American Scientific Research Journal for Engineering, Technology, and Sciences (ASKJETS)

ISSN (Print) 2313-4410, ISSN (Online) 2313-4402

© Global Society of Scientific Research and Researchers

http://asrjetsjournal.org/

# Uterine Leiomyoma in Kinshasa, the Capital of the Democratic Republic of Congo

Ingala P<sup>a\*</sup>, Lepira F<sup>b</sup>, Muhindo S<sup>c</sup>, Mputu L<sup>d</sup>

<sup>a,c,d</sup>Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, University of Kinshasa Hospital, Kinshasa, 00243, Democratic Republic of Congo

#### Abstract

The aim of the present study was to determine the particularities of Uterine Leiomyomas among Congolese in Kinshasa the capital of the Democratic Republic of Congo (DRC) in the present conditions of medical practices. A sample of 644 patients with uterine leiomyoma were selected from 6440 cases of uterine leiomyoma among 30395 patients treated in gynecology units of three medical institutions of Kinshasa (University hospital of Kinshasa, Saint joseph hospital and Edith medical center) from January Ist, 2003 to December 31,2012. The study is a descriptive one. The following variables were taken account: medical history [age, age at menarche, parity, education, civil state, history of UL, symptoms and body mass index (BMI)]; lifestyle (smoking, alcohol intake); ultrasounds characteristics; hysteroslpingographies characteristics, treatment, and direct cost of treatment. Statistical analysis were performed using Excel 12.0 software. Demographic, clinical, ultrasound, hysterosalpingography and treatment data were evaluated using descriptive statistics: mean, standard deviation (SD), and percentage (%) as appropriate. The frequency of uterine leiomyoma was 21, 18%. That one concern mainly patients at 35 years old or more [49, 6% (35-44years), ≥45years (20, 6%)], singles (70, 4%), null parous (59,4%), having a high level of study (university: 54, 6%), history of UL (56, 7%), and alcohol intake (75, 5%). Hemorrhage (33, 2%) and pelvic pain (31, 6%) are the most frequent expression of those tumors. The most of those patients have excess weight (43, 1%) or obesity (46, 5%). The majority of uterine leiomyoma was corporeal (82, 9%) intramuscular (42, 4%) and their number didn't overtake five by patient (70, 8%) in majority of cases. Majoration of the uterine cavity (46, 5%) and Fallopian tubes obstructions (30, 6%) are the most frequent abnormalities in hysterosalpingography. Myomectomy is the main treatment (65, 2%). The mean of direct cost were 803\$ USA and 884\$ USA for myomectomy and hysterectomy respectively.

Keys Words: Uterine Leiomyoma -Particularities- Democratic Republic of Congo.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup>Department of Internal Medicine, University of Kinshasa, Kinshasa, 00243, Democratic Republic of Congo

\_\_\_\_\_

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author.

1. Introduction

The uterine leiomyoma (UL) is the most frequent genital tumor to women in reproductive age [1, 2, 3]. He is

more frequent in black than white [4, 5, 6] and represents an important public health problem according to his

incidence, prevalence, morbidity and cost of his management [7, 8].

In the Democratic Republic of Congo (DRC) the characteristics of UL have been explored many years ago when

ultrasound was not used commonly as one of diagnosis methods [9, 10].

The objective of this paper is to evaluate the particularities of UL in the DRC regarding the present conditions of

medical practices.

2. Materials and Methods

The study protocol was approved by the Ethical Committee of Kinshasa School of Public Health. University of

Kinshasa (ESP/CE/028/2013).

6440 patients with UL have been collected in three medical institutions (University of Kinshasa hospital, Saint

Joseph hospital and Edith medical center) of Kinshasa the capital of the DRC among 30395 patients who have

been treated in the gynecology units from January 01, 2003 to December 31, 2012. A sample of 644 patients as

been selected by random from those patients for the study.

