

ALI MUHAMMAD

On inclusion relationships of certain subclasses of meromorphic functions involving integral operator

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we introduce some subclasses of meromorphic functions in the punctured unit disc. Several inclusion relationships and some other interesting properties of these classes are discussed.

1. Introduction. Let \mathcal{M} denote the class of functions $f(z)$ of the form

$$(1.1) \quad f(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k z^k,$$

which are analytic in the punctured open unit disc

$$E^* = \{z : z \in \mathbb{C} \text{ and } 0 < |z| < 1\} = E \setminus \{0\}.$$

If $f(z)$ is given by (1.1) and $g(z)$ is given by

$$(1.2) \quad g(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} b_k z^k,$$

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 30C45, 30C50.

Key words and phrases. Meromorphic functions, functions with bounded boundary and bounded radius rotation, quasi-convex functions, close-to-convex functions, generalized hypergeometric functions, functions with positive real part, Hadamard product (or convolution), linear operators.

we define the Hadamard product (or convolution) of $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ by

$$(1.3) \quad (f \star g)(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k b_k z^k = (g \star f)(z) \quad (z \in E).$$

Let $P_k(\rho)$ be the class of functions $p(z)$ analytic in E with $p(0) = 1$ and

$$(1.4) \quad \int_0^{2\pi} \left| \frac{\operatorname{Re} p(z) - \rho}{1 - \rho} \right| d\theta \leq k\pi, \quad z = re^{i\theta},$$

where $k \geq 2$ and $0 \leq \rho < 1$. This class was introduced by Padmanbhan et al. in [16]. We note that $P_k(0) = P_k$, see [17], $P_2(\rho) = P(\rho)$, the class of analytic functions with positive real part greater than ρ and $P_2(0) = P$, the class of functions with positive real part. From (1.4) we can easily deduce that $p(z) \in P_k(\rho)$ if and only if, there exists $p_1(z), p_2(z) \in P(\rho)$ such that for $z \in E$,

$$(1.5) \quad p(z) = \left(\frac{k}{4} + \frac{1}{2} \right) p_1(z) - \left(\frac{k}{4} - \frac{1}{2} \right) p_2(z).$$

In recent years, several families of integral operators and differential operators were introduced using Hadamard product (or convolution). For example, we choose to mention the Rushcheweyh derivative [18], the Carlson–Shaffer operator [1], the Dziok–Srivastava operator [4], the Noor integral operator [14], also see [3, 5, 6, 11]. Motivated by the work of N. E. Cho and K. I. Noor [2, 9], we introduce a family of integral operators defined on the space of meromorphic functions in the class \mathcal{M} . By using these integral operators, we define several subclasses of meromorphic functions and investigate various inclusion relationships and some other properties for the meromorphic function classes introduced here.

For complex parameters $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_q$ and β_1, \dots, β_s ($\beta_j \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{Z}_0^-, j = 1, \dots, s$; $\mathbb{Z}_0^- = \{0, -1, -2, \dots\}$) we now define the function $\phi(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_q; \beta_1, \dots, \beta_s; z)$ by

$$\phi(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_q; \beta_1, \dots, \beta_s; z) = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\alpha_1)_{k+1} \dots (\alpha_q)_{k+1}}{(\beta_1)_{k+1} \dots (\beta_s)_{k+1} (k+1)!} z^k,$$

($q \leq s + 1$; $s \in \mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$; $\mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, \dots\}$; $z \in E$),

where $(v)_k$ is the Pochhammer symbol (or shifted factorial) defined in (terms of the Gamma function) by

$$(v)_k = \frac{\Gamma(v+k)}{\Gamma(v)} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } k = 0 \text{ and } v \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\} \\ v(v+1) \dots (v+k-1) & \text{if } k \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and } v \in \mathbb{C}. \end{cases}$$

Now we introduce the following operator

$$I_{\mu}^P(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_q, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_s) : \mathcal{M} \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}$$

as follows:

Let $F_{\mu,p}(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{k+\mu+1}{\mu}\right)^p z^k$, $p \in \mathbb{N}_0$, $\mu \neq 0$ and let $F_{\mu,p}^{-1}(z)$ be defined such that

$$F_{\mu,p}(z) * F_{\mu,p}^{-1}(z) = \phi(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_q; \beta_1, \dots, \beta_s; z).$$

