (2 SD) than the average number of alveoli observed in this study (Table 15) for that capsule. Therefore increased receptor cells probably provide a combination of both effects.

Furthermore, an increased population of receptor cells may enhance the overall sensitivity of the ampulla. Spontaneous receptor cell activity increases the negativity of the ampullary lumen (Obara and Bennett, 1972) and thereby helps to excite surrounding receptor cells. Increased numbers of receptor cells may generally raise the lumenal negativity and as such increase the gain of the system in a manner similar to that proposed by Bennett and Clusin (1978) for more general tonic activity.

These proposed modifications in the receptor mechanism reflects differences in prey capture. The density of benthic organisms, as a potential prey source generally decreases with depth. Studies of the western North Atlantic infauna show this decrease to be an order of magnitude from the shallow inshore waters to the bottom of the continental slope and of a second order of magnitude onto the abyssal. plain (Sanders and Hessler, 1979). Similar decreases are observed in several individual faunal components including the epibenthic macrofauna, such as fish and arthropods (Haedrich, et al., 1975), and macro-infauna (Carey, 1981). Moreover, general biomass significantly decreases from the shallow to the deep-sea (Marshall, 1979). The existence in an energy impoverished environment, such as the deep-sea, imposes energetic constraints on predators which may necessitate
changes in feeding strategies. By searching the bottom from a position higher in the water column, a rajoid is presumably able to search a larger area of the bottom in the same amount of time when compared with its shallow water counterpart, but at the expense of weaker fields. Since impulse frequency is directly related to the relative field strength (Murray 1965, 1967), the increased sensitivity may help to compensate for this a situation. Similar types of modifications in other sensory systems from several taxa of deep-sea fish have been documented and are reviewed by Marshall (1979).

The alveoli structure of the shark ampullae is much simpler than that of the rajoids. However, differences between these two groups in the location and arrangement of individual alveoli prevent a comparison of the relative amount of sensory epithelium at this time. Within the galeoids, those two species which inhabit the shallow murky waters, $G$. cuvieri and 0 . taurus, appear to possess slight.ly increased numbers of alveoli on each ampulla whereas the only strictly pelagic species. $P$. glauca, exhibits somewhat fewer. Unfortunately, the range of species is not diverse enough at present to provide any clear trend. In addition, the impact of electro-orientation on the overall structure of the alveoli is uncertain. Clearly, these observations may only provide the beginnings for similar investigations into this group.

A comparison of several aspects of the ampullary system in a wide variety of skates as well as within a subsequent group of galeoid sharks emphasizes the importance of electroreception in prey capture. The majority of pores (normally between $70 \%$ and $80 \%$ ) are located on the ventral surface of the dorsoventrally flattened skates whereas they are more evenly distributed (between $50 \%$ and $62 \%$ ventral) on the more conically shaped head of the sharks. This appears to partially compensate for reduced visual input available from the dosally located eyes during the prey-oriented strike of the skates in contrast to the more laterally located eyes of the galeoids. Additional compensation for the loss of visual input is also apparent through the increased proportion of dorsally located pores (from $80.9 \%$ in the shallow to 73.2\% in the deep-sea) on those skates which inhabit deeper aphotic waters.

Prey preferences are further reflected in the general pore distribution and overall resolution, as indicated by ventral pore densities. Piscivorous species of skates have previously been observed to initiate their feeding strike from relatively great distances (ca. $25 \mathrm{~cm})$. Ventral pores cover the majority of the body surface but at a significantly reduced density, reflecting the more diffuse fields as well as possibly increased visual input. On the other hand, those skate species feeding predominantly on more sedentary prey, such as infaunal invertebrates, exhibit more reduced ventral pore distributions but
heavily concentrated around the mouth region. This may in turn reflect the more stationary feeding strategy employed by this group. Interspecific comparisons within the skates yield a inverse relationship between overall prey mobility and ventral pore densities. A similar trend is observed in the galeoid sharks. Those species which inhabit environments which potentially yield greater visual input, such as the clearer pelagic waters, or those species which are much less selective in their food habits possess greatly reduced ampullary systems.

Lastly, both the size and structure of the individual ampulla varies with respect to the bathymetric range of each skate species. Whereas the number of alveoli remains ontogenetically constant for each ampullary capsule, significantly greater numbers are found on ampullae from deeper dwelling species. Moreover, the overall size of the ampullae, as indicated by both individual lengths and widths, increase significantly in those species inhabiting the deep-sea. These differences yield correspondingly greater amounts of sensory epithelium and thereby further increase the signal - to - noise ratio. Such an increase may be one mechanism to allow these skates to search for prey items from a slightly higher position in the water column in order to cover larger amounts of the bottom.
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Table 1. Collection data for the seven principal species of skates (Rajidae) examined during this study.

| Species | Subgenus (where known) | $\underset{(\mathrm{mn})}{\mathrm{TL}}$ | Dise width (inn) | Sex | coll. ${ }^{1}$ | Locality | Depth (H) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bathyraja | - | 752 | 538 | F | VIMS 03342 | $36^{\circ} 38 \cdot \mathrm{~N}: 74^{\circ} 28^{\prime} \cdot \mathrm{N}$ | 1700 |
| richardsoni | - | 1239 | 829 | H | St J 50273 | $41^{\circ} 45^{\prime} \mathrm{N} ; 65^{\circ}{ }_{06}{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 2100-2190 |
|  | - | 1297 | 830 | M | " 50276 | $43^{\circ} 03^{\prime} \mathrm{N} ; 65^{\circ} \mathrm{O5}$ 'W | 1840-2010 |
|  | - | 1410 | 963 | $F$ | " 50254 | $41^{\circ} 11{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{N}: 65^{\circ} 53 \cdot \mathrm{~h}$ | 2160-2230 |
|  | - | 1491 | 1000 | $F$ | " 50255 | $54^{\circ} 52 \cdot \mathrm{~N} ; 51^{\circ} 59 . \mathrm{W}$ | 2190-2220 |
| Raja bigelowi | Rajella | 171 | 89 | $F$ | vims 03346 | $37{ }^{\circ} 09^{\prime} \mathrm{N} ; 73^{\circ}{ }_{55}{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 2100 |
|  | - | 340 | 171 | $F$ | " 03347 | $36^{\circ} 13 . \mathrm{N} ; 74^{\circ} 30 \cdot \mathrm{H}$ | 1600 |
|  | * | 380 | 196 | $M$ | - 03347 | $36^{\circ} 13 . \mathrm{N} ; 74^{\circ} 30^{\prime} \mathrm{H}$ | 2600 |
|  | " | 389 | 199 | M | " 05562 | $36^{\circ} 40 \cdot \mathrm{~N} ; 74^{\circ} 25^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 1645 |
|  | * | 440 | 245 | H | * uncat | $37^{\circ} 02 \cdot \mathrm{~N} ; 73^{\circ}{ }_{59} \mathrm{H}$ | 2257 |
|  | " | 444 | 230 | M | - 05562 | $36^{\circ} 40 \cdot \mathrm{~N} ; 74^{\circ} 25 . \mathrm{W}$ | 1645 |
|  | " | 445 | 235 | $\underset{\sim}{4}$ | - 00756 | $39^{\circ} 09^{\prime} \mathrm{N}_{1} 72^{\circ}{ }^{\circ} 22^{\prime} \mathrm{K}$ | 1280 |
| Raja eglanteria | Raja | 427 | 276 | M | vins untat | $36^{\circ} 471 \mathrm{~N} ; 75^{\circ}{ }_{55} \cdot \mathrm{~W}$ | 8-13 |
|  | n | 437 | 268 | H | - uncat |  | 8-13 |
|  | * | 550 | 395 | F | - uncat | $36^{\circ}{ }_{47} \cdot \mathrm{~N} ; 75^{\circ} 55^{\prime} \mathrm{H}$ | 8-13 |
|  | " | 584 | 393 | H | * uncat | $36^{\circ} 47 \cdot \mathrm{~N}: 75^{\circ} 55 \cdot \mathrm{~W}$ | 8-13 |
|  | " | 605 | 414 | $F$ | " uncat | $37^{\circ} 06^{\prime} \mathrm{N}: 76^{\circ} 45^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 4-7 |
|  | " | 653 | 424 | F | n 03041 | $36^{\circ} 56 \cdot \mathrm{~N} ; 76^{\circ} \mathrm{L5}$ / W | 7 |
| Raja garmani | - | 265 | 134 | $F$ | VIMS 02369 | $34^{\circ} 37 \cdot \mathrm{~N} ; 75^{\circ} 41^{\prime W}$ | 280 |
|  | - | 269 | 130 | F | - 01369 | $34^{\circ} 37 \cdot \mathrm{~N} ; 75^{\circ} 41^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 280 |
|  | - | 280 | 149 | ${ }^{\prime}$ | " 01369 | $34^{\circ} 37{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{N}: 75^{\circ} 4 \mathrm{~L}^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 280 |
|  | - | 359 | 290 | H | " 01370 | $37{ }^{\circ} 08 \cdot \mathrm{~N}: 74^{\circ} 34 \cdot \mathrm{~W}$ | 275 |
|  | - | 364 | 201 | ${ }^{\prime}$ | - 01369 |  | 280 |
|  | - | 385 | 180 | F | " uncat | $36^{\circ} 45 \cdot \mathrm{~N}: 74^{\circ} 39$ ' W | 186 |
|  | - | 412 | 230 | F | " 01400 | $40^{\circ} 12^{\prime} \mathrm{N} ; 70^{\circ} 54 . \mathrm{K}$ | 137-143 |


| Species | Subgenus (where known) | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{TL} \\ (\mathrm{~mm}) \end{gathered}$ | Dise Width (tum) | Sex | coll. $\mathrm{a}^{1}$ | Locality | Depth (M) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Raja laevis | Dipturus | 430 | 295 | F | VIMS 00185 | $38^{\circ} \mathrm{OB} \mathrm{N} ; 74^{\circ} 35^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 35 |
|  | * | 532 | 397 | M | MC2 uncat | - | - |
|  | * | 537 | $355^{4}$ | M | USNM 25837 | - | - |
|  | " | 675 | 501 | F | NCZ 36302 | Off Nantucket | - |
|  | " | 700 | 490 | $F$ | VIMS 02345 | $43^{\circ} 55^{\prime} \mathrm{N} ; 68^{\circ} 38^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 80 |
| Raja raciata | - Amblyraja | 192 | 128 | $F$ | VIMS 00796 | $41^{\circ} 03^{\prime} \mathrm{N} ; 66^{\circ} 49^{\prime} \mathrm{H}$ | 73 |
|  | " | 310 | 202 | $\underline{F}$ | * 00796 |  | 73 |
|  | * | 322 | 189 | M | " uncat | $36^{\circ} 43^{\prime N} ; 74^{\circ} 3^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{H}$ | 642 |
|  | * | 322 | 199 | M | " 00796 | $41^{\circ} 03^{\prime} \mathrm{N} ; 66^{\circ} 49^{\prime} \mathrm{H}$ | 73 |
|  | * | 431 | 307 | M | " 02284 | $37^{\circ} 04^{\prime} \mathrm{N} ; 74^{\circ} 32^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 550-800 |
|  | " | 705 | 491 | M | " uncat | $37^{\circ} 08^{\prime} \mathrm{N} ; 74^{\circ} \mathbf{3 2}{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | $534$ |
| Malacozaja ${ }^{5}$ | - | 177 | 110 | M | VIMS 02283 | 46 ${ }^{\circ} 56^{\prime} \mathrm{N}_{3} 60^{\circ} 01 \mathrm{LW}$ | 157 |
| senta | - | 192 | 107 | M | " 02283 | $46^{\circ} 56^{\prime} N ; 60^{\circ} 02^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | $157$ |
|  | - | 300 | 173 | $F$ | " 02110 | $46^{\circ} 21^{\prime} \mathrm{N} ; 60^{\circ} 35^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 110-113 |
|  | - | 375 | 375 | M | MCZ uncat | Off New England | - |
|  | - | 469 | 469 | $\boldsymbol{F}$ | VIMS uneat | $38^{\circ} 45^{\prime} \mathrm{N}: 73^{\circ} \mathrm{O} 1^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 375 |
|  | - | 513 | 513 | $F$ | " 01538 | $43^{\circ} 19^{\prime} \mathrm{N}: 61^{\circ} 09^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 128-137 |

[^2]Table 2. Collection data for the ancillary sfecies of skates (Rajidae) examined during this study.

