

W&M ScholarWorks

Reports

12-1975

Biology and management of river herring and shad in Virginia : Annual report, Anadromous Fish Project 1975

Walter J. Hoagman Virginia Institute of Marine Science

William H. Kriete Virginia Institute of Marine Science

Follow this and additional works at: https://scholarworks.wm.edu/reports

Part of the Aquaculture and Fisheries Commons

Recommended Citation

Hoagman, W. J., & Kriete, W. H. (1975) Biology and management of river herring and shad in Virginia : Annual report, Anadromous Fish Project 1975. Virginia Institute of Marine Science, College of William and Mary. http://dx.doi.org/doi:10.21220/m2-7y4f-zq64

This Report is brought to you for free and open access by W&M ScholarWorks. It has been accepted for inclusion in Reports by an authorized administrator of W&M ScholarWorks. For more information, please contact scholarworks@wm.edu.



LIBRARY



Annual Report, Anadromous Fish Project, 1975

Virginia Institute of Marine Science Gloucester Point, Virginia 23062



Annual Report 1975

Project Title: Biology and Management of River Herring and Shad Project Number: Virginia AFC 8-2

Project Period: 1 October 1974 to 30 September 1975

Prepared By:

Hoagman, Ph.D. 5% Walter

Kriéte.



This project was financed in part with Anadromous Fish Act (P.L. 89-304) funds through the National Marine Fisheries Service, Northeast Division. Contract Number 04-5-043-11

Virginia Institute of Marine Science Gloucester Point, Virginia 23062

December 1975

()

TABLE O	F CONTENTS PAGE	NUI	BER
ABSTRAC	Τ		iv
PREFACE	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• •	v
PROJECT	PERSONNEL	1	viii
LIST OF	TABLES	• •	ix
LIST OF	FIGURES		xii
JOB 1.	CATCH-PER-UNIT-OF-EFFORT		1
	Summary for Job 1 Methods James River York River Rappahannock River Potomac River	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	1 2 3 4 5 5
JOB 2.	POPULATION DYNAMICS OF ADULTS		24
	Summary for Job 2 1975 Spawning Run Age Composition Length and Weight of Spawning Adults Landings of the Foreign Fleet in Area 6 of ICNAR	•••	24 25 25 27 28
JOB 3.	ANNUAL INDEX OF JUVENILE ABUNDANCE	• •	42
	Summary of 1975 Results Yearclass Strength in 1975 James River York River Rappahannock River Potomac River. Parent-Progeny Relationship. Estimate of Juvenile Alosid Production		42 42 43 44 44 44 46
JOB 4.	FEEDING ENERGETICS OF JUVENILE ALEWIFE		57
	Title Page - Weaver's Dissertation Table of Contents - Weaver's Dissertation List of Tables - Weaver's Dissertation List of Figures - Weaver's Dissertation	• • • • • •	58 59 61 62

 $\{\cdot\}$

Ó

TABLE OF CONTENTS

(^{*})

(_)

PAGE NUMBER

JOB 7.	FISHES OF THE NURSERY ZONE	65
•	Summary	65
	Program	66
	James River Winter Fish Populations	68
	York River Fish Populations	70
	Rappahannock River Fish Populations	71
	Potomac River Fish Populations	71
	River Comparisons for 1975.	72
	Literature Cited	72
		15

Abstract

The number of pound nets and the catch of alosines per net continued to decline in 1975. Effort by stake gill nets increased but catch estimates declined. The James River yielded an estimated 853,847 pounds of American shad (down 47% from 1974) with the peak of the run at the end of March. Stake gill nets landed 246,036 pounds of American shad in the York River, a decrease from 1974. Estimates of Hickory shad landings declined 83% in the York River. Pound nets in the Rappahannock River had a 75% reduction in American shad catch and stake gill net catch was down 13% from 1974. River herring catch estimates were down 13% in the Rappahannock River. Pound net catches of American shad declined 47% while landings from stake gill nets increased by 44% from 1974 in the Potomac River. River herring landings increased 34% in the Potomac River.

Seven thousand specimens of alosines from the Virginia commercial fishery were obtained during the spawning season. Age four dominated the river herring run, with percentages ranging from 68 to 83%, up considerably over the last few years and nearly double the age four contribution of ten years ago. Age four American shad made up from 24 to 39% of the shad run. Weights of river herring remained below the long term average while shad have not changed. The foreign fleets took 20% of the reported river herring catch in 1974 from ICNAF area 6.

Blueback herring had a strong yearclass in every Virginia nursery zone sampled in 1975. Alewife and American shad had small yearclasses, which continued the long term decline. The 1970 and 1971 estimates of yearclass strength predicted the 1975 run of American shad quite well, whereas river herring landings and age composition in 1975 did not follow changes in yearclass strength between 1970 and 1971.

Juvenile alewife feeding energetics are presented in abstract form from a Ph.D. thesis supported by the project. Juvenile alewife had a positive electivity index for the larger zooplankton and a negative index for copepod nauplii. Mean maintenance efficiency was 52% in 1972 and 63% in 1973, while the remainder in each year was the net growth efficiency.

Winter survey data for the York, Rappahannock and Potomac rivers is presented for 1975, and 1972-75 for the James. Densities of white perch, channel catfish, spottail shiner and other river residents are compared between rivers. All rivers sampled differ substantially in density and relative rank of the principal species. Distribution of types and numbers within a river is presented based on catch per unit of effort.

 $\{ - \}$

Preface

This annual report covers the period October 1974 through September, 1975. It is the eighth report generated by the project, with 3-year completion reports prepared in 1970 and 1973. The project is continuing at VIMS and each year allows stronger conclusions to be made concerning trends in the basic population parameters studied.

The 1970-1973 completion report was widely distributed in Virginia, libraries at fishery science institutes, and throughout the National Marine Fisheries Service. The completion report analyzed data from the entire history of the project. In many cases the entire summarized data core for a particular segment were presented e.g. mortality rates and age composition of the run since 1966. Some jobs were presented in final analysis form, because they were made inactive after 1973. The history of the foreign fleet activities was presented with an analysis of their effect on the anadromous alosines.

This annual report has the specific purpose of reporting progress on the jobs and objectives of one additional year. Thus, many of the tables, figures, and conclusions are not repeated here. It is difficult to make conclusions or propose directions of effort without such background, however, so where necessary, some past data are presented. Overall, the 212-page completion report is the main reference document, and this annual report has been designed and written for brevity.

In 1976 we will draw together the last 3 years of data, combine them with the 1970 and 1973 documents, and again present full analysis and the summarized data bank.

The following jobs and objectives were proposed for our 1974-1975 contract period.

Job 1. Catch-Per-Unit-of-Effort

Objective 1. To measure fishing effort and estimate landings of adult <u>Alosa</u> spp.

2. To detect changes in the stock, and economics of the river fishery by comparison with former years.

Job 2. Population Dynamics of Adults

Objective. To determine mortality rates, age specific sizes, times of spawning, and ratios of abundance for adult alewife, blueback herring, and American shad.

Job 3. Annual Index of Juvenile Abundance

Objective. To determine annually an index of abundance for each species of juvenile <u>Alosa</u> spp. in the four major spawning areas (James, York, Rappahannock and Potomac rivers) in Virginia.

Job 4. Feeding Energetics of Juvenile Alewife

Objective 1. To determine food selectivity and feeding periodicity of juvenile alewife in the nursery zone of the James River. 2. To derive a seasonal energy budget by integration of ingestion, egestion, respiration, and growth rates.

Job 5. Culture, Rearing and Experimental Study of River Herring

This job was not contracted for by NMFS for the 1974-75 contract year.

Job 6. River Herring Population Estimates, Movements, and Resource Utilization Through a Tagging Program

This job was not contracted for by NMFS for the 1974-75 contract year.

Job 7. Resident Fishes of the Nursery Zone

()

Objective 1. To determine biomass indices of the nonmigratory fish component of the freshwater nursery zones of the major rivers during midwinter.

> 2. To derive quantitative estimates of overwintering populations of juvenile alosids.

Job 8. Shallow Water Population Indices (Pilot Program)

This job was not contracted for by NMFS for the 1974-75 contract year.

Job 1 was written by W. Kriete; Jobs 2 and 3 by W. Hoagman; Job 4 by J. Weaver; and Job 7 by W. Hoagman and W. Kriete. Cover design by W. Hoagman. A full list of project personnel follows. The report was critically reviewed by Drs. W. J. Davis and J. V. Merriner. The National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS) and the Commonwealth of Virginia through the Virginia Institute of Marine Science (VIMS) sponsor this project. Many other agencies and commissions receive and utilize the results. Our cover indicates some of these users. The abbreviations used on the cover are as follows:

- CRC Chesapeake Research Consortium
- ASMFC Atlantic States Marine Fisheries Commission
- DOC Department of Commerce
- VMRC Virginia Marine Resources Commission
- EPA Environmental Protection Agency
- GIF Game and Inland Fisheries Virginia
- W&M College of William and Mary
- PRFC Potomac River Fishery Commission
- LOS Law of the Sea, Conference of
- BLM Bureau of Land Management

i i

 (\cdot)

ĆΕ

(É).

Ô.

(-)

- CPCMD Coastal Plains Center for Marine Development
- SFW Bureau of Sport Fisheries and Wildlife
- NOAA National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration
- ICNAF International Commission for Northwest Atlantic Fisheries

Proj October 1, 197	ect Personnel 74 - September	30, 1975	· · · · · ·
Namo	Position	Percent	Service
Mallie	103101011	ASSIGNMENT	DELVICE
Hoagman, W. J., Ph.D. Merriner, J. V., Ph.D. Davis, W. J., Ph.D. Kriete, W. H., Jr.,B.S. Wilson, W. L.	Prin. Invest. Co-Invest. Asst. Dir. Research Asst Lab. Spec.	75% 25% 5% 100% (Resig	Four Years(a) Five Years Eight Years(b) Two Years Eight Years- med 8/15/75)
Bristow, J. A. Estes, A. D.	Lab. Tech. Lab. Tech.	100% 100% (Resig	Eight Years Three Years- med 3/30/75)
Davis, J. S. Owens, J. C. DeBolt, L. L.	Lab. Tech. Lab. Tech. Clk-Typ. B	100% 100% 25%	Two Years Two Years 14 Months-
Emerson, V. S.	Clk-Typ. B	25% (Resig	Three Months- med $4/30/75$
Sprinkle, D. B.	Clk-Typ. B	25%	Five Months
Weaver, J. E. Cooke, A. C. Byrne, D. M. Colvocoresses, J. A. Ross, J. Foell, E. J.	Grad. Student	t 50% 50% 50% 50% 50% 50%	Five Years Two Years One Year Five Months One Month One Month
Hedgepeth, M. Y. Tinsley, M. Weishar	Tech. (Temp.)) 100% 100% 100%	Two Months Two Months Two Months
Martin, J. Heil, N. P. Tinsley, M. Reidyk, R. Watkins, D. Zeigler, M.	Summer Aide	100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100%	(Approx.) 10 Weeks

(a) On leave of absence with United Nations, December 17, 1974 to August 18, 1975

(b) Principal Investigator until 1972

 $(\)$

()

Ċ)

LIST OF TABLES

(1)

()

 $\langle \cdot \rangle$

()

(

ĊŤ

Tab1e		Page
1.1	Number of active pound net stands in Chesapeake Bay and its Virginia tributaries during Spring 1975.	. 7
1.2	Number of stake gill net stands fished in Virginia rivers 1973-1975 (A) and average number of linear feet per five mile block (B) in 1975	8
1.3	Estimated catch of American shad by stake gill nets above mile 10 for 5 mile section in the James River 1975 in 1bs., by half_month intervals and by sex. Effort from Table 1.2 (linear ft) X index = 1bs./ft of net	9
1.4	Estimated catch of American shad by stake gill nets above mile 10 in the James River 1975 using the 1974 method, by half_month intervals (in 1b.)	4 11
1.5	Estimated catch of American and hickory shad by stake gill nets above mile 10 in the York River, in 1b., by half-month intervals. Effort from Table 1.2 (linear ft) X index = 1bs./ft of net	2
1.6	Estimated catch of American and hickory shad by half month intervals in 1b. by stake gill nets in the You River 1975 using the 1974 method. Nets above mile 10 not included	f- rk 15
1.7	Estimated catch of American shad and river herring by pound nets in the Rappahannock River 1975 in 1b., by half-month intervals. Nets below mile 10 not included	by y 16
1.8	Estimated catch of American and hickory shad by stal gill nets above mile 10 in the Rappahannock River 1975, in 1b., by half-month intervals. Effort from Table 1.2 (linear ft) X index = 1bs./ft of net	ke 19
1.9	Estimated catch of American and hickory shad by stal nets above mile 10 in the Rappahannock River 1975, in 1b., by half-month intervals. Computed with the 1974 method	ke 22
1.10	Total catch of American shad, hickory shad, and river herring by stake gill nets and pound nets in the Potomac River 1975 in lb	23
2.1	Summary of alosine collections ¹ gathered by project personnel during the 1975 spawning run in major Virginia tributaries to Chesapeake Bay	33

LIST OF TABLES

Table	Pa	ge
2.2	Age composition of alosine fishes sampled from the commercial fishery of Virginia in spring 1975	35
2.3	Summary of age frequency data for alewife and blueback herring from the Potomac and Rappahannock commercial fishery	37
2.4	Catch of river herring (alewife and blueback) in the inshore fishery and in ICNAF area 6 by various countries. Catch is in thousands of pounds, round weight	39
2.5	Basic population attributes of the anadromous alosids in the major tributaries from the 1975 spawning run. Fork length in millimeters and weight in grams; SD = standard deviation	40
3.1	Trawling effort with pelagic trawls for juvenile alosids in Virginia rivers. (Five-min tows in 1969- 1972 and 1975, and 10-min tows in 1973 and 1974.)	49
3.2	Average c/f estimates in surface and midwater tows for juvenile alosids in major Virginia rivers during 1975. All vessels combined, estimates adjusted to 10-min tow equivalents	50
3.3	Catch of juvenile alosids in tributaries to the James River between miles 35 to 80. (All tows 5 min each; c/f expressed as catch for 10 min towing equivalent.)	51
3.4	Annual index of yearclass strength for all species in all rivers using the combined data of two vessels and the midwater and surface samples. Figures are average c/f defined as a 10-min tow with the 5 x 5 Cobb traw1	52
3.5	Percent composition of the juvenile alosids from the combined c/f of the R/V <u>Langley</u> and R/V <u>Brooks</u> , surface and midwater	53
3.6	Estimated yield by commercial gear of adult clupeids in Virginia rivers 1967-1975 and their percentage contribution to the catch. Yield is in thousands of pounds	54
3.7	General estimates of yearclass strength and estimates of numbers of young alosids in Virginia rivers in August and September	55

 (\cdot)

(_)

(_)

LIST OF TABLES

Table

()

 (\mathbf{i})

Table	Page	
7.1	James River winter survey data 1972-75 expressed as average catch in numbers per tow (cn/f) , average catch in weight per tow (cw/f) , average fork length (\overline{L}) in millimeters, and average weight (\overline{W}) in grams. All tows within the five-mile section listed below the first mile of that section	
7.2	Standard deviation (s) of cn/f and coefficient of variation (V) in percent for James River winter survey data listed in Table 7.182	
7.3	York River winter survey data 1975 expressed as average catch in numbers per tow (cn/f) , average catch in weight per tow (cw/f) , average_fork length (\overline{L}) in millimeters, and average weight (\overline{W}) in grams. All tows within the five-mile section listed below the first mile of that section	
7.4	Standard deviation (s) of cn/f and coefficient of variation (V) in percent for York River winter survey data listed in Table 7.391	
7.5	Rappahannock River winter survey data 1975 expressed as average catch in numbers per tow (cn/f), average catch in weight per tow (cw/f), average fork length (\overline{L}) in millimeters, and average weight (\overline{W}) in grams. All tows within the five-mile section listed below the first mile of that section	
7.6	Standard deviation (s) of cn/f and coefficient of variation (V) in percent for Rappahannock River winter survey data listed in Table 7.5	
7.7	Potomac River winter survey data 1975 expressed as average catch in numbers per tow (cn/f), average catch in weight per tow (cw/f), average fork length (\overline{L}) in millimeters, and average weight (\overline{W}) in grams. All tows within the five-mile section listed below the first mile of that section	
7.8	Standard deviation (s) of cn/f and coefficient of variation (V) in percent for Potomac River winter survey data 1975 from Table 7.7102	
7.9	Average cn/f for selected species and zones during winter of 1975. Zones used(a) given in parentheses below average cn/f104	
7.10	Average cn/f for selected species in the James River during winters of 1972-76. Rank given below cn/f105	

LIST OF FIGURES

Figures	Page
2.1	Average fork length of sexes combined for alewife and blueback in landings from the Potomac River, 1966 to 1975
2.2	Average weight, using combined data for season and sex, for alewife and blueback in landings from the Potomac River, 1966 to 1975
2.3	Landings of river herring (alewife and blueback combined) in Virginia from lower Chesapeake Bay and tributaries, total foreign fleet catch and USSR catch in the Middle Atlantic Bight
3.1	Chart of lower Chesapeake Bay and tributaries with major freshwater nursery zones shaded
3.2	Yearclass strength of alewife, blueback herring, and American shad expressed as total estimated number of young (AugSept.) in all major nursery zones. Data from Table 3.7
7.1	Locator map of study area with river miles indicated

 $\langle \hat{} \rangle$

 $\langle \rangle$

 $\vec{(})$

(Ē)

(_)

Job 1. Catch-per-unit-of-effort

Summary for Job 1

6.

7.

()

1. American shad, alewife, blueback herring, and hickory shad landings in the James, York, and Rappahannock rivers were determined by expansion of records from cooperating fishermen and total counts of pound nets and stake gill nets. For all rivers except the Potomac, miles 0-10 were excluded.

1

- 2. Fishing effort by stake gill nets was redefined as catch per linear foot of net, rather than catch per stand as in former years.
- 3. Pound net fishing effort in Virginia fell slightly in 1975, whereas stake gill net effort increased 12% over 1974.
- 4. American shad landings were lower in 1975 than 1974 for the James, York and Rappahannock rivers. Potomac landings increased by 49%.
- 5. Alewife landings decreased by 75% in the Rappahannock and increased by 29% in the Potomac River from 1974 to 1975.
 - Blueback herring landings increased by 36% in the Rappahannock and 62% in the Potomac from 1974 to 1975.

The peak of the American shad spawning run was the second half of March through 15 April for the James, York, and Rappahannock rivers. Peak landings for Potomac River American shad occurred late April through 15 May.

- 8. From April 8 to June 24, 1975, the number of active pound nets counted by overflights ranged from 167 to 218.
- 9. The James River had 148 active stake gill net stands in 1975, followed by 146 in the York River and 121 in the Rappahannock.
- 10. Total landings of alewife, blueback, and American shad combined, from the James, York, Rappahannock and Potomac were 7.1 million 1b. in 1975 compared to 6.4 million 1b. in 1974. All rivers decreased in total combined landings except the Potomac which had high blueback catches.

Methods

 $\langle \hat{} \rangle$

()

÷)

Adult alosine fishes enter the Chesapeake Bay and tributaries in the spring of each year on their annual spawning run. This migration begins in winter (February or even as early as January) and continues until late spring (May or early June). During the spawning migration they encounter a gamut of commercial and sport fishing gear. Pound nets, stake gill nets, fyke nets, and haul seines represent the major gears used in the commercial fishery, but are not the only gears that take spawning adults. Dip nets and even sport fishermen take quantities of spawning adults in medium to small freshwater streams, but we have not assessed the landings by these fishing methods.

Job 1 contains results of catch estimates for the three major rivers in Virginia by stake gill nets and pound nets (the latter limited to the Rappahannock River). Estimates apply only to landings above mile 10 in each river.

The Potomac River figures were supplied by the Potomac River Fisheries Commission and reflect actual catch.

The method for determining catch-per-unit-of-effort (c/f) was changed slightly from previous years. Pound net and gill net data for 1975 are presented both ways for comparison.

Pound nets - The method for determining the estimated catch of pound nets in 1975 divides the Rappahannock River into two sections; lower river (miles 10-30) containing pound nets with approximately 600 ft. leads (hedging) and upper river (miles 31-55) containing pound nets with 200-250 ft. leads.

The number of active pound nets is determined by aerial counts. Log books are placed with cooperating commercial fishermen to obtain daily catch records. The number of pounds of any given species, and/or sex caught by cooperating fishermen in a half month period divided by the number of pound nets operated by that fisherman yields the index catch figure for a pound net. This index is then multiplied by the number of active nets in that section of the river. The formula is:

Number of pounds caught by	Number of nets	Total estimated
index nets for half month	X in section of	= pounds in section
Number of index nets	river	of river.

This procedure, summed across sections, gives the total estimated pounds of male and female American shad, hickory shad and river herring (alewife and blueback) landed by pound nets. Stake gill nets - The 1975 stake gill net catch estimates were based on index lbs./linear ft. rather than lbs./stand. The procedure begins by counting the number of stands and the number of sections per stand per five mile block of river during the peak of the spawning run (15 Mar.-15 Apr.)(Table 1.2).

From these counts the average number of sections was established by dividing the number of sections by the number of stands in a 5-mile block of the river.

Log books collected from cooperating fishermen were used to establish an index value (pounds per linear foot of gill net). The index was determined by dividing the total pounds caught by the index fisherman, by the number of feet of gill net fished. The method to obtain the total pounds of male and female American shad (or hickory shad) for each 5-mile block per half month period was:

Pounds caught by index nets <u>for half month period</u> = Linear feet of gill net operated by index fisherman

index pounds per linear ft.

Number of stands X average sections per stand X average length per section X index pounds per linear foot = estimated total pounds. The total pounds for the river is obtained by adding the totals for all five mile blocks.

General Results

(

 \bigcirc

(j)

Pound nets continued to decline in 1975 although the decline was very slight (1.3%). Many areas did show an increase even though overall effort was down. Aerial counts of pound nets taken semi-monthly (Table 1.1), showed an increase in the Potomac River and from Windmill Point to Smith Point.

Pound net catch estimates in the Rappahannock River exhibited a decrease of American shad (75%) and river herring (13%) relative to 1974. The Potomac River had a 47% decrease in American shad landings but an increase of 34% in the yield of river herring from pound nets.

Stake gill net stands increased 12% from the 1974 season with the greatest increase appearing in the Rappahannock (30%) (Table 1.2). Catch estimates reflect a sharp decrease in weight of American shad landed in the James and York rivers and a sharp increase in the Potomac River. The Rappahannock River had only a slight rise in weight of American shad caught, based on figures computed using the 1974 method (see below).

James River

Pound nets were absent from the James River fishery in 1975, thus indices are not available.

Stake gill nets yielded an estimated 653,847 lb. of American shad from 16 February through 15 May (catch estimates compiled the "old way" equalled 728,833 lb). The peak of the spawning run was the latter half of March through the first half of April. This period yielded 77% of the American shad of the James River (Table 1.3). Males represented only 23% of the landings of shad, which may reflect the practice of discarding the males at the net. This practice is due to the low market value after the first few weeks of the shad fishing season. The quantity of males discarded is unknown.

The greatest concentration of gill net stands was between river miles 15-20, containing an estimated 35,640 linear feet of gill net or 42% of all nets above mile 10. Effort was up 16% from 1974 yet catch was down 47%.

Included in this year's estimates are the catches by 6000 feet of anchor gill net. The catch of these nets was recorded by index fishermen in addition to their stake gill net catches. The anchor gill net catches were used in Table 1.4 by converting to equivalent stake gill net stands.

York River

 $\langle \cdot \rangle$

 $\frac{1}{2}$

Pound nets were not fished above mile 10 in 1975 thus catch indices could not be computed for the York River.

Stake gill nets in the York River exhibited a decrease in estimated pounds landed although the decrease was not as pronounced as in the James River. The estimated landings of 1b. of American shad and 3243 246.036 1b. of hickory shad (Table 1.5) were harvested in a 10 week period beginning the second half of February. Females represented 89% of the American shad landed during the fishing season, with 71% of this total being taken from 15 March to 15 April. As in the James, the male American shad estimate may reflect the practice of discarding males at the net. Landings in the second half of March represented 55% of the total landing of males and only 8% of the male shad landings were taken in the first half of This indicates males were not landed in proportion to April. the actual weight caught.

There seems to be a growing practice by York River gill netters, of selling the roe locally and either discarding the remains at the net or selling it as scrap to dealers. At present it is estimated that 50% of the fishermen engage in this practice intermittently (Table 1.5).

Hickory shad estimates exhibited an 83% decrease from the 1974 catch and 56% of the landings were taken between 1 April - 15 April. Hickory shad are not separated by sex when they are sold by fishermen or dealers.

Stake gill net stands were evenly distributed over a 19 mile section of the river (miles 10-29) for an average of 7.7 stands per mile. This was the highest average density in all the rivers and is a 5% increase over 1974.

The 1975 data from the York River were also analyzed by the 1974 method for comparison (Table 1.6).

