

CINCADER

Copyright © 2017, CINCADER. ISSN 2522-6908

# Reduction of hospital wastewater through ozone-air micro-nanobubbles

Deessiree Menendez<sup>a</sup>, Jhonny Valverde Flores<sup>a, b,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Department of Environmental engineering, University Cesar Vallejo – Lima Norte, C.P. 15314, Lima 39, Peru.

<sup>b</sup>Institute of Nanotechnology, Centre of Research and Training to the Regional Development (CINCADER). Lima 39, Peru.

jhoval1@yahoo.es

#### Resumen

La reducción de la concentración de materia orgánica de las aguas residuales hospitalarias es expresada en DBO<sub>5</sub> y DQO. El tratamiento se desarrolló empleando un equipo generador de micro-nano burbujas con sistema continuo. Se obtuvieron micro-nano burbujas de 0.024µm de diámetro promedio. Para el tratamiento se tomaron dos muestras; Muestra 1 (a las 8:00am) y muestra 2(a las 11:00am). Luego de aplicar el tratamiento con micro-nano burbujas de ozonoaire, se logró reducir las concentraciones iniciales de la muestra 1: DBO<sub>5</sub> de 132mg/L a 14mg/L, DQO de 374mg/L a 30mg/L y de la muestra 2: DBO<sub>5</sub> de 127mg/L a 21mg/L y DQO de 297mg/L a 36mg/L. La eficiencia obtenida a los 15 minutos de la muestra 1 de DBO<sub>5</sub> fue de 90.2% y de DQO fue de 92.51% y de la muestra 2 de DBO<sub>5</sub> fue de 83.5% y de DQO fue de 87.9%

**Palabras clave**: Aguas residuales hospitalarias, Demanda biológica de oxígeno, Demanda química de oxígeno, micro-nano burbujas de ozono-aire.

#### Abstract

The reduction of the organic matter concentration of hospital wastewater is expressed in BOD<sub>5</sub> and COD. The treatment was developed using a micro-nanobubble generator with continuous system. Micro-nanobubbles of 0.024µm average diameter were obtained. For the treatment, two samples were taken; Sample 1 (at 8:00 a.m.) and show 2 (at 11:00 a.m.). After applying the treatment with ozone-air micro-nanobubbles, it was possible to reduce the initial concentrations of sample 1: BOD<sub>5</sub> from 132mg/L to 14mg/L, COD from 374mg/L to 30mg/L and from sample 2: BOD<sub>5</sub> from 127mg/L to 21mg/L and COD from 297mg/L to 36mg/L. The efficiency obtained at 15 minutes of sample 1 of BOD<sub>5</sub> was 90.2% and of COD was 92.51% and of sample 2 of BOD<sub>5</sub> was 83.5% and of COD was 87.9%.

Keywords: Hospital wastewater, Biological oxygen demand, Chemical oxygen demand, ozoneair micro-nanobubbles.

### 1. Introduction

In Peru, only 32% of wastewater sent to the sewerage system receives some type of treatment, and several treatment plants are added, which are not adequate. (OEFA, 2014). The discharge of effluents without treatment is one of the main causes of the loss of water quality. Hospital effluents represent a particular problem, encompassing a mixture of medicines, detergents, antiseptics, solvents and organic matter, to which are added excreta and secretions from patients contaminated by different pathogens. It is also known that large quantities of disinfectant solutions



A publication of

CINCADER

Copyright © 2017, CINCADER. ISSN 2522-6908

Centre of Research and Training for Regional Development Online at www.journals.cincader.org

are used in hospitals for the elimination of pathogens on surfaces (floors, walls, etc.), equipment and biomedical instruments and on the skin. Having alcohol, aldehydes and different chlorinated compounds as active ingredients of the solutions mentioned (Núñez, 2006).

The use of ozone (dose of  $187 \text{mg O}_3/\text{h}$ ) in hospital wastewater at pH = 10, increases biodegradability by 70% and acute toxicity is reduced by 62% (Grisales et al., 2012).