| species | Subgenus (where known) | $\operatorname{cma}_{(\mathrm{mm})}$ | $\underset{(\operatorname{mm})}{\substack{\text { Dise Width }}}$ | Sex | coll. $\#^{1}$ | Locality | Depth (M) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\frac{\text { Anacanthobatis }}{\text { Iongirostris }}$ | - | 429 | 250 | M | VIMS uncat |  | 624 |
| $\frac{\text { Bathyraja }}{\text { kincaidi }}$ | - | 464 | 298 | F | $\begin{gathered} \text { MMI-DS-60 } \\ (0406) \end{gathered}$ | $35^{\circ} 27 \cdot \mathrm{~N} ; 121^{\circ} 60^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$ | 120-220 |
| $\frac{\text { Eathyraja }}{\text { Spinacauda }}$ | - | 1479 | 996 | $F$ | St J 50257 | $47^{\circ} \mathrm{OL} \cdot \mathrm{N}: 44^{\circ} \mathrm{L3} \cdot \mathrm{~W}$ | 540-562 |
| $\frac{\text { Gurgesiella }}{\frac{\text { atripinma }}{}}$ | Fenestraja ${ }^{2}$ | 221 | 144 | F | VIMS 02240 | $34^{\circ} 09^{\prime} \times 175^{\circ} 45^{\prime}$ н | 480-990 |
| $\frac{\text { Gurgesiella }}{\text { ishiyamait }}$ | $\underset{n}{\text { Fenestraja }}{ }^{2}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 220 \\ & 317 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 104 \\ & 162 \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{M}{\text { F }}$ | CRMM 13832 UMME 13832 | $\begin{aligned} & 24^{\circ} 24 \cdot \mathrm{~N} ; 80^{\circ}{ }^{22} \cdot \mathrm{~W} \\ & 24^{\circ} 24^{\prime N ;} ; 80^{\circ} 22 \cdot \mathrm{~W} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 305 \\ & 805 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\frac{\text { Gurgesiella }}{\text { Llutonia }}$ | Fenestraja ${ }^{2}$ | 233 246 | 112 | $\stackrel{M}{F}$ | VIMS uncat VIMS 06682 | $\begin{aligned} & 29^{\circ}{ }^{10} \cdot \mathrm{~N}: 79^{\circ} 59{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{W} \\ & 33^{\circ} 34^{\prime} \mathrm{N} ; 76^{\circ}{ }_{34}{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{W} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 428 \\ & 427 \end{aligned}$ |
| Raja agansizi | Atlantoraja | 373 | 218 | M | viMS uncat | $29^{\circ} 52 \cdot 5 ; 49^{\circ} 37 \times \mathrm{W}$ | - |
| Paja alba | Rostroraja | 302 | 221 | $\cdots$ | RUSI 8065 | East Province, s.afr. | - |
| Raja bathyphila | Rajella | 280 | 161 | M | ISH 177d/73 | $65^{\circ} 03^{\prime} \mathrm{N}: 33^{\circ} 04{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 1503-1535 |
| Raja binoculata | Dipturus | 228 | 181 | $F$ | - | - | - |
| Raja bullisi | Dipturus | 173 | 126 | F | UMMI 1110 | $24^{\circ} 29^{\prime} \mathrm{N} ; 83^{\circ} 27 \cdot \mathrm{~W}$ | 388 |
| Raja clarkii | Lequeraja | $\begin{aligned} & 438 \\ & 760 \\ & 845 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 272 \\ & 450 \\ & 558 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & M \\ & M \\ & M \end{aligned}$ | USNA uncat USNM 205389 USNM 205391 | $7{ }^{\circ} 26^{\circ} \mathrm{N}: 53^{\circ} 16^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ "ofe Mexico" "off Florida" | $\begin{gathered} \text { 604-631 } \\ 430 \\ 907 \end{gathered}$ |
| Raja clavata | Raja | $\begin{aligned} & 320 \\ & 342 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 249 \\ & 263 \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{F}{F}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RUSI 7850 } \\ & \text { ISF } 6 / 62 \end{aligned}$ |  | 32 |
| Raja doutrei | Dipturus | 372 | 265 | M | UMSL 15151 | $4^{\circ} 20^{\prime} \mathrm{N} ; 09^{\circ} 26^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$. | 732 |
| Raja erinacea | Leucozaja | - | - | M | viMS uneat | $42^{\circ} 19 \cdot \mathrm{~N} ; 65^{\circ} 21^{\prime} \mathrm{H}$ | 120 |
| $\frac{\text { Raja }}{\text { £1avirostris }}$ | Dipturus | 287 | 224 | F | vIMS uncat | $41^{\circ} 57 \cdot \mathrm{~S} ; 59^{\circ} 45^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 80 |
| gaja Eloridana | Dipturus | 280 | 310 | F | UMML 11018 | $29^{\circ} 33^{\prime} \mathrm{N} ; 80{ }^{\circ} 11{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 300-304 |


| Species | Subgenus (where known) | $\underset{(\mathrm{mm})}{\mathrm{TL}}$ | Dise Width (turn) | Sex | coll. ${ }^{ \pm}$ | Locality | Depth (M) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Raja fyllae | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Rajella } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 437 | 239 | $F$ | MC2 38363 | $42^{\circ} 17^{\prime} \mathrm{N} ; 65^{\circ} 01^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | $494-622$ |
|  |  | 484 | 270 | M | MCZ 38364 |  |  |
| Raja hyperborea | Amblyraja | 771 | 617 | F | MCZ 36551 | - | - |
| Raja jenseni | - | 610 | 448 | F | MC2 37899 | - | - |
| Raja mirelatus | Raja | 356444 | $\begin{aligned} & 219 \\ & 275 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{M} \\ & \mathrm{F} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { UMML-SOSC } 535 \\ & \text { UMMLL } 21309 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 59 \\ 64-119 \end{gathered}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Raja ocellata | Leucoraja | $\begin{aligned} & 258 \\ & 448 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 167 \\ & 274 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & M \\ & \underset{F}{M} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { VIMs } 01657 \\ & \text { vims } 00479 \end{aligned}$ |  | 5333 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Raja olseni | Dipturus | $\begin{aligned} & 350 \\ & 400 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 240 \\ & 397 \end{aligned}$ | $M$$F$ | usmy uncat esmat uncat | $\begin{aligned} & 27^{\circ} 47^{\prime} \mathrm{N} ; 95^{\circ} 21^{\prime} \mathrm{K} \\ & 19^{\circ} 28^{\circ} \mathrm{N} ; 92^{\circ} \mathrm{S} 5^{\prime} \mathrm{W} \end{aligned}$ | 193613 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Raja oxyrhynchus | Dipturus | 301 | 200 | F | USMM 28468 | "Italy" | - |
| Raja platana | Atlantoraja | 602 | 447 | F | USNM 55578 | "Argentina" | - |
| Raja porosa | Okamejei | 481 | 326 | F | USkM 86923 | "China" | - |
| Raja rhina | Dipturus | 742 | 535 | F | USTM 116319 | "Shelfkof Strait" | 46-110 |
| Raja sadowskil | Rajella | 334 | 165 | F | ISF 1973/68 | $22^{\circ} 30 \cdot \mathrm{~S} ; 40^{\circ} 07 \mathrm{~T}$ ' | 800 |
| Raja teevani. | Dipturus | 203 | 152 | F | thuy 13677 | $24^{\circ} 28 . \mathrm{N}, \mathrm{B3}^{\circ} 26$ ' H | 384 |
|  |  | 565 | 460 | F | MCZ 41902 | $16^{\circ} 38^{\prime \prime N}: 799^{\circ} 53$ ' \% | 914 |
| Raja texana | Raja | $\begin{aligned} & 381 \\ & 390 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 234 \\ & 254 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{M} \\ & M \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { USNH } 155731 \\ & \text { TAM } 2481.1 \end{aligned}$ | $28^{\circ} 28^{\prime} \mathrm{N}: 91^{\circ}{ }_{14}{ }^{\prime}$ K "off Freepore" | 5846 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Malacoraja futiginea | - | 380 | 170 | $F$ | MCZ 47835 | $10^{\circ} 56^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 67{ }^{\circ} 38^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 1079 |
| $\frac{\text { Malacoraja }}{\text { purpurfventralis }}$ | $\bar{\square}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 385 \\ & 482 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 218 \\ & 281 \\ & \hline 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{F}{M}$ | USMm uncat USNA uncat | $\begin{aligned} & 7^{\circ} 31^{\prime} \mathrm{n} ; 53^{\circ} 19^{\prime} \mathrm{w} \\ & 7^{\circ} 21^{\prime} \mathrm{N} ; 53^{\circ} 19^{\prime} \mathrm{W} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 604-713 \\ & 604-713 \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\frac{\text { Malacoraja }}{\text { spinacidermis }}$ | Malacoraja | 298 | 186 | M | HCZ 38276 | $42^{\circ} 40^{\prime} \mathrm{N} ; 63^{\circ} 52^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 759-768 |

[^3]$5_{\text {The }}$ surface area reported here is for size at sexual maturity ( 400 mm mL ).
Table 3. Morphonetric characteristies for the seven principal species of skates (rajidae) examined in this study.

| Species | Haximum TE (mm) | Ref. | $\underset{\left(\mathrm{cm}^{2}\right)}{ } \mathrm{Surfa}^{\text {Stea }}$ | Drawing Ref. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mouth width }{ }^{I} \\ & \text { (as } \geqslant \mathrm{TL}) \end{aligned}$ | Ref. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bathyraja richardsoni | 1740 | Templeman, 1973 | 7067.5 | Garrick, 1961 | $\underset{13.8-11.4^{3}}{ }$ | Garrick, 1961 <br> Templeman, 1973 |
| Raja bigelowi | 467-500 ${ }^{4}$ | Stehmann, 1978 | 389.9 | ```Bigelow & Schroeder, 1953a``` | 4.9-6.5 | Stehmann, 1978 |
| Raja eglanteria | 762-940 ${ }^{5}$ | ```Bigelow & , 1953b``` | $\begin{array}{r} 1169.0- \\ 1773.2 \end{array}$ | Hilcebrand Schroxder, 1927 | 7.5-8.7 | ```Bigelow & Schroeder, 1953a``` |
| Raja garmani | 432 | ```Bigelow &``` | $301.5{ }^{6}$ | ```Bigelor:& Schroeder, 1953a``` | 5.7-5.9 | ```Bigelow s Schroeder, 1953a``` |
| Raja laevis | 1473 | Bigelow \& Schroeder, 1953a | 4388.6 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Leim s Scott, } \\ & 1966 \end{aligned}$ | 9.2-9.8 | Bigelow <br> Schroeder, 1953a |
| Raja radiata | 1020 | Bigelow s Schroeder, 1953a | 2410.2 | Bigelow $t$ Schroder, 1953 | 10.1-10.7 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Bigelow \& } \\ & \text { Schroeder, 1953a } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\frac{\text { Malacozaja }}{\text { senta }}$ | 610 | Bigelow 5 Schroeder, 1953a | 751.1 | Leim s Scott, 1966 | 7.3-8.1 | Bigelow s <br> Schroeder, 1953a |

$$
{ }^{1} \text { Houth width is defined as the distance between the lateral skin folds on each side of the mouth opening. }
$$

${ }^{2}$ garrick's (1961) measurement is based on an estimated total length due to the holorype's damaged tail.
${ }^{3}{ }^{\text {peasurements }}$ are expressed as percentages of length from the snout to the anterior origin of the first dorsal fin. ${ }^{4}$ The largest actual specimen insted by stehmann (1979) is 467 mm TL , but estinates the maximum size as up to 500 mma TL.
Table 4. Synopsis of natural history information for the seven principal species of skates (Rajidae) examined during this study.