Rappahannock River

The number of active pound nets in the Rappahannock remained unchanged from 1974. These nets yielded an estimated 9,222 lb. of American shad, 159,263 lb. of alewife and 379,078 lb. of blueback. This represents a 75% reduction in yield for American shad from 1974 and a 6% reduction from 1973 (see revised data, Table 1.7). The 1975 yield of river herring declined 13% from 1974 and 38% from 1973 (Table 1.7). The yield of alewife was down 40% from 1974 and 52% from 1973. Blueback were up 7% from 1974 and down 29% from 1973 (Table 1.7). Although there were fewer nets in the lower river, 86% of the river herring harvested in the Rappahannock were from mile 10-30.

Stake gill nets harvested an estimated 61,832 lb. of American shad and 3,052 lb. of hickory shad in 1975, a decrease from 1974 for both species (Table 1.8). If the 1975 data are computed by the 1974 method, there was an increase of 8% for American shad and a decrease of 84% for hickory shad from 1974 (Table 1.9).

The low total estimated poundage for American shad is also reflected in the c/f for the 1975 season (catch as pounds per linear feet) of only 0.65 compared to 7.72 in 1974 for the James River and 3.40 for the York River.

The peak of the spawning run of American shad was 15 March to 15 April. This period yielded 73% of the female and 78% of the male poundage based on gill net data.

Potomac River

 (\cdot)

Data supplied by the Potomac River Fisheries Commission are the total reported yield of the river. However, data from nets between river mile 00 and 10 are included; thus the data include some fish that might not have spawned in the Potomac River (percentage unknown).

Pound nets harvested 9,762 lb. of American shad and 5,359,495 lb. of river herring. American shad landings declined 47% while the yield of river herring increased 34% with a 20% increase in effort over that of 1974. River

herring gains came mainly from increased yield of blueback (36%). The peak of the female American shad spawning run did not occur until May, much later than in other rivers studied. The males peaked in April, not much later than the Rappahannock peak. Alewife and blueback catches peaked in May but did not represent peak spawning because the Potomac River fishery for river herring is not active until late April and through May.

Stake gill nets yielded 108,483 lb. of American shad and 254 lb. of hickory shad. This was a 44% increase over 1974 yield for American shad and represents females entirely (Table 1.10).

The spawning run peak (from gill net data) was in April for both male and female American shad. Pound net landings were highest in May for males but female landings peaked in April. This difference of apparent peaks is due to putting pound nets in operation later than gill nets. Gill nets were all operational by the first of March but pound net effort did not peak until late May.

Although the yield of hickory shad increased 77% in 1975, the 254 pounds landed can only be considered as incidental to the American shad catch (Table 1.10).

÷ "1



American shad, <u>Alosa sapidissima</u>, adult

	Februar	y Ma	<u>rch</u>	Ap	<u>ri1</u>	May	<u>y</u>	Ju	ne
Area	21	5	21	8	23	9	21	<u>· 3</u>	24 :
James River	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
York River	4	4	4	7	8	7	7	7	7
York Spit	0	0	0	0	1	. 1	1	2	2
Rappahannock	7	34	48	55	60	62	47	40	25
Potomac R.	1	3	9	24	30	41	45	43	30
Cape Henry- Willoughby Pt.	2	2	2	4	4	4	5	4	4
01d Point- Tue Marsh Pt.	1	7	8	10	8	9	10	9	3
Back River	1	3	3	7	4	5	5	5	5
Poquoson R.	1	1	1	1	1	1	. 1	0	0
Mobjack Bay	1	2	2	5	8	11	9	8	11
New Point- Stingray Pt.	1	6	4	11	,14	16	19	20	17
Piankatank R.	0	0	0		-	4	4	4	4
Windmill Point- Smith Point	2	5	23	24	29	30	35	44	38
Great Wicomico R	. 0	0	3	3	4	7	3	3	3
Eastern Shore-N. Hungar Creek	- 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Eastern Shore-S. Hungar Creek	7	7	7		_19	25	_27	_27	<u>18</u>
Total	28	74	114	162	190	223	218	216	167

 $\{\gamma^{i}\}$

 $\left\{ \right)$

Table 1.1 Number of active pound net stands in Chesapeake Bay and its Virginia tributaries during Spring 1975

A.	River System	Number <u>1973</u>	of Gill Net Sta 1974 1	ands 1975	•
	James York Rappahannock	115 130 100	128 139 85	148 146 121	
в.	<u>River Mil</u>	Number of e Stands	Average Sectic Stand	ms/ Average Length/ Section	Total Feet
	James 10- 15- 20- 25- 30- 35-	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	27 18 17 25 18 11	30 30 30 30 30 30 30	19,440 35,640 15,300 2,250 10,260 1,650
	50- Tota	55 <u>1</u> als 148	7	30	$\frac{210}{84,750}$
	York 10- 15- 20- 25- Tota	15 39 20 41 25 32 39 <u>34</u> als 146	20 18 16 18	30 30 30 19	23,400 22,140 15,360 <u>11,628</u> 72,528
	Rappahan- 20-2 nock 25-3 30-3 35-4 40-4 45-5 50-5 55-6 60-6 Tota	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	19 19 18 25 21 12 7 10 3	50 50 38 38 38 38 38 30 30	5,700 26,600 20,700 19,950 11,970 6,384 1,862 1,800 90 95,056

Table 1.2 Number of stake gill net stands fished in Virginia rivers 1973-1975 (A) and average number of linear feet per five mile block (B) in 1975.

(a) Includes 6000 feet of anchor gill net converted to equivalent gill net stands.

ω

				-		
Half Month Period	River Mile	Male Index (lbs/Ft. of Net)	Male Estimated Catch	Female Index Lbs/Ft. of Net)	Female Estimated Catch	Total Estimated Catch
Feb. 2nd	10-15 15-20 20-25 25-30 30-35 35-40 50-55	.029	$564 \\ 1034 \\ 444 \\ 62 \\ 298 \\ 48 \\ - \frac{6}{3456}$.016	$ \begin{array}{r} 311\\ 470\\ 245\\ 36\\ 164\\ 26\\ \underline{3}\\ 1255\\ \end{array} $	875 1504 689 98 462 74 9 2711 7
Total			2456		1255	3/11
Mar. 1st	10-15 15-20 20-25 25-30 30-35 35-40	.257	4996 9159 3932 578 2637 424	.129	2508 4598 1974 290 1324 213	7504 13757 5906 868 3961 637
Total	50-55		$\frac{54}{21780}$		<u>27</u> 10934	<u>81</u> 32714
Mar. 2nd	10-15 15-20 20-25 25-30 30-35 35-40	.950	18468 33858 14535 2138 9747 1568	2.46	47822 87674 37638 5535 25240 4059	66290 121,532 52173 7673 34987 5627
Total	50-55		$\frac{200}{80514}$		<u>517</u> 208,485	717 288,999

Table 1.3 Estimated catch of American shad by stake gill nets above mile 10 for 5 mile section in the James River 1975 in 1bs., by half-month intervals and by sex. Effort from Table 1.2 (linear ft) X index = 1bs/ft of net.

Q

<u></u>	······································	Male		Female		
		Index	Male	Index	Fema1e	Total
Half Month	River	(Lbs/Ft.	Estimated	(Lbs/Ft.	Estimated	Estimated
Period	Mile	of Net)	Catch	of Net)	Catch	Catch
Apr. 1st	10-15 15-20 20-25 25-30 30-35 35-40	.409	7951 14577 6258 920 4196 675	2.11	41018 75200 32283 4748 21649 3482	48969 89777 38541 5668 25845 4157
Total	50-55		<u>86</u> 34663		<u> </u>	<u>529</u> 213,486
Apr. 2nd	10-15 15-20 20-25 25:30 30-35 35-40	.146	2838 5203 2234 329 1498 241	1.20	23328 42768 18360 2700 12312 1980	26166 47971 20594 3029 13810 2221
Total	50-55		$\frac{31}{12374}$	[]	$\frac{252}{101,700}$	<u>283</u> 114,074
May 1st	10-15 15-20 20-25 25-30 30-35 35-40			.009	175 321 138 20 92 15	175 321 138 20 92 15
Toto1	50-55				$\frac{2}{762}$	2
TULAL					105	705
Total by Sex Grand Total			151,787		501,960	653,747

Table 1.3 (continued)

(a) Includes 6000 feet of anchor gill net

		Male		Fema	le			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Half	Number Index	Total	Pounds/	Total	Pounds/	Tota1	Tota1	Pounds
Month	Stands	Pounds	Index	Pounds	Index Net	Stands	Male	Female
Period		Index Nets	Net	Index Nets				
Feb. 2nd	Γ٦	800	18.6	450	10.5	Γ]	2753	1554
Mar. 1st		7050	163.9	3550	82.6		24257	12225
Mar. 2nd	43 ^(a)	26076	606.4	67550	1570.9		89747	232493
Apr. 1st		11211	260.7	57965	1348.0	148	38584	199504
Apr. 2nd		4000	93.0	32850	763.9		13764	113057
May 1st		-		260	6.05			895
					Total Grand '	by Sex Total	<u>169105</u> 728	<u>559728</u> 3,833

Table 1.4 Estimated catch of American shad by stake gill nets above mile 10 in the James River 1975 using the 1974 method, by half-month intervals (in 1b.).

(a)Includes 6000 feet of anchor gill nets converted to equivalent stake gill net stands

Table 1.5. Estimated catch of American and hickory shad by stake gill nets above mile 10 in the York River, in 1b., by half-month intervals. Effort from Table 1.2 (linear ft) X index = lbs/ft of net.

Half Month Period	River Mile	Male Index (Lbs/Ft. of Net)	Male Estimated Catch	Female Index (Lbs/Ft. of Net)	Female Estimated Catch	Total Estimated Catch
American Shad				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Feb. 2nd	10-15 15-20 20-25 25-29	.0280	644 620 430 <u>326</u> 2020	.0405	948 897 622 <u>471</u> 2938	1592 1517 1052 4958
10041			2020		2,30	4730
Mar. 1st Total	10-15 15-20 20-25 25-29	.0976	2284 2161 1499 <u>1135</u> 7079	.2560	5990 5668 3932 <u>2977</u> 18567	8274 7829 5431 <u>4112</u> 25646
Mar. 2nd Total	10-15 15-20 20-25 25-29	.2024	4736 4481 3109 <u>2354</u> 14680	1.006	23540 22273 15452 <u>11698</u> 72963	28276 26754 18561 <u>14052</u> 87643
Apr. 1st	10-15 15-20 20-25 25-29	.0292	683 646 449 <u>340</u> 2118	1.151	26933 25483 17679 13384 83479	27616 26129 18128 13724 85597
			4 I I U		03475	1,000

Tab1	le 1	L.5	· (conti	inued)
			~ `		

Half Month Period	River Mile	Male Index (Lbs/Ft. of Net)	Male Estimated Catch	Female Index (Lbs/Ft. of Net)	Female Estimated Catch	Total Estimated Catch
Apr. 2nd Total Seasonal Tota	10-15 15-20 20-25 25-29	.0089	208 197 137 103 645 26542	.2875	6728 6365 4416 <u>3343</u> 20852 198 799	6936 6562 4553 <u>3446</u> 21497
Fish cut - Ro 72528 total f 2	e saved, t. of net	fish discarde x .5952 inde	d at net x 1bs. =		21584_	
_			26542		220,383	246,936

Table 1.J. (Continue	(b)	
----------------------	-----	--

<u>Hickory</u> Sh	nad -	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
	River Mile	Number Feet	Index Lb./Ft Male & Female Combined	Tota1
Mar. 1st	10-15 15-20 20-25 25-29	23,400 22,140 15,360 11,628	.0018	$42 \\ 40 \\ 28 \\ 21 \\ 131$
Mar. 2nd	10-15 15-20 20-25 25-29	23,400 22,140 15,360 11,628	.0137	321 303 210 <u>159</u> 993
Apr. 1st	10-15 15-20 20-25 25-29	23,400 22,140 15,360 11,628	.025	585 554 384 <u>291</u> 1814
Apr. 2nd	10-15 15-20 20-25 25-29	23,400 22,140 15,360 11,628	.0042	98 93 65 <u>49</u> 305
	<u></u>		Total	3243

t O

Table 1.6 Estimated catch of American and hickory shad by half-month intervals in 1b. by stake gill nets in the York River 1975 using the 1974 method. Nets above mile 10 not included.

York	Number of Index Stands	Total Index Male	Pounds Stands Total	Pound Stand Femal	s/Index e Total	Tota For	l Stands River	Tota For Male	l Pounds River Female	الليون من المراجع الم
<u>A. Ameri</u>	can shad			۰.						
Feb. 2nd Mar. 1st Mar. 2nd Apr. 1st Apr. 2nd	6	47 164 340 49 15	7.83 27.33 56.67 8.17 2.5	68 430 1690 1934 483	11.33 71.67 281.67 322.33 80.5		_46	114 399 827 119 36	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	
				Gr	Total and Total	by Se Tota L = 12	x 1 7,021+12;	<u>1496</u> 1 169 =	5 <u>112056</u> 27,021 139,190 ^a	
	Number Stands	Index	Total I Pounds	ndex	Pounds/Ir Stand	ndex [Fotal Sta For River	ands T c F	otal Pounds or River	
B. Hicko:	ry Shad									
Mar. 1st Mar. 2nd Apr. 1st Apr. 2nd	6		3 23 42 7		.5 3.83 7.0 1.16	33 57	146	fotal	73 560 1022 <u>170</u> 1825	

^aIncludes Cut Fish - Roe saved, fish discarded at net

Number of Index Stands	Pounds/ Index Stand	<u>Total</u>	Total Stands For River	Total Pounds For River
6	1000	166.7	73	12169
	Grand Tota	als by Sex	Male - 14,965	Female - 124,225

Tabl	e 1./.	interva	ls. Ne	ts below mi	le 10 no	t include	d			River	Herrin	g				
					Amer	cican Sha	d ale			Ale	wife	Blue	eback	Total Number	Number of	
Half	Month	Mile	Numbe Nets	r Index	Estimat Total	ed Index	Estima Total	ited Index	Estimate Total P	d ercent ^a	Estimat Total	Percent	Total	Nets Hauled	Index Nets	
Peru	<u>Ju</u>	11110									• •			0		
Feb.	2nd	10-30 31-55	4 3	53.3	160	1.67	5	93.33	280	100.09	280	-	-	3	3	
Mar.	lst	10-30 31-55	10 15	14.75	221	10.0	_ 150	600.0 136.25	6000 2044	99.5 99.5 ⁰	5970 2034	•5 •5 ^C	30 10	2 5	4 4	
Mar.	2nd	10-30 31-55	16 19	25.0 8.5	400 162	25.0 18.0	400 342	2665.5 75.0	42648 1425	73.2 97.0	31218 1382	26.8 3.0	11430 43	7 5	4 4	,
Apr.	lst	10-30 31-55	17 20	93.0 31.0	1581 620	6.25 22.75	106 455	4873.75	82854 13275	26.2 50.5	21708 6704	73.8 49.5	61146 6571	6 8	4	
Apr.	2nd	10-30 31-66	18 20	12.5	225 255	19.2	- 385	14506.25 1471.25	261,113 29425	19.0 38.4	49611 11299	81.0 61.6	211,501 18126	11 10	4	
May	lst	10-30 31-55	18 20	2.25 12.5	41 250	2.25 34.25	221 685	3918.75 1437.5	70538 28750	29.5 28.7	20809 8251	70.5 71.3	49729 20499	9 10	4	
May	2nd	10-30	15 12	2.25	. 34	2.0	24			•				12 1	· 4 4	
		52-55	1.00		3949	6700	2773	· .	538,342		159,266		379,085			
		•		Total		0122										

Table 1.7 Estimated catch of American shad and river herring by pound nets in the Rappahannock River 1975 in 1b., by half-month

.....

÷

Shad retailed at dock during March, April and May Mile Number Nets Index Total

10-30 ^b	10	250.0	2500 6722
. •		Crand Total	9222

1974 Rapp	ahannock P	ound Ne	E								· · · · ·		
107 . Tapp			Ameri	can Sha	ad			River	Herring		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Date	Number Nets	Fem Catch Index Nets	ales Estimated Catch	Ma Catch Index Nets	le Estimated Catch	Catch Index Nets	Estimated Catch	Ale	ewife Estimated t Total	Blue Percent	back Estimated Total	Total Number Days Index Nets Hauled	Number of Index Nets
Feb. 2nd	11	8.3	91	4.8	52	95.3	1049 •	100.0 ^C	1049	-	-	6	6
Mar. 1st	23	66.8	1537	239.5	5509	741.7	17059	94.6 [°]	16138	5.4 ^C	921	17	6
Mar. 2nd	37	73.3	2713	163.8	6062	3950.0	146,150	. 94.6	138,258	5.4 ^C	789,2	8	6
Apr. 1st	40	48.8	1953	131.7	5268	1300.0	52000	69.4 [¢]	36088	30.6 [¢]	15912	11	6
Apr. 2nd	40	53.3	2133	213.7	8547	691.7	27667	42.3	11703	57.7	15964	10	6
May lst	36	35.3	1272	45.5	1638 .	852.5	306,900	16.7	51252	83.3	255,648	11	6.
May 2nd	16	22.2	355	-	-	4166.7	66667	18.2	12133	81.8	54534	12	6
			10054		27309		617,492		266.721		350 781		

Table 1.7. (continued)

. ...

			•
Table	1.7.	(continu	ued)

1973 Rappat	annock Po	ound Net		v									······································	·· ···································	-
			America	n "Shad	• •	_	1999 - A. 1999 -	River	Herring		•	•		•	
``		.Fema	ale .	Mal	.e			Al.ew	ife	B1	ueback			. /	
Half Month Period	Number Nets	Catch Index Nets	Estimat Catch	Catch edIndex Nets	Estimated Catch	Catch 'Index Nets	Estimate Catch	ed Percer	Estimated Total Catch	Perce	Estimated Total nt Catch		Total Days Index Not Hauled	Number of Index Nets	
Feb. 2nd	5). .	-	-		12.3	62	100	62	-		•	2	6	
Mar. 1st Mar. 2nd	21 34	7.8 15.8	164 437	40.7 66.8	855 2271	6824.2 1195.3	143,308 40,641	100 92.5	143,308 _37,593	- 7.5	3,048		13 14	6 6	
Apr. 1st Apr. 2nd	3.6 37	75.5 27.3	2,718 1,010	30.5 23.7	1098 877	4324.7 11317.8	155,688 418,759	52.2 7.8	81,269 32,663	47.8 92.2	74,419 386,096		16 16	6 6	
May lst May 2nd	36 27	- 6.5	, _ 175	- 5.3	- 143	1974.2 11 97 .5	71,071 32,332	34.1 34.1	24,235 11,025	65.9 65.9	46,835 21,307		4 10	6 7	
			4604		5244		861,861		330,155		531,706			<u> </u>	-

(a) Percentage figures derived from commercial samples.
 (b) Figures are unavailable for fish retailed at the dock between miles 31-55.
 (c) Estimated percent

Table 1.8	Estimated catch of American and hickory shad by stake gill nets above mile 1	0.
	in the Rappahannock River 1975, in 1b., by half-month intervals.	
	Effort from Table 1.2 (linear ft) X index = lbs/ft of net.	

Rappahannock		Index Po	unds/Feet			Combined
American Shad	Mile	Male	Total	Female	Total	Total
Feb. 2nd Total	20-25 25-30 30-35 35-40 40-45 45-50 50-55 55-60 60-65	. 0048	$ \begin{array}{r} 27 \\ 128 \\ 99 \\ 96 \\ 57 \\ 31 \\ 9 \\ 9 \\ 43 \\ \overline{499} \\ \end{array} $.0082	47 218 170 164 98 52 15 15 15 74 853	74346269260155832424241171352
Mar. 1st Total	20-25 25-30 30-35 35-40 40-45 45-50 50-55 55-60 60-65	.0097	55 258 201 193 116 62 18 17 87 1007	.0129	7434326725715482242311225	129 601 468 450 270 144 42 40 88 2232
Mar. 2nd	20-25 25-30 30-35 35-40 40-45 45-50 50-55 55-60 60-65	.0519	296 1381 1074 1035 621 331 97 93 5	.2405	$ \begin{array}{r} 1371\\ 6397\\ 4978\\ 4798\\ 2879\\ 1535\\ 448\\ 433\\ 22\\ \hline 222 \hline 222 \hline \hline 222 \hline } $	1667 7778 6052 5833 3500 1866 545 526 27 27 7770 777 77
Total			4933		2286 L	27794

Table 1.8. (continued)

American Shad

Rappahannock		Index Pou	nds/Feet		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Combined
American Shad	Mile	Male	Total	Female	Total	Total
Apr. 1st Total	20-25 25-30 30-35 35-40 40-45 45-50 50-55 55-60 60-65	.0176	$ \begin{array}{r} 100 \\ 468 \\ 364 \\ 351 \\ 211 \\ 112 \\ 33 \\ 32 \\ \underline{2} \\ 1673 \\ \end{array} $.1711	975455135423413204810923193081516263	$ \begin{array}{r} 1075 \\ 5019 \\ 3906 \\ 3764 \\ 2259 \\ 1204 \\ 352 \\ 340 \\ \underline{17} \\ 16936 \\ \end{array} $
Apr. 2nd Total Sub Total Grand Total	20-25 25-30 30-35 35-40 40-45 45-50 50-55 55-60 60-65	.0046	26 122 95 92 55 29 9 8 <u>41</u> 477 8589	62075	736 3437 2675 2578 1547 825 241 233 12 12284 53486	762 3559 2770 2670 1602 854 250 241 53 12761

 \bigcirc

55 e -

`20

d.

Table 1.8. (continued)

.

ु

Hickory Shad

...

Mile	Index	Total	Index	Total	Index	Total
	2nd Mar.	2nd Mar.	1st Apr.	1st Apr.	2nd Apr.	2nd Apr.
20-25 25-30 30-35 35-40 40-45 45-50 50-55 55-60 60-65	.0041	23 109 85 82 49 26 8 7 <u>37</u> 389	.0184	$ \begin{array}{r} 105 \\ 489 \\ 381 \\ 367 \\ 220 \\ 117 \\ 34 \\ 33 \\ \underline{2} \\ 1748 \\ \end{array} $.0095	54 253 197 190 114 61 18 17 <u>86</u> 905

0

٢.,

Grand Total 3052

Table 1.9 Estimated catch of American and hickory shad by stake nets above mile 10 in the Rappahannock River 1975, in 1b., by half-month intervals. Computed with the 1974 method.

American <u>Shad</u>	Number Index <u>Stands</u>	Total Pounds <u>Index Stand</u> <u>Male Total</u>	Pounds Stand Female	s/Index <u>Total</u>	Total Sta For River	nds -	Total <u>For Ri</u> <u>Male</u>	Pounds ver Female	
Feb. 2nd Mar. 1st Mar. 2nd Apr. 1st Apr. 2nd	14	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	104 162 3030 2156 1628	7.43 11.57 216.43 154 116.28	121	Total	519 1054 5652 1919 501 9645	899 1400 26188 18684 14071 61192	
					1 /	<u></u>	70	837	
Hickory Shad	Index <u>Stands</u>	Iotal Poun Index Stand	ds/	Average P Index Stand	ounds/ <u>S</u>	otal tands	T F	otal Pounds or River	
Mar. 2nd Apr. 1st Apr. 2nd	[14]	52 232 120		3.7 16.5 8.5	1 7 7	[121]		449 2005 1037	
							Total	3491	***************************************
Table 1.10 Total catch of American shad, hickory shad, and river herring by stake gill nets and pound nets in the Potomac River 1975 in **1b**.

A. Stake Gill	Nets		
•	Americ	an Shad	Hickory Shad
<u>Months</u>	<u>Female</u>	<u>Male</u>	
January	0	230	0
February	275	135	0
March	6,061	3,425	13
April	77,058	14,255	211
May	6,787	257	30
Total	90,181	18,302	254
Grand Total	108,	483	254

B. Pound Nets

	America	an Shad	River H	erring
Months	Female	Male	<u>Alewife</u>	Blueback
January	0	0	10	0
February	0	0	111	0
March	89	161	18,421	688
Apri1	1 <u>,</u> 174	438	182,588	408,311
May	936	6,374	579,436	3,389,306
June	4	586	62,450	718,174
Total	2203	7,559	843,016	4,516,479
Grand Total	9,	762	5,3	59,495

Job 2. Population Dynamics of Adults

Summary for Job 2.

4.