The Micro-Nano bubbles are sub-micronic cavities containing gas in aqueous solution. The Microbubbles (MBs) have a diameter of more than 100  $\mu$ m, the micro-nano bubbles (MNBs) have a diameter between 1 to 100  $\mu$ m and the nano-bubbles (NBs) have a diameter less than 1  $\mu$ m inside the fluid. The micro-nanobubbles generation technology in water is applied in: sea water, water bodies, groundwater, domestic wastewater and industrial wastewater (Valverde, 2017).

There are reductions of thermotolelant coliforms presents in marine water from 1400 CFU /100 mL, until 56 CFU/100 mL, (96%) after applying the air-ozone micro-nanobubbles (Abate and Valverde, 2017). The best treatment reduction Efficiency of BOD in river's water was applying ozone micro-nanobubbles (Salguero and Valverde, 2017). The chemical oxygen demand of 0.5 g Amoxicillin per Liter of water were reduced until 76.9%, organic matter until 65.8% and turbidity until 19.35% in 45 minutes applying air micro-nanobubbles (Mendez and Valverde, 2017). Total coliforms presents in domestic wastewater were reduced from 14500 CFU /100 mL, until 4900 CFU / 100 mL. applying air micro-nanobubbles (Reyes and Valverde, 2017). Sanguaza treated applying air micro-nanobubbles in 60 minutes achieved an average turbidity of 81.5 NTU (79.61%), average BOD5 of 134 mg/L (69.65%) and COD of 150.43 mg/L. (69.24%) (Ventura and Valverde, 2017).

### 2. Materials and Methods

A sample of 40 liters of hospital wastewater was used to develop the experimental process and 4L of residual water for the initial analysis. For the development of this research, four stages were considered:

### Collection and initial analysis of the residual water sample

In this stage, 40 liters of hospital wastewater were collected. Sample 1 was taken at 8:00 a.m. when there was little activity and sample 2 was taken at 11:00 a.m. when the activities increased. Subsequently, the field parameters (temperature, pH, electrical conductivity and Turbidity) and physical-chemical parameters (TSS, BOD5, and COD) were determined.

### Treatment with Ozone-air MNBs

In this stage, the continuous-system ozone-air micro-nanobubble generator was conditioned



Figure 1. Presentation of the micro-nano bubble generating equipment. Where, A: water tank, B: pump, C: flowmeter, D: air generator, E: pressure valve, F: pressure manometer, G: valve (general), H: MNBs generator, I: wastewater with air MNBs.



Vol. 1, N° 2, 2017

CINCADER

Copyright © 2017, CINCADER. ISSN 2522-6908

Centre of Research and Training for Regional Development Online at www.journals.cincader.org

To treat both sample 1 and sample 2, time intervals of 5, 10 and 15 minutes were used.

### Analysis after treatment

The field parameters (T, pH, electrical conductivity and Turbidity) and the physical-chemical parameters (BOD<sub>5</sub>, COD and TSS) of the samples were analyzed.

### Treatment efficiency on parameters.

To measure the MNBs treatment's efficiency on EC will be used the equation 1:

$$\% Remotion(EC) = \frac{[EC]_{initial} - [EC]_{end}}{[EC]_{initial}} * 100$$
(1)

Also this equation is used to measure treatment's efficiency on: Turbidity, TSS, BOD<sub>5</sub> and COD.

### 3. Results

### 3.1. Collection of the residual water sample

40 Liters of hospital wastewater were collected at the main drainage point, which were filled in plastic containers for later treatment. Initial samples required 1 L of wastewater for BOD<sub>5</sub>, 0.5 L for TSS and 0.25 L for COD preserved with 10 drops of  $H_2SO_4$ .

#### 3.2. Initial analysis of the sample

For the initial analysis of the field parameters, the HQ40d multiparameter and the OAKTON T-100 turbidimeter were used. The results are shown below.

Initial Samples	Parameter	Unit	Value	
Sample 1 (8 am)	Temperature	C°	21.4	
	рН	-	7.48	
	Electrical conductivity	µS/cm	743	
	Turbidity	NTU	44.9	
Sample 2 (11 am)	Temperature	C°	21.5	
	рН	-	7.65	
	Electrical conductivity	µS/cm	775	
	Turbidity	NTU	79.5	

Table 1. Results of the initial measurement of the field parameters.

