LQR CONTROL APPROACH APPLIED
TO UNINTERRUPTIBLE POWER SUPPLY (UPS)

RABHI BOUALAGA, MOUSSI AMMAR

MSE Laboratory, Department of Electrical Engineering
University of Biskra
boualaga@yahoo.fr

ABSTRACT

This paper presents a control strategy applied to high power uninterruptible power supplies with a low switching frequency. In the controller design, the gains are determined by minimizing a cost function, which reduces the tracking error and smoothes the control signal. A recursive least square estimator identifies the parameters model at different load conditions. Then the linear quadratic controller gains are adapted periodically. The output voltage is the only state variable measured.The other state variables are obtained by estimation process. Simulation results show that the proposed control strategy offers good performances for either linear and non-linear loads with low total harmonic distortions (THD) even at low frequencies making it very useful for high power applications.

KEY WORDS: Uninterruptible power supplies, Adaptive control, Linear quadratic regulator, Parameter estimation, Simulation.

1   INTRODUCION

The ultimate goal of uninterruptible power supplies (UPS) system is to supply constant amplitude sinusoidal voltage and constant frequency to load without any interruption in case of a main power faillure. The quality of the UPS output voltage is defined by the total harmonic distortion (THD). The most common UPS configuration consists of a battery bank and a static rectifier-inverter-filter that produce a low total harmonic distortion sinusoidal output voltage that supplies the critical load. For such application, system performances are usually measured in terms of transient response and waveform distortions under sudden changes in load parameters [1], [2].

With the cost reduction of microcontrollers and digital signal processors (DSP), the use of digital control technique in power converter has increased. However, high power converters are usually operated at low switching frequencies in order to reduce switching losses. Therefore, advanced control strategies are required to overcome these complications [3], [4], [5].

To design the closed loop control, the model of the system has an important task in the conception of the controller. Some linear models for single phase PWM inverter system have been reported in literature [2], [3]. The output voltage and its derivative, that is proportional to the capacitor current, can be used as the state variables, as well as the output voltage and the inductor current. However, modelling errors and unmodelled dynamics are quite common. They may be a result of simplifications on the

model, which can degrade the performance of the system [4].

Many discrete time controllers used to control a single phase inverters in UPS applications were reported in literature, such as predictive control [6],[7], repetitive control [8],[9], optimal state feedback [10] and selective harmonic compensation [11], [12]. Even if most of these schemes offered high performance feedback control results, they still relay on high switching frequencies and involve considerable computational over heads. In this paper a single phase UPS with a low switching frequency is proposed in order to minimise switching losses and improve system effeciency. An adaptive linear quadratic regulator for single-phase UPS application is proposed. The regulator is a useful tool in modern optimal control design. For the proposed controller, a recursive least square estimator identifies the plant parameters which are used to compute the regulator gains periodically. The quadratic cost function parameter is chosen in order to reduce the energy of the control signal. Only the output voltage can be measured and the inductor current is not measurable. As a result, an observer is used to estimate the inductor current. Using a suitable filter the effect of disturbances on the response of the system will be decreased. The simulations were carried out using MATLAB Simulink.

This paper is organized as follows: After the introduction, the global model of the plant is described in section (2), Theoretical analysis of the controller, RLS estimator and Kalman filter describtions are reported in sections (3), (4) and (5) respectively. Simulation results and discussion are

presented in section (6) followed by the conclusion in the final section.

2 DESCRIPTION OF THE PLANT

The single-phase PWM inverter is shown in Fig.1, the LC filter and the resistive load R are considered to be the plant of the system.

The inverter is controlled by the unipolar PWM. The power switches are turned on and off at the carrier frequency.

The plant can be modelled by the state space variable v_C and i_L :

$$\begin{bmatrix} \dot{v}_c \\ i_L \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \dfrac{-1}{RC} & \dfrac{1}{C} \\ \dfrac{-1}{L} & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} v_c \\ i_L \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \dfrac{1}{L} \end{bmatrix} u, y = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} v_c \\ i_L \end{bmatrix}, (1)$$

or

$$\dot{x} = Ax + Bu, y = Cx, \qquad (2)$$

Then, a discrete time model of the plant obtained by the forward method and sample time T_S is given by:

$$x(k+1) = A_d x(k) + B_d u(k), y(k) = C_d x(k), \qquad (3)$$

Where

$$x(k) = \begin{bmatrix} v_c(k) & \hat{i}_L(k) \end{bmatrix}^T,$$

$$A_d = I + T_S A, \ B_d = TsB, \qquad (4)$$

Figure 1 : *Inverter, filter and load*

3 LINEAR QUADRATIC REGULATOR

The adaptive linear quadratic regulator controller has the objective of tracking the discrete sinusoidal *r(k)* reference in each sample instant.