- 1. Samples of the commercial catch of alosines in Virginia were taken by project personnel during the spring spawning run. Scales and basic measurements were taken from over 7 thousand fish.
- 2. Age four alewife and blueback (virgin adults) made up 68.2 to 82.6% of the ages in the spawning run.
- 3. A ten year summary of river herring age composition data has shown a dramatic change to extreme dominance by four and five year olds over the period 1966 to 1975.
 - Age four American shad made up 24.3 to 39.1% of the spawning run of shad in various rivers.
- 5. Alewife and blueback had a stronger yearclass in 1970 than 1971, yet the age four fish in 1974 and 1975 did not indicate there was any difference between the yearclasses. The expected recruitment pulse was not evident in 1974 and could not be followed with age composition data in 1975.
- 6. American shad also had a stronger yearclass in 1970 than 1971, and this produced age four fish in 1974 which continued through the fishery and depressed the relative contribution of age fours in 1975.
- 7. River herring samples from the Potomac had nearly the same average lengths in 1975 as 1974, but their weight has not recovered to 1966-68 or 1971-73 levels.
- 8. The foreign fleets took 20% of the 1974 river herring catch in ICNAF area 6, down 4% from 1973.
- 9. The strong 1975 blueback yearclass may or may not be recruited in 1979 proportional to original numbers due to offshore fishing mortality between 1977 and 1979.
- 10. The domestic fishery for alewife and blueback has been declining since 1968 with little reduction in domestic fishing effort. The offshore fleets have expanded and taken a sizeable percentage of total landings. The population attributes we have been able to measure indicate the total impact of the combined inshore and offshore fishery has caused dramatic changes in age composition, reduction in landings, decreases in average fish weight and length, and interruption of recruitment of strong yearclasses.

1975 Spawning Run.

Adult alewife, blueback and American shad were sampled semi-monthly from February to June 1975. If available, at least 50 specimens from each species were selected for scale samples, length, and weight within each two week period. The specimens were sexed, blueback gonads saved for fecundity analysis, and the scales made into plastic impressions. Over the entire spawning season, 2,315 alewife, 4,268 blueback, and 918 shad were sampled.

Alewife began entering the major tributaries to Chesapeake Bay during the latter half of February. Blueback and American shad usually enter later, although some shad specimens were gathered in February. The timing and species sequence of the spawning migration in 1975 (Table 2.1) appeared normal but the run was longer than usual after April. Large numbers of all three species were available for sampling from the second half of March to late April. American shad adults were not available at the Potomac River sampling sites in 1975, despite 15 contacts with pound net fishermen at two sites where the river herring samples were collected.

The sex ratio of alewife approximated 1:1 in the Potomac, York, and Rappahannock but was 3:1 (males to females) in samples from the James River. The sex ratio of blueback was 1:1 from the Potomac but 1.8:1 in the James, 1.6:1 in the York, and 1.2:1 in the Rappahannock. The sex ratio cannot be computed for shad because the fishermen often discard males at the net. The sex ratio for alewife and blueback did not show any pronounced seasonal changes during the spawning season (Table 2.1) although males tended to dominate the earliest samples in some rivers.

Age Composition

5

Comparison of age composition between years can give information useful to understanding the population biology of fish that are available for sampling at limited periods. Under conditions of stable yearclass strength and recruitment, the percentage age composition of spawners in the domestic fishery will be stable if fishing effort remains stable. If any of the influences on age composition are measured, then reasons can be advanced for instability of other parameters. Also, if age composition remains stable but other data indicate it should not be, then unusual events may have altered the expected pattern.

The 1971 yearclass of alewife which returned as age fours in 1975, was much smaller (1/3) than the 1970 yearclass (see Job 3). One would expect the age four contribution to the 1975 catch to be less than in 1974, as the stronger 1970 yearclass continues through the fishery. Age four alewife made up 69.7% of the 1975 York catch, 76.1% of the James, 80.7% of the Potomac, and 82.6% of the Rappahannock (Table 2.2). The same rivers had 71.2, 57.5, 86.5, and 60.9% as age four alewife in 1974. For all rivers combined, age four alewife made up 77.3% in 1975 and 69.0% in 1974 of fish samples from the Virginia fishery. The 1971 yearclass was only 37% the size of the 1970 yearclass, which should have produced age four percentages much lower than typical in 1975.

The 1975 blueback catch was composed of 81.4% age fours in the James, 68.2% from the York, 85.4% from the Rappahannock, and 85.4% from the Potomac (Table 2.2). The blueback age composition in 1974 had a lower percentage of age fours than in 1975 except in the Rappahannock. For all rivers combined, age four blueback made up 80.1% in 1975 and 66.6% in 1974 of fish taken in the domestic fishery. As with alewife, the 1970 yearclass of blueback was stronger (1.6 times) than 1971, but did not provide a pulse that could be followed beyond 1974. Alewife and blueback appear to have been subjected to unusual fishing pressures before recruitment to the domestic fishery.

The age composition of river herring in the domestic fishery has changed to a greater reliance on younger fish and fewer age groups over the period 1966 through 1975 (Table 2.3). From 1966 to 1968 age five and older alewife averaged 48.8% of the river landings, but only 28.0% were five or more from 1973-75. Blueback older than four averaged 59.4% of the catch 1966 to 1968 but only 38.6% from 1973-75 inclusive. This dramatic change in age composition seems to be a direct result of oceanic fishing mortality increasing steadily after 1968. In 1967 the foreign fleets took 4% of reported landings, 5% in 1968, and it increased to 44% by 1971 (Table 2.4).

American shad usually enter the river fishery at age four and survivors return in subsequent years. The James in 1974 had 56.9% age four shad and 17.3% age five. In 1975 age fours made up 24.3% and age fives 44.9%. The 1970 yearclass of shad in the James was larger (3.4 times) than the 1971 yearclass. The York River spawning run of shad contained 67.2% age fours in 1974 and 39.1% age fours in 1975. The 1970 yearclass was 1.5 times the size of the 1971 yearclass.

The 1975 shad run confirmed our expectations that the 1971 yearclass would yield fewer age four fish than the 1970 yearclass yielded in 1974. Yearclass strength fell 71% between 1970 and 1971 in the James and four years later landings fell 52% from 1974 to 1975. Yearclass strength fell 47% between 1970 and 1971 in the York and four years later landings fell 38% from 1974 to 1975. The relative change was not perfect (1:1) but factors such as abundance of other age groups in the landings, variations in the estimated landings, and variation of yearclass strength measurements all contribute to uncertainty. Each percentage change of one in yearclass strength produced a percentage change of 0.81 in landings four years later in the James, and 0.73 in the York; a remarkable similarity which, if quantified over more years, could have great usefulness to management.

After leaving the freshwater nursery in early fall the juveniles migrate to sea. Here river herring (alewife and blueback) are taken by the foreign fleets but shad are seldom taken. It is possible that because of this uneven fishing pressure, successful yearclasses of alewife and blueback would be taken at sea in numbers sufficient to upset the normal expectation that stronger yearclasses will provide more recruits to the domestic fishery than weaker yearclasses. Our analysis, though limited, indicates shad have followed theoretical population responses while river herring have not.

Length and Weight of Spawning Adults

Alewife and blueback samples from the Potomac and Rappahannock rivers did not change in average length throughout the spawning season (Table 2.5). Males and females of both species had near identical average lengths. Potomac alewife averaged 241.5 mm (sexes combined) and Rappahannock alewife 242.1 mm fork length. Potomac River blueback averaged 237.8 mm and Rappahannock River blueback 240.4 mm fork length. Female American shad were longer than males in the Rappahannock, usually by 30 to 50 mm.

Alewife and blueback from the Potomac averaged nearly the same length in 1975 as in 1974. In 1969 the average length of both species in the domestic fishery fell sharply (Figure 2.1). This was concurrent to the large landings taken by the foreign fleets in early 1969 in ICNAF area 6. Since 1969 the average length of both species has recovered to normal levels. This could indicate that the offshore fishery has reduced its take of these species relative to the domestic fishery and/or that the offshore fishery is now working on a thinned population which has the same basic population attributes (except fishing mortality) as prior to foreign fishing.

The average weight of alewife and blueback has not recovered to 1970-73 levels in the Potomac River (Fig. 2.2). Blueback in 1974 were the lightest ever sampled. Alewife were somewhat heavier than in 1974 but still near the 1969 low. Smaller river herring in the run indicates continuation of heavy adult fishing pressure. The current domestic yield is made up of more fish per ton than several years ago, and this fact alone has greater stock consequences than previously. If the 1975 fish were "normal" in weight, the Virginia landings would have been approximately 10% higher with no increase in numbers.

If offshore fishing pressure on river herring were to decrease, we should witness an increase in length and weight because older members would make up a greater proportion of the available stock. Fishing mortality data are not available for the domestic or foreign fishery at this time; thus stock changes based on yield per recruit calculations must be postponed.

Landings of the Foreign Fleet in Area 6 of ICNAF

The foreign fleet began fishing off the shore of Virginia, Delaware, and North Carolina in 1967; but only the USSR, East Germany, Bulgaria, and Poland have taken appreciable quantities of river herring. These countries take other species far in excess of the river herring, but their take of river herring has been substantial compared to the river herring landings of the domestic fishery (Fig. 2.3).

Alewife and blueback herring are not separated in the catch of the foreign fleet or the domestic fishery. Thus all ICNAF landing statistics and all NMFS statistics report the two species under the general category of alewife.

Statistical area 6 of ICNAF includes all continental shelf waters from eastern Long Island, south to Cape Hatteras(1). Section B of area 6 (Cape May, New Jersey south to Cape Henry, Virginia and east to 70°W. longitude)(1) includes the primary zone of river herring landings. From January to May the offshore fleets take river herring east of the Virginia Capes and the Delmarva Peninsula. These fish would normally return to spawn in the tidal freshwaters of Virginia and other middle Atlantic states.

In 1968 the foreign fleet took 5% of the total reported river herring landings in area 6. By 1971 the foreign landings had increased to 44% of the total (Table 2.4). After 1971 the percentage taken by non-US fishermen began a downward trend, and was 20% in 1974.

The USSR took 22 million 1b. of river herring in 1969 and 13 million in 1970. After 1970 the Soviet Union took far less but East Germany (then not a member of ICNAF) entered the offshore fishery, taking 13 million 1b. of river herring in 1971. East Germany then became the dominant foreign nation fishing for river herring.

(1) Approximate boundaries.

Determination of the impact of the foreign fleet on the population size and fluctuations of the anadromous clupeids in Virginia waters is a goal of our program. Without scientific data from the fish captured at sea we are forced to draw conclusions only from commercial statistics and our research program in Virginia waters. These data sets have shown substantial foreign impact on the total resource.

The recent history of landings, changes in basic vital statistics, decline in yearclass strength, and failure of moderately successful yearclasses to enter the domestic fishery in amounts anticipated, indicates the river herring have been overexploited. The exploitation has been on adults as well as immature fish. The catch of the foreign fleets has lowered domestic landings and altered age composition of the spawning run.

The river herring fishery in Virginia has not recovered from the impact of high combined domestic and foreign landings in 1969 and 1970 (Fig. 2.3). The fishing pressure by the offshore fleets may currently be low enough to allow recovery to previous population levels. Several years are required before the benefits of reduced effort will accrue to the fishery. If the strong 1975 yearclass of blueback (see Job 3) is not fished heavily at sea before spawning, the next good river herring year will be 1979.



Alewife, Alosa pseudoharengus, adult









Fig. 2.3. Landings of river herring (alewife and blueback combined) in Virginia from lower للى Chesapeake Bay and tributaries, total foreign fleet catch and USSR catch in the N Middle Atlantic Bight.

River and half month	Ale Males	ewife Females	<u>Blue</u> <u>Males</u>	eback Females	Amer: Males	ican Shad Females	-
James February 2nd	22	3	1		4	5	allen 1979 von La versener
March lst 2nd	75 84	16 29 .	6 64	20	25 66	35 34	
April lst 2nd	40 78	21 25	107 78	40 5 3	50 ° 20	72 88	•
May lst 2nd	42 6	17 1	114 74	84 41	2	17 1	
Chickahominy April 2nd		·	69	74		, .	
May lst			200	99			
Rappahannock February							
lst 2nd	15	3	•		28	22	
March lst 2nd	108 111	80 96	43	11	17 58	6 41	
April lst 2nd	56 100	52 53	123 244	89 179	18 26	54 4 3	
May lst 2nd	69 61	68 128	126 51	174 49			
June lst 2nd	41 43	66 57	112 17	67 14			

()

()

Ö

Table 2.1. Summary of alosine collections¹ gathered by project personnel during the 1975 spawning run in major Virginia tributaries to Chesapeake Bay.

River and	Al	ewife	Blu	eback	Amer	ican Shad
half month	Males	Females	Males	Females	Males	Females
York February 2nd	14	3			19	17
March lst 2nd	13 70	12 55	40	6	1 26	2 24
April lst 2nd	17	26 1	64 178	50 108	1	55 37
May lst			54	46		
Potomac March lst 2nd	75	31	2	2		
April lst 2nd	46	58	108	125		
May lst 2nd	18 16	24 26	78 95	111 105	1	
June lst 2nd	14	25 8	152 102	148 68	1	
July lst	42	53	92	108		
Grand Total	s 1,278	1,037	2,395	1,873	365	553

Table 2.1. (continued)

()

()

(.)

 $\begin{pmatrix} & \\ & \end{pmatrix}$

(1) Includes only fish from which biological data were taken.

•

Table 2.2. (continued).

٠

					Alewif	e							Blueba	ick						Am	ericar	Shad			
River				Nu	mber at	. Age			Total			• Nu	mber a	t Age		•	Total			Nu	mber a	t Age			Total
1747-247-		3	4	5	6	<u>7</u>	8	9		3	4	. 5	<u>2</u>	7	8	9		3	4	5	6	7_	8	9	 ,
Rappal	annock																						·		
	2a - M	1)	13	2																		·			
	2a-F		3																						
	2b-M												•					1.	21	5	1	• •			
	2b-F																		20	2					
	3a-M	10	34	7							1				- '			1	15	1					
	3a - F		38	7	2								•						4	- 2					
	3b-M		46	25	3 .						35	6							22	23	9	2			
	3b-F	1	41	18	5	4					10	1							17	14	8	2			
	4a-M		48	. 7							67	8	3					1	15	1	1				
	4a-F		38	6							56	5	1					1	38	. 10	1	1			
	4b-M		87	6	1		1			1	116	18	1	1				4	16	5					
	4b-F		49	2							84	10							31	7	2				
	5a-M	1	57	8	1	1				3	59	8	. 1												
	Sa-F	ī	49	11				•		1	65	11													
	5b-M	-	28	1							42	2	1												
	5b-F	2	53	. 9	2						35	4	2												
	6a-M		36	ĩ	-						52	14	5												
	6a-F		60	ĩ							17	4	2	l											
	6b-M		18	1							16		-	-											
	6b-F		18	4							13														
	Total	15	716	116	14	5	1		86	7 5	668	91	16	2	······		782	8	199	70	22	<u> </u>			304
	. %	1.7	82.6	13.4	1.6	0.6	0 . 1			0.6	85.4	11.6	2.0	0.3				2.6	65.5	23.0	7.2	1.6		•	501
Potom																									
FULUM	ζM	0	9 E -	۵							2														
	- 7F	7	23	с С							2	2													
	Ja-r Ab-M	2	77	6							55	14													
	4D-M	2	15	7							60	10	z	. 0	1										
	4D-F C - M		10	'	· 1						21	10	1	2	-										
		-	12	<u>د</u>	1	7					· 43	, c	2	4											
	Da Tr		10	2	L	5					40	2	2	٦					1						
	רש בי		12								· 70	2		L					1.						
	SD-F		21	2							29														
	ba-M		1.5	-7							65	2		-											
	6a-F		. 11	3					•		6/	5		. 1											
	60-M		· 2								62	2													
	6D-F	_	4	_							29	- 5													
	7a-M	1	28	2							32	10	_												
	7a-F	1	35	5						· .	39	15	<u> </u>	1				-							
	Total	. 9_	268	50	2	3			33	2 ·	572	83	7	7	1		670	-	1						· 1
	%.	2.7	80.7	15.1	0.6	0.9					85.4	12.4	1.0	1.0	0.1									•	
Specie	c Coard	1 70+	- 1					•	1 77	5							0 400								000
specre	s oralic	100	ar		<i></i>			•	1,11	<u>_</u>							2,422								896

ډ .

٠,

(1.) Numbers indicate month of sample, letters (a & b) indicate first or second half of month;

•

M = male fish and F = female.

ŝ

					Alewii	fe						•	Blueba	ck						Ал	erican	Shad			
River				Nun	nber a	t Age			Total			Nu	mber a	t Age		·	Tota	L		Nu	mber a	t Age		_	Total
		3	4	5	6	7	8	9		3	4	<u>5</u>	5	<u>7</u>	8	9		3	· <u>4</u>	5	6	7	8	9	
James	2b-M ⁽¹ 2b-F 3a-M 3a-F	1)	20 3 33 9	1 20 3	1 12 1	1	2	1			1 5	· 1	-						1 17 29	2 3 7 2	1	1 1 1 3			
	3b-M 3b-F 4a-M 4a-F		59 25 32 16	12 2 5 4	2 1 1	2	ï				56 17 69 17	5 2 7 3	1	1	1	•	•	1	10 15 1	35 14 5 53	9 1 6 41	6 2 3 7	1 2 1	2	
	4b-M 4b-F 5a-M 5a-F 5b-M		46 15 31 13	4 4 6 3 4	1 1	1		·			41 29 41 38 30	· 4 7 9 16	2	1	1				- 4 1 5	4 55 1 3 1	22 4	5	2	1	;
Chicka	5b-F Total % hominy	1 0.2	1 305 76.1	68 17.0	20 4.9	3 [.] 0.7	3 0.7	1 0.2	401	8	17 361 1.4	64 14.4	1 8 1.8	3 8 1.8	2		443	0.2	100 24.3	185 44.9	85 20.6	31 7.5	6 1.4	1 4 1.0	412
·	4b-M 4b-F 5a-M 5a-F Total %						-			7	23 17 79 22 141 1.6	2 6 10 8 26 13.2	1 14 4 20 10.2	5 2 7 3.6	2 1 3 1.5	: :	197								
York	2b-M 2b-F 3a-M	1	6 1 6	5 2 5	3								×						16 2	341	10		1		
	3b-M 3b-F 4a-M	1 1	43 23 16	4 13	4 4 1		1				27 4 40	7 2 14	2	_					1 2 1	17 12	6 4	2 5	1		
	4a-F 4b-M 4b-F 5a-M 5a-F		22 1	L	1 •	•			· ·		21 83 44 6	12 13 3 24	3 3 3 3		-	•			16 32	31	7 1	·			
	Total %	3 1.7	124 69.7	35 19.7	15 8.4	0	1		178	6	225 8.2	89 27.0	15 4.5	1 0.3			3.30		70 39.1	72 40.2	28 15.6	7.3.9	2 1.1		179

Table 2.2. Age composition of alosine fishes sampled from the commercial fishery of Virginia in spring 1975

		Perc	ent	at ag	e, se	asonal	avera	age
Alguifa Dotomac	TTT	T 17	\$7	57T	<u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>	1 77777	τv	Percent age
Alewine-Potomac		<u> </u>	V	VT	VII	VIII		v and older
1966 1967 1968 1969 1970 1971 1972 1973 1974	18.6 9.3 2.2 26.6 7.3 2.6 12.4 2.4	42.2 26.2 41.3 48.8 66.0 32.4 30.2 59.4 86.5	17.6 30.0 31.5 11.1 16.7 53.0 22.1 22.7 9.0	19.6 21.5 26.1 13.3 4.2 10.0 24.2 8.2 2.7	2.0 7.5 1.0 2.1 2.0 6.8 5.3 1.8	4.7 2.1 3.9 1.9	1.0 2.4 2.1 0.4	39.2 63.7 57.6 24.4 41.5 65.0 57.4 38.1 13.5
1975	2.7	80.7	15.1	0.6	0.9			16.6
Average	9.3	51.4	22.9	13.0	3.3	3.2	1.5	
Alewife-Rappahannock								
1966 1967 1968 1969 1970 1971 1972 1973 1974 1975	25.2 14.8 8.8 10.2 4.8 2.6 4.4 0.6 0.2 1.7	36.1 52.0 33.2 41.6 40.5 29.5 27.3 53.8 60.9 82.6	17.4 19.4 39.3 23.5 31.2 49.3 30.3 26.4 27.1 13.4	15.6 6.6 12.6 18.4 13.2 15.5 25.6 12.6 8.6 1.6	4.3 6.1 5.2 4.0 8.5 2.4 9.0 5.2 0.5 0.6	1.3 1.0 0.8 1.8 0.7 3.0 1.2 2.4 0.1	0.8 1.6 0.4 0.2	38.6 33.1 57.9 48.3 54.7 67.9 68.3 45.6 38.6 15.7
Average Average of both river	7.3 rs 9.6	45.8 47.8	27.7 25.0	13.0 12.7	4.6 4.0	1.4 1.9	0.8 1.1	
Blueback-Potomac								
1966 1967 1968 1969 1970 1971 1972 1973 1974 1975	4.0 1.6 1.0 8.6 1.2 0.6 0.3 1.5 0.2	34.6 45.1 29.1 50.5 66.0 43.4 40.0 38.9 60.9 85.4	30.5 28.0 39.5 27.9 18.2 45.4 31.0 32.5 27.1 12.4	23.0 17.4 21.5 8.2 11.0 9.7 22.2 15.5 8.6 1.0	$\begin{array}{c} 6.0\\ 6.9\\ 7.2\\ 4.8\\ 2.1\\ 0.6\\ 5.1\\ 3.1\\ 0.5\\ 1.0\\ \end{array}$	1.7 1.0 1.3 1.5 0.3 1.5 1.5 2.4 0.2	0.4	61.2 53.3 69.9 40.9 32.8 56.0 59.8 52.6 38.6 14.5
Average	2.2	52.7	32.0	15.1	4.0	1.4	0.4	

 $(\tilde{})$

()

É.

 \mathbb{C}

 \bigcirc

Table 2.3. Summary of age frequency data for alewife and blueback herring from the Potomac and Rappahannock commercial fishery.

Table 2.3 (continued).

Ć

 $\langle \cdot \rangle$

٣

()

		Perc	ent	at ag	e, se	asonal	avera	ge
Blueback-Rappahannock	III	IV	V	VI	VII	VIII	IX	Percent age V and older
1966 1967 1968 1969 1970 1971 1972 1973 1974	11.8 4.0 5.8 6.7 2.1 0.5 0.4 0.2	28.2 29.1 49.4 52.5 54.5 35.7 30.1 48.9 43.1	36.5 38.0 26.2 25.4 32.0 53.0 42.4 30.9 34.9	17.6 19.4 10.0 9.2 8.3 9.0 19.5 15.4 15.7	3.5 7.7 6.9 3.5 1.7 1.6 6.8 3.7 4.6	2.4 1.6 1.2 2.5 1.4 0.1 1.2 0.6 1.3	0.4 0.4 0.1	60.0 66.7 44.7 41.0 43.4 63.8 69.9 50.6 56.5
Average Average of both river	3.6 s 2.9	45.7 49.2	33.1 32.5	12.6 13.9	4.0 4.0	1.4 1.4	0.3	. 13.8
Alewife, both rivers average for 1966-68 average for 1973-75	13.1 1.3	38.5 70.7	25.9 18.9	17.0 5.7	4.4 2.4	1.2 0.9	0.3 0.1	48.8 28.0
Blueback, both rivers average for 1966-68 average for 1973-75	4.7 0.6	35.9 60.7	33.1 25.2	18.2 9.9	6.4 2.4	1.6 1.1	0.1	59.4 38.6

	Counci	INSHORE			or pounds,	OFFSHORE				
,	<u>Virginia</u>	North Carolina	Total U.S.A.	U.S.S.R.	East Germany	Bulgaria	Poland	Total Foreign	Total All Countries	Foreign Catch As Percent of Total Catch
1966 ¹	29,061	12,522	46,689			•			46,689	
1967	28,166	18,489	48,944	2,163				2,163 -	51,107	• 4
1968	32,326	15,529	52,137	2,370	278			2,648	54,785	5
1969	30,454	19,766	53,686	22,884		1,257		24,141	77,827	31
1970	15,051	11,523	32,822	13,126		1,645		14,771	47,593	31
1971	10,287	12,665	26,012	5,015	12,773	1,160	1,806	20,765	46,777	44
1972	11,175	11,197	23,389	4,515	5,227	322	897	10,961	34,350	32
1973	8,942	7,901	16,843	1,764	2,284	615	745	5,408	22,251	24
1974	13,342	6,210	19,552	525	3,514	1,265	908	6, 464	32,450	20
1975	11,357	5,952	17,309							
1966- 1969 Average	30,002	16,576	50,364							
1971- 1975 Average	11,021	8,785	, 20,623	·						
1966- 1971 Average									54,130	

 Table 2.4. Catch of river herring (alewife and blueback) in the inshore fishery and in ICNAF area 6 by various countries. Catch is in thousands of pounds, round weight.
 area 6 by various

¹ First year of . area 6 ICNAF statistics

Table 2.5.	Basic population attributes of the anadrom	ous alosids in the major tributaries	from the 1975 spawning run.	Fork length in millimeters and	weight in
	grams; SD = standard deviation.				

. • .