The initial analysis of the field parameters for sample 1 was carried out: temperature (21.4 °C), pH (7.48), electrical conductivity (743  $\mu$ S/cm) and turbidity (44.9 NTU) of the wastewater. In addition, the initial analysis was carried out for sample 2: temperature (21.5 °C), pH (7.65), electrical conductivity (775  $\mu$ S/cm) and turbidity (79.5 NTU) of waste water.



CINCADER

Copyright © 2017, CINCADER. **ISSN 2522-6908** 

Table 2. Results of the initial measurement of the physical-chemical parameters

	Parameter	Unit	Value
	BOD <sub>5</sub>	mg/L	132
Sample 1 (8 am)	COD	mg/L	374
(0 am)	TSS	mg/L	43
Sample 2 (11 am)	BOD <sub>5</sub>	mg/L	127
	COD	mg/L	297
	TSS	mg/L	110

The initial analysis of the physical-chemical parameters for sample 1 was carried out:  $BOD_5$  (132 mg/L), COD (374 mg/L) and TSS (43 mg/L). In addition, the initial analysis of the physical-chemical parameters for sample 2 was carried out:  $BOD_5$  (127 mg/L), COD (297 mg/L) and TSS (110 mg/L) of waste water.

### 3.3. Treatment with Ozone-air MNBs

The micro-nano bubbles were generated at an air pressure of 30 PSI, water flow of 8L/min and 1000mg of  $O_3/h$ .

The micro-nanobubbles were characterized through a trinocular microscope MOD BM-120T-LED light with a 5 MP camera obtaining as a result micro-nano bubbles of 0.024 µm average diameter.

### Internal pressure

The internal pressure was determined from the Young's equation - Laplace

$$\Delta P = 4\sigma/d$$

Where:

 $\Delta P$ : bubble pressure  $\sigma$ : Surface tension = 0.0728 N/m d: diameter of the bubble = 0.024 X 10<sup>-6</sup> m

$$P = 0.96 atm + \frac{4(0.0728\frac{N}{m})}{0.024 \times 10 - 6 m}$$

P=0.96atm + (2133333 N/m<sup>2</sup>)\* 9.86923 x 10<sup>-6</sup> atm/ N/m<sup>2</sup>

**N** 7

P=0.96atm + 21.05atm= 22.01atm

Therefore, it is concluded that the internal pressure of the micro-nanobubbles is 22.01 atm.

Ascent speed pf air micro-nanobubbles.

Ascent speed of the micro-nanobubble was obtained by replacing equation (3).

$$V = pgd^2/18 \, \eta \tag{3}$$

(2)

CINCADER



### **Journal of Nanotechnology**

Copyright © 2017, CINCADER. ISSN 2522-6908

Centre of Research and Training for Regional Development

Online at www.journals.cincader.org

Where:

- V: ascending speed = X
- p: density of the liquid = 998.2 g/L = 998.2 kg/m<sup>3</sup>
- g: gravitational acceleration =  $9.8 \text{ m/s}^2$
- d: diameter of the bubble= 0.024x10<sup>-6</sup> m
- n: viscosity of the liquid =  $1.003 \times 10^{-3} \text{ m}^2/\text{s}$

 $U = \frac{998.2 \, kg/m3(9.8 \frac{m}{s^2})(0.024x 10^{-6})^2}{18(\frac{1.003x 10^{-3} kg}{ms})}$ 

 $U = 3.12 \ge 10^{-10} m/s$ 

Therefore, it is concluded that the ascent speed of the air micro-nanobubbles is 3.12 x 10<sup>-10</sup> m/s.

Table 3. Results of the characterization of the micro-nano bubbles

Description	Value
Contact Time (min)	5 min,10 min and 15 min
Diameter of MNB(µm)	0.024
Internal Pressure (atm)	22.01
Ascent Speed(m/s)	3.12x10 <sup>-10</sup>

Both for the treatment of sample 1 (8:00 a.m.) and sample 2 (11:00 a.m.), 12 L of residual water were used in a period of 5, 10 and 15 minutes.

Table 4. Results of the field parameters of Sample 1 and sample 2.

