

## Medusozoan genomes inform the evolution of the jellyfish body plan

Author	Konstantin Khalturin, Chuya Shinzato, Maria Khalturina, Mayuko Hamada, Manabu Fujie, Ryo Koyanagi, Miyuki Kanda, Hiroki Goto, Friederike Anton-Erxleben, Masaya Toyokawa, Sho Toshino, Noriyuki Satoh
journal or	Nature Ecology & Evolution
publication title	
volume	3
number	5
page range	811-822
year	2019-04-15
Publisher	Nature Research
Rights	(C) The Author(s).
Author's flag	publisher
URL	http://id.nii.ac.jp/1394/00000927/

doi: info:doi/10.1038/s41559-019-0853-y

**OPEN** 

# Medusozoan genomes inform the evolution of the jellyfish body plan

Konstantin Khalturin<sup>1\*</sup>, Chuya Shinzato<sup>1,6</sup>, Maria Khalturina<sup>1</sup>, Mayuko Hamada<sup>1,7</sup>, Manabu Fujie<sup>2</sup>, Ryo Koyanagi<sup>1\*</sup>, Miyuki Kanda<sup>2</sup>, Hiroki Goto<sup>2</sup>, Friederike Anton-Erxleben<sup>3</sup>, Masaya Toyokawa<sup>4</sup>, Sho Toshino<sup>5,8</sup> and Noriyuki Satoh<sup>1</sup>

Cnidarians are astonishingly diverse in body form and lifestyle, including the presence of a jellyfish stage in medusozoans and its absence in anthozoans. Here, we sequence the genomes of *Aurelia aurita* (a scyphozoan) and *Morbakka virulenta* (a cubozoan) to understand the molecular mechanisms responsible for the origin of the jellyfish body plan. We show that the magnitude of genetic differences between the two jellyfish types is equivalent, on average, to the level of genetic differences between humans and sea urchins in the bilaterian lineage. About one-third of *Aurelia* genes with jellyfish-specific expression have no matches in the genomes of the coral and sea anemone, indicating that the polyp-to-jellyfish transition requires a combination of conserved and novel, medusozoa-specific genes. While no genomic region is specifically associated with the ability to produce a jellyfish stage, the arrangement of genes involved in the development of a nematocyte—a phylum-specific cell type—is highly structured and conserved in cnidarian genomes; thus, it represents a phylotypic gene cluster.

he Cnidaria is an ancient phylum considered a sister group to all bilaterian animals<sup>1,2</sup>. Cnidarian body plans are relatively simple, with two major evolutionary trends: while anthozoans, such as *Nematostella* or *Acropora*, possess only planula larva and polyp stages, the alternation of polyp and jellyfish generations is typical for medusozoans (Fig. 1a and Supplementary Fig. 1). A freeswimming jellyfish stage requires specialized cell types and organs for active locomotion, such as striated muscles, statocysts and visual systems of varying complexity, which are absent in corals and sea anemones. Because Anthozoa and Medusozoa are sister groups, two alternative evolutionary scenarios are possible: the jellyfish stage is a medusozoan-specific novelty or the jellyfish stage has been lost in anthozoans<sup>3</sup>.

From ancient times, jellyfish have attracted human attention for their beautiful symmetries, acute toxicity and economic impact (Supplementary Fig. 2 and Supplementary Note 1). Several cnidarian genomes have been published to date, but until the recent publication by Gold et al.<sup>4</sup>, none of the species sequenced so far had a jellyfish stage<sup>1,2,5,6</sup>. To explore molecular mechanisms responsible for the origin of a jellyfish body plan, we sequenced the genomes of Aurelia aurita (a scyphozoan; Fig. 1b,c) and Morbakka virulenta (a cubozoan; Fig. 1d,e) using Illumina technology and libraries with insert sizes in the 600-base pair (bp) to 20-kilobase range (Supplementary Figs. 3 and 4). In Aurelia, which is a complex of several cryptic species, two specimens belonging to genetically different 'strains' were used (see Supplementary Note 1.1). One sequenced individual from the Baltic sea (PRJNA494057) is referable to the type species of the genus A. aurita (Linnaeus, 1758), while the other represents a widely used laboratory strain termed 'Roscoff', which—contrary to its name—originates from the Pacific Ocean (PRJNA494062). Following the assembly step, the genome of the Baltic sea individual (ABSv1) was selected as the primary *Aurelia* reference due to its higher quality and continuity (Table 1, Supplementary Fig. 4a,d,e and Supplementary Tables 1 and 3). Our genome assemblies of *Aurelia* provide valuable data to compare with the recently published genome of an *Aurelia* jellyfish from California (713-megabase pair (Mbp) assembly; 25,454 scaffolds; N50=0.124 Mbp)<sup>4</sup>, promising insights into speciation processes in this circumglobal genus. The *Morbakka* specimen (PRJNA494057) was collected in Ondo Fishing Port near Hiroshima (Table 1 and Supplementary Table 1). As a source of comparative data, we also sequenced transcriptomes of 11 cnidarian species representing both medusozoans and anthozoans (Supplementary Fig. 1 and Supplementary Tables 1–3).

Draft genome assemblies of *Aurelia* and *Morbakka* comprised 377 and 952 Mbp, respectively, with scaffold N50 values of 1.04 and 2.17 Mbp, thereby allowing long-range synteny analysis between two jellyfish types, as well as comparisons of their genome architecture with those of other cnidarians and bilaterians (Table 1, Supplementary Fig. 4 and Supplementary Tables 3 and 4). Mapping of quality-filtered RNA sequencing (RNA-Seq) data against the genome assemblies resulted in mapping of 94.8 and 98.3% of reads in *Aurelia* and *Morbakka*, respectively, indicating that the euchromatic regions containing the majority of expressed genes are included in the assemblies.

In Aurelia, ~45% of the genome consists of interspersed repeats with drastic differences in repeat content between Atlantic and Pacific strains (Supplementary Fig. 5a,b and Supplementary Note 1.5). Among the annotated elements, the most abundant type was the long interspersed nuclear element (LINE)/L2 (~5%), but most of

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Marine Genomics Unit, Okinawa Institute of Science and Technology Graduate University, Onna, Okinawa, Japan. <sup>2</sup>DNA Sequencing Section, Okinawa Institute of Science and Technology Graduate University, Onna, Okinawa, Japan. <sup>3</sup>Zoological Institute, Christian-Albrechts-Universität, Kiel, Germany. <sup>4</sup>Seikai National Fisheries Research Institute, Nagasaki, Japan. <sup>5</sup>Tropical Biosphere Research Center, University of the Ryukyus, Sesoko, Okinawa, Japan. <sup>6</sup>Present address: Atmosphere and Ocean Research Institute, University of Tokyo, Kashiwa, Chiba, Japan. <sup>7</sup>Present address: Ushimado Marine Institute, Okayama University, Setouchi, Okayama, Japan. <sup>8</sup>Present address: Kuroshio Biological Research Foundation, Otsuki, Kochi, Japan. \*e-mail: konstantin.khalturin@oist.jp

## ARTICLES

### **NATURE ECOLOGY & EVOLUTION**



**Fig. 1** | Life cycle and phylogeny of jellyfish. a, Typical metagenetic life cycle of medusozoans (*Aurelia*) compared with the life cycle of anthozoans (*Nematostella*). **b**, Adult jellyfish of *Aurelia*. Scale bar: 1 cm. **c**, Metamorphosis of *Aurelia* from the Pacific Ocean (left) and the Atlantic Ocean (right). Scale bar: 1 mm. **d**, *Morbakka* polyp. Scale bar: 1 mm. **e**, *Morbakka* jellyfish. Scale bar: 10 cm. **f**, Phylogeny of the sequenced species, based on 133 proteins conserved across 47 taxa. Maximum likelihood with the LG substitution model, rooted with a yeast protein set. All nodes have maximum bootstrap support except for 1 node with 90% support.

Table 1   Statistics of t	he genome ass	emblies	
	Scyphozoa		Cubozoa
Species	A. aurita		M. virulenta
Geographical origin	Baltic sea (Atlantic)	Roscoff strain (Pacific)	Seto Inland Sea (Pacific)
Genome size (Mbp)	418	492	913
Heterozygosity (%)	1.26	2.08	0.67
Assembly length (Mbp)	377	429	952
Number of scaffolds	2,710	7,744	4,538
Longest scaffold (Mbp)	4.4	1.3	14.5
Scaffold N50 (Mbp)	1.04	0.2	2.17
Number of contigs	170,088	213,756	185,712
Contig N50 (bp)	2,627	2,665	7,089
GC content (%)	37.1	37.6	35.6
Repeats (%)	44.67	44.03	37.41
Gap rate (%)	6.63	14.45	11.87
Number of genes	28,625	30,166	24,278
Mean gene length (bp)	10,215	11,359	21,444
Mean exon length (bp)	368	369	350
Mean intron length (bp)	1,391	1,631	3,572

the repeats (22.6 and 34.7%, respectively) seem to be novel and potentially Cnidaria specific. In *Morbakka*, 40.2% of the genome is occupied by repetitive elements, with LINE/RTE (10.5%) and LINE/Dong-R4 (7.4%) being the most abundant (Supplementary Fig. 5c).