The study is a descriptive one. Her objective was to determine the actuals particularities of UL among

Congolese women in Kinshasa.

The following variables were taken account: medical history [age, age at menarche, parity, education, civil state,

history of UL, symptoms and body mass index (BMI)]; lifestyle (smoking, alcohol intake); ultrasounds

characteristics; hysterosalpingographies characteristics, treatment, and direct cost of treatment.

Statistical analyses were performed using Excel 12.0 software. Demographic, clinical, ultrasound,

hysterosalpingography and treatment data were evaluated using descriptive statistics: mean, standard deviation

(SD), and percentage (%) as appropriate.

3. Results

Table I: Frequency of uterine leiomyoma

F = 6440 / 30394 .100 = 21, 18 %

The table below show that the majority of patients have 35 years old or more [49, 6% (35-44years), ≥45 years

(20, 6%)], have had their menarche at 12 year or late (71, 8%), have none parity (null parous) (59,4%) or more

(multiparous) (40,6%), have reached university (54, 6%) and are single (70, 4%). History of UL (56, 7%), and

alcohol intake are the main characteristics of their medical history.

69

Hemorrhage (33, 2%) and pelvic pain (31, 6%) are the most frequent expression their tumor. The most of those patients have excess weight (43, 1%) or obesity (46, 5%).