	Sample 1 (8:00 a. m.)				Sample 2 (11:00 a. m.)			
time (min)	T (°C)	рН	Electrical conductivity (µS/cm)	Turbidity (NTU)	T (°C)	рН	Electrical conductivity (µS/cm)	Turbidity (NTU)
0	21.4	7.48	743	44.9	21.5	7.65	775	79.5
5	21.5	8.4	566	6.84	20.5	8.2	587	6.62
10	21	8.07	482	4.49	20.8	9.01	561	6.01
15	21.2	8.6	405	4	21.6	8.14	530	5.9

The results obtained from the analysis of the field parameters of sample 1 (8:00 a.m.) and sample 2 (11:00 a.m.) at 0, 5, 10 and 15 minutes with the ozone-air micro-nanobubbles are observed.



CINCADER

Copyright © 2017, CINCADER. **ISSN 2522-6908** 

Centre of Research and Training for Regional Development Online at www.journals.cincader.org

	Sam	ple 1 (8:00 a	a. m.)	Sample 2 (11:00 a. m.)		
time (min)	TSS (mg/L)	BOD₅ (mg/L)	COD (mg/L)	TSS (mg/L)	BOD₅ (mg/L)	COD (mg/L)
0	43	132	374	110	127	297
5	13	27	44	12	28	49
10	8	14	30	10	23	44
15	9	13	28	13	21	36

Table 5. Results of the physical-chemical parameters of Sample 1 and sample 2.

The results obtained from the analysis of the physical-chemical parameters of sample 1 (8:00 a.m.) and sample 2 (11:00 a.m.) at 0, 5, 10 and 15 minutes with the ozone-air micro-nanobubbles are observed. The results obtained before and after the treatment of the field and physical-chemical parameters are detailed below.



Figure 2. Temperature vs Time of Sample 1 and Sample 2.

It is observed that the temperature has not variations during 15 minutes. Sample 1 achieved 21.2 °C, and Sample 2 achieved 21.6 °C.



### **Journal of Nanotechnology**

CINCADER

Regional Development

Centre of Research and Training for

Online at www.journals.cincader.org

Copyright © 2017, CINCADER. ISSN 2522-6908



Figure 3. pH vs Time of Sample 1 and Sample 2.

It is observed that pH has not variations during 15 minutes. pH in sample 1 achieved 8.6, and in sample 2 achieved 8.14 in 15 minutes.



Figure 4. Electrical conductivity vs Time of Sample 1 and Sample 2.

It is observed that Electrical conductivity (EC) was decreasing with longer treatment time. EC in sample 1 achieved 405  $\mu$ S/cm and in sample 2 achieved 530  $\mu$ S/cm in 15 minutes.



### CINCADER

Regional Development

Centre of Research and Training for

Online at www.journals.cincader.org

Copyright © 2017, CINCADER. ISSN 2522-6908

Nano

Vol. 1, N° 2, 2017



Figure 5. Turbidity vs Time of Sample 1 and Sample 2.

It is observed that Turbidity was decreasing with longer treatment time. Turbidity in sample 1 achieved 4 NTU, and in sample 2 achieved 5.9 NTU in 15 minutes.



Figure 6. Total Suspended Solids vs Time of Sample 1 and Sample 2.

It is observed that Total Suspended Solids (TSS) was decreasing with longer treatment time. Turbidity in sample 1 achieved 9 mg/L, and in sample 2 achieved 13 mg/L in 15 minutes.



### **Journal of Nanotechnology**

CINCADER

Regional Development

Centre of Research and Training for

Online at www.journals.cincader.org

Copyright © 2017, CINCADER. ISSN 2522-6908



Figure 7. BOD<sub>5</sub> vs Time of Sample 1 and Sample 2.

It is observed that  $BOD_5$  was decreasing with longer treatment time.  $BOD_5$  in sample 1 achieved 13 mg/L, and in sample 2 achieved 21 mg/L in 15 minutes.



Figure 8. COD vs Time of Sample 1 and Sample 2.

It is observed that COD was decreasing with longer treatment time. COD in sample 1 achieved 28 mg/L, and in sample 2 achieved 36 mg/L in 15 minutes.