| Species | Mean Depth (m) ${ }^{1,2}$ (range) | 2 Ref. | Food Habits | Ref. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\frac{\text { Bathyraja }}{\text { richerdsoni }}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2057.5 \\ (1370-2745) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Tenuleman, } 1973 \\ & \text { Musick, } 1975 \end{aligned}$ | Holotype taken on "mackerel baits"3 | Garrick, 1961 |
|  |  |  | "bait used was mainly squid (Loliqo spp.), although mackerel, herring and rarely other fish ware tried." ${ }^{3}$ | Forster, 1968 |
|  |  |  | "by far the greatest portion of the food sppears to be Eish with, as is usual for skates, some shrimp and bottom invertebrates." | Templeman, 1973 |
|  |  |  | "(Cosyphaenoides anmatus) .... from B. richazdsoni..." | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Carpbell et al, } \\ & 1980 \end{aligned}$ |
| Baja bicalowi | $\begin{gathered} 1864.0^{4,5} \\ (1529-2200) \end{gathered}$ | Stehnann, 1978 | benthic arphipods ${ }^{6}$ | - |
| gaja eqlanteria | $\begin{gathered} 164.5 \\ (0-329) \\ 111^{+} \end{gathered}$ | ```Bigelow s Shroeder, 1953a Ecrards et al, 1962 McEachran & Musiek, 1975``` | "crabs, shrimp, and fish; ---(Callinectes) ... (Synodus)" | Hildebrand 5 Schroeder, 1927 |
|  |  |  | squid, "Butterfish (Poronotus) and scup (Stenotomus) are a dominant food during | Bigelow 5 <br> Schroder, 1953a |
|  |  |  | September and Octcber..." <br> "invertebrates made up over 97\% of the total nurber of itams" <br> Crangon septemspinosa accounted for more | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Fricz } \& \text { Daiber, } \\ & 1963 \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  |  | than 702..." |  |
| Raja garmani | $\begin{gathered} 291.0) \\ (33-549) \end{gathered}$ | McEachzan et al,1975 Bigalou 6 Schroeder. 1953b | None | - |
| Raja laevis | $\begin{gathered} 215.0 \\ (0-430) \end{gathered}$ | Bigelow1953a | "...bivalve molluscs, squid, rock crabs, lobsters, shrimp, worms and...spiny dogfish, alewifes, herring, butterfish, sand lance, cunnex, hake, and tlatfish." | Leim $£$ Scott, 1966 |
|  |  |  | "...worms, various crustaceans...large crabs, lobsters, shrimp, squid, and on fish." (see fish list) | Biccelow <br> Schroeder, 19536 |
|  |  |  | Adds Isopods, bivalves (Yoldia Ensella) and large gastropods (Buccinum ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Iunatia). | Bigetow 6 |
| Raja zadiata | $\begin{gathered} 457.0 \\ (18-896) \\ 238-2397 \end{gathered}$ | Bigelow s Schroeder, 1953a <br> McEachran et al, 1975 | "shrimp, spider crabs, see anemones, and small fishes." | Leim c Scott, 1965 |
|  |  |  | Adds hydroids, worms, sammarids and Ammodytes (from various areas). | Bigelow Schroeder, 1953a |
|  |  |  | 400 um TL fed on anphipods. 400 mm TL fed on polychzetes and decapods. "Fishes were a major component of the skates above 70 cm TL." (see text comparison with R. senta) | McEachran et al, 1976 |


| Species | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mean Depth }(\mathrm{M})^{1,2} \\ & \text { (range) } \end{aligned}$ | Rer. | Food habits | Ref. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\frac{\text { Malacoraja }}{\text { senta }}$ | $\begin{gathered} 452.5 \\ (31-87(4) \end{gathered}$ | Mezachran et al, 1975 | Decapods, Euphausids, amphipods and mysids. "Fishes and polychaetes contributed little ..." <br> "...consumed mostly epifaunal crustaceans and rarely infaunal organisms such as polychaetes." | $\begin{aligned} & \text { McEachran et al. } \\ & 1976 \end{aligned}$ |

$7_{\text {McEachran's (1975) records are just for the shelf depths between Nova Scotia and Cape Hatteras; the range listed here is for both }}$ the average total depth range and the depth range at which it is most abuncant.

Table 5. Collection data for the five principal species of galcoid sharks oxamined during this study.

| Species | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{TL} \\ (\mathrm{~cm}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { SL } \\ (\mathrm{cm}) \end{gathered}$ | Sex | Locality |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Carcharhinus obscurus | 98 | 73 | F |  |
|  | 98 | 76 | F | $36^{\circ} \mathrm{5} 6^{\prime} \mathrm{N}_{1} 76^{\circ} \mathrm{O} 1^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ |
|  | 105 | 75 | F |  |
|  | 109 | 77 | M |  |
|  | 160 | - | M | $37^{\circ} 12^{\prime} \mathrm{N}: 75^{\circ} 00 \cdot \mathrm{H}$ |
|  | 170 | - | F | $37^{\circ} 12^{\prime} \mathrm{N}: 76^{\circ} \mathrm{O} 0^{\prime} \mathrm{H}$ |
|  | 182 | - | F | $37^{\circ} 12^{\prime} \mathrm{N}: 76^{\circ} \mathrm{OO} \mathrm{H}$ |
|  | 197 | - | M | $37^{\circ} \mathrm{O}{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{W} / 74^{\circ}{ }^{\circ} 7^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ |
|  | 217 | - | F |  |
| Carcharhinus plumbeus | 117 | - | $F$ | $37^{\circ}{ }^{12}{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{N}: 76^{\circ} \mathrm{OO} \mathrm{H}$ |
|  | 88 | 66 | M | $37^{\circ} 12^{\prime N} \mathrm{~N} ; 76^{\circ} \mathrm{O} 0^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$ |
|  | 120 | 66 | F | $36^{\circ} 55^{\circ} \mathrm{N} ; 75^{\circ} \mathrm{A} 2^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$ |
|  | 136 | - | M | $37^{\circ} 12^{\circ} \mathrm{N}: 76^{\circ} 00^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$ |
|  | 131 | - | $F$ | $37^{\circ} 03 \cdot \mathrm{~N}: 74^{\circ} \mathbf{3 7}$ 'W |
|  | - | 127 | F | $37^{\circ} 12^{\prime N} \mathrm{~N} / 76^{\circ} \mathrm{OO}{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ |
|  | - | 116 | F | $37^{\circ} 00{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{N}: 75^{\circ} 21 \mathrm{~W}$ |
|  | 208 | 153 | F | $36^{\circ} 55^{\prime \prime N} / 75^{\circ} 42 \mathrm{~W}$ |
|  | 211 | " | $F$ | $37^{\circ} \mathrm{O} 3^{\prime} \mathrm{N}: 74^{\circ} \mathbf{3 7}$ W |
| galeocerdo cuviari | 173 | 123 | $\mathbf{F}$ | $37^{\circ} 071 \mathrm{~N}, 75^{\circ} 41^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ |
|  | 204 | 149 | F | $37^{\circ} 00{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{NL} 75^{\circ} \mathrm{O} 1^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$ |
|  | 213 | 152 | M |  |
|  | 271 | - | $F$ | $37^{\circ} 00{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{N}: 75{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{L} 1^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ |
|  |  |  | $F$ | $37^{\circ} 17^{\prime} \mathrm{N} ; 75^{\circ} 46^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ |
| Odontaspis taurus | 247 | 186 | H | $37^{\circ} 00 \cdot \mathrm{~N}, 75^{\circ} 21^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ |
|  | 248 | - | H | $37^{\circ} 00{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{N}: 75^{\circ} \mathrm{OL} \mathrm{\prime W}$ |
|  | 235 | - | M | $37^{\circ} 00^{\prime} \mathrm{N}: 75^{\circ}{ }^{\prime \prime}{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ |
|  | ca. 180 | - | M | $37^{\circ} 00{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 75{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{O} 1^{\prime} \mathrm{H}$ |
| Prionace glauca | 281 | - | M | 37 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{O} 3^{\prime} \mathrm{N}: ~ 74{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{37}$ 'W |
|  | 165 | - | M |  |
|  | 181 | - | H | $37^{\circ} \mathrm{O}{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{Nt} 74^{\circ} 37 \mathrm{~W}$ |
|  | 202 | - | F | $37^{\circ} 03^{\prime N} \mathrm{~N}: 74^{\circ} 37^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ |
|  |  | Avail |  | Off Bormuda |


| Species | $\underset{(\mathrm{mal})}{\mathrm{Mlaximum}}$ | RaE. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Surface area } \\ & \left(\mathrm{cm}^{2}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Drawing } \\ \text { Pef. } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mouth Wiath }{ }^{1} \\ & (a s: I L) \end{aligned}$ | Faf. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\frac{\text { Anacanthobatis }}{\text { longirostris }}$ | 745 | Bigelow 8 Schroeder, 1968 | 1246.7 | Bigelow <br> Schroeder, 1962 | 4.9-5.3 | Bigelow Schroeder, 1962 Bigelow Schroeder, 1968 |
|  | 840 | Hart, 1973 | 1326.4 | Hart, 1973 | 5.0-6.9 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Garman, } 1908 \\ & \text { Schultz, } 1937 \end{aligned}$ |
| Bathyraja spinacauda | 1720 | Bigelow 5 Schroeder, 1953a | 7292.0 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Bigelow } \mathrm{G} \\ & \text { Schroednt, 1953a } \end{aligned}$ | 8.1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 日igelow s } \\ & \text { Schroedar, 1953a } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\frac{\text { Gurgesiella }}{\text { atripinna }}$ | 291 | Bigelows Schrceder, 1962 | 103.4 | Bigelows Schroeder, 1953a | 5.0-5.3 | Bigelow 5 Schroeder, 1953a |
| $\frac{\text { Gurgesiella }}{\text { ishiyamsi }}$ | 362 | Bigelow 5 Sehroeder, 1962 | 213.1 | Bigelow Schroeder, 1962 | 5.3 | Blyelow 6 Schroeder, 1962 |
| $\frac{\text { Gurgesiella }}{\text { plutonia }}$ | 275 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Bige } 100 \text { \& } \\ \text { Schroecer, } 1958 \end{gathered}$ | 87.1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Bige2ow s } \\ & \text { Schroeder, 1953a } \end{aligned}$ | 5.1-5.6 | Bigelow 5 Schroeder, 1953a |
| $\frac{\text { Raja }}{\text { agassizi }}$ | 490 | Figureireco, 1977 | 515.5 | Fiçureiredo, 1977 | 5.2 | None ${ }^{\text { }}$ |
| Raja alba | $2286{ }^{2}$ | Wallace, 2967 | 9059,4 | Wheeler, 1969 | 8.1-10.1 | Hulley, 1970 |
|  | 900 | Stehmann, 1978 | 1487.4 | Stehmann, 1978 | 6.2-9.1 | Stehmann, 1978 |
| $\frac{\text { Paja }}{\text { binoculata }}$ | 2438 | $\underset{1972}{\text { Millex } s \text { Lea, }}$ | 14990.2 | Hart, 1973 | None | - |
| Raja clarkii | $747^{3}$ | Bigelew Schrceder, 1958 | 1713.1 | Bigelow Schroeder, 1958 | 8.7 | Bigelow Schroeder, 1958 |
| $\frac{\text { paja }}{\text { clavata }}$ | 1100 | Soljan, 1963 | 2879.6 | Wallace, 1967 | $\stackrel{8.5}{(5 \mathrm{E}=0.5)}$ | Wallace, 1967 |
| paja doutrei | 931 | Hulley, 1970 | 2317.1 | $\begin{gathered} \text { B1ache et al., } \\ 1970 \end{gathered}$ | 8.1-9.9 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cadenat, } 1960 \\ & \text { tulley, } 1970 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\frac{\text { Raja }}{\text { erinacea }}$ | 530 | aigelows Shroeder, 1953a | - ${ }^{4}$ | - | 8.0-8.2 | Bigalow c Schroeder, 1953a |
| $\frac{\text { Paja }}{\text { flavirostris }}$ | 720 | Noman, 1937 | 1663.0 | Bigelows Schroeder, 1958 | None | - |


| Species | Maximum TL (5N) | Ref. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Surface Area } \\ \text { ( } \mathrm{ca}^{2} \text { ) } \end{gathered}$ | Drawing Ref. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mouth WEdeh } \\ & \text { (as TL) } \end{aligned}$ | Ref. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\frac{\text { Raja }}{\text { floridana }}$ | $772^{5}$ | Bigelow $\bar{\sigma}$ Schroeder, 1962 | 1413.2 | Bigelow E Schroeder, 1962 | 8.3 | Bigelow Schroeder, 1962 |
| Raja fyIlae | $555^{6}$ | Bigelow \& Schroeder, 1954b | $433.0^{6}$ | ```Bigelow & Schroeder, 1953a``` | 5.8 | Bigelow $\varepsilon$ Schroeder, 1953a |
| $\frac{\text { Raja }}{\text { hyperborea }}$ | 870 | Templeman, 1965 | 1600.8 | afgelow s Schroeder, 1953a | 12.3-12.5 | Bigelow $\varepsilon$ Schroeder, 1953a |
| Raja tenseni | 850 | Bigelow $\mathbb{E}$ Schroeder, 1953a | 1609.6 | Bigelow $t$ Schroeder. 1953a | 12.5 | Bigelow 5 Schroeder, 1953a |
| $\frac{\text { Raja }}{\text { mirelatus }}$ | 600 | Lythgoe 5 Iythgoe, 1975 | 608.5 | Wallace, 1967 | $\begin{gathered} 9.3 \\ (S E=0.75) \end{gathered}$ | Wallace, 1967 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Raja } \\ & \text { ocellata } \end{aligned}$ | 1090 | ```Bigelow G Schroeder, 1953a``` | - 7 | - | 9.9-10.1 | Bigelow $⿷$ <br> Schroeder, 1953a |
| Rata olseni | 568 | Bigelou $E$ Schroeder, 1962 | 757.6 | Bigelow 5 Schroecer, 1953a | 7.0-8.2 | ```Bigelow 6 Schrceder, 1951 Bigelow s Schroeder, 1953a``` |
| Raja porosa | 495 | Ishiyama, 1958 | 601.4 | Lindberg ${ }^{5}$ Legeza, 1967 | None | - |
| Raja Thina | 1372 | Miller s Lea, 1972 | 4153.6 | Hart, 1973 | 7.9-8.2 | .$^{8}$ |
| $\frac{\text { Raja }}{\text { Sadowskii }}$ | 630 | Kreffe $s$ Stehmann, 1974 | 749.9 | Xreffes Stehmann, 1974 | 5.9-6.9 | Krefft $\boldsymbol{s}$ <br> Stehmann. 1974 |
| Raja teevani | 840 | Bigelow \& Schroeder. 1968 | 1608.3 | Bigelow : Schroedez, 1953a | 7.0-7.7 | Bigelow 8 Sehroeder, 1953a |
| Raja texana | 610 | ```Hoese & Noore, 1977``` | 772.6 | Bigelow s Schroeder, 1953a | 7.5 | Bigelow Schroeder, 1953a |
| $\frac{\text { Malacoraja }}{\text { fuliginea }}$ | 445 | Bigelow Schroeder, 1968 | 295.7 | Bigelow Schroeder, 1954a | 5.3-7.8 | Bigelow 8 Schroeder, 1968 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Malacozaja } \\ & \text { purpuriventralis } \end{aligned}$ | 510 | Bigelow Schroeder, 1962 | 460.8 | Bigelow s Schroeder, 1962 | 7.3 | Bigelow 8 Schroeder, 1962 |


| Species | $\underset{(\mathrm{man})}{\text { Maximum }}$ | Ref. | $\underset{\left(\mathrm{cm}^{2}\right)}{\text { Surea }}$ | Drawing Ref. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mouth wideth } \\ & \text { (as }: \frac{\mathrm{TL})}{}{ }^{2} \end{aligned}$ | Ref. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\frac{\text { Malacoraja }}{\text { Spinacidermis }}$ | 639 | Hulley, 1970 | 826.8 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Bigelow } \varepsilon \\ & \text { Schroeder, } 1953 a^{9} \end{aligned}$ | 8.0-9.2 | Bigelow e Schroeder, 1953a ${ }^{9}$ Templeman, 1965 |