In contrast with *Aurelia*, only 5.7% of *Morbakka* repeats could not be identified in the repeat databases and are putatively novel (Supplementary Note 1.5).

Using ab initio prediction and RNA-Seq data, we identified 28,625 and 24,278 complete or partial protein-coding genes for *Aurelia* and *Morbakka*, respectively, which is comparable to the numbers reported for *Nematostella* (27,273), *Hydra* (32,338), *Acropora* (23,700), *Aiptasia* (29,269) and *Aurelia* from California (29,964) (Table 1 and Supplementary Fig. 3; see Supplementary Note 1 for details)<sup>1,2,4-6</sup>. Here, we report the analysis of the gene sets and signalling pathways involved in the development of the jellyfish-specific structures and cell types of two meduso-zoan species.

#### Results and discussion

Molecular phylogeny of Cnidaria. Palaeontological evidence suggests the presence of jellyfish-like organisms in the Early Cambrian<sup>7,8</sup>, while anthozoans with skeletons-ancestors of extant corals-emerged much later, ~240 million years ago (Ma)5. To address phylogenetic relationships within the Cnidaria, we selected 133 proteins conserved in Aurelia and Morbakka, as well as in 45 genomes and transcriptomes of selected eukaryotes ranging from yeast to higher vertebrates (Fig. 1f, Supplementary Fig. 6 and Supplementary Table 5). In accordance with previously published phylogenetic reconstructions<sup>1,3,9</sup>, three medusozoan classes— Hydrozoa, Scyphozoa and Cubozoa-grouped together and were separated with a deep split from representative anthozoans. The topology of the relationships among Medusozoa (Fig. 1f and Supplementary Figs. 6-8) is identical to the recently published phylogenetic tree based on a 75-taxon dataset from Kayal and others<sup>10</sup>. From a broader evolutionary perspective (Supplementary Figs. 7 and 8), the genetic distance between the anthozoans and medusozoans is equivalent to that between Anthozoa and Deuterostomia<sup>1,11</sup>.

## ARTICLES



**Fig. 2** | Divergence times and conserved synteny blocks in the Cnidaria. a, Separation of the major cnidarian groups occurred >500 Ma. Each group underwent an extended period of independent evolution. Species names are colour coded according to cnidarian classes: Anthozoa (green), Hydrozoa (blue), Cubozoa (orange) and Scyphozoa (red). Horizontal grey bars represent the 95% credibility intervals derived from posterior distributions. **b**, *Aurelia-Nematostella* synteny map. Scaffold groups that belong to ancestral *Nematostella*-human linkage groups (PALs) are marked with coloured boxes: PAL A (red), PAL B (green) and PAL C (blue). **c**, The most prominent linkage groups between *Aurelia* and *Nematostella* correspond to regions where the highest conservation also exists between *Nematostella* and human genomes. Coloured lines connect the locations of orthologous genes in the scaffolds of *Aurelia* and *Nematostella*. Scaffolds are depicted as black vertical lines. Scaffold number is shown at the bottom of each line. **d**, *Aurelia-Morbakka* synteny map, indicating that the genome of *Morbakka* has been strongly reshuffled. Fewer synteny blocks remain compared with the *Nematostella-Aurelia* pair despite the scaffolds of *Morbakka* being on average ~4× longer than those of *Nematostella*.

Cnidarians are monophyletic, but the magnitude of genetic differences among them is equivalent to that within the whole bilaterian lineage (Supplementary Fig. 8). Consequently, cubozoan and scyphozoan jellyfish, as similar as they might seem at first glance, average roughly the same degree of genetic differences as sea urchins and humans (Supplementary Figs. 7 and 8).

Molecular dating estimated the separation of the major cnidarian clades more than 500 Ma, and each group has undergone a long period of independent evolution (Fig. 2a and Supplementary Fig. 9a). Although precise geological dating might be a matter of debate, ancestors of Hydrozoa, Cubozoa and Scyphozoa separated relatively rapidly, probably coinciding with the emergence of pelagic medusa stages. Interestingly, diversification of species inhabiting the Pacific and Atlantic oceans, such as *Tripedalia* and *Copula*, as well as two *Aurelia* strains, took place during a similar time frame (about ~170–240 Ma) coinciding with the geological period when the Atlantic Ocean itself started to form<sup>12</sup>. The results of phylogenetic reconstructions and molecular dating strongly corroborate previous reports regarding the high degree of genetic diversity among *Aurelia* strains worldwide (Fig. 1f and Supplementary Fig. 6)<sup>4,13</sup>. **Genome architecture and ancient linkage groups.** Several conserved macrosynteny blocks, which date back to the common ancestor of the Cnidaria and Bilateria, were previously identified in the *Nematostella* genome<sup>1</sup>. Our analysis reveals that at least 11 linkage groups are shared by the genomes of *Aurelia* and *Nematostella* (Fig. 2b and Supplementary Fig. 10). Among them, four groups of scaffolds directly correspond to three ancient linkage groups (termed putative ancestral linkage groups (PALs)) that are strongly conserved between *Nematostella* and humans (PALs A, B and C in ref.<sup>1</sup>). *Aurelia* scaffolds 4, 6 and 23 correspond to *Nematostella* scaffolds 5, 3, 53, 46 and 26, which in turn correspond to the segments of human chromosomes where *HoxB*, *HoxD*, *HoxC* and *HoxA* clusters are located (Fig. 2c)<sup>1</sup>. This indicates remarkable conservation of macrosyntenic linkage among *Aurelia*, *Nematostella* and humans during a period of more than 500 Myr.

In contrast, the genome of Morbakka exhibited much lower levels of synteny conservation than the Aurelia-Nematostella pair (Fig. 2d and Supplementary Fig. 11). This was surprising because scaffolds in Morbakka assembly were on average ~4× longer than in Nematostella, and theoretically should have contained more orthologues per scaffold pair. Syntenic blocks were also detectable (Fig. 2d), but they contained fewer orthologous pairs per scaffold (Supplementary Figs. 11 and 12). Except for regions containing the Nk2, Otx and minicollagen genes, the overall genomic architecture in Aurelia and Morbakka was very different, suggesting the absence of universal gene clusters in jellyfish with a level of developmental importance analogous to the Hox cluster in Bilateria<sup>14</sup>. Thus, the arrangement of genes within medusozoan genomes is not directly correlated with their ability to create a jellyfish stage, and the Aurelia genome retained more structural traits from the common ancestor of Cnidaria and Bilateria than that of Morbakka.

Gene sets of Cnidaria and stage-specific genes. Cnidarians are extremelydiverseinappearance, physiologyandlifehistories (Fig. 1a–e and Supplementary Fig. 1). As shown in Fig. 3a, differences among cnidarian classes are clearly visualized by a comparison of their gene sets (see Supplementary Note 3.2 and Supplementary Table 6). Based on the number of orthologous genes shared among species, four clusters corresponding to Anthozoa, Hydrozoa, Scyphozoa and Cubozoa are evident. Analysis of gene sets underscores high similarities between the Scyphozoa and Cubozoa, the intermediate position of Hydrozoa, and a large genetic distance between Anthozoa and Medusozoa (Fig. 3a and Supplementary Table 6).

The major difference between medusozoans and anthozoans is the presence of a jellyfish stage (Fig. 1a). Jellyfish-specific organs and tissues, such as eyes, statocysts and striated swimming muscles, are absent in polyps, and their development requires both structural genes and transcription factors that must be activated only during polyp-to-jellyfish transition and in the adult<sup>15,16</sup>. To what extent are these genes novel or shared with those present in Anthozoa? What proportion of genes is devoted to the production of stagespecific structures? To answer these questions, we categorized Aurelia genes as polyp- or jellyfish-specific based on RNA-Seq data (see Supplementary Note 3.3). Genes with exclusive stage-specific expression, or with fourfold higher expression in the polyp or jellyfish, were referred to as stage specific<sup>15</sup>. In Aurelia, 1,231 (4.3%) and 2,487 (8.7%) genes are expressed in a jellyfish- or polyp-specific manner, respectively (Fig. 3b and Supplementary Tables 7 and 8). Thus, approximately 13% of Aurelia genes are potentially involved in the creation of alternative body plans. Next, we checked the genomes of Acropora and Nematostella for genes that exhibited jellyfish- or polyp-specific expression dynamics in Aurelia (BLASTP search with a cut-off of  $1 \times 10^{-4}$ ). Our analysis revealed that 726 (59%) of jellyfish-specific genes of Aurelia had counterparts in both anthozoans, while 400 (32%) did not have clear anthozoan orthologues (Fig. 3b). Compared with the gene set without stage-specific expression (6,193 genes out of 24,886; 25%), both stage-specific sets are significantly enriched for the genes that are not present in the genomes of Acropora and Nematostella (P < 0.001,  $\chi^2$  test; see Supplementary Note 3.3). Thus, taxonomically restricted genes seem to represent an important fraction of Aurelia genes with stagespecific expression. Overall, in terms of functional composition, the set of genes with jellyfish-specific expression is enriched for extracellular matrix proteins, ion channels, myosins and homeobox transcription factors, while the polyp stage expresses a large number of proteases, phosphatases and metabolic enzymes, especially those associated with lipid metabolism (see Supplementary Tables 7-10). Similar trends were also observed in the previous Aurelia transcriptomic surveys15,16.

