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# RICCATI DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS WITH ELLIPTIC COEFFICIENTS II 

Katsuya $\operatorname{Ishizaki~}{ }^{1}$ ), Ilpo Laine, Shun Shimomura ${ }^{2}$ ) and Kazuya Tohge ${ }^{1)}$


#### Abstract

We study a Riccati differential equation whose coefficient is expressible in terms of a special Weierstrass pe-function. We show that all the solutions are meromorphic, and examine the periodicity of them.


1. Introduction. In our preceding paper [4], we studied the Riccati differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
w^{\prime}+w^{2}+\frac{1}{4}\left(1-m^{2}\right) \wp\left(0, g_{3} ; z\right)=0, \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where
(1) $m$ is a natural number such that $m \geq 2, m \notin 6 \mathbb{N}=\{6 n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$;
(2) $\wp\left(0, g_{3} ; z\right)$ is the Weierstrass $\wp$-function satisfying

$$
\left(v^{\prime}\right)^{2}=4 v^{3}-g_{3}, \quad g_{3} \neq 0
$$

Let $\wp(z)$ be an arbitrary $\wp$-function satisfying $\left(v^{\prime}\right)^{2}=4 v^{3}-g_{2} v-g_{3}, g_{2}^{3}-27 g_{3}^{2} \neq 0$. As was explained in [4, Section1], under a certain condition, if, for various values of $a$, an equation of the form $w^{\prime}+w^{2}+a \wp(z)=0$ admits a plenty of meromorphic solutions, then it is either (1.1) or

$$
\begin{equation*}
w^{\prime}+w^{2}+\frac{1}{4}\left(1-m^{2}\right) \wp_{0}(z)=0, \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where
(1) $m$ is a natural number such that $m \geq 2, m \notin 4 \mathbb{N}=\{4 n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$;
(2) $\wp_{0}(z)=\wp\left(g_{2}, 0 ; z\right)$ is the Weierstrass $\wp$-function satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(v^{\prime}\right)^{2}=4 v^{3}-g_{2} v, \quad g_{2} \neq 0 \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\omega_{1}^{0}, \omega_{2}^{0}$ be primitive periods of $\wp_{0}(z)$ satisfying $\operatorname{Im}\left(\omega_{2}^{0} / \omega_{1}^{0}\right)>0$ (cf. (2.3)).
The main results of this paper are stated as follows.

[^0]THEOREM 1.1. All the solutions of (1.2) are meromorphic in the whole complex plane $\mathbb{C}$.
Theorem 1.2. Suppose that $m$ is even. Then,
(i) every solution of (1.2) is a doubly periodic function with periods $\left(2 \omega_{1}^{0}, 2 \omega_{2}^{0}\right)$;
(ii) there exist exactly two distinct solutions with periods $\left(\omega_{1}^{0}, 2 \omega_{2}^{0}\right)$ (or with periods $\left.\left(2 \omega_{1}^{0}, \omega_{2}^{0}\right)\right)$;
(iii) there exists no solution with periods $\left(\omega_{1}^{0}, \omega_{2}^{0}\right)$.

Theorem 1.3. For every odd integer $m$ satisfying $m \geq 3$, the equation (1.2) admits no periodic solution except a doubly periodic one, which is expressible in the form:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\psi_{m}(z)=\frac{\wp_{0}^{\prime}(z)}{2 \wp_{0}(z)}+\sum_{h=1}^{k} \frac{2 \wp_{0}(z) \wp_{0}^{\prime}(z)}{\wp_{0}(z)^{2}-\theta_{m, h}}, & \text { if } m=8 k+3, \quad k=0,1,2, \ldots, \\
\psi_{m}(z)=\frac{\wp_{0}^{\prime \prime}(z)}{\wp_{0}^{\prime}(z)}-\frac{\wp_{0}^{\prime}(z)}{2 \wp_{0}(z)}+\sum_{h=1}^{k} \frac{2 \wp_{0}(z) \wp_{0}^{\prime}(z)}{\wp_{0}(z)^{2}-\theta_{m, h}}, & \text { if } m=8 k+5, \quad k=0,1,2, \ldots, \\
\psi_{m}(z)=\frac{\wp_{0}^{\prime \prime}(z)}{\wp_{0}^{\prime}(z)}+\sum_{h=1}^{k} \frac{2 \wp_{0}(z) \wp_{0}^{\prime}(z)}{\wp_{0}(z)^{2}-\theta_{m, h}}, & \text { if } m=8 k+7, \quad k=0,1,2, \ldots, \\
\psi_{m}(z)=\sum_{h=1}^{k+1} \frac{2 \wp_{0}(z) \wp_{0}^{\prime}(z)}{\wp_{0}(z)^{2}-\theta_{m, h}}, & \text { if } m=8 k+9, \quad k=0,1,2, \ldots,
\end{array}
$$