[^4]Table 7. Unilateral counts of ampullae from the seven frincipal species of skates (Rajidae) examined in this study.

| Species | SuperficialMean | Ophthalmic SD | Outer Buccal |  | Inner Buccal |  | Hyoid |  | Mandibular |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Mean | SD | Mean | SD | Mean | SD | Mean | SD | Mean | SD |
| Bathyraja zichardsoni | 80.6 | 12.4 | 39.0 | 2.6 |  |  | 136.6 | 17.8 | 10.8 | 4.2 | 267.0 | 27.2 |
| Raja bigelowi | 74.7 | 4.6 | 43.7 | 6.6 |  |  | 144.0 | 14.0 | 21.9 | 7.3 | 284.3 | 18.8 |
| Raja eglanteria | 116.8 | 11.2 | 110.7 | 18,4 |  |  | 151.7 | 15.9 | 24.8 | 3.7 | 404.0 | 34.7 |
| Rata gamani | 63.0 | 10.8 | 42.0 | 7.3 |  |  | 93.4 | 13.6 | 14.3 | 4.0 | 212.7 | 31.6 |
| Eaja Iaevis | 65.6 | 14.5 | 179.0 | 20.7 | 101.8 | 11.8 | 344.2 | 32.7 | 49.2 | 4.8 | 739,8 | 35.4 |
| Raja radiata | 116.8 | 15.9 | 82.2 | 12.4 |  |  | 188.5 | 19.8 | 28.0 | 2.4 | 415.5 | 32.2 |
| Malacoraja senta | 53.0 | 5.2 | 32.2 | 3.4 |  |  | 80.0 | 8.8 | 18.2 | 2.5 | 183.3 | 9.3 |

Table 8. Distribution of somatic pores on the principal species of skates (surface areas from table 3).

| Species | Ventral <br> Subtotal | Ventral $\%$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ventral } \\ & \text { Density } \\ & \text { (pores/cm) } \end{aligned}$ | Dorsal Subtotal | Dorsal <br>  | Dorsal Density (pores/cm) | Total pores |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\frac{\text { Bathyraja }}{\text { richardsoni }}$ | $365.8 \pm 41.4$ | 64.2 | 0.05 | $204.4 \pm 46.4$ | 35.8 | 0.03 | $570.2 \pm 45.2$ |
| $\frac{\text { Raja }}{\text { bigelowi }}$ | $375.7 \pm 45.1$ | 73.3 | 0.96 | $136.9 \pm 16.2$ | 26.7 | 0.35 | $512.6 \pm 57.2$ |
| Reja eglanteria | $657.0 \pm 35.7$ | 83.7 | 0.37 | $127.7 \pm 9.7$ | 16.3 | 0.07 | $784.7 \pm 42.2$ |
| $\frac{\text { Raja }}{\text { garmani }}$ | $284.4 \pm 61.8$ | 74.9 | 0.94 | $95.1 \pm 13.3$ | 25.1 | 0.32 | $379.6 \pm 72.7$ |
| $\frac{\text { Raja }}{\text { laevis }}$ | $1501.0 \pm 66.3$ | 88.8 | 0.34 | $189.6 \pm 23.6$ | 11.2 | 0.04 | $1690.6 \pm 87.5$ |
| Raja <br> radiata | $630.5 \pm 46.4$ | 80.5 | 0.26 | $153.2+6.5$ | 19.5 | 0.06 | $783.7 \pm 49.3$ |
| $\frac{\text { Kalacoraja }}{\text { Senta }}$ | $289.5 \pm 22.2$ | 70.7 | 0.39 | $120.2 \pm 12.4$ | 29.3 | 0.16 | $409.7 \pm 25.0$ |

Table 9．Unilateral counts of ampullae from each of the separate innervation areas as described for the five galeoid sharks．Numbers are based only on one dissec－ tion specimen from each species．


|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \underset{\sim}{9} \\ \underset{\sim}{N} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { g } \overbrace{0} 0 \\ & H \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\sim}{\infty} \underset{\sim}{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{N} \underset{\sim}{N}$ |
|  | 19909 | 1NN | 1 NN | 199 |  |
|  |  | O | 会茑 | ¢ \％\％ | が年 |
|  |  |  | 界 ${ }_{\sim}^{\sim}$ | ¢ ${ }_{\sim}^{\text {P }}$ | m |
|  | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim} \underset{\sim}{\sim} \underset{\sim}{\sim}$ | 品品志 | \％$\square_{4} 9$ |  | 芯 |
|  | Nin | ${\underset{\sim}{n}}_{\sim}^{\sim} \underset{\sim}{\oplus}$ | －1才 | 今1F | N： |
| $\left.\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & \stackrel{4}{0} \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned} \right\rvert\,$ |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { H } \\ & \text { N } \\ & 0 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |
| il |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 10. Somatic pore counts (range, mean and standard deviation) for the principal species of skates. Subareas are from Raschi
(1978), single values are presented for bilaterally arranged subareas.

| $\frac{\text { Rathyraja }}{\text { richardsoni }}$ | 35-64.5 | 14-22 | 5-15 | 9.5-20 | 13-20.5 | 8-12 | 22-33.5 | 121-164 | 38.5-56.5 | 24.5-36.5 | 18-34 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\frac{\text { Malacofaja }}{\text { senta }}$ | 45.5 | 16.4 | 7.8 | 12.1 | 16.1 | 10.0 | 27.4 | 139.6 | 44.9 | 30.5 | 23.3 |
|  | 11.2 | 3.3 | 4.1 | 4.4 | 3.3 | 1.9 | 4.7 | 19.9 | 7.7 | 5.4 | 9.2 |
|  | 26-34 | 6.5-8 | 2-4.5 | 3-6.5 | 10.5-15.5 | 3-7 | 11.5-15 | 92-120 | 42.5-54.5 | 12-14.5 | 28-42 |
|  | 29.6 | 7.6 | 3.2 | 4.9 | 12.7 | 4.3 | 12.6 | 105.5 | 48.5 | 12.8 | 36.3 |
|  | 3.5 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 1.2 | 2.1 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 13.8 | 5.2 | 0.9 | 5.0 |
| $\frac{\text { Raja }}{\text { bigelowi }}$ | 25-41.5 | 8-10 | 2-5 | 6-8.5 | 8-12 | 4-8 | 29-46 | 83-134 | 40-61 | 19-35.5 | 26-48 |
|  | 35.8 | 8.7 | 4.1 | 6.4 | 10.5 | 6.0 | 37.8 | 207.3 | 49.3 | 27.6 | 39.0 |
|  | 5.7 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.6 | 1.5 | 6.2 | 16.5 | 7.8 | 5.0 | 8.1 |
| $\frac{\text { Raja }}{\text { eqlanteria }}$ | 26.5-32 | 7-11 | 5-9 | 7.5-10 | 6-9 | 7-12 | 25-54 | 223-262 | 105.5-133.5 | 35-46 | 30-51 |
|  | 27.9 | 8.2 | 6.9 | 8.6 | 7.2 | 10.2 | 37.6 | 233.B | 116.8 | 38.3 | 38.0 |
|  | 1.6 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 9.0 | 1.1 | 1.8 | 10.9 | 14.5 | 9.5 | 4.1 | 7.3 |
| Raja gazmani | 20.5-31.5 | 4.5-5 | 3.5-6 | 3-6 | 5.5-12.5 | 3-4 | 17-37.5 | 61-123 | 27-58.5 | 15-25.5 | 14-38 |
|  | 23.7 | 4.7 | 5.0 | 4.1 | 日. 1 | 3.7 | 24.9 | 86.9 | 38.2 | 21.4 | 27.2 |
|  | 4.0 | 0.4 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 2.4 | 0.5 | 6.4 | 22.4 | 11.0 | 4.6 | 7.9 |
| Raja laevis | 32-49 | 8-10 | 14.5-22 | 8-12 | 7-15.5 | 9-21 | 92-107 | 327-390 | 393.5-460.5 | - | 79-112 |
|  | 41.3 | 9.3 | 17.4 | 9.7 | 12.1 | 14.8 | 100.7 | 367.2 | 419.0 |  | 94.4 |
|  | 7.3 | 0.8 | 3.4 | 1.6 | 3.1 | 6.1 | 6.4 | 24.2 | 29.1 |  | 13.2 |
| $\frac{\text { Raja }}{\text { raditata }}$ | 32,5-43 | 6-8.5 | 7-9.5 | 7-9.5 | 11-14 | 5-11 | 38.5-71 | 170-275 | 83-104 | 25-42.5 | 50-64 |
|  | 37.6 | 7.2 | 8.3 | 7.7 | 12.3 | 7.3 | 54.8 | 209.0 | 90.8 | 37.1 | 56.0 |
|  | 3.4 | 1.0 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 2.1 | 12.4 | 35.6 | 7.9 | 6.3 | 4.9 |

Table ll. Somatic pore counts (range, mean and standard deviation) for the ancillary species of skates.

| Species | A | B | C | D | E | $\pi$ | F | G | H | I | $J$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\frac{\text { Anacanthobatis }}{\text { longirostris }}$ | 30.5 | 5.5 | 4.0 | - | 9.0 | 6.0 | 9.0 | 411.0 | 380.5 | - | 47.0 |
| $\frac{\text { Bathyraja }}{\text { kincaidij }}$ | 50.5 | 11.0 | 9.5 | 7.0 | 11.5 | - | 51.5 | 174.0 | 69.5 | 30.5 | 35.0 |
| Bathyraja spinacauda | 45.0 | 10.0 | 14.5 | 8.5 | 11.0 | 4.0 | 46.5 | 229.0 | 139.5 | 55.0 | 30.0 |
| $\frac{\text { Gurgesiella }}{\text { atripinna }}$ | 36.5 | 7.5 | 3.0 | 5.5 | 8.0 | 3.0 | 13.0 | 99.0 | 37.5 | 24.0 | 34.0 |
| $\frac{\text { Gurgesiella }}{\text { ishiyamai }}$ | 28.5 | 8.5 | 1.5 | 4.5 | 5.5 | 3.0 | 9.0 | 42.0 | 16.5 | 21.0 | 16.0 |
| $\frac{\text { Gurgesiella }}{\text { plutonia }}$ | 26.5 | 6.0 | 1.0 | 2.5 | 5.0 | - | 6.0 | 33.5 | 10.0 | 14.0 | 17.0 |
| Raja agassizi | 54.0 | 11.5 | - | 5.5 | 8.5 | 7.0 | 38.5 | 126.0 | 116.0 | 25.5 | 42.0 |
| Eaja alba | 26.0 | 10.5 | 6.5 | 5.5 | 7.5 | 9.0 | 20.5 | 114.0 | 69.0 | 19.0 | 42.0 |
| Raja bathyphila | 41.0 | 11.0 | 6.0 | 12.5 | 12.0 | 7.0 | 47.0 | 233.0 | 90.0 | 53.5 | 48.0 |
| Reja binoculata | 44.5 | 13.0 | 16.0 | 12.0 | 14.5 | 13.0 | 57.5 | 286.0 | 227.5 | - | 64.0 |
| Raja clarkij | 130.3 | 4.3 | 4.3 | 5.7 | 11.2 | 5.0 | 24.2 | 85.0 | 47.3 | 25.7 | 16.7 |
| Raja clavata | 36.0 | 9.8 | 12.8 | 8.5 | 12.0 | 7.0 | 47.5 | 198.5 | 120.8 | 46.3 | 64.5 |
| Raja doutrei | 39.5 | 11.0 | 17.5 | 5.0 | 11.5 | 8.0 |  | - No | at Ava | le - |  |
| Raja flavirostris | 39.0 | 12.0 | 21.5 | 8.0 | 12.5 | 9.0 | 58.5 | 264.0 | 292.5 | - | 102.0 |
| Raja floridana | 32.0 | 10.5 | 12.0 | 5.5 | 10.5 | 8.0 | 42.0 | 193.0 | 199.5 | - | 66.0 |
| Raja fyllae | 42.5 | 7.5 | 7.8 | 7.5 | 10.8 | 4.0 | 30.8 | 103.0 | 40.3 | 30.8 | 43.0 |