Comparison of gene sets with jellyfish-specific expression between Aurelia and Morbakka yielded unexpected results.

Fig. 3 | Phylogenetic distribution of genes and tissue-specific gene expression. a, Numbers of shared orthologous genes among cnidarian groups (Supplementary Table 6). Based on gene sets, there are four clusters corresponding to Anthozoa, Hydrozoa, Cubozoa and Scyphozoa. Representative bilaterians were used as an outgroup. Abbreviated genus/species names are shown at the bottom. The colours and order correspond to the genus/ species names shown on the right-hand side. The colour key shows the number of shared orthologous genes among cnidarian groups. **b**, Left, expression of polyp- and jellyfish-specific genes in Aurelia. Middle, a total of 1,231 genes are jellyfish-specific, 2,487 are polyp-specific and 24,886 genes do not show stage-specific expression. Right, presence or absence of Aurelia genes with stage-specific expression in the genomes of Acropora and Nematostella. BLASTP cut-off: 1×10<sup>-4</sup>. c, Venn diagram showing the number orthologous genes with jellyfish-specific expression in Aurelia and Morbakka. A list of 13 homeobox genes that are common markers of a jellyfish stage in Scyphozoa and Cubozoa is shown below. d, Scanning electron microscope (SEM) image of a juvenile medusa. e-g, Genes exclusively expressed in striated muscles of Aurelia revealed by in situ hybridization. WD40-repeat protein gene (s1\_g264; that is, gene 264 in scaffold 1) (e), putative taxonomically restricted gene with novel repetitive domains (s226\_g16) (f) and novel myosin tail protein 4 gene, MTP4 (s206\_g6/7) (g). Scale bars: 200 µm. h, Expression of novel and conventional myosins in various stages and tissues of Nemopilema, Aurelia, Tripedalia, Morbakka and Chironex. s88\_g59 and so on represent gene IDs in the Aurelia genome (see Supplementary Note 3.1). Numbers following 'Myh' or 'Myo' represent the classification of medusozoan myosins based on phylogenetic reconstruction and their domain composition. 12 h and 20 h represent the time after metamorphosis induction. ecto-, ectoderm; endo-, endoderm; FPKM, fragments per kilobase of transcript per million mapped reads; j-r-t-mnb, jellyfish without rhopalia, tentacles and manubrium; m-cells, mesoglea cells; meta-, metamorphosis; mnb, manubrium; muscles, ectodermal striated muscles; nseg, non-segmented part; o. arms, oral arms of a jellyfish; seg, segments. Captions for the jellyfish stages and muscle tissues are shown in red text. i, Maximum-likelihood phylogenetic tree of myosin proteins based on the alignment of their Myosin\_tail\_1 domains. Proteins of Aurelia and Morbakka belong to a distinct clade highlighted in grey. LG substitution model was used. Bootstrap support for all nodes is shown in Supplementary Fig. 14. j, Genomic localization of novel MTPs in the genomes of Morbakka and Aurelia. Scaffolds (S) and the genes within the scaffolds are numbered. A conventional myosin gene is located in Morbakka scaffold 128, adjacent to novel MTP genes. IQ, calmodulin-binding domain; MN, myosin N-terminal domain. k, A possible evolutionary scenario of MTP development from ancestral conventional myosin by gene duplication, followed by subsequent gene family expansions. MTPs of Aurelia and Morbakka seem to be of common origin, but have already acquired structural differences, such as additional repetitive domains in Aurelia.

Although the total number of genes with jellyfish-specific expression in each species exceeds 1,000, the intersection included only 97 genes (Fig. 3c Supplementary Table 11). In retrospect, this is not particularly surprising, as these two jellyfish species are widely divergent in medusozoan phylogeny (Supplementary Fig. 8). The shared set includes 13 jellyfish-specific homeobox transcription factors and probably represents the ancient complement of regulatory and structural genes retained from an ancestral organism that existed before the divergence of Cubozoa and Scyphozoa (Fig. 3c and Supplementary Table 11).

Stage-specific gene sets, as well as evolutionary processes within Medusozoa, are well exemplified by genes involved in jellyfish propulsion (see Supplementary Note 3.4). Striated swimming muscles, which develop during the polyp-to-medusa transition (Fig. 3d), utilize genes having various degrees of conservation (Fig. 3e-g and Supplementary Fig. 13b-e). For example, WD40-repeat proteins



are highly conserved among scyphozoan and cubozoan jellyfishes (Fig. 3e). Others are restricted to Scyphozoa (Fig. 3f), or represent proteins of common origin that have diversified independently in each lineage (Fig. 3g). The latter group includes a novel family of muscle-specific proteins with myosin tail domains (Fig. 3h–j and Supplementary Figs. 13c and 14). These proteins represent a medusozoan-specific invention, which probably emerged from conventional myosins and expanded in the Scyphozoa and Cubozoa (Fig. 3i–k). Proteins with such a domain organization are absent in Anthozoa and are the major developmental markers of jellyfish striated muscles (see Supplementary Note 3.4)<sup>15,17,18</sup>.

**Evolution of Wnt genes and metagenetic life cycles.** Unexpectedly large complements of Wnt genes have been reported previously in *Nematostella* and *Hydra*<sup>19,20</sup>. That finding contrasts with the situation in the protostomian lineage, where several groups of Wnt genes exhibit patchy distributions<sup>20,21</sup>. In *Aurelia* and *Morbakka*, the family of Wnt gene ligands is represented by 15 and 14 members, respectively (Fig. 4a,b). Except for *Wnt-9* and *Wnt-10*, all members of the Wnt gene family are present. Medusozoan and anthozoan sequences always belong to distinct clades, reflecting the presence of the corresponding Wnt families in the last common ancestor, as well as a long period of independent evolution (Fig. 4a and Supplementary Fig. 15a).

In the course of Aurelia life cycle progression, Wnt genes are differentially regulated (Fig. 4c). Except for Wnt-7b and Wnt-8a, which are predominantly expressed in the polyp stage, there are no other cases of exclusive stage specificity (Fig. 4c,d). Similar complex expression dynamics of Wnt genes is observed during the polyp-to-jellyfish transition in Tripedalia-a cubozoan jellyfish (Supplementary Fig. 15b). Another interesting observation is tissue-specific expression of the majority of Wnt genes in a jellyfish stage. In Aurelia, Wnt-3, Wnt-4b and Wnt-6 are highly upregulated at the bell margin and in the oral arms, while Wnt-8b and Wnt-11b expression is predominantly localized to the endodermal gastrovascular system (Fig. 4d and Supplementary Fig. 15b). This complexity of expression patterns strongly supports the hypothesis that the combinatorial Wnt gene code might be the ancestral mechanism responsible for tissue-layer identity and anterio-posterior polarity in Cnidaria<sup>19,22</sup>.

The Wnt gene pathway also seems to be important for polyp-tojellyfish transition in *Aurelia* (Fig. 4e–i). During strobilation, a polyp is partitioned into multiple 'segments' of fixed size, which further develop into small jellyfishes, called ephyra. The oral part of each developing 'segment' is marked by ring-shaped *Wnt-11a* expression in the ectoderm (Fig. 4j–n) and accompanied by endodermal *BMP-5/8* expression in the developing gut (Fig. 4o–s). Hyperactivation of the Wnt gene signalling cascade by azakenpaulone treatment causes either a lack of separation between 'segments' (Fig. 4t) or a reduction of strobilation to a single, giant jellyfish-like anlage, resembling that in species with monodiscoid strobilation, such as *Morbakka* or *Cassiopea* (Fig. 4u and Supplementary Fig. 1e; see Supplementary Note 3.5).

The presence of lineage-specific paralogues and the large number of Wnt genes retained in jellyfish may reflect their complex anatomical organization. It is also important to mention that Wnt genes are more conserved between Cnidaria and Bilateria and among anthozoans and medusozoans than *Antennapedia* homeobox genes are. Thus, Wnt genes may be more important for body polarity determination and tissue identities of Cnidaria than Hox genes<sup>22</sup>.

**Evolution of Hox genes in Cnidaria.** Owing to their phylogenetic position, cnidarians are important for understanding homeobox gene evolution<sup>14,23</sup>. Anterior Hox genes and ParaHox genes were present in the common ancestor of Cnidaria and Bilateria, but several issues remain to be solved concerning the origin and evolution

of the Hox cluster<sup>14,24,25</sup>. In *Aurelia* and *Morbakka*, we identified 79 and 78 genes, respectively, with homeobox domains, and 12 and 13 of these belonged to the Antennapedia class (Supplementary Fig. 16a and Supplementary Table 16).