where, for each $(m, h), \theta_{m, h}$ is some complex constant.
Using the properties of $\wp_{0}(z)$ explained in Section 2, we prove these results in Sections 3 and 4. For a related result concerning linear systems with doubly periodic coefficients, see [1].
2. Properties of the elliptic function $\wp_{0}(z)$. We review basic facts concerning elliptic functions (see [6], [7]). The elliptic function $\wp_{0}(z)=\wp\left(g_{2}, 0 ; z\right)$ satisfies (1.3), which is written in the form

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(v^{\prime}\right)^{2}=4 v\left(v-e_{1}\right)\left(v-e_{2}\right)  \tag{2.1}\\
& e_{1}=g_{2}^{1 / 2} / 2, \quad e_{2}=-g_{2}^{1 / 2} / 2, \quad e_{3}=0, \quad g_{2} \neq 0
\end{align*}
$$

Consider the expression of $\wp_{0}(z)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\wp_{0}(z)=\frac{1}{z^{2}}+\sum_{(p, q) \in \mathbb{Z}_{*}^{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\left(z-\Omega_{p, q}\right)^{2}}-\frac{1}{\Omega_{p, q}^{2}}\right), \quad \mathbb{Z}_{*}^{2}=\mathbb{Z}^{2}-\{(0,0)\} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Omega_{p, q}=p \omega_{1}^{0}+q \omega_{2}^{0},(p, q) \in \mathbb{Z}_{*}^{2}$ constitute the lattice of poles. By (2.1) the periods $\omega_{1}^{0}, \omega_{2}^{0}$ of $\wp_{0}(z)$ may be given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{1}^{0}=\sqrt{2} g_{2}^{-1 / 4} \varepsilon_{0}, \quad \omega_{2}^{0}=i \omega_{1}^{0}, \quad \varepsilon_{0}=\int_{-1}^{0} \frac{d t}{\sqrt{t^{3}-t}} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then we have

Proposition 2.1. $\wp_{0}\left(\omega_{j}^{0} / 2\right)=e_{j}(j=1,2), \wp_{0}\left(\omega_{3}^{0} / 2\right)=0$, where $\omega_{3}^{0}=\omega_{1}^{0}+\omega_{2}^{0}$.
Furthermore the Weierstrass $\zeta$-function

$$
\zeta_{0}(z)=\frac{1}{z}+\sum_{(p, q) \in \mathbb{Z}_{*}^{2}}\left(\frac{1}{z-\Omega_{p, q}}+\frac{1}{\Omega_{p, q}}+\frac{z}{\Omega_{p, q}^{2}}\right), \quad \zeta_{0}^{\prime}(z)=-\wp_{0}(z)
$$

has the properties:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\zeta_{0}\left(z+\omega_{j}^{0}\right)=\zeta_{0}(z)+2 \eta_{j}^{0}, \quad j=1,2,3  \tag{2.4}\\
\eta_{j}^{0}=\zeta_{0}\left(\omega_{j}^{0} / 2\right)=\frac{1}{\omega_{j}^{0} / 2}+\sum_{(p, q) \in \mathbb{Z}_{*}^{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\omega_{j}^{0} / 2-\Omega_{p, q}}+\frac{1}{\Omega_{p, q}}+\frac{\omega_{j}^{0} / 2}{\Omega_{p, q}^{2}}\right),  \tag{2.5}\\
\eta_{1}^{0} \omega_{2}^{0}-\eta_{2}^{0} \omega_{1}^{0}=\pi i . \tag{2.6}
\end{gather*}
$$

Relation (2.6) implies $\left(\eta_{1}^{0}, \eta_{2}^{0}\right) \neq(0,0)$. Observing that $-i \Omega_{p, q}=\Omega_{q,-p}$, from (2.3) and (2.5), we obtain
Proposition 2.2. $\eta_{1}^{0} / \eta_{2}^{0}=\zeta_{0}\left(\omega_{1}^{0} / 2\right) / \zeta_{0}\left(\omega_{2}^{0} / 2\right)=i$.
Around each lattice pole $z=\sigma_{L}=\Omega_{p(L), q(L)}$, the Laurent series expansion of $\wp_{0}(z)$ is given by the following