Table 12. Distribution of somatic pores on the ancillary species of skates (pore totals from Table ll, surface Total
sazod


| Species | Ventral <br> Subtotal | Ventral \% | Ventral Density (pores/cm) | Dorsal Subtotal | $\begin{gathered} \text { Dorsal } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | Dorsal Density (pores/cm) | Total Pores |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Raja hyperborea | 411 | 73.1 | 0.26 | 151 | 26.9 | 0.09 | 562 |
| Raja jenseni | 442 | 73.1 | 0.27 | 163 | 26.9 | 0.10 | 605 |
| Raja mirelatus | 558 | 79.8 | 0.92 | 141 | 20.2 | 0.23 | 699 |
| Raja olseni | 1183 | 88.5 | 1.56 | 153 | 11.5 | 0.20 | 1336 |
| Raja porosa | 524 | 72.1 | 0.87 | 203 | 27.9 | 0.34 | 727 |
| Raja rhina | 1214 | 85.7 | 0.29 | 202 | 14.3 | 0.05 | 1416 |
| Raja sađowskii | 405 | 74.6 | 0.54 | 138 | 25.4 | 0.18 | 543 |
| Raja teevani | 386 | 81.6 | 0.24 | 87 | 18.4 | 0.05 | 473 |
| Raja texana | 363.5 | 77.6 | 0.47 | 105.5 | 22.4 | 0.14 | 469 |
| $\frac{\text { Malacoraja }}{\text { Fuliqinea }}$ | 432 | 73.3 | 1.46 | 157 | 26.7 | 0.53 | 589 |
| $\frac{\text { Malacoraja }}{\text { purpuriventralis }}$ | 714 | 80.1 | 1.55 | 177 | 19.9 | 0.38 | 891 |
| $\frac{\text { Malacoraja }}{\text { spinacidermis }}$ | 235 | 66.4 | 0.29 | 119 | 33.6 | 0.14 | 354 |

Table 23. Unilateral counta of ampullae from the ancillary species of skates (Rajidae) in this study ${ }^{1}$

| Species | 5.0. | O.B. | I.B. | H. | M. | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Anacanthobatis longirostris | 47 | 147 | 90 | 77 | 22 | 383 |
| Bathyraja kincaidi | 91 | 84 |  | 153 | 17 | 345 |
| Bathyraja spinacauda | 115 | 124 |  | 197 | 15 | 451 |
| Gurgesiella atripinna | 57 | 25 |  | 95 | 17 | 195 |
| Gurgesiella ishiyamai | 32 | 7 |  | 87.5 | 9 | 135.5 |
| Gurgesiella plutonia | 21 | 11 |  | 63 | B. 5 | 103.5 |
| Malacoraja fulginea | 91 | 61 |  | 155 | 21 | 328 |
| Malacoraja purpuriventralis | 144.5 | 100 |  | 196 | 30 | 470.5 |
| Malacoraja spinacidermig | 4 B | 23 |  | 85 | 17 | 173 |
| Raja agasaizi | 65 | B1 |  | 179 | 21 | 346 |
| Raja alba | 70 | 49 |  | 99 | 21 | 239 |
| Raja bathyphila | 127 | 88 |  | 202 | 24 | 441 |
| Raja binceulata | 57 | 134 | 88 | 231 | 26 | 536 |
| Raja clurkil | 55.7 | 32.3 |  | 215 | 10 | 313 |
| Raja clavata | 116 | 122 |  | 172 | 41 | 451 |
| Raja doutrei | 62 | 105 | 52 | 189 | $49^{2}$ | 457 |
| Raja erinacea ${ }^{3}$ | 109.2 | 91.2 |  | 139.4 | 21.3 | 361.1 |
| Raja flavirostris | 53 | 129 | 85 | 261 | 44 | 572 |
| Raja floridana | 46 | 86 | 46 | 205 | 28 | 411 |
| Raja fyllae | 72 | 46.5 |  | 135 | 21 | 274.5 |
| Raja hyperborea | 87 | 43 |  | 133 | 16 | 279 |
| Raja jenseni | 86 | 44 |  | 139 | 20 | 289 |
| Raja mirelatus | 103.5 | 98 |  | 154 | 23.5 | 379 |
| Raja ocelatta | 153 | 136.5 |  | 210.5 | 31.5 | 531.5 |
| Raja olseni | 66 | 118.5 | 81.5 | 218 | 27.5 | 511.5 |
| Raja porosa | 34 | 83 | 53 | 125 | 19 | 314 |
| Raja rhina | 92 | 185 |  | 292 | 37 | 683 |
| Raja sadowski | 71 | 59 | 77 | 133 | 19 | 282 |
| Raja teevani ${ }^{4}$ | 78 | 68 |  | 8B | 14 | 248 |
| raja texana ${ }^{5}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 74.5 \\ & (42) \end{aligned}$ | 56 | (40) | 71.5 | 20 | 222 |

$1_{\text {Where more than one specimen of a species was examined, counts given are averages. }}$
$\mathbf{2}^{\text {The mandibular capsule was lost from this specimen and therefore the number of somatic pores }}$ from $1 / 2$ of subarea "J" is substituted.
${ }^{3}$ Counts are averaged values from both right and left gides in Raschi (1978)
${ }^{4}$ Morphometric differences, along with variations in the general anatomy of the ampullary system, suggest these two specimens to be different apecies. Ampullae counts are therefore presented only for the one specimen identified by the authors of the original species description.
${ }^{5}$ In only one of the specimens from this species were the superficial ophthalmic and inner buccal capsules sufficiently distinct to allow for separate counta (in parentheses).

Table 14. Counts of alveali from the ampullae of the seven principal species of skates.

| Species | Capsule | Range | Mean | S.D. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B. richardsoni | Hyoid | 12-28 | 20.5 | 4.5 |
|  | S.O. | 13-27 | 18.6 | 3.7 |
|  | Mand. | 5-13 | 9.6 | 2.5 |
|  | O.B. | 12-24 | 17.6 | 3.3 |
| R. bigelowi | Hyoid | 11-31 | 18.8 | 4.8 |
|  | S.O. | 10-19 | 14.8 | 2.1 |
|  | Mand. | 6-12 | 8.1 | 1.4 |
|  | O.B. | 10-25 | 16.1 | 3.6 |
| R- eglanteria | Hyoid | 7-25 | 10.2 | 4.1 |
|  | s.o. | 7-21 | 11.4 | 3.2 |
|  | Mand. | 4-11 | 7.3 | 2.0 |
|  | O.B. | 7-18 | 10.5 | 2.8 |
| R. garmani | Hyoid | 6-26 | 13.5 | 4.8 |
|  | s.0. | 6-16 | 11.0 | 2.5 |
|  | Mand. | 3-8 | 5.5 | 1.2 |
|  | O.B. | 6-16 | 11.2 | 2.7 |
| R. laevis | Hyoid | 3-20 | 8.3 | 5.3 |
|  | S.O. | 5-21 | 10.6 | 4.6 |
|  | Mand. | 2-7 | 3.8 | 1.5 |
|  | O.B. | 4-11 | 6.5 | 1.8 |
|  | I.B. | 5-10 | 7.1 | 1.6 |
| R. radiata | Hyoid | 7-25 | 14.5 | 4.3 |
|  | s.o. | 8-18 | 14.4 | 2.3 |
|  | Mand. | 4-12 | 7.0 | 2.0 |
|  | O.B. | 11-18 | 14.1 | 2.0 |
| M. senta | Hyoid | 9-28 | 14.8 | 4.4 |
|  | s.o. | 5-18 | 9.9 | 2.9 |
|  | Mand. | 4-8 | 5.8 | 1.1 |
|  | O.B. | 5-19 | 10.0 | 3.0 |

Table 15. Counts of alveoli (range, mean and standard deviation) from ampullae taken
Species Hyoid S.O. Mand. O.B. I.B. Total

| Anacanthobatis | 8-12 | 11-17 | 3-5 | 13-17 | 11-15 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| longirostris | 11.0 | 13.4 | 4.0 | 15.2 | 13.2 | 43.6 (56.8) |
|  | 1.7 | 2.5 | 0.7 | 1.4 | 1.6 |  |
| Bathyraja | 10-25 | 7-10 | 5-7 | 8-10 |  |  |
| kincaidi | 15.4 | 8.4 | 5.8 | 9.4 |  | 39.0 |
|  | 5.7 | 1.5 | 0.8 | 0.9 |  |  |
| Bathyraja spinacauda | 11-16 | 8-12 | 5-6 | 9-13 |  |  |
|  | 13.0 | 10.6 | 5.2 | 10.8 |  | 39.6 |
|  | 1.9 | 1.7 | 0.4 | 1.6 |  |  |
| $\frac{\text { Gurgesiella }}{\text { atripinna }}$ | 11-26 | 9-18 | 4-7 | 7-12 |  |  |
|  | 18.8 | 12.2 | 5.8 | 9.8 |  | 46.6 |
|  | 6.5 | 3.5 | 1.3 | 2.2 |  |  |
| $\frac{\text { Gurgesiella }}{\text { ishiyamai }}$ | 8-16 | 6-15 | 4-6 | 4-10 |  |  |
|  | 12.9 | 11.4 | 4.8 | 7.2 |  | 36.3 |
|  | 2.6 | 2.8 | 0.7 | 1.6 |  |  |
| $\frac{\text { Gurgesiella }}{\text { plutonia }}$ | 5-8 | 2-5 | 2-4 | 3-5 |  |  |
|  | 6.7 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 4.2 |  | 17.7 |
|  | 1.5 | 1.1 | 0.7 | 0.6 |  |  |
| $\frac{\text { Malacoraja }}{\text { fuliginea }}$ | 19-36 | 18-29 | 8-10 | 15-24 |  |  |
|  | 28.4 | 22.4 | 9.0 | 19.8 |  | 79.6 |
|  | 6.3 | 4.6 | 0.7 | 3.5 |  |  |
| Malacoraja purpuriventralis | 3-10 | 7-9 | 3-4 | 5-6 | 6-9 |  |
|  | 6.8 | 7.4 | 3.2 | 5.6 | 0.5 | 23.0 (29.8) |
|  | 2.6 | 0.9 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 1.3 |  |


| Species | Hyoid | s.o. | Mand. | O.B. | I.B. | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Malacoraja | 18-22 | 11-27 | 6 | 9-13 |  |  |
| Spinacidermis | 20.2 | 16.6 | 6.0 | 10.8 |  | 53.6 |
|  | 1.6 | 7.1 | 0.0 | 1.8 |  |  |
| Raja agassizi | 6-15 | 8-13 | 4-6 | 6-8 |  |  |
|  | 8.6 | 9.8 | 5.0 | 6.8 |  | 30.2 |
|  | 3.8 | 2.4 | 0.7 | 0.8 |  |  |
| Raja alba | 5-11 | 8-10 | 3-4 | 6-7 |  |  |
|  | 7.4 | 9.2 | 3.5 | 6.8 |  | 26.9 |
|  | 2.3 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.5 |  |  |
| Raja bathyphila | 21-31 | 18-22 | 10-12 | 17-22 |  |  |
|  | 25.4 | 19.6 | 10.6 | 19.0 |  | 74.6 |
|  | 4.4 | 1.5 | 0.9 | 2.1 |  |  |
| Raja binoculata | 18-25 | 18-23 | 6-10 | 20-27 | 13-19 |  |
|  | 20.8 | 20.6 | 7.6 | 22.4 | 16.0 | 71.4 (87.4) |
|  | 3.0 | 1.8 | 1.5 | 2.8 | 2.4 |  |
| Raja clarkii | 12-18 | 8-16 | 4-8 | 6-12 |  |  |
|  | 15.1 | 10.6 | 5.9 | 10.2 |  | 41.8 |
|  | 1.8 | 2.4 | 10.2 | 1.6 |  |  |
| Raja clavata | 9-25 | 8-15 | 5-9 | 8-14 |  |  |
|  | 16.4 | 12.2 | 6.4 | 10.8 |  | 48.5 |
|  | 6.0 | 2.6 | 1.5 | 2.2 |  |  |
| Raja doutrei | 3-6 | 8-13 | - | 7-11 | 8-10 |  |
|  | 4.6 | 10.0 | -1 | 8.8 | 9.4 | 27.2 (36.6) |
|  | 1.1 | 2.2 | - | 2.0 | 0.9 |  |
| Raja erinacea | 7-14 | 8-9 | 4-7 | 10-13 |  |  |
|  | 10.6 | 8.4 | 5.8 | 11.2 |  | 36.0 |
|  | . 3.0 | 0.5 | 1.3 | 1.3 |  |  |