Then

$$(1.6) \quad I_{\mu}^p(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_q, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_s)f(z) = F_{\mu,p}^{-1}(z) * f(z).$$

From (1.6) it can be easily seen

$$(1.7) \quad \begin{aligned} & I_{\mu}^p(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_q, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_s)f(z) \\ &= \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\mu}{k+\mu+1}\right)^p \frac{(\alpha_1)_{k+1} \dots (\alpha_q)_{k+1}}{(\beta_1)_{k+1} \dots (\beta_s)_{k+1} (k+1)!} a_k z^k. \end{aligned}$$

For conveniences, we shall henceforth denote

$$(1.8) \quad I_{\mu}^p(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_q, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_s)f(z) = I_{\mu}^p(\alpha_1, \beta_1)f(z).$$

For the choices of the parameters $p = 0$, $q = 2$, $s = 1$, the operator $I_{\mu}^p(\alpha_1, \beta_1)f(z)$ is reduced to an operator by N. E. Cho and K. I. Noor [2] and K. I. Noor [9] and when $p = 0$, $q = 2$, $s = 1$, $\alpha_1 = \lambda$, $\alpha_2 = 1$, $\beta_1 = (n + 1)$, the operator $I_{\mu}^p(\alpha_1, \beta_1)f(z)$ is reduced to an operator recently introduced by S.-M. Yuan et al. in [20].

It can be easily verified from the above definition of the operator $I_{\mu}^p(\alpha_1, \beta_1)$ that

$$(1.9) \quad z(I_{\mu}^{p+1}(\alpha_1, \beta_1)f(z))' = \mu I_{\mu}^p(\alpha_1, \beta_1)f(z) - (\mu + 1)I_{\mu}^{p+1}(\alpha_1, \beta_1)f(z)$$

and

$$(1.10) \quad z(I_{\mu}^p(\alpha_1, \beta_1)f(z))' = \alpha_1 I_{\mu}^p(\alpha_1 + 1, \beta_1)f(z) - (\alpha_1 + 1)I_{\mu}^p(\alpha_1, \beta_1)f(z).$$

By using the operator $I_{\mu}^p(\alpha_1, \beta_1)$, we now introduce the following subclasses of meromorphic functions:

Definition 1.1 ([9]). A function $f \in \mathcal{M}$ is said to belong to the class $MR_k(\gamma)$ for $z \in E^*$, $0 \leq \gamma < 1$, $k \geq 2$, if and only if

$$-\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \in P_k(\gamma),$$

and $f \in MV_k(\gamma)$, for $z \in E^*$, $0 \leq \gamma < 1$, $k \geq 2$, if and only if

$$-\frac{(zf(z))'}{f'(z)} \in P_k(\gamma).$$

We call $f \in MR_k(\gamma)$ a meromorphic function with bounded radius rotation of order γ and $f \in MV_k(\gamma)$ a meromorphic function with bounded boundary rotation.

Definition 1.2. Let $f \in \mathcal{M}$, $0 \leq \gamma < 1$, $k \geq 2$, $z \in E^*$. Then

$$f \in MR_{k,\mu}^p(\alpha_1, \beta_1, \gamma) \text{ if and only if } I_\mu^p(\alpha_1, \beta_1)f \in MR_k(\gamma).$$

Also

$$f \in MV_{k,\mu}^p(\alpha_1, \beta_1, \gamma) \text{ if and only if } I_\mu^p(\alpha_1, \beta_1)f \in MV_k(\gamma), \quad z \in E^*.$$

We observe that, for $z \in E^*$,

$$f \in MV_{k,\mu}^p(\alpha_1, \beta_1, \gamma) \Leftrightarrow -zf' \in MR_{k,\mu}^p(\alpha_1, \beta_1, \gamma).$$