The system output *y(k)* is the capacitor voltage in the discrete form $v_c(k)$, the state variables used in the (LQR) are the measured output voltage $v_c(k)$, the estimated inductor current $\hat{i}_L(k)$, the integrated tracking error *v(k)*; all with a feedback action and the discrete reference *r(k)* and its derivative *ŕ(k)* with a feed forward action. Each state variable has weighting Ki tuned according to *θ(k)*, which contains the plant parameters identified by the RLS estimator. The control system shown in Fig.2 is therefore proposed.


Figure 2 : *Block diagram of the control system4*

Then, in the proposed system, the state vector $z(k)$ is defined as:

$$z(k) = \begin{bmatrix} v_c(k) & \hat{i}_L(k) & v(k) & r(k) & \dot{r}(k) \end{bmatrix}^T, \qquad (5)$$

and the LQR control signal is given by

$$u_{LQR}(k) = -Kz(k), \qquad (6)$$

To design the optimal gains $K_1, K_2,..., K_5$, the system must be represented in the form:

$$z(k+1) = Gz(k) + Hu_{LQR}(k), \qquad (7)$$

Where each state variable is calculated by a difference equation. The two first variables of vector $z(k)$ are obtained by (3). The signal $v(k)$ is:

$$v(k+1) = e(k+1) + v(k), \qquad (8)$$

Where the error is given by:

$$e(k) = r(k) - y(k), \qquad (9)$$

From (3), (8) and (9) results the difference equation for

$$v(k+1) = v(k) + r(k) + T_S \dot{r}(k) - C_d A_d x(k) \\ - C_d B_d u_{LQR}(k) \qquad (10)$$

The continuous time reference variables are:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \dot{r}(t) \\ \dot{r}(t) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -\omega^2 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} r(t) \\ \dot{r}(t) \end{bmatrix}, \dot{r} = Rr, \qquad (11)$$

This system generates a sinusoidal reference when initiated with initial values:

r(0)=0 .

ŕ(0)=wV_P .

where V_P is the sine wave amplitude and w is the angular frequency.

In the discrete form, using a sample period T_S, the subsystem (11) is given by:

$$n(k+1) = R_d n(k), \qquad (12)$$

Where

$$n(k) = \begin{bmatrix} r(k) & \dot{r}(k) \end{bmatrix}, \qquad (13)$$

$$R_d = I + T_S R, \qquad (14)$$

Then, using the state equations (3), (10) and (12), the closed loop system representation becomes:

$$\begin{bmatrix} x(k+1) \\ v(k+1) \\ n(k+1) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} A_d & 0 & 0 \\ -C_d A_d & 1 & C_d R_d \\ 0 & 0 & R_d \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x(k) \\ v(k) \\ n(k) \end{bmatrix} \\ + \begin{bmatrix} B_d \\ -C_d B_d \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} u_{LQR}(k)$$

$$y(k) = \begin{bmatrix} C_d & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x(k) & v(k) & n(k) \end{bmatrix}^T, \qquad (15)$$

The optimal gains of the control law (6) are those that minimize the following cost function:

$$J = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left\{ z^T(k) Qz(k) + u^T(k) R_u u(k) \right\}, \qquad (16)$$

Where Q and R_u are chosen as positive definite matrixes that set the weighting of states and the control signal respectively.

The K gains can be obtained through the evaluating the Riccati equations [13]. as follows:

$$S(k) = G^T S(k+1)G + Q - \left[H^T S(k+1)G \right]^T \times \\ \left[R_u + H^T S(k+1)H \right]^{-1} \left[H^T S(k+1)G \right] \qquad (17)$$

$$K(k) = R_u^{-1} H^T \left(G^T \right)^{-1} \left(S(k) - Q \right), \qquad (18)$$

A good flexibility in the design of the controller is provided by the selection of Q and R_u matrixes.

4 RECURSIVE LEAST SQUARES (RLS) ESTIMATOR

To estimate the plant parameters when the load conditions are variable, a RLS algorithm is used [14]. The discrete plant model with a zero order hold is given by:

$$\frac{y(z)}{u(z)} = \frac{\theta_3}{z^2 + \theta_1 z + \theta_2}, \qquad (19)$$

The difference equation of the estimated output is:

$$y(k) = -\theta_1 y(k-1) - \theta_2 y(k-2) + \theta_3 u(k-2), \qquad (20)$$

or

$$\hat{y}(k) = \theta^T(k)\Psi(k-1), \qquad (21)$$

Where

$$\theta(k) = \begin{bmatrix} \theta_1 & \theta_2 & \theta_3 \end{bmatrix}, \qquad (22)$$

and

$$\Psi(k) = \begin{bmatrix} -y(k-1) & -y(k-2) & u(k-2) \end{bmatrix}, \qquad (23)$$