### 3.4. Treatment's efficiency

To calculate treatment's efficiency with ozone-air MNBs on Electrical conductivity in Sample 1 as % Remotion was used the equation 3:

% remotion (Sample1)<sub>15</sub> = (743 - 405)\*100/ 743 = 45.5 %

CINCADER



### **Journal of Nanotechnology**

Centre of Research and Training for Regional Development

Online at www.journals.cincader.org

Copyright © 2017, CINCADER. ISSN 2522-6908

Then was calculated to the rest of Sample 1 and Sample 2. As a resume the efficiency is seen in figure 9.



Figure 9. Efficiency of removal of the electrical conductivity of sample 1 and sample 2

To calculate treatment's efficiency with ozone-air MNBs on Turbidity in Sample 1 as % Remotion was used the equation 1:

% remotion (Sample1)<sub>15</sub> = (44.9 - 4)\*100/ 44.9 = 91.1 %

Then was calculated to the rest of Sample 1 and Sample 2. As a resume the efficiency is seen in figure 10.



Figure 10. Efficiency of turbidity removal of sample 1 and sample 2

To calculate treatment's efficiency with ozone-air MNBs on Total Suspended Solids (TSS) in Sample 1 as % Remotion was used the equation 1: % remotion  $(Sample1)_{15} = (43 - 9)*100/43 = 79.1\%$ 

68

CINCADER



### **Journal of Nanotechnology**

Centre of Research and Training for

Copyright © 2017, CINCADER. **ISSN 2522-6908** 

**Regional Development** Online at www.journals.cincader.org

Then was calculated to the rest of Sample 1 and Sample 2. As a resume the efficiency is seen in figure 11.



Figure 11. TSS removal efficiency of sample 1 and sample 2.

To calculate treatment's efficiency with ozone-air MNBs on BOD<sub>5</sub> in Sample 1 as % Remotion was used the equation 1:

% remotion (Sample1)<sub>15</sub> = (132 - 13)\*100/ 132 = 90.2 %

Then was calculated to the rest of Sample 1 and Sample 2. As a resume the efficiency is seen in figure 12.



Figure 12. Efficiency of removal of BOD<sub>5</sub> from sample 1 and sample 2



CINCADER

Copyright © 2017, CINCADER. **ISSN 2522-6908** 

Centre of Research and Training for Regional Development Online at www.journals.cincader.org

To calculate treatment's efficiency with ozone-air MNBs on COD in Sample 1 as % Remotion was used the equation 1:

% remotion (Sample1)<sub>15</sub> = (374 - 28)\*100/ 374 = 92.51 %

Then was calculated to the rest of Sample 1 and Sample 2. As a resume the efficiency is seen in figure 13.



Figure 13. Efficiency of COD removal from sample 1 and sample 2

### 4. Conclusions

- It is concluded that the initial concentrations of the field parameters of the wastewater in sample 1 as: EC (743 μS/cm), and Turbidity (44.9 NTU) applying ozone-air micronanobubbles in 15 minutes decreased their concentrations at 405 μS/cm EC, and 4 NTU Turbidity. In addition, the initial concentrations of the field parameters of the wastewater in sample 2 as: EC (775 μS/cm), and Turbidity (79.5 NTU) applying ozone-air micronanobubbles in 15 minutes decreased their concentrations to 530 μS/cm of EC, and 5.9 NTU of Turbidity.
- It is concluded that the initial concentrations of the physical-chemical parameters of the wastewater in sample 1 such as: BOD<sub>5</sub> (132mg/L), COD (374mg/L) and TSS (43mg/L) applying ozone-air micro-nanobubbles in 15 minutes decreased their concentrations to 13mg/L of BOD<sub>5</sub>, 28mg/L of COD and 9 mg/L of TSS. In addition, the initial concentrations of the parameters of wastewater in sample 2 such as: BOD<sub>5</sub> (127mg/L), COD (297mg/L) and TSS (110mg/L) applying ozone-air micro-nanobubbles in 15 minutes decreased its concentrations at 21mg/L of BOD<sub>5</sub>, 36mg/L of COD and 13 mg/L of TSS.
- According to the results obtained, the percentage of the removal efficiency of the electrical conductivity was 45.5% for sample 1, turbidity was 92.6 for sample 2, Total Suspended Solids (TSS) was 88.2% for sample 2, BOD<sub>5</sub> was 90.2% for sample 1 and COD was 92.51% for sample 1.