Definition 1.3. Let $\lambda \geq 0$, $f \in \mathcal{M}$, $p \in \mathbb{N}_0$, $0 \leq \gamma, \rho < 1$, $\mu > 0$ and $z \in E^*$. Then $f \in B_{k,\mu}^{\lambda,p}(\alpha_1, \beta_1, \gamma, \rho)$, if and only if there exists a function $g \in MV_{2,\mu}^p(\alpha_1, \beta_1, \gamma)$, such that

$$\left\{ (1 - \lambda) \frac{(I_\mu^p(\alpha_1, \beta_1)f(z))'}{(I_\mu^p(\alpha_1, \beta_1)g(z))'} + \lambda \left[-\frac{(z(I_\mu^p(\alpha_1, \beta_1)f(z)))'}{(I_\mu^p(\alpha_1, \beta_1)g(z))'} \right] \right\} \in P_k(\rho).$$

In particular, for $\lambda = 0 = p$, $k = q = \mu = 2$ and $s = 1$, we obtain the class of meromorphic close-to-convex function, see [7], see also K. I. Noor [9]. For $\lambda = 1$, $p = 0$, $k = q = \mu = 2$, $s = 1$, we have the class of meromorphic quasi-convex functions defined for $z \in E^*$. We note that the class C^* of quasi-convex univalent functions, analytic in E , was first introduced and studied in [12], see also [13, 15].

In order to establish our main results, we need the following lemma, which is properly known as the Miller–Mocanu Lemma.

Lemma 1.1 ([8]). *Let $u = u_1 + iu_2$, $v = v_1 + iv_2$ and $\Psi(u, v)$ be a complex valued function satisfying the conditions:*

- (i) $\Psi(u, v)$ is continuous in a domain $D \subset \mathbb{C}^2$,
- (ii) $(1, 0) \in D$ and $\operatorname{Re} \Psi(1, 0) > 0$,
- (iii) $\operatorname{Re} \Psi(iu_2, v_1) \leq 0$, whenever $(iu_2, v_1) \in D$ and $v_1 \leq -\frac{1}{2}(1 + u_2^2)$.

If $h(z) = 1 + c_1z + c_2z^2 + \dots$ is a function analytic in E such that $(h(z), zh'(z)) \in D$ and $\operatorname{Re}(\Psi(h(z), zh'(z))) > 0$ for $z \in E$, then $\operatorname{Re} h(z) > 0$ in E .

2. Main results.

Theorem 2.1. *Let $\operatorname{Re} \alpha_1 > 0$, $\mu > 0$ and $0 \leq \gamma < 1$. Then*

$$MR_{k,\mu}^p(\alpha_1 + 1, \beta_1, \gamma) \subset MR_{k,\mu}^p(\alpha_1, \beta_1, \rho) \subset MR_{k,\mu}^{p+1}(\alpha_1, \beta_1, \eta).$$

Proof. We prove the first part of the Theorem 2.1 and the second part follows by using similar techniques. Let

$$f \in MR_{k,\mu}^p(\alpha_1 + 1, \beta_1, \gamma), \quad z \in E^*$$

and set

$$(2.1) \quad -\frac{(I_\mu^p(\alpha_1, \beta_1)f(z))'}{(I_\mu^p(\alpha_1, \beta_1)f(z))} = \left(\frac{k}{4} + \frac{1}{2}\right) h_1(z) - \left(\frac{k}{4} - \frac{1}{2}\right) h_2(z) = H(z).$$

Simple computation together with (2.1) and (1.10) yields

$$(2.2) \quad -\frac{(I_\mu^p(\alpha_1 + 1, \beta_1)f(z))'}{(I_\mu^p(\alpha_1 + 1, \beta_1)f(z))} = \left[H(z) + \frac{zH'(z)}{-H(z) + \alpha_1 + 1} \right] \in P_k(\gamma), \quad z \in E.$$

Let

$$\Phi_{\alpha_1}(z) = \frac{1}{\alpha_1 + 1} \left[\frac{1}{z} + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} z^k \right] + \frac{\alpha_1}{\alpha_1 + 1} \left[\frac{1}{z} + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} kz^k \right],$$

then

$$(2.3) \quad \begin{aligned} H(z) * z\Phi_{\alpha_1}(z) &= \left[H(z) + \frac{zH'(z)}{-H(z) + \alpha_1 + 1} \right] \\ &= \left(\frac{k}{4} + \frac{1}{2} \right) \left[h_1(z) + \frac{zh'_1(z)}{-h_1(z) + \alpha_1 + 1} \right] \\ &\quad - \left(\frac{k}{4} - \frac{1}{2} \right) \left[h_2(z) + \frac{zh'_2(z)}{-h_2(z) + \alpha_1 + 1} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Since $f \in MR_{k,\mu}^p(\alpha_1 + 1, \beta_1, \gamma)$, it follows from (2.2) and (2.3) that

$$\left[h_i(z) + \frac{zh'_i(z)}{-h_i(z) + \alpha_1 + 1} \right] \in P(\gamma), \quad i = 1, 2, \quad z \in E.$$