							Alewife									Blueba	ick				
				Male					Female	:				Male					Female		· · ·
		Number	Mean	_	Mean		Number	Mean		Mean		Number	Mean	_	Mean		Number	Mean		Mean	
River M	lonth	Sampled	Weight	SD	Length	SD	Sampled	Weight	SD	Length	SD	Sampled	Weight	SD	Length	SD	Sampled	Weight	SD	Length	SD
James	2b 3a 3b 4a 4b 5a	1) 22 75 84 40 78 42	251.5 232.9 224.7 217.4 215.4 199.4	25.92 37.02 36.05 24.63 32.62 28.57	249.7 244.2 244.5 239.8 239.8 239.7	7.19 12.24 10.97 8.75 10.20 11.82	3 16 29 21 25 17	268.3 290.4 273.1 282.8 247.4 230.6	11.01 46.69 57.34 57.15 42.37 28.31	257.0 259.3 256.4 254.6 250.0 250.8	7.54 10.52 14.05 14.50 11.80 8.93	1 64 107 78 114 72	148.0 177.2 178.8 194.3 181.9 166.8	19.43 29.42 29.32 30.02 21.52	250 232.2 235.8 236.7 237.4 232.3	5.08 10.63 9.69 10.32 9.46	20 40 53 84	214.2 213.1 218.0 206.7	33.90 28.47 38.37 36.67	248.1 244.1 248.7 244.2	13.51 9.04 10.38 11.46
Total M&F Combine	d d	325 460	232.2	43.55	254.3	12.33	102	229.0		243.0		463 682	187.7	34.67	241.0	11.71	$\frac{41}{241}$	101.4	20./9	202.4	11.39
<u>York</u>	2b 3a 3b 4a 4b 5a	14 13 70 17 1	246.7 223.5 207.1 205.8 176.0	28.86 38.38 36.22 34.79	254.6 242.6 236.4 240.5 247.0	13.17 13.30 10.67 13.97	3 12 55 26	273.0 270.4 277.6 263.9	21.17 32.36 40.11 31.10	255.7 253.7 256.3 257.8	2.89 11.67 11.09 8.33	40 64 178 54	190.1 190.8 206.9	23.91 23.48 139.88 18.82	236.7 237.8 238.3 241.0	8.55 8.46 8.54	6 50 108 46	206.7 226.6 229.5 220.7	45.20 39.86 28.08 25.36	243.2 249.7 249.1	15.87 12.19 8.32
Total M&F Combine	d	115 212	240.3	47.24	247.4	14.28	96					336 547	206.0	31.64	242.6	10.08	$\frac{40}{210}$		23.30	249.0	0.07
Rappahannoc	<u>k</u> 2a 2b	15	218.7	24.63	238.4	14.74	3	235	26.63	242.8	7.02										
Total M&F Combine	3a 3b 4a 4b 5a 5b 6a 6b	107 111 55 99 61 41 <u>43</u> 586 1,185	212.8 213.8 207.0 184.5 166.0 149.6 154.9 140.1 198.5	37.58 35.21 34.98 35.15 27.78 23.91 16.70 19.57 51.70	238.8 239.8 238.2 236.9 234.9 231.8 235.8 235.8 233.4 242.1	12.23 11.13 12.08 11.22 13.07 10.99 9.43 13.12 14.72	81 96 52 52 68 128 66 57 600	267.1 268.8 249.9 213.4 186.2 169.0 170.9 159.8	50.58 48.47 33.98 39.05 37.93 27.41 21.23 22.54	253.7 254.1 252.3 245.7 244.1 241.4 246.1 246.4	13.78 13.85 9.77 11.58 11.94 12.75 9.50 14.43	1 43 121 244 126 50 112 17 714 1,294	169.0 184.6 186.9 179.4 162.3 146.3 153.1 135.9 180.9	22.90 28.02 30.21 30.73 22.12 26.76 18.29 37.70	2:9.0 235.1 237.4 234.3 233.3 235.6 239.4 237.2 240.4	10.01 11.58 10.84 11.44 9.72 12.01 8.96 12.34	11 87 177 174 49 67 <u>14</u> 579	198.3 217.8 213.1 179.0 174.0 173.0 150.5	19.24 37.51 33.98 36.94 45.97 26.99 18.42	242.7 247.4 245.8 244.6 248.4 249.1 249.6	7.75 11.08 10.29 12.10 13.53 11.01 9.73
Potomac Total M&F Combined	3a 4b 5a 5b 6a 6b 7a	75 46 18 16 14 2 42 213 438	201.8 200.6 172.0 155.2 152.4 143.5 133.1 187.5	33.48 33.44 25.44 15.42 21.96 19.67 47.79	236.7 235.2 242.9 237.2 236.2 238.0 232.0 241.5	11.12 10.86 12.68 7.47 9.18 21.00 13.10	31 58 24 26 25 8 <u>53</u> 225	235.9 250.0 199.8 171.6 159.9 158.8 147.3	43.32 40.51 40.63 17.81 17.74 14.67 17.36	244.1 250.1 250.3 247.2 243.9 253.0 243.0	13.09 8.94 13.47 8.86 7.70 8.81 9.43	2 107 78 95 152 102 <u>92</u> 536 1,296	160.0 189.4 166.9 136.9 137.9 133.2 121.9 160.0	25.11 23.76 17.44 19.40 22.02 17.84	246.5 237.1 238.4 1 236.7 234.1 235.5 1 205.7 4 237.8 2	8.51 0.18 9.39 9.45 8.42 4.01 23.17	2 126 111 105 148 68 <u>108</u> 560	195.0 226.0 186.8 153.5 160.5 151.0 141.9	33.66 35.34 22.13 21.72 23.49 21.90	245.0 249.6 245.9 246.2 246.7 250.3 223.6	10.42 9.71 9.36 16.58 15.43 42.15
Chickahominy Total M&F Combined	y 4b 5a 1	·• _	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	69 <u>200</u> 269 442	188.7 182.0 199.2	28.37 30.30 42.64	233.4 1 237.6 1 241.1 1	.0.24 .2.21 .5.00	74 <u>99</u> 173	247.1 205.5	52.74 35.54	250.0 246.8	15.0 <u>8</u> 17.89

Table 2.5 (continued).

						Americ	an Shad		•		
				Male	· · · ·				Female		
		Number	Mean		Mean		Number	Mean		Mean	
River	Mon th	Sampled	Weight	SD	Length	SD	Sampled	Weight	SD	Length	SD
		1).	1767 6	167 07		· 1 / / 0	c	1576.0	254.8	434.4	21.18
James	2b \	4	1367.5	153.07	41/./	14.49	75	1570.0	297 06	438.7	25.61
	3a	25	1053.0	221.26	299.0	32.07	22	1007 7	-l- 77	450.7	36 27
	3Ъ	65	1355.9	270.58	423.7	25.59	33	160/ 0	705 71	407.0	3/ 69
	4a	14	1312.0	327.87	421.9	35.86	108	16/9.2	247 20	440.7	34.03
	4 <u>b</u>	20	854.75	127.88	385.7	15.56	88	1514.7	541.70	434.9	29.00
	5a	2	615.0		355.0		17	1590.3	459.27	444.0	29.50
	5b	2	772.5		332.5		$\frac{1}{287}$	1497.0	i	495.0	
Total		152	1400 C	406 06	121 0	27 26	207				
M&F Combined		419	1492.0	420.20	434.2	57.20					
Vork	2h	19	585.3	283.98	324.8	43.31	17	1710.0	321.50	451.0	25.35
1011	3.2	1	950.0		375.0		2	1775.0	•	459.5	
	Zh	26	1217.3	246.7	408.4	27.06	24	1861.7	324.38	465.2	24.99
	4-	20	1100 0	21001	390.0		55	1587.5	452.64	434.7	31.55
	-+a · 4b		1100.0		55+++		37	1584.6	300.98	436.3	28.69
	5a										
Total		47					135				
M&F Combined		181	1475.9	488.74	424.1	49.24					
Rappahannock	2a				477 4	07 10	20	1775 0	סל ורפ	ACA 3	21 09
	2Ъ	28	1224.3	200.68	413.4	27.19	22	1000 7	271+33	434.5	21.05
	3a	.17	1070.6	170.44	394.0	20.99	6	1000.0	203.73	457.0	24.00
	3Ъ	57	1259.7	187.63	417.2	18.76	41	1785.8	28/•/9	454.7	. 22.00
	4a	18	1250.3	221.66	417.9	21.88	54	1//2.5	270.50	455.0	22.33
	4Ъ	26	962.9	170.57	396.9	23.68	42	1652.8	355.2	448.5	20.00
Total		146					165				
M&F Combined		311	1476.9	387.55	433.2	31.85					
Potomac	5Ъ	1	763.0		407.0		••				
LOCOMAC	6b	ī	312.0		312.0						
Total	00	-2	5								
M&F Combined		2	537.5		359.5	47.49					

12

£

(1) Numbers indicate month of sample, letters indicate first half of month (a) or second half (b).

Job 3. Annual Index of Juvenile Abundance

Summary of 1975 Results

- 1. In every river blueback herring had a strong yearclass compared to 1974. Their annual index for 1975 was the highest ever recorded in the James, Rappahannock and Potomac rivers.
- 2. Alewife yearclass strength showed moderate improvement in the James, York, and Rappahannock rivers, but substantial gains were apparent only in the Pamunkey branch of the York River.
- 3. American shad yearclass strength fell in every river. The extremely low numbers of juvenile shad caught during recent years in all nursery zones is a disturbing trend.
- 4. Yearclass strength of all species in 1975 was independent of the size of the adult spawning stock.
- 5. The James, York, Rappahannock and Potomac rivers (mainstem only) contained an estimated 2.3 billion blueback, 13 million alewife and 1.5 million American shad juveniles on September 1, 1975.
- 6. The James River had the greatest density of juvenile blueback and American shad, but alewife were most dense in the York River system. The Potomac River had the lowest density of alewife and shad.
- 7. Juvenile blueback were numerically the most dominant, making up over 90% of the juvenile alosines in 4 of 5 rivers sampled.
- 8. The yearclass strength estimates were used for the first time to determine whether adult recruitment to the domestic fishery four years later can be predicted. (Discussion in Job 2.)

Yearclass Strength in 1975

Project personnel performed the sixth annual assessment of yearclass strength of the alosids during August and September. Two vessels were used to cover mainstream and shoal areas of the major Virginia rivers, using a 5 x 5 ft cobb trawl in the freshwater nursery zones (Fig. 3.1). Surface and midwater samples were taken with 5 min tows, whereas 10 min tows were taken in 1973 and 1974. All catch-per-unit-of-effort (c/f) values are expressed as 10 min tows for direct comparison with former years. Details of sampling, handling, and data manipulation have been described in Hoagman et al. (1973), and Hoagman et al. (1974). Total towing effort in 1975 was reduced to 39% of 1974 effort in the same area, or 42.8% if the effort devoted to small tributaries in 1975 is considered (Table 3.1).

The 1975 data verified the need to sample surface and midwater in every river. Alewife were caught 5 to 100 times more frequently at midwater than at the surface but blueback vertical distribution varied according to river (Table 3.2). American shad juveniles were more abundant at midwater but the low numbers captured in 1975 showed no strong trend in vertical distribution. In the Potomac, blueback were 10 times more abundant at midwater than at the surface, but in the James the densities were equal at the two depths.

Seven tributaries to the James River were sampled in 1975. Few alewife or shad were captured but blueback were present in large numbers. Vertical distribution of blueback in the Chickahominy River and Turkey Island Oxbow was opposite from mainstream. In no tributary did the c/f average for blueback (Table 3.3) resemble the c/f average for the James The average surface c/f for blueback in the mainstream. mainstream was 2,768 compared to 234 in the tributaries and the average midwater c/f for mainstream was 2,388 compared to 1063 in the tributaries. Alewife and American shad were captured in several tributaries but the few numbers taken in 35 tows cannot be used for comparison with the mainstream average. With greater towing effort the tributary estimates may converge toward the mainstream estimates or assume some fractional relationship which could be used for population estimation.

James River

The James has been the most productive for juvenile alosids of any of the Virginia rivers sampled. Sampling for juveniles began there in 1969 and in every year it has led in density of juveniles, and total contribution to the Virginia stocks of all three primary species of alosids.

Since 1970 there has been a steady decline in yearclass strength of all alosids in the James. In 1975, however, blueback yearclass strength was the highest we have recorded (Table 3.4) with an index of 2656 juveniles per tow. This reverses the decline for blueback and appears to be a record yearclass.

Alewife recovered from a 1974 index of 1.6 to 5.2 juveniles/tow in 1975 but this remains far below levels measured in 1970 and 1971 (164 and 63). American shad continued their downward trend in the James and in 1975 had the lowest yearclass strength we have recorded.

York River

Blueback showed moderate recovery over 1974 but were still far below several previous years. Alewife had a dramatic recovery in the Pamunkey branch, exceeding all other years; but in the Mattaponi branch the yearclass was a failure. American shad fell to the lowest levels ever recorded in either branch. Shad production (juveniles) had previously been fairly steady in the York but the low juvenile abundance levels in 1975 will probably affect adult availability in 3 to 4 years as they return to spawn for the first time.

Rappahannock River

Blueback yearclass strength broke all previous records with an index of 763. The previous high was 558 in 1973 (Table 3.4). Alewife also increased over 1974 but not as much as did blueback. The two best years for young alewife were 1972 and 1973. American shad in the Rappahannock had an index of only 0.3, but this has been typical since 1970. If adult production holds steady, the low indices of 1970-75 may not indicate low yearclasses for the Rappahannock.

Potomac River

Blueback had the largest yearclass we have measured, i.e. the 1975 index of 350 per tow was double the previous high year (1970). Alewife and American shad, however, did not show recovery in the Potomac. Very few were captured at any depth from mile 65 to 95.

Parent-Progeny Relationship

The 1974 annual report for this project included a detailed examination of the parent-progeny relationship of Virginia alosines. We concluded that yearclass strength for blueback, alewife, and American shad was independent of the size of the spawning stock, except for several years when shad yield fell below 150 thousand lb. of females per river, and very small yearclasses were produced. The 1975 data continued to show independence between spawning stock size and yearclass strength.

The percentage of blueback juveniles compared to alewife and shad in 1975 was not different from previous years (Table 3.5). Blueback dominated in every river except the Pamunkey. In the James, Rappahannock, and Potomac,99% of the juveniles captured were blueback.

The yield of spawning adults has resembled the percentage distribution of juveniles only in the Potomac (Table 3.6).

Our data from the Rappahannock, where blueback and alewife adults have each yielded 20 to 50% of alosid landings, shows extreme blueback dominance.

In recent years the catch of spawning shad has been falling in the James, Rappahannock, and Potomac. The 1974 and 1975 average (all rivers) of 1.6 million 1b represents only 48% of the 3.3 million 1b per year taken in 1971 and 1972. All rivers have experienced nearly the same drop in landings (Table 3.6). Details of alosid landings are given in Job 1 this report.

The spawning stock size does not seem low enough at this time to preclude successful yearclasses. In several recent years, good yearclasses have been produced from spawning stocks considered low in the 1970-75 perspective, e.g. Rappahannock blueback in 1973 and 1975, York River shad between 1972-74, and James River shad in 1973.

For the Virginia situation in general, factors other than size of spawning stock seem to determine the size of a yearclass. At lower stock sizes we can probably expect an intensification of the cause and effect relationship. The offshore fishery overexploited the river herring stocks after 1968. The reduced number of alewife and blueback spawners decreased yield to Virginia fishermen but yearclasses produced from the depressed stocks continued to be highly variable.

The sequence of spawning is alewife and American shad, then blueback from March through May in every year. The later spawning blueback may benefit from warmer water temperatures, less temperature variability, and increased food supply.

The production of young may not be proportional to size of spawning stock, but size of adult stock usually is proportional to the size of the yearclass which produced it. Factors causing yearclass fluctuations are extremely difficult to identify, yet once identified can be used to implement management strategies. Some things, even if influential, may never be managed (e.g. weather) but steps can be taken if the environment has degraded or adult stocks simply cannot produce sufficient eggs to continue the stock.

The juvenile alosids do not live alone in the nursery zones. As eggs, larvae, and juveniles they are preyed upon by a large variety of other fishes (see Job 7) and are in direct competition among themselves and with other pelagic fishes of the freshwater nursery zone. Fluctuations among

(y)

these other populations may significantly affect alosid mortality between spawning and our late summer survey.

With increased monitoring activity of the chemical, physical, and biological variables in Virginia rivers we hope to formulate general principles of interaction as our data series continues.

Estimate of Juvenile Alosid Production

From the annual grand average c/f estimates by river, the total number of juvenile alosids is computed based on methods and assumptions as detailed in Hoagman et al. (1974).

The James River, because of high juvenile density and large volume, contained an estimated 1.9 billion blueback, 3.7 million alewife, and 1.1 million juvenile shad on September 1, 1975 (Table 3.7). No other river had more than 272 million juvenile blueback.

Total blueback standing crop for all rivers sampled was estimated at 2.3 billion individuals. Alewife was second with 13.6 million and there were an estimated 1.5 million juvenile shad. The trend since 1970 has been disturbing, but blueback in 1975 had their best yearclass since 1970 and alewife showed some improvement over 1974 (Figure 3.2). American shad seem headed for commercial extinction if a good yearclass is not produced soon.

The estimated number of young alosids present in early fall does not include areas of creeks, or larger tributaries. We know juveniles inhabit these zones but their numbers are few in the several areas we have sampled. We cannot estimate juvenile density in these areas yet, therefore our general estimates are conservative. In 1976 we hope to better define vertical and lateral distribution of the juveniles. With this information, better estimates should follow and previous years may be adjusted in the next completion report.



Alewife, <u>Alosa pseudoharengus</u>, larva



5.1

.)

()

e

Figure 3.1 Chart of lower Chesapeake Bay and tributaries with major freshwater nursery zones shaded.



Fig. 3.2. Yearclass strength of alewife, blueback herring, and American shad expressed as total estimated number of young (Aug.-Sept.) in all

Table 3.1.	Trawling effort with pelagic trawls for juvenile
	alosids in Virginia rivers. (Five-min tows in
	1969-1972 and 1975, and 10-min tows in 1973 and 1974.)

(``)

(`)

()

۰<u>(</u>)

()

. (_)

			Langley		R/V	Brooks	Total Tow- ing Time
	Tows	Min	Mid Tows	Min	<u> </u>	rface Min	_ Surface & _ Midwater
James	· · ·			_		· ·	
1969	20	100 070	10	50			150
1970	40	230	10	50	38	190	470
1971	40	250	TO	50	40	200	480
1973	22	220	16	160	75	365	825
1974	60	600	10 20	100 720	00	00U	1,150
1975	24	120	45	225	74 64	740	1,660
James	21	120	-+5	22)	. 04	520	605
Tributari	es						
1975	11	55	- 5	25	22	110	190
			0		fine kan	TTO	100
York-							
Pamunkey							
1970	30	150	3	15	35	175	340
1971	21	105	5	25	30	150	280
1972	42	210			66	330	540
1973	14	140	14	140	52	520	800
1974	60	600	20	200			800
1975	21	105	22	110	13	65 .	280
Vori							
Mattaponi							
1970	25	105	Δ	ào	0.5		
1971	21	105	4 Z	20	25	125	270
1972	44	220	2	12	21	105	225
1973	10	100	٥٢	100	50	250	470
1974	60	600	20	200	42	420	620
1975	17	85	20	100			800
-010		05	20	100			T82
Rappahannoo	ck						
1970	31	155	5	25	26	130	310
1971	31	155	7	35	31	155	345
1972	62	310			62	310	620
1973	19	190	25	250	36	360	800
1974	66	660	40	400			1,060
1975	16	80	31	155	52	260	495
Potomac							
1970	רצ	166	7	75			
1971	31	155	7	35 7 F	70		190
1972	62		/	22	5U 60	120 210	540
1973	22	220	21	210	62	620	1,050
1974	70	700	75	350			1,059
1975	15	75	30	150	52	260	485

Table 3.2	. Average c/f estimates in surface and midwater tows for	
	juvenile alosids in major Virginia rivers during 1975.	
	All vessels combined, estimates adjusted to 10-min tow	N
	equivalents.	

			American	Total Tows
River and Miles Used	Blueback	Alewife	Shad	Within Miles ^(a)
James, 35-80 Surface c/f	2768.0	0.1	1.0	44.0
Midwater c/f	2544.6	10.1	2.0	22.5
York-Pamunkey, 30-55 Surface c/f Midwater c/f	51.6 7.4	0.2 169.8	0.9 1.6	17.0 11.0
York-Mattaponi, 30-50 Surface c/f Midwater c/f	0.4 28.4	0.0 0.8	0.5 1.2	8.5 10.0
Rappahannock, 50-80 Surface c/f Midwater c/f	406.2 1120.8	0.9 16.5	0.0 0.5	35.5 15.5
Potomac, 65-95 Surface c/f Midwater, c/f	44.9 655.2	0.1 1.3	0.1 0.0	35.0 15.0

(a) Expressed as 10 min. tows

()

()

()

ж.)

(_)

Name of Tributary	Mile on James	Alewife c/f	Blueback c/f	American Shad	Tows and Strata(a)
	an a			c/f	- National - 1990 - 1991 - 1995 - 1905 - 190
Grays Creek	35	0	75.8	0	2 - S
Chickahominy River	40	0	410.8	0.5	9 - S
11		1.7	131.8	0.7	3 - M
Herring Creek	58].0	774.3	0	2 - S
Powell Creek	59	0.5	61.7	0.2	2-S
Appomattox River	66	0	239.5	0.2	4 - S
Turkey Island Oxbow	67	0	76.0	0	4 - S
11 11 11		0	1994.5	0	2-M
Jones Neck Oxbow	70	0	1.9	0.2	7 - S
Sur	ace Average		234		······································
Midv	vater Average	_	1063	_	

Table 3.3. Catch of juvenile alosids in tributaries to the James River between miles 35 to 80. (All tows 5 min each; c/f expressed as catch for 10 min towing equivalent.)

(a) S - Surface Tow, M - Midwater Tow

Total Blueback Taken - 235,192

 $\left\{ \cdot \right\}$

()

()

 \bigcirc

()

Table 3.4. Annual index of yearclass strength for all species in all rivers using the combined data of two vessels and the midwater and surface samples. Figures are average c/f defined as a 10-min tow with the 5 x 5 Cobb trawl.

River					Average	Average
and	Total			American	Blueback and	of all
Year	'l'ows	Blueback	Alewife	Shad	Alewife(a)	Species(a)
James						•
1969	30	263.0	39.0	25.0	101.3	63.5
1970	94	2,273.0	164.0	41.0	610.6	.248.2
1971	96	1,491.0	63.0	12.0	316.6	106.3
1972	165	368.0	4.6	4.9	41.1	20.2
1973	115	560.0	/.3	11.0	63.9	35.6
1974	100	2 656 2	· 1.6	4.9	11-8 11-0	8.8
York-	67	2.000,2	5.2	Τ•Ο	112.8	21.2
Pamunkev						
1970	68	128.0	12.0	3.6	39.2	17.7
1971	56	251.0	52.0	2.3	114.2	31.1
1972	108	15.0	5.5	4.2	9.1	7.0
1973	80	164.0	8.5	6.0	37.3	20.3
1974	80	3.7	1.4	3.2	2.3	2.6
1975	28	29.5	85.0	1.3	50.I	14.8
Mattaponi						i
1970	54	89.0	7.1	5.8	25.1	15.4
1971	45	11.0	23.0	6.6	15.9	11.9
1972	94	17.3	8.3	5.7	12.0	9.4
1973	62	15.0	27.0	24.0	20.1	21.3
1974	80	4.8	0.0	3.7	2.0	1.2
1975 Dappa	19	14.4	0.4	0.9	2.4	1.7
kappa- hannock						
<u>1970</u>	62	108.0	10.0	06	- 70 Q	07
1971	69	44.0	1.9	0.2	9.1	2.5
1972	124	234.0	38.1	0.2	94.4	12.1
1973	80	558.0	36.0	0.8	141.7	25.2
1974	106	3.8	1.2	2.1	2.1	2.1
1975	49	763.5	8.7	0.3	81.5	12.6
Potomac	70	160.0	07 0	0 -	<u> </u>	
1970 .	58	169.U	27.0	0.5	6/.5	13.2
1972	124	54.0	55	1 0	17 2	0.9
1973	105	4.5	0.8	0.1	1.9	0.7
1974	105	1.4	0.4	0.0	0.6	0.4
1975	50	350.1	0.7	0.1	15.7	2.9

(a) Geometric mean.

 $\langle \hat{ } \rangle$

 $\langle \rangle$

 $(\overline{\ })$

()

e

1.1

()

()

River and Year	Blueback	Alewife	American Shad
James	an an dha ann an Marine an Annan an Annan Marine an an an an Annan Annan Annan Annan Annan Annan Annan Annan A		1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 -
1969	80.4	11.9	7.7
1970	91.7	6.6	1.7
1971	95.5	3.8	0.7
1972	97.5	1.2	1.3
1973	96.8	1.3	1.9
1974	93.0	1.7	5.2
1975	99.7	0.2	0.1
York-Pamunkey			
1970	89.1	8.4	2.5
1971	82.2	17.0	0.8
1972	60.7	22.3	17.0
1973	91.9	4.8	3.3
1974	44.6	16.9	38.6
1975	25.5	73.4	1.1
York-Mattaponi			
1970 -	87.3	7.0	5.7
1971	27.1	56.7	16.2
1972	55.3	26.5	18.2
1973	22.7	40.9	36.4
1974	56.5	0.0	43.5
1975	91.7	2.6	5.7
Rappahannock			
1970	91.1	8.4	0.5
1971	95.4	4.1	0.4
1972	85.9	14.0	0.1
1973	93.8	6.1	0.1
1974	53.5	16.9	26.6
1975	98.8	1.1	0.1
Potomac			
1970	86.0	13.7	0.3
1971	93.7	4.2	2.1
1972	89.3	9.1	1.6
1973	83.3	14.8	1.9
1974	77.8	22.2	0.0
1975	99.8	0.1	0.1
	τι που τη		

Table 3.5.	Percent	compos	sition	of t	the	juver	ile.	alosids	from	the
	combined	c/f of	the R/V	Lang	gley	and	r/v	Brooks,	surfa	ice
	and midwa	ter.								