### CINCADER

Copyright © 2017, CINCADER. **ISSN 2522-6908** 

Centre of Research and Training for Regional Development Online at www.journals.cincader.org

#### Acknowledgements

We gratefully acknowledge to the Department of Environmental engineering, University Cesar Vallejo – Lima Norte, for giving facilities in environmental issues. We also thank to the Institute of Nanotechnology, Centre of Research and Training to the Regional Development, (In Spanish, Centro de Investigacion y Capacitacion para el Desarrollo Regional-CINCADER) for allowing us to use the equipment to generate micro-nanobubbles and technical experiences.

#### References

- Abate B, Valverde J. 2017. Reduction of thermotolerant coliforms present in the sea water by means of micro-nanobubbles of air-ozone of the beach Los Pavos, Lima, Peru. Journal of Chemical engineering Transactions, Volume 1, N° 1, pp. 1-5. ISBN 978-88-95608-47-1, ISSN 2283-9216.
- Grisales, D.; Ortega, J.; y Rodriguez, T. Remoción de la materia orgánica y toxicidad en aguas residuales hospitalarias aplicando ozono [en línea]. Bogotá Colombia: 2011. Disponible en: http://www.scielo.org.co/pdf/dyna/v79n173/a13v79n173.pdf
- Mendez, R. and Valverde, Jhonny. 2017. Reduction of Chemical Demand of Oxygen and Organic Material from water contaminated with Amoxicillin through application of Air Micro-Nanobubbles. J. nanotechnol. (Lima). Vol 1. N° 2. Pp 36-45. ISSN 2522-6908. Fecha de consulta: 20 de diciembre del 2017]. http://journals.cincader.org/index.php/nanoj/article/view/33/29
- Nuñez, L., y Moretton, J. Perfil microbiológico y resistencia bacteriana a desinfectantes en aguas residuales de hospital. Buenos Aires, Argentina 2006
  Disponible en www.salud-publica.es/.../bc51015a6507a2e\_Hig.Sanid.Ambient.6.197-201(2006).pdf.
- OEFA. Fiscalización ambiental en aguas residuales [en línea]. Perú: 2014 Disponible en: https://www.oefa.gob.pe/?wpfb\_dl=7827
- Reyes, Romulo and Valverde, Jhonny. 2017. Efficiency Of Micro-Nanobubbles for Wastewater Treatment in Puerto Bermúdez, Oxapampa, Pasco. J. nanotechnol. (Lima). Vol 1. N° 1. Pp 18-24. ISSN 2522-6908. Fecha de consulta: 20 de diciembre del 2017]. http://journals.cincader.org/index.php/nanoj/article/view/22/18
- Salguero, Jazmin and Valverde, Jhonny. 2017. Reduction of the Biochemical Oxygen Demand of the water samples from the lower basin of the Chillon River by means of Air-Ozone MicroNanobubbles, Ventanilla - Callao. J. nanotechnol. (Lima). Vol 1. N° 1. Pp 25-35. ISSN 2522-6908. Fecha de consulta: 30 de diciembre del 2017]. Disponibilidad: http://journals.cincader.org/index.php/nanoj/article/view/24/19
- Valverde, Jhonny. 2017. Avances de las Micro-Nano Burbujas (MNBs) en el tratamiento de aguas: ponencia en el Primer Congreso Regional de Estudiantes de Ingeniería Ambiental, UNMSM, del 5 al 10 de junio 2016. Lima.



CINCADER

Copyright © 2017, CINCADER. ISSN 2522-6908 Centre of Research and Training for Regional Development Online at www.journals.cincader.org

 Ventura, S. and Valverde, J. 2017. Treatment of sanguaza from Ancon market using air micronanobubbles at laboratory scale. J. nanotechnol. (Lima). Vol 1. N° 2. Pp 46-58. ISSN 2522-6908. Fecha de consulta: 20 de diciembre del 2017]. http://journals.cincader.org/index.php/nanoj/article/view/39/36