Let $h_i(z) = (1 - \rho)p_i(z) + \rho$. Then

$$\left\{ (1 - \rho)p_i(z) + \rho - \gamma + \frac{(1 - \rho)zp'_i(z)}{-(1 - \rho)p_i(z) - \rho + \alpha_1 + 1} \right\} \in P, \quad z \in E.$$

We shall show that $p_i(z) \in P, i = 1, 2$.

We form the functional $\Psi(u, v)$ by taking $u = u_1 + iu_2 = p_i(z), v = v_1 + iv_2 = zp'_i(z)$. The first two conditions of Lemma 1.1 can be easily verified. We need to verify condition (iii) as follows:

$$\Psi(u, v) = \left\{ (1 - \rho)u + \rho - \gamma + \frac{(1 - \rho)v}{-(1 - \rho)u - \rho + \alpha_1 + 1} \right\},$$

implies that

$$\operatorname{Re} \Psi(iu_2, v_1) = \rho - \gamma + \frac{(1 - \rho)(\alpha_1 + 1 - \rho)v_1}{(1 - \rho)^2u_2^2 + (-\rho + \alpha_1 + 1)^2}.$$

By taking $v_1 \leq -\frac{1}{2}(1 + u_2^2)$, we have

$$\operatorname{Re} \Psi(iu_2, v_1) \leq \frac{A + Bu_2^2}{2C},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} A &= 2(\rho - \gamma)(\alpha_1 + 1 - \rho)^2 - (1 - \rho)(\alpha_1 + 1 - \rho), \\ B &= 2(\rho - \gamma)(1 - \rho)^2 - (1 - \rho)(\alpha_1 + 1 - \rho), \\ C &= (\alpha_1 + 1 - \rho)^2 + (1 - \rho)^2u_2^2 > 0. \end{aligned}$$

We note that $\operatorname{Re} \Psi(iu_2, v_1) \leq 0$ if and only if $A \leq 0$ and $B \leq 0$. From $A \leq 0$, we obtain

$$(2.4) \quad \rho = \frac{1}{4} \left\{ (3 + 2\alpha_1 + 2\gamma) - \sqrt{(3 + 2\alpha_1 + 2\gamma)^2 - 8} \right\},$$

and $B \leq 0$ gives us $0 \leq \rho < 1$.

Now using Lemma 1.1, we see that $p_i(z) \in P$ for $z \in E$, $i = 1, 2$ and hence $f \in MR_{k,\mu}^p(\alpha_1, \beta_1, \rho)$ with ρ given by (2.4). \square

In particular, we note that

$$\rho = \frac{1}{4} \left\{ (3 + 2\alpha_1) - \sqrt{(12\alpha_1 + 4\alpha_1^2) + 1} \right\}.$$

Theorem 2.2. *Let $\operatorname{Re} \alpha_1, \mu > 0$. Then*

$$MV_{k,\mu}^p(\alpha_1 + 1, \beta_1, \gamma) \subset MV_{k,\mu}^p(\alpha_1, \beta_1, \rho) \subset MV_{k,\mu}^{p+1}(\alpha_1, \beta_1, \eta).$$

Proof. We observe that

$$\begin{aligned} f(z) \in MV_{k,\mu}^p(\alpha_1 + 1, \beta_1, \gamma) &\Leftrightarrow -zf'(z) \in MR_{k,\mu}^p(\alpha_1 + 1, \beta_1, \gamma) \\ &\Rightarrow -zf'(z) \in MR_{k,\mu}^p(\alpha_1, \beta_1, \rho) \\ &\Leftrightarrow f(z) \in MV_{k,\mu}^p(\alpha_1, \beta_1, \rho), \end{aligned}$$

where ρ is given by (2.4).