Table II: Demographic, and clinical characteristics of patients

Age (years)       ≤ 24       10       1,5         25-34       182       28,2         35-44       320       49,6         ≥45       132       20,4         Age at menarche (years)	VARIABLES	n	%	
≤ 24     10     1,5       25-34     182     28,2       35-44     320     49,6       ≥45     132     20,4       Age at menarche (years)       <12     182     28,2       ≥12     462     71,8       Parity       0     262     59,4       ≥1     382     40,6       Education       Primary or less     146     22,6       Secondary     146     22,6       University     352     54,6       Civil State       Married     191     29,6       Single     453     70,4       UL History     70,4     43,1       Not     278     43,1       Yes     366     56,9       Alcohol Intake     56,9     56,9       Not     158     24,5       Yes     486     75,5       Smoking     75,5     55       Not     616     95,6       Yes     28     4,4       Symptoms     8     4,4       Hemorrhage     214     33,2       Pelvic Pain     110     17,0       Infertility     204     31,6				
25-34				
35-44 320 49,6 ≥45 132 20,4  Age at menarche (years)  < 2 2 462 71,8  Parity 0 262 59,4 ≥ 1 382 40,6  Education Primary or less 146 22,6 Secondary 146 22,6 Secondary 146 22,6 University 352 54,6  Civil State Married 191 29,6 Single 453 70,4  UL History Not 278 43,1 Yes 366 56,9  Alcohol Intake Not 158 24,5 Yes 486 75,5  Smoking Not 616 95,6 Yes 28 4,4  Symptoms Hemorrhage 214 33,2 Pelvic Pain 110 17,0 Infertility 204 31,6 Others 96 14,9  BMI Normal 66 10,2 Excess Weight 278 43,1  Normal 66 10,2 Excess Weight 278 43,1				
≥45				
Age at menarche (years)       182       28,2         ≥12       462       71,8         Parity         0       262       59,4         ≥1       382       40,6         Education         Primary or less       146       22,6         Secondary       146       22,6         University       352       54,6         Civil State         Married       191       29,6         Single       453       70,4         UL History         Not       278       43,1         Yes       366       56,9         Alcohol Intake       Not       158       24,5         Yes       486       75,5         Smoking       Not       616       95,6         Yes       28       4,4         Symptoms       Hemorrhage       214       33,2         Pelvic Pain       110       17,0         Infertility       204       31,6         Others       96       14,9         BMI       Normal       66       10,2         Excess Weight       278       43,1   <	35-44		49,6	
<12	≥45	132	20,4	
≥12     462     71,8       Parity       0     262     59,4       ≥1     382     40,6       Education       Primary or less     146     22,6       Secondary     146     22,6       University     352     54,6       Civil State       Married     191     29,6       Single     453     70,4       UL History       Not     278     43,1       Yes     366     56,9       Alcohol Intake       Not     158     24,5       Yes     486     75,5       Smoking       Not     616     95,6       Yes     28     4,4       Symptoms       Hemorrhage     214     33,2       Pelvic Pain     110     17,0       Infertility     204     31,6       Others     96     14,9       BMI     Normal     66     10,2       Excess Weight     278     43,1				
Parity       0     262     59,4       ≥1     382     40,6       Education		182	28,2	
0       262       59,4         ≥1       382       40,6         Education         Primary or less       146       22,6         Secondary       146       22,6         University       352       54,6         Civil State         Married       191       29,6         Single       453       70,4         UL History         Not       278       43,1         Yes       366       56,9         Alcohol Intake         Not       158       24,5         Yes       486       75,5         Smoking         Not       616       95,6         Yes       28       4,4         Symptoms         Hemorrhage       214       33,2         Pelvic Pain       110       17,0         Infertility       204       31,6         Others       96       14,9         BMI       Normal       66       10,2         Excess Weight       278       43,1	≥12	462	71,8	
≥1     382     40,6       Education     Primary or less     146     22,6       Secondary     146     22,6       University     352     54,6       Civil State     Sangle     453     70,4       Married     191     29,6       Single     453     70,4       UL History     Value     43,1       Yes     366     56,9       Alcohol Intake     Not     158     24,5       Yes     486     75,5       Smoking     Not     616     95,6       Yes     28     4,4       Symptoms       Hemorrhage     214     33,2       Pelvic Pain     110     17,0       Infertility     204     31,6       Others     96     14,9       BMI     Normal     66     10,2       Excess Weight     278     43,1	Parity			
Education         Primary or less       146       22,6         Secondary       146       22,6         University       352       54,6         Civil State         Married       191       29,6         Single       453       70,4         UL History         Not       278       43,1         Yes       366       56,9         Alcohol Intake       Not       158       24,5         Yes       486       75,5         Smoking       Not       616       95,6         Yes       28       4,4         Symptoms       Hemorrhage       214       33,2         Pelvic Pain       110       17,0         Infertility       204       31,6         Others       96       14,9         BMI       Normal       66       10,2         Excess Weight       278       43,1	0	262	59,4	
Primary or less       146       22,6         Secondary       146       22,6         University       352       54,6         Civil State       Married       191       29,6         Single       453       70,4         UL History       Not       278       43,1         Yes       366       56,9         Alcohol Intake       Not       158       24,5         Yes       486       75,5         Smoking       Not       616       95,6         Yes       28       4,4         Symptoms       Hemorrhage       214       33,2         Pelvic Pain       110       17,0         Infertility       204       31,6         Others       96       14,9         BMI       Normal       66       10,2         Excess Weight       278       43,1	≥1	382	40,6	
Secondary       146       22,6         University       352       54,6         Civil State       Married       191       29,6         Single       453       70,4         UL History       Not       278       43,1         Yes       366       56,9         Alcohol Intake       Not       158       24,5         Yes       486       75,5         Smoking       Not       616       95,6         Yes       28       4,4         Symptoms       Hemorrhage       214       33,2         Pelvic Pain       110       17,0         Infertility       204       31,6         Others       96       14,9         BMI       Normal       66       10,2         Excess Weight       278       43,1	Education			