Proposition 2.3. For an arbitrary pole $z=\sigma_{L}$ of $\wp_{0}(z)$,

$$
\wp_{0}(z)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_{4 n}\left(z-\sigma_{L}\right)^{4 n-2}, \quad b_{0}=1
$$

around $z=\sigma_{L}$.
Proof. It sufficies to consider the case where $\sigma_{L}=0$. We put $\wp_{0}(z)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} b_{k} z^{k-2}$, $b_{0}=1$, near $z=0$. Then $-\wp_{0}(i z)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} i^{k} b_{k} z^{k-2}$. Since $-i \Omega_{p, q}=\Omega_{q,-p}$, we have $\wp_{0}(z)=-\wp_{0}(i z)$, which implies $b_{k}=0$ for $k \notin 4 \mathbb{N}$.

Let $\varpi_{0}(z)=\wp_{0}(z)^{1 / 2}$ be a branch such that $\lim _{z \rightarrow 0} z \varpi_{0}(z)=1$. Then $\varpi_{0}(z)$ is a doubly periodic function with the periods $\left(2 \omega_{1}^{0}, \omega_{3}^{0}\right)$, which has two simple poles with residues 1 and -1 in its period parallelogram. A simple computation leads us to the following.

Proposition 2.4. The functions $\varpi_{0}(z)$ and $W_{0}(z)=2 \varpi_{0}^{\prime}(z)=\wp_{0}^{\prime}(z) \wp_{0}(z)^{-1 / 2}$ satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varpi_{0}^{\prime}(z)^{2}=\varpi_{0}(z)^{4}-g_{2} / 4 \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
W_{0}^{\prime \prime}(z)=6 \wp_{0}(z) W_{0}(z) \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

respectively.
3. Proofs of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2. Consider the linear differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{\prime \prime}+\frac{1-m^{2}}{4} \wp_{0}(z) u=0, \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is associated with (1.2).
Lemma 3.1. Let $z=\sigma_{L}$ be an arbitrary lattice pole of $\wp_{0}(z)$. Then (3.1) admits linearly independent solutions expressed in the form

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
U_{1}(z)=\left(z-\sigma_{L}\right)^{(1-m) / 2} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \beta_{j}^{(1)}\left(z-\sigma_{L}\right)^{4 j}, & \beta_{0}^{(1)}=1 \\
U_{2}(z)=\left(z-\sigma_{L}\right)^{(1+m) / 2} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \beta_{j}^{(2)}\left(z-\sigma_{L}\right)^{4 j}, & \beta_{0}^{(2)}=1
\end{array}
$$

around $z=\sigma_{L}$.
Proof. Around $z=\sigma_{L}$, we have

$$
\wp_{0}(z)=\left(z-\sigma_{L}\right)^{-2} P_{0}\left(\left(z-\sigma_{L}\right)^{4}\right)
$$

with

$$
P_{0}(t)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_{4 n} t^{n}, \quad b_{0}=1
$$

(cf. Proposition 2.3). Consider the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
t^{2} \frac{d^{2} u}{d t^{2}}+\frac{3}{4} t \frac{d u}{d t}+\frac{1-m^{2}}{64} P_{0}(t) u=0 \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

around the regular singular point $t=0$. The roots $\rho_{1}=(1-m) / 8$ and $\rho_{2}=(1+m) / 8$ of the indicial equation

$$
\rho(\rho-1)+\frac{3}{4} \rho+\frac{1-m^{2}}{64}=0
$$

satisfy $\rho_{2}-\rho_{1}=m / 4 \notin \mathbb{Z}$. Hence, (3.2) admits local solutions of the form

$$
\varphi_{1}(t)=t^{(1-m) / 8} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \beta_{j}^{(1)} t^{j}, \quad \varphi_{2}(t)=t^{(1+m) / 8} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \beta_{j}^{(2)} t^{j}, \quad \beta_{0}^{(1)}=\beta_{0}^{(2)}=1
$$

around $t=0$ (see [2], [3]). By the transformation $t=\left(z-\sigma_{L}\right)^{4}$, (3.2) becomes (3.1) admitting the solutions $U_{1}(z)=\varphi_{1}\left(\left(z-\sigma_{L}\right)^{4}\right), U_{2}(z)=\varphi_{2}\left(\left(z-\sigma_{L}\right)^{4}\right)$. This completes the proof.