The second part can be proved by means of similar arguments. \square

Theorem 2.3. *Let $\operatorname{Re} \alpha_1, \mu > 0$. Then*

$$B_{k,\mu}^{\lambda,p}(\alpha_1 + 1, \beta_1, \gamma_1, \rho_1) \subset B_{k,\mu}^{\lambda,p}(\alpha_1, \beta_1, \gamma_2, \rho_2) \subset B_{k,\mu}^{\lambda,p+1}(\alpha_1, \beta_1, \gamma_3, \rho_3),$$

where $\gamma_i = \gamma_i(\rho_i, \mu)$, $i = 1, 2, 3$ are given in the proof.

Proof. We prove the first inclusion of this result and the other part follows along similar lines.

Let $f \in B_{k,\mu}^{\lambda,p}(\alpha_1 + 1, \beta_1, \gamma_1, \rho_1)$. Then by Definition 1.3, there exists a function $g \in MV_{2,\mu}^p(\alpha_1 + 1, \beta_1, \gamma_1)$ such that

$$(2.5) \quad \left\{ (1 - \lambda) \frac{(I_\mu^p(\alpha_1 + 1, \beta_1)f(z))'}{(I_\mu^p(\alpha_1 + 1, \beta_1)g(z))'} + \lambda \left[-\frac{(z(I_\mu^p(\alpha_1 + 1, \beta_1)f(z)))'}{(I_\mu^p(\alpha_1 + 1, \beta_1)g(z))'} \right] \right\} \in P_k(\rho_1).$$

Set

$$(2.6) \quad h(z) = \left\{ (1 - \lambda) \frac{(I_\mu^p(\alpha_1, \beta_1)f(z))'}{(I_\mu^p(\alpha_1, \beta_1)g(z))'} + \lambda \left[-\frac{(z(I_\mu^p(\alpha_1, \beta_1)f(z)))'}{(I_\mu^p(\alpha_1, \beta_1)g(z))'} \right] \right\},$$

where $h(z)$ is an analytic function in E with $h(0) = 1$.

Now, $g \in MV_{2,\mu}^p(\alpha_1 + 1, \beta_1, \gamma_1) \subset MV_{2,\mu}^p(\alpha_1, \beta_1, \gamma_2)$, where γ_2 is given by the equation

$$(2.7) \quad 2\gamma_2^2 + (3 + 2\alpha_1 - 2\gamma_1)\gamma_2 - \{2\gamma_1(1 + \alpha_1) + 1\} = 0.$$

Therefore,

$$q(z) = -\frac{(zI_\mu^p(\alpha_1, \beta_1)g(z))'}{(I_\mu^p(\alpha_1, \beta_1)g(z))'} \in P(\gamma_2), \quad z \in E.$$

By using (1.10), (2.5), (2.6) and (2.7), we have

$$(2.8) \quad \left\{ h(z) + \frac{\lambda zh'(z)}{-q(z) + \alpha_1 + 1} \right\} \in P_k(\rho_1), \quad q(z) \in P(\gamma_2), \quad z \in E.$$

With

$$h(z) = \left(\frac{k}{4} + \frac{1}{2} \right) [(1 - \rho_2)h_1(z) + \rho_2] - \left(\frac{k}{4} - \frac{1}{2} \right) [(1 - \rho_2)h_2(z) + \rho_2],$$

(2.8) can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\frac{k}{4} + \frac{1}{2} \right) \left\{ (1 - \rho_2)h_1(z) + \rho_2 + \frac{(1 - \rho_2)\lambda zh_1'(z)}{-q(z) + \alpha_1 + 1} \right\} \\ & - \left(\frac{k}{4} - \frac{1}{2} \right) \left\{ (1 - \rho_2)h_2(z) + \rho_2 + \frac{(1 - \rho_2)\lambda zh_2'(z)}{-q(z) + \alpha_1 + 1} \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\left\{ (1 - \rho_2)h_i(z) + \rho_2 + \frac{(1 - \rho_2)\lambda zh_i'(z)}{-q(z) + \alpha_1 + 1} \right\} \in P(\rho_1), \quad z \in E, \quad i = 1, 2.$$

That is

$$\left\{ (1 - \rho_2)h_i(z) + \rho_2 - \rho_1 + \frac{(1 - \rho_2)\lambda zh_i'(z)}{-q(z) + \alpha_1 + 1} \right\} \in P, \quad z \in E, \quad i = 1, 2.$$