| Species | Hyoia | S.0. | Mand. | O.B. | I.B. | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Raja | 7-20 | 12-19 | 3-6 | 10-16 | 5-11 |  |
| flavirostris | 14.4 | 15.8 | 4.2 | 12.0 | 7.4 | 46.4 (53.8) |
|  | 5.3 | 3.1 | 1.3 | 2.3 | 2.6 |  |
| Raja floridana | 4-10 | 7-10 | 3-4 | 7-13 | 9-17 |  |
|  | 6.6 | 8.0 | 3.8 | 9.4 | 11.0 | 27.8 (38.8) |
|  | 2.7 | 1.4 | 0.4 | 2.6 | 3.4 |  |
| Raja fyllae | 14-28 | 11-19 | 7-10 | 16-21 |  |  |
|  | 22.7 | 14.4 | 8.8 | 17.8 |  | 63.7 |
|  | 4.1 | 2.4 | 1.2 | 1.4 |  |  |
| Raja hyperborea | 11-20 | 12-23 | 5-9 | 18-24 |  |  |
|  | 15.0 | 17.6 | 6.8 | 20.0 |  | 59.4 |
|  | 4.1 | 3.9 | 1.5 | 2.5 |  |  |
| Raja jenseni | 17-28 | 17-24 | 8-12 | 14-24 |  |  |
|  | 23.2 | 20.6 | 10.2 | 18.0 |  | 72.0 |
|  | 4.5 | 3.3 | 1.6 | 3.7 |  |  |
| Raja mirelatus | 9-15 | 6-18 | 3-7 | 9-17 |  |  |
|  | 11.8 | 12.0 | 5.3 | 12.5 |  | 41.6 |
|  | 2.6 | 3.9 | 1.4 | 2.8 |  |  |
| Raja ocellata | 11-18 | 10-17 | 8-14 | 12-18 |  |  |
|  | 13.9 | 13.1 | 10.6 | 14.8 |  | 52.4 |
|  | 2.4 | 2.6 | 2.0 | 2.1 |  |  |
| Raja olseni | 4-15 | 8-19 | 3-5 | 4-10 | 6-10 |  |
|  | 9.4 | 10.2 | 4.3 | 7.0 | 7.5 | 30.9 (38.4) |
|  | 4.1 | 3.6 | 0.7 | 2.3 | 1.3 |  |
| Raja porosa | 3-10 | 7-9 | 3-4 | 5-6 | 6-9 |  |
|  | 6.8 | 7.4 | 3.2 | 5.6 | 6.8 | 23.0 (29.8) |
|  | 2.6 | 0.9 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 1.3 |  |


| Species | Hyoid | s.o. | Mand: | O.B. | I.B. | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Raja rhina | 5-19 | 11-26 | 5-9 | 8-15 | 11-13 |  |
|  | 14.4 | 18.2 | 6.8 | 11.2 | 12.0 | 50.6 (62.6) |
|  | 5.7 | 6.2 | 1.5 | 3.3 | 1.0 |  |
| Raja sadowskii | 13-29 | 12-19 | 4-14 | 14-23 |  |  |
|  | 23.0 | 15.2 | 8.6 | 18.6 |  | 65.4 |
|  | 6.0 | 2.6 | 3.7 | 3.9 |  |  |
| $\underline{\text { Raja teevani }}{ }^{2}$ | 7-20 | 10-20 | 3-7 | 10-15 |  |  |
|  | 11.6 | 15.2 | 5.3 | 13.0 |  | 45.1 |
|  | 5.0 | 4.0 | 2.1 | 1.9 |  |  |
| Raja texana ${ }^{3}$ | 9-17 | 8-16 | 3-6 | 7-12 | 8-10 |  |
|  | 11.5 | 12.0 | 4.8 | 8.6 | 8.4 | 36.9 (45.3) |
|  | 2.5 | 2.3 | 1.0 | 1.6 | 1.5 |  |

[^5]Table 16. Average counts (range, mean, standard deviation) of alveoli from the ampullae of the five galeoid species of sharks. Total values represent the sum of superficial ophthaimia Divisions I and II, outer buccal and mandibular.

| Species | Superficial Ophthalmic |  |  |  | Outer <br> Buccal | Mandibular | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Div. I | Div. II | Div. III | Average |  |  |  |
| c. plumbeus | 6-8 | 6-8 | 7-8 | 6-8 | 6-8 | 1-3 |  |
|  | 7.0 | 7.2 | 7.4 | 7.2 | 7.0 | 2.4 | 23.6 |
|  | 1.00 | 0.94 | 0.55 | 0.87 | 1.00 | 0.89 |  |
| C. obscurus | 7-8 | 7-11 | 7-11 | 7-11 | 7-9 | 3-6 |  |
|  | 7.6 | 8.3 | 9.0 | 8.3 | 7.8 | 4.6 | 28.3 |
|  | 0.55 | 0.96 | 1.41 | 1.06 | 0,84 | 1.14 |  |
| G. cuvieri | 8-10 | 8-12 | 8-13 | 8-13 | 9-11 | 3-7 |  |
|  | 8.8 | 9.5 | 11.0 | 9.6 | 9.6 | 5.2 | 33.1 |
|  | 0.84 | 1.25 | 1.87 | 1.47 | 0.89 | 1.47 |  |
| O. taurus | 8-11 | 8-10 | ---- | 8-11 | 9-12 | 3-7 |  |
|  | 9.6 | 9.0 | ---- | 9.3 | 10.4 | 5.2 | 34.2 |
|  | 1.52 | 0.71 | - | 1.16 | 1.14 | 1.48 |  |
| P. glauca | 7-9 | 6-10 | 7-8 | 6-10 | 6-9 | 4-5 |  |
|  | 8.0 | 7.8 | 7.4 | 7.8 | 7.6 | 4.5 | 27.9 |
|  | 0.71 | 1.01 | 0.55 | 0.88 | 1.14 | 0.58 |  |

Table 17. Dimensions of ampullae (length/width) from each of the major capsules from the principal species examined in this
.

| Species | Disc Wicth (min) | Hyoid | 5.0. | Mand. | 0.3. | I.B. | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bathyraja richardsoni | 538 | 0.816/1.050 | 0.862/L. 221 | 0.500/0.622 | $0.811 / 0.980$ |  | 2.989/3.873 |
|  | 829 | $0.929 / 1.170$ | 0.958/I. 203 | $0.779 / 0.933$ | $0.684 / 0.893$ |  | 3.349/4.199 |
|  | 830 | $0.892 / 1.250$ | 0.883/1.011 | 0.724/0.922 | $0.872 / 1.040$ |  | 3.371/4.223 |
|  | 953 | 0.995/1.356 | 1.020/1.100 | $0.597 / 0.694$ | $0.832 / 1.000$ |  | 3.444/4.150 |
|  | 1000 | $0.955 / 1.350$ | 0.944/1.070 | - 1 - | $0.852 / 1.030$ |  | - 1 - |
| Raja bigelowi | 245 | 0.597/0.755 | 0.612/0.781 | 0.468/0.536 | 0.719/0.842 |  | 2.396/2.914 |
|  | 235 | 0.928/1.080 | 0.821/0.990 | 0.475/0.623 | $0.888 / 1.120$ |  | 3.112/3.813 |
|  | 196 | 0.878/1.168 | 0.765/0.950 | 0.469/0.587 | 0.913/1.087 |  | 3.025/3.792 |
|  | 171 | 0.755/0.995 | $0.714 / 0.831$ | $0.444 / 0.556$ | 0.846/0.948 |  | $2.759 / 3.330$ |
|  | 89 | 0.551/0.638 | 0.449/0.525 | 0.286/0.342 | 0.505/0.561 |  | 1.791/2.056 |
|  | 230 | $0.893 / 1.090$ | 0.894/1.040 | 0.556/0.648 | 0.959/1.132 |  | 3.302/3.910 |
|  | 199 | $0.933 / 1.207$ | 0.831/0.900 | 0.449/0.556 | $0.811 / 0.923$ |  | 3.024/3.586 |
| Raja eglanteria | 414 | $0.536 / 0.617$ | 0.556/0.639 | $0.362 / 0.525$ | 0.495/0.607 |  | 1.949/2.368 |
|  | 393 | $0.714 / 0.898$ | 0.571/0.704 | $0.576 / 0.638$ | 0.739/0.959 |  | 2.600/3.199 |
|  | 395 | $0.561 / 0.699$ | 0.653/0.787 | $0.388 / 0.541$ | 0.821/1.060 |  | 2.423/3.087 |
|  | 268 | 0.444/0.571 | 0.526/0.622 | 0.398/0.459 | 0.536/0.643 |  | 2.025/2.295 |
|  | 276 | 0.648/0.719 | 0.556/0.678 | 0.311/0.439 | 0.510/0.602 |  | 2.025/2.438 |
|  | 424 | 0.485/0.678 | $0.597 / 0.769$ | 0.362/0.500 | 0.309/0.791 |  | 1.753/2.738 |
| Raja garmani | 201 | 0.459/0.556 | $0.393 / 0.525$ | 0.276/0.296 | 0.418/0.521 |  | 1.546/1.898 |
|  | 190 | 0.669/0.806 | 0.627/0.643 | $0.260 / 0.270$ | 0.510/0.602 |  | 2.066/2.321 |
|  | 180 | 0.561/0.735 | 0.582/0.755 | $0.327 / 0.434$ | $0.612 / 0.806$ |  | 2.082/2.730 |
|  | 134 | $0.556 / 0.638$ | 0.536/0.574 | $0.214 / 0.250$ | $0.459 / 0.592$ |  | 1.765/2.054 |
|  | 149 | 0.541/0.643 | 0.520/0.536 | 0.255/0.327 | 0.480/0.597 |  | 1.796/2.103 |
|  | 130 | 0.490/0.602 | 0.480/0.582 | $0.225 / 0.255$ | $0.424 / 0.546$ |  | 1.619/1.985 |
|  | 230 | 0.561/0.719 | 0.495/0.612 | 0.316/0.388 | $0.577 / 0.699$ |  | $1.949 / 2.418$ |
| Raja laevis | 295 | 0.526/0.597 | $0.388 / 0.444$ | 0.347/0.383 | 0.408/0.454 | 0.464/0.592 | $\begin{gathered} 2.133 / 2.470 \\ (1.669 / 1.878) \end{gathered}$ |
|  | 490 | 0.449/0.541 | $0.627 / 0.730$ | $0.577 / 0.525$ | $0.408 / 0.582$ | 0.576/0.735 | 2.637/3.113 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (2.061/2.378) |
|  | 355 | $0.373 / 0.475$ | 0.582/0.643 | $0.255 / 0.327$ | 0.449/0.551 | 0.495/0.612 | $\begin{gathered} 2.154 / 2.608 \\ (1.659 / 1.996) \end{gathered}$ |
|  | 501 | 0.592/0.648 | $0.627 / 0.853$ | 0.413/0.429 | 0.526/0.663 | 0.490/0.678 | 2.648/3.271 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (2,158/2.593) |
|  | 397 | $0.628 / 0.617$ | $0.648 / 0.845$ | 0.413/0.424 | 0.480/0.622 | 0.500/0.566 | 2.669/3.075 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (2.169/2.509) |


| Species | Dise Width (mm) | Hyoid | 5.0. | Mand. | O.B. | I.B. | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Raja radiata | 189 | 0.444/0.500 | 0.480/0.572 | 0.286/0.372 | 0.449/0.521 |  | 1.659/1.965 |
|  | 491 | 0.674/0.970 | $0.826 / 0.985$ | 0.485/0.546 | 0.785/1.000 |  | 2.770/3.501 |
|  | 307 | 0.699/0.909 | 0.750/0.903 | 0.408/0.531 | 0.648/0.883 |  | 2.505/3.226 |
|  | 199 | $0.480 / 0.663$ | $0.536 / 0.628$ | 0.291/0.347 | 0.510/0.679 |  | 1.817/2.317 |
|  | 128 | 0.444/0.515 | 0.413/0.454 | 0.209/0.230 | 0.368/0.444 |  | 1.434/1.643 |
|  | 202 | $0.566 / 0.760$ | 0.525/0.679 | 0.301/0.347 | 0.510/0.663 |  | 1.902/2.449 |
| Malacoraja senta | 325 | 0.561/0.684 | 0.490/0.515 | 0.291/0.362 | 0.434/0.531 |  | 1.776/2.092 |
|  | 289 | 0.582/0.755 | 0.541/0.704 | 0.306/0.388 | $0.587 / 0.750$ |  | 2.016/2.597 |
|  | 173 | 0.541/0.704 | $0.367 / 0.449$ | 0.271/0.327 | $0.388 / 0.500$ |  | 1.567/1.980 |
|  | 110 | 0.551/0.612 | 0.316/0.398 | 0.260/0.291 | 0.418/0.464 |  | 1.545/1.765 |
|  | 107 | $0.561 / 0.617$ | 0.347/0.383 | 0.215/0.255 | 0.337/0.367 |  | 1.460/1.622 |
|  | 220 | 0.566/0.658 | 0.454/0.485 | 0.255/0.317 | 0.459/0.531 |  | 1.734/1.991 |