University     352     54,6       Civil State     191     29,6       Single     453     70,4       UL History     70,4       Not     278     43,1       Yes     366     56,9       Alcohol Intake     56,9       Not     158     24,5       Yes     486     75,5       Smoking     75,5     75,5       Not     616     95,6       Yes     28     4,4       Symptoms       Hemorrhage     214     33,2       Pelvic Pain     110     17,0       Infertility     204     31,6       Others     96     14,9       BMI     Normal     66     10,2       Excess Weight     278     43,1	Primary or less	146	22,6	
Civil State         Married       191       29,6         Single       453       70,4         UL History       70,4       70,4         Not       278       43,1         Yes       366       56,9         Alcohol Intake       75,5         Not       158       24,5         Yes       486       75,5         Smoking       75,5       5         Not       616       95,6         Yes       28       4,4         Symptoms       8       44,4         Hemorrhage       214       33,2         Pelvic Pain       110       17,0         Infertility       204       31,6         Others       96       14,9         BMI       Normal       66       10,2         Excess Weight       278       43,1	Secondary	146	22,6	
Married       191       29,6         Single       453       70,4         UL History           Not       278       43,1         Yes       366       56,9         Alcohol Intake           Not       158       24,5         Yes       486       75,5         Smoking           Not       616       95,6         Yes       28       4,4         Symptoms           Hemorrhage       214       33,2         Pelvic Pain       110       17,0         Infertility       204       31,6         Others       96       14,9         BMI          Normal       66       10,2         Excess Weight       278       43,1	University	352	54,6	
Single       453       70,4         UL History       278       43,1         Not       278       43,1         Yes       366       56,9         Alcohol Intake       8         Not       158       24,5         Yes       486       75,5         Smoking       75,5       5         Not       616       95,6         Yes       28       4,4         Symptoms       8       4,4         Hemorrhage       214       33,2         Pelvic Pain       110       17,0         Infertility       204       31,6         Others       96       14,9         BMI       Normal       66       10,2         Excess Weight       278       43,1	Civil State			
UL History         Not       278       43,1         Yes       366       56,9         Alcohol Intake         Not       158       24,5         Yes       486       75,5         Smoking       75,5       5         Not       616       95,6         Yes       28       4,4         Symptoms       44       33,2         Pelvic Pain       110       17,0         Infertility       204       31,6         Others       96       14,9         BMI       Normal       66       10,2         Excess Weight       278       43,1	Married	191	29,6	
Not       278       43,1         Yes       366       56,9         Alcohol Intake       Not       158       24,5         Yes       486       75,5         Smoking       Not       616       95,6         Yes       28       4,4         Symptoms       Hemorrhage       214       33,2         Pelvic Pain       110       17,0         Infertility       204       31,6         Others       96       14,9         BMI       Normal       66       10,2         Excess Weight       278       43,1	Single	453	70,4	
Yes       366       56,9         Alcohol Intake       56,9         Not       158       24,5         Yes       486       75,5         Smoking       75,6       75,5         Not       616       95,6         Yes       28       4,4         Symptoms       75,6       75,5         Hemorrhage       214       33,2         Pelvic Pain       110       17,0         Infertility       204       31,6         Others       96       14,9         BMI       75,0       10,2         Excess Weight       278       43,1	UL History			
Alcohol Intake         Not       158       24,5         Yes       486       75,5         Smoking         Not       616       95,6         Yes       28       4,4         Symptoms       33,2         Hemorrhage       214       33,2         Pelvic Pain       110       17,0         Infertility       204       31,6         Others       96       14,9         BMI       Normal       66       10,2         Excess Weight       278       43,1		278	43,1	
Not       158       24,5         Yes       486       75,5         Smoking         Not       616       95,6         Yes       28       4,4         Symptoms         Hemorrhage       214       33,2         Pelvic Pain       110       17,0         Infertility       204       31,6         Others       96       14,9         BMI         Normal       66       10,2         Excess Weight       278       43,1	Yes	366	56,9	
Yes       486       75,5         Smoking       75,5         Not       616       95,6         Yes       28       4,4         Symptoms       33,2         Hemorrhage       214       33,2         Pelvic Pain       110       17,0         Infertility       204       31,6         Others       96       14,9         BMI         Normal       66       10,2         Excess Weight       278       43,1	Alcohol Intake			
Smoking         Not       616       95,6         Yes       28       4,4         Symptoms         Hemorrhage       214       33,2         Pelvic Pain       110       17,0         Infertility       204       31,6         Others       96       14,9         BMI       Normal       66       10,2         Excess Weight       278       43,1	Not	158	24,5	
Not       616       95,6         Yes       28       4,4         Symptoms         Hemorrhage       214       33,2         Pelvic Pain       110       17,0         Infertility       204       31,6         Others       96       14,9         BMI         Normal       66       10,2         Excess Weight       278       43,1	Yes	486	75,5	
Not       616       95,6         Yes       28       4,4         Symptoms         Hemorrhage       214       33,2         Pelvic Pain       110       17,0         Infertility       204       31,6         Others       96       14,9         BMI         Normal       66       10,2         Excess Weight       278       43,1	Smoking			
Symptoms       Hemorrhage     214     33,2       Pelvic Pain     110     17,0       Infertility     204     31,6       Others     96     14,9       BMI       Normal     66     10,2       Excess Weight     278     43,1	Not	616	95,6	
Hemorrhage     214     33,2       Pelvic Pain     110     17,0       Infertility     204     31,6       Others     96     14,9       BMI       Normal     66     10,2       Excess Weight     278     43,1	Yes	28	4,4	
Pelvic Pain     110     17,0       Infertility     204     31,6       Others     96     14,9       BMI     8     10,2       Excess Weight     278     43,1	Symptoms			
Infertility       204       31,6         Others       96       14,9         BMI       Normal         Normal       66       10,2         Excess Weight       278       43,1	Hemorrhage	214	33,2	
Others       96       14,9         BMI       10,2         Normal       66       10,2         Excess Weight       278       43,1		110	17,0	
Others       96       14,9         BMI       10,2         Normal       66       10,2         Excess Weight       278       43,1	Infertility	204		
BMI         Normal       66       10,2         Excess Weight       278       43,1		96		
Excess Weight 278 43,1	BMI			
	Normal	66	10,2	
	Excess Weight	278	43,1	
		300	46,5	