We form the functional $\Psi(u, v)$ by choosing $u = u_1 + iu_2 = h_i(z)$, $v = v_1 + iv_2 = zh_i'(z)$, and

$$\Psi(u, v) = \left\{ (1 - \rho_2)u + \rho_2 - \rho_1 + \frac{(1 - \rho_2)\lambda v}{-q(z) + \alpha_1 + 1} \right\}, \quad (q = q_1 + iq_2).$$

The first two conditions of Lemma 1.1 are clearly satisfied. We verify (iii), with $v_1 \leq -\frac{1}{2}(1 + u_2^2)$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re} \Psi(iu_2, v_1) &= \rho_2 - \rho_1 + \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{\lambda(1 - \rho_2)v_1\{(-q_1 + \alpha_1 + 1) + iq_2\}}{(-q_1 + \alpha_1 + 1)^2 + q_2^2} \right\} \\ &\leq \frac{2(\rho_2 - \rho_1)|-q + \alpha_1 + 1|^2 - \lambda(1 - \rho_2)\{(-q_1 + \alpha_1 + 1)(1 + u_2^2)\}}{2|-q + \alpha_1 + 1|^2} \\ &= \frac{A + Bu_2^2}{2C} \leq 0, \end{aligned}$$

if $A \leq 0$ and $B \leq 0$, where

$$\begin{aligned} A &= 2(\rho_2 - \rho_1) |-q + \alpha_1 + 1|^2 - \lambda(1 - \rho_2) \{(-q_1 + \alpha_1 + 1)\}, \\ B &= -\lambda(1 - \rho_2) \{(-q_1 + \alpha_1 + 1)\} \leq 0, \\ C &= |-q + \alpha_1 + 1|^2 > 0. \end{aligned}$$

From $A \leq 0$, we obtain

$$\rho_2 = \frac{2\rho_1 |-q + \alpha_1 + 1|^2 + \lambda \operatorname{Re}(-q(z) + \alpha_1 + 1)}{2 |-q + \alpha_1 + 1|^2 + \lambda \operatorname{Re}(-q(z) + \alpha_1 + 1)}.$$

Hence, using Lemma 1.1, it follows that $h(z)$, defined by (2.6), belongs to $P_k(\rho_2)$ and thus $f \in B_{k,\mu}^{\lambda,p}(\alpha_1, \beta_1, \gamma_2, \rho_2)$ for $z \in E^*$. This completes the proof of the first part. The second part of this result can be obtained by using similar techniques and the relation (1.9). \square

Theorem 2.4. *Let $\operatorname{Re} \alpha_1, \mu > 0$. Then*

- (i) $B_{k,\mu}^{\lambda,p}(\alpha_1, \beta_1, \gamma, \rho) \subset B_{k,\mu}^{0,p}(\alpha_1, \beta_1, \gamma, \rho_4)$.
- (ii) $B_{k,\mu}^{\lambda_1,p}(\alpha_1, \beta_1, \gamma, \rho) \subset B_{k,\mu}^{\lambda_2,p}(\alpha_1, \beta_1, \gamma, \rho)$, for $0 \leq \lambda_2 < \lambda_1$.

Proof. (i). Let

$$h(z) = \frac{(I_\mu^p(\alpha_1, \beta_1)f(z))'}{(I_\mu^p(\alpha_1, \beta_1)g(z))'}$$

$h(z)$ is analytic in E and $h(0) = 1$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} (2.10) \quad & \left\{ (1 - \lambda) \frac{(I_\mu^p(\alpha_1, \beta_1)f(z))'}{(I_\mu^p(\alpha_1, \beta_1)g(z))'} + \lambda \left[-\frac{(z(I_\mu^p(\alpha_1, \beta_1)f(z))')'}{(I_\mu^p(\alpha_1, \beta_1)g(z))'} \right] \right\} \\ & = h(z) + \lambda \frac{zh'(z)}{-h_0(z)}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$h_0(z) = -\frac{(z(I_\mu^p(\alpha_1, \beta_1)f(z))')'}{(I_\mu^p(\alpha_1, \beta_1)g(z))'} \in P(\gamma).$$