An arbitrary solution $w(z)$ of (1.2) is written in the form $w(z)=U^{\prime}(z) / U(z)$, where $U(z)$ is a solution of (3.1). By Lemma 3.1, $w(z)$ is meromorphic in the whole complex plane $\mathbb{C}$, which completes the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Theorem 1.2 is proved by the same argument as that of the proof of [4, Theorem 3.1].

## 4. Proof of Theorem 1.3.

4.1. Case $m=8 k+3$. When $m=8 k+3, k=0,1,2, \ldots$, we write (3.1) in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
L^{*}(u)=0, \quad L^{*}=(d / d z)^{2}-(4 k+1)(4 k+2) \wp_{0}(z) . \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

In what follows, $\wp_{0}(z)^{1 / 2}$ denotes the branch given in Section 2. Then we have
Proposition 4.1. For every $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup\{0\}$, (4.1) admits a doubly periodic solution of the form

$$
X_{m}(z)=\wp_{0}(z)^{1 / 2} \prod_{h=1}^{k}\left(\wp_{0}(z)^{2}-\theta_{m, h}\right)
$$

$(m=8 k+3)$ with periods $\left(2 \omega_{1}^{0}, \omega_{3}^{0}\right)$.
Proof. Let $\Delta_{0}^{1 / 2}$ be the period parallelogram of $\wp_{0}(z)^{1 / 2}$ with vertices $\left(-\omega_{1}^{0}-\right.$ $\left.\omega_{3}^{0}\right) / 2,\left(3 \omega_{1}^{0}-\omega_{3}^{0}\right) / 2,\left(-\omega_{1}^{0}+\omega_{3}^{0}\right) / 2$ and $\left(3 \omega_{1}^{0}+\omega_{3}^{0}\right) / 2$. The poles of $\wp_{0}(z)^{1 / 2}$ in $\Delta_{0}^{1 / 2}$ are $z=0$ and $z=\omega_{1}^{0}$, whose residues are 1 and -1 , respectively. By Proposition 2.3, for every $q \in \mathbb{N} \cup\{0\}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\wp_{0}(z)^{1 / 2} \wp_{0}(z)^{q}=z^{-2 q-1} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_{4 n}^{(q)} z^{4 n}, \quad b_{0}^{(q)}=1 \tag{4.2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

around $z=0$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\wp_{0}(z)^{1 / 2} \wp_{0}(z)^{q}=-\left(z-\omega_{1}^{0}\right)^{-2 q-1} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_{4 n}^{(q)}\left(z-\omega_{1}^{0}\right)^{4 n} \tag{4.2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

around $z=\omega_{1}^{0}$. Then, for $\nu=0,1, \ldots, k-1, k$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& L^{*}\left(\wp_{0}(z)^{1 / 2} \wp_{0}(z)^{2 \nu}\right)=z^{-4 \nu-3} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_{4 n}^{\nu, k} z^{4 n} \\
& =B_{0}^{\nu, k} z^{-4 \nu-3}+B_{4}^{\nu, k} z^{-4 \nu+1}+\cdots+B_{4 \nu}^{\nu, k} z^{-3}+O(z)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $B_{0}^{\nu, k}=(4 \nu+1)(4 \nu+2)-(4 k+1)(4 k+2)$. Observing that $B_{0}^{k, k}=0, B_{0}^{\nu, k} \neq 0$ $(\nu \neq k)$, we can choose $C_{k, n} \in \mathbb{C}, n=0,1, \ldots, k$, satisfying $C_{k, k}=1$ in such a way that

$$
L^{*}\left(\wp_{0}(z)^{1 / 2} \sum_{n=0}^{k} C_{k, n} \wp_{0}(z)^{2 n}\right)=O(z)
$$

near $z=0$. Then, by (4.2.1) and (4.2.2),

$$
L^{*}\left(\wp_{0}(z)^{1 / 2} \sum_{n=0}^{k} C_{k, n} \wp_{0}(z)^{2 n}\right)=O\left(z-\omega_{1}^{0}\right)
$$

also holds near $z=\omega_{1}^{0}$. By this fact and the Liouville theorem, we conclude that