From the table III the majority of uterine leiomyoma was corporeal (82, 9%), intramuscular (42, 4%) and their number didn't overtake five by patient in the most of cases (70, 8%).

Table III: Ultrasounds characteristics of uterine leiomyomas

Ultrasounds characteristics	N	0/0	
Localisation/ uterine subdivision	<u> </u>		
Corporeal	,		
Isthme	534	82,9	
Cervix	84	13,0	
	26	4,0	
Localisation/thickness			
Sub sessorial	100	15,5	
Intra mural	274	42,4	
Sub mucosal	192	29,8	
Intra cavity	78	12,1	
Number			
≤5	456	70,8	
≥6-≤10	102	15,8	
≥11	66	10,2	

Table IV: Hysterosalpingographies characteristics of uterine leiomyomas

Hystersalpingographies characteristics	N	%	
uterines images			
normal	332	51,5	
majoration of cavity	300	46,5	
two cavities	4	0,6	
synechies	8	1,2	
tubes abnormalities			
normal	408	63,3	
obstruction	196	30,4	
hydrosalpinx	26	4,0	
phimosis	14	2,1	

The table IV show that majoration of the uterine cavity (46, 5%) and Fallopians tubes obstructions (30, 6%) are the more frequent hysterosalpingographies abnormalities of uterine leiomyoma observed among patients in that study.

Table V: Treatment

Treatement	N	%	
medical	52	8,0	
Myomectomy	42	20 65,2	
Hysterectomy	172	26,7	

The table V shows that myomectomy is the main treatment of UL (65, 2%).