Since $f \in B_{k,\mu}^{\lambda,p}(\alpha_1, \beta_1, \gamma, \rho)$, it follows that

$$\left[h(z) + \lambda \frac{zh'(z)}{-h_0(z)} \right] \in P_k(\rho), \quad h_0 \in P(\gamma), \quad \text{for } z \in E.$$

Let

$$h(z) = \left(\frac{k}{4} + \frac{1}{2} \right) h_1(z) - \left(\frac{k}{4} - \frac{1}{2} \right) h_2(z).$$

Thus (2.10) implies that

$$\left[h_i(z) + \lambda \frac{zh'_i(z)}{-h_0(z)} \right] \in P(\rho), \quad z \in E, \quad i = 1, 2.$$

and using similar techniques, together with Lemma 1.1, it follows that $h_i(z) \in P(\rho_4)$, $i = 1, 2$, where

$$\rho_4 = \frac{2\rho |h_0(z)|^2 + \lambda \operatorname{Re} h_0(z)}{2|h_0(z)|^2 + \lambda \operatorname{Re} h_0(z)}.$$

Therefore $h(z) \in P_k(\rho_4)$, and $f \in B_{k,\mu}^{0,p}(\alpha_1, \beta_1, \gamma, \rho_4)$, for $z \in E^*$. In particular, it can be shown that $h_i(z) \in P(\rho)$, $i = 1, 2$. Consequently $h \in P_k(\rho)$ and $f \in B_{k,\mu}^{0,p}(\alpha_1, \beta_1, \gamma, \rho)$ in E^* .

For $\lambda_2 = 0$, we have part (i). Therefore, we let $\lambda_2 > 0$ and $f \in B_{k,\mu}^{\lambda_1,p}(\alpha_1, \beta_1, \gamma, \rho)$. There exist two functions $H_1(z), H_2(z) \in P_k(\rho)$ such that

$$\left\{ (1 - \lambda_1) \frac{(I_\mu^p(\alpha_1 + 1, \beta_1)f(z))'}{(I_\mu^p(\alpha_1 + 1, \beta_1)g(z))'} + \lambda_1 \left[- \frac{(z(I_\mu^p(\alpha_1 + 1, \beta_1)f(z)))'}{(I_\mu^p(\alpha_1 + 1, \beta_1)g(z))'} \right] \right\} = H_1(z)$$

$$\frac{(I_\mu^p(\alpha_1 + 1, \beta_1)f(z))'}{(I_\mu^p(\alpha_1 + 1, \beta_1)g(z))'} = H_2(z),$$

where $g(z) \in MV_{2,\mu}^p(\alpha_1, \beta_1, \gamma)$.

Now

$$(2.11) \quad \left\{ (1 - \lambda_2) \frac{(I_\mu^p(\alpha_1 + 1, \beta_1)f(z))'}{(I_\mu^p(\alpha_1 + 1, \beta_1)g(z))'} + \lambda_2 \left[- \frac{(z(I_\mu^p(\alpha_1 + 1, \beta_1)f(z)))'}{(I_\mu^p(\alpha_1 + 1, \beta_1)g(z))'} \right] \right\}$$

$$= \frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1} H_1(z) + \left(1 - \frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1} \right) H_2(z).$$

Since the class $P_k(\rho)$ is convex, see [10], it follows that the right hand side of (2.11) belongs to $P_k(\rho)$ and this shows that $f \in B_{k,\mu}^{\lambda_2,p}(\alpha_1, \beta_1, \gamma, \rho)$ for $z \in E^*$. This completes the proof. \square

Inclusion properties involving the integral operator F_c . Consider the operator F_c , defined by

$$(2.12) \quad F_c(f)(z) = \frac{c}{z^{c+1}} \int_0^z t^c f(t) dt \quad (f \in \mathcal{M}; c > 0).$$

From the Definition of F_c defined by (2.12), we observe that

$$(2.13) \quad z((I_\mu^p(\alpha_1, \beta_1)F_c f(z))') = c(I_\mu^p(\alpha_1, \beta_1)f(z)) - (c+1)(I_\mu^p(\alpha_1, \beta_1)F_c f(z)).$$

Using (2.12), (2.13) with similar arguments as used earlier, we can prove the following theorem.