$$
X_{m}(z)=\wp_{0}(z)^{1 / 2} \sum_{n=0}^{k} C_{k, n} \wp_{0}(z)^{2 n}=\wp_{0}(z)^{1 / 2} \prod_{h=1}^{k}\left(\wp_{0}(z)^{2}-\theta_{m, h}\right)
$$

satisfies

$$
L^{*}\left(X_{m}(z)\right) \equiv 0
$$

which implies the proposition.
It is easy to see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi_{m}(z)=\frac{X_{m}^{\prime}(z)}{X_{m}(z)}=\frac{\wp_{0}^{\prime}(z)}{2 \wp_{0}(z)}+\sum_{h=1}^{k} \frac{2 \wp_{0}(z) \wp_{0}^{\prime}(z)}{\wp_{0}(z)^{2}-\theta_{m, h}} \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a solution of (1.2).
In order to verify that there exists no periodic solution of (1.2) other than $\psi_{m}(z)$, we examine another solution of (4.1). By the uniqueness of the solution of an initial value problem associated with (4.1), every zero of $X_{m}(z)$ is simple. Hence each constant $\theta_{m, h}$ satisfies $\theta_{m, h} \neq 0, \theta_{m, h} \neq \theta_{m, i}$ for $i \neq h$. It is easy to see that all zeros are located symmetrically with respect to $z=0$. Furthermore, $X_{m}(z)^{2}$ is a doubly periodic function with periods $\left(\omega_{1}^{0}, \omega_{2}^{0}\right)$. It follows from these facts and the Liouville theorem, that

$$
\frac{1}{X_{m}(z)^{2}}=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\tau \in Z} \frac{1}{X_{m}^{\prime}(\tau)^{2}}\left(\wp_{0}(z-\tau)+\wp_{0}(z+\tau)-2 \wp_{0}(\tau)\right),
$$

where $Z$ denotes the set of all zeros of $X_{m}(z)$ in

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{0}=\left\{s_{1} \omega_{1}^{0}+s_{2} \omega_{2}^{0} \mid-1 / 2<s_{1} \leq 1 / 2,-1 / 2<s_{2} \leq 1 / 2\right\} \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then we have another solution of (4.1) written in the form
$Y_{m}(z)=X_{m}(z) \int_{z_{0}}^{z} \frac{d t}{X_{m}(t)^{2}}=-\frac{X_{m}(z)}{2} \sum_{\tau \in Z} \frac{1}{X_{m}^{\prime}(\tau)^{2}}\left(\zeta_{0}(z-\tau)+\zeta_{0}(z+\tau)+2 \wp_{0}(\tau) z\right)$
(see Section 2). For the linearly independent solutions $X_{m}(z)$ and $Y_{m}(z)$, we have the Floquet matrices

$$
M_{j}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & \delta_{j} \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right), \quad \delta_{j}=-\omega_{j}^{0} \sum_{\tau \in Z} \frac{\wp_{0}(\tau)}{X_{m}^{\prime}(\tau)^{2}}-2 \eta_{j}^{0} \sum_{\tau \in Z} \frac{1}{X_{m}^{\prime}(\tau)^{2}} \quad(j=1,2)
$$

satisfying $\left[\omega_{j}^{0}\right]\left(X_{m}(z), Y_{m}(z)\right)=\left(X_{m}(z), Y_{m}(z)\right) M_{j}$, where $\left[\omega_{j}^{0}\right]$ denotes the analytic continuation along the segment $\left[z, z+\omega_{j}^{0}\right]$ (cf. Sections 2 and [4, Section3]). Note that $Z$ is written in the form

$$
Z=Z_{0} \cup\left(\bigcup_{h=1}^{k} Z_{h}\right)
$$

with

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Z_{0}=\left\{\tau \in Z \mid \wp_{0}(\tau)=0\right\} \\
& Z_{h}=\left\{ \pm \tau_{h,-}, \pm \tau_{h,+} \in Z \mid \wp_{0}\left( \pm \tau_{h,-}\right)=-\theta_{m, h}^{1 / 2}, \wp_{0}\left( \pm \tau_{h,+}\right)=\theta_{m, h}^{1 / 2}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma 4.2. We have

$$
\sum_{\tau \in Z} \frac{\wp_{0}(\tau)}{X_{m}^{\prime}(\tau)^{2}}=0
$$

Proof. Since every zero of $X_{m}(z)$ is simple,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\tau \in Z_{0}} \frac{\wp_{0}(\tau)}{X_{m}^{\prime}(\tau)^{2}}=0 \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Observe that