**Table VI:** Direct cost of treatment

Medical institution	Direct cost of myomectomy	Direct cost of hystercetomy
university hospital	750\$ usa	850\$ usa
Saint joseph hospital	360\$ usa	452\$ usa
Edith medical center	1300\$ usa	1350\$ usa
Mean	803\$usa	884\$usa

The table VI show that the mean of direct cost of uterine leiomyoma are 803\$ USA for myomectomy and 884\$ USA for hysterectomy.

### 4. Discussion

The present study shows that the frequency of UL is 21, 18%. Althout that high level that result is comparable to those others much Africans countries [11, 12]. On contrary of Africans countries the frequencies of UL in Europeans countries are less [13, 14]. Those observations support the opinion which consider that UL is the tumor of black women. The most of patients with UL have 35 years old or more. That result join the fact of advanced age and increasing age are two parameters which are always correlated with the rising of UL frequency. For explaining that phenomenon Rongieres & al [15], and Adama & al [16] think that by growing up, UL become symptomatics toward 40 years old and their diagnosis easiest. Majority of patients are nullparous (59,4%). That result is an argument which support the fact of a reverse relation exists between increasing of parity and occurring of UL. For many authors that relation can be understood by hormonal and no hormonal change of deliveries. Among others the reduction of number of menses cycles, the regression of the level of estrogen and progesterone, and the increasing of sex binding globulin (SBG) [17, 18]. The presence of history of UL to much patients suggest the rule of heredity in the pathogenesis of UL. Alcohol intake, excess weight and obesity are others factors which are more found to patients in that study. Those results join many others results [19, 20] and can be explained by tumor genesis action of acetaldehyde providering from the metabolism of ethanol [21] and the contribution of lipid metabolism in the production of estrogen [22]. Hemorrhage and pelvic pain as the main expression of UL is not an exception for the study [23, 24]. The most frequent localization of Uterines leiomyomas was the corporeal one (82, 9%) as for Algeria women [25]. Fallopian tubes obstructions appear as the main abnormality of UL in hysterosalpingography. That result join others studies which demonstrated that fallopian obstructions is the commonest pathology found on HSG in women presenting infertility in Kinshasa (DRC) and Kampala (UGANDA) [26, 27].

Even if hysterectomy is the final treatment of UL [28, 29], the main surgical treatment is myomectomy in the present study. We think that that result can be understood according to the high need of maternity which characterize African area.

The mean of direct cost of UL are 8O3\$ USA and 884\$ USA for myomectomy and hysterectomy respectively. Cravello & al [30], in France estimated direct cost to 4600FF for surgical hysteroscopy and to 7900 FF for vaginal hysterectomy. Soliman & al. [31] estimated between 11.717 and 25.023 \$ USA all expenses of UL management by patient in USA. Cardoso & al. [32] evaluated expenses of UL between 5, 9-34, 4 billion \$ USA by year in USA. The comparison of those cost evaluations suggest that the treatment of UL is less expensive in our area. But by taking account of level of life of the population, UL stay also an important problem of public health in DRC according to the cost of treatment.

#### 5. Conclusion

The present study show that the frequency of UL is 21, 18%. The patients concerned are essentially those one of 35 years old and more, null parous, singles having a high level of education, a history of UL, alcohol intake and body mass index over than the normal ranch. The majority of uterine leiomyoma are corporeal, intramuscular and their number didn't overtake five by patient in majority of cases. Majoration of the uterine cavity and Fallopian tubes obstructions are the most frequent abnormalities in hysterosalpingography. Hemorrhage and pelvic pain are the most frequent expression of those tumors. Myomectomy is the main surgical treatment. The direct cost is important for a third world country as DRC: 803\$ USA and 884\$USA for hysterectomy respectively.

## Acknowledgement

The authors gratefully thank, medical team of University clinics of Kinshasa, Saint Joseph Hospital and Edith medical Center for their invaluable help for the collection data of the study.