Theorem 2.5. *Let $f \in MR_{k,\mu}^p(\alpha_1, \beta_1, \gamma)$ or $f \in MV_{k,\mu}^p(\alpha_1, \beta_1, \gamma)$ or $f \in B_{k,\mu}^{\lambda,p}(\alpha_1, \beta_1, \gamma, \rho)$, for $z \in E$. Then $F_c(f)$ defined by (2.12) is also in the same class for $z \in E^*$.*

Acknowledgement. I am thankful for the valuable suggestions of referee which improved this paper.

REFERENCES

- [1] Carlson, B. C., Shaeffer, B. D., *Starlike and prestarlike hypergeometric functions*, SIAM J. Math. Anal. **15** (1984), no. 4, 737–745.
- [2] Cho, N. E., Noor, K. I., *Inclusion properties for certain classes of meromorphic functions associated with Choi–Saigo–Srivastava operator*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **320** (2006), no. 2, 779–786.
- [3] Cho, N. E., Kwon, O. S. and Srivastava, H. M., *Inclusion relationships for certain subclasses of meromorphic functions associated with a family of multiplier transformations*, Integral Transforms Spec. Funct. **16** (2005), no. 8, 647–659.
- [4] Dziok, J., Srivastava, H. M., *Classes of analytic functions associated with the generalized hypergeometric function*, Appl. Math. Comput. **103** (1999), no. 1, 1–13.
- [5] Hohlov, E. Y., *Operators and operations in the class of univalent functions*, Izv. Vyssh. Uchebn. Zaved. Mat., (1978), no. 10 (197), 83–89 (in Russian).
- [6] Jung, I. B., Kim, Y. C. and Srivastava, H. M., *The Hardy space of analytic functions associated with certain one-parameter families of integral operators*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **176** (1993), no. 1, 138–147.
- [7] Kumar, V., Shukla, S. L., *Certain integrals for classes of p -valent meromorphic functions*, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. **25** (1982), no. 1, 85–97.
- [8] Miller, S. S., *Differential inequalities and Carathéodory functions*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **81** (1975), 79–81.
- [9] Noor, K. I., *On certain classes of meromorphic functions involving integral operators*, JIPAM. J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math. **7** (2006), no. 4, Article 138, 8 pp. (electronic).
- [10] Noor, K. I., *On subclasses of close-to-convex functions of higher order*, Internat. J. Math. Math. Sci. **15** (1992), no. 2, 279–290.
- [11] Noor, K. I., *On new classes of integral operators*, J. Nat. Geom. **16** (1999), no. 1–2, 71–80.
- [12] Noor, K. I., *On close-to-convex and related functions*, Ph. D. Thesis, University of Wales, Swansea, U. K., 1972.
- [13] Noor, K. I., *On quasiconvex functions and related topics*, Internat. J. Math. Math. Sci. **10** (1987), no. 2, 241–258.
- [14] Noor, K. I., Noor, M. A., *On integral operators*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **238** (1999), no. 2, 341–352.
- [15] Noor, K. I., Thomas, D. K., *Quasiconvex univalent functions*, Internat. J. Math. Math. Sci. **3** (1980), no. 2, 255–266.
- [16] Padmanabhan, K., Parvatham, R., *Properties of a class of functions with bounded boundary rotation*, Ann. Polon. Math. **31** (1975/76), no. 3, 311–323.
- [17] Pinchuk, B., *Functions of bounded boundary rotation*, Israel J. Math. **10** (1971), 6–16.
- [18] Ruscheweyh, S., *New criteria for univalent functions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **49** (1975), 109–115.
- [19] Selvaraj, C., Karthikeyan, K. R., *Some inclusion relationships for certain subclasses of meromorphic functions associated with a family of integral operators*, Acta Math. Univ. Comenian. (N. S.) **78** (2009), no. 2, 245–254.
- [20] Yuan, S.-M., Liu, Z.-M. and Srivastava, H. M., *Some inclusion relationships and integral-preserving properties of certain subclasses of meromorphic functions associated with a family of integral operators*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **337** (2008), no.1, 505–515.

Ali Muhammad
Department of Basic Sciences
University of Engineering and Technology
Peshawar
Pakistan
e-mail: ali7887@gmail.com

Received March 25, 2011