As in Anthozoa and Hydra, a bilaterian-like Hox cluster is absent in Aurelia and Morbakka<sup>1,2,14</sup>. Moreover, there is a drastic difference in the genomic organization of homeobox genes between anthozoans and medusozoans. In the vicinity of Hox1, the order of homeobox genes is conserved and their clustering is observed in both Anthozoa and Medusozoa, but neither gene order nor content is conserved between these two cnidarian groups (Fig. 5a). There are also differences in their expression domains across cnidarian lineages. For example, in Aurelia, Hox1 is a specific marker of the subumbrella region where striated muscles are located, while in *Clytia*, it is a marker of statocysts at the bell rim<sup>26</sup>. Muscles and statocysts can hardly be considered as anterior or posterior structures. Therefore, our data corroborate previous observations suggesting that the function of Hox genes seems to have diverged considerably within cnidarian groups, as well as between Cnidaria and Bilateria14,26.

Although the anthozoan-like cluster around the Hox1 gene is not present in representatives of the Medusozoa (Fig. 5a), they have their own mini-clusters that are potentially of high importance in the context of their life-cycle regulation. In Aurelia (scaffold 7) and Morbakka (scaffold 70), groups of three Hox genes were identified (Fig. 5b). These conserved mini-clusters include genes with exclusive polyp- or jellyfish-specific expression (Fig. 5b). Moreover, several Hox genes in Aurelia and Morbakka exhibit conspicuous stage-specific expression dynamics during life-cycle progression by switching on and off during polyp-to-jellyfish transition (Fig. 5c). Interestingly, their orthologues in Nemopilema and Tripedalia are also stage specific (Supplementary Fig. 16b). Based on these observations, we propose that a subset of medusozoan homeobox genes might function as 'control switches' that regulate the transition from a polyp to a jellyfish stage. In the absence of body segmentation, Hox genes in Aurelia and Morbakka may not be responsible for the anterior-posterior specification of tissues and body parts in space, as they are in Bilateria. Instead, they may be involved in temporal regulation that defines alternative body plans (that is, a polyp or a jellyfish).

ParaHox cluster in Aurelia, but not in Morbakka. Unexpectedly, we identified a putative ParaHox cluster in Aurelia (Fig. 5d and Supplementary Fig. 17). It is difficult to conclude whether it is an ancestral feature because the gene order (Cdx > Gsx > Xlox) is different from that in Bilateria<sup>27</sup>. Expression of Xlox is strictly jellyfish specific in Aurelia, and Gsx and Cdx are expressed in all stages of the life cycle. In Morbakka, no cluster of Xlox, Cdx and Gsc exists, although all three genes are present and none of them is located at the edge of a scaffold with at least three flanking genes at either side (Fig. 5d and Supplementary Fig. 17). Cdx is duplicated in all cubozoan species analysed, with one paralogue retaining expression in both polyp and jellyfish stages, as in Aurelia, while the other is strictly jellyfish specific (Supplementary Fig. 16a). In Aurelia, Xlox and Cdx are strongly expressed in the endoderm, specifically in the gastrovascular system (Fig. 5e). Gsx is upregulated in the oral arms, bell edge, tentacles and striated muscles. Complements of ParaHox genes differ between Medusozoa and Anthozoa (Fig. 5f). Cdx has not been identified in corals, Nematostella and Aiptasia, while Xlox and Gsx are present and are also clustered<sup>28</sup>. The presence of all three types of ParaHox genes in scyphozoans and cubozoans, including their genomic colocalization in Aurelia, may indicate that some form of a ParaHox cluster existed in the last common ancestor of Cnidaria and Bilateria.

Phylotypic clusters of nematocyte-specific genes. Despite enormous morphological and genetic diversity within Cnidaria, all

## ARTICLES



**Fig. 4 | Wnt genes and jellyfish body plan formation. a**, Maximum-likelihood phylogenetic relationships (with the LG substitution model) between Wnt genes from the Anthozoa and Medusozoa. **b**, Several lineage-specific Wnt gene duplications occurred in *Aurelia* and *Morbakka*. <sup>a,b</sup>Wnt gene paralogues. **c**, Wnt genes are dynamically expressed throughout the life cycle of *Aurelia*. head, segm and nseg refer to the head, segments and non-segmented part of a strobila. **d**, Several Wnt genes exhibit tissue-specific expression. A schematic representation of the *Aurelia* jellyfish is shown with ectoderm in green, muscle in red and the gastrovascular (canal) system in magenta. Filaments, gastric filaments. **e-i**, SEM images of a polyp and four strobilation stages in *Aurelia*. Scale bar: 200 μm. **j-s**, Expression of *Wnt-11a* (**j-n**) and *Bmp5/8* (**o-s**) during metamorphosis of *Aurelia*. Transcripts were detected in the oral side of each developing ephyra anlage. Scale bars: 200 μm in **j-l** and **o-q**; 100 μm in in **m**, **n**, **r** and **s**. **t**, Ectopic activation of the Wnt cascade during *Aurelia* metamorphosis with the addition of azakenpaulone (bottom) causes defects in development and separation of ephyra compared with the control (top). **u**, The addition of azakenpaulone before the induction of metamorphosis completely blocks the development of segments (bottom) compared with the control (top). Scale bars: 1 mm in **t** and **u**.

representatives of the phylum are united by the presence of highly specialized stinging cells (nematocytes), which are utilized for prey capture and defence (Fig.  $6a)^{29}$ . Although cnidarian nematocytes

underwent extensive morphological and functional diversification<sup>29,30</sup>, the central structure of all stinging cells is the nematocyst, which is mainly constructed from several types of minicollagen

## ARTICLES



**Fig. 5 | Independent diversification of Hox genes in Anthozoa and Medusozoa. a**, A conserved Hox cluster is not present in the Cnidaria, and genomic regions where Hox genes are located are considerably different in the Anthozoa and Medusozoa. Syntenic genes are connected with lines, and genes with a homeobox domain are shown as red boxes. Syntenic genes without a homeobox domain are shown as white boxes. Black boxes represent genes without orthologues within genomic segments. **b**, Mini-clusters of Medusozoa-specific Hox genes are present in *Aurelia* (scaffold 7) and *Morbakka* (scaffold 70). Members of these clusters are expressed strictly in polyp (green boxes) or jellyfish stages (red boxes). **c**, Expression dynamics of Hox genes in polyp and jellyfish stages of *Aurelia* and *Morbakka*. Groups of genes with polyp- or jellyfish-specific expression are present. **d**, Putative ParaHox cluster in *Aurelia*, and the corresponding genomic arrangement in *Morbakka*, where the ParaHox cluster is absent. **e**, Expression of Hox and ParaHox genes in tissues of *Aurelia* jellyfish. Bell-proxy, slice of the bell without edge part; ecto-up, ectoderm of exumbrella. **f**, Three ParaHox genes are present in the Medusozoa and are linked in *Aurelia*, while *Cdx* has not been identified in the anthozoans.

proteins and is filled with a cocktail of toxins (Fig. 6b-d). In Aurelia polyps, as in Hydra, nematocytes proliferate in the body column (Fig. 6e,f). In a jellyfish stage, they are mostly produced in the epithelium of the bell and in the basal parts of the tentacles at the bell margin, resembling the situation in *Clytia* (Fig. 6g-j)<sup>31</sup>. Here, we compared the set of nematocyst-specific proteins of Hydra with the proteomes of medusozoans and anthozoans derived from their genomes and transcriptomes (see Supplementary Note 3.7 and Supplementary Table 17). As shown in Fig. 6k, three groups of nematocyst proteins with variable degrees of conservation are present in Cnidaria (Supplementary Table 17). Group I contains 103 proteins with the highest degree of divergence. Most of them are present only in Hydra species and therefore represent clear examples of taxonomically restricted genes with narrow distributions at the genus level<sup>30,32</sup>. Group II contains 173 proteins with patchy distributions. In many cases, their copy number varies considerably among the cnidarian classes. Group III contains 58 proteins with the highest degree of conservation. Most of them are enzymes and toxins, such as proteases and phospholipases (Supplementary Table 17).

Although we failed to detect any bilateria-like clusters involved in anterior–posterior polarity, the situation with nematocyte development was different. *Minicollagen* genes, which encode the major structural components of nematocysts, are organized into clusters with collinear expression in representatives of Anthozoa, Hydrozoa, Cubozoa and Scyphozoa (Fig. 61 and Supplementary Note 3.7). In all species studied, at least two genomic regions contain groups of *minicollagen* genes, and there are more of these in *Morbakka*, *Hydra* and *Aurelia* than in *Nematostella*, which correlates well with the greater complexity of the nematocyte repertoire in the Medusozoa<sup>29</sup>. Clustering of functionally important genes is a widely used strategy, with the Hox cluster being the most famous example in the Bilateria. It seems that cnidarians also have a phylotypic cluster that is used to generate nematocytes, which are specific to the Cnidaria. Our finding adds to the growing body of data that gene clustering—as observed among fluorescent proteins in *Acropora*<sup>33</sup>, photoproteins in *Mnemiopsis*<sup>34</sup> and allorecognition genes in *Hydractinia*<sup>35,36</sup>—is an important strategy for establishing phylum- or species-specific functions in early-branching non-bilaterian organisms.