$$
\begin{aligned}
X_{m}^{\prime}\left(\tau_{h, \pm}\right)^{2} & =\wp_{0}\left(\tau_{h, \pm}\right) \cdot 4 \wp_{0}^{\prime}\left(\tau_{h, \pm}\right)^{2} \wp_{0}\left(\tau_{h, \pm}\right)^{2} \prod_{q \neq h}\left(\wp_{0}\left(\tau_{h, \pm}\right)^{2}-\theta_{m, q}\right)^{2} \\
& =4 \wp_{0}\left(\tau_{h, \pm}\right)^{4}\left(4 \wp_{0}\left(\tau_{h, \pm}\right)^{2}-g_{2}\right) \prod_{q \neq h}\left(\wp_{0}\left(\tau_{h, \pm}\right)^{2}-\theta_{m, q}\right)^{2} \\
& =4 \theta_{m, h}^{2}\left(4 \theta_{m, h}-g_{2}\right) \prod_{q \neq h}\left(\theta_{m, h}-\theta_{m, q}\right)^{2}=\Gamma_{h} \neq 0,
\end{aligned}
$$

and that

$$
X_{m}^{\prime}\left(-\tau_{h, \pm}\right)^{2}=\Gamma_{h} \neq 0
$$

Hence we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{\tau \in Z_{h}} \frac{\wp_{0}(\tau)}{X_{m}^{\prime}(\tau)^{2}}  \tag{4.6}\\
& \quad=\Gamma_{h}^{-1}\left(\left(\wp_{0}\left(\tau_{h,-}\right)+\wp_{0}\left(\tau_{h,+}\right)\right)+\left(\wp_{0}\left(-\tau_{h,-}\right)+\wp_{0}\left(-\tau_{h,+}\right)\right)\right)=0 .
\end{align*}
$$

From (4.5) and (4.6), the lemma immediately follows.
By Lemma 4.2, we have $\delta_{j}=-2 \eta_{j}^{0} \sum_{\tau \in Z} X_{m}^{\prime}(\tau)^{-2}(j=1,2)$, which satisfy $\left(\delta_{1}, \delta_{2}\right) \neq(0,0)$. Indeed, if $\delta_{1}=\delta_{2}=0$, then (4.1) admits a nontrivial doubly periodic solution which vanishes at each pole of $\wp_{0}(z)$, contradicting the Liouville theorem. Let $\delta$ be the ratio

$$
\delta= \begin{cases}\delta_{1} / \delta_{2}, & \text { if } \delta_{2} \neq 0 \\ 0, & \text { if } \delta_{2}=0\end{cases}
$$

Now, we note the following criteria, which is proved by the same way as in the proof of [4, Proposition 4.5].

Lemma 4.3. If $\delta \notin \mathbb{Q}$, then there exists no periodic solution of (1.2) other than (4.3). If $\delta \in \mathbb{Q}$, then every solution of (1.2) is purely simply periodic.

Since $\sum_{\tau \in Z} X_{m}^{\prime}(\tau)^{-2} \neq 0$, using Proposition 2.2, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta=\eta_{1}^{0} / \eta_{2}^{0}=i \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, by Lemma 4.3, there exists no periodic solution other than (4.3).
4.2. Case $m=8 k+7$. When $m=8 k+7, k=0,1,2, \ldots$, we can construct a solution of (3.1) expressible in the form

$$
\tilde{X}_{m}(z)=\wp_{0}^{\prime}(z)\left(\wp_{0}(z)^{2 k}+\sum_{n=0}^{k-1} \tilde{C}_{n} \wp_{0}(z)^{2 n}\right)=\wp_{0}^{\prime}(z) \prod_{h=1}^{k}\left(\wp_{0}(z)^{2}-\theta_{m, h}\right),
$$

by an argument analogous to that for the case $m=8 k+3$ (see also [4, Section 4]). Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi_{m}(z)=\frac{\tilde{X}_{m}^{\prime}(z)}{\tilde{X}_{m}(z)}=\frac{\wp_{0}^{\prime \prime}(z)}{\wp_{0}^{\prime}(z)}+\sum_{h=1}^{k} \frac{2 \wp_{0}(z) \wp_{0}^{\prime}(z)}{\wp_{0}(z)^{2}-\theta_{m, h}} \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a periodic solution of (1.2). By the same argument as in Section 4.1, we obtain the Floquet matrices