 C_{i}

(`)

 \bigcirc

()

.

()

()

 (\cdot)

()

				<u></u>	Speci	.es			<u></u>	
River and Ye	ear	Blu	eback	Al	.ewife		America	in Shad		
		Yield	Percent of Total	Yield	Percent of Total	Yield of both Sexes	Yield of Females	Percent of Total	All Species, Yield	
-	1000									
James	1969	-				1569	1435	100	1569	
Mile 10+	T3\0	-		-		1962	1619	100	1962	
	1971					1961	1718	100	1961	
	1972	-		-		2514	1409	100	3003	
	1973	-		_		1901	1075		1901	
	1974	-				1232	601		1511	
	1975					654	502	100	734	
York	1967	76	14	184	35	274	201	51	534	
Mile 10+	1968	340	37	217	24	351	204	39	908	
	1969	-		-		174	169	100	174	
	1970	-		-		159	147	100	159	
	1971			-		435	371	100	435	
	1972	-		-		355	322	100	355	
	1973	-		-		490	. 438		490	
	1974	-				396	353		396	
	1975	-		-		247	220(c)	100	247	
Rappahannock	: 1965			995						
Mile 10+	1966			632						
	1967	2833	57	1932	39	204	103	4	4969	
	1968	406	19	1248	59	469	263	22	2123	
	1969	374	23	842	50	454	254	27	1671	
	1970	103	14	363	49	268	156	37	734	
	1971	445	32	430	31	518	378	37	1393	
	1972	295	33	441	49	147	96	16	778	
	1973	532	46	332	35	80	49	8	944	
	1974	287	33	475	56	95	56	11	857	
	1975	379	62	159	26	71	57	12	609	

Table 3.6. Estimated yield of adult clupeids by pound nets and stake gill nets in Virginia rivers 1967-1975 and their percentage contribution to the catch. Yield is in thousands of pounds.

••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••					Speci	es			
River and Yea	r	Blu	leback	Al	ewife		n Shad		
		Yield	Percent of Total	Yield	Percent of Total	Yield of both Sexes	Yield of Females	Perc e nt of Total	All Species, Yield(b)
Potomac(a)	1965	6723	65	4169	31	346	_	4	13328
Whole River	1966	9166	81	1943	17	177	-	2	11268
	1967	7043	80	1617	18	212	130	2	8772
	1968	6048	75	1629	20	393	249	5	8070
	1969	2838	75	637	17	298	204	8	3773
	1970	5676	90	473	7	170	111	3	6319
	1971	5065	82	790	13	354	300	5	6209
	1972	3105	60	1618	31	421	360	9	5144
	1973	834	53	550	35	194	157	12	1578
	1974	2873	80	648	18	79	49	2	3600
	1975	4516	83	834	15	118	92	2	5478

. .

· _ _ _

თ **თ**

0....

(a) Potomac figures are actual landings as reported to Potomac River Fish Commission.
 (b) Does not include unreported clupeids used as bait fish or taken by sport fishermen.
 (c) Includes 22 thousand lb. used for roe only, see Table 1.5.

.___

Dimon and					Estimate	d Number F	resent in	i Early Fa	111,	
Miles	Area in Nursery Zone 105m2	Volume in Nursery Zone, 10 ^{6m3}	Volumes Equated to Smallest Zone	Species	1970	1971	1972	1973	1974	1975
Included	190.8	763.2	15.97	Blueback	1633.2	1143.3	264.5	402.4	62.3	1908.9
James	10.0			Alewife	117.8	45.3	3.3	5.2	1.2	3.7
380				American Shid	29.5	8.6	3.3	7.9	3.5	1.1
ti li Dominikovi	25 6	102.4	2.15	Blueback	12.3	24.2	14.4	15.8	0.4	8.2
York-Pamunkey	23.0			Alewife	1.2	5.0	4.3	8.1	0.1	8.2
3.7-60				Americ un Shad	3.5	2.2	4.0	5.7	0.3	0.1
	۹ EF	47.8	1.00	Blueback	4.0	0.5	0.8	0.7	0.2	0.6
C. K-Mailtabour	11.7			Alewife	0.3	1.1	0.4	1.2	0.0	0.1
30-50	·			American Shad	0.3	0.3	0.3	1.1	0.2	Ŭ.1
- 1 1	70 A	129.4	2.71	Bluebank	13.2	5	28.5	68.0	0.5	93.0
нарр іпапьоек	52.7			Alewife	1.2	0.2	4.6	4.4	0.1	1.1
80–0ب				American Shad	0.07	0.02	0.02	0.09	1.5	0.1
	206.2	824.8	17.26	Blueback	131.3	6.9	42.0	3.5	1.1	271.9
Politimad	206.2			Alewife	21.0	0.3	4.3	0.6	0.3	0.5
37 - 95		· .		American Shad	n . 4	0.2	0.6	0.07	0.0	0.1
		anison all rivers co	ombined.	Blueback	1794.0	1180.3	350.2	490.4	64.5	2277.2
Yeard	class strength comp	parison, all rivers co		Alewife	141.5	51.9	17.9	19.5	1.7	13.6
				Décesion Chad	33.8	11.3	8.4	14.9	7.2	1.5

Table 3.7. General estimates of yearclass strength and estimates of numbers of young alosids in Virginia rivers in August and September.

σ

Job 4. Feeding Energetics of Juvenile Alewife

The Ph.D. dissertation of James E. Weaver was the contracted work in Job 4 during 1974-75. His study of the feeding energetics of juvenile alewife has increased our understanding of resource interactions in the freshwater nursery zone.

The full dissertation is not included in this report because of its length and detail. Complete copies on microfische are available from:

University Microfilms Ann Arbor, Michigan 48104

To indicate the scope and major findings of the study, the following is provided. Full publication of findings will be made through scientific journals. A brief review of methods and preliminary results was presented in the 1974 annual report for this project.



Blueback herring, Alosa aestivalis, adult

FOOD SELECTIVITY, FEEDING CHRONOLOGY, AND ENERGY TRANSFORMATIONS OF JUVENILE ALEWIFE (<u>ALOSA PSEUDOHARENGUS</u>) IN THE JAMES RIVER NEAR HOPEWELL, VIRGINIA

James Edwin Weaver Columbus, Georgia

B.S., Louisiana State University, 1968 M.S., Louisiana State University, 1969

A Dissertation Presented to the Graduate Faculty of the University of Virginia in Candidacy for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy

()

()

Department of Marine Science University of Virginia

August
TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page (1)
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS	iii
LIST OF TABLES	vii
LIST OF FIGURES	viii
ABSTRACT	x
CHAPTER I FOOD, FEEDING PERIODICITY, AND FEEDING ELECTIVITY OF JUVENILE ALEWIFE IN THE JAMES RIVER, VIRGINIA Abstract Introduction Study Area Materials and Methods Feeding Periodicity-Food Zooplankton Electivity Results Feeding Periodicity Food Zooplankton Electivity Discussion Acknowledgments Literature Cited	$ 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ 6 \\ 7 \\ 7 \\ 8 \\ 9 \\ 10 \\ 11 \\ 12 \\ 14 \\ 16 \\ 22 \\ 23 $
CHAPTER II ENERGY TRANSFORMATIONS BY JUVENILE ALEWIFE IN THE JAMES RIVER, VIRGINIA	43 46 47 47 47 48 49 49 50 51 53 53 53

(1) Of Dissertation

()

()

 $\{ _{i}\}$

Page

	Egestion Ingestion Growth Energy Transformations Acknowledgments	55 56 57 58 63
	Literature Cited	64
VITA		88

()

 $\langle \cdot \rangle$

 $(\overline{)}$

(b)

•

 $\langle \cdot \rangle$

(_)

 \bigcirc

ć j

LIST OF TABLES

Tab1e	Pag	e
1.	Average number of organisms in stomachs of juvenile alewife collected at both surface and midwater during 1972 in the James River, Virginia	
2.	Average number of organisms in stomachs of juvenile alewife collected at both surface and midwater during 1973 in the James River, Virginia	•
3.	Dry weight and energy content (gram-calories ash- free) of ingesta and egesta from juvenile alewife, and percent of total ingested caloric value egested and assimilated at each temperature based on single meal experiments	
4.	Preliminary energy content (gram-calories ash-free) of ingesta from juvenile alewife collected at three hour intervals on 27-38 June 1973 in the James River, Virginia	
5.	Preliminary energy content (gram-calories ash-free) of ingesta from juvenile alewife collected at three hour intervals in monthly 27-hour stations during 1972 in the James River, Virginia	
6.	Preliminary energy content (gram-calories ash-free) of ingesta from juvenile alewife collected at three hour intervals in monthly 27-hour stations during 1973 in the James River, Virginia	
7.	Length, weight, and caloric value of ten juvenile alewife collected each month from July through September 1972 and June through September 1973	
8.	Growth of juvenile alewife in the James River, Virginia for two time intervals during 1972 and three intervals during 1973	
9.	Daily energy transformations by juvenile alewife in the James River, Virginia for two time intervals during 1972 and three intervals during 19 7 3	

 $\tilde{(\cdot)}$

 $\langle \widehat{} \rangle$

 $\langle \langle \rangle$

*

()

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure

 1°

1

- Mean and range of food in juvenile alewife collected at both surface and midwater at three hour intervals in monthly 27-hour stations during 1972 in the James River, Virginia
- Mean and range of food in juvenile alewife collected at both surface and midwater at three hour intervals in monthly 27-hour stations during 1973 in the James River, Virginia
- 3. Total zooplankton standing crop at surface and midwater at three hour intervals in monthly 27-hour stations during 1972 and 1973 in the James River, Virginia
- Average day and night standing crop of predominant zooplankters at surface and midwater in monthly 27-hour stations during 1972 in the James River, Virginia
- 5. Average day and night standing crop of predominant zooplankters at surface and midwater in monthly 27-hour stations during 1973 in the James River, Virginia
- 6. Average percent in the surface and midwater plankton and stomachs, and electivity index (e), for selected zooplankters during the day from July through September 1972 and June through September 1973 in the James River, Virginia
- 7. Exponential regressions of oxygen consumption (0) as mg 0 /g wet weight fish/hour and mg 0 /g dry weight fish/hour for eight juvenile alewife at each temperature (t) and coefficients of determination (r)
- 8. A. Exponential regressions of wet weight of stomach contents remaining (Y) at 1.5 hour intervals (X) after end of feeding at each temperature and coefficients of determination (r); B. Linear regressions of dry weight of stomach contents remaining (Y) at 1.5 hour intervals (X) after end of feeding at each temperature and correlation coefficients (r)

Page

Figure

(``)

()

- 9. Linear regressions of mean total caloric ash-free value of stomach contents remaining (Y) at 1.5 hour intervals (X) after end of feeding at each temperature and correlation coefficients (r)
- 10. Length and wet weight of juvenile alewife captured in monthly 27-hour stations during 1972 and 1973 in the James River, Virginia



Hickory shad, Alosa mediocris, adult

GENERAL ABSTRACT

Juvenile alewife, <u>Alosa pseudoharengus</u> (Wilson), in the nursery area of the James River, Virginia usually exhibited a bimodal diurnal feeding periodicity. Mature calanoid and cyclopoid copepods, copepodite stages of copepods, and cladocerans were predominant prey during the day. Nocturnal feeding on ostracods, oligochates, and immature and mature insects was occasionally noted. In general, electivity (E) was strongly positive for the large adult copepods <u>Eurytemora affinis</u>, <u>Cyclops vernalis</u>, and the cladoceran <u>Leptodora kindtii</u>, moderately positive for the cladocerans <u>Bosmina</u> spp., neutral for copepodites, moderately negative for the cladoceran <u>Diaphanosoma brachyurum</u>, and strongly negative for copepod nauplii. The effects of selective predation on the zooplankton community were not as pronounced as those found in lake environments, although the relatively small <u>Bosmina</u> spp. increased in abundance during the period of maximum utilization of the nursery area by alosine fishes in both years.

()

 $\{ \}$

Energy transformations by juvenile alewife in the nursery area of the James River were estimated in 1972 and 1973 by field and laboratory methods. Preliminary estimations of daily rations were determined directly by ash-free caloric value of stomach contents in alewife collected every three hours during 27-hour stations, with laboratory-derived corrections applied for caloric value remaining from prior meals at mean environmental temperature. Percent egested of the caloric content of ingesta was estimated in the laboratory. Mean wet weight of all fish collected each month was converted to dry weight and caloric equivalent based on caloric analysis of ten fish each month, and the differences in caloric value between time intervals were calculated for estimation of growth rates. The caloric value of the remainder, after growth was subtracted from assimilation, was assigned to maintenance, since laboratory estimates of respiration rates were consistently high possibly due to handling of the excitable fish.

Ash-free caloric value of fish biocontent, daily ration, egesta, assimilation, and respiration for an average fish increased from early summer through September in each year. Growth, as percent of assimilated energy, was 48% in 1972 and 37% in 1973, respectively. Mean maintenance efficiency was 52% in 1972 and 63% in 1973. Lower water temperatures in 1972 may partially account for these differences.

Job 7. Fishes of the Nursery Zone

Summary

()

 ζ)

()

- 1. Fishes which live in association with the alosines were surveyed during the winter months to determine their densities and distribution from the mouth of each river to the head of navigation. Bottom trawls were towed for 1/4 mile at stations 1-1/4 miles apart in mainstream.
- 2. The James River contained the greatest variety of euryhaline species compared to the York, Rappahannock and Potomac. The variety of freshwater species was similar in all rivers.
- 3. The most numerous species captured in the lower saline zones of the rivers were juvenile blueback herring, bay anchovy, young-of-the-year croaker, and juvenile alewife and menhaden.
- 4. The most numerous species captured in the freshwater zones were channel catfish, white catfish, spottail shiner, brown bullhead, hogchoker, tessellated darter, and white perch; but the numerical rank was different in every river.
- 5. The James River ictalurids are most numerous approximately 10-20 miles below Hopewell, Virginia. Near Hopewell their numbers decrease, rise again upriver from Hopewell, then decrease again near Richmond.
- 6. Of the James River resident fishes sampled 1972-76, channel catfish had the highest average cn/f, followed by white catfish, spottail shiner, hogchoker, brown bullhead, and tessellated darter.
- 7. Of the York River resident fishes, hogchoker had the highest cn/f, followed by white perch, white catfish, spottail shiner, and channel catfish in 1975.
- 8. Of the Rappahannock resident fishes, white perch had the highest cn/f, followed by white catfish, spottail shiner, hogchoker, gizzard shad, and channel catfish in 1975.
- 9. Of the Potomac resident fishes, white perch had the highest cn/f, followed by brown bullhead, hogchoker, channel catfish, spottail shiner, and striped bass in 1975.
- 10. Young of the year croaker were most numerous in the James in 1974, and all rivers in 1975 indicate a successful yearclass was produced.

11. Average weight per tow (by species), average length, average weight, and the variation of cn/f, are presented by river zone for all rivers for 1975 and the James for 1972-75.

Program

<u>()</u>

£ }

Juveniles of the <u>Alosa</u> spp. compete with and are preyed on by other species of estuarine fishes. Yearclass strength may have some direct relationship to these interactions. We have made intensive winter surveys of the James, York, Rappahannock, and Potomac rivers to determine what the resident population pressures (predation and competition) might be. Resident fishes spend the entire year in the estuary-river, whereas migrants influence the interactions for only a part of the year. Most of the migratory fishes have left the river in the winter, but we do catch a few individuals of most species that are more common in summer. Resident species seem to seek deeper water as water temperatures fall below 6C. Cold water lowers the fish's metabolic rate, making the species less mobile and more susceptible to our trawl gear.

The winter survey of 1975 was conducted during January and February by making four tows with a 30 ft semiballoon otter trawl within each five mile block of river from the mouth to the head of navigation for our vessel. Each 5 mile block was divided into 1.25 mile sections and the tow location was selected randomly within each 1.25 mile section. Each tow was .25 mile (nautical) long, measured by radar; and made independent of tidal flow. The net had a cod end of 1/2 inch stretched mesh. Tow stations were selected along the main navigation channel. Hydrological data were gathered. From each tow, fish were counted and measured and total weight by species by tow determined. River miles are shown in Figure 7.1.

Data presentation and analysis for this progress report include trawl surveys made in 1972, 73, 74, and 75 on the James River, and 1975 data from the other rivers. The parameters derived are very basic ones and narrative or conclusions are minimal. The James River has been emphasized because of recent attention concerning fish kills and contamination by kepone (1). The 1976 completion report will include all years of data for every river.

The following definitions apply to the parameters calculated or usage of terms.

- cn/f = Catch as numbers per unit of effort. The number of specimens of each species captured per tow. Average cn/f for each section is presented.
- cw/f = The catch as weight per unit of effort. The total weight of all specimens of each species captured per tow. Average cw/f for each section is presented.
- (1) Chlorinated hydrocarbon used as insecticide.

- \overline{L} = Average fork length of each species for all tows within the five mile block.
- \overline{W} = Average weight (individual weight) of each species from all tows within the five mile block.

Biomass(2) = the amount by weight of each species equated to unit area or unit volume. Since tow length and net type have been identical for the winter surveys, biomass can = cw/f. Biomass is not additive between blocks nor additive across rivers. Biomass can be averaged for any combination of river blocks.

Standing crop = the total weight or number of species or combined, from a particular defined area. Comes from expansion of cn/f or cw/f estimates. Cannot be computed from winter survey data because only mainstream stations made for biomass.

Each tow sweeps $1,727 \text{ m}^2$ with the 30 ft tow bridles we have used. The 30 ft (footrope) otter trawl opens to 12.25 ft. (3.73 m) when used with a 30 ft. (each leg) bridle. This small opening increases dramatically when bridle length is increased, e.g., with bridle legs of 50 ft the opening becomes 16.75 ft and with bridle legs of 100 ft the opening becomes 22.3 ft Biomass can be computed with a unit swept area of $1,727 \text{ m}^2$, making it equivalent to average cw/f. Within each five mile block the cn/f's estimated only apply to water deeper than 18 ft. Thus we cannot use these data to calculate total numbers or weight by zone in the river. Shallow water (less than 18 ft) stations were made in 1975 in the lower third of the rivers but these data are too incomplete for use in expansion estimates. The upper stations in the York and Rappahannock rivers and the oxbows of the James River are typically less than 18 ft deep and are considered mainstream stations.

; ;

(.)

 ζ_{ij}

: 1

The proportion of the fishes which migrate from shoal to mainstream with falling water temperatures is not known, but in colder winters we suspect the movement is more complete. Yearly comparisons of cn/f by zone, or calculation of average river cn/f, therefore, contain the assumption of similar distribution between years. Measures of variance have been computed by zone as an aid to determining significance between comparisons.

(2) The 1974 progress report for this project defines biomass as mean total weight of specimens captured. This is incorrect and users of the 1974 document are advised to change every occurrence of the term biomass in Job 7 to read total weight captured. A wide variety of euryhaline species occur between the mouth of each river and freshwater. Many are part of the summer migrant populations. The severity of the winter may determine the extent to which these fishes remain in the estuary rather than going to sea. We may never know the actual relationship between temperature and overwintering estuarine fishes and presently have no sound basis for adjusting catches in any year. With continuance of our winter Chesapeake Bay survey we may be able to (after several more years) compare relative population size between rivers and the Bay for warm and cold winters. If the migrant fishes in the lower rivers and Bay leave each area proportional to water temperature, then population comparisons between years and between rivers become more tenuous.

The winter survey provides a description of the winter fish community, and some general population estimation statements can be made with cognizance of the sampling assumptions and factors of movement by zone and group of fishes.

James River Winter Fish Populations

The James River flows through Virginia's main industrial and population zones from above Richmond to its mouth at Chesapeake Bay. It is the most southerly of the main tributary rivers, and is nearest to the Chesapeake Bay mouth. Bottom salinity at mile zero (JA-00 = 01d Point Comfort) averages near 20‰, decreases to 5‰ between JA-20 and JA-25, and falls to near zero between JA-28 and JA-37. The freshwater zone (less than 0.5%) fluctuates in extent, depending on freshwater runoff within the drainage basin.

Freshwater species occur in low salinity zones but mainly are confined above mile 25 (JA-25). Euryhaline species are found in Chesapeake Bay and between the river mouth and the edge of their salinity tolerance upriver. Thus, the lower third of the James can contain summer holdovers, winter migrants, and young-of-the-year that previously lived in freshwater. The distribution and density of these latter groups in the lower James in winter cannot be considered a constant proportion of stock size, thus comparisons between years are meaningless for these groups.

The freshwater species can be considered unit stocks because they have tangible limits to their distribution. Our sampling program assesses those fish present in mainstream, where species such as carp, the sunfishes, chain pickerel, yellow perch, suckers, several forage species, are not normally taken. Species such as the American eel, hogchoker, and sheepshead minnow burrow in the bottom mud during the cold months, preventing assessment of their true abundance. Species such as silversides, bay anchovy, blueback, alewife, menhaden, gizzard shad, spottail shiner, threadfin shad, and several others are typically pelagic species that seem to live closer to the bottom in winter. Bottom trawls cannot be used for population assessment of these normally pelagic species.

(1

()

()

()

 $i \rightarrow$

Õ.

The river residents such as white perch, striped bass, hogchoker, tesselated darter, channel catfish, white catfish, and brown bullhead are commonly taken in the survey. These, plus young-of-the-year croaker, are the only species for which comparisons between rivers and years can be attempted. Even for these species, only the smaller members (below 25 cm) are regularly captured because of the small operational width of the trawl.

Each of the rivers sampled can differ in proportion of pelagic species or incidentals to residents. The fishes that are not sampled proportional to real abundance should not be compared to species that are better sampled. Comparisons between rivers of total number or total weight per species, expressed as a percentage of either total specimens or total weight of all species captured, cannot be used as a guide to relative differences between rivers (3) or between years within a river. River comparisons of cn/f are valid if the same species are chosen in all rivers and distribution limits defined.

The James River from the mouth to freshwater contains the greatest variety of fishes of any river sampled. In a typical year 12 to 19 species have been taken per five mile zone. Over the four previous winter surveys, 35 species have been taken between the mouth and mile 20. Above mile 20 the species number drops to 6-10 per zone and declines even more between Hopewell and Richmond. From all traw1 surveys, 55 species have been captured in the James from the mouth to Richmond (Table 7.1).

The most numerous species captured in the lower James (JA-00 to JA-30) were bay anchovy, followed by young-of-theyear croaker, blueback, alewife, and menhaden. The most numerous species captured from freshwater were channel catfish, white catfish, spottail shiner, brown bullhead, and tessellated darter. White perch and striped bass were taken in small quantities between 1972 and 1975. Prior to 1972 white perch were common in midwinter trawl catches in the James.

Young-of-the-year croaker enter the lower James from the ocean in late summer and throughout the fall. They are abundant bottom fish in mainstream in winter up to mile 25. By assuming that an equal fraction (per year) of all young croakers enter the James (and other rivers), our

(3) Figure 7.1, Job 7, 1974 progress report for this project.

measurements can be used to estimate yearclass strength. From mile JA-00 to JA-24.9 the average cn/f of young croaker was 26 in 1972, 6 in 1973, 348 in 1974, and 63 in 1975, and 217 in 1976 (Table 7.10).

Channel catfish, white catfish, and brown bullhead represent species for which the best quantitative data exist from the winter surveys of the James. Channel catfish are distributed from JA-20 to JA-79.9 with the main population between JA-30 to JA-75. Average cn/f estimates computed from zone cn/f data by year show a channel catfish river index of 55 in 1972, 52 in 1973, 57 in 1974 and 69 in 1975, for miles JA-30 to JA-75.

White catfish are also distributed throughout the freshwater zone but the main population occurs between JA-30 and JA-64.9. For this zone the indices per year were 36 in 1972, 5 in 1973, 8 in 1975, and 15 in 1975.

Brown bullhead are found in mainstream but not to the extent of channel and white catfish. Their preferred habitat seems to be shallower water, oxbows, and small coves. They are more restricted along the river with the majority of specimens taken between JA-45 and JA-59.9. Within this zone their river index was 18 in 1972, 7 in 1973, 9 in 1974, and 6 in 1975.

Spottail shiner were captured in every year from mile JA-30 to JA-85 with the zone of main abundance between JA-45 to JA-84.9. By assuming similar vertical distribution in all years the average cn/f can be used as the river index between JA-45 and JA-84.9. The average cn/f was 38 in 1972, 21 in 1973, 12 in 1974, and 26 in 1975.