#### Conclusion

Our study provides a comparative analysis of genome architectures and gene sets among anthozoans, scyphozoans and cubozoans. Scyphozoa and Cubozoa are united by similarities in life style and overall organization, but the genetic differences among them turned out to be considerable. Surprisingly, very few synteny blocks are shared between two types of jellyfish: *Aurelia* and *Morbakka*. Comparative analysis of the genome architectures clearly demonstrates that *Aurelia* is much more similar to *Nematostella*, which in turn is the most 'bilaterian-like'

## ARTICLES



**Fig. 6 | Nematocyte-specific genes and their localization. a**, Scanning electron cryomicroscopy image of a firing stinging cell (stenotel) in *Hydra*. Scale bar: 1μm. **b-d**, Visualization of minicollagen distribution in stinging cells of transgenic *Hydra*. Green fluorescent protein (GFP) fused to cystein-rich domains (CRDs) of minicollagen was expressed under the control of the 5' region of the *minicollagen-6* gene. A reporter construct causes GFP integration into the capsule wall and tubule of all types of nematocytes. Scale bars: 5 μm in **b** and **c**; 1 μm in **d**. **e-j**. Expression of *minicollagen-6* gene in the polyp (**e**), strobila (**f**), ephyra (**g**) and jellyfish of *Aurelia* (**h-j**). Scale bars: 200 μm in **e** and **g**; 100 μm in in **f** and **j**; 1 mm in **h** and **i**. **k**, Conservation of 334 nematocyst proteins in Hydrozoa, Scyphozoa, Cubozoa and Anthozoa (colour coded as in Fig. 2a). Genes were clustered according to their copy number and phylogenetic distribution. Three groups with various levels of conservation are marked as I, II and III. The gradation of colour represents the gene copy number. **I**, *Minicollagen* genes are clustered in cnidarian genomes and have a common direction of transcription. Genes with *minicollagen* CRDs and poly-proline tracks are shown as red boxes, while other genes are shown as black rectangles. Arrows show the direction of transcription. Scaffolds and the genes within the scaffolds are numbered (see Supplementary Note 3.7). Green dots with numbers represent the level of similarity to the *Aurelia minicollagen* gene (s4\_g186) based on a BLASTP search.

cnidarian sequenced so far<sup>1,11</sup>. It might be premature to generalize based on just two medusozoan species, but our data suggest that the Scyphozoa have retained more ancestral traits in their genomes than

the Cubozoa. The presence of a putative ParaHox cluster in *Aurelia* and its dispersed state in *Morbakka* might be an additional hint towards greater genome structural conservation in the scyphozoans.

The set of genes with jellyfish-specific expression is enriched for genes not present in the Anthozoa (see Fig. 3b and Supplementary Tables 7 and 8). In *Aurelia*, there are 400 genes belonging to this category (32% of all genes with jellyfish-specific expression), and the association between stage specificity and their absence in *Nematostella* and *Acropora* is highly significant. Taking into consideration that most of these genes are also lacking in humans, these genes are probably restricted to the Medusozoa. This observation corroborates previous reports about the importance of taxonomically restricted genes for developmental processes and environmental adaptations in the Cnidaria<sup>31,37–39</sup>. At the same time, it is important to mention that 726 genes with jellyfish-specific expression are also present in the Anthozoa (59%). Thus, it is the combination of conserved and putatively novel genes that is important for the functioning of a jellyfish stage.

The magnitude of variation between Aurelia and Morbakka makes it rather difficult to know how the common ancestor of the Medusozoa looked in terms of morphology and genome organization. However, our data provide an interesting perspective on the issue of the ancestral cnidarian body plan before the Anthozoa-Medusozoa split. The jellyfish stage is absent in the Anthozoa, but a polyp stage is typical of both groups and is usually considered to be homologous (Fig. 1a). Interestingly, Aurelia polyps and jellyfish express similar proportions of medusozoa-specific genes (38% in polyps and 32% in jellyfish; see Fig. 3b). This observation implies that in terms of 'novelty' (relative to Nematostella and Acropora), these two stages are similar. The anthozoan polyp stage is therefore equally remote genetically from the medusozoan polyp and jellyfish stages. Hence, the old question about which came first, the chicken or the egg (or in this case, the polyp or jellyfish), turns out to be conceptually wrong. Drastic anatomical differences between anthozoan and medusozoan polyps are textbook knowledge dating back to the nineteenth century<sup>40-43</sup>. Molecular data strongly support old morphological observations and indicate that anthozoan polyps, medusozoan polyps and a jellyfish stage are equally different from one another. Thus, the only truly conserved stage among the Anthozoa and Medusozoa might be the planula larva, which becomes the best candidate for the cnidarian ancestral body plan.

Cnidarians have proven to be much more diverse in their genomic organization, gene sets and regulation of body plan formation than was previously anticipated. Genetic differences within the phylum are almost equivalent to the variation in the protostomian and deuterostomian clades taken together, with many evolutionary trends of the Bilateria (the development of striated muscles, camera-type eyes and clusters of genes with collinear expression) being independently represented by the cnidarians. The genomes of *Aurelia* and *Morbakka* provide an important comparative resource for understanding medusozoan biology, particularly the developmental and evolutionary aspects of their complex life cycles. They also contribute to our understanding of evolutionary processes among cnidarians and in the animal kingdom as a whole.

#### Methods

**Biological materials and sampling.** High-molecular-weight DNA for genome sequencing was isolated from spermatozoa of a single *Aurelia* jellyfish of the Baltic sea strain, collected in the Bay of Kiel (see Supplementary Table 1). Genomic DNA was also extracted from purified mesoglea cells of Roscoff-strain jellyfish because sexually mature male medusae were not available. Jellyfish with a bell diameter of 5-7 cm were dissected, and blocks of mesoglea (pure extracellular matrix with mesoglea cells without any traces of ectodermal cells or gastrovascular system) were digested with *Clostridium* collagenase (Sigma–Aldrich C0130–100MG; 0.1 mg ml<sup>-1</sup> dissolved in filtered sea water), and mesoglea cells were collected by centrifugation (5 min at 500g). After three rounds of washing in filtered sea water, mesoglea cells were pelleted, lysed in DNA extraction buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, 75 mM ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid and 1% *N*-lauroylsarcosine), and DNA was extracted using the standard phenol-chloroform method.

*M. virulenta* medusae were collected at Ondo Fishing Port, Hiroshima Prefecture, Japan (see Supplementary Table 1). Gonads of three male specimens

were dissected and deep frozen. Then, 500 mg of gonad tissue was ground up in liquid nitrogen and mixed with 5 ml of extraction buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, 75 mM ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid and 1% N-lauroylsarcosine), and a standard phenol-chloroform DNA extraction procedure was performed.

Genome sequencing, assembly, gene prediction and annotation. High-

molecular-weight DNA was quality checked using agarose gel electrophoresis. For paired-end library preparations, it was fragmented by sonication (Covaris M220). Size selection was done by electrophoresis on a BluePippin system (Sage Science). For paired-end libraries, the DNA fraction with a mean fragment size of 600 bp was used. Mate-pair libraries of various fragment sizes ranging from 1–20 kilobases were constructed using a Nextera Mate Pair Sample Prep Kit (Illimina). Following tranposase-mediated fragmentation, DNA fractions of the desired sizes were selected by electrophoresis on a BluePippin system. The resulting paired-end and mate-pair libraries were sequenced on a MiSeq system with 600-cycle chemistry (2×300 reads). Raw Illumina reads were quality filtered (Q20; 99% accuracy) to remove low-quality bases using Trimmomatic (version 0.30)<sup>44</sup>. Raw mate-pair reads were additionally filtered and reverse-complemented with NextClip (version 0.8)<sup>45</sup>.

Genome assembly was conducted using Newbler version 2.9 software and 37 Gb (~90×) and 34 Gb (~30×) Illumina reads for Aurelia and Morbakka, respectively (see flowchart in Supplementary Fig. 3). Several assemblies with different parameters and sequence data quantities were performed and their results were compared. The best assemblies were selected for scaffolding (see Supplementary Note 1.3), which was performed with SSPACE version 3.0 (ref. 46) and mate-pair reads ranging from 1-20 kilobase pairs. GapCloser version 1.12 was used for filling gaps in the scaffolds. Next, one round of the Haplomerger2 processing pipeline47 was applied to eliminate redundancy in scaffolds and to merge haplotypes. Gene models were predicted using AUGUSTUS version 3.0.2 (ref. 48). RNA-Seq transcripts were mapped to the genome assembly using the PASA version 2.0.1 pipeline49. Resulting transcript models (\*.gff3 file) were converted by 'gff2gbSmallDNA.pl' into GenBank format and used as a training set for AUGUSTUS (autoAugTrain.pl). Exon and intron hints for gene predictions were generated by mapping raw RNA-Seq reads and complementary DNAs from transcriptome assemblies to the genome sequence with BLAT version 34.