$$
\tilde{M}_{j}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & \tilde{\delta}_{j} \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right), \quad \tilde{\delta}_{j}=-\omega_{j}^{0} \sum_{\tau \in \tilde{Z}} \frac{\wp_{0}(\tau)}{\tilde{X}_{m}^{\prime}(\tau)^{2}}-2 \eta_{j}^{0} \sum_{\tau \in \tilde{Z}} \frac{1}{\tilde{X}_{m}^{\prime}(\tau)^{2}}, \quad(j=1,2)
$$

where $\tilde{Z}$ denotes the set of all zeros of $\tilde{X}_{m}(z)$ in $\Delta_{0}$ (cf. (4.4)). Decompose the set $\tilde{Z}$ into

$$
\begin{align*}
& \tilde{Z}=\tilde{Z}^{\prime} \cup\left(\bigcup_{h=1}^{k} \tilde{Z}_{h}\right)  \tag{4.9}\\
& \tilde{Z}^{\prime}=\left\{\tau \mid \wp_{0}^{\prime}(\tau)=0\right\}=\left\{\omega_{1}^{0} / 2, \omega_{2}^{0} / 2, \omega_{3}^{0} / 2\right\} \\
& \tilde{Z}_{h}=\left\{\tau \mid \wp_{0}(\tau)^{2}=\theta_{m, h}\right\}
\end{align*}
$$

Using the formulas

$$
\begin{gathered}
\wp_{0}\left(\omega_{1}^{0} / 2\right)=g_{2}^{1 / 2} / 2, \quad \wp_{0}\left(\omega_{2}^{0} / 2\right)=-g_{2}^{1 / 2} / 2, \quad \wp_{0}\left(\omega_{3}^{0} / 2\right)=0 \\
\tilde{X}_{m}^{\prime}\left(\omega_{j}^{0} / 2\right)^{2}=\wp_{0}^{\prime \prime}\left(\omega_{j}^{0} / 2\right)^{2} \prod_{h=1}^{k}\left(\wp_{0}\left(\omega_{j}^{0} / 2\right)^{2}-\theta_{m, h}\right)^{2} \\
=g_{2}^{2} \prod_{h=1}^{k}\left(g_{2} / 4-\theta_{m, h}\right)^{2} \quad(j=1,2)
\end{gathered}
$$

we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\tau \in \tilde{Z}^{\prime}} \frac{\wp_{0}(\tau)}{\tilde{X}_{m}^{\prime}(\tau)^{2}}=0 \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore, by the same argument as in the proof of Lemma 4.2, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\tau \in \tilde{Z}_{h}} \frac{\wp_{0}(\tau)}{\tilde{X}_{m}^{\prime}(\tau)^{2}}=0 \quad(h=1, \ldots, k) \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (4.9), (4.10), (4.11) and Proposition 2.2, it follows that $\tilde{\delta}=\tilde{\delta}_{1} / \tilde{\delta}_{2}=i$. Hence, applying Lemma 4.3, we conclude that there exists no periodic solution of (1.2) other than (4.8).
4.3. Cases $m=8 k+5$ and $m=8 k+9$. When $m=8 k+5,(3.1)$ is written in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{k}(u)=0, \quad L_{k}=(d / d z)^{2}-(4 k+2)(4 k+3) \wp_{0}(z) \tag{4.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then we have
Proposition 4.4. For every $k=0,1,2, \ldots$, (4.12) admits a solution expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
W_{k}(z)=\wp_{0}^{\prime}(z) \wp_{0}(z)^{-1 / 2} \sum_{n=0}^{k} \tilde{C}_{k, n} \wp_{0}(z)^{2 n} \tag{4.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\tilde{C}_{k, k}=1$.
Proof. We show the conclusion by induction on $k$. By (2.8) the function $W_{0}(z)=$ $\wp_{0}^{\prime}(z) \wp_{0}(z)^{-1 / 2}$ satisfies (4.12) with $k=0$. Suppose that, for $k=0,1, \ldots, \kappa-1$, (4.12) admits a solution expressed as (4.13). By Proposition 4.1, for suitably chosen constants $C_{n}, n=0,1, \ldots, \kappa$, the function

$$
X(z)=\wp_{0}(z)^{1 / 2} \sum_{n=0}^{\kappa} C_{n} \wp_{0}(z)^{2 n}, \quad C_{\kappa}=1
$$

satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
X^{\prime \prime}(z)=(4 \kappa+1)(4 \kappa+2) \wp_{0}(z) X(z) \tag{4.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Differentiate (4.14) and put $w_{\kappa}(z)=X^{\prime}(z)$. Observing that

$$
\frac{\wp_{0}^{\prime}(z)}{\wp_{0}(z)} X(z)-\frac{w_{\kappa}(z)}{2 \kappa+1 / 2}=\wp_{0}^{\prime}(z) \wp_{0}(z)^{-1 / 2} \sum_{n=0}^{\kappa-1} C_{n}^{\prime} \wp_{0}(z)^{2 n}, \quad C_{n}^{\prime} \in \mathbb{C},
$$

we have

$$
L_{\kappa}\left(w_{\kappa}(z)\right)=(4 \kappa+2)(4 \kappa+3) \wp_{0}(z)\left(\wp_{0}^{\prime}(z) \wp_{0}(z)^{-1 / 2} \sum_{n=0}^{\kappa-1} C_{n}^{\prime \prime} \wp_{0}(z)^{2 n}\right), \quad C_{n}^{\prime \prime} \in \mathbb{C} .
$$

By supposition,

$$
\begin{gathered}
L_{\kappa}\left(w_{\kappa}(z)+\gamma_{\kappa-1} W_{\kappa-1}(z)\right)=L_{\kappa}\left(w_{\kappa}(z)\right)+\gamma_{\kappa-1} \rho_{\kappa, \kappa-1} \wp_{0}(z) W_{\kappa-1}(z), \\
\rho_{\kappa, \kappa-1}=(4 \kappa+2)(4 \kappa+3)-(4 \kappa-2)(4 \kappa-1) \neq 0 .
\end{gathered}
$$

Hence, if $\gamma_{\kappa-1}=-(4 \kappa+2)(4 \kappa+3) C_{\kappa-1}^{\prime \prime} / \rho_{\kappa, \kappa-1}$, then

$$
L_{\kappa}\left(w_{\kappa}(z)+\gamma_{\kappa-1} W_{\kappa-1}(z)\right)=(4 \kappa+2)(4 \kappa+3) \wp_{0}(z)\left(\wp_{0}^{\prime}(z) \wp_{0}(z)^{-1 / 2} \sum_{n=0}^{\kappa-2} C_{n}^{(3)} \wp_{0}(z)^{2 n}\right)
$$

Repeating this procedure, we may choose $\gamma_{j}(j=0, \ldots, \kappa-1)$ in such a way that $W_{\kappa}(z)=w_{\kappa}(z)+\sum_{j=0}^{\kappa-1} \gamma_{j} W_{j}(z)$ satisfies (4.12) with $k=\kappa$. Thus the proposition is verified.

We can write (4.13) in the form

$$
W_{k}(z)=\wp_{0}^{\prime}(z) \wp_{0}(z)^{-1 / 2} \prod_{h=1}^{k}\left(\wp_{0}(z)^{2}-\theta_{m, h}\right),
$$

which yields the solution $\psi_{m}(z)=W_{k}^{\prime}(z) / W_{k}(z)$ of (1.2) with $m=8 k+5$.
Next consider the case where $m=8 k+9, k=0,1,2, \ldots$. It is easy to see that $V_{0}(z)=6 \wp_{0}(z)^{2}-9 g_{2} / 10$ satisfies

$$
V_{0}^{\prime \prime}(z)=20 \wp_{0}(z) V_{0}(z)
$$

which means that $V_{0}(z)$ is a solution of (3.1) with $m=9$. Using this fact, from the solution of (3.1) with $m=8 k+7$ given in Section 4.2 , we can derive a solution of (3.1) with $m=8 k+9$ written in the form

$$
V_{k}(z)=\prod_{h=1}^{k+1}\left(\wp_{0}(z)^{2}-\theta_{m, h}\right)
$$

by the same argument as in the proof of Proposition 4.4. Then, $\psi_{m}(z)=V_{k}^{\prime}(z) / V_{k}(z)$ is a doubly periodic solution of (1.2) with $m=8 k+9$. Furthermore, in both cases $m=8 k+5$ and $m=8 k+9$, we can also verify the non-existence of periodic solutions of (1.2) other than $\psi_{m}(z)$ by the same way as in Section 4.1. This completes the proof.
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