The RLS gains are calculated using:

$$L(k) = \frac{p(k-1)\Psi(k)}{1+\Psi^T k)p(k-1)\Psi(k)}, \qquad (24)$$

The RLS covariance matrix is given by:

$$p(k) = p(k-1) - \frac{p(k-1)\Psi(k)\Psi^T(k)p(k-1)}{1+\Psi^T(k)p(k-1)\Psi(k)}, \quad (25)$$

and the plant parameters θ are recursively obtained by:

$$\hat{\theta}(k) = \hat{\theta}(k-1) + L(k)\left[y(k) - \Psi^T\hat{\theta}(k-1)\right], \qquad (26)$$

Where:

$$\hat{A}_d = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -\hat{\theta}_2 \\ 1 & -\hat{\theta}_1 \end{bmatrix}, \hat{B}_d = \begin{bmatrix} \hat{\theta}_3 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, C_d = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \qquad (27)$$

Then, it is possible to identify the plant parameters to a range of different loads through the substitution of matrixes (27) into system (15) and proceed there often with the LQR gains design in real time.

5   KALMAN FILTER

Since only the output voltage is measured, a Kalman filter [13], [15] is used to estimate the inductor current state.

$$\begin{aligned} x(k+1) &= A_d x(k) + B_d u(k) + w(k) \\ y(k) &= C_d x(k) + v(k) \end{aligned}, \qquad (28)$$

The random variables $w(k)$ and $v(k)$ represent the process and measurement noise respectively. They are assumed to be independent of each other and with normal probability distributions such that:

$$\begin{aligned} E\left[w(k)^T \quad w(k)\right] &= R_W \rangle 0 \\ E\left[v(k)^T \quad v(k)\right] &= R_V \rangle 0 \quad , \\ E\left[w(k)^T \quad v(k)\right] &= 0 \end{aligned} \qquad (29)$$

In practice, the process noise covariance and measurement noise covariance matrices might change with each time step or measurement. However, here, it is assumed that they are presented below [15].

The Kalman gains are given by:

$$K_G(k) = \left(M(k)C_d^T\right)\left(C_d M(k)C_d^T + R_v\right)^{-1}, \qquad (30)$$

and the estimated variable, the inductor current, is

$$i_L = \hat{x}_2(k) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}\hat{x}(k), \qquad (31)$$

The following recursive equations are used:

$$P_K(k) = M(k) - K_G(k)C_d M(k), \qquad (32)$$

and

$$M(k) = \left(A_d P_K(k)A_d^T\right) + \left(B_d R_W B_d^T\right), \qquad (33)$$

After each time and measurement update pair, the process is repeated with the previous posterior estimates used to project or predict the new a priori estimates.

6   SIMULATION RESULTS

The simulation work is carried out according to the proposed block diagram presented in Fig.3. The inverter system controlled by linear quadratic regulator algorithm is realized in order to study the output voltage (Vc) performance under linear and nonlinear loads. The plant controller parameters, algorithm constants and other system specifications are presented in tableI.

For a linear load, the input and output voltage waveforms, estimated and measured inductor currents as well as estimated parameters are shown in Fig.4, 5 and 6 respectively.

A linear load output voltage and current with values of R and K (gains) taken from table1 are illustrated in Fig.7 and the output voltage frequency spectrum is presented in Fig.8. From this spectrum, the THD is calculated and the obtained value is 1.12% showing a high quality output voltage.

For a nonlinear load, the output voltage, the output current and the output voltage frequency spectrum are shown in Fig.9 and 10 respectively. The THD obtained from the voltage spectrum is equal to 1.61% proving a high quality output voltage. Fig.11 depicts the transient response of the output voltage compared to the reference. One notices that the dynamic time vanishs in brief time. Fig.12 shows the output voltage tracking the reference voltage efficiently in the case of linear load disturbance. From this figure, it is clear that the proposed LQR regulator is efficient.

Figure 3 : System Simulink Bloc diagram

TABLE I

SYSTEM PARAMETERS

DC input voltage   E=400V
Reference voltage   Vref= 320 V (peak), 60Hz
Sample time   Ts= 1/I8000s
States weightings   Q=diag [50 100 150 1 1]
Control weighting   Ru=100
For linear load:
Filter inductance   L= 5.3 mH
Filter capacitance   C= 80 μF
Linear load   R= 6Ω
LQR gains K= [8.0177 36.0875 1.0127 -10.0251 -0.0031]
For non linear load:
Non linear load rated resistive load phase commutated at angle 45°
Filter inductance   L= 0.5 mH
Filter capacitance   C=1 000 μF
LQR gains K= [**9.0097 3.4099 -1.0096 -10.8201 -0.0036**]
Switching frequency   f =1500 Hz

Figure 5 : Measured and estimated inductor current for a linear load.