**Transcriptome sequencing, assembly and annotation.** A total of 11 cnidarian species, representing Anthozoa, Hydrozoa, Scyphozoa and Cubozoa, were used as a source of comparative transcriptomic data (Supplementary Table 2). In all species, messenger RNA was extracted by oligo-dT affinity chromatography. Whole animals at various stages of the life cycle, their tissues, isolated cells or body parts were used (Supplementary Table 2). All sequencing libraries were produced using an Illumina TruSeq Stranded mRNA Sample Prep Kit, quantified by Real-Time PCR (StepOnePlus; Applied Biosystems) and quality controlled using capillary electrophoresis on a Bioanalyzer. Libraries were sequenced on MiSeq and HiSeq 2500 instruments using 600-cycle or 2 × 100–150-cycle chemistry, respectively. In total, 16 reference transcriptomes from 11 species were generated (see Supplementary Table 1 and 2).

Raw reads were quality filtered (Q20) and trimmed at both ends to remove low-quality regions with Trimmomatic version 0.30. Transcripts were assembled de novo with Trinity (versions r20140717, 2.0.6 and 2.3.2)<sup>50</sup>. Peptides encoded by transcripts were predicted with ESTScan 3.0.3 (ref. <sup>51</sup>) or TransDecoder<sup>52</sup>. Only peptides with more than 70 amino acids were retained and used in further analyses. The resulting peptides were searched against the non-redundant National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) peptide database and several selected protein sets (human, *Nematostella, Acropora* and *Hydra*) using BLASTP. Redundancy in protein sets was removed with CD-HIT version 4.6.1 with a 95% similarity cut-off value<sup>53</sup>. Protein domains and their coordinates were identified by search with HMMER version 3.1b2 using release 29 of the Pfam-A database (ftp:// ftp.ebi.ac.uk/pub/databases/Pfam/releases/Pfam29.0/).

Transcriptome assemblies and raw reads were used as hints for gene model prediction (see flow diagram in Supplementary Fig. 3), and for analysis of gene expression dynamics at various stages of the life cycle and in different tissues (Figs. 3–5). To generate expression tables, quality-filtered reads from all RNA-Seq libraries available for a given species were mapped back to the reference transcripts with Bowtie 2, and transcript abundance was estimated with RSEM version 1.2.5 (ref. <sup>54</sup>). Finally, transcript sequences, peptide predictions, BLAST search results and expression values were imported and integrated into a relational database (MySQL 5.6.15). Transcriptomes are accessible via web browser at the Okinawa Institute of Science and Technology (OIST) BLAST server (http://203.181.243.155/aurelia/).

**Repeat analysis.** Repetitive elements in the draft genome assemblies of *Aurelia* and *Morbakka* were identified de novo with RepeatScout version 1.0.5 (ref. <sup>55</sup>) and RepeatMasker version 4.0.6 (ref. <sup>56</sup>). Repetitive elements were filtered by length and occurrence so that only sequences longer than 50 bp and present more than 10 times in the genome were retained. The resulting sets of repetitive elements were annotated by BLASTN and BLASTX searches against RepeatMasker.lib (35,996 nucleotide sequences) and RepeatPeps.lib (10,544 peptides) bundled with RepeatMasker version 4.0.6. The results of both searches were combined, and BLASTX results were given priority in cases where both BLASTN and BLASTX searches gave hits.

ARTICLES

Annotated repeats of *Aurelia* and *Morbakka* were added to the OIST BLAST server as combined database 'Repeats\_in\_ABSv1\_ARSv1\_MVIv1\_genomes'. They are also stored in the 'Downloads' section of the OIST Genome browser (http://marinegenomics.oist.jp/gallery/).

The files 'AUR21\_r04\_250316\_repeats.fa.gz' and 'MOR05\_r06\_genome\_ repeats.fa.gz' include 19,704 (82.1% novel) and 13,698 (49.7% novel) distinct repetitive elements, respectively. Repeat information was also added as 'Repeat' tracks to the genome browser of each species.

Molecular phylogeny, macrosynteny analysis and further characterization of the genomes. A full description of the methods and software used can be found in Supplementary Notes 2 and 3.

**Reporting Summary.** Further information on research design is available in the Nature Research Reporting Summary linked to this article.

#### Data availability

Datasets associated with this genome project have been registered at NCBI under the BioProject accessions PRJNA494057 (A. aurita Baltic sea strain), PRJNA494062 (A. aurita Roscoff strain) and PRINA494059 (M. virulenta). Genome assemblies have been deposited at the DNA DataBank of Japan/European Nucleotide Archive/GenBank under accession numbers REGM00000000 (A. aurita Baltic sea), REGL00000000 (A. aurita Roscoff) and RDPX00000000 (M. virulenta). Transcriptome assemblies have been deposited in the NCBI Transcriptome Shotgun Assembly Sequence Database under accession numbers GHAG00000000 (A. aurita Baltic sea), GHAI00000000 (A. aurita Roscoff), GHAS00000000 (A. aurita Kujukushima), GHAK0000000 (A. aurita White sea), GHAF00000000 (M. virulenta), GHAR00000000 (Nemopilema), GHBG00000000 (Copula), GHAQ00000000 (Tripedalia), GHAX00000000 (Chironex), GHBC00000000 (Xenia), GHAW0000000 (Clavularia), GHBA0000000 (Porpita), GHAZ00000000 (Velella) and GHBB00000000 (Physalia). Sequencing reads of the genomes and transcriptomes have been deposited in the NCBI Sequence Read Archive under the study accessions SRR7992476, SRR7992477, SRR7992488, SRR7992489, SRR7992486, SRR7992487, SRR7992484, SRR7992485, SRR7992482, SRR7992483, SRR7992480, SRR7992481, SRR7992474, SRR7992469, SRR7992468, SRR7992475, SRR7992472, SRR7992473, SRR7992470, SRR7992471, SRR7992478 and SRR7992479 (A. aurita Baltic sea), SRR8040393, SRR8040394, SRR8040410, SRR8040411, SRR8040408, SRR8040409, SRR8040406, SRR8040407, SRR8040404, SRR8040405, SRR8040402, SRR8040403, SRR8040391, SRR8040401, SRR8040400, SRR8040399, SRR8040398, SRR8040397, SRR8040392, SRR8040389, SRR8040390, SRR8040387, SRR8040388, SRR8040395 and SRR8040396 (A. aurita Roscoff), SRR7983773, SRR7983772, SRR7983775, SRR7983774, SRR7983769, SRR7983768, SRR7983771 and SRR7983770 (M. virulenta), SRR8089701, SRR8089700, SRR8089699, SRR8089698, SRR8089705, SRR8089704, SRR8089703 and SRR8089702 (A. aurita Kujukushima), SRR8090261, SRR8090262, SRR8090257, SRR8090258, SRR8090263, SRR8090264, SRR8090255, SRR8090256, SRR8090259, SRR8090260, SRR8090265 and SRR8090266 (A. aurita White sea), SRR8101520, SRR8101519, SRR8101522, SRR8101521, SRR8101524, SRR8101523, SRR8101526, SRR8101525 and SRR8101518 (Tripedalia), SRR8101709, SRR8101708 and SRR8101707 (Nemopilema), SRR8115525 (Velella), SRR8115524 (Porpita), SRR8116635 (Physalia) and SRR8116636 (Copula). Genome browsers, genome assemblies, gene models and transcriptomes, together with the annotation files, are available from the Marine Genomics Unit web site (http://marinegenomics.oist.jp/ gallery/) and OIST BLAST server (http://203.181.243.155/aurelia/).

## Received: 24 July 2018; Accepted: 26 February 2019; Published online: 15 April 2019

#### References

- 1. Putnam, N. H. et al. Sea anemone genome reveals ancestral eumetazoan gene repertoire and genomic organization. *Science* **317**, 86–94 (2007).
- Chapman, J. A. et al. The dynamic genome of *Hydra*. Nature 464, 592–596 (2010).
- 3. Collins, A. G. et al. Medusozoan phylogeny and character evolution clarified by new large and small subunit rDNA data and an assessment of the utility of phylogenetic mixture models. *Syst. Biol.* **55**, 97–115 (2006).
- Gold, D. A. et al. The genome of the jellyfish Aurelia and the evolution of animal complexity. Nat. Ecol. Evol. 3, 96–104 (2019).
- 5. Shinzato, C. et al. Using the *Acropora digitifera* genome to understand coral responses to environmental change. *Nature* **476**, 320–323 (2011).
- Baumgarten, S. et al. The genome of *Aiptasia*, a sea anemone model for coral symbiosis. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* 112, 11893–11898 (2015).
- Cartwright, P. et al. Exceptionally preserved jellyfishes from the Middle Cambrian. *PLoS ONE* 2, e1121 (2007).
- Liu, A. G., Matthews, J. J., Menon, L. R., McIlroy, D. & Brasier, M. D. *Haootia quadriformis* n. gen., n. sp., interpreted as a muscular cnidarian impression from the Late Ediacaran period (~560 Ma). *Proc. Biol. Sci.* 281, 20141202 (2014).