Table 18. Dimensions of ampullae (length/wicth) from each of the major capsules from the ancillary species examined in this study. Individual measurements (mm) represent the average from five anpuliae.
Table 18.

| Species | $\begin{gathered} \text { Disc width } \\ (\mathrm{cm}) \end{gathered}$ | Hyoid | 5.0. | Mand. | O.B. | I.B. | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\frac{\text { Anacanthobatis }}{\text { Iongirostris }}$ | 250 | $0.627 / 0.888$ | 0.735/0.847 | 0.255/0.265 | 0.592/0.801 | 0.607/0.709 | $\begin{gathered} 2.816 / 3.510 \\ (2.209 / 2.801) \end{gathered}$ |
| Bathyraja kincaidi | 298 | 0.551/0.689 | 0.459/0.541 | 0.276/0.306 | 0.474/0.587 |  | 1.760/2.123 |
| Bathyraja spinacauda | 996 | 0.750/1.090 | $0.883 / 1.120$ | 0.653/0.735 | 0.888/1.110 |  | 3.174/4.055 |
| Gurgesiella atripinna | 144 | 0.582/0.740 | 0.464/0.536 | 0.322/0.403 | 0.505/0.571 |  | 1.873/2.250 |
| Gurgesiella ishiyamai | 162 | 0.638/0.770 | 0.679/0.745 | 0.337/0.459 | 0.556/0.638 |  | 2.209/2.612 |
|  | 104 | 0.556/0.669 | 0.490/0.582 | 0.276/0.327 | 0.459/0.515 |  | 1.781/2.093 |
| Gurgesiella plutonia | 111 | $0.372 / 0.551$ | 0.291/0.352 | 0.230/0.378 | 0.311/0.418 |  | 1.204/1.699 |
|  | 212 | 0.490/0.602 | 0.276/0.332 | 0.250/0.362 | 0.332/0.393 |  | 1.348/1.689 |
| Malacoraja fuliginea | 170 | 0.633/0.996 | 0.582/0.684 | $0.367 / 0.398$ | 0.546/0.689 |  | 2.128/2.767 |
| Malacoraja | 281 | $0.958 / 1.148$ | 0.883/0.923 | 0.408/0.449 | 0.786/0.923 |  | 3.035/3.443 |
| purpuriventralis | 218 | 0.832/1.125 | 0.725/0.801 | 0.352/0.434 | 0.755/0.908 |  | 2.664/3.268 |
| Malacoraja spinacidermis | 186 | 0.775/0.835 | 0.650/0.775 | 0.330/0.370 | 0.485/0.600 |  | 2.240/2.580 |
| Raja agassizi | 218 | 0.464/0.653 | 0.580/0.701 | 0.291/0.322 | $0.408 / 0.545$ |  | 1.743/2.221 |
| Raja alba | 221 | 0.367/0.556 | 0.393/0.480 | 0.198/0.249 | 0.351/0.427 |  | 1.309/1.712 |
| Raja bathyphila | 161 | 0.549/0.648 | 0.469/0.520 | 0.296/0.339 | 0.474/0.592 |  | 1.788/2.099 |
| Raja binoculata | 181 | 0.475/0.617 | 0.449/0.520 | 0.245/0.255 | 0.469/0.571 | 0.398/0.490 | $\begin{gathered} 2.036 / 2.453 \\ (1.638 / 1.963) \end{gathered}$ |
| Raja clarkii | 450 | 0.797/0.919 | 0.566/0.821 | 0.332/0.403 | 0.602/0.760 |  | 2.297/2.903 |
|  | 558 | $0.801 / 0.996$ | $0.648 / 0.709$ | $0.362 / 0.399$ | 0.571/0.704 |  | $2.382 / 2.807$ |
|  | 272 | $0.704 / 0.945$ | 0.541/0.679 | 0.306/0.378 | 0.536/0.658 |  | 2.087/2.660 |
| Raja clavata | 363 | 0.540/0.635 | 0.440/0.515 | 0.260/0.365 | 0.420/0.510 |  | 1.660/2.025 |
| Raja doutrei | 265 | 0.169/0.204 | 0.332/0.296 | - 1 - | 0.245/0.276 | 0.245/0.276 | - 1 - |


| Spacies | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Disc Width } \\ & (\mathrm{cm}) \end{aligned}$ | Hyoia | s.o. | Mand. | O.B. | I.B. | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Raja flavirostris | 224 | 0.429/0.597 | 0.449/0.556 | 0.204/0.266 | 0.454/0.576 | 0.367/0.408 | $\begin{gathered} 1.903 / 2.403 \\ (1.536 / 1.995) \end{gathered}$ |
| Raja floridana | 310 | 0.291/0.378 | 0.389/0.485 | 0.219/0.398 | 0.480/0.646 | $0.531 / 0.587$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.910 / 2.362 \\ (1.379 / 1.775) \end{gathered}$ |
| Raja fyllae | 270 | 0.826/1.030 | 0.658/0.699 | 0.413/0.449 | 0.673/0.770 |  | 2.570/2.948 |
|  | 239 | $0.791 / 1.106$ | 0.694/0.877 | 0.403/0.464 | 0.821/1.025 |  | 2.709/3.472 |
| Raja hyperborea | 617 | $0.765 / 1.220$ | 1.010/1.231 | 0.541/0.694 | 0.949/1.282 |  | 3.265/4.427 |
| Raja jenseni | 448 | 0.775/1.128 | 0.837/1.097 | 0.521/0.587 | 0.750/1.056 |  | 2.883/3.868 |
| Raja mirelatus | 275 | 0.704/0.975 | 0.673/0.816 | 0.332/0.408 | 0.673/0.781 |  | 2.382/2.980 |
|  | 219 | 0.474/0.602 | 0.495/0.541 | 0.260/0.347 | 0.500/0.566 |  | 1.729/2.056 |
| Raja ocellata | 274 | 0.521/0.679 | 0.561/0.755 | $0.388 / 0.470$ | 0.622/0.724 |  | 2.092/2.628 |
|  | 167 | 0.408/0.567 | 0.398/0.546 | 0.291/0.342 | $0.408 / 0.520$ |  | 1.505/1.975 |
| Raja olseni | 397 | 0.658/0.749 | 0.623/0.837 | 0.337/0.474 | 0.382/0.796 | $0.571 / 0.750$ | 2.771/3.806 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (2.200/3.056) |
|  | 240 | 0.474/0.633 | 0.505/0.571 | 0.271/0.296 | 0.383/0.490 | 0.454/0.521 | $\begin{gathered} 2.087 / 2.511 \\ (1.633 / 1.990) \end{gathered}$ |
| Raja porosa | 326 | 0.546/0.735 | 0.694/0.867 | 0.398/0.449 | 0.638/0.857 | 0.826/0.986 | $\begin{gathered} 3.102 / 3.894 \\ (2.276 / 2.908) \end{gathered}$ |
| Raja rhina | 535 | 0.719/0.959 | $0.888 / 1.132$ | $0.363 / 0.434$ | $0.714 / 0.879$ | 0.643/0.801 | $\begin{gathered} 3.327 / 4.205 \\ (2.684 / 3.404) \end{gathered}$ |
| Raja sadowskii | 165 | 0.590/0.775 | 0.515/0.570 | 0.330/0.335 | 0.590/0.665 |  | 2.025/2.345 |
| Raja teevani | 460 | 0.505/0.673 | 0.888/0.908 | 0.468/0.493 | 0.561/0.689 |  | 2.442/2.763 |
| gaja texana | 252 | 0.510/0.668 | 0.469/0.551 | 0.243/0.376 | 0.362/0.495 | 0.419/0.459 | $\begin{gathered} 2.003 / 2.549 \\ (1.584 / 2.090) \end{gathered}$ |
|  | 234 | 0.454/0.505 | 0.459/0.475 | 0.214/0.301 | 0.347/0.398 |  | 1.474/1.679 |

Table 19. Somatic pore counts (range, mean and standard deviation) for the shark species examined in this study. Subareas are illustrated in figure 9 .
gianks are presented for those subareas found to be too indistinct to separate. Single, avorage valueg are listed for bilaterally arranged
subareas.

| Species | A | B | c | D | E | I | $F$ | G | E | $\mathbf{C}^{\prime}$ | D | $J$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| c. obscurus | 103.0-132.0 | 9.0-12.5 | 28.0-42.0 | 132.5-177.0 | 82.0-109.0 | 10.0-15.0 | 215.5-240.5 | 76.5-89.0 | 24.5-19.0 | 32.0-37.5 | 43.0-46.0 | 103.0-120.0 |
|  | 116.6 | 10.2 | 36.1 | 161.6 | 93.0 | 13.6 | 225.6 | 82.9 | 16.9 | 35.1 | 44.9 | 112.0 |
|  | 8.6 | 1.7 | 5.6 | 15.0 | 10.4 | 1.6 | 8.6 | 4.2 | 1.7 | 2.4 | 1.0 | 6.5 |
| C. plumbeus | 116.0-198.0 | 17.0-23.5 | 20.0-46.0 | 192.0-247.0 | 115.0-179.0 | 18.5-23.0 | 237.5-291.0 | 69.0-99.5 | 18.0-23.5 | 39.5-49.0 | 36.0-47.5 | 133.0-178.0 |
|  | 145.6 | 20.6 | 33.9 | 220.7 | 149.2 | 21.8 | 255.2 | 82.9 | 20.7 | 44.1 | 39.3 | 157.2 |
|  | 24.8 | 2.0 | 7.4 | 17.4 | 18.1 | 1.5 | 16.4 | 8.1 | 1.8 | 3.1 | 3.6 | 15.5 |
| G. cuvieri | 48.5-70.0 | 6.0-8.0 | 17.0-32.0 | 55.0-79.0 | 28.0-61.0 | - | 40.0-62.0 | 60.0-103.0 | 16.5-22.0 | 15.0-43.0 | 13.0-57.5 | 62.0-124.0 |
|  | 58.7 | 6.8 | 24.3 | 67.0 | 39.5 |  | 49.0 | - 74.4 | 20.0 | 27.7 | 27.7 | 97.7 |
|  | 9.2 | 0.8 | 6.7 | 9.2 | 13.7 |  | 7.8 | : 25.9 | 2.5 | 10.5 | 15.5 | 27.6 |
| ㅇ. taurus | 35.5-44.5 | - | 17.5-34.5 | 137.5-208.5 | - | 3.0-6.0 | 125.0-127.0 | 114.0-127.0 | 17.0-17.5 | 20.0-28.0 | - |  |
|  | 40.0 |  | 26.0 | 173.0 |  | 4.4 | 126.0 | 120.8 | 17.3 | 24.0 |  | 36.0 |
|  | 6.4 |  | 12.0 | 50.2 |  | 1.3 | 1.4 | 9.5 | 0.4 | 5.7 |  |  |
| P. glauca | 30.0-44.5 | 1.0-2.5 | 14.5-21.5 | 57.5-80.5 | 37.0-65.0 | - | 96.0-157.0 | 30.0-42.0 | 6.0-14.5 | 12.0-21.5 | 20.5-39.5 | 60.0-89.0 |
|  | 38.3 | 1.7 | 17.6 | 69.2 | 52.9 |  | 124.6 | 33.4 | 11.9 | 15.3 | 30.9 | 74.8 |
|  | 5.3 | 0.6 | 3.4 | 8.2 | 11.2 |  | 22.3 | 4.9 | 3.4 | 4.0 | 7.9 | 11.6 |

Table 20. Synopsis of natural history information for the ancillary species of skates (Rajidae) examined during this study.