The ten mile section from JA-50 to JA-60 contained the greatest numbers of catfishes, bullheads and spottail shiners in every year. From mile 60 to 68 (Hopewell area) the numbers of these species fell in every year, recovered somewhat between mile 70 to 79, then fell again in proximity to Richmond (mile 85). White catfish were scarce in every year above mile 60.

York River Fish Populations

().

(_)

During the winter of 1975 the York River was sampled from the mouth through the Pamunkey and Mattaponi branches. The same program was used as on the James River. The greatest variety of fishes was found near the mouth (16 species at mile 05) with the species number falling to 3-10 in the freshwater zones of both branches.

The most numerous species were Atlantic croaker followed by hogchoker. Bay anchovies and menhaden were numerous near the river mouth. White catfish were far more numerous than channel catfish (Table 7.3), the reverse of the James. White perch were abundant throughout the river except at the mouth. Sea lamprey and American eel were found in the Pamunkey branch but not the Mattaponi. Small numbers of alewife, blueback, and American shad were found from mile 00 to 29.9.

Rather than attempt to present detailed narrative of 1975 distribution, average length and weight and weight per tow; full 1975 data are presented in Table 7.3.

A comparison of catch rates of principal species for all rivers is presented as the last section of Job 7.

Rappahannock River Fish Populations

()

()

()

White perch were the most abundant river species captured, followed by white catfish, spottail shiner and hogchoker. Croaker were abundant from mile 00 to 40. The Rappahannock average cn/f for croaker was 15.3 from mile 00 to 39.9, compared to 400 for the York and 63 for the James River (Table 7.5).

Total number of species captured was 31 in 1975 with 7 to 12 species typically encountered within any five mile section. The number of species did not decline progressively in the upriver sections as was found for the James and York. Of special interest may be the occurrence of several blue catfish between mile 55 and 75. This species is apparently becoming established in the Rappahannock system, but has not been taken by our surveys from other rivers during 1972-75.

The distribution of fishes during the winter of 1975 is best determined by inspection of Table 7.5. Other data are presented for the contract year in Table 7.9.

Potomac River Fish Populations

The Potomac River is dominated by white perch, with all other common species having far lower cn/f (Table 7.7). White perch occur from the mouth to Washington D. C., with the bulk of the population (in winter) between mile 10 to 65.⁽⁴⁾ The average cn/f for white perch was 328 in 1975, compared to 108 for the Rappahannock, 21 for the York, and less than one for the James (Table 7.9).

Brown bullhead are the second most abundant species encountered in our survey and the average river cn/f of 30.7 in 1975 was much higher than the bullhead index from the James River. Numbers of channel catfish were similar to other rivers but white catfish were less numerous in the

(4) We do not sample mile 20 to 40 because of the Dahlgren firing range.

Potomac than in any other river sampled. Overall, fewer species were found in the Potomac than in other rivers but the typical number per zone was similar to other rivers.

River Comparisons for 1975

()

 $\langle \dot{} \dot{} \dot{} \dot{} \dot{} \dot{} \rangle$

()

1.7

()

The cn/f values of Tables 7.1 to 7.8 can be used to derive a river index of abundance for species available to the gear. Within a river, zones for computation of average cn/f (river index) were selected by inspection of distribution and abundance. For most species selected, their occurrence is sporadic within the blocks of first appearance, then stabilizes over some distance, then declines again to sporadic captures. The tail ends of each distribution curve were eliminated from data for average cn/f calculation. With four years of James River data, the zones could be determined well, but for the other rivers only the 1975 distribution was considered.

The zonation and summation method has several drawbacks but represents the best alternative to delineation of stock size. Complications such as shifts in distribution one year to the next, catch rate within a block outside of the inclusive zone being dependent of yearly stock size, and segments of river known to contain the species but not within our ability to sample, must be considered limitations to the precision of the estimate. With long term measures of prime abundance areas and variance of the estimates the numerical differences between rivers will become more meaningful.

Average cn/f values for Atlantic croaker, hogchoker, white perch, spottail shiner, channel catfish, white catfish, brown bullhead, striped bass, gizzard shad, and tessellated darter are given in Table 7.9. The values are density measurements only for the trawl paths and within the river zones indicated. The zone of principal abundance for each species is different in each river and between each species within a river, however the values can be compared directly for any combination. Direct comparison of average cn/f values for different species assumes equal vulnerability to the trawl.

A five year summary of average cn/f estimates for the James River principal species is presented in Table 7.10. Hogchoker, white perch, and brown bullhead have been declining since 1972. Spottail shiner and channel catfish were fairly stable 1972-1974, but both showed a 50% decline from 1975 to 1976. Atlantic croaker young-of-the-year have increased since 1972, which parallels the general increase in croaker stocks throughout the Chesapeake Bay system.

Literature Cited

CI

 $\langle \rangle$

()

()

 \bigcirc

Hoagman, W. J., J. V. Merriner, R. S. St. Pierre, and W. L. Wilson. 1973. Biology and Management of River Herring and Shad in Virginia. Completion Report 1970-73. Virginia Institute of Marine Science. 212 p.

Hoagman, W. J., J. V. Merriner, W. H. Kriete, Jr., and W. L. Wilson. 1974. Biology and Management of River Herring and Shad in Virginia. Annual Rept. Anadromous Fish Project. Virginia Institute of Marine Science. 69 p.



 $(\widehat{})$

£

 $\{ \hat{ \left(\begin{array}{c} \\ \end{array} \right) }$

 $(\tilde{})$

()

().

Ć.)

()

Fig. 7.1 Locator map of study area with river miles indicated.

Table 7.1	James River winter survey data 1972-75 expressed	s average catch in numbers per tow (cn/f) , average catch in	weight per tow (cw/f), average fork length (\overline{L})
	in millimeters, and average weight (\overline{W}) in grams.	All tows within the five-mile section listed below the firs	t mile of that section.

٩.

			JA-0	0			JA-	05			JA-	10			JA-	-15			JA-	20	
Species	Year	cn/f	cw/f	L	Ŵ	cn/f	cw/f	L	Ŵ	cn/f	cw/f	L	Ŵ	cn/f	cw/f	L	Ŵ	cn/f	cw/f	ī	Ŵ
Bav Anchovy	1972 1973 1974 1975	393 29 366 90	511 30 563 135	53 47 59 61	1 1 2 2	2140 101 145 213	2568 51 185 358	56 42 57 55	1 1 1 2	161 270 69 403	194 203 113 658	60 49 64 52	1 1 2 2	390 130 74 31	468 117 105 41	58 43 62 54	1 1 1 1	5263 144 4	5263 121 5	52 51 47	1 1 1
Blueback Herring	1972 1973 1974 1975	12 21 <1	14 108	77 77 72	5 5	50 144 1 <1	160 609 25	76 75 138 131	3 4 33 15	112 304 <1 <1	236 1430	66 73 96 83	2 5 10 10	19 19 1	38 72 23 41	65 70 104 149	2 4 18 29	32 8 1 1	116 19	83 69 96 158	4 3 5 68
Alewife	1972 1973 1974 1975	61 4 23	906 47 273	108 104 99	15 11 12	54 37 20	631 53 170	99 105 89	12 14 9	5 54 18 2	56 54 210 56	95 100 98 113	13 10 12 28	30 28 14 1	304 255 183 38	96 95 99 138	10 9 14 38	62 7 4	722 81 50	99 96 95	12 12 12
American Shad	1972 1973 1974 1975	8 <1 1 1	115 30	111 116 139 118	15 10 24 15	13 1 3 1	227 15 68 14	114 104 119 110	17 12 21 1 4	<1 1 1	26 35 32	96 115 128 113	20 28 26	<1 3 <1	78	111 130 110	17 28 20	9	105	104	12
Atlantic Croaker	1972 1973 1974 1975	<1 8 102 28	37 338 98	83 91 73 69	10 5 3 3	8 6 166 36	50 23 543 98	74 73 70 67	7 4 3 3	84 6 405 36	209 71 1265 126	66 77 66 56	3 12 3 3	19 9 1198 132	42 57 4190 248	65 59 64 61	2 7 4 2	17 <1 231 42	69 913 55	77 87 62 55	4 10 4 1
Spot	1972 1973 1974 1975	<1 <1 62 3	1045 60	112 119 104 115	13 17 20	<1 <1 18 1	223 ⁻ 22	118 134 98 107	20 20 13 22	<1 33 13	400 312	130 98 107	50 12 24	36 1	450 36	98 116	13 30	<1 3	31	112 98	20 13
Atlantic Menhaden	1972 1973 1974 1975	9 3 3 56	88 29 50 678	87 82 107 92	10 9 18 12	5 9 9 10	42 85 160 140	95 82 102 89	9 10 18 13	<1 28 52	146 80 690	83 71 99 92	5 15 13	6 7 30 119	47 46 404 52	80 75 95 92	9 7 14 13	5 103 3	55 1765 33	98 101 85	12 17 11
Silverside	1972 1973 1974 1975	13 1 1 18	48 10 110	95 74 91 99	4 3 10 7	6 <1 1 8	38 70	96 71 104 101	7 10 9	3 5 <1 108	10 23 1860	92 78 105 108	3 5 5 10	. <1 . 1 <1 15	118	100 88 105 106	20 8	. 4	25	94	7
Hogehoker	1972 1973 1974 1975	<1 4 1	162	100 125 88	10 38 35	2 2 <1	75 113	128 125 138	50 75 65	<1 2 2 <1	128 105	145 128 131 120	50 56 53 45	3 22 5	90 677 663	108 108 126	32 32 126	2 33 62 5	40 1095 2063 210	103 111 132 118	20 34 33 44
vster Toad	1972 1973 1974 1975	· 2 2	335	68 143	168	4 2 1 <1	450 71	149 203 130 175	117 225 71 160	6 3	555 233	141 129	101 78	1 . <1		147 172	130 155	<1		191	

ທີ່

Table 7.1 (Continued). James River winter survey data.

			JA-0	00			JA-	05			JA-	10			JA-	15			JA –	20		
Species	Year	cn/f	cw/f	ī	Ŵ	cn/f	cw/f	ī	ឃ	cn/f	cw/f	Ē	พิ	cn/f	cw/f	Ē	ធ	cn/f	cw/f	L	Ŵ	
Northern Pipefish	197 2 197 3 1974 1975	3 3	11	144 133	. 3	1 2 <1 1	7	131 132 113 151	3 5 8	<1 <1		115 142		<1		136				•		
White Perch	1972 1973 1974 1975													3 <1		198 188	190 177	3 <1 1 <1	420	183 71 140 92	140 10 50 20	
American Eel	1972 1973 1974 1975				,	<1 <1		400 96	230 5	<1		265	30	<1 <1 2 2	45 206 ⁻	505 526 243 387	120 240 30 114	5 9 1	233 238 43	247 224 246	50 26 35	
Black-heek Tonguefish	1972 1973 1974 1975	<1 3 1	14 3	75 84 60	4 2	<1 <1 2	3	93 73 59	20 1	<1		58		<1 <1 1		147 78 61	53					
Spotted Hake	1972 1973 1974 1975	<1 3 5	30 43	43 98 81	10 7	<1 <1 25 8	200 68	73 96 88 89	20 8 8	8 6	66 62	92 94	8 11	10 1	80	89 103	8 18	<1		95	5	
Summer Flounder	1972 1973 1974 1975	1 5 17	23 90 535	176 118 143	23 19 31	3 15 4	135 260 124	171 121 134	49 18 28	21 10	303 198	112 118	15 21	36 2	513 34	112 122	14 21	2 <1	23	129 98	15 10	
Threadfin Shad	197 2 197 3 1974 1975	<1 1 <1	·	89 94 65	10 5	1 <1	-	109 73	20 5	2 1	33 18	104 86	19 15	1	1.00	85 85	8 9	9	48	76	5	
Gizzərd Shad	1972 1973 1974 1975									2	58	143	38	2 1 <1	190	153 139 95	106 50 20	2 1 1	93 33 45	153 134 150	51 33 4r	
Atlantic Herring	1972	11	3190	299	285	4		300	321	7	1885	306	277	<1		306	300					
iskory Shad	1974					<1		112	30	<1		116	20									
Striped Blenny	1974					<1		58	5													
Sented Sea Trout	: 1974									<1		122	20									
יפיתיג, וב'	1974	•																<1		174	1.0	~
''hern Searobi	n1975	<1		45	5		1												•			съ,
report Willet	1975	<1		27	5																	

 $\hat{\boldsymbol{\omega}}$

The second se

Table 7.1. (Continued). James River winter survey data.

			JA-2	25			JA-3	30				JA-3	5			JA-4	10			JA-49	i	
Species	Year	cn/f	cw/f	ī	พี	cn/f	cw/f	Ē	พี	ci	n/f	cw/f	L	Ŵ	en/f	cw/f	ī	พี	cn/f	cw/f	L	Ŵ
Blueback Herring	1, 1972 1973 1974 1975	33 22 1 1	56 62 8	68 66 67 73	2 3 3 6	11 3 2 5	27 24 9 15	61 63 64 68	2 8 5 3		4 9 1 4	12 25 4 6	60 68 69 69	3 3 3 2	2 3 <1 1	9	61 63 66 60	3 5 5	<1 1 1 <1	6	64 67 67	5 6 5
White Perch	1972 1973 1974 1975	7 <1 1 <1	465	126 201 79 156	72 210 13 80	1 <1	55	115 84	55 5		<1 1 1		95 117	30 25	<1 1 <1	75	158 126 97	80 _. 75 20	2 2	233 270	189 187	155 180
Hogchoker	1972 1973 1974 1975	20 8 50 1	396 259 1060 38	91 105 178 114	20 31 21 38	72 33 24 1	1685 72 715 33	94 98 96 128	23 25 30 65		24 6 3	540 100 86	9.9 98 95	23 18 29	8 1 3	163 25 16	95 104 47	22 25 7	1 <1 1 <1	8	105 89 77 73	8 20 23 20
American Eel	1972 1973 1974 1975	<1 3 2	55 123	313 223 259	50 18 61	<1 2	153	122 263	87						1.		233	35	<1 <1		267 214	80 20
Brown Bullhead	1972 1973 1974 1975	<1	•	78	5	<1 <1		242 128	200 5						<1 <1		253 235	160 200	3 5 3	326 815 68	196 194 216	116 155 156
White Catfish	1972 1973 1974 1975	12 4 4	143 39 125	83 86 133	12 11 33	37 5 6 2	252 109 35 25	80 108 72 81	7 21 6 11		83 2 5 1	549 45 30 13	79 94 82 97	7 25 7 17	22 2 11 10	994 31 103 170	86 78 81 94	46 13 9 18	30 11 6 15	474 218 109 363	80 96 90 104	16 19 19 25
Chinnel Catfish	1972 1973 1974 1975	<1 18 13 1	1157 2173 78	247 134 187 199	195 63 174 10 3	49 118 9 1	1683 5278 483 238	114 148 138 215	35 45 55 190		29 5 20 3	490 101 1130 235	109 109 147 147	17 22 58 78	96 10 42 14	4704 144 803 433	156 159 112 100	49 144 19 30	53 56 12 36	2192 4738 1558 - 1540	128 180 183 102	41 85 130 43
Gizzard Shad	1972 197 3 1974 1975	2 12 1 <1	68 346	134 133 147 135	45 29 50 40	<1 <1 <1	•	307 120 103	510 50 20		<1 <1		210 155	150 60	<1		303	480	<1 <1 1		160 145 177	60 30 80
Spottail Shiner	1972 1973 1974 1975	<1		89	5	3 2 <1 1	23 29	83 71 77 67	8 5 7 8		2 <1 1	15	77 55 75	10 5 5	16 2 · 1 13	106 10 68	88 74 82 73	7 7 5 5	6 8 5 7	42 29 33 56	85 76 82 77	7 4 7 8
Theadfin Shad	1974 1975	26	258	81	10	13	110	78	8		2	10	74	4 .	1 4	49	74 88	5 14	1	18	87	14
ר אינאראיז איז איז איז איז איז איז איז איז איז	1974 1975 -	1		40 44	3 3																	
Carp Crosker	1975 1975	3	4	39	2		·	:											<1	• •	۳ <u>8</u> ٦	4950

.

Table 7.1. (Continued). James River winter survey data.

		•		JA-50	00			•	JA-5	5	- L	•	JA-6	50			JA-6	55		- ·	JA-7	0.		
Species	Year	en	/f	cw/f	L	Ŵ	•	cn/f	cw/f	ī	Ŵ	cn/f	cw/f	ī	พี	en/f	cw/f	L	W	cn/f	cw/f	ī	Ŵ	
Blueback Herring	1972 1974	-	<1.				•	<1 1	3	59 70	5	2	10	72	· 4		·• .	·		·				
White Perch	1972 1973 1974 1975		2	257	181	143		<1 <1 <1	2	193 92 96	200 20 20	<1 <1		182 202	110 240	<1		176	120		·			
Hogchoker	1972 1974		<1 1	- .	70 55	3.		. 1 .		56	3		. ·			<1		105	40.					
American Eel	1972 1973 1974 1975		15 9 34	692 51 1 3 85	258 263 279	46 448 40	÷ ••	4 4 <1	89 93 240	200 204 256 155	22 23 69 10	<1 2	63	253 243	30 28	6 <1	123	213 263	20 50	2	46	251	26	
Brown Bullhead	1972 1973 1974 1975		22 10 2 12	2337 1395 300 1540	213 219 219 207	106 135 150 134		29 11 20 3	4707 1350 3090 583	2 2 9 217 229 2 2 7	162 123 158 179	3 1 <1	403	230 229 208	146 177 120	<1		231	150	· <1 2 <1	150	170 209 165	270 100 60	
White Catfish	1972 1973 1974 1975	(69 4 16 81	1228 144 584 2003	90 128 150 124	18 36 37 25		9 5 7 3	420 129 109 43	132 103 101 88	49 24 15 17	2 4 3 1	80 69 65	113 116 118 98	36 18 26 17	3 <1 <1	13	70 139 68	4 5 5	<1	1200	80 650	4800	
Channel Catfish	197 2 1973 1974 1975	1	70 31 18 1 46	7089 5191 1,510 6985	173 208 219 187	102 167 98 48		67 72 64 34	4704 4598 3525 1140	188 190 154 115	70 64 55 34	6 10 46 13	1791 718 3363 1305	190 170 157 185	289 73 73 100	120 56 133 150	5223 1729 1868 1583	186 164 104 106	44 31 14 11	39 46 3 144	1824 1624 105 3555	168 152 142 142	47 35 42 25	
Gizzard Shad	1972 1974	4		Ľ				<1		173	70					<1		158	50	<1		215	130	• •
Spottail Shiner	1972 1973 1974 1975]	17 <1 6 15	96 .45 120	82 77 84 87	6 5 8		12 55 6 19	70 308 45 143	81 81 85 84	6 6 8 8	6 5 11 4	37 24 63 30	80 78 82 88	6 5 6 8	20 34 4 2	95 218 33 9	81 86 85 74	5 6 9 6	38 25 1 8	183 125 11 40	79 78 81 76	5 5 9	
Threadfin Shad	1974 1975	<	<1 1	· 2	71 60	5 2	Ч.	<1		, 73	1		•			1	11	72	9	<1	40	70 01	10	
Tessellated Darter	1972 1973 1974 1975	1 2 1 4	L3 28 L0	28 65 36	69 69 72 68	2 2 4 1	. T	3 15 2	13 61 11	71 69 82	4 4 6	<1 6 1	20	79 78 93	4 20	2 1 2	7 14	78 76 78 72	4 5 9	<1 <1 <1	3	7 3 84 74	35	
Shor the ad Redhorse	1973 1974 1975	љ , с	<1		147	60 ·		~1		144	35	<1	· ·	175	80	, 1 ,. <1	•	168	90	4 <1	12	128	4 40	•
∵arp	1974											<1	1363	775	5450	•				3	115	40 ا	46	80
Northern Hogsucker	1975		~			. 			•	•			÷	•		÷.	•			<1		221	150	

i-

			JA-	75			JA-	80	· .		JA-	TIO (1)			JA-	. _{JNO} (2)	
Species	Year	cn/f	cw/f	ī	Ŵ	• cn/f	cw/f	ī	ឃ	cn/	f cw/f	ī	₩.	cn/f	cw/f	Ĺ	Ŵ
Channel Catfish	1972 1973 1974 1975	20 112 120 51	1055 5242 3418 1825	150 160 134 157	54 47 29 36	3 3 10	345 533 380	202 244 134	138 211 40	64 2 1	3 13,632 5 293 5 1560 9 233	120 109 270 157	21 23 275 97	209 519 62 18	5671 679 1920 577	125 171 131 131	27 26 31 32
White Catfish	197 2 1973 1974 1975	1 <1 2 <1	118	71 213 168 145	5 59 40	·<1	· .	165	5	25	7 3007 5 80 1	88 137 104	12 36 20	<1 <1		174 205	60 160
Brown Bullhead	1972 1973 1974 1975	8 <1 2 1	1750 168 195	228 219 186 219	233 140 96 156	<1		212	160	31 19 31 2	1 31,287 4 8998 2 3400 5 1867	198 204 213 190	101 93 106 94	88 15 48 8	9187 677 5113 600	200 195 190 176	104 90 106 72
Gizzard Shad	1972 1973 1974 1975	<1		120	20					<	1 5 85 2 133 1 347	168 131 149 214	60 34 57 260	1 1 3 2	215 197 560	234 112 163 204	215 25 66 280
Spottail Shiner	1972 1973 1974 1975	92 25 10	525 63 59 663	79 87 84 88	6 8 7 7	109 15 52 27	760 66 80 190	88 90 80 81	7 9 6 7	46 369 759 281	5 173 9 1161 5 3417 7 2027	81 90 76 91	4 6 5 7	51 189 309 48	318 453 1707 293	83 83 81 81	6 5 6
Tessellated Darter	1972 1973 1974 1975	<1 1 1 6	3 20	66 75 72 65	3 5 4	. 1	•	46 69	5 5	29 40 11 10	9 105 0 100 L 43 0 55	78 80 78 78	4 5 4 5	6 54 6 6	46 123 20 33	74 80 74 74	3 5 3 6
Shorthead Redhorse	1972 1973 ** 1974 1975	1	130	175 177	130 110			•	: .		2 100 L 700	152 194	50 233	1	60	139	45
Carp	1972 1973 1974 1975	<1 <1	340	463 413	1830 1360	•	•			, 3 2 . <]	2155 2325 . 1717	426 405 348 394	1724 1329 920 1288	1 4 2 15	1928 2668 3397 22,817	467 425 419 411	1928 1524 1456 1488
Threadfin Shad	1974 1975	а в	. *		•					70	2 20 0 670	84 91	· 9 10	3 59	27 617	83 90	10 10
Northern Hogsucker	1974 1975	<1 • 1	8	147 207	40 165	``			. .								
Tadpole Madtom	1974			- a		. 1		66.	5			•	• •				

Table 7.1 (Continued). James River winter survey data.

Table 7.1. (Conti	nued).		ver wind			-i	. 77	т (1)			JA JI	NO (2)	
• *			JA-7	5		on /f	ow/f	<u>10</u> T.	Ŵ	cn/f	cw/f	ī.	Ŵ
Species	Year	cn/f	cw/f	L.	W								
, 11 Dench	1972					2	55	1 47	37 ·				
Yellow Perch	1973					· <1		170	5				
	1974					. 1		1/0	65	٦	57	145	57
	1975					•				1	51	2.0	-
	1912					i 3		121		<1		117	30
Pumpkinseed	1972					. 5				-		115	75
	1975					5	110	103	24	. 1		112	25
	1974					<1		115	50	<1		. 90	20
	1975	,						105	110	1		172	130
under Bonch	1972					6	/10	185	22	2		111	30
white Ferch	1973					2	28	107	26	5	130	100	22
	1974					: 5	140	103	10	7	147	97	17
	1975					1	155	104	15	,	2		
						1		123	10				
Golden Shiner	1973					74	1813	120	25	1		105	15
	1974	~7		128	40	12	440	139	37				
	1975	<1		120		_		070	40				
American Eel	1972	1	35	242	35	. 1	40	270	40				
AMELICAN LOL						<1		100					
Eastern Silvery	1972					1		100	10				
Minnow	1974					4	87	114	22	. 3	53	106	18
	1975	,				-	•			~1		84	
the methods on	1972							44	,	1	5 a	40	
Hogenoket	1974					L				-			
			•			63	1.43	66	2	2	8	63	5
Blueback Herrin	g 1974					00							
	1075					<1	10	104	15				
Black Crappie	19/3		۰.			~1		210	180				
Ouillback	1972					. <1		210	100	1	833	314	625
Carnsucker	1975	·											
Carponener					•	1	90	160	90				
Bluegill .	1972					~							
-	1074					· 1		85	20				
River Chub	1974									1		558	680
Longnose Gar	1973	•					•			1			
Houghose our										1	67	235	200
Creek	1975	•									·		
Chubsucker	5		•		•					_	:		

Š

(Continued) James River winter survey data.

.....

(1) TIO = Turkey Island Oxbow on James River mile 67

(2) $_{\rm JNO}$ = Jones Neck Oxbow on James River mile 70.5

Table 7.1. (Continued). Incidental ratches from the James River winter survey.