## References

- [1]. Sparic R., Mirkovic L., Malvasi A., Tinelli A. Epidemiology of uterine myomas: A Review Int J Fertil Steril. 2016; 9:424-35.
- [2]. Flake GP., Andersen J., Dixo D. Etiology and Pathogenesis of uterine leiomyoma: a review.Environ Health Perfect. 2003; 11:1037-1054.
- [3]. Baird DD., Dunson DB., Hill RC. Cousins D., Schectman JM. High cumulative incidence of Uterine Leiomyoma in black and white women: ultrasound evidence. Am J Obstet Gynecol.2003 Jan; 188(1):100-7.
- [4]. Catherino WH., Eltoukhi HM., Al-Hendy. Racial and ethnic differences in the pathogenesis and clinical manifestation of uterine leiomyoma. Semin Reprod Med. 2013; 31151:370-379

- [5]. Marshall LM., Spiegelman D., Barbieri RL. Goldman ME., Manson JE et al. Variation in the incidence of uterine leiomyoma among premenopausal women by age and race. Obstet Gnyecol. 1997; 90: 967-973.
- [6]. Parker W.H. "Etiology, symptomatology, and diagnosis of uterine myomas". Fertility and Sterility. 2007; .87:725-736.
- [7]. Heba M. Eltoukhi., Monica N. Modi., Meredith Weston., Alicia Y.Amonstrong., Elizabeth A.Stewart. The Health Disparities of Uterine Fibroids for African Women: A Public Health Issue. Am J Obstet Gynecol.2014 Mar; 210(3):194-199.
- [8]. Lauren A Wise., Julie Palmer., Elizabeth A Stewart., Lynn Rosenberg. Age-Specific Incidence Rates for Self-Reported Uterine Leiomyomata in the Black Women's Health Study. Obstet Gyenecol. 2005 Mar; 105 (3): 563-568.
- [9]. Muberuka. Devenir des femmes zaïroises après myomectomie. Mémoire de fin de spécialisation en Médecine. Faculté de Médecine Université de Kinshasa 1979.
- [10]. Mundyo M. Devenir gynécologique et obstétrical après myomectomie aux cliniques universitaires de Kinshasa. Mémoire de fin de spécialisation. Faculté de médecine. Université de Kinshasa, 1984.
- [11]. Dia A., Beye SB., Dangou JM., Dieng M., Woto gaye., Toure CT. Uterine Leiomyoma at the surgical department of the Teaching Hospital of Dakar. Report of 140 cases operated in two years. Dakar Med. 2003; 48(2):72-6.
- [12]. Obed JY., Bakob B., Usman SD., Moruppa JY., Kadas S. Uterine Fibroids: risk of occurrence after myomectomy in Nigeria population. Arch Gynécol Obstet. 2011 Feb; 283(2):311-5.
- [13]. Fernandez H., Buffet CN., Allouche S. Prevalence of Uterine Fibroid in France and impact in quality of life: results of survey among 2500women between 30-55years. J Gyneco Obst Biol Reprod, 2014 Nov; 43(9):721-7.
- [14]. Downes E., Sikri A., Gilabert-Estelles J., Rolge SC., Dodd SL., Maroulis C., Subramanian D. Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol. 2010 Sep;152(1):96-102.
- [15]. Rongières C. Recommandations pour la pratique Clinique: Epidémiologie du fibrome, facteurs de risque et fréquence. Impact en santé publique. Gynécol Obstet Biol Reprod 1999; 28:701-706.
- [16]. Adama Hongebla A, Modji S, Aboubakari A, Wonegouk, Akpadza K. Aspects epidemiologiques et chirurgucaux de fibromes uterins opérés à l'hopital du district de Lomé. J rech Sci Univer Lomé 2012; serie D; 14(1):131-137.
- [17]. Windham GC, Elkin E, Fenster L, et al. Ovarian hormones in premenopausal women: variation by