Figure 6 : The estimated parameters for a linear load.

*Figure 4 : Input and output voltage for a linear load.*

19

Figure 7 : *Output voltage and current for a linear load*

Figure 8 : *Spectral analysis of the output voltage for a linear load.*

Figure 9 : *Output voltage and current for a non linear load.*

Figure 10 : *Spectral analysis of the output voltage for a non linear load.*

**Figure 11 :** *Transient response of the output voltage for a linear load.*

Figure 12 : *Reference voltage, output voltage and current with linear load disturbance (from R= 6Ω to R=3Ω).*

20

7   CONCLUSIONS

A Linear Quadratic Regulator was successfully developed for a single phase UPS application. The linear quadratic regulator gains are calculated by minimizing a cost function which can be changed by the designer by modification of the weighting factors. Therefore, it is possible to reduce the control efforts in tracking the sinusoidal reference. The RLS estimator identifies the plant parameters which are used to compute LQR gains periodically.

The discrete control law has shown good performances to linear and nonlinear loads when operated at low switching frequency. Theses characteristics make this scheme suitable to be used in high power applications as well as to be implemented through a low cost micro controller.

REFERENCES

[1] IEEE Recommended Practice for Emergency and Standby Power Systems for Industrial and Commercial Applications, *IEEE-446- 1995, 1995.*

[2] A. Kawamura and T. Yokoyama, "Comparison of Five Control Methods for Digitally Feedback Controlled PWM Inverters," in *Proceedings of the 1991 EPE Conference*, pp. 035-040.

[3] T. Haneyoshi and A. Kawamura, "Waveform Compensation of PWM Inverter with Cyclic Fluctuating Loads,*" IEEE Trans. Industrial Application*, vol. 24, no. 4, July. 1988, pp. 582-589.

[4] V .F Montagner and E.G Carati, "An Adaptive Linear Quadratic Regulator with Repetitive Controller Applied to Uninterruptible Power Supplies," in *Proceedings of the 2000 IEEE Industry Applications Conference*, pp. 2231-2236.

[5] S. Karam and J. K. Mahdi, "Application of Adaptive LQR with Repetitive Control for UPS systems," in *Proceedings of the 2003 IEEE Industry Applications Conference*, pp. 1124-1129.

[6] J. Cho, S. Lee, H. Mok, and G. Choe, "Modified Deadbleat Controller For UPS With 3-phase PWM Inverter, " *in Conf. Rec. IEEE-IAS Annu. Meeting, 1999*, pp. 2208-2215.

[7] S. Buso, S. Fasolo, and P. Mattavelli, "Uninterruptible Power Supply Multiloop Control Employing Digital Predictive Voltage and Current Regulators, "*in Proceding. IEEE APEC, 2001,* pp. 907-913.

[8] Y. Y. Tzou, R. S. Ou, S. L. Jung, and M. Y. Chang, "High Performance Programmable AC Power Source With Low Harmonic Distortion Using DSP-based Repetitive Control Technique, " *IEEE Trans Power Electron, July 1997*, vol. 12, pp. 715-725.

[9] U. B. Jensen, P. N. Enjeti, and F. Blaabjerg, "A new space vector based control method for UPS systems powering an onlinear performance programmable AC power source with low harmonic distortion using DSP-based repetitive control technique, " *IEEE Trans. Power Electron, July 1997,* vol. 12, pp. 715-725.

[10] M.J. Ryan, W.E. Brunsicle, and R.D. Lorenz, "Control Topology Option For a Single-Phase UPS Inverters," *IEEE Trans. Industrial Application, Mars. 1997,* vol. 33, no. 4, pp. 493-501.

[11] A.V. Jouanne, P.N. Enjeti, and D.J. Lucas, "DSP Control Of High Power UPS Systems Feeding Nonlinear loads," *IEEE Trans. Industrial Electronics, Feb. 1996,* vol. 43, pp. 121-125.

[12] P.Mattavelli, "Synchronous –Frame Harmonic Control For High Performance A Power Supplies," *IEEE Trans. Industrial Application, May. 2001,* vol. 37, pp. 864-872.

[13] K.Ogata, Discrete-Time Control Systems, Prentice-Hall: 1987.

[14] K.J. Astrom and V.E. Wittenmark, Adaptive Control, Second edition Prentice Hall Inc: 1995.

[15] G. Welch and G. Bishop, An introduction to the Kalman filter, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, NC 27599-3175: 2003.