			•			
Species	Year	Zone	cn/f	cw/f	ī	Ŵ
Quillback Carpsucker	1974 1975	JA-75 JA-65	<1 <1		141 103	40 20
Naked Goby	1973 1974 1975	JA-00 JA-20 JA-20	2 <1. <1	• •	32 31 29	5 5
Channel Bass	1973	JA-00	<1		66	
River Chub	1973	JA-40	<1		87	5
Silver Hake	1973	JA-00	<1		93	
Channel Catfish	1973 1974 1975	JA-20 JA-20 JA-20	<1 2 1	660 148 265	515 174 280	2000 74 353
White Catfish	1974 1975	JA - 20 JA - 20	1 1	64	197 137	110 51
Brown Bullhead	1973	JA-20	<1		90	5
Green Goby	1973	JA-15	<1		40	5
'Fantail Darter	1973	JA-80	<1		39	- 39
Creek Chubsucker	1975	JA-50	<1		90	10
Atlantic Sturgeon	1975	JA-70	<1		200	50
Winter Flounder	1972 1973	JA00 JA05 JA00	<1 <1 <1		160 208 139	80 100 20
Tautog	1972 1975	JA-05 JA-10 JA-00	<1 <1 <1		130 85 178	40 170
Feather Blenny	1972 1973 1975	JA -00 JA -05 JA -00 JA -00 JA -00 JA -05	<1 <1 <1 1 <1	1.8	62 74 46 74 95	20 18 30
Striped Bass	1972 1973	JA-10 JA-15 JA-00 JA-15	<1 2 <1 <1	640	254 276 379 346	253 320 450 595
Skillefish	1972 1973	JA-00 JA-05	<1 <1		43 51	
Smallmouth Flounder	1972 1974	JA-05 JA-05	<1 <1		- 64 71	5
Alewife	1972	JA-25	6	40	86	6
Atlantic Croaker	1972 1974	JA-25 JA-25	91 1	182	2 37	52 3
Atlantic Menhaden	1972	JA-40	<1		71	
American Shad	1974 1975	JA-45 JA-50	21 9.	505	121 251	20 56
Tessellated Darter	1972	JA-20 JA-25 JA-30 JA-40 JA-45 JA-30 JA-35 JA-30 JA-35 JA-40	<1 <1 <1 <1 <1 8 <1 2 1 2	27	71 100 76 71 62 65 68 77 65	10 3 5 5 5
	1974	JA-45 JA-30 JA-40	31 <1 5	84	64 55 65	3 5 2

ł

0		JA	-00	JA	-05	JA	-10	JA	-15	JA	-20	JA	-25	JA	-30	JA	-35	JA	-40	JA	-45	J <i>I</i>	<u>4-50</u>
Species	Year	5	V	5	<u>v</u> .	5	V	S	V	5	<u>v</u>	5	V	5	V	5	V	S	V	5	<u>v</u>	5	V
Bav Anchovy	1972	564.4	144	3274.6	152	211.7	131	358.4	92 124	1745.5	33	2687.1	102										
	1974	320.5	88	70.5	49	85.7	125	118.9	161	171.8	119	1.0	200								.*		
	1975	68.8	76	371.6	175	729.7	. 181.	53.7	172	5.2	148	1.0	200										
Blueback Herring	1972	11.1	. 97	46.8	94	54.4	48	25.6	136	27.2	84	25.4	77	9.0	80	3.3	87	1.3	87 ·	• • •	00		
	1973	12.7	01	1.5	200	0.5	200	1.9	151	1.0	200	1.0	200	1.4	85.7	1.5	119.9	0.5	200	1.4	141		
	1975	0.5	200	0.5	137	0.4	224	0.9	64	0.9	128	0.9	77	2.8	52	3.3	82	1.0	77	0.5	200		
Alewife	1972	65.3	107	26.0	48	7.7	171	26.2	89	29.1	47	2.5	40										
	1973 1974	4.2 19.7	98 86	25.8	54 129	68./ 16.7	-93	9.8 3.4	35 25	6.0 7.2	170				•								
	1975					2.0	100	1.7	173											-			
American Shad	1972	5.4	72	19.3	146	0.6	115	1.0	128	6.2	69												
	1973 1974	0.5 1.0	200 77	1.9	151 46	2.5 1.9	192 151	3.8	137											0.5	200		
	1975	0.6	115	1.7	173	1.3	109	0.4	224													18.0	200
Atlantic	1972			5.9	79	99.7	119	26.1	137	13.7	83	37.6	41	· · ·						· ·			
Croaker	1973	6.9	83	2.6	41 81	2.6 549.7	45	8.8	104	1.2	182	15	200										
	1975	18.6	65	· 65.0	181	63.2	175	170.7	130	64.2	152	4.4	174										
Spot	1972	0.6	115	0.5	200					0.5	200												
•	1973	0.1	127	0.5	200	0.5	200	10 5		2 4	05						-•						
	1975	2.6	86	1.4	141	16.8	129	1.6	137		05												
Atlantic	1972	8.3	94	1.9	42			6.4	116	3.7	82			•				0.5	200				
Menhaden	1973	3.2	97	5.8	68	23.9	87	6.1	94	100 -	101								200		•		
	1974	97.0	124	8.7 15.0	96 145	4.0 66.7	129	156.9	85 132	196.7 4.8	159	•											
Silversides	1972	11.9	95	4.6	79	3.9	122			2.5	71			•						,			
01100101000	1973	1.4	141	1.0	127	4.4	98	1.3	100	2.13	, <u>r</u>		•										
	1974 1975	1.4 21.0	141 116	1.0	200 128	0.5 315.5	200 174	0.5 31.3	200 206														
Vogabokon	1972	0 5	200	17	117	0 5	200	2 0	100	0 P	· 40	16 7	70	77 5	50	00 1	0.2	F 0	C 0	1 0	115	0 7	0.00
, jar ADKet	1973	2.5	58	0.6	38	2.9	126	8.7	40	15.9	40	9.4	113	24.3	52 75	1.3	92 24	1.2	120	0.6	115	0.5	200
	1974 1975	1.0	200	. 0.9	224	2.2	108 137	6.2	118	65.4 9.5	105 200	63.4	128 200	12.6	5 3 200	2.4	82	2.4	95	0.6	115 200	1.0	200
	2070									5.5	200	2,0	200		200					0.5	200		
vsren load	1972	L./ .	113	5.7	163 91	3.1 2.9	.98	· 1.0	15 L 200	1.0	128												
	1974		100	0.8	. 82			1.0	200														

Table 7.2 Standard deviation (s) of cn/f and coefficient of variation (V) in percent for James River winter survey data listed in Table 7.1.

Table 7.2 (Continued)

		J.	A-00	JA	-05	JA	-10	JA	-1.5	JA	-20	JA	-25	JA	-30 [.]	JA	-35	JA	-40	JA	-45	JA	
Species	Year	S	V	S	V	s	V	S	V	S	V	S	V	S	V	S	V	S .	V	S	V	S	V
Northern Pipefish	1972 1973 1974 1975	3.6 2.5	120 76	1.4 2.7 0.5 0.9	141 135 200 149	0.6 0.5	115 200	0.5	200			· ·		•								•	
White Perch	1972 1973 1974 1975	-						2.6 1.0	104 127	4.7 1.2 0.9 0.5	157 171 128 200	0.1 0.5 1.5 1.0	.15 200 200 200	1.0 0.6	82 114	0.5	200 200	0.6 0.8 0.5	115 82 200	1.7 0.6	113 38	1.5	83
American Eel	1972			0.5	200			0.5	200			1.0	200	1.0	200					0.5	200	21.5	143
	1973 1974 1975			0.4	224	0.5	200	0.5 1.7 3.5	200 115 194	6.4 10.1 2.5	137 113 200	0.8	27 200	1.7	98			1.0	200	. 0.5	200	5.9 59.4	68 173
Blackcheek Tonguefish	1972 1973 1974 1975	1.0 3.3 1.5	128 100 120	1.0 0.5 2.9	128 200 164	0.6	115	0.5 0.5 1.0	200 200 200								÷ .						
Spotted Hake	1972 1973 1974 1975	0.5 3.5 4.8	115 116 77	0.5 0.5 16.9 10.1	200 200 67 123	9.0 6.3	110 108	5.0 1.1	49 137	0.5	200	- - -											
Summer Flounder	1973 1974 1975	0 8.8 2.7	0 186 · 16	2.8 18.0 5.5	100 124 125	15.5 16.8	75 175	24.0 1.1	68 71	1.9 0.5	128 200												
Threadfin Shad	1973 1974 1975	0.5 1.0 0.5	200 128 200	0.6 0.4	116 224	2.2 1.3	127 109	1.0 8.8	128 82	7.9	87	21.1	83	9.5	72	1.7	76	0.6	115 50	1.5	120	0.5 1.0	200 128
.Gizzard Shad	1972 1973 1974 1975				-	1.3	86	2.4 1.0 0.9	133 200 224	1.2 1.0 0.8	67 100 82	0.6 9.6 1.5 0.5	40 80 200 200	0.5 0.5 0.5	200 200 200	0.5	200 200	0.5	200	0.5 0.5 0.6	200 200 115		
Atlantic Herring	J 1972	10.9	97	5.0	131	13.5	198	·1.0	128				. '										
Hickory Shad	1974	•		0.5	200	0.5	200									•							
Brown Bullhead	1972 1973 1974 1975			:						0.6	173	0.5	200	0.6	115 114			1.0 0.5	115 200	3.0 9.2 5.4	107 175 178	28.1 19.2 1.8 12.4	128 186 91 108
White Catfish	1972 1973 1974 1975	-					· .			1.0 0.9	200 77	15.4 1.7 3.3	128 49 88	17.1 4.3 5.2 2.6	46 81 84 117	111.4 1.7 4.2 1.0	134 94 93 128	17.1 1.7 7.3 2.1	78 74 67 22	17.3 2.5 5.2 7.2	58 22 90 50	54.7 4.2 13.4 66.8	79 10" 8" 87

en c

۰,

ε.

Table 7.2 (Continued).

		JA	-00	JA	-05	JA	-10	JA	1-15	J <i>I</i>	-20	JA	-25	JA	-30	JA	-35	JA	-40	JA	-45	JA	-50
Species	Year	S	V	S	V	S	V	S	V	S	V	S	V	S	V	S	V	S	V	S	V	S	V
Channel Catfish	1972 1973 1974 1975				· .	-				0.6 2.4 0.5	173 122 67	0.6 22.4 6.4 0.9	115 122 52 128	17.9 42.4 5.7 1.0	37 36 65 77	52.7 3.5 14.2 1.4	182 78 73 47	52.7 13.5 43.0 5.9	55 135 103 41	22.7 35.0 8.7 23.3	43 63 72 65	43.0 46.4 162.6 27.8	62 148 138 19
Spottail Shiner	1972 1973 1974 1975											0.5	200	2.4 1.5 4.5 0.6	86 65 114 115	1.7 1.0 0.5	113 127 67	16.7 1.0 0.6 10.8	106 67 115 86	5.8 3.8 3.8 6.3	94 51 84 88	10.3 0.5 5.4 4.2	62 67 99 29
Tessellated Darter	1972 1973 1974 1975									0.5	200	0.5	200	0.5 0.5 0.5	200 67 200	1.5 1.0	200 56	0.5 1.0 5.0	200 77 99	8.3 17.6	106 57	5.0 16.4 12.0 5.0	39 59 117 200
Striped Bass	1972 1973	0.5	200	•		1.0	128	2.8 0.6	141 114		•		•	-									•
Feather Blenny	1972 1973 1975	0.5 0.5 1.4	200 200 141	1.0 0.4	200 224							;				•		· ·					
Winter Flounder	1972 1973	0.5 0.5	200 200	1.0	128											•		- - -		. .			•
Naked Goby	1972 1973 1974 1975	0.7	47						•	0.5 65.4 0.5	200 105 200											÷ .	
Tautog	1972 1974											•			•		•	0.5	200		-	0.5	200
Smallmouth Flounder	1972 1974	•		0.5 0.5	200 200																		×
Skilletfish	1972 1973	1.0	128	0.6	114								. '							•			•
Shorthead Redhor se	1972 1974		•											· .			·	0.5	200			0.5	200
Striped Blenny	1974			0.5	200																		
Northern Searchin	1975	0.5	200							•												· ·	·
Sea Lamprey	1974									0.5	200												
Carp	1975													•						0.5	200		"
Striped Mullet	1975	0.5	200				• •																4
Greek hubswike	r 1975																					0.5	200

.

· . `

_

. · · ·

•

a si pe

٠

.

Table 7.2 (Continued).

		та	-55	.12	-60	JA	-65	JA	-70	JA-	-75	JA	-80	JA-	(1)	JA	-JNO (2
Species	Year	s	<u>V</u> .	s	V	S	V	S	V	S	V	s	V	S	V	S	V
Blueback Herring	1974	0.6	115.5	1.7	76									55.0	88	2.1	125
American Shad	1972													0.7	141	1.4	141
Hogehoker	1972 1974	0.1	200			0.5	200							1.2	173	0.7	141 87
White Perch	1972 1973	0.5	67	0.5	200- 200	0.5	200	·						0 2.1	0 84	0.5	200 140
	1974 1975	0.5 0.5	200 200											5.9 4.6	110 65	8.7. 9.0	145 81
American Eel	1972 1973 1974 1975	4.2 4.5 5.2 0.5	105 113 148 200	1.0 1.7	200 76	12.0	200 200	2.9	16	1.4	141			1.4	141		
Threadfin Shad	1974 1975	0.5	200			1.2	101	0.5	200	•				2.5 60.1	108 86	2.5 70.3	94 119
Gizzard Shad	1972 1973 1974 1975	0.5	200			0.5	200	0.5	200	0.5	200			0.5 5.7 4.0 1.2	200 200 173 87	i.4 1.4 3.6 1.7	141 140 120 87
Brown Bullhead	1972 1973 1974 1975	25.6 5.8 35.7 2.5	88 53 183 77	2.5 1.0 2.5	89 128 200	1.0	200	0.5 1.9 0.5	200 127 200	3.5 1.5 2.1. 1.9	47 200 118 151	0.5	200	199.4 55.9 37.8 9.5	64 29 118 38	50.9 1.4 42.1 9.3	58 9 87 111
White Catfish	1972 1973	30.6 3.2	46 60	1.0	45 147	4.6 0.5	144 200	0.5	200	0 0.5 3.4	0 200 168	0.5	67	335.2 4.9	130 109	0.6	173
	1974	317	148	1.5	200	0.5	200	0.5	200	0.5	200			0.6	173	0.6	173
Channel Catfish	1972 1973 1974 1975	30.6 38.6 71.4 49.3	46 54 111 147	5.1 6.9 34.6 14.7	82 70 75 113	105.2 51.0 239.7 218.5	88 91 181 146	51.0 23.2 2.4 183.4	131 50 95 127	10.6 94.0 117.3 83.9	54 84 98 164	2.1 2.2 10.6	84 73 112	239.0 26.9 5.1 10.2	37 103 91 54	152.0 149.2 43.2 20.4	73 29 70 113
Spottail Shiner	1972 1973 1974 1975	5.5 25.9 5.8 18.1	45 47 97 97	2.2 2.9 4.5 7.3	37 64 43 184	8.1 2 1.2 5.1 1.7	41 62 145 115	28.7 10.0 1.3 8.6	75 40 101 105	67.9 19.2 8.2 110.0	74 76 82 110	7.8 11.0 37.5	7 73 141	53.0 402.3 813.5 310.7	116 109 108 108	46.0 122.3 242.5 33.3	91 65 78 69
Tessellated Darter	1972 1973 1974 1975	3.2 9.9 2.2	107 65 108	0.5 6.4 1.0	200 115 200	2.2 1.0 2.4 1.0	122 77 159 128	0.5 1.5 0.5 4.9	200 200 200 116	0.7 2.0 0.6 7.1	141 200 115 130	0.7	141	24.0 15.6 9.5 6.6	83 39 89 66	4.9 24.7 7.9 4.7	89 46 132 83

۰.

υn.

Table 7.2 (Continued).

		. 12	-55	JA	-60	JA	-65	JA-	-70	JA	-75	JA-80	JA	$-\mathrm{TIO}^{(1)}$	JA	-JNO ⁽²⁾
Species	Year	<u>s</u>	V	S	V	S	V	S	V	S	V	s V	s	V	S	<u>V</u>
Shorthead Redhorse	1972 1973 1974 1975	0.5	200	0.5	200	0.5	200	0.6 3.1	114 124	0.7	141 82 200		2.8 1.0	141 100	2.3	. 173
Carp	1972 1973 1974 1975			0.5	200					0.5	200 200		0.7 0.7 0.6 2.3	28 20 173 173	1.4 2.1 2.1 25.7	141 60 89 168
Northern Hogsucker	1974 1975							0.5	200	0.5 1.0	200 200			•		
Yellow Perch	1972 1973 1974 1975				•						· · · ·		2.1 0.7 1.2	141 140 173	00	00
Pumpkinseed	1972 1974 1975				·								2.8 4.7 0.6	94 101 173	0.7 1.2 1.2	141 173 173
Golden Shiner	1973 1974 1975					·				0.5	200	. '	1.4 62.6 19.9	141 85 166	1.2	173
Eastern Silvery Minnow	1972 1974 1975												0.7 1.2 6.9	141 173 173	5.2	173
Quillback Carpsucker	1972 1974 1975					0.5	200			0.5	200		0.7	141	1.2	87
Black Crappie	1975														1.2	173
Bluegill	1972												1.4	141		
River ^hub	1974											•	0.6	87		
Longnose Gar	1973														1.4	140
Creek Chubsucker	1975					•	· ·								0.6	173
Atlantic Sturger	on 1975							0.5	200							•
Fantail Darter	1973 ·							•				0.5 200				

9⁶

.1) TO = Turkey Island Oxbow on James River mile 67

No = Jones Neck Oxbow on James River mile 70.5

		· ·		
Species	Year	River Mile	<u> </u>	V
River Chub	1973	JA-35	0.5	200
Green Goby	1973	JA-15	0.5	200
Silver Hake	1973	JA-00	0.5	200
Red Drum	1973	JA-00	0.5	200
Spotted Sea Trout	1974	JA-10	0.5	200

Table 7.2 Continued: Incidental catches from the James River winter survey.

		Y0-	00			Y0-	05			Y0-	10			Y0-	15			¥0-	20	
Species	cn/f	cw/f	Ē	Ŵ	cn/f	cw/f	L	พี	cn/f	cw/f	Ē	Ŵ	cn/f	cw/f	Ē	\overline{w}	cn/f	cw/f	ī	Ŵ
Bay Anchovy	1702	86 2	49	1	842	826.	50	1	103	84	50	1	46	45	49	1	34	28	48	1
Blueback Herring	<1		75	10	1		80	3	1		75	5	1	5	75	4	<1		66	5
Alewife	l		100	13	<1		112	20	1	12	98	12	<1		95	10	1		94	10
Atlantic Croaker	632	688	54	. 1	456	303	46	l	102	78	43	l	. 45	43	47	1	636	205	33	<1
Spot	3	60	104	20	2	36	107	16	1	24	107	20	5	93	111	19	1		109	15
Atlantic Menhaden	983 3	L3,942	92	14	2	20	86	13	<1		69	10	1	8	81	· 8	1		75	7
Atlantic Silverside	3	16	94	5	2	16	10 2	9												
Hogchoker	2	84	124	42	25	1182	127	47	41	1474	118	36	36	1.083	105	30	14	355	98	25
White Perch					27	5122	215	193	12	1702	187	144	32	2838	158	88	37	948	104	26
American Eel	<1	÷	489	200	<1		426	120		.*			<1		420	50	· 1		200	10
Summer Flounder	2	84	170	53	. 1	48	178	60	1		184	60	l	78	178	62	5	243	173	54
Gizzard Shad	•		_							· .			- <1		206	140	1	÷	170	80
White Catfish													<1		330	560	<1		238	670
Blackcheek Tonguefish	1	20	114	17	1	14	115	12	2	12	71	5	4	9	61	2	1		100	3
American Shad	2	28	107	13	<1		105	15				1 - A - A	•							· ·
Sea Lamprey	<1		141	10					<1		148	5					· 1		150	. 5
Striped Bass									<1		207	120	<1		100	10				
Oyster Toad					<1		77	10	<1		111	33	. '	•						
Naked Goby					l		35	3	<1		40	- 3								
Northern Pipefish	<1.		~ 1 11	5								,								
Black Sea Bass									<1		180	90								÷
Spotted Hake				-									<1		. 95	10				•
Threadfin Shad																·	<1		71	5

Table 7.3. York River winter survey data 1975 expressed as average catch in numbers per tow (cn/f), average catch in weight per tow (cw/f), average fork length (\overline{L}) in millimeters, and average weight (\overline{W}) in grams. All tows within the five-mile section listed below the first mile of that section.

°; 8

•

Table 7.3 (Continued) York River winter survey data 1975.

	45	YP-/			0	YP-4			5	YP-3			0(1)	YP-3			5	Y0-2			
Ŵ	L	cw/f	cn/f	Ŵ	L	cw/f	cn/f	Ŵ	L	cw/f	cn/f	Ŵ	Ē	cw/f	cn/f	W	Ē	cw/f	n/f	en	Species
																1	45	6	5		Bay Anchovy
			1								·					5	69		<1		Blueback Herring
				•												20	122		<1		Alewife
																<1	25	83	527	5	Atlantic Croaker
																40	136 ·		<1		Atlantic Menhaden
11	79	8043	757	7	68	465	72	7	66	80	9	44	123	100	2	20	100		1		Hogehoker
17	-88	323	19	53	108	368	7	20	87	233	9	85	155	1333	16	39	116	1048	27		White Perch
80	340		<1	40	258	40	1	10	150	•	<1	57	257		1	10	148	÷	<1		American Eel
110	199		<1													10	105		<1		Gizzard Shad
18	89	353	20	71	121	763	11	54	139	790	11.	105	180	2800	27	90	184	700	8		White Catfish
•				-												10	80		<1		American Shad
10	155		1	10	158		<1	5	138		1					5	145		1		Sea Lamprey
																100	368		<1		Longnose Gar
42	129	400	10	133	207	730	6	10	97		<1										Channel Catfish
2	62	6	3	5 ·	60		<1														Tessellated Darter
7	91		1					•			•										Spottail Shiner
10	129		<1								•										Atlantic Sturgeon
	199 89 155 129 62 91 129	353 400 6	<1 20 1 10 3 1 <1	71 10 133 5	121 158 207 60	763 730	11 <1 6 <1	54 5	139 138 97	790	11 1 <1	105	180	2800	27	10 90 10 5 100	105 184 80 145 368	700	<1 8 <1 1 <1	•	Gizzard Shad White Catfish American Shad Sea Lamprey Longnose Gar Channel Catfish Tessellated Darter Spottail Shiner Atlantic Sturgeon

			₀ (2 ['])			YM-3	5			YM-4	0	
Species	cn/f	cw/f	<u> </u>	Ŵ	cn/f	cw/f	ī	พี	cn/f	cw/f	L	<u> </u>
Uerebokon	16	163	177	10	3	18	66	7	40	145	57	4
White Perch		436	125	53	9	228	107	33	15	588.	116	39
Cincard Shad									<1		1.55	60
White Catfish	15	1470	158	101	71	2438	102	46	17	1780	153	103
Channel Catfish					1	55	132	40	1		126	40
Tessellated Darter					3	11	71	5	13	253	64	19
Spottail Shiner					13	10	94	95	3	30	96	1.2
Shorthead Redhorse									1	70	162	70

C

.

•

ų,

•

٩.

Table 7.3, (Continued). York River winter survey data 1975.

(1) PM = Pamunkey branch of York River

(2) MP = Mattaponi branch of York River

Table 7.4. Standard deviation (s) of cn/f and coefficient of variation (V) in percent for York River winter survey data listed in Table 7.3.

÷

		17													•		
	YC	00-0	YO	-05	YO	-10	YO	-15	YO-	-20	YO	-25	PM	$-30^{(1)}$	PM	-35	
Spenies	S	V	S	<u>v</u>	S	V	S	V	S	V	S	V	S	<u>v</u>	S	<u>v</u>	
Bay Anchovy	1563.5	92	1689.3	200	194.2	188	64.0	141	27.6	82	3.6	71					
Blueback Herring	0.4	224	1.3	224	1.1	137	1.2	101	0.5	200	0.5	200					
Alewife	0.9	149	0.5	137	1.0	100	0.5	200	0.5	67	0.5	200					
Atlantic Croaker	588.4	93	697.0	153	106.0	104	35.5	78	858.3	135	374.4	71					
Spot	6.2	205	1.9	87	1.6	137	1.9	40	0.6	115							
Atlantic Menhaden	2050.9	209	3.0	190	0.4	224	1.4	141	1.0	128	0.5	200	•				
Atlantic Silverside	3.6	114	2.7	149													
Hogchoker	1.4	71	37.2	146	42.3	103	17.9	49	15.4	108	0.6	115	2.6	117	20.8	173	
White Perch			27.0	101	18.5	157	20.2	62	37.5	102	36.3	134	19.5	124	9.0	79	
American Eel	0.4	224	0.4	224			0.5	200	1.0	128	0.5	200	1.0	128	0.6	173	
Summer Flounder	3.0	191	0.8	105	0.5	91	1.0	77	8.3	185							
Gizzard Shad							0.5	200	0.6	115	0.5	200					
White Catfish							0.5	200	0.5	200	15.5	200	21.6	81	2.5	17	
Blackcheek Tonguefish	1.6	137	1.3	109	3.3	152	1.8	46	1.0	200							
American Shad	4.9	274	0.9	224							0.5	200					
Sea Lamprey	0.4	224			0.5	137			1.0	200	1.0	200			1.2	173	
Striped Bass					0.4	224	0.5	200									
Oyster Toad	٩		0.9	224	0.5	137			•					•		•	
Niked Goby			0.9	149	0.9	224											
Northern Pipefish	0.4	224															
Black Sea Bass					0.4	224		÷.,									
Spotted Hake							0.5	200	•								
Threadfin Shad									0.5	200							
Longnose Gar										• •	0.5	200			•		
d hannel Catfish						•									0.6	173	

. . .