- 9. Zapata, F. et al. Phylogenomic analyses support traditional relationships within Cnidaria. *PLoS ONE* **10**, e0139068 (2015).
- 10. Kayal, E. et al. Phylogenomics provides a robust topology of the major cnidarian lineages and insights on the origins of key organismal traits. *BMC Evol. Biol.* **18**, 68 (2018).
- Steele, R. E., David, C. N. & Technau, U. A genomic view of 500 million years of cnidarian evolution. *Trends Genet.* 27, 7–13 (2011).
- 12. Seton, M. et al. Global continental and ocean basin reconstructions since 200 Ma. *Earth Sci. Rev.* **113**, 212–270 (2012).
- 13. Schroth, W., Jarms, G., Streit, B. & Schierwater, B. Speciation and phylogeography in the cosmopolitan marine moon jelly, *Aurelia* sp. *BMC Evol. Biol.* **2**, 1 (2002).
- Kamm, K., Schierwater, B., Jakob, W., Dellaporta, S. L. & Miller, D. J. Axial patterning and diversification in the Cnidaria predate the Hox system. *Curr. Biol.* 16, 920–926 (2006).
- 15. Fuchs, B. et al. Regulation of polyp-to-jellyfish transition in *Aurelia aurita*. *Curr. Biol.* **24**, 263–273 (2014).
- Brekhman, V., Malik, A., Haas, B., Sher, N. & Lotan, T. Transcriptome profiling of the dynamic life cycle of the scypohozoan jellyfish *Aurelia aurita*. *BMC Genomics.* 16, 74 (2015).
- 17. Steinmetz, P. R. et al. Independent evolution of striated muscles in cnidarians and bilaterians. *Nature* **487**, 231–234 (2012).
- Kraus, J. E., Fredman, D., Wang, W., Khalturin, K. & Technau, U. Adoption of conserved developmental genes in development and origin of the medusa body plan. *EvoDevo* 6, 23 (2015).
- Kusserow, A. et al. Unexpected complexity of the Wnt gene family in a sea anemone. *Nature* 433, 156–160 (2005).
- Lengfeld, T. et al. Multiple Wnts are involved in Hydra organizer formation and regeneration. *Dev. Biol.* 330, 186–199 (2009).
- Miller, D. J., Ball, E. E. & Technau, U. Cnidarians and ancestral genetic complexity in the animal kingdom. *Trends Genet.* 21, 536–539 (2005).
- 22. Guder, C. et al. The Wnt code: cnidarians signal the way. Oncogene 25, 7450-7460 (2006).
- 23. Ferrier, D. E. & Holland, P. W. Ancient origin of the Hox gene cluster. *Nat. Rev. Genet.* 2, 33–38 (2001).
- Finnerty, J. R., Pang, K., Burton, P., Paulson, D. & Martindale, M. Q. Origins of bilateral symmetry: *Hox* and *Dpp* expression in a sea anemone. *Science* 304, 1335–1337 (2004).
- 25. Chourrout, D. et al. Minimal ProtoHox cluster inferred from bilaterian and cnidarian Hox complements. *Nature* **442**, 684–687 (2006).
- 26. Chiori, R. et al. Are Hox genes ancestrally involved in axial patterning? Evidence from the hydrozoan *Clytia hemisphaerica* (Cnidaria). *PLoS ONE* **4**, e4231 (2009).
- 27. Quiquand, M. et al. More constraint on ParaHox than Hox gene families in early metazoan evolution. *Dev. Biol.* **328**, 173–187 (2009).
- Ying, H. et al. Comparative genomics reveals the distinct evolutionary trajectories of the robust and complex coral lineages. *Genome Biol.* 19, 175 (2018).
- 29. David, C. N. et al. Evolution of complex structures: minicollagens shape the cnidarian nematocyst. *Trends Genet.* 24, 431-438 (2009).
- Balasubramanian, P. G. et al. Proteome of Hydra nematocyst. J. Biol. Chem. 287, 9672–9681 (2012).
- Denker, E., Manuel, M., Leclère, L., Le Guyader, H. & Rabet, N. Ordered progression of nematogenesis from stem cells through differentiation stages in the tentacle bulb of *Clytia hemisphaerica* (Hydrozoa, Cnidaria). *Dev. Biol.* 315, 99–113 (2008).
- Khalturin, K., Hemmrich, G., Fraune, S., Augustin, R. & Bosch, T. C. More than just orphans: are taxonomically-restricted genes important in evolution? *Trends Genet.* 25, 404–413 (2009).
- Shinzato, C., Shoguchi, E., Tanaka, M. & Satoh, N. Fluorescent protein candidate genes in the coral *Acropora digitifera* genome. *Zoolog. Sci.* 29, 260–264 (2012).
- Schnitzler, C. E. et al. Genomic organization, evolution, and expression of photoprotein and opsin genes in *Mnemiopsis leidyi*: a new view of ctenophore photocytes. *BMC Biol.* 10, 107 (2012).
- Nicotra, M. L. et al. A hypervariable invertebrate allodeterminant. *Curr. Biol.* 19, 583–589 (2009).
- Rosa, S. F. et al. *Hydractinia* allodeterminant alr1 resides in an immunoglobulin superfamily-like gene complex. *Curr. Biol.* 20, 1122–1127 (2010).
- Forêt, S. et al. New tricks with old genes: the genetic bases of novel cnidarian traits. *Trends Genet.* 26, 154–158 (2010).
- Fraune, S. et al. In an early branching metazoan, bacterial colonization of the embryo is controlled by maternal antimicrobial peptides. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci.* USA 107, 18067–18072 (2010).
- Franzenburg, S. et al. Distinct antimicrobial peptide expression determines host species-specific bacterial associations. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* 110, E3730–E3738 (2013).

## ARTICLES

## **NATURE ECOLOGY & EVOLUTION**

 Haeckel, E. Die Gastraea-Theorie, die Phylogenetische Classification des Thierreiches und die Homologie der Keimblätter (Jena Z. Naturwiss, 1873).

 Faurot, L. Etudes sur l'Anatomie, l'Histologie et le Développement des Actinies (typ. A. Hennuyer, 1895).

- Technau, U. & Steele, R. E. Evolutionary crossroads in developmental biology: Cnidaria. Development 138, 1447–1458 (2011).
- Steinmetz, P. R. H., Aman, A., Kraus, J. E. M. & Technau, U. Gut-like ectodermal tissue in a sea anemone challenges germ layer homology. *Nat. Ecol. Evol.* 1, 1535–1542 (2017).
- 44. Bolger, A. M., Lohse, M. & Usadel, B. Trimmomatic: a flexible trimmer for Illumina sequence data. *Bioinformatics* **30**, 2114–2120 (2014).
- Leggett, R. M., Clavijo, B. J., Clissold, L., Clark, M. D. & Caccamo, M. NextClip: an analysis and read preparation tool for Nextera Long Mate Pair libraries. *Bioinformatics* 30, 566–568 (2014).
- Boetzer, M., Henkel, C. V., Jansen, H. J., Butler, D. & Pirovano, W. Scaffolding pre-assembled contigs using SSPACE. *Bioinformatics* 27, 578–579 (2011).
- Huang, S., Kang, M. & Xu, A. HaploMerger2: rebuilding both haploid sub-assemblies from high-heterozygosity diploid genome assembly. *Bioinformatics* 33, 2577–2579 (2017).
- Stanke, M., Schöffmann, O., Morgenstern, B. & Waack, S. Gene prediction in eukaryotes with a generalized hidden Markov model that uses hints from external sources. *BMC Bioinformatics* 7, 62 (2006).
- Haas, B. J. et al. Improving the Arabidopsis genome annotation using maximal transcript alignment assemblies. Nucleic Acids Res. 31, 5654–5666 (2003).
- Grabherr, M. G. et al. Full-length transcriptome assembly from RNA-Seq data without a reference genome. *Nat. Biotechnol.* 29, 644–652 (2011).
- Iseli, C., Jongeneel, C. V. & Bucher, P. ESTScan: a program for detecting, evaluating, and reconstructing potential coding regions in EST sequences. *Proc. Int. Conf. Intell. Syst. Mol. Biol.* **1999**, 138–148 (1999).
- 52. Haas, B. & Papanicolaou, A. TransDecoder (find coding regions within transcripts) (2018); http://transdecoder.github.io
- Li, W. & Godzik, A. Cd-hit: a fast program for clustering and comparing large sets of protein or nucleotide sequences. *Bioinformatics* 22, 1658–1659 (2006).
- Li, B. & Dewey, C. N. RSEM: accurate transcript quantification from RNA-Seq data with or without a reference genome. *BMC Bioinformatics* 12, 323 (2011).
- 55. Price, A. L., Jones, N. C. & Pevzner, P. A. De novo identification of repeat families in large genomes. *Bioinformatics* **21**, i351–i358 (2005).
- Smit, A. F. A., Hubley, R. & Green, P. RepeatMasker Open-4.0 (Institute for Systems Biology); http://www.repeatmasker.org

#### Acknowledgements

We thank the following individuals for essential contributions: H. Akiyama (Kujukushima Aquarium) for furnishing the *Aurelia* jellyfish samples; T. Shaposhnikova and G. Jarms for providing *Aurelia* polyp cultures; J. Wittlieb (CAU) for performing *Hydra* embryonic microinjections; S. Gorb (CAU) for providing valuable advice and help with scanning electron cryomicroscopy; K. Hisata and H. Miyagi for creating the genome browsers; S. D. Aird (OIST) for editing the manuscript; and I. Rudsky and I. Tikhomirov for offering valuable advice and discussion. K.K. was supported by grants from the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science (JSPS 17K07420) and Russian Foundation for Basic Research (PΦΦI 13-04-01795). S.T. was supported by the grant from the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science (JP18K14791).