| Species | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mean Depth (M) } \\ & \text { (range) } \end{aligned}$ | Ref. | Food Habits | Pef. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\frac{\text { Anacanthobatis }}{\text { Iongirostris }}$ | $\begin{gathered} 791 \\ (530-1052) \end{gathered}$ | Bigelow \& Schroeder 1968 | None | - |
| $\frac{\text { Bathyrafa }}{\text { xincaidii }}$ | $\begin{gathered} 714 \\ (55-1372) \end{gathered}$ | Miller \& tea, 1972 | None | - |
| $\frac{\text { Eathyraja }}{\text { Spinacauda }}$ | $\begin{gathered} 463 \\ (121-805) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Bigelow \& Schroeder, } \\ & \text { 1953a } \end{aligned}$ | "...fed on capelin (Mallotus) and on the starry skate (Raja radiata)." | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Bigelow \& Schroeder, } \\ & \text { 1953a } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\frac{\text { Gurgesiella }}{\text { atripinna }}$ | $\begin{gathered} 665 \\ (413-918) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Bigedow \& Schroeder, } \\ & \text { 1953a } \\ & \text { Bigelow \& Schroeder, } \\ & 1962 \end{aligned}$ | None | - |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Gurgesiella } \\ & \text { ishis } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 727.5 \\ (503-952) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Bigelow \& Schroedar, } \\ & 1962 \end{aligned}$ | Nane | - |
| $\frac{\text { Gurgesiella }}{\text { plutonia }}$ | $\begin{gathered} 514 \\ (294-734) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Blgelow \& Schroeder, } \\ & 1962 \end{aligned}$ | None | - |
| Raja agassizi | $\begin{gathered} 65 \\ (0-130) \end{gathered}$ | Figureiredo, 1977 | None | - |
| Raja alba | $\begin{gathered} 265.5 \\ (31-500) \end{gathered}$ | Wallace, 1967 Wheeler, 1969 | (fish)-Argentina sphyraena, Trachinus vipera, pagellus exhenbergi, Dentex dentex, Scyliorhinus canicula (crustaceans)-5quilla mantis, Goneplax rhomboides, Neptunus hastatus (cephalopods)-Sepia officinailis, Loligo sp., Sepiola sp. | Azouz 6 Capape, 1971 |
| $\frac{\text { Raja }}{\text { bathyphila }}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1410 \\ (770-2050) \end{gathered}$ | Stehmann, 1978 | None | - |
| Raja | $\begin{aligned} & 390 \\ & (3-777) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Grinols, } 1965 \\ & \text { Miller \& Lea, } 1972 \end{aligned}$ | "...food ineludes crustaceans and fishes such as great sculpins." | Hart, 1973 |
| Raja clarkí | ${ }_{(366-549)^{1}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Bigelow \& Schroeder, } \\ & 1965 \end{aligned}$ | None | - |


| Food Hables | Ref. |
| :---: | :---: |
| Adults-crustaceans 《Upogebia, Portunus. Corystes) and sometimes fish (sand eels, herring, sprat) | Wheeler, |
| Young-amphipods $\varepsilon$ young brown shrimp |  |
| Teleosts (I.F.-0.12)-Trachurus trachurus, paracentropristis hepatus, Argentina sphyraena | ${ }_{1971^{2}}{ }^{\text {Azouz }}$ Capape, |
| Crustaceans (I.F.-0.91)-amphipods, |  |
| Paqurus arroser, Aloheus zuber, Dorippe |  |
| lanata, Macropipus depurator, Geryon |  |
| Cephalopods (I.F.-0.03)-Loliqg vulqaris. Sepiola sp. |  |
| Sipunculids (x.F.-0.017)-Sipunculus nudus |  |
| Fish (I.F.-0.51) and erustaceans (I.F.-0.46) | Capape, 1975 ${ }^{2}$ |
| shrimp and galatheids | Cadenat, 1960 |
| epifaunal prey, particularly decapods | mekachran et al., 1976 |
| Active crustaceans-Leptocheirus pinguis. Crantan septemspinosa, Cancer irroyatus | Richard et al., 1963 |
| None | - |
| None | - |
| copepods, amphipods, mysids | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Bigelow \& Schroeder, } \\ & \text { 2953a } \end{aligned}$ |
| "...teeth suggest active prey." <br> "... 50 lazge pelagic amphipods (Euthemisto | Bigelow \& Schroeder, 1953a |
| libellula), fragments of an arctic prawn |  |
| (Hymenodora glacialis), and three fishes, |  |
| one of them a third as long ( 185 mm ) as its |  |
|  |  |
| "...teeth suggests that it feeds chiefly on active prey such as small fishes and free swiming crustacea,..." | Bigelow \& Schroeder, 1953a |
| "...one well-digested redfish ( 135 cc )." | Templeman, 1965 |


| Species | Mean Depth (M) (range) | Ref. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Raja mirelatug | $\begin{gathered} 226 \\ (12-440) \end{gathered}$ | *allace, 1967 Hulley, 1970 |
| Raja ocellata | $\begin{aligned} & 185.5 \\ & (0-371) \end{aligned}$ | Bigelow \& Schroeder, 1953a <br> McEachran et al., 1975 |
| Raja olseni | $\begin{aligned} & 165 \\ & (92-238) \end{aligned}$ | ```Bigelow & Schroeder, 1968 Bigelow & Schroeder, 1962``` |
| Raja porosa | $\begin{gathered} 65 \\ (30-100) \end{gathered}$ | Ishiyema, 1967 |
| Raja rhina | 347.5 | Miller \& Lea, 1972 Grinols, 1965 |
| Raja spinacidermis | $\begin{aligned} & 1010 \\ & (450-1569) \end{aligned}$ | Templeman. 1965 Bigelow E Schroeder, 1953 |
| Raja teevani | $\begin{gathered} 526 \\ (320-732) \end{gathered}$ | ```Bigelow & Schroeder, 1968 Bigelow & Schroeder, 1965``` |
| Raja texana | $\begin{gathered} 62.5 \\ (25-110) \end{gathered}$ | Bigelow s Schroeder. 1965 |
| $\frac{\text { Malacoraja }}{\text { Euliginea }}$ | $\begin{gathered} 915 \\ (732-1098) \end{gathered}$ | ```Bigelow 6 Schroeder, 1954a Bigelow & Schroeder, 1968``` |
| $\frac{\text { Malacoraja }}{\text { purpuriventralis }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1971 \\ & (732-2010) \end{aligned}$ | ```Bigelow s Schroeder, 1965 Bigelow & Schroeder! 1962``` |


| Species | Mean Depth (M) (range) | Ref. | Food Habits | Ref. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\frac{\text { Malacoraja }}{\text { sadowskí }}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1000 \\ (800-1000) \end{gathered}$ | Krefft and Stehmann. $1974$ | None | - |

1one specimen (USNM 205391) was collected from a depth of 907 M and if included, would increase the average depth to 636.5 M .
$\mathbf{2}_{\text {L' }}$ indice de frequence (I.F.) is enclosed in parentheses and is defined as the number of stomachs containing a particular prey item
compared with the total number of full stomachs.
${ }^{3}$ Raja yenseni probably occurs down to depths greater than previously recorded (Templeman, 1965).
The species list of prey items included in this reference (Tableau 6) indicate that the majority of teleosts are benthic (gobies,
blennies and flatfish).
Table 21. Morphometric characteristics for the galeoid sharks examined in this

| Species | Maximum TL (cm) | Ref. | Length of Snout ${ }^{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C. plumbeus | 249 | Garrick, 1982 | (3.3-4.1) |
|  |  |  | 1.7-3.6 |
| C. obscurus | 363 | Garrick, 1982 | (3.5-4.0) |
| G. cuvieri | 740 | Basset, et al., 1975 | 5.6-6.0 (1.9) |
| O. taurus | 318 | Bigelow and Schroeder, 1948 | (3.3-3.4) |
| P. glauca | 383 | Bigelow and Schroeder, 1948 | 1.4-1.7 (3.8) |

${ }^{1}$ Snout length is expressed here as both length of medial rostral cartilage in the
nasobasal plate length after Campagno (1979) and as a percent of the total length
after Bigelow and Schroeder (1948) (in parentheses).
Table 22. Synopsis of natural history information for the galeoid sharks, examined in this study.

| Species | General Distribution | Ref. | Food Habits | Ref. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| c. plumbeus | "shoreline to 135 fathoms... enters bay mouths" <br> "shallower part of the continental shelf" | Springer, 1960 | "a discriminating feeder... a bottom feeder... prefers fresh fish to stale or decomposed fish" <br> a wide variety of smaller teleosts, elasmobzanchs and a number of mollusks | Springer, 1960 |
| C. obscurus | shallow estuarine waters <br> "much more pelagic in habit than either miliberti ( $p$. 372) or Leucas (341)" | ```1977 Huish & Benedict, Bigelow& Schrceder, 1948``` | ```variety of teleosts, elasmo- branchs, cephalopods and only a minor fraction of inverte- brates "fish-eater"``` | ```Clarke & von Schmidt, 1965 Bigelow & Schroeder, 1948``` |
| G. Euvieri | "both deep oceanic and shallow coastal regions." <br> shallow waters along the east coast of the U.S. <br> year round off shore | Castro, 1903 <br> Gudger, 1948 <br> Schwartz \& Burgess, 1975 | "most polyphagous of all sharks" <br> dead and moribund birds <br> a variety of teleosts, elasmobranchs and "parts of sharks, birds, turtles, tunicates, octopuses, crabs, horseshoe crabs, mollusks, jelly fish, and assorted trash." <br> a very large assortment of fish, manmal remains and turtles nnon-discriminating... filled with all sorts of small and large foreign bodies" | Castro, 1983 <br> Dodrill and Gilmore, 1978 <br> Clarke \& von Schmidt, 1965 <br> Gudger, 1948 <br> Gudger, 1949 |
| Q. taurus | as shallow as 6 meters <br> offshore in deeper waters <br> "most of those caught being taken in depths of not more than two to five fathoms;" | ```Clarke s von Schmidt. 1965 Schwartz & Burgess, 1975 Bigelow & Schroeder, 1948``` | wide range of both fish and invertebrates | Castro, 1983 |
| P. glauca | pelagic <br> only occasionally migrate inshore "elear, deep, blue waters..." | Strasburg, 1958: Stevens, 1976 Clark \& Stevens, 1974 Castro, 1983 | eephalopods <br> fraction of additional invertebrates | Clark \& Stevens, 1974 <br> Strasburg, 1958, EeBrasseur, 1964 |
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[^0]:    Figure 12. Isolated ampullae from the superficial ophthalmic capsule of the following species: A. R. laevis (Disc 490, female). B. R . eglanteria (Disc 395, female). C. M. senta (Disc 173, female).

[^1]:    $\qquad$ and J.C. Mitchell. 1891. On the lateral sense organs of

[^2]:    Iabereviations are as follows: VIMS, Virginia Institute of Marine Science; st J, Newfoundland biological station; MCZ, Museum of Comparative Zoolosy; and USNH, National Museum of Natural History.
    ${ }^{2}$ Total length of this specimen had to be estimated due to a damaged tail.
    ${ }^{3}$ Jaws were absent from this specimen and therefore pore counts from the " $J$ " subarea and the examination of any ampullae from the mandibular capsules were not possible.
    ${ }^{4}$ Disc width had to be estimated due to the poor condition of the pectoral fin margin.
    ${ }^{5}$ This species was recently placed in this newly erected genus.

[^3]:    Abbreviations are as follows: MML, Moss Landing Marine Laboratories; umy, Rosenstiel School of Marine and Atmospheric
    Science; RUSI, J. L. B. Smith Institute of Ichthyology; ISH, Institut für Seefischerei; TAM, Texas Cooperative wildife collection. Remaining abbreviations as in table 1.
    ${ }^{2}$ see footnote 45 of Table *1.

[^4]:    The maximum TL listed here is frcm an imature nale specimen, and therefore, both the maximum TL and surface area can be
    ${ }^{6}$ Bigelow and Schroeder ( 1953 a ) feel that this species may attain a greater size than is presently recorded.
    expected to be somewhat greater.
    ${ }^{6}$ Bigelow and Schroeder (1953a) fee
    ${ }^{2}$ Wallace's (1967) records indicate that this species may attain a still larger size than is recorded here.
    ${ }^{3}$ mis species attains a larger size than listed here (USNM specimen 205391 examined during this study was 845 mm TL).
    ${ }^{4}$ Surface areas were not calculated for this species, zather the ventral pore densities were taken from Rasthi (197e).
    7 See footnote \#1.
    ${ }^{\text {sinsted }}$ under the junior synonym, 票ia mollis (see Rulley and Stehmann, 1970).
    ${ }^{9}$ See footnote \#4.

[^5]:    $I_{\text {Ampullae }}$ from this capsule were lost and number of alveoli approximated from average
    counts from R. laevis.
    ${ }^{2}$ Due to the large differences in morphometrics and meristics of the ampullae, only
    one specimen was used in this analysis.
    ${ }^{3}$ An inner buccal capsule was noted in only the second specimen of this species, and therefore this is reflected in this table.