.....

, in the second s

Table 7.4 (Continued).

		-40	PM-	-45	MP	-30 ⁽²⁾	MP	-35	MP	-40	
Species	<u>S</u>	V	S	V	S	V	S	V	S	<u>v</u>	
Hogchoker	65.4	91	716.0	94	25.6	162	3.3	133	40.3	100	
White Perch	5.6	80	13.7	74	15.8	, 192	2.9	42	11.8	79	
American Eel	1.4	141	0.5	200							
Gizzard Shad			0.5	200					0.5	200	
White Catfish	4.3	. 40	23.4	120	16.1	111	26.4	50	15.7	91	
Sea Lamprey	0.5	200	0.6	115						•.	
Channel Catfish	3.8	69	5.7	60			2.0	200	0.6	115	
Tessellated Darter	0.5	200	3.6	119			4.4	174	23.3	176	
Spottail Shiner			1.0	128			16.9	174	2.4	95	
Atlantic Sturgeon			0.5	200							
Shorthead Redhorse									2.0	200	

20

(1) PM = Pamunkey branch of York River

(2) MP = Mattaponi branch of York River

		RA-0	00			RA-0	5				RA-	10			RA-1	L5			RA	-20	
Species	en/f	cw/f	ī	Ŵ	cn/f	cw/f	L	Ŵ	c	n/f	cw/f	Ē	Ŵ	cn/f	cw/f	Ē	Ŵ	cn/f	cw/f	Ē	Ŵ
Bay Anchovy	33	71	56	2	28	47	60	2		11	11	47	1	3	10	51	4				
Blueback Herring	7.	48	88	7	1.03	682	93	7		<1		105	20	. 36	218	81	6				
Alewife	47	920	118	19	5	94	110	18		60	1332	117	22	5	130	119	25]	L	102	17
Atlantic Croaker	10	16	41	2	41	45	48	ì		22	24	54	1	18	45	61	2]	L 3	59	3
Spot	<1		111	20	24	385	105	16		14	192	· 98	14	6	90	97	14		89	105	18
Atlantic Menhaden	1425	20,970	100	15	21	329	86	16		10	162	90	17	14	136	105	9	2	÷ 58	94	16
Atlantic Silverside	10	164	101	16	15	474	97	32		4	34	104	9								
Hogchoker					1	66	138	6 6		4	210	133	53	2	64	119	40	<]		155	10
White Perch	4	940	224	216	12	1946	197	168		52	7160	188	138	28	3494	181	127	44]	. 24 , 676	137	56
American Eel					<1		206	30						<1		178	20				
Summer Flounder					<1		133	40		<1		153	40	<1		161	40	<1		172	40
Gizzard Shad					<1		163	70		<1		141	50	<1		145	60	e	410	146	68
Blackcheek Tonguefish	<i< td=""><td></td><td>93</td><td>18</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>· ·</td><td>÷.,</td></i<>		93	18																· ·	÷.,
American Shad			•		2	42	108	18									•				
Winter Flounder					<1		245	2 2 0											•		
Skilletfish					<1		52	5						<1		59	10				
Spotted Hake	•									<1		115	20			•					
Striped Bass									•				•		- 			<1		95	10
Striped Mullet												•		•				. <1		170	70

Table 7.5 Rappahannock River winter survey data 1975 expressed as average catch in numbers per tow (cn/f), average catch in weight per tow (cw/f), average fork length (\vec{L}) in millimeters, and average weight (\vec{W}) in grams. All tows within the five-mile section listed below the first mile of that section.

لله

Table 1.9 Continued. Rabbananiock whiter survey data 1.	Table / 5 (Conti	nued. Rappahannock winter survey data L
---	------------------	---

	RA-25				RA-30				RA-35				RA-40				RA-45			
Species	cn/f	cw/f	L	Ŵ	cn/f	cw/f	L	Ŵ	cn/f	cw/f	L	W	cn/f	cw/f	L	Ŵ	en/f	cw/f	L	Ŵ
Bay Anchovy	8	9	47	1	12	14	46	l	15	16	48	l	1		-37	2				
Blueback Herring	1		78	10	1		79	3	<1		72	5								
Alewife	1		103	15	<1		122	30												
Atlantic Croaker	l	3	42	2	4	4	38	l	3	5	32	2	1	1	29	1				
Threadfin Shəd					<1		91	20	1		81	18								
Atlantic Menhaden	6	35	88	6	5	54	85	11	3	41	89	14								
Atlantic Silverside									1		88	5								
Hogchoker					l	40	116	40	. 4	. 24	62	6	45	235	61	5	74	678	73	9
White Perch	12	923	151	76	53	1563	111	29	27	1330	113	50	383	5218	92	14	150	1928	93	13
Striped Bass													2	19	92	11.				
Eastern Silvery Minnow					•.												1		103	25
Gizzard Shad	7	253	134	39	11	303	134	28	15	1210	147	81	57	1778	126	31	3	80	114	29
White Catfish					<1	225	340	900			•		1		126	30	128	7920	170	62
Channel Catfish									-								4	285	161	76
Spottail Shiner									l		102	20	<1		75	5	3	28	96	11
Tessellated Darter													<1		67	5	2	16	72	7
Yellow Perch													<1		152	50	<1		191	120
Golden Shiner							•							•			<1	·	118	20
Sea Lamprey												•					<1		171	20

'n.,
													,							
		RA-9	50			RA-5	5			RA-6	50			RA-6	55		• •	RA-7	70	
Species	cn/f	cw/f	Ĺ	Ŵ	cn/f	cw/f	L	Ŵ	cn/f	cw/f	L	Ŵ	cn/f	cw/f	L	พี	en/f	cw/f	Ē	Ŵ
Hogchoker	1.6	108	65	7	l		86	18	3	35	88	14	<1	•	82	5				
White Perch	53	1053	102	20	100	2275	111	23	43	938	102	22	20	175	107	9	34	838	106	20
Pigfish					1		146	55												
Yellow Perch					<1		100	20		•										
Gizzard Shad	5	140	109	27	2	105	151	70	4	1000	218	235	1		199	150				
White Catfish	17	1308	143	78	5	428	150	95	. 1		82	15	2	125	146	56	3	233	155	62
Channel Catfish	4	235	119	55	8	325	124	43	8	370	152	49	18	1158	169	64	7	738	150	84
Spottail Shiner	2	20	. 97	10	l		99	28	2	20	91	11	9	91	43	10	50	574	94	9
Tessellated Darter	1	4	76	3	2	13	77	6	7	39	81	5	6	24	74	4	2	8	71	4
Yellow Perch					<1		100	20	an a			14 - 14 1					. 1	128	170	85
Eastern Silvery Minnow	1		108	20					1	20	103	-16	l	1.4	98	14	41	845	111	16
Golden Shiner											•		l		123	30	4	230	148	51
Blue Catfish	1		148	30					1	··	105	15	<1		81	5	2	45	106	18
Brown Bullhead					<1		198	110	<1		161	190	-<1		171	80	1	205	206	164
Threadfin Shad																	<1		94	5

Table 7.5. (Continued). Rappahannock River winter survey data 1975.

		RA-75	5			RA-80	0			RA-85	5	
Species .	en/f	cw/f	Ĺ	Ŵ	en/f	cw/f	L	₩.	cn/f	cw/f	L	Ŵ
		·				· •		•	•		•	
White Perch	2	48	109	27	<1		96	5		÷ .	• •	
American Eel		•			<1	. •	94	5	<1		117	5
Pumpkinseed	<1		100	20					•	÷		÷
White Catfish	13	813	160	61	<1		200	120				
Channel Catfish	. 41	2098	160	51	5	403	170	81	1	56	125	45
Spottail Shiner	61	770	95	13	30	320	95	11	9	88	91	10
Tessellated Darter	4	18	77	5	24	95	72	4	4	24	78	6
Yellow Perch	<1		219	170								
Eastern Silvery Minnow	42	1073	111	25	2	10	115	6	<1		110	30
Golden Shiner	<1		138	50								
Blue Catfish	1	÷	119	20								
Brown Bullhead	13	1763	213	141								

Table 7.5 (Continued). Rappahannock River winter survey data 1975.

å

										· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			<u> </u>					<u></u> -	-	
				•		•	•													
	RA	-00.	RA	-05	RA	-10	RA	-15	RA	-20	RA	-25	RA	-30	RA	-35	RA	-40	RA	-45
Species	5	<u>v</u>	S	<u>v</u>	<u>s</u>	<u>v</u>	<u>s</u>	<u>v</u>	S	V	S	<u>v</u>	<u> </u>	V	<u>s</u>	V	<u>S</u>	<u>v</u>	<u>S</u>	V
Bay Anchovy	55.2	167	53.2	188	17.1	161	2.4	93			10.8	140	8.1	69	18.0	122	1.5	200		
Blueback Herring	15.2	224	230.1	222	0.4	224	67.6	189	•		1.0	200	1.0	200	0.5	200				
Alewife	99.4	210	6.0	115	130.3	217	9.5	184	0.9	149	1.0	200	0.5	200						
Atlantic Croaker	3.8	. 86	68.7	167	41.2	184	34.8	191	1.7	173	1.5	120	3.6	89	1.7	52	2.5	200		
Spot	1.0	137	39.8	163	24.2	178	9.7	152	9.5	191										
Atlantic Menhaden	2028.4	142	24.5	115	20.8	212	25.3	176	2.3	64	3.3	60	3.2	63	4.1	136				
Atlantic Silverside	12.3	121	15.9	106	4.6	116			0.4	224	· .				0.6	115				
Hogchoker			1.4	141	8.9	224	3.0	190					0.6	115	4.2	106	47.4	106	89.6	121
White Perch	5.0	138	15.8	136	70.7	136	40.9	148	721.2	163	18.2	148	53.2	100.	18.8	70	357.3	93	90.6	61
American Eel			0.4	224			0.4	224												
Summer Flounder			0.4	224	0.4	224	0.4	224	0.4	224		•								
Gizzard Shad			0.4	224	0.5	137	0.4	224	7.2	120	9.7	150	14.8	135	17.3	116	78.6	139	2.8	100
Blackcheek Tonguefish	1.0	137															:			
American Shad			2.5	104							•					· .				
Winter Flounder			0.4	224																
Skilletfish .			0.4	224			0.4	224												•
Spotted Hake	· .				. 0.4	224										. '	. •			
Striped Bass									0.9	224							2.9	164		
Striped Mullet					·.	•	•		0.4	224										
White Catfish				•.			÷		·				0.5	200			1.0	200	187.0	146
Channel Catfish										·									2.2	59
Threadfin Shad	***			`							. •	· .	0.5	200	0.9	128				
Spottail Shiner		. •			•				Ŷ				•		1.0	200	0.5	200	3.1	124
Tessellated Darter										• •	•						0.5	200	2.6	117
Yel'w Perch			· · ·												-		0.5	200	0.5	200

;

Table 7.6. Standard deviation (s) of cn/f and coefficient of variation (V) in percent for Rappahannock River winter survey data listed in Table 7.5.

15

 Q_{i}

Table 7.6 (Continued).

	RA	-50	RA	-55 .	RA	-60	RA	-65	RA	-70	RA	-75	RA	-80	RA	-85
Species	S	V	s	V	S	V	S	V	S	<u>v</u>	s	<u></u> V	S	<u>v</u>	S	<u>v</u>
White Perch	.30.4	57	63.2	64	6.2	14	37.2	184	73.3	217	2.9	164	0.6	173		
American Eel	•												0.6	173	0.5	200
Hogchoker	26.0	160	0.6	115	5.0	200	0.5	200								
Gizzard Shad	4.5	86	1.7	115	6.0	140	1.0	128								
White Catfish	27.5	164	1.3	29	1.0	200	3.9	172	5.6	187	. 26 . 5	200	0.6	173		
Channel Catfish	6.0	140	5.4	73	11.0	147	35.3	196	13.5	193	81.3	198	1.7	35	1.0	77
Threadfin Shad									0.4	2 2 4						
Spottail Shiner	1.4	71.	1.0	128	2.4	135	10.2	110	68.7	137	.79.9	130	19.0	64	11.6	129
Tessellated Darter	1.2	101	2.6	117	9.5	131	8.3	152	2.5	157	• 4.4	124	37.3	155	3.9	103
Yellow Perch			0.5	200					2.7	224	0.5	200				
Eastern Silvery Minnow	1.0	200			1.9	151	1.4	141	87.3	213	83.2	197	2.1	125	0.5	200
Golden Shiner							1.0	200	5.1	142	0.5	200				
Blue Catfish	1.0	200			0.5	67	0.5	200	3.5	173	1.5	200				
Pigfish			1.0	200												
Brown Bullhead			0.5	200	0.5	200	0.5	200	1. . 7	17 3	22.4	179				
Pumpkinseed											0.5	200				

8

		P0-0	00			P0-()5			PO-	10			PO-1	.5			PO-	45	
Species	cn/f	cw/f	ī	พี	cn/f	cw/f	L	₩.	cn/f	cw/f	Ē	Ŵ	cn/f	cw/f	L	Ŵ	cn/f	cw/f	Ī	Ŵ
Bay Anchovy	1	5	52	4	6	5	33	l	4	6	47	1	l	2	43	2	1		44	5
Blueback Herring	1		82	7	2	8	79	4	<1		79	5	<1		75	5				
Alewife	<1		117	20	<1		121	20	7	162	123	23	1	12	100	1.0	<1		92	10
Atlantic Croaker	<1		38	5	<1		36	5	18	17	49	1	47	. 59	52	- 1	17	24	51.	1
Spot									48	1126	119	23	3	76	117	24				
Atlantic Menhaden	2	20	90	13	2	36	101	20	7	162	97	23	5	160	116	32	3	63	99	25
Atlantic Silverside	1		82	5					l		109	10	<1		110	5				
Hogchoker													<1		120	25	17	353	89	21
White Perch	1		174	97	4	528	185	139	141	13,826	176	98	98	8298	166	85	777 2	26,098	126	34
Summer Flounder									· ·				<1		176	50				
Gizzard Shad	<1		108	20						· .			1	68	15 3	85	<1		242	250
Striped Bass	<1		315	520					. 2	326	209	181	10	2480	240	243	1		361	1523
Threadfin Shad									<1		102	10								· .
Channel Catfish																	<1		138	30
Winter Flounder									<1		321	500						,		
Skilletfish	<1.	• •	40	5.	1		48	3	<1		57	5	2	5	54	3				

Table 7.7. Potomac River winter survey data 1975 expressed as average catch in numbers per tow (cn/f), average catch in weight per tow (cw/f), average fork length (\overline{L}) in millimeters, and average weight (\overline{W}) in grams. All tows within the five-mile section listed below the first mile of that section.

•																					
			<u>P0-5</u>	50			P0-	55			PO-0	50			PO-6	55			PO	70	
Species	c	n/f	cw/f	L	Ŵ	cn/f	cw/f	Ē	<u>w</u>	en/f	cw/f	L	พี	cn/f	cw/f	ī	Ŵ	cn/f	cw/f	<u>L</u> .	Ŵ
Bay Anchovy		1		50	· 5																
Atlantic Croaker		20	31	48	2					•										•	
Atlantic Menhaden		2	45	118	26																
Hogchoker		6	98	76	16	23	155	58	7	1	20	68	16	11	19	44	2				
White Perch	1	.523 3	32,990	107	22	925	14,743	97	16	616	9475	94	15	106,	2608	102	25	34	2499	102	18
Gizzard Shad		1	110	155	88	7	988	193	152	2	315	187	140	<1		100	10	. <1		115	20
Striped Bass .		1		118	30	. 1		106	17	<1		80	10				•				
Channel.Catfish						<1		96	10	1	13	91	13	5	145	124	31	11	203	99	19
American Eel		3	133	241	41	1		284	40	l		329	117	12	160	406	13				
Brown Bullhead		1	265	237	212	<1		202	110	9	963	205	113	31	3493	200	114	49	5153	196	105
White Catfish										2	63	105	28	6	280	151	49	2	48	120	24
Tessellated Darter										l		82	8								
Spottail Shiner																		<1		92	10
Pumpkinseed		<1		126	40																
Yellow Perch		1		190	130																

Table 7.7. (Continued). Potomac River winter survey data 1975.

		<u>P0-</u> 7	5			P0-	80		· ·	PO-E	15			PO-9	90	
Species	cn/f	cw/f	L	Ŵ	en/f	cw/f	ч [,] Т	Ŵ	en/f	cw/f	L	Ŵ	cn/f	cw/f	L	Ŵ
White Perch	18	368	102	20	18	463	110	26	6	148	109	. 26	5	115	110	23
Gizzard Shad	1	88	151	70	<1		216	150			•		<1		286	470
Channel Catfish	19	595	125	32	16	505	· 121	33	6	246	136	41	17	620	138	36
American Eel	<1		400	100	<1	• •	1.86	40								
Brown Bullhead	56	5370	196	96	26	3060	198	118	20	2470	199	1.25	24	3418	208	141
White Catfish	1	85	159	68	1	75	156	75					<1		138	30
Tessellated Darter					1		81	5	2	8	75	4	1	5	75	4
Spottail Shiner	<1		109	20	l	18	113	18	5	90	1.08	17	11	185	112	17
Carp	<1		671	6500						•			l		624	4725

Table 7.7 (Continued). Potomac River winter survey data 1975.

Table 7.8. Standard deviation (s) of cn/f and coefficient of variation (V) in percent for Potomac River winter survey data 1975 from Table 7.7.

Oracian	P	00-00	PC	0-05	PC	-10	PO	-15	PO·	-45	PC	-50	P0	-55	PO-	-60	PC	0-65	PC)-70
Species	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	V	5	V	5	V	5	V	5	V	<u> </u>	V	5	V	5	<u>v</u>	5	<u> </u>
, ,						·					•									
Bay Anchovy	1.1	91	6.0	93	8.3	197	1.4	141	0.6	115	0.6	115								
Blueback Herring	1.3	224	4.5	224	1.0	224	0.4	224												
Alewife	0.4	224	1.0	224	16.1	224	1.0	70	0.5	200					-					
Atlantic Croaker	0.4	224	0.4	224	36.0	202	55.0	117	17.0	98	21.0	106								
Spot		·.			87.4	180	7.2	2 2 4												
Atlantic Menhaden	1.5	95	2.4	133	9.7	134	4.8	97	3.1	124	. 2.9	164								
Atlantic Silverside	1.0	149			1.3	224	0.4	224												
Hogehoker							1.0	224	8.3	50	3.2	53	16.4	72	1.2	101	20.0	182		
White Perch	0.5	91	. 5,0	129	214.4	152	90.6	92	546.2	70	837.3	55	400.0	43	486.4	79 ·	64.0	60	11.2	33
Summer Flounder							1.0	224												
Gizzard Shad	0.4	224					1.3	163	0.5	200	1.2	101	5.7	87	2.1	92	0.5	200	0.5	200
Striped Bass	0.4	224			3.0	164	11.0	107	. 1.0	128	0.5	67	1.5	200	0.5	200				
Threadfin Shad					0.4	224	·				•									
Channel Catfish								•	0.5	200	0.8	82	9.5	200	2.5	23	19.4	104	8.3	54
Winter Flounder	· .				0.4	224									•					
Skilletfish	0.4	224	·1.0	149	0.6	137	4.0	197												
American Eel			· ·								3.3	102	0.6	115	0.5	67	19.6	163		
Brown Bullhead											2.5	200	0.5	200	3.9	46	23.7	77	26.5	54
White Catfish															2.1	92	10.8	189	2.8	141
Tessellated Darter										-					0.6	115				
Spottail Shiner			· ·	÷						•									0.5	200
Pumpkinseed									• • •		0.5	200								
Yellow Perch				`			•		• • • • •		0.6	115				-				

2

Table 7.8 (Continued).

		•			· .			
	PO	-75	PO	-80	PO	-85	PO	-90
Species	S	V	<u>s</u>	V	S	V	<u> </u>	V
White Perch	7.2	40	8.8	50	4.5	78	8.7	174
Gizzard Shad	1.5	120	0.5	200			0.5	200
Channel Catfish	19.4	104	8.3	54	6.0	99	26.5	154
American Eel	0.5	200	0.5	200				
Brown Bullhead	40.2	72	8.0	31	15.6	79	39.4	162
White Catfish	1.0	77	1.4	141			0.5	200
Tessellated Darter			1.0	200	1.4	71	1.2	101
Spottail Shiner	0.5	200.	0.8	82	8.5	162	9.6	89
Carp	0.5	200					0.6	115

4,

ω

	Jame	<u>s</u>	York		Rappahan	nock	Potoma	ac
Species	Average cn/f	Rank	Average cn/f	Rank	Average f	Rank	Average cn/f	Rank
Atlantic Croaker	62.8 (00-24.9)	2	400.2 (00 - 29.9)	1	15.3 (00-39.9)	4	17.3 (00 - 54.9)	3
Hogchoker	1.1 (05 - 49.9)	7	129.1 (05-49.9)	2	12.8 (10 - 64.9)	5	11.6 (45 - 69.9)	4
White Perch	<1 (20-59.9)	8	21.3 (05-49.9)	3	107.6 (00-74.9)	1	328.5 (05-94.9)	1
Spottail Shiner	25.8 (45 - 84.9)	3	8.3 (35-44.9)	5	18.6 (45-83.9)	3	6.0 (80-94:9)	6
Channel Catfish	69.2 (30-79.9)	1	6.0 (35 - 49.9)	6	9.1 (45-89.9)	7	10.7 (60 - 94.9)	5
White Catfish	15.1 (30 - 64.9)	4	15.2 (25 - 49.9)	4	24.1 (45 - 79.9)	2	2.0 (60 - 94.9)	8
Brown Bullhead	6.1 (45 - 59.9)	5	0.0 (35-49.9)	10	4.0 (60-79.9)	9	30.7 (60 - 94.9)	2
Striped Bass	0.0 (10-34.9)	10	<1 (05-29.9)	7	<1 (10-44.9)	10	2.5 (10 - 59.9)	7
Gizzard Shad	<1 (15-49.9)	9	<1 (15-29.9)	8	9.4 (10 - 69.9)	6	1.7 (15 - 75.9)	9
Tessellated Darter	1.2 (30-79.9)	6	<1 (30-49.9)	9	6.1 (45-89.9)	8	<1 (60-94.9)	10

Table 7.9. Average cn/f for selected species and zones during winter of 1975. Zones used^(a) given in parentheses below average cn/f.

(a) Zones, such as 05-49.9, include all tows made from mile 05 to mile 44.9, e.g. 8 fivemile blocks with 32 tows total.

(b) p = Pamunkey branch, m = Mattaponi branch.

		Average	cn/f by	year		T. 4	D 1 1 1 1
Species	1972	1973	1974	1975	1976(a)	Five Year Average	River Miles Included
Atlantic Croaker	25.8 4	6.0	348.2 1	62.8 2	217.1	132.0	00-24.9
Hogchoker	$\begin{array}{c}14.8\\6\end{array}$	12.2	16.7 3	1.1 7	1.6	9.3	05-49.9
White Perch	3.4 7	<1 9	⊲_ 9	⊲ 8	1.0	0.8	20-59.9
Spottail Shiner	37.5	21.0	11.8 4	25.8 3	12.7	14.3	45-84.9
Channel Catfish	54.9 1	51.6 1	56.7 2	69.2 1	29.8	52.4	30-79.9
White Catfish	36.0 3	4.7	7.6	15.1 4	11.8	15.0	30-64.9
Brown Bullhead	18.1	7.2	9.0 5	6.1 5	1.4	8.4	45-59.9
Striped Bass	<1 10	.<1 10	0 10	0 10	0	•	10-34.9
Gizzard Shad	<1 9	2.3 8	1.0	⊲_9	< 1	0.7	15-49.9
Tessellated Darter	3.2	8.1	2.7	1.2	3.7	3.8	30-79.9
Average catch without croaker	18.7	12.0	11.7	13.2	6.9		

Table 7.10. Average cn/f for selected species in the James River during winters of 1972-76. Rank given below cn/f.

(a) Preliminary estimates