- demographic, reproductive and menstrual cycle characteristics. Epidemiology 2002; 13:675-84.
- [18]. Bernstein L, Pike MC,Ross RK ,et al. Estrogen and sex hormone-binding levels in nulliparous and parous women. J Natl Cancer Inst1985; 74: 741-5.
- [19]. Nagata C., Nakamura K., Oba S., Hayshi M., Takeda N., YasudaK. Association of intakes of fat, dietary fibre, soya isoflavones and alcohol with uterine fibroids in Japanese women. Br J Nutr. 2009 May; 101(10): 1427-31.
- [20]. Yanq Y., He Y., Zenq Q., Li S. Association of body size and body fat distribution with uterine fibroids among Chinese women. J Womens Health (Larchmt). 2014 Jul;23(7): 619-26.
- [21]. Hye-Kyung Na., Ja Young Lei. Molecular Basis of Alcohol-Related Gastric and Colon Cancer.Int J Mol Sci.2017 Jun; 18(6):1116. Publish online 2017 May 24.doi:10 3390/ijms18061116.
- [22]. Shikora SA., Niloff JM., Bistrian BR., Forse RA., Blackbun GL. Relationship between obesity and uterine leiomyomata. Nutrition.1991 Jul-Aug; 7(4): 251-5.
- [23]. Zimmermann A., Bernuit D., Gerlinger C., Schaefers M., Geppert K. Prevalence, symptoms and management of uterine fibroids: an international internet-based survey of 21,746 women. BMC Women Health. 2012; 12:6-16.
- [24]. Okogbo FO, Ezechi OC, Loto OM, Ezeobi PM. Uterine leiomyomata in south western Nigeria: a clinical study of presentations and management outcome. African Health Sciences 2011; 2: 271-278.
- [25]. Nourelhouda Chalal., Abbassia Demmouche. Profil épidemiologique des fibromes utérins dnas la region de Sidi Bel Abbes, Algeria. Pan Afr Med J. 2013; 15:7.
- [26]. Muhindo S. Profile of patients with uterine myomas in a population of infertile women in Kinshasa. Memory of end of specialization. 2013; Faculty of Medicine University of Kinshasa.
- [27]. Kiquli-Malwadde E., Byanyima RK.Structural findings at hysterosalpingigraphy in patients with infertility at two private clinics in Kampala, Uganda. Afr Health Sci. 2004 Dec; 4(3): 178-81.
- [28]. Nzau NE., Mboloko E., Tandu-Umba NFB., Lokengo LD. Hystérectomie aux cliniques universitaires de Kinshasa: de 2002-2010. Médecine de l'Afrique noire. 2012; 59:221-230.
- [29]. Gante I., Medieros- Borges C., Aquas F. Hysterectomy in Portugal (2000-2014): what has changed? Eur J Obstet Gynécol Reprod Biol. 2017; 208:97-102.
- [30]. Cravello L., Isnardi M., Violin G., Duthilleul A., Sambuc R et al. Evaluation du côut direct hospitalier et extra hospitalier de l'hystéroscopie opératoire et de l'hystérectomie vaginale. Journal de gynécologie obstétrique et biologie de la reproduction. 1999; 28:335

- [31]. Soliman AM., Yang H., Du EX., Kellar SS., Winkel C. The direct and indirect cost of uterine fibroid tumors; a systematic review of the literature between 2000 and 2013. Am J Obstet Gynecol. 2015; 213:141-60.
- [32]. Cardozo ER M.D., Clark AD., MD., Nicole James H.Segars. The estimated annual cost of uterine leiomyomata in the United States. Am J Obstet.2012; 206:211.e1-211.e9.

## 6. Appendix: author contributions

PIERRE INGALA, participate in protocol elaboration, data collection and analysis and draft the manuscript FRANCOIS LEPIRA, participate in protocol elaboration, conception and data analysis reviewed the manuscript. SERGE MUHINDO, participate in protocol elaboration, data collection and analysis and draft the manuscript.

ARSNE MPUTU, conceived the study, participate in data analysis and reviewed manuscript.