#### Author contributions

K.K. and N.S. designed the project. K.K., C.S., M.K., M.H., M.F., R.K., M.K., H.G., M.T. and S.T. performed the experiments, prepared the transcriptomic and genomic libraries, and performed sequencing and analysis of the data. K.K. assembled genomes and transcriptomes of *Aurelia* and *Morbakka*, with support from C.S. and R.K. FA-E. performed confocal laser scanning microscopy and scanning electron microscopy, and analysed the microscopic data. K.K. and N.S. wrote the manuscript. All authors reviewed and approved the final version of the manuscript.

#### **Competing interests**

The authors declare no competing interests.

#### Additional information

Supplementary information is available for this paper at https://doi.org/10.1038/ s41559-019-0853-y.

Reprints and permissions information is available at www.nature.com/reprints.

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to K.K.

Publisher's note: Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

© The Author(s)

org/licenses/bv/4.0/.



Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons

Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly

from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.

## natureresearch

Corresponding author(s): Konstantin Khalturin

Last updated by author(s): Feb 25, 2019

## **Reporting Summary**

Nature Research wishes to improve the reproducibility of the work that we publish. This form provides structure for consistency and transparency in reporting. For further information on Nature Research policies, see <u>Authors & Referees</u> and the <u>Editorial Policy Checklist</u>.

## Statistics

For	all st	atistical analyses, confirm that the following items are present in the figure legend, table legend, main text, or Methods section.
n/a	Cor	firmed
$\boxtimes$		The exact sample size ( $n$ ) for each experimental group/condition, given as a discrete number and unit of measurement
$\boxtimes$		A statement on whether measurements were taken from distinct samples or whether the same sample was measured repeatedly
		The statistical test(s) used AND whether they are one- or two-sided Only common tests should be described solely by name; describe more complex techniques in the Methods section.
$\boxtimes$		A description of all covariates tested
$\boxtimes$		A description of any assumptions or corrections, such as tests of normality and adjustment for multiple comparisons
$\boxtimes$		A full description of the statistical parameters including central tendency (e.g. means) or other basic estimates (e.g. regression coefficient) AND variation (e.g. standard deviation) or associated estimates of uncertainty (e.g. confidence intervals)
	$\boxtimes$	For null hypothesis testing, the test statistic (e.g. <i>F</i> , <i>t</i> , <i>r</i> ) with confidence intervals, effect sizes, degrees of freedom and <i>P</i> value noted Give <i>P</i> values as exact values whenever suitable.
	$\square$	For Bayesian analysis, information on the choice of priors and Markov chain Monte Carlo settings
$\boxtimes$		For hierarchical and complex designs, identification of the appropriate level for tests and full reporting of outcomes
$\boxtimes$		Estimates of effect sizes (e.g. Cohen's d, Pearson's r), indicating how they were calculated
		Our web collection on <u>statistics for biologists</u> contains articles on many of the points above.

## Software and code

Policy information abo	out <u>availability of computer code</u>
Data collection	No custom software or algorithms were used for data collection.
Data analysis	Software used in this study is listed the Methods section as well as in the Supplementary Methods.

For manuscripts utilizing custom algorithms or software that are central to the research but not yet described in published literature, software must be made available to editors/reviewers. We strongly encourage code deposition in a community repository (e.g. GitHub). See the Nature Research guidelines for submitting code & software for further information.

## Data

#### Policy information about availability of data

All manuscripts must include a <u>data availability statement</u>. This statement should provide the following information, where applicable: - Accession codes, unique identifiers, or web links for publicly available datasets

- A list of figures that have associated raw data
- A description of any restrictions on data availability

Datasets associated with this genome project have been registered at NCBI under the BioProject accessions PRJNA494057 (Aurelia aurita Baltic sea strain), PRJNA494062 (Aurelia aurita Roscoff strain), and PRJNA494059 (Morbakka virulenta). Genome assemblies have been deposited at DDBJ/ENA/GenBank under accession numbers REGM00000000 (A. aurita Baltic sea), REGL00000000 (A. aurita Roscoff), RDPX00000000 (M. virulenta). Transcriptome assemblies have been deposited in the NCBI Transcriptome Shotgun Assembly Sequence Database under accession numbers GHAG00000000 (A. aurita Baltic sea), GHAI00000000 (A. aurita Roscoff), GHAS0000000 (A. aurita Kujukushima), GHAK0000000 (A. aurita White sea), GHAF00000000 (M. virulenta), GHAR00000000 (Nemopilema), GHBG00000000 (Copula), GHAQ00000000 (Tripedalia), GHAX00000000 (Chironex), GHBC00000000 (Xenia), GHAW00000000 (Clavularia), GHBA00000000 (Porpita), GHAZ00000000 (Velella), GHBB00000000 (Physalia). Sequencing reads of the genomes and transcriptomes have been deposited in the NCBI Sequence Read Archive under the study accessions SRR7992476, SRR7992477, SRR7992488, SRR7992489, SRR7992486, SRR7992487, SRR7992484, SRR7992484, SRR7992482, SRR7992483, SRR7992480, SRR7992474, SRR7992474, SRR7992469, SRR7992468, SRR7992475, SRR7992472, SRR7992470, SRR7992470, SRR7992471, SRR7992478, SRR7992479 (A. aurita Baltic sea); SRR8040393, SRR8040394, SRR8040410, SRR8040411, SRR8040408, SRR8040409, SRR8040406, SRR8040407, SRR8040404, SRR8040405, SRR8040402, SRR8040403, SRR8040391, SRR8040401, SRR8040400, SRR8040399, SRR8040398, SRR8040397, SRR8040392, SRR8040389, SRR8040390, SRR8040389, SRR8040389, SRR8040389, SRR8040389, SRR8040389, SRR8040389, SRR8040389, SRR8040395, SRR8040395, SRR8040396 (A. aurita Roscoff); SRR7983773, SRR7983772, SRR7983775, SRR7983774, SRR7983769, SRR7983768, SRR7983771, SRR7983770 (M. virulenta); SRR8089701, SRR8089700, SRR8089699, SRR8089698, SRR8089705, SRR8089704, SRR8089703, SRR8089702 (A. aurita Kujukushima), SRR8090261, SRR8090262, SRR8090257, SRR8090258, SRR8090263, SRR8090264, SRR8090255, SRR8090256, SRR8090259, SRR8090260, SRR8090266, SRR8090266 (A. aurita White sea); SRR8101520, SRR8101519, SRR8101522, SRR8101521, SRR8101524, SRR8101523, SRR8101526, SRR8101525, SRR8101525, SRR8101709, SRR8101708, SRR8101707 (Nemopilema); SRR8115525 (Velella); SRR8115524 (Porpita); SRR8116635 (Physalia); SRR8116636 (Copula).Genome browsers, genome assemblies, gene models and transcriptomes, together with the annotation files, are available at MGU web site http://marinegenomics.oist.jp/gallery/ and OIST BLAST server http://203.181.243.155/aurelia/

## Field-specific reporting

 Please select the one below that is the best fit for your research. If you are not sure, read the appropriate sections before making your selection.

 If esciences
 Behavioural & social sciences

 Ecological, evolutionary & environmental sciences

For a reference copy of the document with all sections, see <u>nature.com/documents/nr-reporting-summary-flat.pdf</u>

## Life sciences study design

All studies must dis	close on these points even when the disclosure is negative.
Sample size	N/A
Data exclusions	N/A
Replication	No replication was applied for genome and transcriptome sequencing experiments.
Randomization	N/A
Blinding	N/A

## Reporting for specific materials, systems and methods

We require information from authors about some types of materials, experimental systems and methods used in many studies. Here, indicate whether each material, system or method listed is relevant to your study. If you are not sure if a list item applies to your research, read the appropriate section before selecting a response.

Materials & experimental systems		Methods	
n/a Involved in the study	n/a	Involved in the study	
Antibodies	$\ge$	ChIP-seq	
Eukaryotic cell lines	$\boxtimes$	Flow cytometry	
Palaeontology	$\boxtimes$	MRI-based neuroimaging	
Animals and other organisms			
Human research participants			
Clinical data			

## Animals and other organisms

 Policy information about studies involving animals; ARRIVE guidelines recommended for reporting animal research

 Laboratory animals
 Laboratory strains of Aurelia aurita , Morbakka virulenta, Nemopilema nomurai and Tripedalia cystophora (see Supplementary Note 1)

 Wild animals
 Mature male medusae of Aurelia aurita were collected in the Bay of Kiel (Kieler Förde, Baltic sea, Germany). Morbakka virulenta male medusae were collected in Ondo Fishing Port, Hiroshima Prefecture, Japan. List of field-collected specimens with corresponding coordinates and complete descriptions is provided in Supplementary Table 1 and Supplementary Table 2.

 Field-collected samples
 N/A

 Ethics oversight
 N/A

Note that full information on the approval of the study protocol must also be provided in the manuscript.